# P802.16m to Sponsor Ballot: Conditional Approval

14 May 2010

## Rules

Motions requesting conditional approval to forward where the prior ballot has closed shall be accompanied by:

- Date the ballot closed
- Vote tally including Approve, Disapprove and Abstain votes
- Comments that support the remaining disapprove votes and Working Group responses.
- Schedule for confirmation ballot and resolution meeting.

# Date the ballot closed: **30 April 2010**

Stage	Open	Close	
WG Letter Ballot Recirc #1	3 Feb	5 Mar	2010
WG Letter Ballot Recirc #2	13 Apr	30 Apr	2010

# Vote tally including Approve, Disapprove and Abstain votes

• 291 Approve 98%

7 Disapprove

• 4 Abstain 1%

### However:

2 Disapprove voters have never provided any comments

## Comment resolution

		Editorial	Technical	Total	Disapprove Comment	Disapprove Voter
LB31	C802.16-10/018r6	153	811	964	169	32
LB31a	C802.16-10/035r2	133	535	668	5	7
		286	1346	1632	174	32

# Comments that support the remaining disapprove votes and Working Group responses

 Remaining 15 outstanding comments from 5 Disapprove voters attached

# Schedule for confirmation ballot and resolution meeting

May 25: Issue D6

May 25-Jun 4: recirculation LB31b

• July 12-15: comment resolution at

Session #68, if

necessary

## 802.16 WG Motions

802.16 Closing Plenary: 13 May 2010:

Motion: Request that the WG initiate a WG LB #31 b recirculation on P802.16m/D6, based on P802.16m/D5 as modified by the comment resolutions contained in 802.16-10/0035r2, to start by May 25, 2010 and that the WG Chair request Conditional Approval to forward P802.16m for Sponsor Ballot

- Proposed: Brian Kiernan
- Seconded: Mark Cudak
- Approved 81-0-0.

## Motion

To grant conditional approval, under Clause 20, to forward P802.16m for Sponsor Ballot

Moved: Marks

Seconded:

Approve:

Disapprove:

Abstain:

<u>Comment by:</u> Joerg Schaepperle <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/5/2010

Comment # A0007 Document under Review: P802.16m/D4 Ballot ID: LB31

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 5 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 3,

In definition 3.114, by saying "The number of encoded layers MAY be more than 1", horizontal encoding is defined in such a way that it includes vertical encoding defined in definition 3.115.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Modify definition 3.114 on page 5 in such a way that horizontal encoding is clearly distinguished from vertical encoding. E.g., if appropriate, by saying "The number of encoded layers is more than 1".

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Change 3.114 as indicated:

"The number of encoded layers may be is more than 1"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

**General: Definitions** 

**Editor's Notes Editor's Actions** a) done

<u>Comment by:</u> Scott Probasco <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/5/2010

Comment # A0094 Document under Review: P802.16m/D4 Ballot ID: LB31

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 60 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 16.2.3

Many messages are missing ASN.1 code

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Add the ASN.1 code for each MAC message. Alternatively, delete each MAC message which does not have ASN.1 code.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Rejected

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Vote:

0: in favor

3: oppose

Rejected

Inconsistent with SDD.

#### **Group's Notes**

AAI: MAC Control messages

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/14 802.16-10/0018r6 Comment by: Peretz Feder Membership Status: Member **Date:** 3/5/2010 Document under Review: P802.16m/D4 **Comment # A0188** Ballot ID: LB31 Part of Dis Satisfied <u>Page 92</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.6 <u>Type</u> Technical Line 1 Fig/Table# Comment Delete SON-ADV message **Suggested Remedy** SON is a network feature, what is being advertised? Who is using it, Ideally it shouldn't affect the AMS. **GroupResolution Decision of Group:** Rejected Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution Lack of specific proposed remedy. **Group's Notes** AAI: MAC Control messages **Editor's Notes Editor's Actions** b) none needed 2010/10/14 802.16-10/0018r6 Comment by: Peretz Feder **Membership Status: Date:** 3/5/2010 Member **Comment # A0190** Document under Review: P802.16m/D4 Ballot ID: LB31 Part of Dis Satisfied Type Technical <u>Page</u> 93 <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.7 Line 6 Fig/Table# **Comment Delete Action Type Suggested Remedy** not clear how these defined values affect the AMS behavior. **Decision of Group:** Rejected **GroupResolution** Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution Wrong reference page, not clear proposed remedy. **Group's Notes** AAI: MAC Control messages

**Editor's Notes** 

**Editor's Actions** 

b) none needed

<u>Comment by:</u> Scott Probasco <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/5/2010

Comment # A0435 Document under Review: P802.16m/D4 Ballot ID: LB31

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 259 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 16.2.12

Procedures for management of Flows has not been defined.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Copy text from 802.16-2009 sections 16.3.14.7.1, 6.3.14.8 and 6.3.14.9, and update as required for use in the AAI.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Rejected

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Specific text is not supplied.

#### **Group's Notes**

AAI: MAC QoS

Editor's Notes b) none needed

<u>Comment by:</u> Joerg Schaepperle <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/5/2010

Comment # A0705 Document under Review: P802.16m/D4 Ballot ID: LB31

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 528 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 16,3,7,1

The sentence in parenthesis "(horizontal MIMO encoding or combination of vertical and horizontal MIMO encoding at transmit side, which is called multi-layer encoding)." is unclear. The terms "horizontal MIMO encoding" and "vertical MIMO encoding" have not been defined and are not necessary because they are not used a second time.

Additionally it is not clearly defined what multi-layer coding is.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Replace the sentence in parenthesis starting on page 528, line 37 by something like:

". The existence of multiple FEC blocks at the input of the MIMO encoder can be caused by either using horizontal encoding in at least one MIMO layer or by using vertical encoding in several MIMO layers or by using a combination of vertical and horizontal encoding in several MIMO layers at the transmit side. Using multiple MIMO layers is called multi-layer encoding."

Additionally add a definition of multi-layer encoding in section 3.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Remedy #1. line 30-40, page 528, modify sentence as follows;

For SU-MIMO, only one user is scheduled in one Resource Unit (RU), and only one <u>channel coding</u>FEC block exists at the input of the MIMO encoder (vertical MIMO encoding at transmit side).

For MU-MIMO, multiple users can be scheduled in one RU, and multiple channel coding FEC blocks exist at the input of the MIMO encoder (horizontal MIMO encoding or combination of vertical and horizontal MIMO encoding at transmit side, which is called multi-layer encoding). The existence of multiple channel coding blocks at the input of the MIMO encoder can be caused by either using horizontal encoding or by using vertical encoding in several MIMO layers or by using a combination of vertical and horizontal encoding in several MIMO layers at the transmit side. Using multiple MIMO layers is called multi-layer encoding.

Remedy #2. line 30-31, page 662, modify sentence as follows;

For SU-MIMO and collaborative spatial multiplexing (MU-MIMO), only one <u>channel coding</u> FEC block exists in the allocated RU (vertical MIMO encoding at transmit side).

Remedy #3. line 11-16, page 5, modify sentence as follows;

3.114 horizontal encoding: Indicates transmitting multiple separately FEC-encoded MIMO layers over multiple antennas. The number of

encoded MIMO layers may be is more than 1. The number of MIMO stream is same as the number of MIMO layer in this case.

3.115 vertical encoding: Indicates transmitting a single FEC-encoded MIMO layer over multiple antennas. The number of encoded MIMO layers is always 1.

3.xxx multi-layer encoding: Indicates transmitting multiple MIMO layers over multiple antennas. The number of MIMO layers is more than 1. The number of MIMO stream can be different from the number of MIMO layer in this case.

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

#### **Group's Notes**

AAI: PHY Downlink MIMO

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

PHY Downlink MIMO Remedy 1, 2 done by Lei (remedy 3 needs to be done by Ron)

Done (Ron)

<u>Comment by:</u> Joerg Schaepperle <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/5/2010

Comment # A0706 Document under Review: P802.16m/D4 Ballot ID: LB31

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 529 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 16,3,7,1,1

The sentence starting on page 529 at line 11"One AMS shall have at most one MIMO layer." doesn't fit into the downlink section because a MIMO layer is defined as an input to the MIMO encoder and therefore an AMS has no MIMO layer in the downlink. Additionally it is supposed that by definition of the term "MIMO layer" at most one MIMO layer shall be assigned to one AMS.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Remove the sentence "One AMS shall have at most one MIMO layer." and replace it by a proper definition of MIMO layer as proposed in another comment.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Resolved by comment #708.

#### Resolution:

Remedy #1. Insert the following sentence in line 26, page 528;

The MIMO encoder block maps L MIMO layers (L >= 1) onto Mt MIMO streams (Mt>=L), which are fed to the Precoder block. MIMO layer is an information path fed to the MIMO encoder as an input. A MIMO layer represents one channel coding block. For the spatial multiplexing modes in SU-MIMO, "rank" is defined as the number of MIMO streams to be used for the user allocated to the Resource Unit (RU).

Remedy #2. line 6, page 5, modify MIMO layer definition as follows;

3.112 MIMO layer: An information path fed to the MIMO encoder as an input. A MIMO layer represents one channel coding block.

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

#### **Group's Notes**

AAI: PHY Downlink MIMO

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

<u>Comment by:</u> Joerg Schaepperle <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/5/2010

Comment # A0708 Document under Review: P802.16m/D4 Ballot ID: LB31

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 530 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 16,3,7,1,1,2

It is not clear what "belong to the same MIMO layer" means, because MIMO layer is not properly defined. Definition 3.112 on page 5 says A MIMO layer is "An information path fed to the MIMO encoder as an input".

But "information path" is not defined and in definition 3.113 also used for MIMO streams. The part "fed to the MIMO encoder as an input" is not sufficient to define MIMO layer. From the context one can suspect that a MIMO layer is the input to the MIMO encoder related to a single user, but this should be said explicitly. (If this is true I'm wondering why it is called "MIMO layer". But that's another question.)

The same problem exists in section 16.3.7.1.1.3 in the sentence starting on page 530 at line 59.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Add a definition of MIMO layer to section 16.3.7.1 saying e.g. that a "MIMO layer is all the input to the MIMO encoder destined to a single user (AMS)".

Additionally modify the definition 3.112 on page 5 accordingly.

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Accepted-Modified

Remedy #1. Insert the following sentence in line 26, page 528;

The MIMO encoder block maps L MIMO layers (L >= 1) onto Mt MIMO streams (Mt>=L), which are fed to the Precoder block. MIMO layer is an information path fed to the MIMO encoder as an input. A MIMO layer represents one channel coding block. For the spatial multiplexing modes in SU-MIMO, "rank" is defined as the number of MIMO streams to be used for the user allocated to the Resource Unit (RU).

Remedy #2. line 6, page 5, modify MIMO layer definition as follows;

3.112 MIMO layer: An information path fed to the MIMO encoder as an input. A MIMO layer represents one channel coding block.

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

**Group's Notes** 

AAI: PHY Downlink MIMO

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

Remedy 1 done by Lei (remedy 2 needs to be done by Ron) Done (Ron)

2010/10/14 802.16-10/0018r6

<u>Comment by:</u> Joerg Schaepperle <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/5/2010

Comment # A0788 Document under Review: P802.16m/D4 Ballot ID: LB31

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 661 Line 8 Fig/Table# Fig. Subclause 16,3,10,1

The figure shows several MIMO layers, but there is only one MIMO layer in UL.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Replace figure 575 by one showing only one MIMO layer. Replace in the figure "MIMO layers" by "MIMO layer".

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Accepted

Replace figure 575 by one showing only one MIMO layer. Replace in the figure "MIMO layers" by "MIMO layer".

Note: Remove material to only have one arrow going in to the MIMO encoder block.

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

#### **Group's Notes**

AAI: PHY Uplink MIMO transmission schemes

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

<u>Comment by:</u> Joerg Schaepperle <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 3/5/2010

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 662 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 16,3,10,1,1

Th sentence "The uplink MIMO encoder is identical to the downlink MIMO encoder described in 16.3.7.1.1." is not completely true. The downlink MIMO encoder supports multi-layer encoding as described in 16.3.7.1.1.3 but the uplink MIMO encoder doesn't.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Replace

"The uplink MIMO encoder is identical to the downlink MIMO encoder described in 16.3.7.1.1."

by

"The uplink MIMO encoder is identical to the downlink MIMO encoder described in 16.3.7.1.1 but with only a single MIMO layer (L=1), i.e. it doesn't support multi-layer encoding as described in subclause 16.3.7.1.1.3."

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

The uplink MIMO encoder is identical to the downlink MIMO encoder described in 16.3.7.1.1 but with only a single MIMO layer (L=1)

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

#### **Group's Notes**

AAI: PHY Uplink MIMO transmission schemes

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

Comment by: Maximilian Riegel Membership Status: Member Date: 4/15/2010

Comment # B0004 Document under Review: IEEE P802.16m/D5 Ballot ID: LB31a

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 11 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 5.2

"ABS and AMS shall use IP CS for all packet based protocols" is plain nonsense, as IP-CS is limited to IP protocol only and is not able to process any other packet based protocol.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Remove sentence.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Rejected

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Deficiencies indicated by the commenter have been addressed by resolution of Comment #6 accepted in this meeting.

#### **Group's Notes**

General CS

<u>Comment by:</u> Maximilian Riegel <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 4/15/2010

Comment # B0005 Document under Review: IEEE P802.16m/D5 Ballot ID: LB31a

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 11 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 5.2

There is no technical reason, why GPCS should not be used by AMS or ABS; for sake of backward compatibility, the same convergence sublayers should be available in ABS and AMS like in BS and MS.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Remove sentence.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Rejected

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

GPCS does not have a standardized way of sharing the classification rules between the peers.

Legacy operations happen in Lzone, while 16m operations are happening in Mzone.

GPCS is not prohibited for use in the Lzone.

#### **Group's Notes**

General CS

<u>Comment by:</u> Maximilian Riegel <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 4/15/2010

Comment # B0007 Document under Review: IEEE P802.16m/D5 Ballot ID: LB31a

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 15 Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 5.2.6

The section '5.2.6 Support for multiple protocols on the same flow' is incomplete and incorrect. The proposed method does not provide any benefit in addition to the existing CS specifications, but is much less efficient, as it wastes a byte for each packet transferred over the air. The GPCS provides exactly the same functionality in a correct and efficient way.

#### **Suggested Remedy**

Remove section 5.2.6 completely
Remove sentence in line 33/34 on page 11

Revert Figure 8 on page 11 to version in 802.16-2009

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Rejected

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Vote:

In favor: 0 Opposed: 2 Abstain:

The resolution of comment B0006 addresses the deficencies identified by the commenter.

#### **Group's Notes**

General CS

<u>Comment by:</u> Scott Probasco <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 4/30/2010

Comment # B0101 Document under Review: IEEE P802.16m/D5 Ballot ID: LB31a

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 93 Line 1 Fig/Table# 688 Subclause 16.2.3.7

AAI\_REG-REQ message definition is wrong.

**Suggested Remedy** 

Delete contents of Table 688.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Rejected

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Due to another comment accepted earlier, the existing ASN.1 is currently informative, so we need to retain normative text describing this.

#### **Group's Notes**

AAI MAC Control Messages

<u>Comment by:</u> Scott Probasco <u>Membership Status:</u> Member <u>Date:</u> 4/30/2010

Comment # B0108 Document under Review: IEEE P802.16m/D5 Ballot ID: LB31a

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 100 Line 11 Fig/Table# 689 Subclause 16.2.3.8

AAI\_REG-RSP message definition is wrong.

**Suggested Remedy** 

Delete contents of table 689

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Rejected

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Due to another comment accepted earlier, the existing ASN.1 is currently informative, so we need to retain normative text describing this.

#### **Group's Notes**

AAI MAC Control Messages