

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 >	
Title	Cor1/802.16e Harmonization Ad Hoc Minutes	
Date Submitted	2005-05-02	
Source(s)	Ron Murias Jonathan Labs Brian Johnson Jose Puthenkulam Rainer Ullmann Dave Pechner Herbert Ruck	mailto:rmurias@WI-LAN.com mailto:jlabs@wavesat.com mailto:brjohnso@nortel.com mailto:jose.p.puthenkulam@intel.com mailto:rullmann@wavesat.com mailto:dpechner@arraycomm.com mailto:hruck@navini.com
Re:	Cor1/802.16e Harmonization Ad Hoc Minutes	
Abstract	Minutes of the four conference calls conducted by the Cor1/802.16e Harmonization Ad Hoc for identifying the conflicts between P802.16e/D7 and P802.16-2004/Cor1/D2 draft standards.	
Purpose	Provide a formal record of the meetings.	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < mailto:chair@wirelessman.org > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices >.	

Cor1/802.16e Harmonization Ad Hoc Minutes

*Jonathan Labs
Wavesat, Inc.*

Call #1: Thursday, April 14, 2005 8:00 AM US Pacific Time

Bridge Info Summary:

Where: +1-916-356-2663, Bridge: 1, Passcode: 9146481

Call participants:

Lei Wang
Jose Puthenkulam
Dave Maez
Ranier Ullmann
Jonathan Labs
Ron Murias

Assigned tasks:

- Identify conflicts or agreements between the two documents.
- Make recommendations for remedies to make both documents consistent.

Intel person: Clause 5
Lei: Clause 6
Wi-LAN person: Clause 6
Intel person: Clause 7
Ranier: Subclause 8.3
Jose: Subclause 8.4
Dave: Subclause 8.4
Jon: Clauses 10, 11
Ron: Clause 12

Meet again by conference call on Tuesday, April 19 at 2:30 PM.

Call #2: Tuesday, April 19, 2005 8:30-9:30 AM US Pacific Time

Bridge Info Summary:

Where: +1- 916-356-2663, Bridge: 8, Passcode: 4545439

Unfortunately, the call was cut off, so perhaps we can continue the discussion on format by email.

Attendees:

Herbert Ruck
Roger Marks
Ron Murias
Ranier Ullmann
Jose Puthenkulam
Brian Johnson
Dave Pechner
Atul Salvekar

Clause 5 (in progress - Intel)
Clause 6 (minor conflicts looking at it) Clause 7 (in progress - Intel) Clause 8.3 (minor and major conflicts) Clause 8.4 (minor and major conflicts) Clause 10 (in progress - Jon) Clause 11 (in progress - Jon) Clause 12 (Ron: no conflicts)

Suggestions on the call:

- a joint session during Session 37 that deals with conflicting material. New comments that modify conflicting material would be referred to the joint session group
- our first goal is to clearly document our assessment of conflicts
- Brian suggested a spreadsheet format highlighting editorial differences

One thing I'm concerned about is that the spreadsheet format may be a little too structured and will encourage us to only look at superficial differences (overlapping changes, non-overlapping changes) and we'd miss the deeper problems where these superficial changes result in conflicts elsewhere in the document.

Call #3: Friday, April 22, 2005 10:00AM-12:00PM US Pacific Time

Bridge Info Summary:

Where: +1-916-356-2663, Bridge: 2, Passcode: 2527625

Attendees:

Rainer Ullmann
 Roger Marks
 Jose Puthenkulam
 Dave Pechner
 Jon Labs

Status of Review:

Clause 5 (done, no conflict as there is no chapter in 16e/D7)
 Clause 6 (done, minor conflicts looking at it)
 Clause 7 (in progress - Intel; no major conflicts, just the editorial change language needs to be checked)
 Clause 8.3 (done, minor and major conflicts)
 Clause 8.4 (in progress - Jose, Rainer, Dave; minor and major conflicts)
 Clause 10 (done, minor and major conflicts)
 Clause 11 (done, minor and major conflicts)
 Clause 12 (done, no conflicts)

A joint session between TGe and TG Maintenance is tentatively planned for all day Tuesday, May 3 during the Sorrento Meeting. Plan is to submit the spread sheet as a contribution by Friday, April 29, in preparation for that session.

It was suggested that for the minor conflicts where some "straightforward" editorial changes could fix them, that we provide a suggested remedy. Another column will be added to the spread sheet for Suggested Remedy. Adding suggested remedy is only to be done after the two documents have been fully reviewed.

Jon will compile all the spread sheets from the reviews and control the master version. The first copy of the master version will be released to this email list no later than Monday morning so it can be seen where we are at in reviewing the sections.

Roger discussed what is planned for the two projects. The projects will almost certainly remain as separate projects through completion of Sponsor Ballot, approval by RevCom and approval by IEEE-SA. When publishing, however, IEEE may decided to publish one merged document.

Next conference call: Wednesday, April 27, 1-3 pm, Pacific time.

Call #4: Wednesday, April 27, 2005 1:00PM-3:00PM US Pacific Time

Bridge Info Summary:

Where: +1-888-529-0349, Passcode: 100771

Attendees:

Rainer Ullmann
Jon Labs
Roger Marks
Brian Johnson
Dave Pechner

It appears all sections have been reviewed. Brian will double check section 8.4.

Reviewers are asked to come up with suggested remedies for the conflicts, at least the ones easy to fix, by Saturday, April 30, 11:59 pm, Italy time. Some of the suggested remedies can be pointers to comments in 16e or Corrigendum databases, if they are intended to resolve the conflicts.

The spreadsheet with the Suggested Remedies will be submitted to the WG via the WG upload directory.

Tentatively, Tuesday is planned to be a joint session between TGe and TG Maintenance.

The purpose of the joint session is the resolution of the conflicts as long as we don't introduce major technical changes outside of the scope of the two documents.

The output of the joint session will be additional approved comments for Corrigendum and 16e which resolve the conflicts.

We should also try to look at the commentary databases for the Corrigendum Letter Ballot Recirc and the 16e Sponsor Ballot Recirc for new comments that could introduce additional conflicts.

No additional conference calls are scheduled before Session #37. Reviewers are asked to interact through the email list.