2009-02-24 03-11 IEEE 802.16-09/0010r12

IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access

http://WirelessMAN.org



Roger B. Marks Chair, IEEE 802.16 Working Group r.b.marks@ieee.org 21 February 2009

To: RevCom

Re: P802.16j Submittal

I have submitted an application for the approval of draft P802.16j/D9 under the P802.16j amendment PAR. The review is on the agenda for the RevCom meeting of 18 March 2009.

When the application was filed on 6 February, the third recirculation was still open. Following its closure on 20 February, I would like to provide updated results. During the recirculation, one of the Disapprove voters switched to Approve. We received no new Disapprove votes or Disapprove comments. The result is 160 Disapprove, 2 Disapprove, and 12 Abstain. We did receive editorial comments from one Approve voter; these will be forwarded to the editorial staff for consideration.

Each of the two remaining Disapprove voters submitted a single comment during the course of the ballot. One of these came during the initial ballot, was marked "Principle," and was included in the first recirculation. The other came in the second recirculation, was marked "Out of Scope," and was reviewed in the third recirculation. The two comments and responses were provided as a link on the original RevCom application. That link remains current. In addition, I have attached to this letter the text of followup correspondence with the submitter of the latter (Out of Scope) comment.

I would also like to bring to your attention the matter of the relationship of this document to the P802.16Rev2/D9 draft, which is itself the basis of a submittal (under the P802.16 revision PAR) to the 18 March RevCom meeting. The P802.16j draft is being submitted as an amendment to the 802.16 revision as it will exist following the approval of P802.16Rev2/D9. In conjunction with this issue, I would like to note the following points:

- P802.16Rev2/D9, per the P802.16 revision PAR, is based on a consolidation of IEEE Std 802.16-2004 along with the amendments 802.16e, 802.16f, and 802.16g. Per the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual, Subclause 8.1.2, "Up to three amendments can be approved before the standard shall be revised, unless the base standard has been approved or reaffirmed within the past three years." Consequently, P802.16j has been developed as an amendment to the new P802.16 revision.
- During each Sponsor Ballot recirculation, the voters have been notified of the situation and provided the most current P802.16Rev2 draft. For example, in the second recirculation, the cover letter stated the following: "As an additional reference, we are providing the draft P802.16Rev2/D8. This document, not an approved IEEE standard but currently in separate Sponsor Ballot, is intended as the baseline for 802.16j to amend."
- During the last Sponsor Ballot recirculation, the voters were provided with P802.16Rev2/D9 (which has since been submitted to RevCom). The P802.16j Ballot Group was explicitly notified, both in the cover letter and in

2009-02-2403-11 IEEE 802.16-09/0010r12

the ballot announcement, of the 802.16 Working Group's expectation that P802.16Rev2/D9 would be the final draft of P802.16Rev2. In particular, the cover letter and the ballot announcement stated the following:

A revision of the base 802.16 standard is progressing in parallel with this amendment project. P802.16j/D9 is based on and will amend this new revision. Although the current draft of the base standard (P802.16Rev2/D9, included in the ballot package) is not yet approved, it is currently in what the 802.16 Working Group expects will be its final Sponsor Ballot recirculation. Provided that the P802.16Rev2/D9 recirculation concludes successfully, P802.16Rev2/D9 will be on the RevCom 18 March 2009 agenda and could be approved the following day by the IEEE-SA Standards Board as the latest revision of IEEE Std 802.16. The Working Group has worked diligently to ensure that the content of P802.16j/D9 is fully aligned with the content of P802.16Rev2/D9.

• We are aware that the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual, Subclause 8.1.2, states that "Sponsor ballots of amendments and corrigenda shall also include access to the approved base standard and any approved amendments and corrigenda in order to provide sufficient information to the ballot group." We are confident that we have met the spirit of this requirement. Furthermore, if our P802.16Rev2/D9 submittal application is approved by the IEEE-SA Standards Board, then we will be able to state affirmatively (although retroactively) that the ballot group was afforded access to the approved base standard, so that we will have met the letter as well as the spirit of the rules.

We therefore request RevCom's recommendation that P802.16j/D9 be approved by the IEEE-SA Standards Board on 19 March. Under the circumstances, it would be appropriate for P802.16j/D9 approval be specifically contingent on the approval of P802.16Rev2/D9.

Sincerely,

Roger Marks Chair, IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access

Attachments:

P802.16 RevCom Application, dated 2009-02-06 Correspondence with submitter of comment ruled "Out of Scope" and recirculated

IEEE-SA STANDARDS BOARD FORM FOR SUBMITTAL OF PROPOSED STANDARDS

1. PROJECT NUMBER: P802.16j		2. DATE: 2009-02-06 (updtd 2009-02-24)		
3. TITLE: Standard for Local and M. Wireless Access Systems - Amendment	-	rt 16: Air Interface for Fixed and Mobile Broadband		
4. SPONSOR (Full name of society/con	mmittee): IEEE Computer Soci	ety/Local & Metropolitan Networks Committee +		
5. BALLOTING COMMITTEE: C/I	LM/WG802.16 and MTT/SCC			
6. NAME OF WORKING GROUP:	IEEE 802.16 Working Group			
7. NAME AND ADDRESS OF SUBM	IITTER			
Roger B. Marks WiMAX Forum 4040 Montview Blvd Denver, CO 80207 United States				
Telephone: +1 619 393 1913	Fax:	E-Mail: r.b.marks@ieee.org		
8. DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT	(Check one from each column.)			
☑ New☐ Revision☐ Reaffirmation☐ Stabilization☐ Withdrawal	 □ Standard □ Recommended Practice □ Guide ☒ Amendment/Corrigenda standard (Indicate numb 	<u> </u>		
8A. REAFFIRMATION ONLY:		at the balloting group agrees that this standard its current form and contains no significant ormation.		

Academic	13	General Inte	erest	53	Gov't/Military	5	Producer/User	94/36
Interest Category	No.	Interest Cat	egory	No.	Interest Category	No.	Interest Category	No.
		SUN	MMA	RY OF EI	LIGIBLE BALLOTS	5		
			INITI	AL BALL	OT	RECIRCIII	LATION BALLOT	(if annlicahl
		Draft 6a			10 Sept. 2008	Draft 9	Date Closed: 2	
		Number		entage	•	Number	Percentage	
Eligible Balloters		201	1009	<u>%</u>		201	100%	
Ballots Returned		155	77			174	86	
Affirmatives		130	90_			160	98	
Negatives with Cor	nments	14	N/A	·		2	<u>N/A</u>	
Negatives without	Comments	0	N/A			0	<u>N/A</u>	
Abstentions		11	7			12	6	
10. RESOLUTION All balloting g	N OF COM	MENTS AND	NEG and c	ATIVE V	g groups have been a	ndvised of su	bstantive changes r	nade with
10. RESOLUTION All balloting grespect to the have received advised that the A. Have u votes b	N OF COM group member balloted dra copies of all ey have an ourcesolved coeen circulate	MENTS AND ers, observers, ft standard (in unresolved ne pportunity to o mments accon	and carries and ca	ATIVE Voordinating onse to convotes with their vote ong negative d	ortes g groups have been a mments, in resolving a reasons from the neg s. e XYes c comments and rebuta	ndvised of su negative voter artive voter ar	bstantive changes res, or for other rea	nade with sons) and have been nments
respect to the have received of advised that the A. Have us votes be B. Have su	N OF COM group member balloted dra copies of all ey have an our or solved coen circulate abstantive do	MENTS AND ers, observers, ft standard (in unresolved ne pportunity to c mments accon ed? Include uni ocument chang	and concepts and c	ATIVE V coordinating onse to convotes with their vote ang negative an circulate	g groups have been a mments, in resolving reasons from the neg s. Ye X Yes comments and rebuted? X Yes	ndvised of su negative voter artive voter ar	bstantive changes res, or for other reand the rebuttal, and	nade with sons) and have been nments
All balloting grespect to the have received advised that the A. Have uvotes be B. Have su	N OF COM group member balloted dra copies of all ey have an o nresolved co een circulate abstantive do	MENTS AND ers, observers, ft standard (in unresolved ne, pportunity to c mments accon ed? Include uni cument chang VITY (Not rec d below, indica	and conception of the concepti	ATIVE V oordinatin onse to convotes with their vote ing negative on circulate for reaffiresponse in	g groups have been a mments, in resolving reasons from the neg s. Ye X Yes comments and rebuted? X Yes	advised of su negative voter are alive voter are alive.	bstantive changes res, or for other reand the rebuttal, and No unresolved com No substantive cha	nade with sons) and have been aments
All balloting grespect to the have received advised that the A. Have us votes be B. Have sure the sure that the su	N OF COM group member balloted dra copies of all ey have an o nresolved co een circulate abstantive do	MENTS AND ers, observers, ft standard (in unresolved ne- pportunity to c mments accon- ed? Include unre ocument change /ITY (Not reg d below, indica ppy of the resp	and conception of the concepti	ATIVE V oordinatin onse to corvotes with their vote on engative on circulate for reaffi response include do	g groups have been a mments, in resolving reasons from the neg s. Ye XYes Comments and rebuted? XYes Transion) Received from each co	advised of su negative voter at lative voter at lative voter at lative. No lative lative. In latin lat	bstantive changes res, or for other reand the rebuttal, and No unresolved com No substantive cha	nade with sons) and have been nments
All balloting grespect to the have received advised that the A. Have unvotes be B. Have sure to the have received advised that the A. Have unvotes be be all the total advised that the have a sure to the total advised that the have unvotes be all the total advised that the have unvotes be a sure to the total advised that the have unvotes be a sure to the total advised that the have unvotes be a sure to the total advised that the have unvotes be a sure to the total advised that the have unvotes be a sure to the total advised that the have unvotes be a sure to the have unv	N OF COM group member balloted draw copies of all ey have an ornesolved corner circulate abstantive do TON ACTIVATION IISTER	MENTS AND ers, observers, ft standard (in unresolved ne- pportunity to c mments accon- ed? Include unre- cument change /ITY (Not rece d below, indica ppy of the resp	and conception of the concepti	ATIVE V oordinatin onse to con votes with their vote ng negative an circulate for reaffi response i Include do Received v	g groups have been a mments, in resolving reasons from the neg s. Ye XYes Comments and rebuted? XYes Transion) Received from each co- cumentation authorize with comment	advised of su negative voter at lative voter at lative voter at lative. No lative lative. In latin lat	bstantive changes res, or for other reand the rebuttal, and No unresolved com No substantive changes required for ion by common me	nade with sons) and have been aments anges or mbership,
All balloting grespect to the have received advised that the A. Have un votes be B. Have su large the abbreve coordination and if applicable. Committee SCC10 (IE	N OF COM group membre balloted dra copies of all ey have an ornresolved corner circulate abstantive do a linclude a corner corne	MENTS AND ers, observers, ft standard (in unresolved ne pportunity to c mments accon ed? Include un ocument chang /ITY (Not rec d below, indica opy of the resp d on ry)	and conception of the concepti	ATIVE V oordinatin onse to convotes with their vote ng negative n circulate for reaffi response i Include do Received v	g groups have been a mments, in resolving a reasons from the neg s. The second rebute of the	advised of su negative voter and late. In No in tal.	bstantive changes res, or for other reand the rebuttal, and No unresolved com No substantive changes required for ion by common me	nade with sons) and have been aments anges or mbership,
All balloting grespect to the have received advised that the A. Have un votes be B. Have sure to the land the land that the land	N OF COM group member balloted draw copies of all ey have an ornresolved corner circulate abstantive do a control of the contr	MENTS AND ers, observers, ft standard (in unresolved ne- pportunity to c mments accon- ed? Include unre- cument change /ITY (Not rec) d below, indicat ppy of the resp d Fon ry) its, & Letter S	and conception of the concepti	ATIVE V oordinatin onse to cor votes with their vote ng negative n circulate for reaffi response i Include do Received v N s)	g groups have been a mments, in resolving reasons from the neg s. We Myes e comments and rebuted? Myes exceived from each co-cumentation authorizing with comment esponse Committee R	advised of su negative voter and late. In No in tal.	bstantive changes res, or for other reand the rebuttal, and No unresolved com No substantive changes required for ion by common me	nade with sons) and have been aments anges or mbership,
All balloting grespect to the have received advised that the A. Have us votes be B. Have sure to advise the have sure to the have received advised that the A. Have us votes be be a sure to the have sure to the	N OF COM group member balloted draw copies of all ey have an ornesolved corner circulate abstantive do a complete to the control of the corner circulate and the circulate and the corner circulate and the circulate a	MENTS AND ers, observers, ft standard (in unresolved ne- pportunity to c mments accon- ed? Include unre- cument change /ITY (Not rec) d below, indicat ppy of the resp d Fon ry) its, & Letter S	and conception of the concepti	ATIVE V oordinatin onse to cor votes with their vote ng negative n circulate for reaffi response i Include do Received v Ro N N N	g groups have been a mments, in resolving reasons from the neg s. The Market Ma	advised of su negative voter and late. In No in tal.	bstantive changes res, or for other reand the rebuttal, and No unresolved com No substantive changes required for ion by common me	nade with sons) and have been aments anges or mbership,
All balloting grespect to the have received advised that the A. Have us votes be B. Have sure to advise the have sure to the have received advised that the A. Have us votes be be a sure to the have sure to the	N OF COM group member balloted draw copies of all ey have an ornesolved corner circulate abstantive do a complete to the control of the corner circulate and the circulate and the corner circulate and the circulate a	MENTS AND ers, observers, ft standard (in unresolved ne pportunity to c mments accon ed? Include unre cument change /ITY (Not rec d below, indica ppy of the resp d ry) its, & Letter S al Staff	and conception of the concepti	ATIVE V oordinatin onse to cor votes with their vote ng negative n circulate for reaffi response i Include do Received v Ro N N N	g groups have been a mments, in resolving reasons from the neg s. The Market Ma	advised of su negative voter and late. In No in tal.	bstantive changes res, or for other reand the rebuttal, and No unresolved com No substantive changes required for ion by common me	nade with sons) and have been aments anges or mbership,
All balloting grespect to the have received advised that the A. Have us votes be B. Have sure the sure that the su	N OF COM group member balloted dra copies of all ey have an ornesolved corne circulate abstantive do a linclude a corne circulate a corne	MENTS AND ers, observers, ft standard (in unresolved ne pportunity to c mments accon ed? Include uni ocument chang /ITY (Not rec d below, indica opy of the resp d m ry) its, & Letter S al Staff nority Commit	and conception and co	ATIVE V oordinatin onse to convotes with their vote ng negative n circulate for reaffi response i Include do Received v Ro N S N AC N	g groups have been a mments, in resolving reasons from the neg s. The work of the second of the seco	advised of su negative voter and late. In No in tal.	bstantive changes res, or for other reand the rebuttal, and No unresolved com No substantive changes required for ion by common me	nade with sons) and have been aments anges or mbership,

	YRIGHT and REGISTRATION ISSUES		
	ers of assurance (LoAs) received by the Sponso [Fax: + 1 732 875 0524].	or are to be for	rwarded to the PatCom
	pyrighted material in the proposed standard?	□ Yes	X No.
•	copyright release(s).	_ 1 c s	
•	on of objects and/or numbers a provision of	□ Yes	ĭNo □ Already approved by RAC
the proposed st	andard? If yes, include a proposal for review		
by the IEEE-SA	A Registration Authority Committee (RAC).		
13. INTERNATIO	NAL STANDARDS ACTIVITIES (Not requ	ired for reaffi	rmation)
	ollowing statements apply, please check the bo		
□ It is intende	ed to submit this document to ISO or ISO/IEC	JTC1 via their	Fast-Track method.
	ed to submit this document to IEC for adoption		
☐ This docum	nent is a revision to a document previously adop	pted by either	ISO, IEC or ISO/IEC JTC1.
	nent was jointly developed with another organiz		
☐ This docum	nent will form the basis of, or be included in, ar	nother organiza	ation's standard.
B. For the boxes and contact is	checked above, please indicate the organization	n, technical co	ommittee name/number
Organization:		□IFC □ISO/	IEC □ JTC1 XITU □ Other
Organization.		ile disor	
Name of the o	organization, if Other:		
Technical Con	mmittee/Subcommittee Number:		
Technical Con	mmittee/Subcommittee Name:		
Contact Infor	mation for the Person Responsible for Coordin	ating this Proi	ect:
Name:	José Costa	anng and rioj	
Phone Nun	nber: 1-613-763-7574		
Email Add	ress: costa@nortel.com		
14 LINIT OF MEA	ASUREMENT (check one)		
	System of Units (SI) - Metric ☐ Inch/Pound	□ Both □	Not measurement sensitive
□ Other			
15. Source Materia	als Submitted to IEEE Standards Departme	nt	
A. Have electron	nic versions of the source documents (text and	figures) 💆	Yes □ No Format: FrameMaker
been provide			
	e or other online material be required to accom	npany the	□ Yes XI No
published sta	ndard?		
16. Submission che	ecklist (X = included in submittal package	N/A = No	t applicable)
	nission Package Item	List URL i	f online
X This	submittal form		
	ot summary form(s) (1 per ballot cycle)		
	es of unresolved negatives & rebuttals	http://ieee8	02.org/16/docs/09/80216-09_0006.pdf
X PAR	and PAR approval letter		
N/A Coor	dination comments and responses		
X .pdf	of final balloted draft #D9		
N/A Perm	issions & copyright releases		

This draft standard has been developed in accordance with the policies and procedures of the Sponsor and I am authorized by those policies and procedures to make this submittal.

Chair, IEEE 802.16 Working Group

Signature of Submitter

Title (role in Sponsor)

FOR STANDARDS DEPARTMENT USE ONLY

IEEE-SA Standards Board Chair

Signature of IEEE-SA Officer

Title

Date

DATE: 2009-02-06

Return to:

PROJECT NUMBER: P802.16j

IEEE Standards Department RevCom Secretary 445 Hoes Lane PO Box 1331 Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331 From: Dan Lubar

Subject: Re: P802.16j/D8 Sponsor Ballot recirc comment

Date: 9 February 2009 10:46:32 AM MST

To: "Roger B. Marks"

Cc: Jonathan Labs, Mitsuo Nohara

Roger.. I wanted to thank you and your SB colleagues for this response to my SB submission--despite the SB not really being the place for this.

I also wanted to say that, besides, appreciating the SB group taking the time to acknowledge my comment, that you also for forwarding the comment on to the working group where it belongs.

Respectfully,

Dan Lubar RelayServices

From: "Roger B. Marks" <r.b.marks@ieee.org>
Subject: P802.16j/D8 Sponsor Ballot recirc comment

Date: 8 February 2009 5:51:30 PM MST

To: Dan Lubar

Cc: Jonathan Labs, Mitsuo Nohara

Dan,

In the P802.16j/D8 Sponsor Ballot recirc, you wrote:

In Rev2 D8, despite the the fact that the Bibliography presented in Annex A is informative, I would urge that amendment j's TG work to update the references for the sake of quality/accuracy. A specific example is the reference to the 802.11 Standard [B28] which still points at the 1997 baseline. FYI the current 802.11 baseline is really a 2008 document.

I would guess that some of the IETF & ITU documents are also in need of a refresh too.

The 802.16 Working Group has responded:

Response: This comment is out of scope of the ballot. The P802.16j Sponsor Ballot Group is not authorized to develop amendments to the underlying base standard.

Remedy: Since the base standard is currently under revision and in ballot, the comment has been forwarded to the Ballot Resolution Committee for that revision project. According to our understanding, that Committee has agreed to address the comment and will communicate its response to the voter. The P802.16j Sponsor Ballot Group will be provided with the base document and can therefore confirm any resultant changes.

Therefore, I'd like to inform you that your comment was forwarded to the P802.16Rev2 Sponsor Ballot resolution committee. The WG response was "Agree".

Regards,

Roger

Roger B. Marks <r.b.marks@ieee.org>

Chair, IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access < http://WirelessMAN.org >