2008/08/21 IEEE 802.16-07/045r5 Comment by: robert popoli Membership Status: Member Date: ? Document under Review: P802.16j/D1 Comment # 0908 Ballot ID: 28 Part of Dis Satisfied Type Technical Page 167 Line 28 Subclause 8.4.4.7.2.3 Fiq/Table# Comment Access channel utilizes frequency diversity to improve link performance. Same technique would also be valuable to improve link performance on the AMC subchannels. This feature should be added. **Suggested Remedy** Contribution C802.16j-07464 should be accepted into document to achieve added link performance. **Decision of Group:** Accepted **GroupResolution** Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution **Group's Notes** No objection **Editor's Notes Editor's Actions** 2008/08/21 IEEE 802.16-07/045r5 Membership Status: Member Comment by: Zhibin Lin **Date:** 9/7/2007 Comment # 0780 Document under Review: P802.16i/D1 Ballot ID: 28 Part of Dis Satisfied Type Technical Page 133 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.22.1.1 Comment Because access RS can transmit MR\_Generic-ACK message or ACK header, the MR-BS shall transmit MOB\_SCN-RSP to the MS after it receives MR Generic-ACK or ACK header from the access RS. **Suggested Remedy** Modify "the MR-BS shall transmit MOB SCN-RSP to the MS after it receives MR Generic-ACK from the access RS." to"the MR-BS shall transmit MOB SCN-RSP to the MS after it receives MR Generic-ACK or ACK header from the access RS." **GroupResolution Decision of Group:** Accepted Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution **Group's Notes** No objection **Editor's Notes Editor's Actions** 

IEEE 802.16-07/045r5 Comment by: Lei Wang Membership Status: Member **Date:** 9/8/2007 Document under Review: P802.16j/D1 **Comment # 0205** Ballot ID: 28 Part of Dis Satisfied <u>Subclause</u> 6.3.2.2.8.2 Type Technical Page 18 Line 32 Fiq/Table# Comment The subheaders are considered to be part of the payload, as illustrated in Figure 18 in published 802.16 specs, as well as in Figure 21a in this document. In particular, they may be encrypted. **Suggested Remedy** Change: The Allocation subheader shall be the last subheader before the payload. **Decision of Group:** Accepted **GroupResolution** Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution **Group's Notes** No objection **Editor's Notes Editor's Actions** 2008/08/21 IEEE 802.16-07/045r5 Membership Status: Member Comment by: Lei Wang **Date:** 9/8/2007 Document under Review: P802.16j/D1 Comment # 0083 Ballot ID: 28 Part of Dis Satisfied Type Technical Page 6 Line 49 Fig/Table# <u>Subclause</u> 6.3.1.3 Comment MPDU is not defined in this document. Moreover, this term was replaced by MAC PDU in 802.16 specs at least 4 years ago. There is no reason to introduce it back again. **Suggested Remedy** Replace "MPDU" by "MAC PDU" throughout this document. **GroupResolution Decision of Group:** Accepted Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution **Group's Notes** No objection **Editor's Notes Editor's Actions** 

2008/08/21

2008/08/21 IEEE 802.16-07/045r5

<u>Comment by:</u> Erik Colban <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> ?

Comment # 0165 Document under Review: P802.16j/D1 Ballot ID: 28

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 14 Line 14 Fig/Table# 19d Subclause 6.3.2.1.2.2.2.3

BS?!

**Suggested Remedy** 

MR-BS

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

No objection

2008/08/21 IEEE 802.16-07/045r5

<u>Comment by:</u> Erik Colban <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> ?

Comment # 0791 Document under Review: P802.16j/D1 Ballot ID: 28

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 136 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.22.4.1.2

IP address management is outside the scope of 802.16. It is unlikely that the IP addresses would need to be renewed. Under a typical scenario, Mobile IP would be used and the MS would be assigned an address from its home network, which does not change. This should be handled by anoter forum. 802.16 should simply provide the hooks.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Change: An RS, when operating in moving BS mode, the RS shall implement a full set of physical layer and MAC layer functions defined in IEEE802.16e-2005 excluding the convergence sublayer. The mobile RS is also the serving station of the MS. The mobile RS shall perform handover per 6.3.22.2. After the mobile RS hands overs to a new target MR-BS, if the mobile RS enters into a new IP subnet, the IP addresses of all the MSs served by this mobile RS may need to be re-established. A a dedicated transport connection may be established between the mobile RS and its serving MR-BS to relay the IP address re-establishment related higher layer signaling between the MS and the MR-BS that may have been triggered by the mobility event.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

No objection

2008/08/21 IEEE 802.16-07/045r5 Paul Piggin Comment by: Membership Status: Member Date: ? Comment # 0053 Document under Review: P802.16j/D1 Ballot ID: 28 Part of Dis Satisfied **Type** Technical Page 5 Line 11 Subclause 3.100 Fig/Table# **Comment** Since a relay station has multiple interfaces, RTD is ambiguous. **Suggested Remedy** Clarify that the RTD is the round trip delay between the RS and a subordinate staion, by adding the following sentence to the end of the definition: RTD is the round trip delay between the RS and its superordinate station. **GroupResolution Decision of Group:** Accepted Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Note: comment #50 adopted a general definition for RTD. This comment clarifies its interpretation in terms of R-TTG.

**Editor's Actions** 

Group's Notes
No objection

**Editor's Notes** 

2008/08/21 IEEE 802.16-07/045r5 Comment by: Yanhong Wang Membership Status: **Date:** 9/8/2007 Member Comment # 0822 Document under Review: P802.16j/D1 Ballot ID: 28 Part of Dis Satisfied Type Technical Page 143 Subclause 6.3.24 Line 1 Fig/Table# Comment In subclause 6.3.24 (MS idle mode), there is the following description: "FRS and NRS may have same or different Paging Groups compared to controlling MR-BS." This is confusing. Does this mean that the FRS may be assigned a PG, which is out of scope of its controlling MR-BS? Clarification is needed here. If FRS/NRS's paging group is different from that of the controlling MR-BS. The MR-BS will not received the paging announce message from PC. Therefore, the RS can not broadcast the paging message. **Suggested Remedy** Do the following changes: "FRS and NRS may have same or just a subset of Paging Groups compared to their controlling MR-BS."

**GroupResolution Decision of Group:** Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

No objection

2008/08/21 IEEE 802.16-08/002r3

Comment by: Tzu-Ming Lin Membership Status: Member Date: 1/15/2008

Comment # 2036 Document under Review: P802.16j/D2 Ballot ID: LB28a

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 5 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.105

Doubled definitions of security zone key. (3.105 and 3.116)

### **Suggested Remedy**

3.105 security zone key (SZK): A group key shared by the MR-BS and a group of RS within the same security zone. The SZK is a head of key hierarchy used to satisfy the security requirements, such as integrity protection for relay MAC PDUs within a defined security zone.

3.116 security zone key (SZK): The SZK is a head of key hierarchy used to satisfy the security requirements, such as integrity protection for relay MAC PDUs within a defined security zone.

[editor's note]

reodrer the sequence number from 3.106 to 3.118

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

2008/08/21 IEEE 802.16-08/002r3

<u>Comment by:</u> Shulan Feng <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 1/14/2008

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis Satisfied Page 5 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 3

missing space between "A" and "portion".

**Suggested Remedy** 

change"Aportion" to "A portion".

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

Jerry Chow Comment by: Membership Status: Member **Date:** 3/14/2008 Comment # 026 **Document under Review:** P802.16h/D3 **Ballot ID:** Part of Dis Satisfied **Type** Technical Page 22 <u>Subclause</u> 6.3.2.1.2.2.2.7 Line 4 Fig/Table# **Comment** As indicated in 6.3.6.7.1.3, DL flow control mechanism is just used for RS operating in distributed scheduling mode. **Suggested Remedy** Change the sentence as following: The DL flow control header is used to perform DL flow control between an RS operating in distributed scheduling mode and its superordinate RS or MR-BS. **GroupResolution Decision of Group:** Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

<u>Comment by:</u> Shulan Feng <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/14/2008

Comment # 260 Document under Review: P802.16j/D3 Ballot ID:

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis Satisfied Page 205 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.5.10

Missing "." at the end of the sentence

## Suggested Remedy

Modify the sentence as the following:

This message shall be used in non-transparent frame structure and may be used in transparent frame structure to signal the resource assignments and other control information.

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Accepted

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions

2008/08/21

<u>Comment by:</u> Shulan Feng <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/14/2008

Comment # 090 Document under Review: P802.16 j/D3 Ballot ID:

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis Satisfied Page 84 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.6.7.1.2

This sentence is grammatically incorrect.

### **Suggested Remedy**

Replace as the following:

the scheduling information needs only be sent once

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Accepted

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

<u>Comment by:</u> Hongyun Qu <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/15/2008

Comment # 143 Document under Review: P802.16j/D3 Ballot ID:

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis Satisfied Page 122 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.10.3.2.1

Figure 118c and Figure 118d are not used for ranging, instead, in P133, they are used for HARQ.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Change the sentence into:

The flow charts (Figure 115a, Figure 115b, Figure 115c, Figure 115d, Figure 118a, and Figure 118b, Figure 118b, Figure 118d) and message sequence chart (Table 206a and Table 206b) on the following pages define the CDMA periodic ranging and adjustment process that shall be followed by compliant SSs, transparent access RSs and MR-BSs.

Renumber "Figure 118c-Example of Initial transmission of HARQ burst" and "Figure 118d-Example of initial transmission and retransmission of HARQ burst" in P133, since they don't belong to ranging procedure.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

<u>Comment by:</u> Hongyun Qu <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/15/2008

Comment # 131 Document under Review: P802.16j/D3 Ballot ID:

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 107 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.3.10.3.1.1

This paragraph describes one kind of ranging in centralized scheduling mode.

# Suggested Remedy

Change this paragraph into:

When an SS performs initial ranging in systems with transparent RSs attached to non-transparent RSs that have unique BSIDs, the MR-BS, superordinate station (a non-transparent RS operating in centralized scheduling mode), and the transparent RSs shall perform the following steps:

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

## 2008/08/21 Comment by: Hongyun Qu Membership Status: Member **Date:** 3/15/2008 Document under Review: P802.16j/D3 **Comment # 051 Ballot ID:** Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 59 <u>Subclause</u> 6.3.2.3.78 Line 3 Fig/Table# Comment Since "RS MOB MEAS-RSP" message is used for MR-BS to request RSs in the RS group for reporting their measurement results. Why not call it "RS MOB MEAS-REQ"? **Suggested Remedy** Change all "RS\_MOB\_MEAS-RSP" into "RS\_MOB\_MEAS-REQ". **GroupResolution Decision of Group:** Accepted Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution **Group's Notes Editor's Actions Editor's Notes** 2008/08/21 Hongyun Qu Comment by: Membership Status: Member **Date:** 3/15/2008 Document under Review: P802.16i/D3 **Comment # 287 Ballot ID:** Part of Dis Satisfied Page 240 Type Editorial <u>Line</u> 45 Fiq/Table# Subclause 11.7.8.10 Comment The font of sentence "An RS sets bit #7 to 0 to indicate that it cannot perform DL flow control and to 1 to indicate that it can perform DL flow control." is different with other paragraphs. **Suggested Remedy** Keep the font consistent. **GroupResolution Decision of Group:** Accepted Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes
Editor's Notes

**Editor's Actions** 

**Editor's Notes** 

**Editor's Actions** 

Comment by: Ling Xu Membership Status: **Date:** 3/14/2008 Member **Comment # 296** Document under Review: P802.16j/D3 **Ballot ID:** Part of Dis Satisfied **Type** Editorial Page 264 **Subclause** 11.25.1 Line 7 Fiq/Table# Comment In current text, the RS operational mode includes tunneling burst mode, which is already delted in the last meeting. **Suggested Remedy** change the text as follows. Bit #0:RS centralized scheduling Bit #1:RS distributed scheduling Bit #2:RS centralized security Bit #3:RS distributed security Bit #4:0 = shared BSID with other access stations, 1 = unique BSID Bit #5:Embedded path management Bit #6:Explicit path management Bit #7:Burst-based forwarding Bit #8:Tunneling packet mode Bit #9:Tunneling burst mode Bit #10-9:Local CID allocation mode Bit #11-10: Superordinate RS of an RS group Bit #12 11~15: reserved **GroupResolution Decision of Group:** Accepted Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution **Group's Notes** 

**Editor's Notes** 

**Editor's Actions** 

Comment by: Ling Xu Membership Status: Member **Date:** 3/14/2008 Document under Review: P802.16j/D3 Comment # 284 **Ballot ID:** Part of Dis Satisfied Type Editorial Page 240 Line 10 Subclause 11.7.8.10 Fiq/Table# Comment In current text, the MR-BS and RS MAC feature support includes tunnel burst mode, which is already delted in the last meeting. **Suggested Remedy** change the text as follows: Bit #0: NBR-ADV generating support Bit #1: Tunnel packet mode support Bit #2: Tunnel burst mode support Bit #2: Superordinate RS of an RS group support Bit #3: RS mobility support Bit #4: Subordinate RS network entry support Bit #5: Location support Bit #6: Multicast management support Bit #7: DL Flow control Bit #8: RS centralized security support Bit #9: RS distributed security support Bit #10: Embedded path management support Bit #11: Explicit path management support Bit #12: Burst-based forwarding support Bit #13: Local CID allocation support Bit #14: MOB\_SLP-RSP support Bit #15: MOB\_SCN-RSP support Bit #16: Superordinate RS of an RS group support Bit #17-16-#23: Reserved **GroupResolution Decision of Group:** Accepted Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution **Group's Notes** 

2008/08/21					
Comment by:	Ling Xu	Membership Status:	Member		<u>Date:</u> 3/14/2008
Comment # 297	<u>Document</u>	under Review: P802.16j/D3	<u>Ball</u>	ot ID:	
Comment Type Ec	ditorial Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 264 Line 7	ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	11.25.1
Tunneling packet mode	e and Tunnel packet mode are bot	th used in the 16j D3.			
Suggested Remedy Replace "Tunneling pa	ncket mode" with "Tunnel packet m	node"			
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Ac	cepted			
Reason for Group's Decision	n/Resolution				
Group's Notes					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions				
2008/08/21					
Comment by:	Ling Xu	Membership Status:	Member		<u>Date:</u> 3/14/2008
Comment # 265	<u>Document</u>	under Review: P802.16j/D3	<u>Ball</u>	ot ID:	
Comment Type Ed The "OFDMA symbol"	ditorial Part of Dis Satisfied should be "OFDMA symbol offset"		ig/Table# 496j	<u>Subclause</u>	8.4.5.10.1.7
Suggested Remedy Change "OFDMA sym	nbol" as "OFDMA symbol offset".				
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Ac	cepted			
Reason for Group's Decision	n/Resolution				
Group's Notes					

**Editor's Notes** 

**Editor's Actions**