IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

2010/10/20	•						02.16-10/004513
Comment	<u>by:</u>	Roger Marks		<u>Membership Sta</u>	atus:		Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A001	Documer	nt under Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_10	6m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page 0	<u>Line</u> 70	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	all
The following subject to cha		in the footer of each page	ge of each vei	sion of the draft:	"This is an u	napproved IEEE	Standards draft,
Suggested Reme	edy.						
Add the follow	ving to the footer of	of each page of the sour	ce document:	"This is an unap	proved IEEE	Standards draft,	subject to change.
GroupResolution	<u>1</u>	Decision of Group:	Agree				
Add the follow	ving to the footer of	of each page of the sour	ce document:	"This is an unap	proved IEEE	Standards draft,	subject to change.
<u>Reason for Grou</u>	p's Decision/Resolutio	<u>on</u>					

Group's Notes Frontmatter, General: Frontmatter

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Paul Nikolich		<u>Date:</u>					
Comment # A002			Document under Review: P802.16m/D7				Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 55	<u>Line</u> 45	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.1.3.5		
The text in	16.2.2.1.3.5 AMS B	attery Level Re	port Header r	efers to Table	e 661, it sho	uld be Table 66	63.		

Suggested Remedy

change reference in 16.2.2.1.3.5 AMS Battery Level Report Header text to Table from 661 to 663

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree
change reference in 16.2.2.1.3.5 AN	IS Battery Level Report Header text to Table from 661 to 663
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution	
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU form	nats

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

no change; the remedy is wrong

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Maximilian	Riegel		Membership Sta	<u>atus:</u>	Date:	
Comment #	A003		Document	under Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Genera	Part o	of Dis 🛛 Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 11	<u>Line</u> 18	Fig/Table#	Subclause 5.2	

The statement 'The packet CS is used for transport for all packet-based protocols.' does not add any meaning to the specification as there is no other method than the packet CS anyhow.

Suggested Remedy

Delete statement, i.e. remove P11, line 18.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

sentence is needed to clarify that packet based protocols does not include ATM

Group's Notes

Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Maximilian Riegel			<u>Membership St</u>	Date:	
Comment #	A004		Document und	er Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Genera	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 14	<u>Line</u> 29	Fig/Table#	Subclause 5.2.5.2
Which param	otoro oro rofor	onced by the et	atomont 'Ear AM	S and ADC	the peremet	pro mov ho uov	od in ID algorification rules !

Which parameters are referenced by the statement 'For AMS and ABS, the parameters may be used in IP classification rules.'

Suggested Remedy

Change paragraph to: "IP classification rules operate on the fields of the IP header and the transport protocol. The For SS/AMS and BS/ABS, the parameters (11.13.18.3.3.2 through 11.13.18.3.3.7 and 11.13.18.3.3.16) may be used in IP classification rules."

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #160.

Resolution:

P 14 L29:

For AMS and ABS, the <ins>Packet Classification Rule</ins> parameters <ins>(Table 740)</ins>may be used in IP classification rules.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Maximiliar		aximilian Rieg	el		Date:			
Comment #	\005		Document un	der Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 14	<u>Line</u> 34	Fig/Table#	Subclause 5.2.6	

Section 5.2.6 is incomplete and contradicts established networking design principles; proposed solution is not aligned to the rest of section 5.2 and misses essential specification text, if the intention is to define a further specific part of the packet CS. In particular, nothing is stated, how classification is applied in combination with multiprotocol flow, or how systems should react, when not all protocols are supported.

Suggested Remedy

Remove whole section 5.2.6 Remove page 11, line 30 -50

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

removal of this section leaves not method for handling CS muxing

Group's Notes

Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25					IEEE 802.16-	10/0045r3
Comment by:	Roger Marks		Membership Stat	<u>tus:</u>	Date:	
Comment # A006	Documen	nt under Review: P	802.16m/D7	Ba	allot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> General Annexes P, Q, R, and S need t was introduced into IEEE Std 8 489).		designations. An	nex P was intr			16j. Annex Q
Suggested Remedy Rename Annex P to be Annex Rename Annex Q to be Annex Rename Annex R to be Annex Rename Annex S to be Annex Adjust internal subclause and t	S. T. U.	ıgly.				
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: A	Agree				
Rename Annex P to be Annex Rename Annex Q to be Annex Rename Annex R to be Annex Rename Annex S to be Annex Adjust internal subclause and t	S. T. U.	ıgly.				
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution	<u>ən</u>					
<u>Group's Notes</u> Annex P, General: Annex						
Editor's Notes E	ditor's Actions a) done					

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Shill		Shih-Yuan Cheng		Membership Stat	tus:	Date:		
Comment #	A007	Document u	nder Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 284	<u>Line</u> 26	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.3.2		
Comment No.	A1322 in 80216	-10/0040r3 has been agree	d, but not in P	802.16m/D7.				

Suggested Remedy

Into P80216m Draft 7 as described in C80216m-10_0765r1 which is agreed in the 12-15 July 2010 in San Diego meeting (Session #68).

GroupResolutionDecision of Group:Agreeaccept resolution in C80216m-10_0765r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	t by:	Luciano	Sarperi		Membership Stat	<u>us:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A008		Document une	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 96	<u>Line</u> 19	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.7

In the four entries below "E) Capabilities for interference

mitigation support" in column "Value/Note", all occurencies of "ABS" should be replaced by "AMS", since this is the request message sent by the AMS. There was no technical comment at the initial ballot to modify this and this broken state should be fixed.

Suggested Remedy

In the four entries below "E) Capabilities for interference mitigation support" in column "Value/Note" (E.1 to E.4), replace all occurencies of "ABS" by "AMS".

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In the four entries below "E) Capabilities for interference mitigation support" in column "Value/Note" (E.1 to E.4), replace all occurencies of "ABS" by "AMS".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Luciano Sarperi		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Status:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A009	Documen	nt under Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 101	<u>Line</u> 53	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.8	
n the entry f		DC counding colibration					

In the entry for "A.4.5) Multi_BS sounding calibration

capability" in column "Value/Note", all occurencies of "AMS" should be replaced by "ABS", since this is the response message sent by the ABS.

Suggested Remedy

In the entry for "A.4.5) Multi_BS sounding calibration capability" in column "Value/Note", replace all occurencies of "AMS" by "ABS".

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In the entry for "A.4.5) Multi_BS sounding calibration capability" in column "Value/Note", replace all occurencies of "AMS" by "ABS".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>by:</u>	Pangan Ting			Membership Sta	<u>atus:</u>		Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A010		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 213	<u>Line</u> 58	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.48	
AAI_UL_MultiBS_MIMO_SBP message format is not complete. To be specific, the descriptions of interference sensitivity levels (ISL) are missing in Table 749									
Suggested Reme	edy								
Adopt the pro	posed text in C8	02.16m-10/0961	1 or its latest ve	ersion					

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the proposed text in C802.16m-10/0961

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Luciano Sarperi		Membership Status:			Date:	
Comment #	A011		Document under R	eview: P80	2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16n	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical			<u>age</u> 823	<u>Line</u> 18	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	

The description is ambiguous: "...based on measurement metric such as RSSI or CINR". It is proposed to define RSSI for this purpose.

Suggested Remedy

This 8 bit bitmap represents strongest 8 adjacent ABS in AAI-DL-IM message based on measurement metric such as RSSI or CINR <ins> RSSI </ins> which is reported by AAI-SCN-REP message

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The proposed text in my comment is not correct since the AMS in some cases may not feed back RSSI, depending on the configuration for the AAI-SCN-REP message. The following modified remedy is proposed instead:

This 8 bit bitmap represents strongest 8 adjacent ABS in AAI-DL-IM message based on measurement metric such as RSSI or CINR which is reported by <ins>in the</ins> AAI-SCN-REP message. <ins> In case both RSSI and CINR are reported, the order shall be based on RSSI </ins> .

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		inghang Fan		<u> </u>	<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A012		Document unde	er Review: P80	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 138	<u>Line</u> 35	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.3.20

Five bits are defined for "Idle Mode Retain Information element" in AAI_DREG-REQ message.

Suggested Remedy

Change size(bits) of "Idle Mode Retain Information elements" from 4 to 5.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change size(bits) of "Idle Mode Retain Information elements" from 4 to 5.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Linghang F	an	<u>Membership Status:</u>			Date:	
Comment #	A013		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	Type Editorial	Part of I		<u>Page</u> 142	Line 7	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.21	

Five bits are defined for "Idle Mode Retain Information element" in AAI_DREG-RSP message.

Suggested Remedy

Change size(bits) of "Idle Mode Retain Information elements" from 4 to 5.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change size(bits) of "Idle Mode Retain Information elements" from 4 to 5.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

2010	10/25
------	-------

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Linghang	ng Fan <u>Membership Status:</u>			us:	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A014		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of	Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 147	<u>Line</u> 8	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.3.24	

Opreation request type for "0b10" is defined twice.

Suggested Remedy

change "0b10 = AAI_SLP-REQ message is transmitted to switch Sleep Cycle setting which has been negotiated since the AMS entered Sleep Mode"

to

"0b11 = AAI_SLP-REQ message is transmitted to switch Sleep Cycle setting which has been negotiated since the AMS entered Sleep Mode"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

change "0b10 = AAI_SLP-REQ message is transmitted to switch Sleep Cycle setting which has been negotiated since the AMS entered Sleep Mode"

to

"0b11 = AAI_SLP-REQ message is transmitted to switch Sleep Cycle setting which has been negotiated since the AMS entered Sleep Mode"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

2010/10/20				IEEE 002.10-10/00
Comment by:	Linghang Fan	<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Comment # A015	Document und	ler Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editori		<u>Page</u> 147 <u>Line</u> 33	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.24
"significant bits" is an obsc	ure expression.			
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Change as below: "6 significant bits" to "6 leas	ist significant bits"			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree			
Change as below: "6 significant bits" to "6 leas	ist significant bits"			
Reason for Group's Decision/Res	solution			
Group's Notes				
Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC	Control messages			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by	<u>v:</u> I	_inghang Fan			Membership Statu	<u>is:</u>		Date:
Comment # AC	016		Document unde	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n
<u>oomment</u>	T <u>ype</u> Editorial ue/Note for F	Part of Dis Section	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 148	<u>Line</u> 60	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.25
Suggested Remedy								
Change as belov "0-3" to "3: reser								
GroupResolution		Decision of	Group: Agree					
Change as belov "0-3" to "3: reser								
Reason for Group's	Decision/Resolu	tion						
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, N	MAC: MAC Co	ontrol messages						
<u>Editor's Notes</u>		Editor's Actions a)	done					

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		inghang Fan		Membership Sta	<u>Date:</u>		
<u>Comment #</u>	A017	Document u	nder Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 151	Line 6	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.25	
Investion re	auget type for "Ob	10" in defined twice					

Opreation request type for "0b10" is defined twice.

Suggested Remedy

change "0b10 = Approves/Requests the switch of a Sleep Cycle setting which has been negotiated since the AMS entered Sleep Mode" to

"0b11 = Approves/Requests the switch of a Sleep Cycle setting which has been negotiated since the AMS entered Sleep Mode"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

change "0b10 = Approves/Requests the switch of a Sleep Cycle setting which has been negotiated since the AMS entered Sleep Mode" to

"0b11 = Approves/Requests the switch of a Sleep Cycle setting which has been negotiated since the AMS entered Sleep Mode"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Editor's Notes a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by: Linghang	g Fan		<u>Membership Statı</u>	us:		Date:
Comment #	A018	Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ba	allot ID: sb_16r	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial <u>Part</u>	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 151	Line 6	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.25
"significant bit	s" is an obscure expres	ssion.					
Suggested Reme Change as be "6 significant l		ant bits"					
<u>GroupResolution</u>	L	Decision of Group: Agree					
Change as be "6 significant l	elow: bits" to "6 least significa	ant bits"					
Reason for Grou	p's Decision/Resolution						
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3	s, MAC: MAC Control m	nessages					
Editor's Notes	Editor's	Actions a) done					

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Linghang Fan	Membership State	<u>IS:</u>	Date:
Comment # A019	Document unde	er Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID:	sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria Column for SLPID_Update		<u>Page</u> 153 <u>Line</u> 37	Fig/Table# Sub	<u>clause</u> 16.2.3.26
Suggested Remedy Add column for SLPID_Upd	ate			
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Agree			
Add column for SLPID_Upd	ate			
Reason for Group's Decision/Reso	<u>elution</u>			
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC	Control messages			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Linghang Fa		ghang Fan	<u>Membership Status:</u>				<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A020			Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 168	<u>Line</u> 32	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.36
BSID is not ir	ncludeo	d if condition	is not met					

Suggested Remedy

Change "M/O" field of the BSID attribute from "M" to "O".

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Table 720 was deleted by comment #10178.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Linghang Fan	ighang Fan			<u>IS:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Comment #	A021		Document unde	er Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	A Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 168	<u>Line</u> 38	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.36

"Request BS type" is not a mandatory attribute. It is indicated in the sentence above the table.

Suggested Remedy

Change "M/O" field of the "Request BS type" attribute from "M" to "O".

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Table 720 was deleted by comment #10178.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Eldad Zeira		Membership Status:					Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A022		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Sa	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 806	<u>Line</u> 50	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.4.6
Femto synch	pronization to the m	nacro cell is now	recommende	ed but not re	quired. It shou	uld be mandat	ted in all cases w	here interference
can occur in	either UL or DL.							
<u>Suggested Rem</u> Rewrite text A Femto AB	as:	ronized with the o	overlay ABS	network <ins< th=""><th>> in all cases</th><th>where interfe</th><th>erence in UL or D</th><th>L can occur </th></ins<>	> in all cases	where interfe	erence in UL or D	L can occur
<u>GroupResolution</u>	<u>on</u>	Decision of C	<u>Group:</u> Princip	ble				
Modify the te	ext in section 16.4.6	6 on page 806 in	line 50 as fo	ollows:				
A Femto AB 	S should be synch	ronized with the o	overlay ABS	network <ins< td=""><td>> atleast in a</td><td>Il cases where</td><td>e interference in l</td><td>UL or DL can occur</td></ins<>	> atleast in a	Il cases where	e interference in l	UL or DL can occur

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes

Comment by:

Eldad Zeira

Membership Status:

Date:

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment # A023 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m

Part of Dis X Satisfied Type Technical Page 806 Line 57 Subclause 16.4.6 Fig/Table# Comment

The standard already supports measurement of relative delay of neighbor ABS in AAI_SCN-REP. The serving ABS may use this information to refine its synchronization. The list of standard supported techniques that an ABS may use isn't complete without the its mention.

Suggested Remedy

Add this technique to the list, rewrite as

The Femto ABS may also achieve network synchronization from GPS or backhaul network (e.g. IEEE 1588) <ins> or from AMS attached to it or the overlaid macro </ins>

Decision of Group: Principle **GroupResolution**

Modify the text in section 16.4.6 on page 806 line 57 as follows:

The Femto ABS may also achieve network synchronization from GPS or backhaul network (e.g. IEEE 1588) <ins> or from AMS that is either attached to it or to the overlaid macro in which case the overlaid macro indicates the time difference via the backhaul to the Femto ABS. </ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/004	5r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Eldad Zeira			<u>Membership Sta</u>	atus:	Date:	
Comment #	A024		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 813	<u>Line</u> 27	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.4.10.1	

Current text specifies:

"The Femto ABS may enter low-duty mode if there are no AMSs attached to the Femto ABS and there are no AMSs in the process of network entry"

In some cases, AMS with services that do not require tight latency may tolerate low duty mode operation even when attached. There are several mechanisms that already exist in 16m that allow an ABS to conserve its power should it wish to do so while still providing sufficient QoS to such services.

Suggested Remedy

I propose to leave it the the ABS to decide under which conditions it may enter low duty mode. Thus this sentence should be deleted.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

As the sentence states that the ABS may enter low-duty mode if there are no AMSs attached, this does not restrict an ABS from entering low-duty mode in any case.

The indicated still allows the ABS to decide what to do.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25	5						IEEE 80	2.16-10/0045r3
Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Eldad Zeira			Membership Stat	<u>us:</u>		<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A025		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 815	Line 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.4.11
Text states that "The interference between Femto and/or macro can be mitigated by static or semi-static radio resource reservation and								
resource sha	ring using FDM ar	nd/or TDM mann	ner and/or DL	power contr	ol. While using	the TDM m	anner, Femto AB	S may disable

some of its subframes and announce the disabled subframes via AAI_SON-ADV." The message AAI_SON-ADV does not contain the required fields.

Suggested Remedy

Rewrite as:

The interference between Femto and/or macro can be mitigated by static or semi-static radio resource reservation and resource sharing using FDM and/or TDM manner and/or DL power control. While using the TDM manner, Femto ABS may disable some of its subframes and announce the disabled subframes via AAI_SON-ADV

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The frame configuration index in SP3 is used for this.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25							IEEE 80)2.16-10/0045	r 3
Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Eldad Zeira			Membershi	i <u>p Status:</u>		Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A026		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/E	D7 <u>E</u>	Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 854	<u>Line</u> 53	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.7.2.2	
ext states th	hat "ABS should re	Poort BSID Loc	ation of ARS (i	e longitude	latitude	and sector bearing	- indicating the	direction where	the

Text states that "ABS should report BSID, location of ABS (i.e. longitude, latitude, and sector bearing - indicating the direction where the sector is pointing), and ABS attributes (refer to AAI_NBR-ADV), in order to initiate Neighbor Macro ABS Self-configuration function" At this point it is not clear where is this reporting done. Currently location information is only reported in AAI_LBS-ADV, thus an ABS is required to support both Femto operation and LBS, creating a feature dependency. Sector bearing isnt reported anywhere. Moreover, direct observations that are already available are more suitable for the purpose.

Suggested Remedy

Remove the paragraph

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Modify the text as indicated:

"ABS should report BSID, location of ABS (i.e. longitude, latitude, <u><ins> altitude, </ins></u> and sector bearing - indicating the direction where the sector is pointing), and ABS attributes (refer to AAI_NBR-ADV), <u><ins> to the SON server</ins></u> in order to initiate Neighbor Macro ABS Self-configuration function"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.7, Other: SON

Editor's Notes

2010/10/25	
------------	--

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	it by:	Eldad	Zeira		Membership Statu	<u>is:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A027		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	<u>of Dis</u> 🔀 <u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u> 867	<u>Line</u> 10	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause	

The sentence "Each multicast/broadcast connection is associated with a service flow provisioned with the QoS and traffic parameters for that service flow" is misleading.

There is no provision for the AMS to report on the quality of service it is experiencing. Without such a provision, there is no way to relate any set of traffic parameters to a QoS. Therefore, while traffic parameters are configured, QoS is not.

Suggested Remedy

Rewrite as:

Each multicast/broadcast connection is associated with a service flow provisioned with the QoS and traffic parameters for that service flow

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

QoS parameters for E-MBS flows are determined by network and do not need to be provisioned to each AMS.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.9, Other: eMBS

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Yi-Ting Lin		<u>Membership Stat</u>	tus:	Date:	
Comment #	4028	Docu	ment under Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Satisfie	<u>d Page</u> 827	<u>Line</u> 34	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.6.2.3.1	

In current IEEE 802.16m/D7, the Forwarding ID in ARFEH not only identifies the AMS transmitting or receiving the ASN data between ABS and ARS, but also identifies the tunnel forwarding the ASN data. In this contribution, the description of Forwarding ID is modified to expand on the cases.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0986 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0986

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Yi-Ting Lin		<u>N</u>	Membership Statu	IS:	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A029	Do	ocument under	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Satis	sfied	<u>Page</u> 56	<u>Line</u> 60	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.2.2

The ARFEH is accepted in IEEE 802.16m #68 session, and corresponding description shall be modified.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0987 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0987

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	Yi-Ting Lin			<u>Membership S</u>	tatus:	<u>Date:</u>
Comment #	A030		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Technic		Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 93	<u>Line</u> 45	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.6

This contribution makes clear the size of New IDcell in the AAI_SON-ADV message.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0988 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0988

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Li Li	<u>Membersh</u>	<u>ip Status:</u>	Date:
Comment #	A031	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/	D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page 256 Line 35	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.2.3.1.1

Incorrect reference.

Suggested Remedy

Replace "As specified in Figure 401" with "As specified in Table 764".

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

In line 17, Page 256, replace "As specified in Figure 401" with "As specified in Table 764".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	t by:	Li Li		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>itatus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A032	Document und	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 157	<u>Line</u> 48	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.30

Do not concatenate a number and a word without inserting a hyphen.

Suggested Remedy

Change: Each 4<ins> </ins>bit<ins>s</ins> represents a partition range for each cell type, as defined in 16.2.6.1.2 and Table 823

GroupResolution De

Decision of Group: Agree

Change: Each 4<ins> </ins>bit<ins>s</ins> represents a partition range for each cell type, as defined in 16.2.6.1.2 and Table 823

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

2010/10/2	5					IEEE 802.16-10/00	045r3
<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Lei Wang		Membership Stat	us:	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A033		Document under Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied Page 303	<u>Line</u> 61	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.7	

The sentence in line 61 on page 303 raises a very basic issue for 16m UL PA allocations, i.e., a 16m PA allocation is per-connection, or per flow. We all understand that the PA is designed for the connections with periodic traffic patterns with relatively fixed payload sizes. The traffic patterns are application specific, i.e., service flow specific. Therefore, there are good reasons for the UL PA allocations for some specific service flows.

However, there is critical problem with UL PA allocation, i.e., the current 16m UL PA allocation mechanism does not support per-connection allocation, as there is no indications to tell the AMS which connection or flow a UL PA allocation is intended for. In addition, although there are good reasons to have UL PA allocations for certain flows, it may not be a good idea to remove all the flexibility of the AMS to use UL PA allocations for other flows, e.g., use the leftover resources; or transmit other urgent data for control or other services, e.g., emergency services.

Therefore, we would propose:

a) to fix the problem of lack of indications of the intended flow info for UL PA allocations; and

b) to add a clarification allowing the AMS to use the UL PA allocations for other flows in some cases, e.g. use the leftover resources, or transmit other urgent data for other flows.

In this way, we can maximize the effectiveness of UL PA allocations while also keeping the flexibility of AMS's usage of the given UL allocations.

Suggested Remedy

discuss and adopt contribution C80216m-10_0098r3 or its latest version.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

To fix the subframe a flow can be scheduled during negotiation can make serious limitation for ABS's scheduling.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.7, MAC: Persistent Scheduling

Editor's Notes b) none needed

2010/10/2	5				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment by:</u>		Lei Wang	Membership Sta	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A034	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page 538 Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.2.2

The long TTI burst vs. the use of assignment A-MAP IE needs to be clarified.

I am confused by the resolution given to comment #547 in 80216-10_0040r2 regarding the long TTI burst allocation vs. assignment A-MAP. I am copying the "reason" box below from 80216-10_0040r2 for comment #547:

"A-MAP region includes not only assignment A-MAP but also NUS A-MAP, HF-A-MAP, PC-A-MAP. And a long TTI burst can be signaled through an assignment A-MAP in all subframes."

Note that the 2nd sentence above is totally unclear and incorrect about the A-MAP use for a long TTI allocation. First, it uses "can" i.e., the unclear part. Second, it says "an assignment A-MAP in all subframes" for a long TTI burst., which won't work for FDD system at all, i.e., the incorrect part.

We suggest that, for a long TTI burst, only one assignment A-MAP IE is used and it should be in the A-MAP of the first subframe of the long TTI burst's A-MAP relevance.

Suggested Remedy

change the paragraph in line 1 on page 538 as follows:

A-MAP regions shall be present in all DL AAI subframes. When default TTI is used, DL data allocations corresponding to an A-MAP region can occupy resources in any frequency partition within the AAI subframe where the A-MAP region is located. UL data allocations corresponding to an A-MAP region can occupy resources in any frequency partition within the UL AAI subframe according to A-MAP relevance and HARQ timing defined in 16.2.14.2.2. <ins> A long TTI data burst allocation is signaled by an A-MAP that corresponds to the first subframe of the long TTI data burst. </ins>

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

In page 375, line 1, "A DL Assignment A-MAP IE in the I-th DL subframe (when I is not 0) of the i-th frame may also indicate the long TTI transmission. In this case, the long TTI transmission of DL HARQ subpacket shall begin in the 0-th DL subframe of (i+1) frame.". As you can see, an A-MAP IE can signal a long TTI burst transmitted in the next frame.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

Comment

Comment by:

Comment # A035

Lei Wang Membership Status: Date: .035 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 480 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 16.3.4.3.1

Based on the paragraph in line 63 on page 480 and Table 837, for FPi (i>0, FPCT !=2), only one value for DCASi is explicitly signaled for all i > 0.

Therefore, It is misleading to use the notation DCASi with i as subscript in Table 837, as comparing to all the other parameter names with subscript.

Suggested Remedy

Make the following changes: 1. in line 63 page 480, before "in the SFH....", insert the text "called DCASI," 2. in line 55, page 552, Table 837, change "DCASi" to "DCASI"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Accepting this comment will make the specification inconsistent (this is also used in line 20).

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.4, PHY: Downlink physical structure

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/2	5		IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3		
<u>Comment by:</u>		Lei Wang	Membership Status:		Date:
Comment # A036		Document under Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 571 <u>Line</u> 10	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.5.2.4.1

Don't agree with the comment resolution to #554 in Session#68 TGm commentary database, 802.16-10/0040r2.

Comment #554 was about allocation granularity in the 20MHz system bandwidth. The comment was "disagree" with the following reason:

"This issue was analyzed in the original design. Refer to the analysis in section 4 of contribution C802.16m-09/1334r1. It has been shown that link adaptation with the granularity of feedback MCS levels as defined in the 802.16m is not adversely affected by the proposed reduction in assignable resource indices with 11 bits for 20MHz. The original analysis does require an update with delta_min = 31/256 based on Table 834, but this change does not change the final conclusion since 1/6 < 31/1422. "

Note that 1/6 is not less than 31/1422. It is actually way bigger than 31/1422.

Again, as mentioned in comment #554 in 802.16-10/0040r2, Sacrificing the allocation granularity seems a very bad design choice, particularly at steps as big as 8 LRUs. Even with code-matching schemes, the offset of the required size to the nearest allowed S value can be up to 4 LRUs. This makes the ratio of the offset to the assigned size is greater than majority of the code steps based on the nominal MCS table given in Table 930, on page 742 in 16m/D7.

We would recommend reconsidering the RI field encoding issue, particularly for the 20MHz system bandwidth, instead of sacrificing the allocation granularity, looking for some other alternatives, e.g., change the RI field from 11 bits to 12 bits by using the 1 reserved bit, and/or consider the constraints of the allocations to remove those ones that do not need to be signaled by the assignment A-MAP IEs, e.g., the control channel occupied resources, and/or allocations spanning over multiple frequency partitions, etc.

Suggested Remedy

discuss and develop an alternative RI field encoding mechanism to solve the allocation granularity issue in the 20MHz system bandwidth.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No specific remedy provided.

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Lei Wang			Membership Sta	atus:		Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A037	Docum	ent unde	<u>r Review:</u> P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial <u>P</u>	art of Dis Satisfied		<u>Page</u> 433	<u>Line</u> 12	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.26
The word "Lo	ess" is missing in the s	subsection title						
Suggested Rem	edy							
•	12 on page 433 as fol erage <ins> Loss <td></td><td>ecovery</td><td>/</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></ins>		ecovery	/				
<u>GroupResolutio</u>	<u>n</u>	Decision of Group:	Principl	e				

Resolved by comment #205.

Resolution:

change subclause title to "Coverage Loss Detection and Recovery from Coverage Loss"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25					IEEE 802.16-10/004	5r 3
Comment by:		Lei Wang	<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Date:</u>		
<u>Comment #</u>	A038	Document une	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page 433 Line 47	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.26.1	

There are multiple questions/issues around the usage of AAI_RNG-RSP message in subsection 16.2.26.1, e.g.,

1. is the 1-bit "Ranging Request bit" field the only information needed to be included in the AAI_RNG-RSP for this coverage loss detection usage? if so, why do we need such a complicated message to carry 1-bit information? if not, then what are the other field that are needed?

2. the unsolicited AAI_RNG-RSP usage is not specified in the definition of AAI_RNG-RSP in section 16.2.3.2, where it actually says AAI_RNG-RSP shall be sent as a response to AAI_RNG-REQ;

3. when the ABS invites the AMS to do periodic ranging, the ABS actually knows the AMS's ID. If the ABS can keep the knowledge of the AMS's ID info during this coverage loss detection required periodic ranging process, then the steps for AMS to send its ID info after a successful periodic ranging can be saved.

Suggested Remedy

4 4 4 4 4 4 4

discuss and adopt contribution C80216m-10_0968 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

using signaling header for state change opens security risk.

vote: 1 for, 3 against, 0 abstain

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

Editor's Notes b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	i <u>t by:</u>	Lei Wang	<u>Membership St</u>	atus:	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A039	Document und	ler Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 434 <u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.26.2	
The paragra	nh in line 1 nage 43	A needs further clarification	regarding the interaction	hotwoon cove	Prage loss detection and HO	

The paragraph in line 1 page 434 needs further clarification regarding the interaction between coverage loss detection and HO.

Suggested Remedy

Change the paragraph in line 1 page 434 as follows:

In case of a HO, if the <ins> serving </ins> ABS identifies the AAI_HO_CMD message is successfully sent to the AMS, the <ins> serving </ins> ABS shall stop the <ins> active_ABS_timer </ins> coverage loss detection procedure (i.e. described in 16.2.26.2) for the AMS. Once the<ins> serving </ins> ABS receives a MAC PDU (i.e. bandwidth request) from the AMS that is assumed to handover to a neighbor ABS (i.e. T-ABS), the <ins> serving </ins> ABS shall <ins> start active_ABS_timer </ins> initiate the coverage loss detection procedure (i.e. described in 16.2.26.2) </de>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Accept the resolutions in contribution c80216m-10/1088.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by:	Lei Wang	g		<u>Membership</u>	<u>Status:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	A040		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 391	<u>Line</u> 20	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.16	
The operation	a shout the Periodi	c Ranging	n Timer needs furt	her specifica	tion e.a. y	when start / resta	rt?	

The operations about the Periodic Ranging Timer needs further specification, e.g., when start / restart?

Suggested Remedy

Change the bullet a) in line 20 on page 391 as follows:

a) The AMS maintains and controls a Periodic Ranging timer. The AMS shall starts the Periodic Ranging timer upon the completion of the initial network entry or the network re-entry. The AMS shall restart or reset the Periodic Ranging timer upon triggered by the events specified in the Periodic Ranging procedure below. The AMS shall stop the Periodic Ranging timer when it is disconnected from the ABS, e.g., entering idle mode, de-registered, or HO.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

replace the bullet a) in line 20 on page 391 as follows:

a) The AMS maintains and controls a Periodic Ranging timer. The AMS shall starts the Periodic Ranging timer upon the completion of the initial network entry or the network re-entry. The AMS shall restart or reset the Periodic Ranging timer upon triggered by the events specified in the Periodic Ranging procedure below. The AMS shall stop the Periodic Ranging timer when it is disconnected from the ABS, e.g., entering idle mode, de-registered, or HO.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.16, MAC: Periodic Ranging

2010/10/25	5				IEEE 802.16-10/004	5 r 3
Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Lei Wang	<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>atus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A041	Document u	nder Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 391 <u>Line</u> 65	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.16	

Based on the current periodic ranging design, when the AMS has active UL data communication and the UL is nicely synchronized with the ABS, the ABS does not need to send any UL Tx parameter adjustments to the AMS. However, in this case, the periodic ranging timer is still running at the AMS, then when timeouts, it will trigger the AMS to conduct periodic ranging, which is totally not necessary. Due to the mandatory HARQ for UL unicast data burst, the ACK to the UL bursts of the AMS is certainly a good indication of UL condition. So, we suggest the AMS reset the Periodic Ranging timer upon receiving a HARQ ACK for the AMS's UL transmission.

Suggested Remedy

Insert the following new bullet after line 65 on page 391: e) Upon receiving a HARQ ACK for an UL data burst of the AMS, the AMS shall reset the Periodic Ranging timer.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Periodic Ranging Timer is equivalent to T4 timer in IEEE802.16-2009. It implies that Periodic Ranging Timer is running in MAC level. Therefore, suggested remedy is wrong in some sense and may result in performance degradation if applied. How to handle Periodic Ranging in AMS is implementation-scope.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.16, MAC: Periodic Ranging

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/2	5				IEEE 802.16-10/00)45r3
<u>Comment by:</u>		Lei Wang	Membership Status:		<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A042	Document	under Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🛛 Satisfied 🗌	<u>Page</u> 391 <u>Line</u> 65	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.16	

In 16m/D7, there are two mechanisms that are related to air link status monitoring and maintenance, periodic ranging and coverage loss detection. Periodic ranging is used for maintain the UL synchronization, and a periodic ranging timer is maintained at AMS. Coverage loss detection is used for the ABS to monitor the status of the AMS, and a timer is maintain at the ABS for each active AMS. Those two mechanisms are disconnected and could have one running right after another, because the periodic ranging process does not provide the ABS the AMS's identification so the ABS does not know who have just successfully done a periodic ranging. Some minor changes can build the connection between those two air link status monitoring/maintenance mechanisms for system performance improvement. For example, after a successful periodic ranging, the ABS provides an UL allocation through CDMA allocation IE for the AMS to transmit an AAI_RNG-CFM message to the ABS, so that the ABS knows who has just successfully completed periodic ranging process. In this way, the ABS can reset the active_ABS_timer for the coverage loss detection, then unnecessary triggers to the coverage loss detection procedure can be avoided.

Suggested Remedy

Insert the following new bullet after line 65 on page 391:

f) After responding to a periodic ranging request with a ranging status of "success" in the AAI_RNG-ACK message, the ABS shall provide a unicast UL allocation through a CDMA allocation A-MAP assignment IE to the AMS who sent the periodic ranging request. The AMS shall send its STID information in an AAI_RNG-CFM message to the ABS.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Current coverlage loss detection procedure in ABS is pretty enough. All AMS do not need to transmit AAI_RNG-CFM message. It's overhead for AMS-initiated periodic ranging as well as ABS-initiated periodic ranging without ranigng request bit.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.16, MAC: Periodic Ranging

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/2	5					IEEE 802.16-10/00	45r3
<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Lei Wang		<u>Membership St</u>	<u>tatus:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A043		Document under Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Sa	atisfied Page 34	4 <u>Line</u> 5	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.12.8	

The parameter, MAC in-order delivery indicator, should be applied to both non-ARQ connection and ARQ connection, as long as it is a data transport connection. This is because, in an IP-based networks, Layer-2 in-order delivery is application-specific, i.e., it helps for certain applications. However, it cannot be used alone to guarantee the in-order delivery of the application that needs in-order delivery, because IP-based Layer-3 is above it and IP won't keep the delivery order. Therefore, we should not bind all the ARQ connections with Layer-2 in-order delivery.

Suggested Remedy

.

change the description box of "MAC in-order delivery indicator" in Table 783 as follows:

Indicate whether or not the order of delivery in <ins> the </ins> non-ARQ connection is preserved by the MAC.

0 : not preserved

1 : preserved

<ins> For ARQ connections, the default value is 1. </ins>

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Principle

accept remedy in contribution c80216m-10/1084

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.12, MAC: Quality of Service (QoS)

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Lei Wang	<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	Date:
Comment # A044	Document und	ler Review: P802.16m/D7	Ē	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editori	al <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u> 596 <u>Line</u> 17	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.5.2.4.7
typo				
Suggested Remedy change "n" to "in"				
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Agree			
change "n" to "in"				
Reason for Group's Decision/Res	solution			
Group's Notes				
Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Down	link control structure			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			
Same as 10148.				

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Lei Wang	Membership Status: Date:		
Comment #	A045	Docu	ment under Review: P802.10	Sm/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Satisfie	d Page 596 Line	39 Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.5.2.4.7

When using a CDMA allocation IE to allocate UL resource in response to a received contention-based bandwidth request, the allocation size don't have to be just for a BW REQ header. Depending on the traffic load, the ABS may allocate different sizes of data bursts, i.e., don't have to be a fixed size for sending BW REQ header. Therefore, the Isizeoffset is needed.

Suggested Remedy

make the following changes:
1. insert a new row in line 39 page 596 in Table 855 as follows:
Syntax Size (bits) Notes
<ins> ISizeOffset 5 Offset used to compute burst size index </ins>
2. in line 41 page 596, change the size field of the "Reserved" row from 20 to 15.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Because STID is transmitted through BR header, the ABS cannot know how much BW is needed for the specific AMS that sent the CDMA code. Also please see the text in page 332, line 25, "In Step 3, the AMS transmits a standalone BR header only.".

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Lei Wang		<u>Membership St</u>	tatus:	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A046	Document une	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>		Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 713	Line 37	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.8.1.5

The paragraph in line 36 on page 713 needs further clarification about how the BR preamble is transmitted.

Suggested Remedy

change the paragraph in line 36 page 713 as follows:

A BR tile is defined as six contiguous subcarriers by six OFDMA symbols. Each BR channel consists of three distributed BR tiles. <ins> Within a BR channel, </ins> Each BR tile carries a <ins> the same </ins> BR preamble and a part of a quick access message. The AMS may transmit the BR preamble only and leave the resources for the quick access message unused.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

change the paragraph in line 36 page 713 as follows:

A BR tile is defined as six contiguous subcarriers by six OFDMA symbols. Each BR channel consists of three distributed BR tiles. <ins> Within a BR channel, </ins> Each BR tile carries a <ins> the same </ins> BR preamble and a part of a quick access message. The AMS may transmit the BR preamble only and leave the resources for the quick access message unused.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Lei Wang		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>tatus:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A047	Document ur	nder Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 693	Line 3	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.7.3.3
Why not also	introduce the conc	cent of LIL primary frequen	y partition si	milar to DL2		

Why not also introduce the concept of UL primary frequency partition, similar to DL?

Suggested Remedy

Change the paragraph in line 1 page 693 as follows:

If FFR is used in an UL AAI subframe, the UL control channels are used in the reuse 1 partition or the power-boosted reuse 3 partition. The frequency partition where the UL control channels are located is indicated by the ABS through S-SFH SP1 IE <ins>, and it is called UL primary frequency partition</ins>.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Change the paragraph in line 1 page 693 as follows:

If FFR is used in an UL AAI subframe, the UL control channels are used in the reuse 1 partition or the power-boosted reuse 3 partition. The frequency partition where the UL control channels are located is indicated by the ABS through S-SFH SP1 IE <ins> , and it is called Frequency partition location for UL control channels</ins> .

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.7, PHY: Uplink physical structure

Comment by:		Lei Wang	<u>Membership Statu</u>	us:	Date:
Comment #	A048	Document und	ler Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 222 <u>Line</u> 20	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.56

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Why does the AAI_MC-ADV have to be periodically broadcasted?

As shown in Section 16.2.8, the AAI_MC-ADV is needed at the MC operation initialization which is after the AMS enters the "operational" status. Therefore, it would be much efficiently for the ABS to unicast the AAI_MC-ADV message to the AMS who needs it either in a unsolicited way or upon requested from the AMS. Having said this, the ABS can broadcast it, not shall. Note that periodic broadcasting is very expensive, particularly, with a potentially huge message with all the system configuration info, e.g., AAI_SCD, SFH SPs, etc. for each of the carriers.

Suggested Remedy

Make the following changes:

1. on page 222, change the paragraph in line 20 as follows:

The ABS which supports multiple RF carriers shall <ins> transmit </ins> periodically broadcast AAI_MC-ADV message for the reception by all AMSs <ins> to AMSs in an unicast manner and/or broadcast manner </ins>.

2. on page 309, change the paragraph in line 64 as follows:

The ABS will broadcast the SFH on each carrier with the format defined in 16.3.6.2.1. The ABS shall also provide the AMS with basic radio configuration for all available carriers in the ABS through the AAI_MC-ADV message. This message is periodically broadcast by the ABS, which includes the multicarrier mode and the configurations supported by the ABS. <ins>It can be broadcasted by the ABS for the reception by all the AMSs and it can also be unicasted by the ABS for the reception by a specific AMS with or without receiving a request from the AMS.</ins> The multicarrier configuration information is relevant to and shall be used by all AMSs in any of multicarrier modes or in single carrier mode.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The information in an AAI_MC-ADV message is necessary for any AMS in a system. The usage of AAI_MC-ADV are same as that of an AAI_NBR-ADV which is transmitted in a broadcast manner.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/2	5				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r
Comment by:		Lei Wang	Membership Status:		Date:
Comment # A049		Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 217 <u>Line</u> 37	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.52

The "DL/UL indicator" should be per carrier attribute, not per carrier group in the AAI_MC-REQ message.

In addition, the above comment triggers a very critical issue to the 16m MAC control message specification, i.e., the current table format does not properly specify the location of the information fields regarding the loops and if-condition statements. If there were not Table 753 with the 16e-style pseudo c-code, we won't be able to identify the question of where the "DL/UL indicator" field should be. In order to properly specify the 16m MAC control messages, we strongly recommend using the 16e-style pseudo c-code to specify the MAC control messages, before converting them to ASN.1 code.

Suggested Remedy

move the row of "DL/UL indicator" to inside the "j" loop in Table 753.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

DL/UL indicator is related to the capability that the combinations of carriers AMS can transmit and receive simultaneously. Though DL reception of multiple carriers doesn't require specific capability than RF bandwidth, UL transmission requires more tight capability on spectral mask shape. Thus, even for TDD AMS, DL and UL capability can be different. The field is defined for specific multicarrier combination.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/2	5			IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
Commer	<u>nt by:</u>	Lei Wang	Membership Status:	Date:
Comment # A050		<u>Document</u>	under Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page 306 Line 22 Fig/Table	<u># Subclause</u> 16.2.8.1

I completely don't agree with the resolution given to comment #582 in 80216-10_0040r2. I don't think the reason given for "disgree" really address this comment. I re-submit this comment.

I think there is a problem with the mechanisms described in the paragraph in line 22 on page 304, i.e., transmitting an AAI_SCD message on an unpaired DL carrier to specify where in the primary UL carrier the feedback region is.

Note that the concept of primary carrier is per AMS, and different AMS may have different fully configured carriers as their primary carriers. If an unpaired DL carrier is activated for two AMSs, AMS-1 and AMS-2, and those two AMSs have different UL primary carriers, e.g., UL-fc1 and UL-fc2, respectively, then an AAI_SCD message transmitted on the unpaired DL carrier will be received by AMS-1 and AMS-2, but it means differently to the two AMSs, i.e., the same feedback region specification actually means on two regions on two different fully configured UL carriers. This will make fast feedback channel and HARQ feedback channel mapping very complicated.

One simple way to solve this problem is to put a constraint on the AMSs who can use an unpaired DL carrier for DL unicast traffic shall have the same UL primary carrier.

Suggested Remedy

Change the paragraph in line 22 on page 304 as follows:

If a partially configured carrier is used for DL unicast traffic, the required UL feedback channels are provided by the primary carrier. <ins> All the AMSs that uses the same DL-only secondary carrier for DL unicast traffic shall use the same fully configured UL carrier as primary UL carrier. </ins> In multicarrier aggregation, the UL control channels corresponding to the secondary partially configured carriers i.e., DL only secondary carriers shall be located in distinct non-overlapping control regions in the UL of the primary carrier. The UL control regions for the DL only secondary carriers are behind the UL control region for the primary carrier. The location information of the UL control channels for the DL only secondary carriers are informed through the AAI_SCD message which are transmitted on the secondary carriers. The AMS shall use the UL control channels on the primary carrier to feedback HARQ ACK/NACK and channel quality measurements corresponding to transmission over DL only secondary carrier. Only the FDD primary carriers may be used to provide UL feedback channels for DL partially configured carriers. A partially configured carrier may be optimized and used for E-MBS services only in which case it would not need UL feedback channel support on primary carrier.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

For distributing control channels over multiple carriers, the region can be defined at different carriers. The primary carrier can be different for various AMSs.

Group's Notes

<u>Editor's Notes</u>	Ē	Editor's Actions	b) none needed					
2010/10/25							IEEE 80	02.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Lei Wang			Membership Stat	<u>us:</u>		Date:
Comment #	A051		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 315	<u>Line</u> 15	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.8.2.9.2.2

One MC specific HO procedure allows the AMS performs network re-entry to the target ABS on one carrier and maintains normal communication with the serving ABS on another carrier. This seems a very good utilization of an AMS's capability of concurrently processing multiple radio carriers.

However, the current spec limits the utilization of such an AMS's capability to HO related optimizations, including scanning and network re-entry. Such a limitation seems unnecessary, and there are some obvious benefits and advantages to allow an AMS with the capability of concurrently processing multiple radio carriers to connect to multiple ABSs for normal communications, e.g., connect to both a Femto ABS and an overlay Macro ABS simultaneously to get best service from both.

Suggested Remedy

make the following changes:

1. change the sentence in line 15 on page 315 as follows:

In this case, Disconnect_time should be long enough that network reentry procedure to target ABS can be completed prior to the expiration of Disconnect_time <ins> or the Disconnect_time should not be used. </ins>

2. change the paragraph in line 38 on page 316 as follows:

From AMS point of view, if network entry is completed (see 16.2.6), the AMS<ins>may </ins> shall stop communicating with the serving ABS. Then, the AMS may send UL data or BW-REQ message to the target ABS.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It is too complicated for AMSs and ABSs to manage different data paths simultaneously.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Lei Wang	<u>Membership S</u>	<u>status:</u>	Date:
Comment #	A052	Documen	t under Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 318 Line 45	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.8.2.10.2

We are writing 16m as an amendment to the baseline 802.16 standard. Equation number (5) is used by the baseline document. So, it shall not be duplicately used here.

Suggested Remedy

Change the equation number in line 45 on page 316 to a valid equation number based on both baseline doc and 16m doc; and then throughout the 16m spec, change the references to the equation accordingly.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change the equation number in line 45 on page 316 to a valid equation number based on both baseline doc and 16m doc; and then throughout the 16m spec, change the references to the equation accordingly.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> e) instructions unclear

There is no equation on line 45 of page 316 in D7.

2010/10/2	5				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment by:</u>		Lei Wang	<u>Membership St</u>	Date:	
Comment # A053		Document une	Document under Review: P802.16m/D7		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 320 <u>Line</u> 26	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8.2.11.2

I don't agree with the reasons given to the comment resolution to comment #595 in 80216-10_0040r2.

What happens if the AMS could not conduct the primary change as instructed by the ABS even it correctly received and ack-ed the AAI_CM-CMD message? There are reasons similar to HO failure that triggers this error condition.

The two primary carrier change cases as shown in Figure 422 and 423 have no means to handle such an error condition. Well, in the case of Figure 422, it actually causes disconnection of the AMS from the ABS, as there is no AAI_CM-IND message for triggering the actual primary carrier change.

we suggest the following to handle this problem:

1. use AAI_CM-IND sent on the target carrier to indicate a success of primary carrier change at AMS. only after receiving an AAI_CM-IND sent on the target carrier, the ABS can use the target carrier as the new primary carrier for control channels; 2. use AAI_CM-IND sent on the serving carrier at the action time to indicate a failure of primary carrier change.

Suggested Remedy

- - . - . . - . - _ _ _

make the following changes:

1. in Figure 422 on page 321, add a line at the action time from AMS's T-carrier to ABS's T-carrier with the caption of "AAI_CM-IND"; 2. change the paragraph in line 44 on page 320 as follows:

If the AMS supports carrier aggregation mode and the target carrier is one of the active secondary carriers of the AMS, the AMS may receive data and control signal on the target carrier immediately after switching. Otherwise, the AMS first reconfigures its hardware setting (e.g. RF center frequency) and switches to target carrier. If Ranging indicator in the AAI_CM-CMD message is set to '1', the AMS shall perform the periodic ranging procedure with the target carrier. After successfully completing this action, the AMS shall transmit an AAI_CM-IND message on the target carrier to notify its readiness of the target carrier to the ABS; <ins> otherwise the AMS shall transmit an AAI_CM-IND on the serving carrier to indicate a failure of the primary carrier change. If Ranging indicator in the AAI_CM-CMD message is set to '0', at the action time, the AMS shall transmit an AAI_CM-IND message to the ABS on the target carrier if it is ready to use the target carrier as its new primary carrier; otherwise it shall transmit the AAI_CM-IND message on its serving carrier. The ABS shall use the target carrier as the primary carrier

3. insert the following new paragraph in line 56 on page 320:

At the action time of the primary carrier change as instructed by the ABS in a received AAI_CM-CMD message, if the AMS is not ready to use the target carrier as the new primary carrier, i.e., a failure of primary carrier change, the AMS shall send an AAI_CM-IND message on the serving primary carrier. When receiving an AAI_CM-IND message on the serving carrier at or after the action time, the ABS considers the corresponding primary carrier change procedure is failed and it shall keep using the serving carrier as the primary carrier for the AMS.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposed remedy is incomplete.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

Editor's Notes		Editor's Actions	b) none needed				
2010/10/2	5						IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
Comment by: Lei Wa		Lei Wang		Membership Status:			Date:
Comment # A054			Document und	ler Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 322	<u>Line</u> 36	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8.2.11.3
			-				IBS, e.g., how, how long, what .9.2.1, nor in the DSA-REQ/RSP

Suggested Remedy

messages, nor MC scetion.

Either complete the specification of the carrier switching operation or delete all relevant text / references.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the text proposed in C802.16m-10/1035r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

r3

2010/10/25			IE	EEE 802.16-10/0045				
Comment by:	Lei Wang	Membership Statu	<u>IS:</u>	Date:				
Comment # A055	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID:	sb_16m				
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 806 <u>Line</u> 16	Fig/Table# Sub	<u>bclause</u> 16.4.5.1				
When a Femto ABS is connected to an overlaid Macro ABS through the Femto ABS's air interface, Why is the wireless connection between Femto ABS and Macro ABS limited to control message only?								
Suggested Remedy								
change the paragraph in line 10 For a Femto ABS that uses air Femto ABS shall perform the fo	interface connection with the			•				
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Disagr	ee						
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution	<u>on</u>							
To limit complexity and to avoid	limiting the duplication of fu	nctionality of Relay and Fen	nto.					
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.4, Other: Femto								
Editor's Notes E	ditor's Actions b) none needed							

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Lei Wang		<u>Membership St</u>	<u>atus:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A056	Document	under Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 14	<u>Line</u> 29	Fig/Table#	Subclause 5.2.5.2	
what param	eters do "the para	meters" mean in the senter	nce in line 29 o	n page 14?			

Suggested Remedy

either clarify "the parameters" or delete the sentence.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #160.

Resolution:

P 14 L29:

For AMS and ABS, the <ins>Packet Classification Rule</ins> parameters <ins>(Table 740)</ins>may be used in IP classification rules.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

Editor's Notes b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Lei Wang			Membership Sta	<u>tus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A057		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 11	<u>Line</u> 45	Fig/Table#	Subclause 5.2.1	
· · ·	wrong implementation of the comment resolution for 16m/D6 comment #601 in 80216-10_0040r2, where it suggested to change "Packet PDU" to "CS SDU". Note that it is "CS SDU", not "CS PDU".							

Suggested Remedy

In line 45, page 11, Figure 8, change "CS PDU" to "CS SDU"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In line 45, page 11, Figure 8, change "CS PDU" to "CS SDU"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Lei Wa	ang	Δ	<u>lembership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A058		Document under	r Review: P80	2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Di</u>	Dis 🛛 Satisfied 🗌	<u>Page</u> 14	<u>Line</u> 55	Fig/Table#	Subclause 5.2.6	6

incomplete implementation of the comment resolution of the comment #602 in 80216-10_0040r2.

Suggested Remedy

in line 55, page 14, Figure 18b, change ""Packet" to "CS SDU"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

in line 55, page 14, Figure 18b, change ""Packet" to "CS SDU"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

2010/10/25					IEEE 802.16-10/0)045r3
<u>Comment by:</u>		Lei Wang	<u>Membership S</u>	Date:		
Comment # A059		Document u	Document under Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page 66 Line 24	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3	

For the MAC control messages, the current table format does not properly specify the all the needed information, e.g., the information about location of the information fields regarding the loops and if-condition statements is not shown in the current 16m MAC message specification table format.

Take an example, in Table 753 on page 217, in line 37, there is a comment that suggests the location of the "DL/UL indicator" field should be inside the for-loop. However, the same field in the new 16m table format, i.e., in Table 754, has no information about its location.

In order to properly specify the 16m MAC control messages, we strongly recommend using the 16e-style pseudo c-code to specify the MAC control messages, before converting them to ASN.1 code in the Annex section.

Suggested Remedy

Properly specify all the MAC control messages in 16e-like pseudo-C code style tables.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

this comment has no remedy

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Lei Wa	ang	ļ	<u>Membership Stat</u>	us:	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A060		Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	is Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 414	<u>Line</u> 39	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.20

typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "Table 16.2.3.8.1" to "subsection 16.2.3.8.1"

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Agree

Change "Table 16.2.3.8.1" to "subsection 16.2.3.8.1"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.22, MAC: MAC Control Reliability

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Lei Wang	<u>Membership Sta</u>	atus: <u>Date:</u>
Comment # A061	Document u	nder Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u>	Technical Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 415 <u>Line</u> 59	Fig/Table# Subclause 16.2.20
The sentence in line CLC class meets th		ction 16.2.12 does not have	e any contents about how to determine whether a
Suggested Remedy			
	e in line 59 on page 415, i.e., of determining whether a CLC class me	ets the CLC limits for Type	I, II, and III classes is specified in 16.2.12.
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Prin	ciple	
Resolved by commo	ent #10128.		
Resolution:			
	•		and III classes is specified in 16.2.12

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.22, MAC: MAC Control Reliability

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/2	5				IEEE 802.16-10/004	5r3
<u>Comment by:</u>		Lei Wang	Membership Status:		<u>Date:</u>	
Comment # A062		Document	under Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 130 <u>Line</u> 10	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.16	

In the definition of AAI_CLC-REQ as shown in Table 696, there are two variable-size optional fields, CLC request and CLC report; and each of those two optional fields has its own condition of presence. Then question is how the receiver of this message knows one or two optional fields is included, if one, then which one.

Such a message design/specification generates either ambiguities or even not-decodable messages, when there are multiple optional fields, for which there is not deterministic way to determine whether or not those optional fields are included in. For example, if the optional fields have completely different sizes, then the receiver can guess which one / ones included; however, if the optional fields have variable sizes and similar sizes to each other, then the receiver has no way to tell.

Again, in order to properly specify the 16m MAC control messages, we strongly recommend using the 16e-style pseudo c-code to specify the MAC control messages, which gives deterministic definition of MAC control messages

Suggested Remedy

4 4 4 4 4 4

discuss and adopt contribution C80216m-10_0989 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

discuss and adopt contribution C80216m-10_0989r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Re-opened during the commen session.

C802.16m-10/0989r2 was accepted by unanimous consent.

Suggested resolution is incomplete.

Vote: In favor: 3 Opposed: 4 Abstain:

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

Editor's Notes Editor

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>by:</u>	Zhang Jing		Membership Sta	<u>atus:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A063	Documen	t under Review: P8	802.16m/D7	Į	Ballot ID: sb_16r	n
<u>Comment</u> AMS may als pre-assignme	•	Part of Dis X Satisfied		Line 31 ide report for	Fig/Table# ABS perform ca		16.2.8.2.9.1.2 ent and
The AMS ma	Suggested Remedy The AMS may also scan other <begin delete="">fully configured<end delete=""> carriers of the serving ABS which are not in use by the AMS.</end></begin>						
GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution The current draft standard does not have any function to utilize the information of partially configured carrier for handover execution.							
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.8	3, MAC: Multicarri	er operation					

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comme	<u>nt by:</u>	Zhang Jing	Membership Status:			Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A064	Document und	Document under Review: P802.16m/D7			Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 87	<u>Line</u> 52	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.4	
lo nood to r	a pand to repeat the length of the field "1/2/2" is not a usual mapper to apacify a range						

No need to repeat the length of the field. "1/2/3" is not a usual manner to specify a range.

Suggested Remedy

1/2/3(2bits; The number <ins>in the range 1 through 3 </ins>that is higher by 1 than this field and 4 is unavailable)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

1/2/3(2bits; The number <ins>in the range 1 through 3 </ins>that is higher by 1 than this field and 4 is unavailable)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Wei	Ruan		<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	Date:
Comment #	A065		Document unde	er Review:	2802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 3	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	Subclause 2

This section does not comply with the style guidelines of IEEE

Suggested Remedy

Apply 2009 IEEE Standards Style Manual(http://standards.ieee.org/guides/style/), section 10.4. In particular:

- Update the introductory paragraph so that it reads: "The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document (i.e., they must

be understood and used, so each referenced document is cited in text and its relationship to this document is explained). For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments or corrigenda) applies."

- A footnote should be inserted in the text after the first cited normative reference in order to tell the reader where the references can be obtained. (section 10.4.3)

- For an example of a properly formatted normative references clause, see the sample draft in Annex B.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Apply 2009 IEEE Standards Style Manual(http://standards.ieee.org/guides/style/), section 10.4. In particular:

- Update the introductory paragraph so that it reads: "The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document (i.e., they must

be understood and used, so each referenced document is cited in text and its relationship to this document is explained). For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments or corrigenda) applies."

- A footnote should be inserted in the text after the first cited normative reference in order to tell the reader where the references can be obtained. (section 10.4.3)

- For an example of a properly formatted normative references clause, see the sample draft in Annex B.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 2, General: Normative References

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

This is an amendment. The text cited above is in Clause 2 of the baseline document.

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by:	Wei Ruan		<u>Membership St</u>	<u>atus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A066	Documen	t under Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 90	Line 53	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.5
No need to re	epeat the length of t	he field. "1/2/3/4" is not	a usual mannei	r to specify a ı	range.	

Suggested Remedy

1/2/3/4(2bits; The number <ins>in the range 1 through 4</ins>that is higher by 1 than this field)

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group: Agree

 1/2/3/4(2bits; The number <ins>in the range 1 through 4</ins>that is higher by 1 than this field)

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

 Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by: Shih-Yuan Cheng	Members	Membership Status:				
Comment #	067 <u>Docum</u>	ent under Review: P802.16m	t under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID:				
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> 279 <u>Line</u> 39 <u>Fig/Table#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.1.2 The sentence has no period. The AMS may use any unavailable interval to perform autonomous scanning							
<u>Suggested Remed</u> The AMS may	x use any unavailable interval to perform	autonomous scanning.					
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Agree					
The AMS may	use any unavailable interval to perform	autonomous scanning.					
Reason for Group	s Decision/Resolution						
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.6,	MAC: MAC HO procedures						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done						

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Shih-Yuan Cheng	<u>Membership St</u>	<u>atus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Comment # A068	Documen	t under Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID:	_ sb_16m
CommentTypeEdiA needless space at the An AMS shall be capab			-	bubclause 16.2.6.1.2
Suggested Remedy An AMS shall be capab	le of performing intra-frequency	preamble measurement witho	out dedicated allocatior	ns for scanning.
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: A	gree		
An AMS shall be capab	le of performing intra-frequency	preamble measurement witho	out dedicated allocation	ns for scanning.
Reason for Group's Decision	Resolution			
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.6, MAC: M	AC HO procedures			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			

2010/10/2	5				IEEE 802.16-10/004	l5r3
Comment by:		xu heng	Membership Status:			
Comment # A069		Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 429 <u>Line</u> 7	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.23	

1. There is no such an operation as "cancel" in message transaction, but another report operation shall be initialized by the AMS. 2. The battery level will not back to a certain threshold unless the AMS is plugged in a charger, so there is no such a status which indicates the AMS battery level back to a certain threshold but not plugged into a charger.

3. The power control mechanism is used anyway when an AMS is in normal operation, but not only used in power management. Moreover, Why do we use the power update mechanism of legacy system?

Suggested Remedy

.

Replace the text:

An AMS may report its battery level when the battery level changes. The AMS shall cancel the previous battery report as soon as its battery level has returned to a certain threshold, or as soon as the AMS is plugged in a charger.

As:

An AMS may report its battery level using AMS Battery Report header (in Table 661) when the battery level changes and the AMS is not plugged in a charger. Once the battery level is reported, the AMS shall report its battery status (with AMS Battery Status = 0b0 in AMS Battery Report header) as soon as the AMS is plugged in a charger.

<Begin Delete>

Power update mechanism as specified in section 8.4.10.3 may be used when an ABS receives an AMS's battery level report and the ABS supports power management in Active Mode.

<End Delete>

GroupResolution Decision

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

proposed resolution remains ambiguous for implemention and operation.

vote: 8 for, 6 against, 0 abstain.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.23, MAC: Power Management for the Active Mode

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comme</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	xu heng		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>status:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	± A070	Documer	nt under Review:	2802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis 🔀 Satisfied	Page 73	<u>Line</u> 60	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.1	
	المعتمدة ومعموله والمعالم	less the second state will be					

T-ABS is a defined acronym. Use it consistently

Suggested Remedy

Replace all occurrences of "target ABS" with "T-ABS" except for the first one. Introduce the acronym by inserting "(T-ABS)" after the first occurrence of target ABS.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Replace all occurrences of "target ABS" with "T-ABS" except for the first one. Introduce the acronym by inserting "(T-ABS)" after the first occurrence of target ABS.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

2010/10/25	5						IEEE 80)2.16-10/00	45r3
<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Zhang ling			<u>Membership S</u>	<u>itatus:</u>		<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A071		Document und	<u>der Review:</u>	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3	
complicated. Furthermore,	cores in MAC cor Often an instance since MAC contro will result in a mo	is missed in a ol messages ar	search becau e to be ASN.1	ise an une Lencodec	derscore has be	en replaced by	a hyphen or vice	e versa.	
Suggested Rem	edy								
Replace all u AAI-RNG-RE	nderscores in the Q.	MAC control m	lessage name	es in section	on 16 with hyph	ens. For instanc	ce, replace AAI_	RNG-REQ wit	th
<u>GroupResolutio</u>	<u>n</u>	Decision o	of Group: Agree	•					
Replace all u AAI-RNG-RE	nderscores in the	MAC control m	lessage name	es in secti	on 16 with hyph	ens. For instanc	ce, replace AAI_	RNG-REQ wit	th

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Zhang ling		atus:	Date:					
Comment # A072		<u>Document</u>	Ballot ID: sb_16m							
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis 🛛 Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 90	<u>Line</u> 18	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.5				
No need to repeat the length of the field. "1/2" is not a usual manner to specify a range.										

Suggested Remedy

Change: 1/2(1bits; The number<ins> in the range 1 through 2</ins> that is higher by 1 than this field)

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Agree

 Change: 1/2(1bits; The number<ins> in the range 1 through 2</ins> that is higher by 1 than this field)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/00/	45r3
Comment by:		Ruqing Yang	Membership Status:				<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	A073		Document une	der Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 813	Line 7	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.4.9	

A manual femto ABS selection mode has been provided in the latest 16m draft D4. The white list of the MS may be updated in this mode. We want to give another white list update method when the MS in idle mode. According to the result of location update at the femto ABS, the AMS may updates its white list.

Reference(p793 in D6):

16.4.7.2 Manual Femto ABS Selection

Manual femto ABS selection enables a human user to select a femto ABS and override automatic selection. In manual femto ABS selection, the AMS may scan neighbor femto ABSs accessible to the AMS and reports the list of accessible femto ABS to the user. An AMS may attempt to access a femto ABS not contained in the CSG white list based on manual selection provided the access credentials can be obtained. Based on the result of the network entry at the femto ABS, the AMS's CSG white list may be updated.

Suggested Remedy

00404000

16.4.9 Idle Mode

Femto ABS shall support idle mode.

The Femto ABSs operate like macro ABSs in Idle mode.

An AMS with CSG white list shall not attach to an unsubscribed CSG-Closed Femto ABS in Idle mode.

A CSG-Closed Femto ABS should not broadcast paging for a non-member AMS.

<Begin Insert>An AMS with CSG white list may update the white list by manual operation in idle mode. The manual update operation enables a human user to select/input a femto ABS out of its white list to make a location update procedure. According to the result of location update at the femto ABS, the AMS may updates its white list. If the MS make a successful location update on the select/input femto ABS out of its white list, the MS may add the femto ABS into its white list.<End Insert>

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

During Manual Selection, network entry will be performed not location update which is already mentioned in the specification.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Ruqing Yang	Membership Status:				<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A074		Document und	er Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 87	<u>Line</u> 13	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.4	
No need to repeat the length of the field. "1/2" is not a usual manner to specify a range.								

Suggested Remedy

Change: 1/2(1bits; The number<ins> in the range 1 through 2</ins> that is higher by 1 than this field)

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group: Agree

 Change: 1/2(1bits; The number<ins> in the range 1 through 2</ins> that is higher by 1 than this field)

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

Same as A089

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		ou hua	<u>Membership Stat</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Comment # A0	75	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment T	ype Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 343 <u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.12.4

An AMS being in connected state seems to be synonymous with an AMS in Normal Operation mode.

Suggested Remedy

Use one or the other consistently throughout section 16. Since Normal Operation is used in the 802.16-2009, I have a preferrence for using Normal Operation. Connected State is a term of art and should be capitalized if retained.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

0b10000. During the eConnected sState, if a FID for the emergency service flowis not pre-defined, the ABS

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.12, MAC: Quality of Service (QoS)

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	ou hua	<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>atus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Comment #	A076	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 107 <u>Line</u> 64	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.11

S-ABS is not in the acronym list.

Suggested Remedy

Add S-ABS Serving ABS to the acronym list and replace all occurrences of serving ABS with S-ABS. Alternatively, do not use the acronym S-ABS at all

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Editor to replace all occurrences of serving ABS with S-ABS.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Xin Chang		<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>itus:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A077	Document u	under Review:	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 9	Line 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 4

RAID is not in the acromym list

Suggested Remedy

Add "RAID Random Access Identifier" to the acronym list in correct alphabetical position.

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Principle							
Replace RAID with RA-ID in the entire draft									
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution									

Group's Notes

Clause 4, General: Abbreviations and acronyms

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Xin Chang		<u>Date:</u>			
<u>Comment #</u>	A078	Document u	nder Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 90	<u>Line</u> 57	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.5	
lo pood to r	concet the length of	f the field "1/2/2" is not a w	aual mannar t		ongo		

No need to repeat the length of the field. "1/2/3" is not a usual manner to specify a range.

Suggested Remedy

1/2/3(2bits; The number <ins>in the range 1 through 3 </ins>that is higher by 1 than this field and 4 is unavailable)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

1/2/3(2bits; The number <ins>in the range 1 through 3 </ins>that is higher by 1 than this field and 4 is unavailable)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Comment by:

ZOU QING

Membership Status:

Date:

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment # A079Document under Review:P802.16m/D7Ballot ID:sb_16m

<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> 45 <u>Line</u> 19 <u>Fig/Table#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.1.3

"Anonymously assigned UL resource" is not defined. (We know the BS assignes the resources, so how anonymous can that be?!) Also, the only MAC signaling header that may be transmitted in such an allocation is BR with STID. It is more appropriate to put this kind of information in the BR with STID section (i.e., 16.2.2.1.3.1)

Suggested Remedy

Remove: "If the AMS uses an anonymously assigned UL resource to send the signaling header, the AMS shall include the STID in the contents field of the signaling header."

On page 46, line 31, change: "When an AMS requests bandwidth through an anonymous UL resource<ins> allocated by the CDMA Allocation A-MAP IE</ins>, it shall transmit BR with STID signaling header on the anonymous <ins>allocated</ins> UL resource."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Remove: "If the AMS uses an anonymously assigned UL resource to send the signaling header, the AMS shall include the STID in the contents field of the signaling header."

On page 46, line 31, change:

"When an AMS requests bandwidth through an anonymous UL resource<ins> allocated by the CDMA Allocation A-MAP IE</ins>, it shall transmit BR with STID signaling header on the anonymous <ins>allocated</ins> UL resource."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		ZOU QING	Membership Status:			Date:		
Comment # A080		Document under Review: P802.16m/D7					Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 90	<u>Line</u> 49	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.5	
No need to repeat the length of the field. "1/2/4" is not a usual manner to specify a range.								

Suggested Remedy

1/2/4(2bits; The number <ins>in the range {1, 2, 4} </ins>that is higher by 1 than this field and 3 is unavailable)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

1/2/4(2bits; The number <ins>in the range {1, 2, 4} </ins>that is higher by 1 than this field and 3 is unavailable)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Cł	Chunmei Tang				<u>Membership S</u>		<u>Date:</u>		
<u>Comment #</u>	A081			<u> </u>	Document und	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Di	is 🛛 Sa	tisfied	<u>Page</u> 311	<u>Line</u> 44	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.8.2.6.2	
Partially configured carrier may be need to allocated UL feedback channel in primary carrier. Since AMS should be allocated resourec n partially configured carrier, CQI of partially configured carrier should be feedbacked to ABS.											

Suggested Remedy

When only DL of fully configured carrier <Begin Insert> or partially carrier <End Insert> has been activated,

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This paragraph is handling the case of DL only activated carrier of fully configured carriers. The feedback channel allocation for the partially configured carrier has been separately described in the next paragraph, 49~56 line, page 311.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

Editor's Notes <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commei</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Chunmei	Tang		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Status:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A082		Document u	Inder Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial		of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 43	<u>Line</u> 34	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.1.2.4

Do not concatenate a number an a word without inserting a hyphen.

Suggested Remedy

Change: The network shall assign a 72<ins>-</ins>bit CRID

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change: The network shall assign a 72<ins>-</ins>bit CRID

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.1, MAC: Addressing

olduse 10.2.1, MAO. Addressing

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Yan Chaoyi	<u>Membership Sta</u>	Date:				
<u>Comment #</u>	A083	Document une	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 311 <u>Line</u> 16	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8.2.6.2			
Shannel quality should be a factor to be considered for resource allocation								

Channel quality should be a factor to be considered for resource allocation.

Suggested Remedy

The ABS may allocate downlink or uplink resources which belong to a specific active carrier or a combination of multiple active carriers based on available resources, QoS requirements <Begin Insert> , channel quality <End Insert> and other factors.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

The ABS may allocate downlink or uplink resources which belong to a specific active carrier or a combination of multiple active carriers based on available resources, QoS requirements <ins> , channel quality </ins> and other factors.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Yan	Chaoyi	Membership Status:			Date:
Comment #	A084		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part o	of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 43	<u>Line</u> 28	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.1.2.3
Do not conco	tonato a numbor ar		ord without incorting a k	whon			

Do not concatenate a number an a word without inserting a hyphen.

Suggested Remedy

Change: The network shall assign a 12<ins>-</ins>bit DID

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change: The network shall assign a 12<ins>-</ins>bit DID

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.1, MAC: Addressing

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Fan Guanghui		<u> </u>	<u>Date:</u>		
<u>Comment #</u>	A085	D	Document unde	er Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Sat	tisfied	<u>Page</u> 14	<u>Line</u> 18	Fig/Table#	Subclause 5.2.5.1
The sentence	e is incomplete.						

Suggested Remedy

The AMS and the ABS signal enabling of ROHC <ins> by setting bit 6 of Request/Transmission Policy to 0 in AAI_DSA-REQ

 Group Resolution
 Decision of Group:
 Principle

 The AMS and the ABS signal enabling of ROHC <ins>by setting Bit 6 of Request/Transmission Policy to 0 in AAI_DSA-REQ</ins>

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution.

 Group's Notes Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: Membership Status: Date: Jiang ying Comment # A086 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Type Technical Part of Dis X Satisfied Page 597 Line 56 Subclause 16.3.5.5.2.4.7 Fig/Table# Comment Several problems with sentence: 1)"standalone headers" should be MAC signaling headers 2) "messages" should be MAC control messages 3) messages and headers are not signaled using CDMA Allocation A-MAP IE.

4) CDMA Allocation A-MAP IE is never used in an UL allocation

Suggested Remedy

Change: "Other <ins>MAC control</ins> messages and standalone <ins>or MAC signaling</ins> headers shall not be <ins>transmitted in an UL allocation</ins> signaled using CDMA Allocation A-MAP IE in an UL allocation."

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change: "Other <ins>MAC control</ins> messages and standalone <ins>or MAC signaling</ins> headers shall not be <ins>transmitted in an UL allocation</ins> signaled using CDMA Allocation A-MAP IE in an UL allocation."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jiang ying		<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>		Date:
Comment #	A087	Document un	der Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u> Missing space	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 114	<u>Line</u> 14	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.12
Suggested Reme Change "1bit"	-						
<u>GroupResolution</u>		Decision of Group: Agre	e				
Change "1bit"	to "1 bit"						
Reason for Group	o's Decision/Resolutio	<u>n</u>					
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3	, MAC: MAC Cont	trol messages					
Editor's Notes	Ec	ditor's Actions a) done					

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/00	45r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jared Yang			<u>Membership S</u>	<u>itatus:</u>	Date:	
Comment #	A088		Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 813	<u>Line</u> 7	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.4.9	

A manual femto ABS selection mode has been provided in the latest 16m draft D4. The white list of the MS may be updated in this mode. We want to give another white list update method when the MS in idle mode. According to the result of location update at the femto ABS, the AMS may updates its white list.

Reference(p793 in D6):

16.4.7.2 Manual Femto ABS Selection

Manual femto ABS selection enables a human user to select a femto ABS and override automatic selection. In manual femto ABS selection, the AMS may scan neighbor femto ABSs accessible to the AMS and reports the list of accessible femto ABS to the user. An AMS may attempt to access a femto ABS not contained in the CSG white list based on manual selection provided the access credentials can be obtained. Based on the result of the network entry at the femto ABS, the AMS's CSG white list may be updated.

Suggested Remedy

16.4.9 Idle Mode

Femto ABS shall support idle mode.

The Femto ABSs operate like macro ABSs in Idle mode.

An AMS with CSG white list shall not attach to an unsubscribed CSG-Closed Femto ABS in Idle mode.

A CSG-Closed Femto ABS should not broadcast paging for a non-member AMS.

<Begin Insert>An AMS with CSG white list may update the white list by manual operation in idle mode. The manual update operation enables a human user to select/input a femto ABS out of its white list to make a location update procedure. According to the result of location update at the femto ABS, the AMS may updates its white list. If the MS make a successful location update on the select/input femto ABS out of its white list, the MS may add the femto ABS into its white list.<End Insert>

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

During Manual Selection, network entry will be performed not location update which is already mentioned in the specification.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Jared Yang	Membership Status: Dat					
<u>Comment #</u>	A089	<u> </u>	Document under	Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis 🔀 Sat	tisfied	<u>Page</u> 87	<u>Line</u> 13	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.4
No need to r	epeat the length o	f the field. "1/2" is	not a usual m	nanner to s	pecify a range	Э.		

Suggested Remedy

Change: 1/2(1bits; The number<ins> in the range 1 through 2</ins> that is higher by 1 than this field)

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Agree

 Change: 1/2(1bits; The number<ins> in the range 1 through 2</ins> that is higher by 1 than this field)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: So		Song Qiwen	<u>M</u>	Date	Date:	
Comment #	A090	Docum	ent under Review: P80	2.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page 109	<u>Line</u> 18 <u>Fig/Ta</u>	<u>ible#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.	2.6.4.2.2

When the target base station is a legacy one, the Action Time could be used for the fast ranging procedure per the text in page 295 line 59 "the serving ABS may indicate the time of the fast ranging opportunity negotiated with the potential target R1 BSs in the AAI_HO-CMD message"

Suggested Remedy

<Note: change the description of Value/Note of the Action Time field:>

Mode=0b00 Action Time included in this message is the absolute frame number at the serving ABS. When CDMA_RNG_FLAG is set to 1, it shall be set to the frame where either a normal or dynamic ranging channel is present.

Mode=0b01 This value is defined as the frame number that AMS starts zone switch. Action Time included in this message is indicated by frame number

<Begin Insert>If the target BS is an R1 BS, this value is the 8 least significant bits of the abosulte frame number which indicates the fast ranging opportunity for transmission of RNG-REQ at the target R1 BS. A value of zero indicates no opportunity to allocate Fast Ranging IE in the candidate target R1 BS.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Remedy is not clear. For mode0b01, the target BS is always R1. Furthermore, even if AMS performs CDMA ranging, the Action Time may indicate when to perform it.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/25	5				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3	
<u>Comment</u>	t by:	Xiuyan Li	<u>Membership Sta</u>	atus:	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A091	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 109 <u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.11	

In AAI, the frame numbers are synchronized across BSs and there is no need to mention that the frame number is at the serving BS. When the target BS is a R1 BS, then one can reference the frame in at the T-BS by using the frame number at the serving ABS

Suggested Remedy

Change:: Mode=0b00 If the target BS is a R1 BS, then Action Time included in this message is the absolute frame number at the serving ABS. When CDMA_RNG_FLAG is set to 1, it shall be set to the frame where either a normal or dynamic ranging channel is present. <ins>If the target BS is a R1 BS, then Action Time is the absolute frame number at the serving ABS and indicates the frame at the T-BS where a fast ranging opportunity shall be allocated. Refer to section 6.3.21.2.4</ins>

Mode=0b01 This value is defined as the frame number that AMS starts zone switch. Action Time included in this message is indicated by frame number

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

CDMA_RNG_FLAG=1 implies ranging is required, therefore Fast ranging will not be coordinated by R1 BS.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Xiuyan Li		<u>Membership Status:</u>	Date	<u>:</u>
Comment # A092	Docur	nent under Review: P8	302.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Edit TABS is not in the acror		<u>i Page</u> 106	Line 33 Fig/1	able# <u>Subclause</u> 16.2	2.3.9
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Replace TABS with T-A	BS				
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Agree			
Replace TABS with T-A	BS				
Reason for Group's Decision/	Resolution_				
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: M/	AC Control messages				
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done				

2010/10/2	5				IEEE 802.16-10/0045	r3
<u>Commen</u>	i <u>t by:</u>	ke Zeng	<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A093	Document une	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 286 <u>Line</u> 32	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.3.4	

According to the spec text, the second AAI_HO-CMD may still have multiple candidates, then should the AMS send another AAI_HO-IND to tell its final choice? The further state flow is not clear enough and may induce implemental confusion. We propose that a second AAI_HO-IND shall be sent if the AMS decides to handover to any target in the second AAI_HO-CMD.

Suggested Remedy

adopted C80216m-10_0992.doc its latest version

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Editor's Note 1 : modify the text as following in page 286 line 19]

If all target ABSs included in the AAI_HO-CMD message are unreachable (as defined in this section) or if the AAI_HO-CMD message includes no target ABS, and if the AMS has a preferred target ABS it shall inform the serving ABS of its preferred target ABS by sending the AAI_HO-IND message with HO Event Code 0b001 prior to expiration of Disconnect Time. <ins>If the AMS has no preferred target ABS to include in the AAI_HO-IND message, it may perform HO cancellation as described in section 16.2.6.3.6.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Ju	inxian Mo		<u>Membership Status:</u>				Date:		
<u>Comment #</u>	A094			Docum	nent und	ler Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16n	n	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied		<u>Page</u> 241	<u>Line</u> 27	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2521124	
					0				of the AK_COUN JNT at the authe	T at the nticator (Annex N).	
Suggested Remo	<u>edy</u>										
Delete (inform	native)	from the sec	ction title.								
<u>GroupResolution</u>	<u>n</u>		<u>Decis</u> i	ion of Group:	Agree						
Delete (inform	native)	from the sec	ction title.								
Reason for Grou	ıp's Decis	sion/Resolutior	L								
<u>Group's Notes</u>											
Clause 16.2.	5, MAC	: AAI Securi	ty								
<u>Editor's Notes</u>		<u>Ed</u>	itor's Actions	<u>s</u> a) done							

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>by:</u>	Junxian Mo			Membership Stat	tus:	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A095		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 90	<u>Line</u> 14	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.5	
No need to re	epeat the length	of the field. "1/2/3	8/4/5/6/7/8" is r	not a usual r	nanner to spe	cify a range.		

Suggested Remedy

Change: 1/2/3/4/5/6/7/8(3bits; The number<ins> in the range 1 through 8</ins> that is higher by 1 than this field)

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Agree

 Change: 1/2/3/4/5/6/7/8(3bits; The number<ins> in the range 1 through 8</ins> that is higher by 1 than this field)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	tong jianfei		<u>Membership Status:</u>				Date:
Comment #	A096		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 434	Line 1	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.26.1
	-CMD is sent succ here is not strictly		AAI_HO-IND me	essage may	still be expec	ted if AAI_HO-	-CMD has multip	le candidates. So

Suggested Remedy

In case of a HO, if the ABS identifies the AAI_HO_CMD message is successfully sent to the AMS<ins>HO excution procedure is ended at the action time</ins>, the ABS shall stop the coverage loss detection procedure (i.e. described in 16.2.26.2) for the AMS.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Accept the resolutions in contribution c80216m-10/1088.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

2010/10/25	
------------	--

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Libra Xiao	<u>Membership Status:</u>				Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A097		Document und	er Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 4	Line 2	Fig/Table#	Subclause 3	

Frame number is defined differently for WirelessMAN-OFDMA and AAI. In WirelessMAN-OFDMA, the frame number is a 24-bit value transmitted in the DL-MAP PHY Synchronization field. AAI uses a 12-bit superframe number (4 LSB are transmitted in the P-SFH IE and 8 MSB are transmitted in the S-SFH SP1 IE) and a 2 bit frame index which is implicitly defined (frames within a superframe have index 0 through 3 in the order of transmission). Frame number is not explicitly defined in AAI. Yet, the AAI specification uses the term frame number in many places.

Suggested Remedy

Add a definintion for frame number as follows:

In WirelessMAN-OFDMA, the frame number is a 24-bit number transmitted in every frame. Frame numbers are not necesseraly synchronized across base stations. In WirelessMAN-AAI, the frame number is obtained by concatenating the 12-bit superframe number (transmitted in every superframe) and the 2-bit frame index. Superframe numbers are synchronized across base stations. On page 49, line 9, change: "Frame number index<ins> where </ins>< del>to be allocated UL resources for Adaptation ACK/NACK <ins>shall be allocated</ins>on SSSCH 2 MSB: 2 LSBs of the super frame number index 2 LSB: frame number index" On page 121, line 11, change: Recommended start frame number<ins>index</ins> Represents recommended start frame number<ins>index</ins> within a super frame. On page 123, line 51, change: start frams-number<ins>index</ins> Represents recommended start frame number<ins>index</ins> On page 144, line 22, change frame number to frame index. On page 588, line 37, change Frame number to Frame index (3 occurrences) On page 732, line 21, change t is frame number calculated as four times superframe number plus frame number<ins>index</ins> within a superframe

On page 791, line 48, change frame number to frame index

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Add a definition for frame number as follows:

In WirelessMAN-OFDMA, the frame number is a 24-bit number transmitted in every frame. Frame numbers are not necesseraly synchronized across base stations. In WirelessMAN-AAI, the frame number is obtained by concatenating the 12-bit superframe number (transmitted in every superframe) and the 2-bit frame index. Superframe numbers are synchronized across base stations. On page 49, line 9, change: "Frame number index<ins> where </ins>< del>to be allocated UL resources for Adaptation ACK/NACK <ins>shall be allocated</ins>on SSSCH 2 MSB: 2 LSBs of the super frame number index 2 LSB: frame number index"

On page 121, line 11, change: Recommended start frame number<ins>index</ins> Represents recommended start frame number<ins>index</ins> within a super frame.

On page 123, line 51, change: start frams number<ins>index</ins> Represents recommended start frame number<ins>index</ins>

On page 144, line 22, change frame number to frame index.

On page 588, line 37, change Frame_number to Frame index (3 occurrences)

On page 732, line 21, change t is frame number calculated as four times superframe number plus frame

number<ins>index</ins> within a superframe

On page 791, line 48, change frame number to frame index

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 3, General: Definitions

Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions	a) done
----------------	------------------	---------

2010/10/25

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Libra Xiao			<u>tatus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A098	Do	ocument und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satis		<u>Page</u> 87	<u>Line</u> 44	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.4

No need to repeat the length of the field. "1/2/4" is not a usual manner to specify a range.

Suggested Remedy

1/2/4(2bits; The number <ins>in the range {1, 2, 4} </ins>that is higher by 1 than this field and 3 is unavailable)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

1/2/4(2bits; The number <ins>in the range {1, 2, 4} </ins>that is higher by 1 than this field and 3 is unavailable)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Zhao Wei	Membership Status:				<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	099		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	Type Technical	Part of Dis		<u>Page</u> 811	<u>Line</u> 33	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.4.8.1.2	

1. The description of location information is not in proper place;

2. Give an example to clarify the usage of location information .

Suggested Remedy

16.4.8.1.2 AMS scanning of neighbor Femto ABSs

For neighbor Femto ABSs, an AMS performs the scanning procedure as per 16.2.6.1.2 with exceptions described in this subsection. An AMS may scan femto ABSs according to the FAs included in the broadcast AAI_NBR-ADV message. AMS may scan femto ABSs that are not included in AAI_NBR-ADV based on SA-preamble partitioning information (see 16.4.4). In addition, an AMS may scan allowed femto ABSs based on the CSG White List., <ins>which may include absolute/relative location information of CSG Femto ABS, such as GPS info, overlay Macro ABS BSID.</i>
 S Based on location information, AMS may initiate the scanning procedure (See 16.2.6.1.2).
 The location information may include absolute/relative location information of CSG Femto ABS, such as GPS info, overlay Macro ABS BSID.
 ABS BSID.
 ABS may initiate the scanning when the distance between the AMS and CSG Femto ABS is less than a pre-defined threshold or the AMS detects the overlay Macro ABS.
 I loss than a pre-defined threshold or the AMS detected SA-preamble index and FA information. Upon reception of the AAI_SCN-REQ, the ABS shall respond with an AAI_SCN-RSP which may include neighbor accessible Femto ABS list based on the SA-preamble index.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It is Implementation Issue. Standard does not defines the 'pre-defined' criteria that is mentioned.

Group's Notes Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Mingxia Xu			Membership Sta	<u>tus:</u>		Date:
Comment #	A100		Document unde	r Review: P8	302.16m/D7	l	Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 154	<u>Line</u> 16	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.26
	e sentence"The A e field may be ind						•	
Suggested Reme	dy							
 [Note: Add the <ins> M/O:O Attributes / An Size(bits): 20* Value/Note: M and the secon</ins>		otion of SLPID_ SLPID_Update f SLPIDs neede s new SLPID.	_Update field an ed to be update	n the end o d. For each	f AAI_TRF-INI	D]	the first 10 bits	indicates old SLPID

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Modifiy the table 710 on page 153, line 37, as follows;]

	Table 710—Parameters for AAI_TRF-IND								
M	SLPID	10	Each SLPID is used to indicate the positive traffic indication for an AMS 0~1023	When FRMT ==1					
<u>0</u>	SLPID_Update	<u>20*N</u>	For each 20 bits, the first 10 bits indicates old <u>SLPID and the second 10 bits indicates</u> <u>new SLPID</u> <u>N = the number of SLPIDs to be updated (1</u>	<u>When FRMT ==1</u> 1024)					

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.	3, MAC: MAC Cor	ntrol message	S			
Editor's Notes	E	ditor's Actions	a) done			
2010/10/2	5					
<u>Commen</u>	t by:	xia yang			Membership Sta	<u>atus:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A101		Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 385	<u>Line</u> 54	Fig/Table#

The unsolicited UL bandwidth granted is for the BR header. The description here is not correct.

Suggested Remedy

During network entry, an ABS may allocate an UL bandwidth for transmission or retransmission of MAC messages, the size of BR without STID header, without a contention-based bandwidth request from the AMS by setting the Unsolicited bandwidth grant indicator in an AAI_RNG-RSP message to the AMS. If the unsolicited bandwidth indicator is enabled, the ABS should allocate UL bandwidth within the BR grant time duration in order to transmit or retransmit subsequent MAC messages <ins>for transmission or retransmission of the BR without STID header</ins>during network entry.

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Date:

Subclause 16.2.5

Ballot ID: sb 16m

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

During network entry, an ABS may allocate an UL bandwidth for transmission or retransmission of MAC messages, the size of BR without STID header, without a contention-based bandwidth request from the AMS by setting the Unsolicited bandwidth grant indicator in an AAI_RNG-RSP message to the AMS. If the unsolicited bandwidth indicator is enabled, the ABS should allocate UL bandwidth within the BR grant time duration in order to transmit or retransmit subsequent MAC messages <ins>for transmission or retransmission of the BR without STID header</ins>during network entry.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.15, MAC: Network Entry and Initialization

2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/0045			45r3
Comment by:		Gongyi Xia	<u>Membership Status:</u>			Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A102	Docume	ent under Review: P	802.16m/D7	7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page 386	<u>Line</u> 5	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.5	

It seems that the BR grant timer is only useful when the ABS sets the Unsolicited bandwidth grant indicator in an AAI RNG-RSP message. The starting position of this timer seems not correct. When the ABS receives a MAC message, it shall make a response first. Why shall this timer be started before the response message is sent??

Suggested Remedy

Replace the followiing:

The BR grant timer in ABS is started upon ABS receiving MAC messages from AMS during network entry. The AMS also starts the BR grant timer when it receives the MAC messages from ABS successfully.

As:

The BR grant timer in ABS is started when the ABS transmits the AAI RNG-RSP message with the unsolicited bandwidth grant indicator set to 1 to the AMS and restarted when the ABS transmits an MAC message in the subsequent network entry procedure. The BR grant timer in AMS is started when the AMS receives the AAI RNG-RSP message with the unsolicited bandwidth grant indicator set to 1 sent to it and restarted when the AMS receives an MAC message in the subsequent network entry procedure.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Replace the followiing:

The BR grant timer in ABS is started upon ABS receiving MAC messages from AMS during network entry. The AMS also starts the BR grant timer when it receives the MAC messages from ABS successfully.

As:

The BR grant timer in ABS is started when the ABS transmits the AAI RNG-RSP message with the unsolicited bandwidth grant indicator set to 1 to the AMS and restarted when the ABS transmits an MAC message in the subsequent network entry procedure. The BR grant timer in AMS is started when the AMS receives the AAI RNG-RSP message with the unsolicited bandwidth grant indicator set to 1 sent to it and restarted when the AMS receives an MAC message in the subsequent network entry procedure.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.15, MAC: Network Entry and Initialization

Comment hui Tee Oien Membershin Status		
Comment by:Tao QianMembership Status:Date:	Date:	
Comment #A103Document under Review:P802.16m/D7Ballot ID:sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> 400 <u>Line</u> 49 <u>Fig/Table#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8	}	

The primary paging group is the one with the smallest offset per the description in the next paragraph starting from line54. The description about definition of primary paging group here doesn't make any sense. The definition of primary paging offset and sencondary paging offsets is suggested to be moved to the next paragraph

Suggested Remedy

When an AMS is assigned to more than one paging groups, one of the AMS's paging groups is called the primary paging group and rest of the paging groups are called secondary paging groups. When an AMS is assigned to one paging group, the paging group is considered as a primary paging group. The paging offset associated with the primary paging group is called the primary paging offset. while the paging offsets associated with secondary paging groups are called secondary paging offsets. When the AMS is assigned to multiple paging groups with the same paging cycle and different paging offsets, the primary paging group is the one with the smallest offset. <ins>The paging offset associated with the primary paging group is called the primary paging offset, while the paging offsets associated with secondary paging groups are called secondary paging offsets.</ins> The time difference between two adjacent paging offsets should be long enough so that the ABS can (i) send a paging message to the AMS in the primary paging offset within the paging cycle, (ii) when the AMS is in the primary paging group, receive a response to the paging message by the AMS before the secondary paging offset, and (iii) retransmit the paging message to the AMS at the secondary offset within the same paging cycle only if a response to the paging message in the primary paging offset is not received.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

When an AMS is assigned to more than one paging groups, one of the AMS's paging groups is called the primary paging group and rest of the paging groups are called secondary paging groups. When an AMS is assigned to one paging group, the paging group is considered as a primary paging group. The paging offset associated with the primary paging group is called the primary paging offset, while the paging offsets associated with secondary paging groups are called secondary paging offsets. When the AMS is assigned to multiple paging groups with the same paging cycle and different paging offsets, the primary paging group is the one with the smallest offset. <ins>The paging offset associated with the primary paging group is called the primary paging offset, while the paging offsets associated with secondary paging groups are called secondary paging offsets.</ins> The time difference between two adjacent paging offsets should be long enough so that the ABS can (i) send a paging message to the AMS in the primary paging offset within the paging cycle, (ii) when the AMS is in the primary paging group, receive a response to the paging message by the AMS before the secondary paging offset, and (iii) retransmit the paging message to the AMS at the secondary offset within the same paging cycle only if a response to the paging message in the primary paging offset is not received.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions	a) done		
2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
Comment by:	Yingming Wang		Membership Status:	Date:
Comment # A104		Document under Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u>	Technical Part of Dis	Satisfied Page 400	Line 55 Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.8
The AMS may only case.	be within one or more see	condary paging groups. The	e description here shall be	changed to be also suitable for this

Suggested Remedy

When the AMS is assigned to multiple paging groups with the same paging cycle and different paging offsets, the primary paging group is the one with the smallest offset. The time difference between two adjacent paging offsets should be long enough so that the ABS can (i) send a paging message to the AMS in the primary paging offset within the paging cycle, (ii) when the AMS is in the primary paging group, receive a response to the paging message by the AMS before the secondary paging offset, and (iii) retransmit the paging message to the AMS at the secondary offset within the same paging cycle only if a response to the paging message in the primary paging offset is not received. <ins> (i) sends a paging message to the AMS in the smallest paging offset of one or more the paging groups the AMS is current within, (ii) receives a response to the paging message by the AMS before the next paging offset if exists; (iii)retransmits the paging message to the AMS at the next adjacent paging offset if exists only if a response to the paging message is not received before the next adjacent paging offset.</ins>

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

proposed remedy leads to ambiguites at the ABS regarding which paging group where the AMS is. Thus leads to additional overhead.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.18, MAC: Idle Mode

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/2	5		IEEE 802.16-10/0			
<u>Comment by:</u>		YANG shaochun	<u>Membership Status:</u>		<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A105	Document u	Inder Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page 556 Line</u> 18	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.5.1.2	

In the WiMAX network deployment scenario, one ABS may be connected to more than one ASN GWs. If the legacy ASN GW is deployed in 16m network, there may be such a scenario that the ABS is connected to both legacy ASN GW and advance ASN GW. The ABS should broad such a configuration status to the AMSs and to neighbor ABSs.

The AMS may support either both legacy ASN and advanced ASN or advanced ASN only. This capability should inform the ASN network for the purpose of connection mode selection or handover decision.

Suggested Remedy

Adopted C80216m-10_0757r1.doc or its latest version

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

A scenario should be clarified first whether parts of ASN-GWs are not upgraded while others are upgraded. In additon, from an ABS perspective, it does not seem to be connected with two different ASN-GWs at the same time.

Advanced ASN should be backward compatible. Therefore, S-SFH Network Configuration bit set to 0 covers this mixed deployment scenario without additional definition.

Group's Notes

MAC: legacy ASN

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/)2.16-10/00)45r3	
<u>Comment</u>	Comment by: Dongmei Fang			Membership Status:			Date:			
Comment #	A106			Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 311	<u>Line</u> 49	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.8.2.8	
	aurod	corrier may h	a pood to	allocated LIL fee	dhaak ahann	ol in primon	(aarriar			

Partially configured carrier may be need to allocated UL feedback channel in primary carrier.

Suggested Remedy

2010/10/25

In multicarrier aggregation with DL-only secondary partially configured carrier, the ABS directs the AMS to report CINRs of active DL-only secondary carriers hrough FastFeedback channel(s) on the primary carrier

at the feedback region The feedback region is indicated using the physical carrier index for the primary carrier, the start DLRU index for feedback channel, the number of DLRUs for feedback channel and the number of HARQ feedback channel per HARQ feedback region in the AAI SCD message that is transmitted on the active DL-only secondary <Begin Insert> partially <End Insert> carrier as defined in 16.3.8.3.3. The feedback region of the active DL-only secondary<Begin Insert> partially <End Insert> carrier follows the feedback region of the primary carrier.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Modify the text (line 49, page 311) as follows:

In multicarrier aggregation with DL-only secondary partially configured carrier, the ABS directs the AMS to report CINRs of active DL-only secondary carriers hrough FastFeedback channel(s) on the primary carrier

at the feedback region The feedback region is indicated using the physical carrier index for the primary carrier, the start DLRU index for feedback channel, the number of DLRUs for feedback channel and the number of HARQ feedback channel per HARQ feedback region in the AAI SCD message that is transmitted on the active DL-only secondary <ins> partially configured </ins> carrier as defined in 16.3.8.3.3. The feedback region of the active DL-only secondary<ins> partially configured</ins> carrier follows the feedback region of the primary carrier.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Dongmei Fang	Membership Status:			Date:
Comment # A107		Document u	nder Review: Pa	Ballot ID: sb_16m		
Comment	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 54	<u>Line</u> 54	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.1.3.8

Do not concatenate a number an a word without inserting a hyphen.

Suggested Remedy

Change: 'STC rate - 1' mapped to <ins>a </ins>3<ins>-</ins>bit unsigned integer (i.e., STC rate=1 as 0b000 ~<ins>,</ins> STC rate=8 as 0b111)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Change: 'STC rate - 1' mapped to <ins>a </ins>3<ins>-</ins>bit unsigned integer (i.e., STC rate=1 as 0b000 ~<ins>,</ins> STC rate=8 as 0b111)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		feng shengrong	Membership Status:		<u>is:</u>	Date:	
Comment # A108		Document under Review: P802.16m/D7					Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Sa	atisfied Page	152	<u>Line</u> 21	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.26

The description is not clear enough how the AAI_TRF-IND message will be transmitted. We propose that the indication message shall be transmitted in the first listening window.

Suggested Remedy

This message, when present, is <ins>shall be</ins> sent from the ABS to the AMSs. The message is <ins>shall be</ins> sent in the first frame of AMS' Listening Window....

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

This message, when present, is <ins>shall be</ins> sent from the ABS to the AMSs. The message is <ins>shall be</ins> sent in the first frame of AMS' Listening Window....

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

2010/10/25						IEEE 80	2.16-10/0045r	3
Comment by:		Jie Zhao	Membership Status:			Date:		
<u>Comment #</u>	A109	Document und	ler Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	n	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 312	<u>Line</u> 19	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.8.2.9.1.1	
AMS may sca	an neighbor ABSs'	partially carriers and provide	e scan repor	t for ABS to p	ore-assignment	t. Since The servi	ng ABS may	
communicate	with the target AB	S(s) to help the AMS obtain	the pre-assi	gned second	lary carriers be	efore handover ex	ecution. Seconda	iry

Suggested Remedy

The AAI_NBR-ADV message shall carry neighbor ABS's multicarrier configuration information to facilitate AMS's scanning of neighbor ABSs' fully configured carriers. <Begin insert>Partially configured carriers may also be scanned by AMS.<End insert>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

carriers can be fully or partiially configured carriers.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The current draft standard does not have any function to utilize the information of partially configured carreri for handover execution.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Jie Zhao		Date:					
<u>Comment #</u>	A110	Document under Review: P802.16m/D7				Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis 🛛 Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 87	<u>Line</u> 48	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.4			
No need to repeat the length of the field. "1/2/3/4" is not a usual manner to specify a range.									

Suggested Remedy

1/2/3/4(2bits; The number <ins>in the range 1 through 4</ins>that is higher by 1 than this field)

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group: Agree

 1/2/3/4(2bits; The number <ins>in the range 1 through 4</ins>that is higher by 1 than this field)

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

 Group's Notes

 Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

201	0/10	/25
-----	------	-----

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>t by:</u>	bao	chao		Membership Stati	us:	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A111		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis 🛛 Satisfied 🗌	<u>Page</u> 395	<u>Line</u> 38	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.17.2.3.1

The listen window may be terminated explicitly by SCH and

It is unpredictable whether at least one MAC PDU can be sent in the listening window, except that the ABS can pre-schedule the resource for the AMS before the listening window.

Suggested Remedy

If the AMS receives a positive traffic indication, it shall wait in the rest of Listening Window for unicast data <ins>unless the listening window is terminated explicitly by SCH</ins>. If the ABS sends a positive indication to a specific AMS, the ABS shall transmit at least one DL MAC PDU to the AMS during the AMS's Listening Window <ins> or send SCH to terminate the listening window during the listening window if no MAC PDU can be sent to the AMS</i>

<u>GroupResolution</u>

Decision of Group: Principle

If the AMS receives a positive traffic indication, it shall wait in the rest of Listening Window for unicast data <ins>unless the listening window is terminated explicitly by SCH</ins>.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep Mode

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Wang Bo	<u>Membership Statu</u>	Date:	
Comment # A112	Documen	t under Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16	Sm
00	s ABORT, the AAI_RNG-AC	Page 387 <u>Line</u> 61 K may also privide the ranging he ranging abort timer is only p	abort timer to rejecte the AM	
Suggested Remedy [Note 1: Add the following d <ins> - Upon receiving an Abort st abort timer expires. </ins>		ge 387] hall start the ranging abort time	r and abort the ranging proce	ess until the ranging
[Note 2: Add the following fi <ins> M/O: O Attributes / Array of attribute</ins>		g Status field in line 23 in page 8	34]	
Value: 0 (Do not try ranging Value: 1-65535, In units of s Conditions: It shall be include	again at the ABS.) seconds ded for each received rangin e specific user when Rangin	IS from attempting network entr g preamble code index when Ra g status is 0b01 and the AAI_R	anging status is 0b01.	
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: A	gree		
abort timer expires. 	tatus notification, the AMS s eld description after Ranging	ge 387] hall start the ranging abort timer g Status field in line 23 in page 8		ess until the ranging

Value/Note: Timer defined by an ABS to prohibit the AMS from attempting network entry at this ABS, for a specific time duration. Value: 0 (Do not try ranging again at the ABS.) Value: 1-65535, In units of seconds Conditions: It shall be included for each received ranging preamble code index when Ranging status is 0b01. Or it shall be included for the specific user when Ranging status is 0b01 and the AAI_RNG-ACK message is transmitted in a DL resource allocated by DL basic assignment A-MAP IE. </ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.15, MAC: Network Entry and Initialization

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25	
------------	--

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>		Li Li			<u>Membership </u> §	<u>Status:</u>		Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A113			Document ur	nder Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 301	<u>Line</u> 56	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2652123	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Why does the sleep interval may be negotiated if the AMS wants to perform handover scaning and measurement? The scanning interval is enough and the sleep interval is much more complex to be maintained.									
Suggested Rem	<u>edy</u>									
8)In the singl connections a Page 301Line	at targe	et RATs.	MS negoti	iates with the AA	I ABS about s	scan /sl	eep interv	vals so that it car	evaluate the link	

negotiate scan/sleep interval with

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In page 301, line 56, modify the following sentence: 8)In the single radio case, the AMS negotiates with the AAI ABS about scan/sleep intervals so that it can evaluate the link connections at target RATs. Page 301Line 8 Figure 414 negotiate scan/sleep interval with

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

IFFF 802 16-10/0045r3

2010/10/23								02.10-10/0045	
Comment by: xiaoling xiao						<u>Date:</u>			
<u>Comment #</u>	A114		Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
CommentTypeTechnicalPart of DisSatisfiedPage279Line26Fig/Table#Subclause16.2.6.1.1There is no load information included in AAI_NBR-ADV message yet. As the network entry admission is finally decided by the base station, I think it is not nessary to broadcast such a information									
Suggested Remedy The AAI_NBR-ADV message may include parameters required for cell selection e.g., cell load and cell type.									
<u>GroupResolution</u>	<u>1</u>	Decision of	Group: Agree						
		e following sente may include par		uired for cell s	election e.g.,	cell loa	d and cell	type."	
Reason for Grou	<u>p's Decision/Resolut</u>	ion							
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.6	6, Mac: Mac Ho) procedures							

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>t by:</u> X	uehuan Wang			<u>Membership S</u>	<u>tatus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	A115		Document und	der Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 13	<u>Line</u> 13	Fig/Table#	Subclause 5.2.3.1	
is not cloar	what "the DUCV/ i	e cot" moone	If the DUSV/ is	not procont	it has the sa	ma dafault ma	aning as the PHSV/ is set to	Δ

It is not clear what "the PHSV is set" means. If the PHSV is not present, it has the same default meaning as the PHSV is set to 0

Suggested Remedy

If PHSV is set <ins> to 0 </ins> or not present, the SS shall.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

P13 L35: If PHSV is set <ins> to 0 </ins> or not present, the SS shall.

P13 L55: If PHSV is set <ins> to 0 </ins> or not present, the SS shall.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

Editor's Notes Editor's Act

Editor's Actions a) done

 2010/10/25
 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

 Comment by:
 Jia Lin
 Membership Status:
 Date:

 Comment # A116
 Document under Review:
 P802.16m/D7
 Ballot ID:
 sb_16m

<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> 282 <u>Line</u> 35 <u>Fig/Table#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.2

In Multicarrier Scenario, an AMS may use multiple carriers for data transmission. When an AMS scans the carriers of the Serving ABS and Neighbor ABSs, it may trigger the actions such as "respond on trigger with AAI_SCN-REP". Since the AMS communicates with the ABS on multiple carriers, if AMS find there are other carriers of Neighbor ABS or Serving ABS satisfying trigger functions, it is possible that AMS is triggered to report these conditions.

Suggested Remedy

Adopted C80216m-10_0999 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposed metric is not a fair metric for handover.

Vote: In favor: 1 Opposed: 3

Abstain:

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Jia Lin		<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A117	Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 75	<u>Line</u> 15	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.3.1

Paging Carrier update in Idle mode for multicarrier has been defined in IEEE P802.16m/D7. For cleanup its impacts in related sections of IEEE P802.16m/D7, a few modifications should be added into section 16.2.8.2.10.2 and Table 679.

Suggested Remedy

Adopted C80216m-10_0993 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopted C80216m-10_0993r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>: by:</u>	Jia Lin			<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	<u>D</u>	ate:
<u>Comment #</u>	A118		Document unde	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 87	<u>Line</u> 9	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 1	6.2.3.4
No need to re	peat the length of t	he field. "1/2/3	8/4/5/6/7/8" is r	not a usual n	nanner to spe	cify a range.		

Suggested Remedy

Change: 1/2/3/4/5/6/7/8(3bits; The number<ins> in the range 1 through 8</ins> that is higher by 1 than this field)

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group: Agree

 Change: 1/2/3/4/5/6/7/8(3bits; The number<ins> in the range 1 through 8</ins> that is higher by 1 than this field)

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

 Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Yu-Min	Chiu		Membership Sta	<u>tus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Comment #	A119		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of	Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 46	<u>Line</u> 17	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.1.3

I am not satisfied with the resolution to comment #15 in 80216-10_0040r2.

The AMS battery level report mechanism is missing in the current D7. It is required for the ABS and AMS to negotiate the battery report capacity. Then the AMS transmits the battery level report header according to the negotiated behavior.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/0996 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The benefit of periodic battery level report is not clear.

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

45r3

2010/10/25						IEEE 80)2.16-10/00	
<u>Comment</u> b	<u>/:</u>	Yu-Min Chiu		<u>Membership Stat</u>	<u>us:</u>		Date:	
Comment #	120	Docum	nent under Review: P	802.16m/D7	Ē	Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	rype Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Satisfied	<u>1 Page</u> 93	<u>Line</u> 27	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.6	
In D7, it is said	that "Femto AB	lution to comment #10 S may disable some of ng; there is no such pa	of its subframes and	d announce the			Son-Adv."	
Suggested Remedy Adopt the propo		ications in C802.16m-1	0/0997 or its lates	t version.				
<u>GroupResolution</u>		Decision of Group:	Disagree					
Reason for Group's The frame confi		<u>on</u> in SP3 is used for this.	Also, the mappin	g of the Disable	ed frame index	is not provided		
Vote: In favor: 7 Opposed: 6								

Abstain:

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Yu-Min Chiu			<u>Membership St</u>	atus:	<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	A121		Document und	er Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 74	<u>Line</u> 53	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.	1
The lengths of	of paging offset a	re inconsistent in	D7. We sugg	gest making	the length of	paging offset	equal to 9 bits through the	e whole

Suggested Remedy

document.

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/0998 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/0998r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Vote: In favor: 31 Opposed: 1 Abstain: 0

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Yanfeng Guan		<u>I</u>	Membership Statu	<u>IS:</u>	Da	<u>te:</u>
Comment #	A122		Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	Type Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 565	<u>Line</u> 17	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16	6.3.5.5.2.3

In 16m session#68, the text related to Power Control A-MAP IE has been modified, but some confusing problems exist all the same. For example, 1) the number of HARQ regions in a UL AAI subframe is not considered by the proposed PC A-MAP text in D7; 2) the notes of "UL_FEEDBACK_SIZE" field in S-SFH SP3 is ambiguous; 3) the "Power control channel resource size indicator" field in S-SFH SP1 is not necessary.

Therefore, some proposals should be provided to clear the text related to the above aspects.

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt the text proposal in IEEE C802.16m-10/0984 or its lastest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Please adopt the text proposal in IEEE C802.16m-10/0984r3.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by: Hyur	ijeong Kang		<u> </u>	<u>Membership Statı</u>	<u>IS:</u>	Date:	
Comment #	A123		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 338	<u>Line</u> 55	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.12.2	

Vertical markets will need specific profiling (think of airport communication, smart grid, M2M). It is not appropriate to mandate each and every scheduling service for every profile that will be ever developed. Such decisions should best be left to a profiling activity.

Suggested Remedy

[Delete the sentences in page 338, line 55 in D7 as follows:] The AMS and the ABS shall support the scheduling services described in 6.3.5. The AMS and the ABS shall support adaptation of service flow (SF) QoS parameters.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

deletion of the requirement leaves the standard ambigous.

vote: 5 for, 7 against, 0 abstain

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.12, MAC: Quality of Service (QoS)

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25
<u>Comment by:</u> Hyunjeong Kang <u>Membership Statu</u>

IEEE	802.1	6-10	/0045r3
------	-------	------	---------

Comment	<u>by:</u> Hyui	njeong Kang			Membership Statu	<u>is:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A124		Document unde	er Review: P80	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🛛 S	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 286	<u>Line</u> 18	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.3.4	

Although current D7 mandates to send the AAI_HO-IND message in all unreachable case, an AMS may not always be able to send the AAI_HO-IND message with preferred target ABS due to lack of scanning time. It is also undesirable to cancel handover if signaling strength goes down with serving ABS, but the AMS has still no preferred target ABS. In this case, one operational scenario is just for AMS to perform uncontrolled handover. Hence, to send the AAI_HO-IND message should be a recommended procedure, not a required procedure.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the sentence in page 286, line 18 in D7 as follows:] If all target ABSs included in the AAI_HO-CMD message are unreachable (as defined in this section) or if the AAI_HO-CMD message includes no target ABS, and if the AMS has a preferred target ABS it shall<ins>should</ins> inform the serving ABS of its preferred target ABS by sending the AAI_HO-IND message with HO Event Code 0b001 prior to expiration of Disconnect Time.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #124.

Resolution:

[Editor's Note 1 : modify the text as following in page 286 line 19]

If all target ABSs included in the AAI_HO-CMD message are unreachable (as defined in this section) or if the AAI_HO-CMD message includes no target ABS, and if the AMS has a preferred target ABS it shall inform the serving ABS of its preferred target ABS by sending the AAI_HO-IND message with HO Event Code 0b001 prior to expiration of Disconnect Time. <ins>If the AMS has no preferred target ABS to include in the AAI_HO-IND message, it may perform HO cancellation as described in section 16.2.6.3.6.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes Edito

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25						IEEE 802.16-10/00	45r3
Comment	t by: Hy	unjeong Kang		Membership State	us:	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A125		Document under Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied Page 435	Line 22	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.28	

The Multicast service supported in single ABS can be utilized in transmitting a message to multiple users belonged to a group. Multicast transmission for group consumes less traffic resources than unicast transmission for each user in a group. Multicast service can also be used in group call. In communication network for public protection and disaster relief (PPDR), group call is considered as a key feature to send an order to multiple users and communicate with users in emergency circumstance. Since more than thousands of users can be joined in group call, unicast transmission for group call traffic seems to be inefficient and sometimes impossible in 16m systems. E-MBS may support multicast service, but it is not appropriate to use in non-periodic multicast traffic. E-MBS operates in E-MBS region where E-MBS MAP and E-MBS bursts are transmitted based on E-MBS scheduling interval (MSI). To update the E-MBS region by using AAI_E-MBS-CFG message, it takes longer than 16 superframes. So, E-MBS is not efficient to transmit non-periodic multicast traffics in IEEE 802.16m. Here is summary.

a) Multicast Service section is added to define the general Multicast operation, Multicast connection establishment, and multicast operation in connected state

b) AAI_RNG_RSP messages are modified to update Multicast Group IDs and FIDs in handover to the target ABS.

c) AAI_DSA-REQ/RSP messages are modified to assign Multicast Group IDs.

d) AAI_DSC-REQ message are modified to change Multicast Group IDs.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1010 or its later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1010r7

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

vote: 26 for, 4 against, 1 abstain

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.28, MAC: NEW Mutlicast Group Transmission

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: H		Hyunjeong Kang			<u>Membership S</u>	Status:	<u>Date:</u>
Comment #	A126		Document und	der Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technic		Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 74	<u>Line</u> 53	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.1

The bit length of Paging offset should be 12bit.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify Size (bits) for Paging Offset in page 74, line 53 as follows:] 6<ins>12</ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #121.

Resolution:

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/0998r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

 Editor's Notes
 Editor's Actions
 b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Hyunjeong Kang			<u>Membership Status:</u>				<u>Date:</u>		
Comment #	A127			Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u>	Technical <u>P</u>	art of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 79	Line 5	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.	2.3.2

An AMS may request to change its paging carrier through Paging Carrier update parameter in an AAI_RNG-REQ message and the requested carrier shall be used as a paging carrier for the AMS. If a different carrier from the requested carrier is provided in the AAI_RNG-RSP message and the provided carrier is unavailable for the AMS, then it is useless to perform location update for the paging carrier. Therefore it is proposed to delete Paging carrier information in an AAI_RNG-RSP message.

Suggested Remedy

[Adopt the following changes in page 79, line 5:] O | Paging carrier information | 6 | physical carrier index | It may be included only if the Location Update Response is set to 0x00(Success of Idle Mode Location Update)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

[Adopt the following changes in page 79, line 5:] O | Paging carrier information | 6 | physical carrier index | It may be included only if the Location Update Response is set to 0x00(Success of Idle Mode Location Update)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Ну	unjeong Kang)		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Status:</u>		Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A128			<u>Document u</u>	nder Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 138	<u>Line</u> 36	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.20
A parameter of the parameter					_DREG-REQ/	RSP is pres	ented as a bitma	p whose length	is 5. The Size (bits)

Suggested Remedy

1)[Modify Size(bits) column of Idle Mode Retain Information element in page 138, line 36 (table 704) as follows:] 4 5 </ins>

2)[Modify Size (bits) column of Idle Mode Retain Information element in page 142, line 7 (table 705) as follows:] 4 5 </ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

1)[Modify Size(bits) column of Idle Mode Retain Information element in page 138, line 36 (table 704) as follows:] 4 5 </ins>

2)[Modify Size (bits) column of Idle Mode Retain Information element in page 142, line 7 (table 705) as follows:] 4 5 </ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

2040/40/25

45r3

2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/00	
<u>Comment by:</u>	Rongzhen Yang	<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	Date:	
Comment # A129	Document und	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Techn UlpcControlChannelSet is		<u>Page</u> 224 <u>Line</u> 35	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.56	
Suggested Remedy * dataSinrMax <ins> * UlpcControlChanne * targetHarqSinr</ins>	elSet				
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Agree	•			
Modify the text, line 35, pa	ge 224, as follow:				
* dataSinrMax <ins> * UlpcControlChanne * targetHarqSinr</ins>	elSet				
Reason for Group's Decision/Res	solution				
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC	Control messages; MAC MC (m	ulticarrier)			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done				

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Ronç	gzhen Yang			<u>Membership</u>	<u>Status:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A130			Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	,	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 757	Line 7	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.8.4.2
The default (OffsetC	ontrol before	initial raning	is not necessa	ry for usage			

The default OffsetControl before initial raning is not necessary for usage.

Suggested Remedy

 The default value of OffsetControl shall be initialized to 0 before AMS starts with initial ranging process.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

 The default value of OffsetControl shall be initialized to 0 before AMS starts with initial ranging process.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by: Ror	ngzhen Yang		Date:		
<u>Comment #</u>	A131	Docum	ent under Review: P	802.16m/D7	,	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied		Line 65	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.8.4.7.2

PSR condition needs to be clarified for the first transmission at the end of subsection.

Suggested Remedy

.. (defined in 16.2.3.33). <ins> The first power status reporting is sent after AMS receive AAI_UL_PSR_CFG message with field uplinkPowerStatusReport = 1. </ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the text proposed in C802.16m-10/1038r2.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

Editor's Notes Ed

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Hyunjeon		njeong Kang	Membership Status:			<u>status:</u>	Date:		
<u>Comment #</u>	A132			Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 461	<u>Line</u> 48	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.3.6.2
In July meetir	ng, the	AAI_Global	-CFG messag	ge is changed.	But some pa	rameters in	the AAI_Globa	I-CFG message	should be cleanup
and the operation	ation d	escription in	section 16.3.	3.6.2 referring	to the inform	ation in the	AAI Global-CF	G message shou	Ild be clarified.

Suggested Remedy

Discuss and adopt a contribution IEEE 802.16m-10/1022 or later version

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #(299).

Resolution: Modify the text (line 45, page 463) as follows:

When two adjacent <ins>, but sub-carrier non-aligned</ins> carriers both contain AAI zone and WirelessMAN-OFDMA zone, they will be treated as two non-contiguous carriers and be indicated by <ins>are included in</ins> different carrier group in the AAI_Global-C<ins>FG</ins>onfig message.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.3, PHY: Frame structure (Multicarrier)

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Hyu		yunjeong Kang		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Status:</u>	Date:
Comment #	A133		Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 200 <u>Line</u> 55	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.46.2

Upon receipt of the AAI_DSA-REQ or AAI_DSC-REQ message including Group Parameter Create/Change, the receiver shall send an AAI_DSA-RSP or AAI_DSC-RSP with Confirmation code whether at least one service flow is successfully created or changed and the information for the service flow that is successfully created or changed. But any parameter for Group Parameter Create/Change is not defined in AAI_DSA-RSP and AAI_DSC-RSP message.

Suggested Remedy

Discuss and adopt a contribution IEEE 802.16m-10/1023 or later version

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Discuss and adopt a contribution IEEE 802.16m-10/1023

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Joey Chou	<u>Membership Stat</u>	<u>tus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>				
Comment # A134		Document unde	ļ	Ballot ID: sb_16m					
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page 59 Line 38	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.2.3				
Some MAC control messages need to be protected by CMAC. But, there is no mechanism to do so in the current D7 draft									

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C80216m-10_0768r1.doc or later revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

remedy is incomplete

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Joey Chou		Date:				
<u>Comment #</u>	A135	Document unde	Ballot ID: sb_16m					
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 889	Line 4	Fig/Table#	Subclause Annex P.2		
Convert all MAC control message tables in 16.2.3 into ASN.1								

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C80216m-10_0967.doc or later revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution C80216m-10_0967r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Re-opened and discussed during the common session on Thursday. C802.16m-10/0967r2 was accepted without objections.

MAC control message tables are not stable yet. The group agreed that the ASN.1 coding will be completed when the tables stabilize.

Group's Notes

Annex P, General: Annex

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Wookbong Lee			<u>Membership St</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	A136		Document une	der Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editoria	l <u>Part of</u>	Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 53	<u>Line</u> 54	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.1.3.7

Wrong reference

Suggested Remedy

Modify as follows:

Line 54: As defined in section 16.3.6.2.5.6 <ins>table 888</ins> Line 59: As defined in section 16.3.6.2.5.6 <ins>table 889</ins>

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Agree

Modify as follows:

Line 54: As defined in section 16.3.6.2.5.6 <ins>table 888</ins> Line 59: As defined in section 16.3.6.2.5.6 <ins>table 889</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Wool	kbong Lee			Membership Stat	<u>us:</u>		Date:
Comment #	A137			Document und	ler Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u> DL FFR shall			Part of Dis etric for FFR	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 90	<u>Line</u> 12	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.5
Suggested Reme	<u>ədy</u>								
In line 12 of p DL <ins> reso</ins>		· · · ·		vs:					
<u>GroupResolutior</u>	<u>n</u>		Decision of	of Group: Agree					
In line 12 of p	o. 90 (ta	able 684), m	odify as follov	VS:					
DL <ins> reso</ins>	ource n	netric for <td>ns>FFR</td> <td></td> <th></th> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ns>FFR						
<u>Reason for Grou</u>	ıp's Deci:	sion/Resolutior	<u>ı</u>						
Group's Notes									
Clause 16.2.3	3, MAC	: MAC Cont	rol messages	; LMAC + Othe	ers				
Editor's Notes		Ed	itor's Actions	a) done					

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Wookbong Lee			<u>Membership Sta</u>	Date:	
Comment #	A138		Document une	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editoria	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 169	<u>Line</u> 11	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.37

Wrong reference

Suggested Remedy

In line 11 of p. 169, modify as follows: 2Tx correlation matrix as defined in section Table 16.3.6.2.5.5.1 <ins>16.3.6.2.5.5.1</ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In line 11 of p. 169, modify as follows: 2Tx correlation matrix as defined in section Table 16.3.6.2.5.5.1 <ins>16.3.6.2.5.5.1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Wookbong Lee			Membership St	Date:	
Comment #	A139		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editoria	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 169	<u>Line</u> 19	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.37

Wrong reference

Suggested Remedy

In line 19 of p. 169, modify as follows: 4Tx correlation matrix as defined in section 16.3.7.2.5.6 <ins>16.3.6.2.5.5.1</ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In line 19 of p. 169, modify as follows: 4Tx correlation matrix as defined in section 16.3.7.2.5.6 <ins>16.3.6.2.5.5.1</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Wookbong Lee			<u>Membership St</u>	Date:	
Comment #	A140		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editoria	Part of Dis	s Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 169	<u>Line</u> 27	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.37

Wrong reference

Suggested Remedy

In line 27 of p. 169, modify as follows: 8Tx correlation matrix as defined in section 16.3.7.2.5.6 <ins>16.3.6.2.5.5.1</ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In line 27 of p. 169, modify as follows: 8Tx correlation matrix as defined in section 16.3.7.2.5.6 <ins>16.3.6.2.5.5.1</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

;

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Wookbo		Wookbong	Lee			Membership St	atus:	Date:		
<u>Comment #</u>	A141			Document und	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u> don't know v VIMO feedba				Satisfied was deleted.	<u>Page</u> 636 . Insert "Table	<u>Line</u> 46 e 877MIMO	<u>Fig/Table#</u>) feedback mo		16.3.6.2.5.3 section 16.3.6.2.5.3	
Suggested Reme In line 46 of p		able 877 (MIMO fee	edback modes	s) of IEEE P8	02.16m/D6.				

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In line 46 of p. 636, insert table 877 (MIMO feedback modes) of IEEE P802.16m/D6.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.6, PHY: Downlink MIMO

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Woo	kbong Lee	Membership Status:				Date:	
Comment #	A142			Document une	der Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 772	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.	3.9.3.1
Currently IEE	E 802.	16m uplink	2Tx codebook	contains ante	enna selection	codeword	s (index 1000 a	and 1001). This anten	ina selection

codewords may have some problem. So, we propose to change these antenna selection codewords to antenna turn off codewords.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C802.16m-10/0952 or its latest version.

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

1. The proposed changes violate the rule of normalization for each codeword, and degrade the AMS performance as -3 dB in general case (in no power shortage case).

- 2. Both proposed issues and benefit in the contribution are not well proved;
- 3. The evaluation in the contribution is insufficient;

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.9, PHY: Uplink MIMO

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Phillip	Barber	Membership Status:				
Comment # A143			Document und	ler Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of	of Dis X Satisfied	Page 6	<u>Line</u> 66	Fig/Table#	Subclause 3	

Acronym 'ASN' occurs several times in the document, but is not defined.

See page 65, line 62 for example instance of ASN in the text.

It appears that 'ASN' is being used in place of the more general and previously used term 'network' or 'operator network'.

I am not convinced that it is necessary to use ASN, which would require additional definition of what constitutes an ASN, what is ABS and AMS relationship to an ASN.

Perhaps we should revert usage to 'network' as was used in 802.16-2009

Suggested Remedy

Either: Insert row item: access network (ASN): create appropriate definition or: replace all instances of 'ASN' with 'network' throughout the document

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #191.

Resolution: On page 7, line 24 add the following as indicated: <ins>ASN access service network</ins> <ins>ASN.1 abstract syntax notation</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 3, General: Definitions

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

ß

2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/00)45r3
Comment by:	Phillip Barber	<u>Membership Sta</u>	atus:	Date:	
Comment # A144	Document u	nder Review: P802.16m/D7	ļ	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Tech	hnical Part of Dis 🛛 Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 303 <u>Line</u> 36	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.5.2.3	.2
In Figure 415					
	ViMAX' or 'WiMAX ASN' in the doo	cument, and usage of the po	ssibly copyrigh	ted or trademarked name W	/iMAX
is unecessary in this con	itext				
Suggested Remedy					
In Figure 415					
Either:					
delete the term 'WiMAX'	from the Figure				
or:	nom the righte				
	KASN' with 'network' in the Figure)			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Prin	iciple			
In Figure 415					
•	ASN' with 'network' in the Figure)			
	-				
Reason for Group's Decision/F	Resolution				
Group's Notes					
Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MA	AC HO procedures				
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done				

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	t by:	kaiying Lv	<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A145	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 870 <u>Line</u> 46	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.9.2.4	

According to 802.16m/D7, for a carrier switching capable AMS, if its E-MBS services are transmitted on carriers other than its primary carrier, it performs carrier switching to receive the E-MBS services. However, the ABS could not acquire information about which E-MBS service the AMS is currently receiving. It affects the unicast service scheduling for the AMS on its primary carrier.

Suggested Remedy

Discuss and adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10_1029 or latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #54.

Resolution: Adopt the text proposed in C802.16m-10/1035r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.9, Other: eMBS

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/004	5r 3
Comment by: kaiying Lv				<u>Date:</u>				
<u>Comment #</u>	A146		Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 870	<u>Line</u> 65	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.9.3.1	

According to D7, E-MBS configuration parameters such as Zone_Allocation_Bit-MAP, MSI lenth etc. are transmitted in AAI_SCD message for both unicast and E-MBS users to be informed of resource allocation. When these E-MBS configuration parameters updates, E-MBS users shall timely update the parameters to continue receiveing E-MBS service correctly. When carrier-switching capable E-MBS users receive unicast and E-MBS services alternatively between primary and secondary carriers, E-MBS users may not be able to catch updated AAI_SCD message on the secondary carrier. Therefore an update indication shall be sent. On the other hand, the updated E-MBS related SCD information shall be applied at specific time which is related to MSI or AAI_E-MBS_CFG transmission period or AAI_E-MBS_CFG life time.

Suggested Remedy

00404000

Discuss and adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10_1030 or latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10_1030r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.9, Other: eMBS

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>it by:</u>	Phillip	Barber		Membership Statu	<u>is:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A147		Document under	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	Part o	of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 827	<u>Line</u> 57	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.6.2.3.2

Sentence:

'Upon ABS receiving the uplink control messages from the ARS, it attaches the ASN transport network headers and forwards the message to the ASN.'

Behavior is BS network behavior, not air interface behavior, and is outside the scope of the air interface standard to specify such normative behavior.

Suggested Remedy

delete sentence

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Delete the following sentence on Page 827 Line 57:

'Upon ABS receiving the uplink control messages from the ARS, it attaches the ASN transport network headers and forwards the message to the ASN.'

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Re-opened on Thursday afternoon and discussed. Comment was accepted following the discussion.

The ARS-ABS link is in scope of the standard.

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Limei Wang	Membership Status:				Date:
Comment # A148	Documer	nt under Review: P8	02.16m/D7	E	Ballot ID: sb_16r	m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 301	<u>Line</u> 44	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2652123
According to the Figure 414, the mac control message carrying	-		from the ai	r interface of 16n	n. But it seems	there is no defined

Suggested Remedy

[Note: needs clarification]

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

In page 301, line 42, modify the following sentence:

"The AMS learns about the presence of other-RAT(s) in SFH and then obtains the system parameters and configuration information from the Multi-RAT information<ins>AAI_L2-xfer</ins> MAC control message."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Limei Wang			<u>Membership St</u>	atus:	Date:
Comment #	A149		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 93	<u>Line</u> 63	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.7

The paragraph is redundant and can be deleted.

Suggested Remedy

The following parameters may be included in AMS capability negotiation parameters of AAI_REG-REQ. *AMS initiated aGP Service Adaptation Capability: 0b0: no support 0b1: support

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #10031.

Resolution:

Delete the redundant text regarding AMS initiated aGP Service Adaptation Capability as follows.

16.2.3.7 AAI_REG-REQ

An AAI_REG-REQ message is transmitted by AMS to negotiate general AMS capabilities and do registration during network entry.

The following parameters may be included in AMS capability negotiation parameters of AAI_REG-REQ.

•AMS initiated aGP Service Adaptation Capability: 0b0: no support 0b1: support

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u> Xia	angying Yang		<u>Membership</u>	<u>Status:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A150	Doc	cument under Review: P	302.16m/D7	7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Satist	fied Page 272	<u>Line</u> 51	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.3.1
MSID privacy	depends on MS	internal policy (e.g.,	based on its home N	SP policy).	This should be c	larified in MS privacy section.

Suggested Remedy

adopt text proposal of C80216m-10/1033 or its latest version

GroupResolutionDecision of Group:PrincipleResolved by comment #10081.Resolution:Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1014.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

Editor's Notes Editor'

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Xiangying Yang		Membership Sta	atus:	<u>Date:</u>				
Comment # A151		Document under Review: P	802.16m/D7	B	Ballot ID: sb_16m				
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u>	Technical Part of Dis X	Satisfied Page 311	<u>Line</u> 31	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8.2.8				
Current PHY layer feedbacks sent in headers and MAC control messages are limited to be on primary carrier. Since actual PHY operations will be independent on each carrier, additional signaling is required to make this work.									
Suggested Remedy									

adopt text proposal of C80216m-10/1034 or its latest version

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposed remedy is incomplete.

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>		Robert	Sultan			Membership	<u>Status:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A152				Document ur	nder Review: P8	02.16m/D7	,	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part o	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 17	<u>Line</u> 34	Fig/Table#	Subclause 6.3.2.1.2.	1.1
The change is not mandatory but only valid when the request is granted										

Suggested Remedy

In case of the Extended rtPS, the BS changes its grant size to the value specified in this field <ins>if the request is granted</ins>.

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Agree

 In case of the Extended rtPS, the BS changes its grant size to the value specified in this field <ins>if the request is granted</ins>.

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

 Group's Notes

Clause 6, MAINTENANCE: MAC common part sublayer

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Robert Sultan	<u>Member</u>	<u>Date:</u>					
<u>Comment #</u>	A153	Document und	der Review: P802.16n	n/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m				
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 176 <u>Line</u> 5	50 <u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.42				
Misspelling of word value occurs in 4 places in document (probably due to copy-paste)									

Suggested Remedy

Change all occurrences of values to value throughout the document

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change all occurrences of values to value throughout the document

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>it by:</u>	Phillip	Barber	<u> </u>	Membership State	us:	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A154		Document und	er Review: P8)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 15	Line 8	Fig/Table#	Subclause 5.2.6

In Table 2a

The specification indicates:

On the transmitter side, once the protocol type of an incoming packet is determined, the appropriate classification rules are applied to the packet and the correct service flow is identified.

What classification rules? 5.2.6 is a new CS type. We must identify the exact clause 16 specific information elements that are relevant to each of the protocol types in table 2a

Suggested Remedy

identify the exact clause 16 specific information elements that are relevant to each of the protocol types in table 2a

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Lack of specific remedy.

Group's Notes

Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>it by:</u>	Phillip	Barber		Membership Stat	us:	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A155		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part o</u>	f Dis 🛛 Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 15	<u>Line</u> 13	Fig/Table#	Subclause 5.2.6

In Table 2a

I am dissatisfied with the resolution of comment 198

Changes to the text in the Table 2a were agreed in Principle.

However, the Editor erred and missed making one of the agreed changes to the text in the Table 2a

As previously agreed, the presence of RoHC or PHS is signaled elsewhere in the service flow encoding information elements and it is unecessary and duplicituous to include such notation in the protocol identifier

Suggested Remedy

remove the text '(without RoHC and PHS)' from the Table 2a

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

remove the text '(without RoHC and PHS)' from the Table 2a

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Phillip Barber	Barber <u>Membership Status:</u>			<u>is:</u>	<u>Date:</u>		
<u>Comment #</u>	A156		Document under	r Review: P80	2.16m/D7	<u> </u>	Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 15	<u>Line</u> 22	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 5.2.6		
what about ne	ested classification	n? I thought that	t was one of th	e motivators	for the introd	uction of this	new, multiprotocol CS. IP-in-IP.		

Classification on IP header elements for both the inner and outer header. IPoE?

Suggested Remedy

Identify and enumerate clause 16 information elements and rules specific to identified protocol-in-protocol classification for Multiprotocol CS

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Lack of specific remedy.

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Yan Zheng	Membership Status			us:	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A157		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 793	Line 53	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.10.3.3
Resource all	ocation for pilot mod	dulation seque	nce of CoFIP	is not very cl	ear and some	how makes a	amiguity for implementation.

Suggested Remedy

Please see the detial in C80216m-10_0964.doc or later version

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

16.3.10.3.3, page 793 line 53-63 modify the text as indicated:

order starting from the pilot subcarrier with the smallest OFDMA symbol index and smallest subcarrier index, and continuing with increasing OFDMA symbol index. When the last OFDMA symbol of the PRU is reached, the mapping is continued on the next pilot subcarrier with smallest OFDMA symbol index_
/del> <ins>and frequency domain second order. The pilot modulation symbols are mapped on the pilot subcarriers sequentially starting from the subcarrier with the smallest subcarrier index and smallest OFDMA symbol index, and continue in the increasing_
OFDMA symbol index. For AAI subframes with 5 or 6 OFDMA symbols, when the last OFDMA symbol index is reached, continue with the next subcarrier with the smallest OFDMA symbol index and last OFDMA symbol index is reached. For AAI subframes with 7 OFDMA symbols, pilot mapping is done for the first 6 OFDMA symbols using the same procedure as that of AAI subframes with 6 OFDMA symbols. The pilot values for the 7-th OFDMA symbol are same as that of the pilot values of the first OFDMA symbol.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.10, PHY: Channel coding and HARQ

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Yan Zheng	Membership Status:				<u>Date:</u>		
Comment #	A158	D	ocument under Re	view: P80	2.16m/D7	E	Ballot ID: sb_16n	n	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	Part of Dis	isfied Pag	<u>ge</u> 511	<u>Line</u> 58	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.5.1.2	
Implementat	ion necessity of sec	ment index selec	ction for support	t of macro	, public and C	CSG femto dep	ployments is am	biguous and needs	

Suggested Remedy

clarification.

The wording "can" in the last paragraph of page 511 and first paragraph of page 512 is changed to "may". Please see the detail in C80216m-10_0965.doc or later version

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the text proposed in C802.16m-10/0965.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	Comment by: Yan Zhe			Membership Status:			Date:		
<u>Comment #</u>	A159		Document unde	er Review: P8	302.16m/I	D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 465	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 10	6.3.3.7	
	e structure supports imend this missing p			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Suggested Reme	edy								
Please see th	ne detial in C80216n	n-10_1042.c	loc or later versi	ion					

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The current 16m draft standard has already a solution (using 1/8 CP) to allow co-ex with TD-SCDMA. No reason to add another option without enough justification.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.3, PHY: Frame structure

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Phillip Barber Comment by: Membership Status: Date: Comment # A160 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Type Technical Part of Dis X Satisfied Page 14 Line 29 Subclause 5.2.5.2 Fig/Table# **Comment** The sentence in the document specifies: 'For AMS and ABS, the parameters may be used in IP classification rules.' What parameters? Not the ones in 11.13.18 as identified elsewhere in the paragraph. We need to point to the section in Clause 16 that identifies the exact information elements (not legacy TLVs) that are used for IP classification

Suggested Remedy

point to the section in Clause 16 that identifies the exact information elements (not legacy TLVs) that are used for IP classification

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

P 14 L29:

For AMS and ABS, the <ins>Packet Classification Rule</ins> parameters <ins>(Table 740)</ins>may be used in IP classification rules.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

Cross reference

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: Phillip Barber Membership Status: Date: Comment # A161 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Type Technical Part of Dis X Satisfied Page 412 Subclause 16.2.18.5 Line 6 Fig/Table# Comment

The text in the document specifies:

'The network reentry procedure may be shortened if the ABS possesses AMS's information which may be obtained from paging controller or other network entity over the backbone network.'

But the behavior of how the network reentry procedure may be shortened is not adequately specified, in detail, unlike in 802.16-2009 where such behavior is very specifically detailed.

Suggested Remedy

include detailed behavior, or pointer to detialed behavior of optimized or shortened network reentry procedure from Idle Mode.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

[Remedy: Modify texts in page412, line 8 of 16.2.18.5 as follows:]

The network reentry procedure may be shortened if the ABS possesses AMS's information which may be obtained from paging controller or other network entity over the backbone network. To notify an AMS performing network reentry from idle mode of the reentry process with MAC control messages that may be omitted during the current reentry due to the availability of AMS service and operational context information obtained over the backbone network, the ABS shall include a Reentry Process Optimization (see table 681) in the AAI RNG-RSP message indicating which reentry MAC control messages may be omitted.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.18, MAC: Idle Mode

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

1555 802 16-10/0045r3

2010/10/25			IEEE 802.16-10/0045	ľ
Comment by:	Avraham Freedman	<u>Membership Status:</u>	Date:	
Comment # A162	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>oomnont</u>		-	g/Table# Subclause 16.3.6.2.5.5.1 Clarification and a reference to the relevant	
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Add the following text: The	value of q represents r^bar (r ove	erbar), as described in Tables	888 and 889	
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Princip	ble		
Add the following text: The	value of q represents an element	t of R^bar, as described in Tal	oles 888 and 889	
##EDITOR: R^bar above is	upper case R with a bar (as in e	equation 254).##		
Reason for Group's Decision/Res	olution			
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.6, PHY: Down	link MIMO			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Avra	Avraham Freedman			<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>atus:</u>		<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A163			Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 434	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.26.1
				mment 194 of the 16.2.26.2)) while		U		ns (The ABS shall	stop coverage

Suggested Remedy

change "16.2.26.2" to "above in this paragraph" in the two occurences

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

change "16.2.26.2" to "above in this paragraph" in the two occurences

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

Clause 10.2.20, MAC. Obverage Detection and Recove

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Avraham	Freedman		<u> </u>	<u>Membership Statı</u>	<u>us:</u>	Date:
Comment #	A164		Ē	Document unde	r Review: P80	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part o	of Dis Sat	tisfied	<u>Page</u> 680	<u>Line</u> 12	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.7.2.3

Wrong table reference.

Suggested Remedy

Change"Table 888 through Table 903" to "Table 901 through Table 903"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change"Table 888 through Table 903" to "Table 901 through Table 903"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.7, PHY: Uplink physical structure

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment I</u>	by: Avr	aham Freedma	n		Membership Stat	us:		<u>Date:</u>
Comment #	165		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	!	Ballot ID: sb_16	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 249	Line 6	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.5.2.1.5.1
I don't think it I	necessary to refe	er to the defint	ions of the TEP	Ks in the lega	acy air interfac	ce, unless the	author deeply fe	els it is necessary.
<u>Suggested Remed</u> Remove the te	⊻ xt in paranthesis,	and also the	phrase "as defi	ned in Wirel	essMAN-OFD	MA" in line 21		

Altternatively, change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "chapter 7" both in line 12 and line 21. Also drop "used to be".

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Remove the text in paranthesis, and also the phrase "as defined in WirelessMAN-OFDMA" in line 21.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Avr	aham Fre	eedman	<u> </u>	<u>Membership St</u>	<u>atus:</u>	Date:
Comment #	A166			Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	s Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 114	<u>Line</u> 46	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.12
There is some	e incor	isistencies ir	n the refe	erences to the legac	cy system.			

Suggested Remedy

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA Reference" . Also in line 48.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA R1 Reference" .

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Avraham	Freedman		<u>Membership St</u>	<u>tatus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A167		<u>Documer</u>	nt under Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	Page 298	<u>Line</u> 55	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.5

Wireless-OFDMA per se is not defined

Suggested Remedy

Change "Wireless-OFDMA" to "WirelessMAN-OFDMA

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In page 298, line 55, modify the following sentence: Change "Wireless-OFDMA" to "WirelessMAN-OFDMA

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Avraham Freedman	<u>Membership Sta</u>	Date:		
Comment # A168	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Techr "16m" is not a part of the s		<u>Page</u> 155 <u>Line</u> 49	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.29	
Suggested Remedy Change "16m" to "AAI"					
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Princip	ble			
Resolved by comment #3	10.				
16m and the negoti P300 L30 delete "16m" P303 L55 delete "16m"		•			
Reason for Group's Decision/Re	esolution				

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

 Editor's Notes
 Editor's Actions
 b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Av	Avraham Freedman			<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	A169			Document une	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u> 156	<u>Line</u> 57	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.29
There is some	e incor	isistencies i	n the refer	rences to the lega	cy system.			

Suggested Remedy

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA Reference" . Also in Table 713, page 156, line 14.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "WirelessMAN Advanced Air Interface System" . P156 L14, L57,

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: A		Av	Avraham Freedman			Membership St	<u>Date:</u>			
<u>Comment #</u>	A170			Document un	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 158	<u>Line</u> 23	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.30		
There is som	here is some inconsistencies in the references to the legacy system.									

Suggested Remedy

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA Reference" . In table 714, last column.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "WirelessMAN-OFDMA Advanced co-existing System" . In table 714, last column.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Avraham Freedman		<u>Membership Sta</u>	Date:				
Comment #	A171	Docum	nent under Review: P	802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m				
<u>Comment</u> See Proposed	<u>Type</u> Editorial changes	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>I</u> <u>Page</u> 296	<u>Line</u> 41	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.6.4.1.2.2		
Suggested Remedy Change "Advance" to "Advanced"									
<u>GroupResolution</u>		Decision of Group:	Agree						
Change "Adva	ance" to "Advanc	ced"							
Reason for Group	o's Decision/Resolut	ion							
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.6	, Mac: Mac Hc) procedures							
Editor's Notes	ļ	Editor's Actions a) done							

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Av	raham	Freedman			Membership Sta	<u>atus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	A172				Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part o	of Dis 🗌 S	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 298	Line 8	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.6.4.2.3	
horo is com	are is some inconsistencies in the references to the legacy system. Also a type									

There is some inconsistencies in the references to the legacy system. Also a typo.

Suggested Remedy

Change "WirlessMAN-OFDMA BS" to "Wir<ins>e<\ins>lessMAN-OFDMA <ins> Reference <\ins> BS

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Principle

 In page 298, line 8, modify the following sentence:
 "WirlessMAN-OFDMA BS" to "Wir<ins>e<\ins>lessMAN-OFDMA <ins>R1 Reference System<\ins> BS

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes Editor's A

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Avraham	Freedman	Me	embership Status:	<u>-</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A173		Document u	nder Review: P802	2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technic	al <u>Part o</u>	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 298 <u>L</u>	<u>-ine</u> 35 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.4.2.4

There is some inconsistencies in the references to the legacy system.

Suggested Remedy

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA Reference"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In page 298, line 35, modify the following sentence: Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA Reference"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Av	Avraham Freedman			Membership Sta	Date:					
Comment #	A174			Document und	nder Review: P802.16m/D7			Ballot ID: sb_16m				
<u>Comment</u>		Technical		Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 330	<u>Line</u> 55	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.11.1.1				
There is some	There is some inconsistencies in the references to the legacy system.											
Suggested Remed	Suggested Remedy											

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA Reference"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "WirelessMAN OFDMA R1 Reference System"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.11, MAC: Bandwidth Request and Allocation Mechanism

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Already done.

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Av		Avraham Freedman			Membership S	<u>Status:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	A175			Document un	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 377	<u>Line</u> 52	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2142223
There is some	e incor	nsistencies i	n the referen	ces to the lega	icy system.			

Suggested Remedy

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA Reference System" Also in lines 57 and 60

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Principle

 On page 377 line 52, Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "WirelessMAN-OFDMA R1 Reference System"Also in lines 57 and 60

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

 Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.14, MAC: HARQ Functions

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: A		vraham Freedman	Me	mbership Status:	<u>Date:</u>					
Comment #	A176	Document une	der Review: P802	.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m					
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 376 <u>L</u>	ine 3 Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2142223					
There is some	here is some inconsistencies in the references to the legacy system.									

Suggested Remedy

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA Reference System" also in Figs 440 and 441.

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Principle

 On page 376 line 3, Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "WirelessMAN-OFDMA R1 Reference System" also in Figs 440 and 441.

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

 Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.14, MAC: HARQ Functions

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Av		Avraham Freedman			<u>Membership</u>	<u>Status:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A177			Documer	nt under Review:	2802.16m/D7	7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of	Dis Satisfied	Page 380	<u>Line</u> 26	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2142223
There is some	e incon	sistencies i	n the re	ferences to the I	egacy system.			

Suggested Remedy

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA Reference System" also in Fig. 442.

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Principle

 On page 380 line 26, Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "WirelessMAN-OFDMA R1 Reference System"Also in figure 442

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

 Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.14, MAC: HARQ Functions

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Avraham	Freedman		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Status:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A178		<u>Document u</u>	nder Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica		of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 386	<u>Line</u> 82	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.15.3

There is some inconsistencies in the references to the legacy system.

Suggested Remedy

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA Reference System"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

at line 62 page 386. Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "WirelessMAN-OFDMA R1 Reference System"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The page number is correct but line number is not correct, so we corrected it. And the operation is not about the legacy system but about the BS having both L-Zone and M-Zone.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.15, MAC: Network Entry and Initialization

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Avra	aham Freed	man		<u>Status:</u>	Date:		
<u>Comment #</u>	A179			Document un	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7	,	Ballot ID: sb_16r	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Te	chnical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 441	<u>Line</u> 30	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3
There is some inconsistencies in the references to the legacy system.									

Suggested Remedy

Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA" to "Wireless-OFDMA Reference System" or to "WirelessMAN-OFDMA R1" in the entire PHY section.

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Principle

 Throughout Clause 16, Change "WirelessMAN-OFDMA reference" to "WirelessMAN OFDMA R1 Reference"
 Reference

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution
 Group's Notes
 Clause 16.3, PHY: General

<u>Comment by:</u>	Avraham Freedman	<u>Membership Statu</u>	s: Date:			
Comment # A180	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria Wireless-OFDMA per se is		<u>Page</u> 804 <u>Line</u> 9	Fig/Table# Subclause 16.4.1			
Suggested Remedy						
Change "Wireless-OFDMA'	" to "WirelessMAN-OFDMA					
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Agree	e				
Change "Wireless-OFDMA'	" to "WirelessMAN-OFDMA					
Reason for Group's Decision/Reso	olution					
Group's Notes						
Clause 16.4, Other: Femto						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done					

<u>Comment by:</u>	Avraham Freedman	<u>Membership Stat</u>	Date:					
Comment # A181	Document under	er Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m					
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria See Proposed changes	A Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 826 <u>Line</u> 10	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.6.1				
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Change "Advance" to "Advanced" Also in lines 10, 19, 26								
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree							
Change "Advance" to "Adva	anced" Also in lines 10, 19, 26							
Reason for Group's Decision/Reso	Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution							
Group's Notes Clause 16.6, Other: Relay								
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done							

<u>Comment by:</u>	Comment by: Avraham Freedman		Membership Status:			
Comment # A182	Document une	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria A reference to the 16h chap	Al <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> oter is probably the wrong one.	<u>Page</u> 361 <u>Line</u> 61	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.13.5.2.3		
Suggested Remedy Change "<<15.2.14.5>>" to	" 16.2.14.5".					
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Agree)				
Change "<<15.2.14.5>>" to	" 16.2.14.5".					
Reason for Group's Decision/Res	olution					
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.13, MAC: ARC) mechanism					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done					

Comment by:	Avraham Freedman	Membership Statu	Date:						
Comment # A183	Document und	ler Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m						
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria "the" is missing	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 368 <u>Line</u> 21	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.14.2.1.1					
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Change "remains same" to "remains the same"									
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree								
Change "remains same" to	"remains the same"								
Reason for Group's Decision/Reso	blution								
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.14, MAC: HAR	Q Functions								
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done								

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Avr	aham Freedman		<u> </u>	<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A184			Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 368	<u>Line</u> 61	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.14.2.1.2
The reference	es to th	e tables 751	and 753 is wro	ong.				

Suggested Remedy

Fill in the right table number.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Modify the clause beginning on line 59 of p. 368 as follows:

...AMS shall transmits<ins>transmit</ins> the next subpacket through the resources assigned to the latest subpacket transmission with the same ACID as specified in Table 751<ins>789</ins> for FDD and Table 753<ins>791</ins> for TDD, respectively.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.14, MAC: HARQ Functions

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Avraham	Freedman			<u>Membership St</u>	tatus:	<u>Date:</u>
Comment #	A185			Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Editorial			atisfied	<u>Page</u> 826	<u>Line</u> 11	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.6.1

The acronym TTR apears here in the first time (not to mention 16j amendment). I suggest to spell it out for the sake of the reader.

Suggested Remedy

Change "TTR" to "time-division transmit and receive (TTR)"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change "TTR" to "time-division transmit and receive (TTR)"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Avraham	Freedman		Membership Stat	tus:	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A186		Document un	der Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 826	<u>Line</u> 14	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.6.1	

The acronym STR apears here in the first time (not to mention 16j amendment). I suggest to spell it out for the sake of the reader.

Suggested Remedy

Change "STR" to "Simultaneous transmit and receive (STR)"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change "STR" to "Simultaneous transmit and receive (STR)"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u> by	<u>y:</u>	Avraham	Freedman	Membership Status:			<u>Date:</u>		
Comment # A1	187			Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment 1	Technica	al <u>Part</u>	of Dis	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 826	<u>Line</u> 7	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.6.1	

I would like to re-consider if the Relay feature is really an optional one as declared. I would prefer to see it as a mandatory feature for the AMS and optional for the ABS and the operator fro deployment. Perhaps it would be better not to leave that out of the text.

Suggested Remedy

Delete the first sentence.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

According to the SRD section 9.1, 802.16m SHOULD provide mechanisms to enable multi-hop relays. (emphasis added).

In 7.0 (baseline performance requirements): the SRD states "the performance requirements shall be met without inclusion of the relay stations."

Group's Notes

Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

<u>Comment by:</u>	Avraham Freedman	Membership State	<u>us:</u> <u>D</u>	Date:			
Comment # A188	Document unde	r Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria Two words were concatena		<u>Page</u> 827 <u>Line</u> 33	Fig/Table# Subclause 1	6.6.2.3.1			
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Put a space between "ARS" and "where"							
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree						
Put a space between "ARS	Put a space between "ARS" and "where"						
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution							
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.6, Other: Relay							
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done						

-

<u>Comment by:</u>	Avraham Freedman	<u>Membership Sta</u>	Date:					
Comment # A189	Document under	er Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m				
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial Two words were concatenate		<u>Page</u> 827 <u>Line</u> 421	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.6.2.3.1				
uggested Remedy Put a space between "PDU" and "where"								
GroupResolution_	Decision of Group: Agree							
Put a space between "PDU"	and "where"							
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolu	ution							
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.6, Other: Relay								
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done							

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Avraham Freedman	Men	<u>ibership Status:</u>	Date:
Comment # A190	Documer	t under Review: P802.	6m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Tec	chnical Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 65 Lii	e 62 <u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.2.11
"16m" is not a part of th	e standard language			
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Change "16m" to "AAI"				
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: P	rinciple		
Resolved by comment #	# 310.			
Resolution:				
P267 L3 delete "of 16m P273 L64 "The selective 16m and the nego P300 L30 delete "16m" P303 L55 delete "16m"	nces of "16m" with "AAI" "	-		a mandatory feature of sages."
Reason for Group's Decision/	Resolution			

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	Avraham Freedman		<u>Membership Status:</u>				Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A191		!	Document une	der Review: P8	02.16m/D ⁻	7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part o	of Dis 🗌 Sa	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 826	<u>Line</u> 63	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.6.2.2	
The term "AS	SN" appears only	in the c	ontext of re	elays (and I	do not mean	ASN.1),	which is not a pa	art of the standard.	

Suggested Remedy

Change "ASN data" to "PDU" or another relevant term. Alternatively, the ASN concept can be introduced, using the SDD text.

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Principle

On page 7, line 24 add the following as indicated: <ins>ASN access service network</ins> <ins>ASN.1 abstract syntax notation</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

"ASN data" is data before MAC processing, so we cannot refer to it as MAC PDU. Instead, the group clarified ASN (and ASN.1, to avoid confusion) in the Abbreviations section, as this term is used in other places in the document.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Avraham	Freedman		<u>Membership S</u>	tatus:	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A192		Document ur	nder Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	al <u>Part o</u>	of Dis Satisfied	Page 2	Line 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 1.4	

The SDD that states that the reference model for 16m is the same as 802.16-209, with the exception of soft classification. This exception does not apear in the standard itself.

Suggested Remedy

Add the following text: For the Advanced air interface reference model, soft classification (i.e., no SAP is required between the two classes of functions) of the MAC common part sublayer into radio resource control and management functions and medium access control is allowed.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This suggested text is not necessary for the reference model. We do not have the concept of soft classification in the baseline document.

Group's Notes

Clause 1.4, General: Reference Model

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Avraham Freedman	Membership Status:	<u>Date:</u>								
Comment # A193	Document und	der Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m								
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Te Double word	echnical Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 827 Line 5 Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.6.2.4								
Suggested Remedy Delete "Where"											
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Princi	ple									
Delete the second inst	ance of "where" on page 828 line 5.										
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution											
Group's Notes											

Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Sassan Ah		Sassan Ahmadi			Membership Stat	tus:		Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A194		Document unde	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7	!	Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 384	Line 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.15.4	
The content of	of the capability c	lasses has not b	een specified	and therefor	e the network	entry/re-entry	procedures can	not be completed.	

Suggested Remedy

All mandatory features of the standard (those features that have been clearly specified with "shall" have to be grouped as Capability Class 0.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

solution is incomplete

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.15, MAC: Network Entry and Initialization

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	Comment by: Sassan Ahmadi				Membership State	Date:				
Comment #	A195		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u>	Type Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 89	<u>Line</u> 1	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.23.4			
The device class parameter is missing in AAI_SBC-REQ/RSP messages										
Suggested Remea Adopt the prop GroupResolution	ay bosal in the lates	t revision of cor <u>Decision o</u>			0					

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

definition and coverage of device class is not clear yet.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Sassan Ahmadi	<u>Membership Sta</u>	atus:	Date:							
Comment # A196	Document und	ler Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m							
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🛛 Satisfied 🗌	Page 89 Line 1	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.3.4							
The parameters negotiated through AAI_SBC-REQ need adjustments (reduced) based on the parameters that are set via device classes, Capability Class 0 (default parameters), and A-MAP IEs to avoid unnecessary negotiation of parameters											
Suggested Remedy	Suggested Remedy										
Adopt the proposal in in the lat	est revision of contributions (C802.16m-10/750 and C80)2.16m-10/701								
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Disag	ree									
Reason for Group's Decision/Resoluti	on										
definition and coverage of devi	ice class is not clear yet.										
Group's Notes											
Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Cor	ntrol messages; MAC NE										

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Sassan Ahmadi	<u>Membership St</u>	atus:	<u>Date:</u>								
Comment # A197	Document	t under Review: P802.16m/D7	<u>Ballot ID:</u> sb_	Ballot ID: sb_16m								
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Techr	nical Part of Dis X Satisfied] <u>Page</u> 91 <u>Line</u> 17	Fig/Table# Subclau	<u>ıse</u> 16.2.3.5								
	The parameters negotiated through AAI_SBC-RSP need adjustments (reduced) based on the parameters that are set via device											
classes, Capability Class	0 (default parameters), and A-	MAP IEs to avoid unnecessa	ry negotiation of parameters	5								
Suggested Remedy												
Adopt the proposal in in th	e latest revision of contribution	ns C802.16m-10/750 and C80	02.16m-10/701									
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: D	isagree										
Reason for Group's Decision/Re	solution											
definition and coverage of device class is not clear yet.												
Group's Notes												

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Sassan Ahmadi			<u>Membership Statı</u>	IS:	<u>Date:</u>
Comment # A198		Document under Re	view:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u>	Technical Part of Dis	Satisfied Page	<u>ge</u> 94	<u>Line</u> 57	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.7
	° –			· · · · ·		meters that are set via device
classes, Capability	Class 0 (default parameter	s), and A-MAP IEs	s to av	oid unnecessary	negotiation	of parameters
Suggested Remedy						
	in in the latest revision of c	contributions C802	16m-1	10/705 and C802	16m-10/701	
GroupResolution	Decision of	<u>f Group:</u> Disagree				
Reason for Group's Dec	ision/Resolution					
definition and cover	age of device class is not o	clear vet.				
		,				
Group's Notes						

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Sassan Ahmadi		<u>Membership Status:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Comment # A199	Doc	ument under Review: P8	302.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic	cal Part of Dis X Satisf	ed <u>Page</u> 102	Line 39 Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.8
The parameters negotiated classes, Capability Class 0	• <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	ameters that are set via device of parameters
Suggested Remedy				
Adopt the proposal in in the	latest revision of contril	outions C802.16m-10)/705 and C802.16m-10/70	01
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Grou	<u>p:</u> Disagree		
Reason for Group's Decision/Reso	<u>olution</u>			
definition and coverage of c	levice class is not clear	yet.		
Group's Notes				
Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC	Control messages; MAC	NE		

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Sassan Ahmadi		<u>Mer</u>	<u>mbership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u>	<u> </u>	<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	A200		Document under Revi	<u>iew:</u> P802.	.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16n	n	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🛛 Sa	ntisfied Page	<u>s</u> 388 <u>Li</u>	<u>ine</u> 11	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.15.4	

The content of the capability classes has not been specified and therefore the network entry/re-entry procedures cannot be completed.

Suggested Remedy

All mandatory features of the standard (those features that have been clearly specified with "shall" have to be grouped as Capability Class 0. Adopt the proposal in contribution IEEE C80216m-10/0947r1

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

solution is incomplete

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.15, MAC: Network Entry and Initialization

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-	10/0045r3
Comment by:		Phillip Barber	Membership Status:			<u>Date:</u>		
Comment # A201			Document under	Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 42	Line 6	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16	

While the DRAFT has improved, the document continues to lack necessary context language and feature clarity to all features. For instance, is this a Point-multi-point (PMP) solution? Where is the language similar to IEEE 802.16-2009 6.1 and 6.3.1 that would define the scope of the solution, create the solution methodology, connection oriented air interface, connection definition is clarified and context established between connection identifiers and the network reference model and protocol stack? Similar problems with QoS. Where is the unifying structure of 6.3.14? What is the relationship matrix between connections, service flows, etc....

Suggested Remedy

Add missing context language and feature clarity to all features.

Introduce context language, similar to that contained in subclause 6.1 but specific to PMP operation for AAI services and connection definitions in clause 16.

Introduce context language, similar to that contained in subclause 6.3 but specific to the connection definitions in clause 16. Introduce context language and , similar to that conatined in subclause 6.3.14 (including theory of operation, identification of service flows as MAC flow construct, object models, etc...), but specific to AAI definitions.

GroupResolution De

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Lack of specific text.

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16, General: AAI

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Michael	Probasco	Membership Status: Date:					
<u>Comment #</u>	Document under Review: P802.16m/D7					Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis 🛛 S	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 14	<u>Line</u> 34	Fig/Table#	Subclause 5.2.6	
no benefit p									

Suggested Remedy

delete subclause 5.2.6, delete P11 L30-31 (new sentence), remove protocol ID field from figure 8 (p11)

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

removal of this section leaves not method for handling CS muxing

vote: 4 for, 5 against, 2 abstain

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 5, MAC: Service Specific CS

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>		Michael	Probasco			<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>atus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>		
Comment #	A203				Document unde	r Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part o	of Dis 🛛 S	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 66	Line 24	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3		
SN 1 code is	SN 1 code is currently informative, not acceptable for modern radio protocol										

ASN.1 code is currently informative, not acceptable for modern radio protocol

Suggested Remedy

add ASN.1 code definition for all MAC control messages, move table definitions of messages to Annex P.2, move ASN.1 definitions in Annex P.2 to 16.2.3.X

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Current informative ASN.1 code does not properly reflect the normative tables it would replace.

Vote: In favor: 2 Opposed: 12 Abstain: 0

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Michael	Probasco	Membership Status: Date:						
<u>Comment #</u>	A204			Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D	7	Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis 🛛 S	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 336	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.12	
Definition of	Definition of procedures for QoS and management of flows is incomplete									

Suggested Remedy

copy text from 802.16-2009 section 16.3.14.7.1, 6.3.14.8, 6.3.14.9 and update these sections as required for use in the AAI

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

no proposed text for the group to accept

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.12, MAC: Quality of Service (QoS)

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Michael	Probasco	Membership Status:					
<u>Comment #</u>	A205			Document und	ler Review: P8)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 433	<u>Line</u> 11	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.	.26
Title was cha	nged in last ballo	ot, but is	still in ac	curate.					

Suggested Remedy

change subclause title to "Coverage Detection and Recovery from Coverage Loss"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

change subclause title to "Coverage Loss Detection and Recovery from Coverage Loss"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Michael	Probasco			Membership Stat	tus:	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A206			Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis 🛛 S	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 721	<u>Line</u> 21	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.8.2.4.1	

ranging preamble codes are currently limited to initial ranging and handover ranging codes. In order for the AAI to provide fast access to the radio network for high-priority or urgent services, dedicated preamble codes must be allocated for urgent services.

Suggested Remedy

allocate a pool of ranging preamble codes which can be used to define proper protocol procedures for urgent services in the AAI. Note that it is difficult or impossible to define the protocol procedures until the size of the pool of RP codes is determined (need to know if enough codes to allocate RP codes to specific MS's or if not, the RP codes can be allocated to specific services).

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Not clear its necessity/proposal. Some kind of emergency call process is already supported by AAI_RNG-REQ. Using of more many number of codes will make an impact on the performance, complexity, reuse factor, etc.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/25						IEEE 80)2.16-10/0045r	3
Comment	<u>by:</u>	Dan Gal		Membership Sta	atus:		<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	207	Document unde	er Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 43	<u>Line</u> 28	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.1.2.3	
A separate ID	called DID is not	needed in the MS Idle Mode	A Psuedo	MSID MSID*	can be used	and that is better	12bit DID makes	

the current 16e (legacy) Network implementations of Paging Controller and ASN-GW which uses a 48bit MSID in network 24bits over the air, incompatible with DID approach. The complexity of implementation and inter-working of 16e and 16m MS and ASN-GWs need to be considered. Hence replace all DID with MSID*(24bits). Please change all occurrences of DID to MSID* globally.

Suggested Remedy

Change the text of this sub-clause to:

"An MSID* (24bits) shall provide the DID functionality and uniquely identify the AMS within a Paging Group. If the AMS changes Paging Group, a fresh MSID* may be allocated during the Location Update procedure."

GroupResolutionDecision of Group:DisagreeReason for Group's Decision/ResolutionThe DID can save significant message overhead.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.1, MAC: Addressing

Editor's Notes b) none needed

Comment by:	Hanan Ahmed	Membership State	Date:	
Comment # A208	Document unde	r Review: P802.16m/D7	Ē	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic incorrect section reference	al Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 413 <u>Line</u> 21	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.19.3
Suggested Remedy replace 16.2.18.4. with 16.2	.19.4			
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Agree			
replace 16.2.18.4. with 16.2	.19.4			
Reason for Group's Decision/Resc	lution			
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.19, MAC: Dere	gistration with content retention (DCR) mode		
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			

Comment by:	Dan Gal	Membership Sta	<u>tus:</u>	Date:
Comment # A209	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial inappropriate usage of "&" in		Page 42 Line	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.1
Suggested Remedy Change "MAC & PHY" to "M/	AC and PHY"			
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Agree			
Change "MAC & PHY" to "M/	AC and PHY"			
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolu	ution			
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.1, General: AAI				
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by:	Hanan	Ahmed		Membership State	us:	<u>Date:</u>
Comment #	A210		Document und	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 424	<u>Line</u> 16	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.21.1.2.1

syntax error

Suggested Remedy

FFR partition information is broadcast <ins>broadcasted</ins> in S-SFH SP2, and the resource metric is broadcasted in AAI_SCD message, and transmission power level are broadcast <ins>broadcasted</ins> in AAI_DL_IM message and/or S-SFH SP3.

GroupResolution	Decision of Group:	Agree
-----------------	--------------------	-------

FFR partition information is broadcast <ins>broadcasted</ins> in S-SFH SP2, and the resource metric is broadcasted in AAI_SCD message, and transmission power level are broadcast <ins>broadcasted</ins> in AAI_DL_IM message and/or S-SFH SP3.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.22, MAC: MAC Control Reliability

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25	5						IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Phillip Barber			Membership Sta	atus:	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A211		Document und	ler Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 43	<u>Line</u> 25	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.1.2.3

The original motivation for using DID appears either no longer valid, or originally flawed.

Originally DID was introduced to reduce the size of the identifier in the paging advertisement messages, down from 24 bits to 10 bits; and to provide enhanced privacy for the MS, eliminating the presentation of a modula 2 hash of the MS MAC Address.

Now we are learning that 10 bits for DID is completely inadequate for sufficiently differentiated identification to avoid excessive 'false-positive' paging indications.

We also learn that DID number space management is extremely complex and limiting on the network, especially for distributed management of paging group management and paging traffic.

And the benefit to MS privacy is also not as pronounced. After all, identification by modula 2 hash of 48 bit MS MAC Address still yields 16million possible MS MAC Addresses, not the true exact MS MAC Address. Such obfuscation may be adequate for our privacy purposes.

In any event, we just no longer are seeing adequate benefit to justify the very considerable negative impact to network implementation complexity, and limitation.

Finally, I don't see how this is going to work with legacy ASN, and if I have to support regular MS MAC Address hash based PAG-ADV to support legacy ASN anyway, this just continues to minimize the opportunity for benefit from this complex new feature.

Suggested Remedy

In P802.16m/D7, page 43, line 25, delete subclause 16.2.1.2.3 in its entirety.

In P802.16m/D7, page 74, line 43, table 679, remove the table row for 'Deregistration Identifier (DID)'

In P802.16m/D7, page 74, line 45, table 679,

modify the sentence in 'Conditions' as:

'In the legacy network mode, DID shall not be included, andthe ABS performs a mapping for paging parameters between AAI air interface and legacy network interface.

In P802.16m/D7, page 77, line 26, table 681, remove '/DID' from the 'Conditions'

In P802.16m/D7, page 77, line 36, table 681, remove '/DID' from the 'Conditions'

In P802.16m/D7, page 78, line 55, table 681, remove the table row for 'Deregistration Identifier (DID)'

In P802.16m/D7, page 78, line 56, table 681, delete the text in 'Conditions': 'The DID is included only when the Network Configuration indicates ABS is attached to the advanced network.'

In P802.16m/D7, page 318, line 45, change the equation to: 'Paging carrier index = AMS MAC Address modulo N'

In P802.16m/D7, page 411, line 59, modify the sentence as: 'If the Network Configuration bit in the S-SFH is set to 0b1,

t<ins>T</ins>he AMS provides its actual MAC address in the AAI_RNG-REQ message, instead of providing the DID.' In P802.16m/D7, page 412, line 11, modify the sentence as: 'If the Network Configuration bit in the S-SFH is set to 0b1, t<ins>T</ins>he AMS provides its actual MAC address in the AAI_RNG-REQ message, instead of providing the DID.'

GroupResolution

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The DID can save significant message overhead.

Vote: In favor: 2 Opposed: 10 Abstain:

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.1, MAC: Addressing

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/2	5						IEEE 802.16-1	0/0045r3
<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	James Carlo			<u>Membership St</u>	tatus:	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A212		Document une	der Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type General	Part of Dis	Satisfied	Page	<u>Line</u>	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	

I have the following additional notes to comments that were submitted on the initial ballot. My vote is still disapprove.

Comment #450: I agree with the response. I accept the disposition of the committee.

Comment #449: I agree with the response. I accept the disposition of the committee.

Comment #448: I continue to disapprove on this comment. Please add the following additional comment as a proposed remedy: "Use only NA in the standard. Replace all instances of N.A. with NA."

Comment #449: I continue to disapprove on this comment. Please add the following additional comment to #449 as a proposed remedy: " Add [NA - Not Allowed] to the acronym list."

Suggested Remedy

Included in comment. I must admit the balloting directions were confusing - since they did not include an easy way to make comments to my prior comments - so I hope this method works. Jim Carlo

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle Replace all occuences of "N.A." with "N/A"

Replace all occurrrences of "NA" with "N/A" Add the following to the acronym list (page 8 line 64): "N/A Not applicable"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes General, General:

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Dan Gal		Ν	<u>lembership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u>	<u>Date:</u>		
<u>Comment #</u>	A213		Document under	<u>Review:</u> P80	2.16m/D7	Ē	Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 239	<u>Line</u> 64	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.2.1.1.2		
correct the la	correct the language of this sentence "this is used to bind the key to the AMSID and AMSID* is derived according to"								

Suggested Remedy

Change to: "<delete>, this</delete <insert>. It</insert>is used to bind the key to the AMSID and AMSID*<insert>and</insert>is dervided according to...

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change to: "<delete>, this</delete <insert>. AMSID*</insert>is used to bind the key to the AMSID<insert>.</insert> <delete> and AMSID*</delete> <insert>it</insert> is dervided according to...

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-1	10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Phillip Barber			<u>Membership St</u>	tatus:	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A214		Document und	er Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 49	<u>Line</u> 43	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.2.	1.3.4
boro io just r	o justification to	$h_{2} = 162212$	1 Sloop Cont	rol Hoodor (EADED and no	at as a normal control m	0000000

There is just no justification to have 16.2.2.1.3.4 Sleep Control Header (SCH) as a HEADER, and not as a normal control message. Same thing applies to 16.2.2.1.3.5 AMS Battery Level Report Header.

There are just these two special items identified and set aside to be control activity conducted by Header, of all of the many control messages and activities conducted in the MAC. Why are these so special? What is the special gain? Why don't we just do all of our control messaging by Header instead of bothering with MAC control messages?

Certainly it is not to save bits. The 35 reserved bits in the AMS Battery Level Report Header disprove that argument.

It cannot be to save complexity, else we would have done it for the entire Sleep Mode messaging operation, not just for one element of it.

I just cannot see the justification for the additional complexity or differentiated treatment.

Suggested Remedy

In P802.16m/D7, delete page 49, line 43 through page 52, line 34, deleting subcluase 16.2.2.1.3.4 and 16.2.2.1.3.5 in their entirety.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No functional capability in protocol if removed, also incurs more BW requests.

Vote: 3, 10, 0 Fails

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Yanhong Wang			Membership Sta	<u>itus:</u>		<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A215		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	n
<u>Comment</u> Why does the	<u>Type</u> Technica power update	al <u>Part of Dis</u> X mechnism in 16e		Page 429 here?	<u>Line</u> 12	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.23
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Needs clarification or just delete this sentence								
<u>GroupResolution</u>	L	<u>Decision o</u>	<u>f Group:</u> Disagr	ee				

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

regardless of receiving battery level report the power update mechanism is not impacted.

vote: 8 for, 5 against, 0 abstain.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.23, MAC: Power Management for the Active Mode

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Dan Gal	Membership Status:			Date:		
<u>Comment #</u>	A216	Document unde	Document under Review: P802.16m/D7			Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u> Correct the E		Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 272 "	<u>Line</u> 50	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> ´	16.2.5.3.1	
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> change to: "AMSID is used rather than AMSID* "								
GroupResolutio	<u>n</u>	Decision of Group: Agree						

change to: "...AMSID is used rather than AMSID*... "

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

Editor's Notes Editor's Ac

Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25)						IEEE 802.16-10/004	5r3
<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Phillip Barber			<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A217		Document under	<u>Review:</u> P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 284	<u>Line</u> 29	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.3.3	

The process of concurrent multicarrier communication during handover defined in this section is too complex and should be removed. The single carrier iteration of this is too complex as well and should be removed.

The process requires the AMS to communicate concurrently with both the Serving ABS and Target ABS during the re-entry process interval at the Target ABS. For both single and multicarrier this requires a degree of Scheduler coordination between the Serving ABS and Target ABS that is complex, has negative performance and latency implications, and is unnecessary to achieve robust and timely handover.

And it is not as if the network will really be able to efficiently transfer data to the AMS while it is undergoing such transition, at least in a single carrier model. The dual carrier model is slightly more plausible, but requires that all terminals essentially implement full FDD operation.

The objective should be to minimize the interruption time for data transfer. Our best way to accomplish this is to minimize the amount of time that it takes to transfer the point-of-attachment relationship from the Serving ABS to Target ABS. The complex methods proposed here don't appreciably reduce the data latency, but they certainly do add tremendous complexity and network burden.

Suggested Remedy

40140101

Remove the feature requiring concurrent transmition to both Serving ABS and Target ABS for both single and multicarrier during handover.

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No specific text proposal. It is not clear what needs to be removed.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/25					IEEE 802.16-10/00)45r3	
Comment by:		Phillip Barber	Membership Status: Date:				
<u>Comment #</u>	A218		Document under Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Sa	atisfied Page 8	3 <u>Line</u> 10	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.4.10	

This whole, new 'Low Duty Mode' of operation for Femto BS is complex and unwarranted.

This introduces a whole new state management and synchronization problem between the AMSs and the Femto BS and network. Impact to Idle Mode state management? Location Update processing? Handover timing control and management? Detection and selection of ABS for initial network entry and re-entry?

Assumptions about the ability to detect all affected AMS in Idle Mode operation is problematic.

Such complex state management is completely undefined. Method of synchronization is undefined.

And such feature is unnecessary since the interference mitigation techniques can be negotiated and invoked by the affected Macro and Femto BSs through backhaul communication, in the absence of some new complex state on the Femto BS.

Suggested Remedy

In P802.16m/D7, delete page 813, line 10 through page 814, line 22, deleting subclause 16.4.10 Low-duty Operation Mode in its entirety.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

LDM is an essential feature for femto deployments. The advantages still far outweigh any possible implementation/operational complexities.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Phillip Barber	Membership Status:	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A219	Docume	nt under Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	Part of Dis 🔀 Satisfied [Page 818 Line 1 Fig/Table	<u>subclause</u> 16.5

While limited application of geographically disbursed antenna areas on a single base station can accrue useful spatial diversity gain and differentiated path gain, proposed multi-Base Station PHY level or frame level coordination is fantasy and beyond reasonable implementation. Network and device latency alone doom such endeavors from practical implementation. Even disbursed antenna areas on the same Base Station can suffer from these latencies, crippling any gain, except in the most unique deployment circumstances.

Suggested Remedy

Remove the multi-BS fantasy features and retain the disbursed multi-antenna, single-BS feature

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The performance of Single BS precoding with Multi-BS coordination has been evaluated under realistic backbone latency assumptions in C802.16m-09/0023 and C802.16m-09/1675. Additionally, different multi-BS modes have different requirements on backbone information exchange, it is therefore not appropriate to object to this section in its entirety based on network latency concerns.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>it by:</u>	Yanhong Wang		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Status:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A220	Document une	der Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 18	<u>Line</u> 49	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 6.3.2.3.39
		Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 18	<u>Line</u> 49	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 6.3.2.3.39

The expression of the sentence is not correct.

Suggested Remedy

This TLV indicates that the unavailability interval of the activated PSC is to be used for coexistence purposes the MS and the BS requested to use coexistence behavior for the PSC.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

This TLV indicates that the unavailability interval of the activated PSC is to be used for coexistence purposes the MS and the BS requested to use coexistence behavior for the PSC.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 6, MAINTENANCE: MAC common part sublayer

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25	5					IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3	
<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Kiseon Ryu		<u>Membership Statı</u>	<u>IS:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A221		Document under Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied Page 157	<u>Line</u> 29	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.30	

In current 16.2.3.30 AAI_System Configuration Descriptor (SCD) Message section, there are many periodic parameters to be used for informing system configuration. These system parameters are important to operate the system properly because they contain some critical parameters to affect on the system performance. However, there is no description how and when AMS should response to the update of AAI SCD message. In C802.16m-10/0994, the proposed text is provided to clarify the updating method of AAI_SCD message which is similar to the way defined in the update of S-SFH IEs section.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in C802.16m-10/0994 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text in C802.16m-10/0994r4

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Kiseon Ryu			Membership Stat	us:	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A222		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 296	Line 6	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.6.4.1.2.1

AMS should be able to have another zone switch capability allowing DL only processing in both zones for easier development. In this case, the AMS can succesfully receive SFH while operating in LZone until Action Time. This allows AMS to expedite network re-entry in MZone after zone switch.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in C802.16m-10/1047 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

remedy is not fully defined

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions

b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Dan Gal		<u>I</u>	Membership S	<u>tatus:</u>		Date:	
Comment #	A223	Document under Review: P802.16m/D7					Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 876	<u>Line</u> 64	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.10.3	
	is a normative require to indicate". e1		U U		16.2.5.3.1	in page 272. I	t also has a typogr	raphical error	in
	al								

Suggested Remedy

1. Delete this sentence from section 16.10.3 and

2. Insert in section 16.2.5.3.1 on page 272, line 29:

<insert> When the ABS is connected to advanced (non-legacy) core network, it shall set the Network Configuration bit in S-SFH to '0' and the AMS shall transmit the hashed AMSID* defined below.</insert>

<insert> The ABS shall set the Network Configuration bit in the S-SFH to '1' to indicate that the ABS is connected to a legacy access network." </insert>

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

1. Delete the sentence "The ABS shall set the Network Configuration bit in the S-SFH to ë1í to indicate that the ABS is connected to a legacy access network." from section 16.10.3.

2. Second proposed remedy was resolved by comment #10081. Resolution : Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1014.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.10, Other: AAI in Lzone; Security

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25						IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Mark Cudak		Membership Stat	us:	Date:
Comment #	A224		Document under Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 S	atisfied Page 367	<u>Line</u> 55	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.14.1

Incremental redundancy (IR) HARQ scheme is mandatory for unicast data traffic and unicast MAC control messages in both downlink and uplink. However, for uplink transmissions, benefits of IR HARQ technique can be limited, or even non-existent, when the ABS re-allocates resources for retransmissions. This contribution proposes a simple modification which will allow IR HARQ operation in the uplink when resource re-allocations for retransmissions are common for uplink data transmissions. The proposed scheme does not incur any additional overhead.

Suggested Remedy

00404000

Adopt contribution C802.16m-10/1026 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The operation of "AMS shall send the subpacket labeled 0b00 when the AMS receives the UL Basic Assignment A-MAP IE()" is just for synchronizing the SPID between ABS and AMS in case of A-MAP IE loss.

Vote:

In favor: 1 Opposed: 3 Abstain:

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.14, MAC: HARQ Functions

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Mark	Cudak		Membership Statu	us:	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A225		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Technical	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 429	<u>Line</u> 16	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.24

The description of the S-SFH update procedure has been improved significantly in D7 of the 802.16m standard. However, several errors exist in the description and a number of statements in the description are not completely clear. This comment addresses these problems and proposes text modifications designed to improve the explanation.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C802.16m-10/0973 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution C802.16m-10/0973r3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.24, MAC: Update of S-SFH les

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

PHY section completed by SP

2010/10/25						IEEE 802.16-10/0045r	3
<u>Comment by:</u>		Mark Cudak		<u>Date:</u>			
Comment # A226		Doc	ument under Review: Pt	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Satisf	<u>ied</u> <u>Page</u> 460	<u>Line</u> 54	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.3.6.1	

I am dissatisfied with the resolution of comment #10206. The resolution ignores the aspects of support for legacy WirelessMAN-OFDMA with multicarrier operation which are not well defined in the current draft of the standard. Specifically, it is not well defined how to transition from the various reuse configurations of WirelessMAN-OFDMA which are currently deployed (1X3X3, 1X4X2, 1X4X4) using various channel bandwidths (especially 5 and 10 MHz) to a fully functional 20 MHz IEEE 802.16m deployment. In order to make this transition smoothly, the multicarrier mode should allow aggregation of both two 10 MHz carriers and four 5 MHz carriers to form a 20 MHz advanced carrier. This aggregation should support multiple carriers supporting the WirelessMAN-OFDMA zone and subcarrier alignment when multiple carriers support WirelessMAN-OFDMA.

Suggested Remedy

The following additions to the standard are required to support WirelessMAN migration to 20 MHz 16m:

a) Explicit definition of the multicarrier WirelessMAN-OFDMA modes to support Aggregation of 2, 10 MHz carriers and 4, 5 MHz carriers

b) Enable a primary carrier operation where the WirelessMAN-OFDMA zone is mirrored on both the primary and secondary carrier

allowing a reuse 2 WirelessMAN-OFDMA deployment to coexist with the reuse 1 16m deployment

c) Support for subcarrier alignment even when all carriers support WirelessMAN-OFDMA.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No specific text proposed.

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.3, PHY: Frame structure

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25						IEEE 802.16-10/00	45r3
<u>Comment by:</u>		Mark Cudak	Membership Status:		<u>Date:</u>		
Comment #	227	<u>Docu</u>	ment under Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Satisfie	ed Page 464	<u>Line</u> 19	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.3.3.7	

IEEE 802.16m promises an exciting evolution path to today's IEEE 802.16e network operators offering a system that simultaneously provides significantly higher spectral efficiency and protects the service provider's investment in IEEE 802.16e devices. The Frame Configuration Index (FCI) is the current mechanism employed by the standard to partition the resources between IEEE 802.16m and IEEE 802.16e devices. The FCI mechanism falls short of delivering on the promise of backwards compatibility as it too rigidly partitions the resources between legacy and advanced devices while also being too slow to adapt to changing demand. This contribution proposes to eliminate the reliance on FCI index to balance resource between 16e and 16m and instead rely on blind detection of the A-MAP to identify whether the current subframe supports 16m or 16e.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C802.16m-10/0958 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proposal causes problems in UL HARQ process. When the number of subframes in DL changes, the HARQ timing in D7 cannot be applied.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.3, PHY: Frame structure

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Mark Cudak			<u>Membership S</u>	<u>tatus:</u>		Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A228		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 502	<u>Line</u> 21	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.4.4.3
Sponsor ballot comment #10213 was not completely implemented. The designated paragraph was deleted, but the paragraph which								
was to be ad	ded was not added	d.						

Suggested Remedy

Insert the following paragraph at line 21 of p. 502:

<ins>Inside an open-loop region of type 1 or type 2, the MaxMt pilots shall always be transmitted across all CLRUs in that open-loop region. Outside an open-loop region, the pilots shall not be transmitted on CLRUs where no data is sent.</ins>

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Insert the following paragraph at line 21 of p. 502:

<ins>Inside an open-loop region of type 1 or type 2, the MaxMt pilots shall always be transmitted across all CLRUs in that open-loop region. Outside an open-loop region, the pilots shall not be transmitted on CLRUs where no data is sent.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.4, PHY: Downlink physical structure

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Mark	Cudak		<u>Membership St</u>	<u>tatus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Comment #	A229		<u>Document u</u>	nder Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part o	of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 582	<u>Line</u> 51	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.5.2.4.3
	resolution of co s not added to t			pletely implem	ented in D7.	. The sentence	given in the resolution to be

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

Editor's NotesEditor's Actionsa) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Mark Cudak	<u>Membership Sta</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A230	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 594 Line 4	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.5.2.4.5
Mhan tha ra	adution of commo	nt #10010 was implemented	the word "COI" was ined	antently delet	had

When the resolution of comment #10243 was implemented, the word "CQI" was inadvertently deleted.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the sentence beginning on line 4 of p. 594 as follows (i.e., insert "CQI" at the end of the sentence): If MaxMt is set to one, then the AMS shall assume it will be paired with no other AMSs and feed back Rank 1 CL-SU-MIMO<ins> CQI</ins>.

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Agree
------------------------	--------------------	-------

Modify the sentence beginning on line 4 of p. 594 as follows (i.e., insert "CQI" at the end of the sentence): If MaxMt is set to one, then the AMS shall assume it will be paired with no other AMSs and feed back Rank 1 CL-SU-MIMO<ins> CQI</ins>.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Mark Cudak	Membership Status:				
Comment #	A231	<u>Document</u>	under Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m			
Comment	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 763 Line 6 Fig/Table	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.8.4.9			

Two editorial issues exist in the sentence beginning on line 6 of p. 763. First, the second part of editorial comment #10291 was not implemented. Second, the sentence currently is a run-on sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the sentence beginning on line 6 of p. 763 as follows:

If CDMA_RNG_FLAG in message AAI_HO-CMD is set to 1, CDMA based ranging shall be performed, <ins>and</ins> the power control parameters of<ins> the</ins> target ABS will be got as<ins>determined using</ins> the process defined in 16.3.8.4.8.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Modify the sentence beginning on line 6 of p. 763 as follows:

If CDMA_RNG_FLAG in message AAI_HO-CMD is set to 1, CDMA based ranging shall be performed, <ins>and</ins> the power control parameters of<ins> the</ins> target ABS will be got as<ins>determined using</ins> the process defined in 16.3.8.4.8.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.9, PHY: Uplink MIMO

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Ronald Mao <u>Membership Status:</u>			tus:	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A232	Document u	nder Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 570	<u>Line</u> 38	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16	6.3.5.5.2.4.1
the power say	ve is a very impo	rtant feature service. We ne	ed to enhance	d it in 16m			

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposal text changes in the contribution C80216m-10_1032 or its latest version

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Loss from scheduling restriction would be higher than gain.

Usually DL control message has top priority for scheduling, which cannot be estimated for its arriving time to BS Queue. Also, priority of MS selecting cannot be estimated for few frames later.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

Editor's Notes b) none needed

Comment by:

Ronald Mao

Membership Status:

Date:

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment # A233Document under Review:P802.16m/D7Ballot ID:sb_16mDocumentTypeTechnicalPart of DisSatisfiedPage284Line53Fig/Table#Subclause16.2.6.3

<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> 284 <u>Line</u> 53 <u>Fig/Table#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.3 During the first circulation of the sponsor ballot a comment to change the HO Event Code from 3 bits to two bits was accepted. Unfortunately, this comment failed to include changes in other parts of the standard that were dependent on the encoding of the HO Event Code. This contribution aims at correcting this situation

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposal text changes in the contribution C80216m-10_1031 or its latest version

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposal text changes in the contribution C80216m-10_1031

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Pei-Kai Liao		<u>Membership Sta</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A234	Document ur	nder Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 35	<u>Line</u> 17	Fig/Table#	Subclause 10.1
The default v	alue of BR_ACK	_Offset is missing				

Suggested Remedy

Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/1027 or its latest revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #10205.

Resolution:

Make the "Default Value" of "BR ACK offset" field in Table 983, pp. 883, line 19, <ins>2 frames</ins>.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 10.1, MAINTENANCE: Global Values

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Pei-Kai Liao	Membership Status:			<u>Date:</u>		
<u>Comment #</u>	A235		Document unde	er Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 97	<u>Line</u> 57	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.7
1. One bit is not enough for Minimal HO Reentry Interleaving Interval.								
2. Minimal HO Reentry Interleaving Interval cannot be 0 if AMS only support carrier switching.								

Suggested Remedy

Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/0971 or its latest revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #250 Resolution: Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/0971

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Pei-Kai Liao	Membership Status:			<u>s:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Comment #	A236	Do	ocument under Re	eview: P802	2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Satis	sfied Pa	<u>ige</u> 285 <u>I</u>	<u>Line</u> 52	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.6.3.4

HO execution procedure needs further clarification:

1. The definition of "AMS cannot maintain connection with Serving ABS" is ambiguous. What is the difference between this scenario and coverage lost?

It is too much restriction to mandate AMS sending AAI_HO-IND in case of all target ABSs in AAI_HO-CMD are unreachable. The AMS should have flexibility to perform uncontrolled HO to its prefered T-ABS immediately in this case.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/1028 or its latest revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

proposed remedy causes uncontrolled handovers

vote: 7 for, 3 against, 1 abstain.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes b) none needed

2010/10/25							IEEE 80	2.16-10/004	l5r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Pei-Kai Liao			<u>Membership S</u>	Status:		Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A237		Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	n	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 292	<u>Line</u> 11	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.6.3.7	
Description or	HO dron condi	tion is incorrect							

Description on HO drop condition is incorrect.

Suggested Remedy

A drop during Handover is defined as the situation where an AMS experiences coverage loss with its serving ABS (either in the DL or in the UL) before the normal HO procedures with the serving<insert>target</insert> ABS has been completed or where an AMS experiences coverage loss with target<insert>serving</insert> ABS before the network reentry procedure with the target ABS has been completed.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The current definition is correct. HO drop can happen before or after HO execution. Before HO execution, the AMS determines coverage loss based on the DL signal from its serving ABS. After that, the AMS shall determine coverage loss based on the DL signal from the target ABS.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Pei-Kai Liao	<u>Membership Sta</u>	atus:	Date:
Comment # A238	Docun	nent under Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic	cal <u>Part of Dis</u> X <u>Satisfier</u>	Page 342 Line 24	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.12.3.1.1

aGPS may not be supported by 16m ABS. Therefore, the existing QoS mapping procedure defined in D7 should also be extended to cover the case of HO between ABS which supports aGPS and ABS which does not support aGPS

Suggested Remedy

During AMS handover from Mzone/ABS to LZone/R1 BS <insert> or to Mzone/ABS which does not support aGPS </insert>, an ABS should map an aGP service flow to a service flow of legacy scheduling type.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Same resolution as 253.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Support of aGPS is mandatory.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.12, MAC: Quality of Service (QoS)

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: P		Pei-Kai Liao	Membership Status:				Date:	
Comment #	\239		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 433	<u>Line</u> 77	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.26.1	

The existing coverage loss detection procedure defined in D7 says that, in case of the AMS has no UL data to send upon receiving an unsolicited UL grant from the ABS, the AMS shall just send a MAC PDU with padding bytes on the UL grant. However, it is unclear how the AMS sends the empty MAC PDU, e.g. which service flow it is associated with? We suggest that instead of sending padding bytes, the AMS responses an AAI_MSG-ACK with all fields set to 0.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/1049 or its latest revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This issue can be resolved by the implementation.

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	I-Kang Fu	<u>Membership Stat</u>	Date:		
Comment # A240	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7	E	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page 225 Line 22	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.57	

The definition of carrier group actually binding with the requirement on sub-carrier alignment in P802.16m/D7. This should be clarified in case reader cannot understand this assumption.

Suggested Remedy

Change the text "Group of contiguous carriers" in the Description field becomes "Group of contiguous carriers which satisfy the sub-carrier alignment condition depicted in 16.3.3.6.2"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #241.

Resolution:

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/1054r3.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>by:</u>	I-Kang Fu		<u>N</u>	<u>lembership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u>	Date:
Comment #	A241	D	Ocument under	Review: P80	2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>		Part of Dis X Sati		<u>Page</u> 225	Line 3	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.57

The table format of AAI_Global-CFG need to be clearn up, where some technical confusion also need to be fixed.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text modification in C802.16m-10/1054 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/1054r3.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	I-Kang Fu	<u>Membership St</u>	atus:	Date:
Comment # A242	Docum	nt under Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic		Page 216 Line 59	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.52

The table format of AAI_MC-REQ can be further improved to prevent possible confusion.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text modification in remedy #1 of C802.16m-10/1055 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/1055r3.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		I-Kang Fu	u <u>Membership Status:</u>			Date:		
<u>Comment #</u>	A243	Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 218	<u>Line</u> 47	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.53		
The table format of AAI MC-RSP can be further improved to prevent possible confusion.								

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text modification in remedy #2 of C802.16m-10/1055 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #242.

Resolution:

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/1055r3.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	I-Kang Fu	<u>Membership St</u>	atus:	Date:
Comment # A244	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technica	Al Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 219 <u>Line</u> 48	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.54

The table format of AAI_CM-CMD can be further improved to prevent possible confusion.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text modification in remedy #1 C802.16m-10/1056 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/1085.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	I-Kang Fu		Membership State	<u>is:</u>	<u>Date:</u>			
<u>Comment #</u>	A245 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7				Ballot ID: sb_16m				
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 221	<u>Line</u> 46	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.55			
The table format of AAI_CM-IND can be further improved to prevent possible confusion.									

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text modification in remedy #2 C802.16m-10/1056 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #244.

Resolution:

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/1085.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	I-Kang Fu		Membership Stat	tus:	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A246	Document un	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical		<u>Page</u> 222	<u>Line</u> 18	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.56

The table format of AAI_MC-ADV can be further improved to prevent possible confusion.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text modification in C802.16m-10/1057 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the proposed text modification in C802.16m-10/1057.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: I-Kang Fu Membership Status: Date: Comment # A247 Ballot ID: sb_16m Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Part of Dis X Satisfied Subclause 16.3.3.6.1 Type Technical Page 460 Line 54 Fig/Table# Comment

In additional to supporting multi-carrier features, support multiple single carrier frame structures over multiple RF carriers should also under the scope of section 16.3.3.6. In order to prevent changing many section numbers, it is preferred to add additional description into 16.3.3.6.1 to capture the missing information.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text modification in C802.16m-10/1058 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Modify the text (line 48, page 459) as follows:

Each carrier shall have its own superframe header. Some carriers may have only part of superframe header. ...

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.3, PHY: Frame structure (Multicarrier)

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment #A248Document under Review:P802.16m/D7Ballot ID:sb_16m	
Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 461 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 16.3.3	5.2

The definition of carrier group actually binding with the requirement on sub-carrier alignment in P802.16m/D7. This should be clarified in case reader cannot understand this assumption.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text modification in C802.16m-10/1059 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #299.

Resolution:

Modify the text (line 45, page 463) as follows:

When two adjacent <ins>, but sub-carrier non-aligned</ins> carriers both contain AAI zone and WirelessMAN-OFDMA zone, they will be treated as two non-contiguous carriers and be indicated by <ins>are included in</ins> different carrier group in the AAI Global-C<ins>FG</ins>onfig message.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.3.3, PHY: Frame structure (Multicarrier)

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>t by:</u>	I-Kang Fu		Membership Sta	<u>itus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>				
Comment #	A249	Document un	der Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m				
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 35	<u>Line</u> 17	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 10.1				
The default v	The default value of BR ACK Offset is missing									

Suggested Remedy

Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/1027 or its latest revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #10205.

Resolution:

Make the "Default Value" of "BR ACK offset" field in Table 983, pp. 883, line 19, <ins>2 frames</ins>.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 10.1, MAINTENANCE: Global Values

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		I-Kang Fu	g Fu <u>Membership Status:</u>				Date:			
Comment #	A250			Document und	er Review:	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Fechnical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 97	<u>Line</u> 57	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.7		
1. One bit is i	. One bit is not enough for Minimal HO Reentry Interleaving Interval.									

2. Minimal HO Reentry Interleaving Interval cannot be 0 if AMS only support carrier switching.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/0971 or its latest revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/0971

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		I-Kang	Fu		Date:			
Comment # A251			Document under Review: P802.16m/D7			7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Tec	hnical <u>Part o</u>	of Dis 🛛 Satisfied 🗌	<u>Page</u> 285	<u>Line</u> 52	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.6.3.4	

HO execution procedure needs further clarification:

1. The definition of "AMS cannot maintain connection with Serving ABS" is ambiguous. What is the difference between this scenario and coverage lost?

It is too much restriction to mandate AMS sending AAI_HO-IND in case of all target ABSs in AAI_HO-CMD are unreachable. The AMS should have flexibility to perform uncontrolled HO to its prefered T-ABS immediately in this case.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/1028 or its latest revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #93.

Resolution:

[Editor's Note 1 : modify the text as following in page 286 line 19]

If all target ABSs included in the AAI_HO-CMD message are unreachable (as defined in this section) or if the AAI_HO-CMD message includes no target ABS, and if the AMS has a preferred target ABS it shall inform the serving ABS of its preferred target ABS by sending the AAI_HO-IND message with HO Event Code 0b001 prior to expiration of Disconnect Time. <ins>If the AMS has no preferred target ABS to include in the AAI_HO-IND message, it may perform HO cancellation as described in section 16.2.6.3.6.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

2010/10/25							IEEE 80)2.16-10/0045ı	' 3
<u>Comment</u>	Comment by: I-Kang Fu				<u>Membership S</u>		<u>Date:</u>		
Comment #	A252		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 292	<u>Line</u> 11	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.6.3.7	
Description or	HO drop condit	ion is incorrect							

Description on HO drop condition is incorrect.

Suggested Remedy

0040/40/0E

A drop during Handover is defined as the situation where an AMS experiences coverage loss with its serving ABS (either in the DL or in the UL) before the normal HO procedures with the serving<insert>target</insert> ABS has been completed or where an AMS experiences coverage loss with target<insert>serving</insert> ABS before the network reentry procedure with the target ABS has been completed.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The current definition is correct. HO drop can happen before or after HO execution. Before HO execution, the AMS determines coverage loss based on the DL signal from its serving ABS. After that, the AMS shall determine coverage loss based on the DL signal from the target ABS.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		I-Kang Fu	Memb	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A253	Docur	ment under Review: P802.16	Sm/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	d Page 342 Line	24 Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.12.3.1.1

aGPS may not be supported by 16m ABS. Therefore, the existing QoS mapping procedure defined in D7 should also be extended to cover the case of HO between ABS which supports aGPS and ABS which does not support aGPS

Suggested Remedy

During AMS handover from Mzone/ABS to LZone/R1 BS <insert> or to Mzone/ABS which does not support aGPS </insert>, an ABS should map an aGP service flow to a service flow of legacy scheduling type.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Support of aGPS is mandatory.

vote: 1 for, 2 against, 0 abstain

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.12, MAC: Quality of Service (QoS)

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: I-Kang Fu Membership Status: Date: Comment # A254 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Part of Dis X Satisfied Type Technical Page 433 Line 77 Subclause 16.2.26.1 Fig/Table# Comment

The existing coverage loss detection procedure defined in D7 says that, in case of the AMS has no UL data to send upon receiving an unsolicited UL grant from the ABS, the AMS shall just send a MAC PDU with padding bytes on the UL grant. However, it is unclear how the AMS sends the empty MAC PDU, e.g. which service flow it is associated with? We suggest that instead of sending padding bytes, the AMS responses an AAI_MSG-ACK with all fields set to 0.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/1049 or its latest revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This issue can be resolved by the implementation.

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:			I-Kang Fu		<u> </u>	Membership Sta	<u>atus:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A255			Document unde	er Review: P80	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 S	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 106	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.9	
HO message	tables	are ambig	uous and need c	clarification.					

Suggested Remedy

Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/1060 or its latest revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/1060r5

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Roshni Srinivasa	Roshni Srinivasan <u>Membership Status</u>			<u>tatus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
Comment # A256		Document under Review: P802.16m/D7			Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 937	<u>Line</u> 26	Fig/Table#	Subclause Annex S
Annex S nee	ds a number of e	ditorial changes.	Refer to con	tribution C80	2.16m-10/10	044 or its latest r	evision for details.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1044 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1044

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Annex S, General: Annex

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Roshni	Srinivasan	an <u>Membership Status:</u>		<u>is:</u>	Date:
Comment #	A257		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of	Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 544	<u>Line</u> 31	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.3.2.2.

The text in section 16.3.5.3.2.2 needs a number of changes to clarify the procedure for allocation of resource indexes for the HARQ feedback A-MAP. Refer to contribution C802.16m-10/1062 or its latest revision for details.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1062 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1062r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
Membership Status: Date:

 Comment # A258
 Document under Review:
 P802.16m/D7
 Ballot ID:
 sb_16m

<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> 784 <u>Line</u> 1 <u>Fig/Table#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.3.10.5.1 This is a reiteration of the comment from the first circulation. The CTC interleaver parameters are poorly chosen and do not allow for

contention free interleaver operation of order 2 or 4 for all block sizes. No change was made to the text despite the presentation of a satisfactory solution with equivalent performance to the current text. The resolution indicated more analysis was needed.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the changes proposed in the latest revision of contribution c802.16m/0922

Brian Johnson

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No additional analysis was provided (no updated revision of the contribution).

The gain from the parallel order of 2 is still not clear for the small block sizes. See the contribution C802.16m-10/1082.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.10, PHY: Channel coding and HARQ

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by: Sh	ih-Yuan	Cheng		<u> </u>	<u>Membership Statı</u>	us:	Date:	
Comment #	A259			Document unde	er Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 822	<u>Line</u> 25	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.5.1.3.1	

In order to improve for current sounding based calibration scheme, We proposed a mechanism based on the fundamental characteristic of the sounding based calibration in section 16.5.1.3.1, and the calibration sounding channel for the serving ABS is preserved.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt text proposal of C80216m-10/0985 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal actually increases the sounding channel overhead.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Vladimir Yanover			<u>Membership S</u>	<u>tatus:</u>	Date:		
Comment #	A260		Document und	der Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 42	<u>Line</u> 28	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.1.2.3	
Jse of DID as	s deined in this s	ection for indent	ifying the pag	ed AMS is n	ot justified as	s in fact it limits	the sige of pagin	g group to 2^1	12. A

quasi-random number should be used instead of combination of DID and paging cycle, similarly to 24bits MAC Address hash in 802.16e

Suggested Remedy

Modify the draft to replace the combination of DID and paging cycle with a quasi-random number to identify the AMS at the time of paging, in particular, in AAI_NBR-ADV message

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The DID can save significant message overhead.

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.1, MAC: Addressing

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comme	nt by:	V	Vladimir Yanover			<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Date:</u>		
<u>Comment #</u>	Comment # A261			Document und	ler Review: P802.16m/D7			Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 115	Line 2	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.12
According to	the late	est version of	of the contrib	ution IEEE C80	2.16m-10/05	64r2			
Suggested Ren	-								

According to the latest version of the contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/0564r2

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment proposes to use additional signaling to indicate a specific frequency partition for CINR measurement. However there is no justification of performance gain when CINR of specific frequency partition (for exampe, reuse 3) is used for handover.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Vladimir Yanover	<u>Membership Stat</u>	us:	Date:
Comment # A262	Document und	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Tech		<u>Page</u> 115 <u>Line</u> 2	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.12
According to the the lates	st version of the contribution IEEE (C802.16m-10/0572r4		
Suggested Remedy				
According to the the lates	st version of the contribution IEEE	C802.16m-10/0572r4		

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment proposes to use additional signaling to indicate a specific frequency partition for CINR measurement. However there is no justification of performance gain when CINR of specific frequency partition (for exampe, reuse 3) is used for handover.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

2010/10/2	5						IEEE 80	02.16-10/0045r3
<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Vladimir Yanov	/er		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Status:</u>		Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A263		Document un	der Review: P	302.16m/D7	Ba	allot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 392	Line 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.17
Section 16.2	.17 (Sleep Mode) and associat	ed sections nee	d a major cle	anup. It is u	ising several conce	epts, unclearly	defined and
contradicting	each other.							
For example	: in p.392, line 14	ł						
"During Liste	ning Window, the	e AMS is expe	ected to receive	all DL transm	nissions sam	ne way as in Active	e Mode. "	
On the other	hand, in p.394, I	ine 15 (note "s	shall") "When TI	MF=0, the Al	MS shall sta	y awake in the List	tening Window	". The condition
TIMF=0 mak	es the reader thi	nking that prol	bably the AMS i	s not necess	arily availabl	le to all DL transmi	issions during	Listening Window.
Therefore "st	aying awake" is	not same as b	eing available t	o all DL trans	missions wh	nich is very mislead	ding.	-
Another exar	nple: let's compa	ire same sente	ence in p.392, li	ne 14		-	-	
"During Liste	ning Window, the	e AMS is expe	ected to receive	all DL transm	nissions sam	ne way as in Active	e Mode. "	

with p.395, line 33 "If the ABS transmits a negative indication to the AMS, the ABS shall not transmit

any DL data traffic to the AMS during the remaining part of the Listening Window."

Suggested Remedy

00404000

Rewrite the whole section 16.2.17 to follow the concept of clearly defined states (which might be "sleep" and "awake" or "Sleep Window" and "Listening Window") so that the AMS is available to the ABS in "awake" state only and unavailable in another state. The behavior of the ABS and AMS should be specified in terms of these two states only. All other concepts like "staying awake until ..." should be removed

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

no proposal for the group to consider

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep Mode

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Vladimir Yanover			<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>atus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A264		Document und	ler Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	Page	Line	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.18
See contribut	tion "Clarificatior	ns in idle Mode se	ection" IEEE (C802.16m	-10/0911		

Suggested Remedy

See contribution "Clarifications in idle Mode section" IEEE C802.16m-10/0911

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Change in 16.2.18, p.400, line 25] 16.2.18 Idle mode

An ABS may be <u>assigned to a member of</u> one or more paging groups that may have different paging cycles and paging offsets. <u>Being assigned to a paging group, the ABS shall advertise the paging group ID (PGID) in the PGID Info message.</u>

An AMS is assigned during deregistration or location update, to one or more paging groups and, per paging group, a specific paging cycle and paging offset. The values of paging cycle and paging offset can be different among AMSs assigned to same paging group.

When an AMS capable of WirelessMAN-OFDMA Advanced co-existing System operations selects a Mixed Mode ABS as a preferred ABS, the AMS may stay in the Lzone and perform the legacy Idle Mode operation <u>as specified in 6.3.23</u>.

If an AMS in Idle Mode decides to change its Idle Mode operation mode between legacy Idle Mode operation and advanced Idle Mode operation, the AMS shall perform full network reentry in the new Idle Mode operation mode.

The Idle Mode operation mode change is caused also by includes the AMS's movement between BSs operating in legacy and/or advanced mode or zone switching between from LZone and to MZone of a mixed mode ABS and handover between a ABS and a WirelessMAN-OFDMA Advanced co-existing System BS

And the decision may be based on the detection of a new Idle Mode operation mode. When an Idle Mode AMS is paged in the Lzone of a mixed mode ABS, the AMS shall perform the network reentry in the LZone of the ABS and may switch to the MZone of the ABS using Lzone to Mzone handover procedures as defined in 16.2.6.4.1.2.1.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.18, MAC: Idle Mode

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Vla	adimir	Yanover			<u>Membership Stat</u>	tus:		Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A265				Document und	er Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u> See contribut		Technical oblems in id			Satisfied fications " IEE	<u>Page</u> E C802.	<u>Line</u> 16m-10/0912	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.18
Suggested Remo See contribut	-	oblems in id	le Mo	de speci	fications " IEE	E C802.	16m-10/0912			

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text in the IEEE C802.16m-10/0912 with the following modified instruction.

Remedy 1 [Change in 16.2.18.4.1.1, p.402 <u>410</u>, line 53 <u>60</u>]

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.18, MAC: Idle Mode

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Type Technical

Vladimir Yanover

Membership Status:

Fig/Table#

Line 42

Date:

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment # A266 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7

Part of Dis X Satisfied

Ballot ID: sb_16m

<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.18.1.1

What is "initialization state" in the sentence "Otherwise, the AMS shall turn to the initialization state"? There is no definition. More than that, next sentence presumes that the AMS is in position to reselect the preferred ABS, therefore the AMS must be in Idle Mode. But Idle Mode is not in any sense the "initialization state" of MAC as the AMS enters the IM state after INE and dregistration

Page 401

Suggested Remedy

Comment

Define the "initialization" state of MAC or remove the sentence " Otherwise, the AMS shall turn to the initialization state, reselect its preferred ABS and perform network reentry with its preferred ABS"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change the sentence "Otherwise, the AMS shall turn to the initialization state, reselect its preferred ABS and perform network reentry with its preferred ABS"

"Otherwise the AMS shall determine that service with the ABS has been lost, and AMS shall behave according to 16.2.26.3"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.18, MAC: Idle Mode

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25	5						IEEE 80	2.16-10/004	5r3
Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Vladimir Yanover			Membership S	<u>Status:</u>		<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A267		Document und	ler Review: P8	802.16m/D7		<u>Ballot ID:</u> sb_16r	n	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 408	Line 3	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.18.2.2	
The following	paragraph sou	nds like every inst	ance of the p	aging messa	age must inc	clude an emerg	ency alert indicato	or (note "shall")	

The following paragraph sounds like every instance of the paging message must include an emergency "A Paging message is an AMS notification message which either indicates the presence of DL traffic pending for the specified AMS or it is intended to poll an AMS and request a location update without requiring a full network entry. In addition, an emergency alert indicator shall be included in the paging message to notify the idle AMSs about emergency situation(s)."

Suggested Remedy

Change to

"A Paging message is an AMS notification message which either indicates the presence of DL traffic pending for the specified AMS or it is intended to poll an AMS and request a location update without requiring a full network entry. In addition, <ins> the Paging message may include </ins> an emergency alert indicator shall be included in the paging message to notify the idle AMSs about emergency situation(s)."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Modify the texts on page 408, line 3 as follows]

A Paging message is an AMS notification message which either indicates the presence of DL traffic pending for the specified AMS or it is intended to poll an AMS and request a location update without requiring a full network entry. In addition, the Paging message may include an emergency alert indicator shall be included in the paging message to notify the idle AMSs about emergency situation(s).

[Modify the row for 'Emergency Alert Indication' in Table 706 on page143 as follows] M/O: ₩O Attiributes/Arrays of attributes: Emergency Alert Indication Size(bits): 1 Value/Note: Used to indicate the presence of emergency information 0b0: there is no emergency informationreserved 0b1: there is emergency information Conditions: N.A. Present if there is emergency information. **Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes		Editor's Actions a) d	lone			
2010/10/25						IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Vladimir Yanover		Membership Statu	<u>s:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A268	ļ	Document under Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Tech	nical Part of Dis 🛛 Sa	tisfied Page 407	<u>Line</u> 61	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.18.2.1
The following	senetence	is unclear:				

"At evaluation and selection of the preferred ABS, the AMS shall synchronize and decode the SFH (superframe header) for the preferred ABS and extract the super-frame number to determine the time that is remaining until the next regular paging listening interval for the preferred ABS. The calculated time until the next regular paging listening interval shall be the paging unavailable interval." 1. During evaluation and selection of the [new] preferred ABS the AMS normally aready has a [old] preferred ABS to which there is no need to synchronize.

2. Paging unavailable interval [of the AMS] is something known to the network, so it cannot be recalculated by the AMS at the moment unknown to the network

Suggested Remedy

Delete the sentence

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Delete the following sentence.

"The calculated time until the next regular paging listening interval shall be the paging unavailable interval."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.18, MAC: Idle Mode

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25						IEEE 80)2.16-10/0045	r 3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Vladimir Yanover		<u>Membership</u>	<u>Status:</u>		<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	4269	ļ	Document under Review:	P802.16m/D7	7 <u>B</u>	allot ID: sb_16r	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Sa	tisfied Page 409	<u>Line</u> 5	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.18.2.3	
The only nose	ihla interpretatio	n of the following	sontonco is that the Al	RS must tran	emit (the same) PG		age in ANV pagi	20

The only possible interpretation of the following sentence is that the ABS must transmit (the same) PGID_Info message in ANY paging listening interval ever assigned to ANY mobile in ANY paging group supported by the ABS.

"The ABS shall transmit the PGID_Info at a predetermined location in the paging listening interval in order to advertise the paging group(s) that is supported by the ABS."

For example, if paging cycle = 64 SFs, and the ABS supports several paging groups (tens of thousands of mobiles), with high probability all offsets 0..63 will be occupied by listening intevals, therefore the ABS will be mandated to transmit the PGID_Info in every frame. Obviously the air interface will be overloaded with PGID_Info transmissions.

Suggested Remedy

00404000

Provide a method to allow less frequent transmissions of the PGID_Info

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There is no specific remedy, and it can be solved as implementation because it depends on the management of paging offset. Transmission of PGID_info every paging listening interval is needed to support multicarrier paging operation.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.18, MAC: Idle Mode

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/25					IEEE 802.16-10/0045	ir3
<u>Comment by:</u>	Vladimir Yanover		<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>atus:</u>	Date:	
Comment # A270		Document under Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
In the sentence	Technical Part of Dis X s		Line	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.18.4.2 essage" the condition "If paging	
group has changed before the LU and	l" is not clear. This language there is another single group tiple PGs assigned to the AN	e presumes a context i o (presumably support	n which there wa ed by the preferr	as a single gro ed ABS) and t	up to which the AMS was assign these two are different. However current preferred ABS. So the	
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Clarify						
GroupResolution	Decision of	Group: Principle				
Modify the text as t	ollows, page 411, line 46.					
Ob0011 and if none			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		g Ranging Purpose indication se he ABS shall include <u>New</u> Paging	
Reason for Group's De	cision/Resolution					

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.18, MAC: Idle Mode

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:	Vladimir Yanover	<u>Members</u>	hip Status:	<u>Date:</u>
Comment # A271	Doc	ument under Review: P802.16m/	/D7 Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Tec	hnical Part of Dis 🛛 Satisf	ied Page Line	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.2
		lear. Suppose that the AMS has the the the new set of PGs a	0	PGs: 17,18 and 19. In the
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Clarify				
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Grou	<u>p:</u> Principle		
Add the New Paging Inf	ormation above New Pagin	g Cycle in page 78, line 38 ar	nd add A), B), C) .	
M Attributes /O / Array of attribu		Value / Note		nditions
	ation variable New Pagin	g Information of the AMS ass	igned to	
O <u>A)</u> New Paging Cyc	le		· · · · ·	
O B) New Paging Grou	JD JD		'	
O <u>C)</u> New Paging Offs	et		' I	
Reason for Group's Decision/	Resolution			
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: M	AC Control messages			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Shao-0	Cheng Wang			<u>Membership</u>	<u>) Status:</u>		<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A272			Document und	der Review: P	302.16m/D	7	Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 86	<u>Line</u>	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.4	
			_		-		ed) based on the sary negotiation o	-	are set via devid	e:

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10_1063 or its later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

definition and coverage of device class is not clear yet.

vote: 2 for, 4 against, 0 abstain

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	Shao-0	Cheng Wang			Members	ship Status:		Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A273			Document une	der Review: P8	802.16m	/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 388	<u>Line</u>	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.15.4
The content of	of the c	apability cla	sses has no	ot been specified	d and therefo	re the n	etwork entry/re-entry	/ procedures car	not be completed.
Suggested Bom	odv								

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10_947r1 and C802.16m-10_1061 or their corresponding later versions.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

solution is incomplete

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.15, MAC: Network Entry and Initialization

2010/10/25						IEEE 802.	16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by: Shao-	Cheng Wang		Member	<u>ship Status:</u>	Dat	<u>ie:</u>
Comment #	A274		Document under Revie	<u>w:</u> P802.16n	n/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied Page	407 <u>Line</u> 6	5 Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16	.2.18.2.1

In the 16m legacy mode operation defined in IEEE 802.16m standard, a 16m base station is attached to a legacy 16e network. In this case, the legacy network considers all the terminals as legacy terminals and hence uses the legacy protocols for various operations such as idle mode, paging etc. In legacy networks based on IEEE 802.16e standard, the paging cycles and paging offsets for idle mode MSs are represented in terms of number of frames. On the other hand, in IEEE 802.16m these parameters are represented in terms of number of super-frame consists of four frames. Duration of each frame in IEEE 802.16e and IEEE 802.16m is 5 ms. Thus, the duration of super-frame = 4 * 5 = 20ms. In legacy mode of operation the network entity responsible for idle mode operation of MSs , e.g., Paging Controller, assign the paging cycle and paging offset that are represented in terms of frames. However, the MS is attached to a base station that uses IEEE 802.16m specifications. Thus, the MS is aware about paging cycle and paging offset to be defined in terms of number of super-frames. Therefore there is a need for mechanisms using which the MS can determine its paging operational parameters, i.e., its paging listening interval in IEEE 802.16m legacy mode operation using the IEEE 802.16e paging parameters that it receives from the BS. This comment provides methods for the above problem.

Suggested Remedy

40140101

Adopt the proposed text in IEEE C802.16m-10/0678 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No relevant contribution was submitted. The cited contribution was from Session 67 and no updates were made since that meeting.

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.18, MAC: Idle Mode

2010/10/25						IEEE 802.16-10/004	.5r3
<u>Comment</u>	by: Shao	-Cheng Wang		<u>Membership St</u>	atus:	Date:	
Comment #	A275	Document	under Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🛛 Satisfied 🗌	<u>Page</u> 43	<u>Line</u> 28	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.1.2.3	

In IEEE 802.16m based networks, idle mode MSs are identified using the Deregistration Identifier (DID), their paging cycle and paging offsets. Thus, idle mode MSs that belong to same paging group and have same paging cycle and paging offset have unique DID so that they can be identified uniquely. The DIDs are assigned to idle mode MS by the paging controllers (PCs). One or more PCs manage each paging group. Thus, when two different PCs assign the DIDs to different idle mode MSs of the same paging group, there is a possibility that they assign the same DID to two different MSs. This is because the DID assignment of each PC is independent of the other ones. If both the MS that have the same DID also have the same paging message for one of these MSs also results in unwanted paging indication. This result in unwanted paging operation and unnecessary signaling overhead. This comment proposes methods to resolve this issue.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in IEEE C802.16m-10/0679 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The DID can save significant message overhead.

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.1, MAC: Addressing

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Shao-0	Cheng Wang			<u>Membership S</u>	<u>tatus:</u>		Date:
Comment #	4276			Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 553	<u>Line</u> 47	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.5.5.1.2
The current "F confusion, i.e.,							it to something	g not relevant to o	cell type to avoid

Suggested Remedy

Change the term "Femto Indicator" in Table 837 to "Cell specific ranging configuration Indicator" in column 1 and Column 3 of Table 837 in line 47.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change the term "Femto Indicator" in Table 837 to "Cell specific ranging configuration Indicator" in column 1 and Column 3 of Table 837 in line 47.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

Editor's NotesEditor's Actionsa) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>it by:</u>	Hyu	njeong Kang			<u>Membership</u>	<u>) Status:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A277			Document un	der Review: P8	02.16m/D	7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 169	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.37	
Current MAC	contro	l message f	able in 16m/D	7 is not clear	from a readal	oility point	of view. Therefor	e alternative table format is	

Suggested in this contribution, and the text changes are proposed for SingleBS_MIMO_FBK MAC control messages.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1074 or its later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1074r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	Hyunjeon	Kang			<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Status:</u>		Date:
Comment #	A278			Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Te	chnical <u>Par</u>	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 156	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.29
Urrent MAC	control m	assana tahla	in 16m/D	7 is not clear f	rom a readal	nility point o	f view This con	tribution provides	undated table

Current MAC control message table in 16m/D7 is not clear from a readability point of view. This contribution provides updated table format for the AAI_L2-xfer, AAI_MSG-ACK, and AAI_RES-CMD messages.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1069 or its later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1069r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: Nader Zein Membership Status: Date: Comment # A279 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Part of Dis X Satisfied Subclause 16.3.5.5.2.4.1 Type Technical Page 567 Line Fig/Table# Comment In the current IEEE802.16m draft, support for 8 stream MU-MIMO transmission targets only an ABS with 8 TX antennas since the much more typical case of an ABS with 4 TX will not co-schedule more than 4 streams in the DL. Since it is suspected that the deployment of

4 TX ABS would be the primary target for most companies, this enhancement of 8 streams MU-MIMO may not apply is most cases. On the other hand, with more flexible decoding of the DL basic assignment MAP IE, more support for MLD can be exploited by ABSs with both 4 TX and 8 TX antennas.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt proposed changes indicated in contribution C80216m-10 0969r1 or its latest revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal does not quantify the gain.

Vote: In favor: 9 Opposed: 7 Abstain:

Group's Notes Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions

b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	t by: Hyu	njeong Kang		I	<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>IS:</u>	Dar	<u>te:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A280		Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 138	Line 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16	3.2.3.20

Current MAC control message table in 16m/D7 is not clear from a readability point of view. Therefore alternative table format is suggested in this contribution, and the text changes are proposed for idle mode MAC control messages.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1073 or its later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1073r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by:	Yi-Ting Lin		<u> </u>	<u>Membership Stat</u>	us:	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A281		Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 822	<u>Line</u> 25	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.5.1.3.1
The total nun sounding sec		n souding chanr	nels could be re	dused by on	e by the prop	osed modifica	ation of generation of calibration

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0985 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal actually increases the sounding channel overhead.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by: Chu	un-Yen Hsu		Membership State	us:	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A282	Document une	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 822	<u>Line</u> 25	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.5.1.3.1
	of ourrant multi DC	2 MIMO accuration where and	بمطمع ممادها	and in depicted	A manual if a s	the set of a second term of a sittle wettern.

Optimization of current multi-BS MIMO sounding phase calibration scheme is desirable. A modification of generation of calibration sounding sequence is proposed to improve the

transmission efficiency of calibration souding channels.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0985 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal actually increases the sounding channel overhead.

Group's Notes Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	i <u>t by:</u>	Chiu-Wen Chen			Membership Sta	<u>tus:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A283		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 822	<u>Line</u> 25	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.5.1.3.1
To increase t	the henefit of th	e multi_RS MIMO	sounding calib	ration schen	ne in 16513	1 A modifies	ation of generation of calibration

To increase the benefit of the multi-BS MIMO sounding calibration scheme in 16.5.1.3.1. A modification of generation of calibration sounding sequence is proposed.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the text proposal in C802.16m-10/0985 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal actually increases the sounding channel overhead.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u> Chih	-Cheng Yang		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>status:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A284	Document un	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 822	<u>Line</u> 25	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.5.1.3.1

With the help of calibration sounding sequence, the mismatch of DL/UL phase can be eliminated, in order to further improve the sounding transmission efficiency, a modification of generation of calibration sounding sequence is proposed.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0985 or latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal actually increases the sounding channel overhead.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25								IEEE 802.16-10/	0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jor	nathan L	_abs		<u>Membership St</u>	atus:	<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	A285			Document un	der Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of	Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 19	<u>Line</u> 60	Fig/Table#	Subclause 6.3.2.3.23	

[Re: Maintenance Change Request 0026 in IEEE 802.16maint-09/0007r9]

[Re: IEEE L802.16-10/0034, Annex A]

802.16-2009 requires that the BS shall include Physical Parameters Supported in the SBC-RSP if found in the SBC-REQ. However, Physical Parameters Supported includes a number of TLVs and the standard is not clear on whether SBC-RSP shall include each TLV found in SBC-REQ. This introduces ambiguity on interpretation when TLV 204 OFDMA Parameters Sets is included in SBC-REQ. In addition, 802.16-2009 requires that the MS shall include Physical Parameters Supported if the MS is not intended to solicit NSP information. Since Physical Parameters Supported includes both TLV 204 which defines sets of parameters and a number of individual TLVs, the standard is not clear on when TLV 204 shall be included and when individual TLVs shall be included.

These different interpretations of the standard lead to potential IOT problem. For example, the network entry procedure may fail as the MS may reject SBC-RSP if it does not include TLV 204.

Since TLV 204 is designed in a way that the parameter sets cover most of the implementation cases, it is desired to include TLV 204 in SBC-REQ/RSP when possible instead of each individual TLV in order to reduce overhead.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1064

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1064

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 6, MAINTENANCE: MAC common part sublayer

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jonathan Labs		Membership Sta	<u>atus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A286	Document und	der Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 18	Line 6	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 6.3.2.3.39
Sections 6.3.2	2.39 and 6.3.2.3	3.40 are out of sequence.				

Suggested Remedy

Move Sections 6.3.2.3.39 and 6.3.2.3.40 on pages 18 and 19 to before Section 6.3.2.3.42 on page 21.

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree
Move Sections 6.3.2.3.39 and 6.3.2	.3.40 on pages 18 and 19 to before Section 6.3.2.3.42 on page 21.
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution	
Group's Notes	

Clause 6, MAINTENANCE: MAC common part sublayer

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25	5					IEEE 802.16-10/004	45ı
<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u> Jor	nathan Labs	Membership Status:			Date:	
Comment #	A287	Document u	Document under Review: P802.16n			Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>туре</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 19	<u>Line</u> 14	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 6.3.2.3.40	
Re: IEEE L8 New PSC du Possible inte The BS shall Definition=1.	02.16-10/0034, An ring Sleep mode m roperability Issue: not send a MOB_9	nay lead to IO problems. SLP-RSP message with a o	different PSC	CID than the M		MOB_SLP-REQ message wir	
response cor Suggested Rem		en it might not be clear for	the MS how	to match with	the PSC param	eters.	
Insert the fol	lowing text on page	e 19 of P802.16m/D7 at lin	e 14:]:				

Power Saving Class ID

2040/40/25

Assigned power saving class identifier. The ID shall be unique within the group of power saving classes associated with <ins>defined</ins> the MS <ins>by the MOB_SLP-REQ/MOB_SLP-RSP transaction. The MS and BS shall use the same Power Saving Class ID during the MOB SLP-REQ/MOB SLP-RSP transaction</ins>. This ID may be used in further MOB SLP-REQ/RSP messages for activation/deactivation of power saving class.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

[Insert the following text on page 19 of P802.16m/D7 at line 14:]:

Power Saving Class ID

Assigned power saving class identifier. The ID shall be unique within the group of power saving classes associated with <ins>defined</ins> the MS <ins>by the MOB_SLP-REQ/MOB_SLP-RSP transaction. The MS and BS shall use the same Power Saving Class ID during the MOB SLP-REQ/MOB SLP-RSP transaction</ins>. This ID may be used in further MOB SLP-REQ/RSP messages for activation/deactivation of power saving class.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 6, MAINTENANCE: MAC common part sublayer

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Jonathan Labs		<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u>	Date:
	Document under Review:	P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID:	sb_16m
nge Request 0029 in IEE 0034, Annex D]	EE 802.16maint-09/000	-	-	<u>ibclause</u> 5.2.3.2 ge
EE 802.16m-10/1065				
Decision of	Group: Agree			
EE 802.16m-10/1065				
/Resolution				
e Specific CS				
Editor's Actions a)	done			
	chnical <u>Part of Dis</u> S nge Request 0029 in IEE 0034, Annex D] t in IEEE C802.16m-10/ EE 802.16m-10/1065 <u>Decision of</u> EE 802.16m-10/1065 <u>MResolution</u>	Document under Review: chnical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 14 nge Request 0029 in IEEE 802.16maint-09/000 0034, Annex D] 11 tin IEEE C802.16m-10/1065 on PHSI in an MS EE 802.16m-10/1065 Decision of Group: Agree EE 802.16m-10/1065 Mage Request VResolution e Specific CS	Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 chnical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 14 Line 4 nge Request 0029 in IEEE 802.16maint-09/0007r9] 0034, Annex D] tin IEEE C802.16m-10/1065 on PHSI in an MS-initiated DSA RE EE 802.16m-10/1065 Decision of Group: Agree EE 802.16m-10/1065 Mresolution e Specific CS Specific CS	Jonathan Labs Membership Status: Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot D: chnical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 14 Line 4 Fig/Table# Subape Request 0029 in IEEE 802.16maint-09/0007r9] 0034, Annex D] tin IEEE C802.16m-10/1065 on PHSI in an MS-initiated DSA REQ/DSC-REQ message EE 802.16m-10/1065 Decision of Group: Agree EE 802.16m-10/1065 Wresolution

2010/10/25			IEEE 802.16-				
<u>Comment by:</u>		onathan Labs	Membership Status:			Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A289		Document under Revie	w: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied Page	22 <u>Line</u> 34	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 6.3.2.3.47	

[Re: Maintenance Change Request 0041 in IEEE 802.16maint-09/0007r9]

[Re: IEEE L802.16-10/0070r1, Annex A]

Currently the IEEE802.16 standard draft does not limit the BS to send MOB-BSHO_REQ message to the MS for initiating handover to a new candidate target BS without any scanning report.

If the BS sends MOB-BSHO_REQ to the MS without any scan reports, this can cause possible performance degradation on the MS side, since the new target BS that has been selected by the previous serving BS without scan report can have worse channel conditions that the serving BS.

Suggested Remedy

[Insert the following change language text on page 22 of P802.16m/D7 after line 34:]: [Modify the paragraph in section 6.3.2.3.47 on page 221 in 802.16-2009 as indicated]

6.3.2.3.47 MOB BSHO-REQ (BS HO request) message

The BS may transmit a MOB_BSHO-REQ message when it wants to initiate an HO. An MS receiving this message may scan recommended neighbor BSs in this message. <ins> When the BS indicates one or more possible target BSs in the recommended neighbor BS list of the MOB_BSHO-REQ message, the BS should not include a neighbor BS if the BS did not receive at least one MOB_SCN-REP message that includes the up-to-date scanning results of the neighbor BS. The determination of up-to-date is left to vendors' implementation and is out of scope of this standard. </ins>The message shall be transmitted on the Basic CID. See Table 150.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Insert the following change language text on page 22 of P802.16m/D7 after line 34:]: [Modify the paragraph in section 6.3.2.3.47 on page 221 in 802.16-2009 as indicated] 6.3.2.3.47 MOB_BSHO-REQ (BS HO request) message The BS may transmit a MOB_BSHO-REQ message when it wants to initiate an HO. //wwwwwwwwwe/delage.com/delage.com/delage.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 6, MAINTENANCE: MAC common part sublayer

Editor's Notes

2010/10/25

Comment by:

Jonathan Labs

Membership Status:

Date:

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment # A290Document under Review:P802.16m/D7Ballot ID:sb_16m

<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> <u>Line</u> <u>Fig/Table#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 6.3.2.3.23

[Re: Maintenance Change Request 0042 in IEEE 802.16maint-09/0007r9]

[Re: IEEE L802.16-10/0070r1, Annex B]

There are already WiMAX deployments and mobiles having no support for NDnS. So any implementation must have this "backward compatibility" provisions.

The standard does not contain a capability exchange for NDnS or guidance for this issue.

Suggested Remedy

[Insert the following change language text on page 19 of P802.16m/D7 after line 60:]:

[Modify the paragraph in section 6.3.2.3.23 on page 131 in 802.16-2009 as indicated]

6.3.2.3.23 SBC-REQ (SS basic capability request) message

An SS shall generate SBC-REQ messages including the following parameter:

Basic CID (in the MAC header)

The connection identifier in the MAC header is the Basic CID for this SS, as assigned in the RNG-RSP message.

All other parameters are coded as TLV tuples.

The Basic Capabilities Request contains the SS Capabilities Encodings (11.8) that are necessary to acquire NSP information and for effective communication with the SS during the remainder of the initialization protocols. NSP information is solicited in the SBC-REQ message when the SBC-REQ includes the SIQ TLV (11.8.9) with bit bit 0 set to 1.

<ins>The SS shall include the SIQ TLV in the Basic Capability Request if the SS received the NSP Change Count TLV as part of the DCD and</ins> The following parameter shall be included in the Basic Capability Request if the SS is intended <ins>intends</ins> to solicit NSP information:

Service Information Query (see 11.8.9)

The following parameter shall be included in the Basic Capabilities..

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

[Insert the following change language text on page 19 of P802.16m/D7 after line 60:]:

[Modify the paragraph in section 6.3.2.3.23 on page 131 in 802.16-2009 as indicated]

6.3.2.3.23 SBC-REQ (SS basic capability request) message

An SS shall generate SBC-REQ messages including the following parameter:

Basic CID (in the MAC header)

The connection identifier in the MAC header is the Basic CID for this SS, as assigned in the RNG-RSP message.

All other parameters are coded as TLV tuples.

The Basic Capabilities Request contains the SS Capabilities Encodings (11.8) that are necessary to acquire NSP information and for effective communication with the SS during the remainder of the initialization protocols. NSP information is solicited in the SBC-REQ message when the SBC-REQ includes the SIQ TLV (11.8.9) with bit bit 0 set to 1.

<ins>The SS shall include the SIQ TLV in the Basic Capability Request if the SS received the NSP Change Count TLV as part of the DCD and</ins> The following parameter shall be included in the Basic Capability Request if the SS is intended <ins>intends</ins> to solicit NSP information: Service Information Query (see 11.8.9) The following parameter shall be included in the Basic Capabilities..

Reason	for	Group's	Decision	/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 6, MAINTENANCE: MAC common part sublayer

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25

Comment	<u>t by:</u> Jo	onathan Labs		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>tatus:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A291	Document un	nder Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🛛 Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 37	<u>Line</u> 21	Fig/Table#	Subclause 11.3.1	
Dev Mainten				701			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

[Re: Maintenance Change Request 0043 in IEEE 802.16maint-09/0007r9]

[Re: IEEE L802.16-10/0070r1, Annex C]

The purpose of this CR is to provide needed clarifications into the current release of the IEEE 802.16 standard with respect to sounding region TLV which is sent via UCD. Currently the definition of the region via TLV is missing information in compare to the definition via the map IE. The misalignment should be fixed by adding the missing information to the TLV in the UCD

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1066

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1066

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 11, MAINTENANCE: TLV encodings

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

2010/10/25	ō						IEEE 802.16-10/0)045r3
<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u> J	Jonathan Labs			<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>itus:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A292		Document unde	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 17	<u>Line</u> 49	Fig/Table#	Subclause 6.3.2.3	

[Re: Maintenance Change Request 0044 in IEEE 802.16maint-09/0007r9]

[Re: IEEE L802.16-10/0070r1, Annex D]

In IEEE 802.16-2009, HARQ which brings benefits to extend downlink/uplink coverage can be applied for management messages as well as data. For coverage extension, HARQ is required for RNG-REQ, SBC-REQ and BRH messages.

However, HARQ can be used to transmit the management message only after exchanging the SBC-REQ/RSP messages because HARQ parameters are negotiated through the SBC-REQ/RSP messages.

Moreover, if the MS wants to receive uplink resources using existing HARQ UL-MAP IEs, it requires basic CID. But, during network (re)entry, the MS does not have any CID.

Even further, BS cannot distinguish between HARQ-applied burst and HARQ-non-applied bursts unless it allocates uplink resources using different MAP IE (i.e., using normal UL-MAP IE or HARQ UL-MAP IE).

Suggested Remedy

Comment

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1067

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1081

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 6, MAINTENANCE: MAC common part sublayer

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by: Joi	nathan Labs		<u>Membership S</u>	<u>Status:</u>	<u>Date:</u>	
<u>Comment #</u>	A293	Document	under Review: P	2802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 38	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 11.7.8.11	

[Re: IEEE L802.16-10/0070r1, Annex E]

In case a BS use Preamble Index Override or Ranging Abort Timer in RNG-RSP message, the BS need to be sure the MS supports the feature. If the MS does not support the parameters, it will simply discard the parameters.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1068r1

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1068r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 11, MAINTENANCE: TLV encodings

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Young Soo Yuk					<u>Membership St</u>	<u>tatus:</u>	Date:		
Comment # A294				Document under Review: P802.16m/D7			Ballot ID: sb_16m				
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis		Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 188	Line 4	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.46.1	
Detailed meth	nod for	supporting	the carrier	switc	ching mode A	AMS should b	e defined fo	r efficient unicas	st scheduling for	the AMS. Refer	to
C802.16m-10	/1005	or the latest	revision of	f the	contribution.				_		

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the Text proposal in C802.16m-10/1005 or the latest revision of the contribution.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #54.

Resolution: Adopt the text proposed in C802.16m-10/1035r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Young Soo Yuk Comment by: Membership Status: Date: Comment # A295 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Part of Dis X Satisfied Type Technical Page 304 Line 8 Subclause 16.2.7.1 Fig/Table# Comment Persistent scheduling is used for connections with periodic traffic pattern and with relatively fixed size. the current UL Persistent allocation method does not support per-connection allocation. If an ABS has two PAs, an AMS cannot know which PA is for which flow. Suggested Remedy Adopt the Text proposals in C802.16m-10/1008 or the latest revision of the contribution.

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

To fix the subframe a flow can be scheduled during negotiation can make serious limitation for ABS's scheduling.

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.7, MAC: Persistent Scheduling

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	t by: Youn	Young Soo Yuk		<u>Membership S</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A296	Docu	ment under Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Satisfie	<u>d Page</u> 311	<u>Line</u> 31	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8.2.8
In current spe	ec, feedback heade	er and control messa	ge are carried in or	nly primary ca	arrier. But there	is no carrier information.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the Text proposal in C802.16m-10/1000 or the latest revision of the contribution.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposed remedy is incomplete.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Young Soo Yuk			<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>is:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A297		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Techni	ical Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 318	<u>Line</u> 34	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.8.2.10.2

For E-MBS Idle mode AMS, the AAI_PAG-ADV transmits at the same carrier as the dedicated carrier for E-MBS. When E-MBS Idle mode AMS finishes the E-MBS reception, the paging carrier of the AMS is configured as the carrier index = (DID modulo N). However the ABS can not know it because there is not any interaction between AMS and ABS when the AMS starts or finishes the E-MBS reception. For synchronization of paging carrier between AMS and ABS, AMS should inform the ABS of the start or termination of E-MBS reception.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the Text proposal in C802.16m-10/1006 or the latest revision of the contribution.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Modify page 318 line 34 as indicated:

For an E-MBS AMS the AAI_PAG-ADV message $\langle del \rangle = \frac{\langle del \rangle}{ded} = \frac{\langle del \rangle}{de$

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Young Soo Yuk Comment by: Membership Status: Date: Comment # A298 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Part of Dis X Satisfied Subclause 16.2.8.2.11 Type Technical Page 319 Line 26 Fig/Table# Comment

In the multi-carrier operation, we can consider a scenario that the several assigned carriers have smaller coverage than primary carrier or active secondary carrier. Under this scenario, the channel quality information for the inactive secondary carrier(s) will be beneficial to the carrier management of ABS. However, current text doesn't have any scanning trigger definition for these inactive carriers. In this contribution, therefore, we propose to define the trigger condition definitions for carrier management, i.e., secondary carrier activation and primary carrier change.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the Text proposal in C802.16m-10/1003 or the latest revision of the contribution.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the Text proposal in C802.16m-10/1003r3.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Young Soo Yuk			<u>Membership S</u>	Date:		
Comment #	A299			Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>			Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 463	<u>Line</u> 45	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.3.6.2

The definition on non-contiguous carrier is not clear.

Suggested Remedy

"When two adjacent carriers both contain AAI zone and WirelessMAN-OFDMA zone, they will be treated as two non-contiguous carriers and be indicated by <ins>considered as</ins> different carrier group in the AAI_Global-Config message."

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Modify the text (line 45, page 463) as follows:

When two adjacent <ins>, but sub-carrier non-aligned</ins> carriers both contain AAI zone and WirelessMAN-OFDMA zone, they will be treated as two non-contiguous carriers and be indicated by <ins>are included in</ins> different carrier group in the AAI_Global-C<ins>FG</ins>onfig message.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.3, PHY: Frame structure (Multicarrier)

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commer	n <u>t by:</u> You	ing Soo Yuk		ļ	<u>Membership</u> (<u>Status:</u>	<u>Date:</u>
<u>Comment #</u>	A300		Document under	r Review: P80	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 870	<u>Line</u> 33	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.9.2.4
Interruption (imo from corrior o	witching operatio	n violdo obnoi		oporation	We propose a a	imple modification of UADO

Interruption time from carrier switching operation yields abnormal HARQ operation. We propose a simple modification of HARQ operation to support carrier switching mode.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the Text proposal in C802.16m-10/1007 or the latest revision of the contribution.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the Text proposal in C802.16m-10/1007r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Vote: In favor: 14 Opposed: 1 Abstain:

Group's Notes

Clause 16.9, Other: eMBS

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Kanchei Loa		chei Loa			<u>Status:</u>		Date:		
Comment #	A301			Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n
<u>Comment</u>	Type Tech	nical i	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 822	<u>Line</u> 25	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.5.1.3.1
The current multi-BS MIMO sounding phase calibration scheme for DL/UL mismtach could be optimized by a phase differetial approach to reduce calibration overheads. The optimized scheme is proposed in C80216m-10_0985									
Suggested Remedy									
Accept C802	16m-10_098	5							

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal actually increases the sounding channel overhead.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Comment by: Roger Marks			Membership Status:					
Comment # A302	Document und	er Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	Sm			
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> i	<u>Line</u> 15	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	Introduction			
EEE 802.16h-2009 is the incom	rrect designation.								
uggested Remedy Change IEEE 802.16h-2009 to IEEE 802.16h-2010.									
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Agree								
Change IEEE 802.16h-2009 to	IEEE 802.16h-2010.								
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution									
<u>Group's Notes</u> Frontmatter, General: Frontma	tter								
Editor's Notes E	ditor's Actions a) done								

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by: Shiani	n-Tsong Sheu		ļ	<u>Membership St</u>	<u>atus:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A303	l	Document under	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	Part of Dis	ntisfied	<u>Page</u> 822	<u>Line</u> 25	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.5.1.3.1

A modification of generation of calibration sounding sequence is proposed. With the help of calibration sounding sequence, the mismatch of DL/UL phase can be eliminated, in order to further improve the sounding transmission efficiency.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0985

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal actually increases the sounding channel overhead.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Roger Marks			Membership State	us:	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A304	D	ocument unde	<u>r Review:</u>	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis 🔀 Sati	isfied	<u>Page</u> 1	<u>Line</u> 31	Fig/Table#	Subclause Title Page	
fails to recog	fails to recognize a previous amendment							
Suggested Remedy								
After "existing base standard IEEE Std 802.16-2009 as amended by IEEE Std 802.16j ", add "and IEEE Std 802.16h "								

GroupResolutionDecision of Group:AgreeAfter "existing base standard IEEE Std 802.16-2009 as amended by IEEE Std 802.16j", add "and IEEE Std 802.16h"Reason for Group's Decision/ResolutionGroup's Notes
Frontmatter, General: Frontmatter

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Roger Marks		Date:				
Comment #	A305	Document under Review: P802.16m/D7					Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 36	<u>Line</u> 24	Fig/Table#	Subclause 11.1.3	
Term "IEEE	Std 802.16m-2010)" presumes app	oroval of this d	raft in 2010.	That is unlike	y.		

Suggested Remedy

Change "IEEE Std 802.16m-2010" to "IEEE Std 802.16m-2011", which is a likely designation.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change "IEEE Std 802.16m-2010" to "IEEE Std 802.16m-2011", which is a likely designation.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 11, MAINTENANCE: TLV encodings

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Shiann-Tsong She	u		Membership Stat	us:	Date:
Comment # A306		Document unde	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment <u>Type</u> 7	echnical Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 822	<u>Line</u> 25	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.5.1.3.1

A modification of generation of calibration sounding sequence is proposed. With the help of calibration sounding sequence, the mismatch of DL/UL phase can be eliminated, in order to further improve the sounding transmission efficiency.

Suggested Remedy

Adpot the contribution C80216m-10/0985

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal actually increases the sounding channel overhead.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Roger Marks	Membership Status:	<u>Date:</u>
Comment # A307	Document ur	nder Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page XXVII Line 0 Fig/Table#	Subclause Page Header
On Page xxvii, and subsequ "IEEE P802.16m/D6a UNOF		e List of Tables, the header is incorrect	
Suggested Remedy			
Correct the header.			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agre	e	
Correct the header.			
Reason for Group's Decision/Resol	lution		
Group's Notes			
Frontmatter, General: Frontr	natter		
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done		

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	Comment by:		Membership Status:			<u>Date:</u>		
Comment #	A308		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 831	<u>Line</u> 37	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.6.2.9.1.1	
16.6.2.9.1.1 includes many references to the undefined symbol "16m". This certainly cannot be used as a reference to the 802.16m								
specification. The problem occurs at least once on each of pages 832-839.								

Suggested Remedy

Replace all references to "16m" with terminology that will remain valid even after the 802.16m amendment is consolidated into the base standard.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Replace 16m with AAI throughout the document.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

Editor's Notes Ec

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Shih-Yuan	Cheng		Membership St	<u>tatus:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A309		Document under	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Technic		of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 108	Line 3	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.11

Clarify the Table 691 AAI_HO-CMD parameters.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt text proposal of C80216m-10/1013 or its latest version.

GroupResolutionDecision of Group:PrincipleResolved by comment #255Resolution:Adopt text proposal in contribution C802.16m-10/1060r5

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3			
Comment by:	Roger Marks	<u>Membership S</u>	<u>tatus:</u>	Date:			
Comment # A310	Document und	der Review: P802.16m/D7	Balle	<u>ot ID:</u> sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page 65 Line	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.2.2.11			
The draft includes many references to the undefined symbol "16m". This certainly cannot be used as a reference to the 802.16m specification. The problem occurs on many pages, including 65, 155, 227, 267, 273, 300, 303, 698, 845.							
Suggested Remedy							
Replace all references to "16m standard.	" with terminology that will re	main valid even after the	802.16m amendme	ent is consolidated into the base			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Princi	ple					
P65 L62 delete "16m"							
P155 L49 replace "16m" with "							
P227 replace all occurences of	i "16m" with "AAI"						
P267 L3 delete "of 16m" P273 L64 "The selective confic	lentiality protection over cont	rol messages is the	<ine>a</ine>	mandatory feature of			
P273 L64 "The selective confidentiality protection over control messages is the <ins>a</ins> mandatory feature of 16m and the negotiated keying materials/ciphersuites are used to encrypt the control messages."							
P300 L30 delete "16m"	., .	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
P303 L55 delete "16m"							
P698 F546 replace "16m" with	"AAI" all occurences						
P845 L1 delete "16m"							

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

16.6 changes by SP

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: You		un-Tai Lee		Membership St	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A311	Document u	nder Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🔀 Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 822	<u>Line</u> 25	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.5.1.3.1
To improve th	e current multi-BS	S MIMO sounding phase ca	alibration scher	me for DL/UL	_ mismtach, a	phase differetial approach is

proposed to reduce calibration overhead.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C80216m-10_0985.doc or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal actually increases the sounding channel overhead.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO; LMAC + Others

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Bin Chen		Membership Sta	<u>tus:</u>	Date:
<u>Comment #</u>	A312	Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 214	<u>Line</u> 34	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.49
Group resou	rce management n	eed to be enhanced for futur	e new servic	es		

Suggested Remedy

adopt proposal changes in contributions 80216m-10_1079 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's a rare case if more than two flows of an AMS are added into one or more groups. At that time the ABS will transmit the multiple AAI_GRP-CFG messages to the AMS. It's not a big overhead.

Please see the text in page 325, line 33, "The deletion from the current group can be implicit if the flow is reassigned to a group by setting the Deletion Flag to 0." To support this operation, a FID should be added to only a group, and addition or deletion of more than one FID in a message is not needed.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IFFE 802.16-10/0045r3

2010/10/25			IEEE 802.16-10/00
Comment by:	Roger Marks	<u>Membership Status:</u>	Date:
Comment # A313	Document u	nder Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic	al Part of Dis X Satisfied	Page 273 Line 52 Fig	<u>/Table#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.3.2
The title of Fig 406 refers to	"802.16m", which cannot be u	sed since the number is related	to a temporary amendment.
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Delete "in IEEE 802.16m" fro	om title.		
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agre	90	
Delete "in IEEE 802.16m" fro	om title.		
Reason for Group's Decision/Resol	lution		
Group's Notes			
Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Se	ecurity		
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done		

2010/10/23	201	0/1	0/25	
------------	------------	-----	------	--

Comment by:

Bin Chen

Membership Status:

Date:

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

 Comment # A314
 Document under Review:
 P802.16m/D7
 Ballot ID:
 sb_16m

<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> 323 <u>Line</u> 42 <u>Fig/Table#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.9.2

In the last sponsor ballot in July, the contribution about C80216m-10_0772r2.doc was adopted which was to fix a problem of group resource allocation issue in D6 that exposed the all the resource allocation information to all the MSs in a group, but the contribution did not change all the texts that need to be changed.

Suggested Remedy

adopt the proposed text changes in the contribution C80216m-10_1037 or its latest version

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Editor's Note 1 : change the text in page 323, starting on line 42]

The ABS configures a HARQ Burst Size Set for each <ins><u>flow within a</ins></u> group<ins>.<u></ins></u> <u>The HARQ</u> burst size set supports four HARQ burst sizes. The Group Configuration MAC control message signaled to <u>add</u> a flow <u>of an AMS to a group</u> contains the HARQ burst sizes assigned to <u>the flows group</u>. The assigned HARQ burst sizes to a flow in the group shall be chosen from the configured set.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u>

Clause 16.2.9, MAC: Group Resource Allocation

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/0	045r3
Comment	<u>by:</u>	Roger Marks			Membership Sta	<u>atus:</u>	Date:	
<u>Comment #</u>	A315		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 883	<u>Line</u> 60	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.12	

External references to the detailed content of the standard (such as conformance documentation) cannot easily be implemented because the standard is missing fixed, named hooks to the detailed normative elements. Without fixed named destinations, external references must refer to the context by subclause and table numbers. However, such numbers are not stable with respect to future maintenance actions. An example of the difficulties that will arise during later maintenance is documented in a liaison statement from ETSI BRAN (IEEE L802.16-07/043 http://ieee802.org/16/liaison/docs/L80216-07_043.pdf). The request made in that liaison statement from statement could not be accommodated, and the maintenance of the conformance documentation suffered accordingly.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt remedy in IEEE C802.16m-10/0409.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Proposed text is incomplete (incorrect references, contribution needs to be updated to reflect the contents of the current draft and to include other clauses).

Group's Notes

Clause 16.12, Other: NEW

Editor's Notes b) none needed

2010/10/2	5				IEEE 802.16-10/004	15r3
Commer	<u>nt by:</u>	Bin Chen	<u>Membership Sta</u>	atus:	<u>Date:</u>	
Comment #	A316	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 100 <u>Line</u> 37	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.8	

Current 16m radio resource allocation mechanism includes physical resource permutation to logical resource unit, and A-MAP resource allocation. The permutation is defined in the standard text and known by all the terminals, while A-MAP is broadcast in a all known place with pre-defined MCS. Every AMS in the cell can detect and decode all the A-MAP, no matter whether it is the intended one. It just uses a STID to mask the CRC to check whether the A-MAPs are for itself.

The security problem is that a cracker can decode all the A-MAPs, and try all the STID to calculate the CRC. Since the 16 bits CRC is masked with 12 bits STID plus 4 fixed bits, the error detection rate will be very low for current 16 bits CRC algorithm. So that the cracker can receive all MAC frames and send them to background high quality calculating system to save and decrypt.

Suggested Remedy

adopt the proposed changes in contribution C80216m-10_1080. In this contribution, a simple method to make the A-MAP save with no additional overhead is proposed. The main idea is to set a mask ID to mask the resource index in the A-MAP IE before CRC calculating.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The security is not physical layer issue but an issue of MAC layer or the above layer. 16m already uses the MAC seucrity. Therefore for an AMS to decode the packet which is not intended to the AMS is not a security problem.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commer	<u>nt by:</u>	Roger Marks		<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	<u>Date:</u>			
<u>Comment #</u>	A317	Document un	der Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	Part of Dis X Satisfied	<u>Page</u> iii	<u>Line</u> 34	Fig/Table#	Subclause Participants			
On Page iii,	On Page iii, the list of Working Group Letter Ballot participants is missing most of them.								

Suggested Remedy

Continue list beyond the letter "C", all the way to "Z".

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Continue list beyond the letter "C", all the way to "Z".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Frontmatter, General: Frontmatter

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 902 46 40/00/6+2

2010/10/20			IEEE 002.10-10/004515				
<u>Comment by:</u>	Roger Marks	Membership Status	<u>S:</u> <u>Date:</u>				
Comment # A318	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m				
		rect term "Dfc", which is auto	Fig/Table#SubclauseList of Tablesmatically generated from table titles including aed.				
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Reconstruct the table titles by removing the delta-f range from the title of the tables and moving it to the body.							
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Agree)					
Reconstruct the table titles t	by removing the delta-f range fr	om the title of the tables and	moving it to the body.				
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution							
<u>Group's Notes</u> Frontmatter, General: Front	matter						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done						

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Ying Li			Membership Statu	is: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10001		Document unde	er Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	Page	<u>Line</u>	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.4
In the current	draft D7, to support	rt femtocells,	several MAC m	nessages	include fields for	femtocell CS	GIDs. However, the format of how
to include the	CSGIDs is not clea	ar.					

Our proposal is to fix it.

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt the text in contribution C80216m-10_1046 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the resolution proposed in the contribution C80216m-10_1046r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes Editor's

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Kiseon Ryu			Membership Sta	atus: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10002		Document unde	er Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 42	<u>Line</u> 55	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.1.2.2
There are two	conflict texts red	parding E-MBS	FID in 16.2.1.2	.5 E-MBS	Identifier and 1	6.2.2.1.1 Adva	anced Generic MAC Header

(AGMH), which should be clarified.

A 12-bit value that is used **along with a 4-bits long FID (see 16.2.1.2.2)** to uniquely identify a specific E-MBS flow in the domain of an *E-MBS zone* (see 16.9.3.2).

The AGMH format is defined in Table 653. For E-MBS services, the FID shall be ignored by the receiver.

Suggested Remedy

Remedy 1. Modify the text on page 42, line 55, as follows.

16.2.1.2.2 Flow Identifier (FID)

Each AMS connection is assigned a 4 bit FID that uniquely identifies the connection within the AMS. FIDs identify control connection and <u>unicast</u> transport connections <ins>along with 12 bits STID assigned by the encrypted AAI_REG-RSP message. FID for E-MBS connection is used along with a 12 bit value assigned by the AAI_DSx message to uniquely identify a specific E-MBS flow in the domain of an E-MBS zone (see 16.9.3.2)</i>

Remedy 2. Modify the text on page 44, line 14, as follows.

16.2.2.1.1 Advanced Generic MAC Header (AGMH) The AGMH format is defined in Table 653. For E-MBS services, the FID shall be ignored by the receiver.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Modify the text on page 42, line 55, as follows.

16.2.1.2.2 Flow Identifier (FID)

Each AMS connection is assigned a 4 bit FID that uniquely identifies the connection within the AMS. FIDs identify control connection and <u>unicast</u> transport connections. <ins>FID for E-MBS connection is used along with a 12-bit E-MBS ID to uniquely identify a specific E-MBS flow in the domain of an E-MBS zone (see 16.9.3.2)</ins>.

Modify text P42, end of L65:

<ins>An FID in combination with an STID uniquely identifies any connection in an ABS.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.1, MAC: Addressing

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Kiseon Ryu			Membership St	atus: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10003		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 42	<u>Line</u> 63	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.1.2.2	
Not only FID	0010 but FID 000	0, 0001, and 00	011 are pre-as	signed.				

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Suggested Remedy

Modify the text on page 43, line 43, as follows.

Some specific FIDs may be <ins>are</ins> pre-assigned. If the value is 0010 it indicates that the MAC PDU is signaling header.signaling header.<ins>The values of 0000 and 0001 are used to indicate control FIDs. The values of 0010 and 0011 are used to indicate FID for signaling header and FID for default service flow respectively.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Modify the text on page 42, line 63, as follows.

Some specific FIDs may be <ins>are</ins> pre-assigned. If the value is 0010 it indicates that the MAC PDU is signaling header.signaling header.<ins>The values of 0000 and 0001 are used to indicate control FIDs. The values of 0010 and 0011 are used to indicate FID for signaling header and FID for default service flow respectively.</ins>

P43 L32

(excluding one for default service flow)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.1, MAC: Addressing

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Jaesun	Cha		Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10004		Document un	der Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 43	Line 28 F	ig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.1.2.3
A now DID m	ov bo oppignod c	lurina la	action undata				

A new DID may be assigned during location update.

Suggested Remedy

The network shall assign a 12bit DID to each AMS during Idle Mode initiation. <u>The network may assign a new DID to an AMS during</u> <u>location update procedure</u>. The DID shall uniquely identify the Idle Mode AMS within the set of paging group ID, paging cycle and paging offset.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

P43 L28:

The network shall assign a 12bit DID to each AMS during Idle Mode initiation. <u>The network may assign a new DID to an AMS during</u> <u>location update procedure</u>. The DID shall uniquely identify the Idle Mode AMS within the set of paging group ID, paging cycle and paging offset.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.1, MAC: Addressing

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jaesun C	Cha		Membership Status	Member	Date: ?
Comment #	A10005		Document und	der Review: P	802.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>			Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 45		Fig/Table# 655	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.1.3

Reserved field is not a field included in the Signaling Header format. It may be a sub-field of 'Contents'.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the texts in 'Notes' field for 'Length' field as follows] Indicates the length of the signaling header (includes the FID, Type, Length -, reserved field and contents):

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

[Modify the texts in 'Notes' field for 'Length' field as follows] Indicates the length of the signaling header (includes the FID, Type, Length , reserved field and contents):

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Jaesun Cha			Membership Statu	<u>s:</u> Member	Date: ?	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10006		Document unde	er Review: P	302.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 46	<u>Line</u> 45	Fig/Table# 657	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.1.3.1	
FID for signaling header was changed from '0001' to '0010' in the last meeting.								

Suggested Remedy

Change the value of 'FID' from '0001' to '0010' in Table 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663 and 664.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change the value of 'FID' from '0001' to '0010' in Table 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663 and 664.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u> Taey	young Kim		Membership Status	s: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment #	10007	Document une	der Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u> </u>	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 53		Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.1.3.7

It's not clear to operate the these two signaling headers. So, I've modified the description for the operation of two signaling headers.

Suggested Remedy

[Remedy-1: Modify the text in line 29, page 53 as below]

This signaling header <ins>is</ins> may be used by AMS as a response to a Feedback Polling A-MAP IE requesting the quantized transmit correlation matrix when the AMBS is equipped with 2 or 4 transmit antennas.

[Remedy-2: Modify the text in line 19, page 54 as below]

This MIMO feedback header is defined in Table 664. This <ins>signaling</ins> header is used by AMS <ins>as a response to a Feedback Polling A-MAP IE</ins> to send only the wideband information for any combinations of MFM 0, 4, 7 with q=0.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

[Remedy-1: Modify the text in line 29, page 53 as below]

This signaling header <ins>is</ins> may be used by AMS as a response to a Feedback Polling A-MAP IE requesting the quantized transmit correlation matrix when the AMBS is equipped with 2 or 4 transmit antennas.

[Remedy-2: Modify the text in line 19, page 54 as below]

This MIMO feedback header is defined in Table 664. This <ins>signaling</ins> header is used by AMS <ins>as a response to a Feedback Polling A-MAP IE</ins> to send only the wideband information for any combinations of MFM 0, 4, 7 with q=0.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jaesun Cha			Membership Status	s: Member	Date: ?	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10008		Document und	er Review: P8	302.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 55	<u>Line</u> 56	Fig/Table# 665	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.2	
The sentence	e 'This field is also	o set to 0 if ther	e is no extende	ed header.' is	s redundant and	I misleading. If th	ere is no extended hea	der,

then extended header group itself can not be present.

Suggested Remedy

Extended Headers Length Indicator This field is always set to 0 in a MAC PDU with SPMH. This field is also set to 0 if there is no extended header.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

extended head can be present without extended header

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jaesun Cha		Membership Status	s: Member	Date: ?	
Comment #	A10009		Document under Review:	P802.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied Page 55	<u>Line</u> 61	Fig/Table# 665	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.2	
The sentence	'If there is no ex	tended header,	EH Length shall be set	to 1.' is redundant	and misleading.	If there is no extended he	ader,

then extended header group itself can not be present.

Suggested Remedy

Indicate the length of Extended Header Group = the length of extended headers + 1. If there is no extended header, EH Length shall be set to 1.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

extended head can be present without extended header

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

201	0/1	0/25
-----	-----	------

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Anil	Agiwal		Membership Status	s: Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10010		Document und	ler Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis	<u>Page</u> 59	<u>Line</u> 37	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.2.3

Comment # 096 which was resolved in Meeting #68, is not correctly implemented. The proposed text is added in MCEH section instead of MEH.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the text as follows:

Change1: page 59, line 37-48

16.2.2.2.3 MAC Control extended header (MCEH)

The MAC PDU shall include MCEH when the control connection payload contains a fragmented message or an unfragmented message that requires acknowledgement. When message fragments belonging to two different control messages are being sent, the transmitter shall assign different Control Connection Channel ID (CCC ID)s to the MCEH of each MAC PDU. The MCEH format is defined in Table 670. For each FID occuring in the AGMH and MEH, there may be at most one associated extended header within the group consisting of FEH, PEH, RFPEH, and MCEH. The presence of such a header is indicated by the EH bitmap field in the MEH. These headers shall follow the MEH in the order of their corresponding payloads.

Change2: page 60, line 30-40

16.2.2.2.4 Multiplexing extended header (MEH)

The format of MEH is defined in Table 671. The MEH is used when multiple connection payloads associated with the same security association is present in the MAC PDU. The MEH shall not be added in a MAC PDU with SPMH. The AGMH carries the FID corresponding to the payload of the first connection payload. MEH carries the FIDs corresponding to remaining connection payloads. Payloads from the same or different connections may be multiplexed.<ins> For each FID occuring in the AGMH and MEH, there may be at most one associated extended header within the group consisting of FEH, PEH, RFPEH, and MCEH. The presence of such a header is indicated by the EH bitmap field in the MEH. These headers shall follow the MEH in the order of their corresponding payloads.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Modify the text as follows:

Change1: page 59, line 37-48

16.2.2.2.3 MAC Control extended header (MCEH)

The MAC PDU shall include MCEH when the control connection payload contains a fragmented message or an unfragmented message that requires acknowledgement. When message fragments belonging to two different control messages are being sent, the transmitter shall assign different Control Connection Channel ID (CCC ID)s to the MCEH of each MAC PDU. The MCEH format is defined in Table 670. For each FID occuring in the AGMH and MEH, there may be at most one associated extended header within the group consisting of FEH, PEH, RFPEH, and MCEH. The presence of such a header is indicated by the EH bitmap field in the MEH. These headers shall follow the MEH in the order of their corresponding payloads.

Change2: page 60, line 30-40

16.2.2.2.4 Multiplexing extended header (MEH)

The format of MEH is defined in Table 671. The MEH is used when multiple connection payloads associated with the same security association is present in the MAC PDU. The MEH shall not be added in a MAC PDU with SPMH. The AGMH carries the FID corresponding to the payload of the first connection payload. MEH carries the FIDs corresponding to remaining connection payloads. Payloads from the same or different connections may be multiplexed.<ins> For each FID occuring in the AGMH and MEH, there may be at most one associated extended header within the group consisting of FEH, PEH, RFPEH, and MCEH. The presence of such a header is indicated by the EH bitmap field in the MEH. These headers shall follow the MEH in the order of their corresponding payloads.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	Jaesun Cha			Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10011		Document und	der Review: P	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 59	Line 46 Fi	g/Table#	Subclause 16.2.2.2.3	
EH bitmap is	EH bitmap is a wrong name. The correct name is EH indicator bitmap.							

Suggested Remedy

The presence of such a header is indicated by the EH_indicator bitmap field in the MEH. These headers shall follow the MEH in the order of their corresponding payloads.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #10010.

Resolution:

Modify the text as follows:

Change1: page 59, line 37-48

16.2.2.2.3 MAC Control extended header (MCEH)

The MAC PDU shall include MCEH when the control connection payload contains a fragmented message or an unfragmented message that requires acknowledgement. When message fragments belonging to two different control messages are being sent, the transmitter shall assign different Control Connection Channel ID (CCC ID)s to the MCEH of each MAC PDU. The MCEH format is defined in Table 670. For each FID occuring in the AGMH and MEH, there may be at most one associated extended header within the group consisting of FEH, PEH, RFPEH, and MCEH. The presence of such a header is indicated by the EH bitmap field in the MEH. These headers shall follow the MEH in the order of their corresponding payloads.

Change2: page 60, line 30-40

16.2.2.2.4 Multiplexing extended header (MEH)

The format of MEH is defined in Table 671. The MEH is used when multiple connection payloads associated with the same security association is present in the MAC PDU. The MEH shall not be added in a MAC PDU with SPMH. The AGMH carries the FID corresponding to the payload of the first connection payload. MEH carries the FIDs corresponding to remaining connection payloads. Payloads from the same or different connections may be multiplexed.<ins> For each FID occuring in the AGMH and MEH, there may be at most one associated extended header within the group consisting of FEH, PEH, RFPEH, and MCEH. The presence of such a header is indicated by the EH bitmap field in the MEH. These headers shall follow the MEH in the order of their corresponding payloads.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Grou	p's	Notes

Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Anil	Agiwal		Membership Status	Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10012		Document und	ler Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 60	<u>Line</u> 38	-ig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.2.2.4

Multiplexing is not an alternate to packing.

So for the payloads for the same connection, multiplexing may be used only if packing cannot be applied.

For e.g in an ARQ connection, the ARQ blocks for initial transmission and ARQ blocks for retransmission can not be packed in same connection payload. So PDUs one having ARQ blocks for initial transmission and another having ARQ blocks for retransmission will be formed. These two PDUs can be sent using two MAC PDUs or they can be mulitplexed in same MAC PDU using MEH.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the lines 38-40, page 60, section 16.2.2.2.4 as follows:

Payloads from the same or different connections may be multiplexed. <ins>Payloads from the same connection may be multiplexed if they can not be packed using packing defined in 16.2.4.5.</ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Modify the lines 38-40, page 60, section 16.2.2.2.4 as follows:

Payloads from the same or different connections may be multiplexed. <ins>Payloads from the same connection may be multiplexed if they can not be packed using packing defined in 16.2.4.5.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Jaesun Cha	<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	Date: ?
Comment # A10013	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 62 Line 3 F	ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.2.5
MAEH is not used to indicate	e the reception of signaling head	der any longer.		
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> adopt texts in C80216m-10/0	956			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree			
adopt texts in C80216m-10/0	956			
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolu	ution			
Group's Notes				

Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Yuqin	Chen		Membership Status	: Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10014		Document unde	er Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of	f Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 62	<u>Line</u> 8	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.2.2.5

Based on the MAEH format, the described header here should be Service Specific Scheduling Control header.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the sentence (from line 8 on page 62) of P802.16m/D7 as follows.

"This header may be used by ABS and AMS to indicate the reception of a specific, previously received MAC control message, <u>Service</u> <u>Specific Scheduling Control header</u> (see 16.2.2.1.3.<u>3</u>)."

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #10013.

Resolution:

adopt texts in C80216m-10/0956

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	Anil Agiwal			Membership Stat	tus: Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10015		Document und	er Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 63	<u>Line</u> 19	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.2.2.7
Comment # 0495 which was resolved in Meeting #68, with the proposed text in contribution # 766r1 is not correctly implemented.							
MLEH needs	ILEH needs to be deleted as per 766r1						
Suggested Rem	<u>edy</u>						

Delete section 16.2.2.2.7 and table 674 on page 63.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Delete section 16.2.2.2.7 and table 674 on page 63.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>: by:</u>	Jaesun Cha			<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u> Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10016		Document unde	<u>r Review:</u> P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 63	<u>Line</u> 19	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.2.2.7
In the last me	eting, we agreed	I to put 'MPDU	length extensior	n' field into	Extended Head	er Group to	represent the length of long MAC

PDU instead of MLEH. Therefore, MLEH is not needed any longer.

Suggested Remedy

Delete subclause 16.2.2.2.7 in its entirety.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #10015.

Resolution:

Delete section 16.2.2.2.7 and table 674 on page 63.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commer	<u>nt by:</u>	Your	ngbin Chang			<u>Membership Sta</u>	tus: Member		Date: 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10017		De	ocument un	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ball	lot ID: sb_16	m
2. Byte align	Type Editor e section corre ment of exten	ection	Part of Dis Dis Sati	sfied	<u>Page</u> 64	<u>Line</u> 14	Fig/Table# 675	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.2.2.8
Suggested Rem	ieay								
ARQ Feedba	ack IE(s) va	riable	see 16.2.13. <mark>4</mark> 2	1					
Reserved		<u>4</u>	For byte alig	<u>nment</u>					
}									
<u>GroupResolutic</u>	<u>on</u>		Decision of Gro	oup: Agree	e				
			see 16.2.13. 1 2						
Reserved	II	<u>4</u>	For byte alig	nment					
}									

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.2, MAC: MAC PDU formats

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	Anil A	Agiwal		Membership Status:	Member	Date:	?
Comment #	A10018		Document under	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of	Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 66	Line 34 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.	.3

FID values needs correction.

FID 0x0 is for unecnrypted message and FID 0x1 is for encrypted message.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the lines 34-35, page 66, section 16.2.3 as follows:

The indication to the receiver that the MAC PDU is encrypted or not is indicated by the <ins>FID</ins>FlowID</del 0x0<ins>0x1</ins> and 0x1<ins>0x0</ins> in AGMH respectively.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Modify the lines 34-35, page 66, section 16.2.3 as follows:

The indication to the receiver that the MAC PDU is encrypted or not is indicated by the <ins>FID</ins>FlowID</del0x0<ins>0x1</ins> and 0x1<ins>0x0</ins> in AGMH respectively.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Com	<u>ment by:</u>	Kiseon Ryu		Membership Status	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Commen</u>	<u>nt#</u> A10019	Doc	ument under Review:	P802.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
	-ACK is a unio	hnical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satist</u> cast MAC control message C control reliability with sec	to be specified se		<u>Fig/Table#</u> 679 SG-ACK should	
Suggested R Replace N		vpted/ICV in the security fie	ld in AAI_MSG-A	CK as follows.		
		Table 679—MAC (Control Messages			
64 AA	I_MSG-ACK	MAC message acknowled	lgement ₦	<mark>.A.</mark> <ins><u>Enc</u></ins>	rypted/ICV	 >
<u>GroupResol</u>	<u>ution</u>	Decision of Grou	p: Agree			
Replace N	I.A. with Encry	<pre>/pted/ICV in the security fie</pre>	ld in AAI_MSG-A	CK as follows.		
		Table 679—MAC (Control Messages			
64 AA	I_MSG-ACK	MAC message acknowled	gement N	<mark>.A.</mark> <ins><u>Enc</u></ins>	r <u>ypted/ICV</u>	 >
<u>Reason for G</u> Group's Note	<u>Group's Decision/</u>	<u>Resolution</u>				
		AC Control messages				

5r3

	D/10/25 Comment by: Eun	kyung Kim	Memberst	<u>nip Status:</u> Mer		EE 802.16-10/0045
Co	mment # A10020	Docum	nent under Review: P802.16m/	D7	Ballot ID:	sb_16m
<u>Comn</u> Funct	<u>nent</u> <u>Type</u> Technical ional Area of AAI_E-MBS-	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 71 <u>Line</u> 35	Fig/Tabl	<u>e#</u> 679 <u>Sut</u>	<u>oclause</u> 16.2.3
	<u>sted Remedy</u> fy the following rows in Tal	ble 679 - MAC Contro	l Messages]			
No.	Functional Areas	Message names	Message Description	Security	Connection	-
						-
65	<ins>E-MBS</ins> AAI	_E-MBS-CFG E-M	BS Configuration Null	Broa	dcast	-
<u>Groupl</u>	Resolution	Decision of Group:	Agree			
[modi	fy the following rows in Tal	ble 679 - MAC Contro	l Messages]			
No.	Functional Areas	Message names	Message Description	Security	Connection	-
						-
65	<ins><u>E-MBS</u></ins> AAI	_E-MBS-CFG E-M	BS Configuration Null	Broa	dcast	
			 			-

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Heejeong Cho			Membership Stat	us: Nonmem	ber <u>Date:</u> ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10021		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 71	<u>Line</u> 52	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.1

Editorial comment

Suggested Remedy

An AMS shall generate AAI_RNG-REQ message containing parameters according to the usage of the AAI_RNG-REQ message:

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

An AMS shall generate AAI_RNG-REQ message containing parameters according to the usage of the AAI_RNG-REQ message: <ins>.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Kiseon Ryu	Membership Status	: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment # A10022	Document (under Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot II	<u>):</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Techni HO Process Optimization ir		<u>Page</u> 80 <u>Line</u> 5 <u>F</u> anged to Reentry Process Optin		Subclause 16.2.3.2
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Change 'HO' to 'Reentry' in	Conditions column for Resou	rce_Retain_Time attribute as fo	llows.	
Table 681—AAI_RNG-RS	SP message Field Description	S		
O Resource_Retain_Tim	ne 8 The time when the serv ABS discards AMS	ving May be included if <de S's context <ins><u>Reentry Bit #3 is set to</u></ins></de 	Process Optimiz	- ation
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Ag	ree		
Change 'HO' to 'Reentry' in	Conditions column for Resou	rce_Retain_Time attribute as fo	llows.	
Table 681—AAI_RNG-R	SP message Field Description	S		
O Resource_Retain_Tim	ne 8 The time when the serv	ving May be included if <de S's context <ins><u>Reentry Bit #3 is set to</u></ins></de 	l> HO <u>≥</u> Process Optimiz	- ation
Reason for Group's Decision/Res	olution			
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC	Control messages; MAC HO			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			

2010/10/25			IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment by:</u>	Kiseon Ryu	Membership Status: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment # A10023	Document under Review:	P802.16m/D7 Bal	lot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technica			Subclause 16.2.3.2
Condition column for SAID_u	pdate_bitmap attribute in AAI_RNG-RSF	P is empty.	
	ondition for Resource_Retain_Time attri message Field Descriptions	bute as follows.	
O SAID_update_bitmap	16 Bitmap for indicating the specific FID(s) that are being updated to SAID of AES-CTR	FID(s) is to be re-mapp	<u>ed to</u> ase of
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Principle		
Add the text to describe the c	ondition for Resource_Retain_Time attri	bute as follows.	
Table 681—AAI_RNG-RSP	message Field Descriptions		
O SAID_update_bitmap	16 Bitmap for indicating the specific FID(s) that are being updated to SAID of AES-CTR	FID(s) are to be re-map	ped to ase of
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolu	<u>tion</u>		
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Co	ontrol messages		

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Eunkyung Kim		Δ	lembership Status	<u>.</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10024		Document unde	r Review: P80	2.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>D:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	al <u>Part of Dis</u>	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 82	<u>Line</u> 21	Fig/Table# 681	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.2

The term of service flow update in AAI_RNG-RSP to support E-MBS should be defined clearly.

Suggested Remedy

[modify the following rows in Table 681 - AAI_RNG-RSP]

0	F.2) FID	4	<ins>New </ins> Flow ID	
0 	G) fullEMBSIdFidMappin gArr[116] 	- Variable 	Mapping of current E-MBS ID and FID and new E-MBS ID and FID to update the service flow.	Service_flow_update_in
0	H.1) Current_E-MBS ID	12	Current E-MBS identifier	
0	H.2) Current FID	4	Current Flow ID	
0	H.3) New_E-MBS ID	12	New E-MBS identifier	
O 	H.4) New FID 	4 	Current <ins>New</ins> Flow ID	

Decision of Group:	A
	Decision of Group:

Agree

[modify the following rows in Table 681 - AAI_RNG-RSP]

0	F.2) FID 4	4	∣ <u><ins>New </ins></u> Flow ID	I	I
0 	G) fullEMBSIdFidMappin- V gArr[116] 	ariable	Mapping of current E-MBS ID and FID and new E-MBS ID and FID to update the service flow.	Service_flow_update_in	
0	H.1) Current_E-MBS ID 1	12	Current E-MBS identifier	l	Ī

0	H.2) Current FID	4	Current Flow ID		I.
0	H.3) New_E-MBS ID	12	New E-MBS identifier		I
O 	H.4) New FID 	4 	Current <u><ins>New</ins></u> Flow ID		

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
Comment by:	Chiwoo Lim	<u>Membership St</u>	atus: Nonmember	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment # A10025	Documen	t under Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 85 Line 6	Fig/Table# 682	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.3
here is a wrong implemented	d parameter in AAI_RNG-A	ACK message.		
he size of 'Timining offset ad	justment' shall be 15bits b	y comment #302 and contribution	ution #113r1 in sess	ion #66.
lowever, it is 5bits in D7. So,	we have to modify this.			

In addition, it's not clear the meaning of 'signed' in the Note. So, we also need to clarify this.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the size of 'Timining offset adjustment' in AAI_RNG-ACK message. 5- <ins>15 </ins>

Add the follwoing text in the Value/Note column of 'Timining offset adjustment' in AAI_RNG-ACK message. <ins><u>The AMS shall advance its transmission time if the value is negative and delay its transmission time if the value is positive.</u></ins>

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Modify the size of 'Timining offset adjustment' in AAI_RNG-ACK message. 5- <ins> 15 </ins>

Add the follwoing text in the Value/Note column of 'Timining offset adjustment' in AAI_RNG-ACK message. <ins>The AMS shall advance its transmission time if the value is negative and delay its transmission time if the value is positive.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	Yuqin Chen			<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u> Member		Date: 2010-08-1	3
<u>Comment #</u>	A10026		Document unde	er Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 86	<u>Line</u> 27	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.4	
AMS basic c	anability negotiatio	n is done hy SE	RC-REO/RSP	messages(Table 683 and 6	(84) in whic	h "Canability Ind	ex" is applied	Rut

AMS basic capability negotiation is done by SBC-REQ/RSP messages(Table 683 and 684), in which "Capability_Index" is applied. But how to map the explicit parameters to the Capability_Index is not defined yet.

Suggested Remedy

Suggest to fit this hole.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There is no specific remedy.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	YoungKy	Baek			<u>Membership Stat</u>	us: Member	<u>[</u>	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10027			Document und	er Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	า
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technic	cal <u>Par</u>	t of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 86	<u>Line</u> 33	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.4
CAPABILITY_	INDEX can b	e used to	express	AMS's capabil	lities, but it d	loes not mean	maximum ca	apabilities that AM	S can support.
Content of AA	I_SBC-REQ I	nessage	implies m	naximum capal	bilities that A	MS can suppo	ort.		
Additionally de	escription abo	ut lengtl	n of CAPA	ABILITY_INDE	X are not red	quired and eve	n it is wrong	. (CAPABILITY_IN	NDEX be 5bit

long.)

Suggested Remedy

In Table 682, the CAPABILITY_INDEX transmitted in t he AAI_SBC-REQ message refers to the maximum "Capability Class" that the AMS can support. The maximum value of CAPABILITY_INDEX is denoted by 8bits.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

In page 86, line 33, delete the following sentence:

"In Table 682, the CAPABILITY_INDEX transmitted in the AAI_SBC-REQ message refers to the maximum "Capability Class" that the AMS can support. The maximum value of CAPABILITY_INDEX is denoted by 8bits."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	t by: You	ngKyo Baek		<u>Membership Stat</u>	us: Member	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10028	Document und	der Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 89	<u>Line</u> 32	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.4
Table for par	amotors to be near	stisted is replaced with AAL	SPC DEC	moccogo field		

Table for parameters to be negotiated is replaced with AAI_SBC-REQ message field.

Hence, the sentence "The following parameters in Table 683 may be negotiated and parameter sets are mapped to Capability index:" is not required.

Delete it as suggested remedy.

Suggested Remedy

The following parameters in Table 683 may be negotiated and parameter sets are mapped to Capability index:

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

The following parameters in Table 683 may be negotiated and parameter sets are mapped to Capability index:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by: You	ingKyo Baek		Membership Status	: Member	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10029		Document under Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied Page 89	<u>Line</u> 43	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.5
-			capabilities, but it does that ABS has allowed		es that AB	S has allowed to AMS. Content of

Delete it as suggested remedy.

Suggested Remedy

In Table 684, the CAPABILITY_INDEX transmitted in the AAI_SBC-RSP message refers to the "
Capability Class" that the ABS has allowed the AMS to use.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

In page 89, line 42, delete the following sentence:

"In Table 684, the CAPABILITY_INDEX transmitted in the AAI_SBC-RSP message refers to the "Capability Class" that the ABS has allowed the AMS to use."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u> Yo	oungKyo Baek			<u>Membership Statı</u>	<u>is:</u> Member		<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10030		Document un	der Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	Sm
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 94	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.7
The following delete the du	item is incorpora plicate.	ated already in t	able 686 AAI_	_REG-REQ f	ormat.			
•AMS initiated 0b0: no supp 0b1: support	d aGP Service A ort	daptation Capal	oility:					
Suggested Reme	<u>edy</u>							
-	e 1 to 4 in page		_					
	nitiated aGP Serv	vice Adaptation	Capability:					
0b0: no suppo 0b1: support<								
<u>GroupResolutior</u>	<u>1</u>	Decision of	of Group: Princ	iple				
Resolved by	comment #1003 ⁻	1.						
Resolution:								
Delete the red	dundant text rega	arding AMS initia	ated aGP Ser	vice Adaptat	ion Capability a	s follows.		
16.2.3.7 AAI_	REG-REQ							
An AAI_REG	-REQ message i rk entry.	s transmitted by	AMS to nego	otiate genera	I AMS capabiliti	es and do r	egistration	

The following parameters may be included in AMS capability negotiation parameters of AAI_REG-REQ.

•AMS initiated aGP Service Adaptation Capability: 0b0: no support 0b1: support

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Kiseon Ryu			<u>Membership Sta</u>	tus: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13	3
Comment #	A10031		Document und	der Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 94	<u>Line</u> 1	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.7	
MS initiated	aGP Service Ada	aptation Capab	ility is already	included in T	able 686 - AA	I_REG-REQ	message Field Descriptions. No)

reason to specify it only above the table.

Suggested Remedy

Delete the redundant text regarding AMS initiated aGP Service Adaptation Capability as follows.

16.2.3.7 AAI_REG-REQ

An AAI_REG-REQ message is transmitted by AMS to negotiate general AMS capabilities and do registration during network entry.

The following parameters may be included in AMS capability negotiation parameters of AAI_REG-REQ.

•AMS initiated aGP Service Adaptation Capability: 0b0: no support 0b1: support

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Delete the redundant text regarding AMS initiated aGP Service Adaptation Capability as follows.

16.2.3.7 AAI_REG-REQ

An AAI_REG-REQ message is transmitted by AMS to negotiate general AMS capabilities and do registration during network entry.

The following parameters may be included in AMS capability negotiation parameters of AAI_REG-REQ.

•AMS initiated aGP Service Adaptation Capability: 0b0: no support 0b1: support

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MA	AC Control messages; MAC NE			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			
2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
Comment by:	YoungKyo Baek	<u>Membership St</u>	tatus: Member	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment # A10032	Document u	Inder Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Tec	hnical Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 95 <u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table# 686	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.7
	negotiated through SBC and REG			9.
	onal feature, some AMS or netwo ng this item to the REG capability			
		C I		
Suggested Remedy				
	in contribution C802.16m-10/101	7 or its later version.		
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Disa	agree		
		.9.00		
Reason for Group's Decision/I	Resolution_			
DCR is an essential feat	ure to 16m system.			
Group's Notes				
	AC Control messages; MAC NE			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions b) none needed			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>by:</u>	YoungKyo E	Baek			Membership Status	<u>s:</u> Member		Date: 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10033		Doc	ument unde	<u>r Review:</u> P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_1	6m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editoria	Part of	Dis Satisfi	ied	<u>Page</u> 95	<u>Line</u> 43	Fig/Table# 68	36 <u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.7
Since' Non-A	RQ parameters	s' belongs to	o 'AMS capa	ability neg	otiation para	ameters'.			
Numbering of	item should be	e changed.	-		-				

Suggested Remedy

[line 43, page95] <ins> B)</ins>_Non-ARQ parameters [line 45, page 95] <ins>B.1)</ins> MAXIMUM_NON_ARQ_BUFFER_SIZE [line 48, page 95 ~ line 10, page98: shift numbering by 1 for each column. i.e. B -> C, C -> D, E-> F, ..., P->Q.]

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

[line 43, page95] <ins> B)</ins>_Non-ARQ parameters [line 45, page 95] <ins>B.1)</ins> MAXIMUM_NON_ARQ_BUFFER_SIZE [line 48, page 95 ~ line 10, page98: shift numbering by 1 for each column. i.e. B -> C, C -> D, E-> F, ..., P->Q.]

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by: You	ngKyo Baek			Membership Status	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10034		Document unde	er Review: P	802.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 97	<u>Line</u> 22	Fig/Table# 686	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.7
AMS's capat	pilities are negotiat	ed through SB	C and REG m	nessages du	uring the network	c entry procedure.	

Item 'Frame configuration to support legacy' is included in AAI_REG-REQ but 5 MHz and 10 MHz only are incorporated. Hence, we suggest adding 8.75 and 7 MHz cases also .

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1019 or its later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

parameters need to be defined before agreement

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	YoungKyo	Baek			Membership Status	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10035			Document unde	er Review: P8)2.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	al <u>Part o</u>	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 99	<u>Line</u> 45	<u>Fig/Table#</u> 686	Subclause 16.2.3.7

In the previous session #68, Comment #503 is accepted in principle with the contribution C802.16m-10/0705r4 but wrongly implemented. Especially for the item 'Host configuration capabilities and its parameters' some text places in the wrong position and some text is missed.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1018 or its later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1018r1.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Jaesun Cha			<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10036	Dor	cument under	Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	fied	<u>Page</u> 107	Line 9 E	ig/Table# 690	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.10
This contribut	ion proposes the	e cleanup version of A	AAI HO-RE	EQ message	э.		

Suggested Remedy

Adopt texts in C802.16m-10/0957

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Principle
Resolved by comment #255 Resolution: Adopt text proposal in contribution C	802.16m-10/1060)r5

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jaesun Cha		<u>1</u>	Membership Status	<u>.</u> Member	Date: ?	
Comment #	A10037		Document under Review	<u>w:</u> P80)2.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	Type Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied Page	108	<u>Line</u> 27	Fig/Table# 691	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.11	

'HO Reentry Interval' is defined as time interval for network reentry with target ABS, but is used as time interval for data communication with serving ABS in other places.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify 'Value/Note' field for 'HO Reentry Interleaving Interval' in Table 691 as follows]

If HO Reentry Interleaving Interval > 0, the AMS performs network reentry to the target ABS within the HO Reentry Interleaving Interval and continues data transmission with the Serving ABS during HO Reentry Interleaving Interval. If HO Reentry Interleaving Interval =0, the AMS performs multi-carrier EBB (Established Before Break) HO procedure per 16.2.8.2.9.2.2

[Modify texts on page 288, line 64 as follows]

If HO_Reentry_Interleaving_Interval > 0, the AMS communicates with the target ABS during HO_Reenry_Interleaving_Interval, and with the serving ABS during HO_Reentry_Interleaving_Interval.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

[Modify 'Value/Note' field for 'HO Reentry Interleaving Interval' in Table 691 as follows]

If HO Reentry Interleaving Interval > 0, the AMS performs network reentry to the target ABS within the HO Reentry Interleaving Interval and continues data transmission with the Serving ABS during HO Reentry Interleaving Interval. If HO Reentry Interleaving Interval =0, the AMS performs multi-carrier EBB (Established Before Break) HO procedure per 16.2.8.2.9.2.2

[Modify texts on page 288, line 64 as follows]

If HO_Reentry_Interleaving_Interval > 0, the AMS communicates with the target ABS during HO_Reenry_Interleaving_Interval, and with the serving ABS during HO_Reentry_Interleaving_Interval.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u> Ja	ehyuk Jang			Membership Status:	Member	Da	<u>te:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10038		Document under	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 110	<u>Line</u> 16 <u>Fi</u>	ig/Table# Tabl	Subclause 16	6.2.3.11
Based on D7,	only 2 values (i.e.	, 0b01, 0b10) a	are available fo	or Ranging_o	opportunity_inde	x of dynamic NS	S-RCH.	

In pp. 386, line 60:

When a regular NS-RCH is allocated in a frame by S-SFH SP1, it shall be mapped into the opportunity index '0b00'. When an S-RCH is allocated in a frame by S-SFH SP1 (i.e. for the femto ABS or WirelessMAN-OFDMA with FDM-based UL PUSC Zone) or AAI-SCD message, it shall be mapped into opportunity index '0b11'. When a dynamic NS-RCH(s) is allocated in a frame by AAI_HO-CMD and/or Broadcast Assignment A-MAP IE, it shall be mapped into the remaining opportunity indices.

Hence, only 1 bit is enough to indicate two values (0b01, 0b10) both in the AAI_HO-CMD message and Broadcast Assignment A-MAP IE.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the following 4 remedies.

[Remedy #1: Update "Size" of "Ranging opportunity index" field in Table 691, pp. 110, line 16, from '3' to '1'.]

[Remedy #2: Add the following description to "Value / Note" of "Ranging opportunity index" field in Table 691, pp. 110, line 16 as follows:] Indicates the index of the allocated ranging opportunity of the dynamic ranging channel used in the RAID. Ranging opportunity index shall be assigned by the target ABS. The target ABS shall assign unique ranging opportunity index which is not overlapped with other ranging channel in the allocated frame.

<ins>0b0: 0b01

<u>0b1: 0b10</u></ins>

[Remedy #3: Update "Size (bit)" of "Ranging opportunity index" field in Table 862, pp. 618, line 48, from '2' to '1'.]

[Remedy #4: Add the following description to "Description/Notes" of "Ranging opporutnity index" field in Table 862, pp. 618, line 48 as follows:] Opportunity index of the ranging channel <ins><u>0b0: 0b01</u> <u>0b1: 0b10</u></ins>

GroupResolution

[Remedy #1: Update "Size" of "Ranging opportunity index" field in Table 691, pp. 110, line 16, from '3' to '1'.]

[Remedy #2: Add the following description to "Value / Note" of "Ranging opporutnity index" field in Table 691, pp. 110, line 16 as follows:]

Indicates the index of the allocated ranging opportunity of the dynamic ranging channel used in the RAID. Ranging opportunity index shall be assigned by the target ABS. The target ABS shall assign unique ranging opportunity index which is not overlapped with other ranging channel in the allocated frame.

<ins><u>0b0: 0b01</u>

<u>0b1: 0b10</u></ins>

[Remedy #3: Update "Size (bit)" of "Ranging opportunity index" field in Table 862, pp. 618, line 48, from '2' to '1'.]

[Remedy #4: Add the following description to "Description/Notes" of "Ranging opporutnity index" field in Table 862, pp. 618, line 48 as follows:] Opportunity index of the ranging channel <ins><u>0b0: 0b01</u> 0b1: 0b10</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

20	10/10/25 <u>Comment by:</u>	Kiseon Ryu	Membership Status: Member	IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Date: 2010-08-13
	Comment # A10039	Document under Review:	P802.16m/D7 <u>B</u>	allot ID: sb_16m
	<u>mment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic subchannel defined in 10		<u>Line</u> 28 <u>Fig/Table#</u> 691	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.11
	gested Remedy place 'subchannel' with 's	subband' in Table 691 - AAI_HO-CMD para	ameters as follows.	
		Table 691—AAI_HO-CMD parameters	3	
O Ranging opportunity Subframe index 2 Indicates the subframe index of the allocated ranging opportunity of the allocated ranging opportunity The subchannel <ins>subband </ins> of a dynamic ranging channel is same as the ranging channel allocated by SFH.			nnel ns>of g channel nging	
<u>Gro</u>	upResolution	Decision of Group: Agree		
Re	place 'subchannel' with 's	subband' in Table 691 - AAI_HO-CMD para	ameters as follows.	
		Table 691—AAI_HO-CMD parameters	3	
0	Ranging opportunity Subframe index	2 Indicates the subframe index of the allocated ranging opportun	May be included when ty CDMA_RNG_FLAG = 1. The subchar <ins><u>subband</u> a dynamic ranging is same as the rar channel allocated</ins>	nnel ns>of g channel nging

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes		Editor's Actions	a) done				
2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Soojung Jung		<u>N</u>	Membership Status	. Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	\10040		Document under	<u>Review:</u> P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 113	<u>Line</u> 38	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.12
CP info is not provided through the AAI_Global-CFG message.							

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the text as follows]

b)physical carrier index reffering AAI_Global-Config <ins>CFG</ins> message which provides carrier frequency, BW, CP info, TDD/FDD and related definitions(expected to be the same given carrier frequency)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

[Modify the text as follows]

b)physical carrier index reffering AAI_Global-Config <ins>CFG</ins> message which provides carrier frequency, BW, CP info, TDD/FDD and related definitions(expected to be the same given carrier frequency)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: Jaesun Cha Membership Status: Member Date: ? Comment # A10041 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 113 Subclause 16.2.3.12 Line 45 Fig/Table# Comment

ABSs included in AAI NBR-ADV are just neighbor ABSs, not recommeded ABSs for HO.

Suggested Remedy

To allow AAI NBR-ADV fragmentation while providing flexibility for AMS HO operation without requiring acquisition of the whole AAI NBR-ADV message, ABS always provides the total number of cell types, total number of recommended segments for each type_ and the total number of neighbor ABS per segment. Each AAI NBR-ADV fragment is indicated by AAI NBR-ADV Segment Index. ABSs with identical type are listed in the AAI NBR-ADV message in descending order of their cell coverage.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

To allow AAI NBR-ADV fragmentation while providing flexibility for AMS HO operation without requiring acquisition of the whole AAI NBR-ADV message, ABS always provides the total number of cell types, total number of recommended segments for each type. and the total number of neighbor ABS per segment. Each AAI NBR-ADV fragment is indicated by AAI NBR-ADV Segment Index. ABSs with identical type are listed in the AAI NBR-ADV message in descending order of their cell coverage.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jaesun Cha			<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10042		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 113	Line 52 Fig	g/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.12

'Cell type' field included in AAI_NBR-ADV is not a bitmap type, which means that system information of ABSs from multiple cell types can not be included in the same segment.

Suggested Remedy

Each AAI_NBR-ADV message carries

- AAI_NBR-ADV change count
- number of total cell types
- segment information for this AAI_NBR-ADV message
- system information of ABSs from one or more cell types, which is of variable size in the specific cell type.
- Starting ABS Index: Starting ABS Index is the index offset from the last ABS of the previous AAI_NBR-ADV segment. If this is the first AAI_NBR-ADV segment, the Starting ABS Index will be 0. Hence, each AAI_NBR-ADV segment has one Index which corresponds to the first ABS in that AAI_NBR-ADV segment.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Each AAI_NBR-ADV message carries

- AAI_NBR-ADV change count
- number of total cell types
- segment information for this AAI_NBR-ADV message
- system information of ABSs from one or more cell types, which is of variable size of a specific cell type.
- Starting ABS Index: Starting ABS Index is the index offset from the last ABS of the previous AAI_NBR-ADV segment. If this is the first AAI_NBR-ADV segment, the Starting ABS Index will be 0. Hence, each AAI_NBR-ADV segment has one Index which corresponds to the first ABS in that AAI_NBR-ADV segment.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

r3

2010/10/25			IEEI	E 802.16-10/0045
Comment by:	Kiseon Ryu	Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment # A10043	Document under Re	view: P802.16m/D7	<u>Ballot ID:</u> Sb	_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic The size of physical carrier i		<u>ge</u> 114 <u>Line</u> 20 <u>Fig/</u>	<u>Fable#</u> Subcla	<u>use</u> 16.2.3.12
	n page 114, line 20, as follows.			
Physical carrier index (<de< td=""><td>el>6 <ins><u>4</u> </ins>bits, refer t</td><td>o the "physical carrier index</td><td>" defined in AAI_Glo</td><td>bal-Config)</td></de<>	el> 6 <ins><u>4</u> </ins> bits, refer t	o the "physical carrier index	" defined in AAI_Glo	bal-Config)
Remedy 2. Modify the text o	n page 115, line 59, as follows.			
	Table 692—AAI_NBR-ADV pa	rameters		
M D.3) Physical carrier in	ndex <mark>6</mark>- <ins><u>4</u> </ins>	Refer to the physical carr AAI_Global-Config		
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Disagree			
Reason for Group's Decision/Reso	lution			
Physical carrier index has be	een agreed to be 6 bits.			
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC (Control messages; MAC HO			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions b) none needed			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Jaesun Cha			Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10044		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 114	Line 26 Fig	<u>/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.12
According to	the definition of "	SEH oncodi	ing format' only S	Conving ARS	and previous neig	hhor ARS	can be a reference ABS

According to the definition of 'SFH_encoding_format', only Serving ABS and previous neighbor ABS can be a reference ABS.

Suggested Remedy

where for ABS of macrocell type, all the necessary system information shall be included, and the format may only carry delta information fields with respect to the reference ABS(e.g., the serving <u>ABS</u> or the <u>firstprevious neighbor</u> BS/ABS in this cell type); and for Wireless-MAN-OFDMA reference system, only 48-bit BS-ID and Preamble index are included in AAI_NBR-ADV.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

where for ABS of macrocell type, all the necessary system information shall be included, and the format may only carry delta information fields with respect to the reference ABS(e.g., the serving <u>ABS</u> or the <u>firstpreceding neighbor</u> BS/ABS<u>of</u> in this cell type); and for Wireless-MAN-OFDMA reference system, only 48-bit BS-ID and Preamble index are included in AAI_NBR-ADV.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

Editor's Notes Edit

Editor's Actions a) done

3

2010/10/25			IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3	
Comment by:	Jaesun Cha	Membership Status: Member	Date: ?	
Comment # A10045	Document under Review: P	802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical AAI_NBR-ADV needs to be cla carrier-specific parameter.	Part of Dis Satisfied Page 114 arified. For example, 'paging carrier indica	-		
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> adopt texts in C80216m-10/09	155			
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Principle			
adopt texts in C80216m-10/0955r1				
Reason for Group's Decision/Resoluti	<u>ion</u>			
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Co	ntrol messages; MAC HO			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	i <u>t by:</u>	Soojung Jung		Membership State	us: Member	Date: 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10046	Document une	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 121	<u>Line</u> 33	Fig/Table# 693	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.13
The bit size of	of change count fo	r AAI_NBR-ADV is 3				

Suggested Remedy

[Modify texts in Table 693, on page 121 line 33 as follows]

o Configuration Change Count for <in< th=""><th>s>3 8 the value of Configuration present if N_Reco</th></in<>	s>3 8 the value of Configuration present if N_Reco
AAI_NBR-ADV	Change Count in AAI_N mmended_ABS_
	BR-ADV meesage used index >0
	for neighbor ABS index ref
	erence

[Modify texts in Table 694, on page 124 line 24 as follows]

o Configuration Change Count for <ins>3</ins>	8 the value of Configuration present if N_Reco
AAI_NBR-ADV	Change Count in AAI_ N mmended_ABS_
	BR-ADV meesage used index >0
	for neighbor ABS index ref
	erence

[Modify texts in Table 695, on page 127 line 48 as follows]

o Configuration Change Count for <ins>3</ins>	3 8 the value of Configuration present if N_Reco
AAI_NBR-ADV	Change Count in AAI_N mmended_ABS_
	BR-ADV meesage used index >0
	for neighbor ABS index ref
i i	erence

o Configuration Change Count for <in< th=""><th>s>3 8 the value of Configuration present if N_Reco</th></in<>	s>3 8 the value of Configuration present if N_Reco
AAI_NBR-ADV	Change Count in AAI_N mmended_ABS_
	BR-ADV meesage used index >0
	for neighbor ABS index ref
	erence

[Modify texts in Table 694, on page 124 line 24 as follows]

o Configuration Change Count for <ins></ins>	3 8 the value of Configuration present if N_Reco					
AAI_NBR-ADV Change Count in AAI_N mm						
	BR-ADV meesage used index >0					
	for neighbor ABS index ref					
	erence					

[Modify texts in Table 695, on page 127 line 48 as follows]

o Configuration Change Count for <ins>3 </ins> 8 the value of Configuration present if N_Reco							
AAI_NBR-ADV Change Count in AAI_N mmended_							
	BR-ADV_meesage_used index >0						
	for neighbor ABS index ref						
i i	erence						

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comme	ent by:	Soojung Jung			Membership Stat	us: Member		Date: 2010-08-13
<u>Comment</u>	<u>#</u> A10047		Document ur	nder Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16	n
AAI_SCN-F	REQ/RSP/REP me	the overhead, vessage. Each bit	we agreed to the position in N	br_Bitmap_Ind	dex correspon	Fig/Table# 693 t Index and Nbr_B ids to a ABS index	itmap_Index	espinding
message.					nder of heighdo	or ABSs in the cor	responding	AAI_NBK-ADV
o Nbr_Bit 	map_Index <del: </del: 	>8 <ins>V</ins>	′ariable			nds to present if e corresponding I		
	texts in Table 694							
o Nbr_Bit 	map_Index <del 8 <ins>V</ins>	'ariable			nds to present if e corresponding I		
[Modify the	texts in Table 695	5, on page 128 li	ne 5 as follow	vs]				
o Nbr_Bit 	map_Index <del 8 <ins>V</ins>	'ariable			nds to present if e corresponding I		
<u>GroupResolut</u>	ion oup's Decision/Resolu		<u>of Group:</u> Disa	gree				
	nnot be recongize		nat.					
-	2.3, MAC: MAC C	ontrol messages	s; MAC HO					

Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions b) none needed			
2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
Comment by:	Lei Zhou	Membership Statu	<u>s:</u> Member	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment # A10048	Document under	<u>Review:</u> P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID	<u>):</u> sb_16m
period of E-LBS-zone has be triggering measurement prod E-LBS zone and reporting the Suggested Remedy	,"802.16m Draft Amendment ", ne een determined . There is no any o cedure in current 16m spec. So we	w E-LBS zone design and control signaling for E-LBS e propose to use AAI_SCI	d transmission plan S zone including info N-RSP/REP for trigg	ormation of E-LBS zone and
	-			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Principle			
Adopt the text proposed in C	\$802.16m-10/0991r4			
	n Thursday and C802.16m-10/099 ot add functionality to the standard ain.		epted.	

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>by:</u>	Kiseon Ryu			Membership Stat	tus: Member		Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	\10049		Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>ot ID:</u> sb_16	Sm
	•		lary carriers fo			<u>Fig/Table#</u> 695 REP message sh		16.2.3.15 e
Suggested Reme								
Add the follow	ing blue under-l	ined attributes to	Table 695—	AAI_SCN-RI	EP parameters	5.		
		Table 695—A	AI_SCN-REP	parameters				
M Report	node	2	of its m 00: Eve 01: Per report p	neasurement ent-triggered	according to S _SCN-RSP			
. —	mmended_Carr t_Serving_ABS			f carriers to b at the serv		Present if AMS c		
<u>O</u> <u>carIndex</u> <u>[015]</u> 		dex at <u>Variab</u> ABS <u>(6 x</u> 		of the serving	cal carrier ABS to be	<u>Carrier</u>	ended_ Index_at_ g_ABS > 0	
						·		
O <ins> <u>carlı</u> <ins>[<u>0</u></ins></ins>	ndexArr 15]	S-ABS CINR I	mean					
O <ins><u>carlı</u> <ins><u>[0</u></ins></ins>	<u>ndexArr</u> <u>15]<</u> /ins>	S-ABS RSSI m	ean					
O <ins><u>carlı</u> <ins><u>[0</u></ins></ins>	ndexArr 15]	S-ABS RTD						

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Add the following new rows before 'Neighbor_request_indication' field in Table 695]

<u>O</u> <u>carIndexArr</u> [<u>015]</u> 	<u>Variable</u> 	Present if AMS reports measurement results measured from carriers at Serving_ABS
O <u>A. Carrier Index</u> 	<u>6</u> 	Carrier Index of carrier at Serving Present if AMS reports measurement ABS results measured from carriers at Serving_ABS
O <u>B</u> . S-ABS CINR me 	an 	8 CINR mean parameter measured Present if AMS is asked to report by AMS from carrier at Serving CINR ABS
O <u>C</u> . S-ABS RSSI me	an 	8 RSSI mean parameter measured Present if AMS is asked to report by AMS from carrier at Serving RSSI ABS

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
Comment	<u>by:</u>	Yuqin Chen			Membership Statu	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10050		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 157	<u>Line</u> 29	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.30

AAI_SCD message contains some important parameters associated with UL power control, ranging, sounding and handover etc. An AMS should ensure that AAI_SCD parameters being used are identical with those required by ABS; otherwise, AMS may not be in normal operation, and even may impact normal operation of other AMSs. Because AAI_SCD transmission process is very robust as that of broadcast message, an AMS in active mode usually can successfully receive and update AAI_SCD in time. But, as an AMS in sleep mode may not be able to receive the changed AAI_SCD during sleep interval, thus after the AMS wakes up, it shall not have up-to-date AAI_SCD parameters, and also shall not be in normal operation; in addition, if AAI_SCD transmission periodicity is very long, the above AMS may not return to normal operation condition within a very short time. Therefore, a scheme shall be needed to resolve the above problem.

In the other hand, ABS transmits and changes system parameters in the AAI_SCD message as well as SFH (Super Frame Header). The changed S-SFH contents are applied in the super-frame which is indicated by S-SFH apply offset in P-SFH. However, there is no description of when ABS applies the system parameters associated with the current Configuration Change Count in the AAI_SCD message

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt the text proposal in IEEE C80216m-10_1076 or its lastest revision.

GroupResolutionDecision of Group:PrincipleResolved by comment #221.Resolution:Adopt the proposed text in C802.16m-10/0994r4

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Hyunkyu Yu		<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	Date: 2010-08-13			
Comment # A10051	<u> </u>	Document under Review: P8	02.16m/D7	<u>Ballot I</u>	<u>D:</u> sb_16m			
<u>oominont</u>	hnical <u>Part of Dis</u> Sa erval is not defined in AA		<u>Line</u> 11 <u>F</u>	ig/Table# 714	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.30			
Suggested Remedy [Add the following text in	<u>Suggested Remedy</u> [Add the following text in page 160, line 11, table 714, subclause 16.2.3.30, as]							
<ins> M </ins> T_ReT 	x_Interval 3 1-8 if DL_ 1-4 if DL_ <ins> The</ins>	N_MAX_ReTx = 4; N_MAX_ReTx = 8 o unit is a frame.	< 	ins> N.A				
GroupResolution	Decision of G	roup: Agree						
[Add the following text in	n page 160, line 11, table	e 714, subclause 16.2.3.	30, as]					
<ins> M </ins> T_ReT 	x_Interval 3 1-8 if DL_ 1-4 if DL_ <ins> The</ins>	N_MAX_ReTx = 4; N_MAX_ReTx = 8 unit is a frame.	< 	ins> N/A				
Reason for Group's Decision/	Resolution							

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Eunkyung Kim	Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment # A10052		Document under Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID	_ sb_16m

<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> 161 <u>Line</u> 6 <u>Fig/Table#</u> 714 <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.30

E-MBS should support following properly.

- seamless transition from one E-MBS zone to another any interruption of E-MBS data service

- macro diversity

- efficient carrier switching in terms of allocation long unicast interval, staying in non-E-MBS carrier.

However, current Zone Allocation Bitmap does not support E-MBS region as well as E-MBS Zone especially in the case of any ABS belonging to boundary of E-MBS Zones.

Therefore, E-MBS configuration parameters (i.e., ZF, Zone Allocation Bitmap) should be modified more efficiently using following procedure.

- Step 1: Check whether current carrier is mixed or dedicated carrier

- Step 2: Divide the subbands into unicast region and multicast region where the multicast region may be used for E-MBS – only for the mixed carrier

- Step 3: Divide the multicast region into E-MBS region and non-E-MBS region

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt the text proposal in IEEE C802.16m-10/0977 or its lastest revision.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There is no justification for the change.

Vote: In favor: 1 Opposed: 9 Abstain:

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Inuk Jung	<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	Date: 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10053	Document u	Inder Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID:	_ sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 168 <u>Line</u> 27 <u>F</u>	Fig/Table# 720 S	<u>ubclause</u> 16.2.3.36

AAI_NBR-REQ message should only be used for acquiring system information of requested CSG femtocells. Also, the request BS type seems redundant. OSG femtocells are included in the AAI_NBR-ADV message and the CSG-open or CSG-closed femtocells may be identified by CSGID the AMS has informed during the network entry procedure.

Suggested Remedy

Propose to remove the 'Request BS type' parameter in AAI_NBR-REQ message.

Modify Table 720 as follows:

M/O	Attributes / Array of attributes	Size (bits)	Value / Note	Conditions
₩	Request BS type	2	00: CSG Femto ABS	N/A
			01: OSG Femto ABS	
			10-11: reserved 	

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

message to be modified has been deleted by comment #10178

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC HO

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Shuangfeng Han		Membership Status:				<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13	
Comment #	A10054		Document und	ler Review: P80)2.16m/D7	<u>Ballot</u>	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Techr	ical Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 172	<u>Line</u> 50	Fig/Table# 722	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.38
In CO-MIMO,	CPMI is fee	dback for multiBS op	eration. But r	elative chann	el amplitude	information is not in	cluded. This may degrade

performance of CO-MIMO.

Suggested Remedy

To accept proposal in C80216m-10_1072 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the text proposal in C80216m-10_1072r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; LMAC + Others

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

 2010/10/25
 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

 Comment by:
 Jaesun Cha
 Membership Status:
 Member
 Date:
 ?

Comment # A10055		Document under Review: P802.16m/D7				Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 188	<u>Line</u> 25	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.46.1

During connection establishment procedure, an ABS may assign predefined BR index for 3-step BR. However, the current draft does not describe how to assign the BR index in detail except one restriction, which is "If BR action is 0b10 (BR), ABS shall assign different BR index to service flows whose UL Grant Scheduling Type is different". In other words, it means that the ABS may assign the same BR index to service flows if their UL Scheduling Types are same although the relevant BR sizes are different. In this case, ABS may not differentiate bandwidth requests based on BR index.

Suggested Remedy	
adopt texts in C80216m-10/0954	

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

adopt texts in C80216m-10/0954r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Jaehyuk Jang		ļ	Membership Statu	<u>s:</u> Member		Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10056		Document under	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 188	<u>Line</u> 30	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.46.1
Predefined E	3R index shall be	unique within an	MS, not within	the service	flow. In 3-step	BR, an MS	only sends STID	and Predefined BR

index. If same predefined BR index is used for MS's service flow which has same UL Grant Scheudling Type, ambiguity occurs.

Suggested Remedy

[Update the sentences in pp. 188, line 30 in D7 as follows:]

If BR action is 0b10 (BR), ABS shall assign different BR index to service flows whose UL Grant Scheduling Type isdifferent<ins>each different BR size of service flow within a single AMS shall be assigned a different predefined BR index</ins>.

[Update the sentences in pp. 202, line 48 in D7 as follows:]

If BR action is 0b10 (BR), ABS shall assign different BR index to service flows whose UL Grant Scheduling Type is different<ins>each different BR size of service flow within a single AMS shall be assigned a different predefined BR index</ins>.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #10055.

Resolution:

adopt texts in C80216m-10/0954r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>oy:</u>	Hyunkyu Yu		<u> </u>	Membership Status	: Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	10057		Document unde	r Review: P80	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 188	Line 65	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.46.1

In uplink transmission, bandwidth allocation for the delay-sensitive traffic (e.g. VoIP) needs to be explicitly mapped to a flow. Otherwise, other service flows may use those resources, degrading the performance of that flow (VoIP). To guarantee the performance, we suggest mapping HARQ channels to a flow in DSx message. Note that persistent allocation cannot be always used for VoIP transmission, so we recommend adopting HARQ channel mapping concept, which is more general than just mapping persistent allocation to a flow.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the text proposal in IEEE C802.16m-10/0830r1 or its latest revision.

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

To reserve HARQ channels to an flow can make an ACID difficeincy problem. In addition, it's a scheduling problem of AMS. At most, ABS can inform its intention for allocating resource in case of persistent scheduling.

vote: 11 for, 9 against, 0 abstain

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by: Y	oungbin Chang			<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member		Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10058		Document unde	er Review: P8)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical		Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 194	Line 6 E	ig/Table# 740	0 <u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.46.1

MAC in-order deliver indicator clarification

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the text of line 6-11 on page 194 and line 22-27 on page 205 as follows:]

	· .
O T) MAC in-order delivery indicator Indicate whether or not the order of delivery	
in non-ARQ connection is	
preserved by the MAC. In ARQ connection	n,
<u>it shall be set to 1.</u>	
0 : not preserved	
1 : preserved	

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

accept remedy in contribution c80216m-10/1084

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	YoungKyo Baek			<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10059		Document unde	er Review: P8)2.16m/D7	Ba	<u>illot ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 194	Line 40 Fi	<u>g/Table#</u> 740	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.46.1
the term 'CS specification.	•	should be replace	ed with 'CS para	ameter enco	ding rules' to kee	p consistenc	y with IEEE 802.16-2009

Suggested Remedy

line 40, page 195, CS encodingRule <ins>parameter encoding rules</ins>
 line 45, page 205, CS encodingRule <ins>parameter encoding rules</ins>
 line 36, page 346, CS encodingRule <ins>parameter encoding rules</ins>

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

1. line 40, page 195, CS encodingRule <ins>parameter encoding rules</ins> 2. line 45, page 205, CS encodingRule <ins>parameter encoding rules</ins> 3. line 36, page 346, CS encodingRule <ins>parameter encoding rules</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Anil Agiwal]	Membership Status	. Member		Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10060		Document unde	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7	E	Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 198	Line 6	Fig/Table# 740	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.46.1

Two MAC headers (AGMH, SPMH) are defined for MAC PDUs of a transport connection.

The MAC header (AGMH or SPMH) to be used for the MAC PDUs of a transport connection is decided at the time of connection establishment.

MAC header type attribute is defined to determine the same in D6 but is marked as optional.

It is an mandatory attribute and has to be present in each AAI_DSA_REQ.

Suggested Remedy

On page 198, table 740, 1st column, Lines 6-7

Change 'O' to 'M'.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Modify texts on page 198, line 6 as follows]

O MAC Header Type ²	1 Indicates whether AGMH or SPMH is Present if SPMH is used to transmit	_
	presented at the start of MAC PDUs of the MAC PDUs from this service flow	
	service flow	
	0 = AGMH (Advanced Generic	
	MAC Header)	
	1 = SPMH (Short-Packet MAC header)	
	default value is 0	

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jaehyuk Jang		<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10061	Docur	nent under Review: P	802.16m/D7	Ballot ID	<u>:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis Satisfie	<u>Page</u> 204	Line 50 Fig	<u>g/Table#</u> Tabl <u>s</u>	Subclause 16.2.3.46.4

There are two contradictory sentences in D7

1. In pp.339, line 51: "There are two adaptation methods. Only one of the methods is used for a service flow, and cannot be changed via AAI_DSC messages."

2. In pp. 204, line 50 (Table 743) "Adpation field" is included.

To solve the problem, Adaptation Method field in Table 743 should be removed.

Suggested Remedy

[Remove "Adaptation Method" field in Table 743, pp. 204, line 50.]

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

[Remove "Adaptation Method" field in Table 743, pp. 204, line 50.]

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	: by: You	ngKyo Baek		Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10062	Document u	nder Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 216	Line 20 Fig	<u>/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.3.51
NSP list is br	roadcast by AAI_S	II-ADV message only.				

Hence 'may' should be replaced with 'shall' as suggested remedy.

Suggested Remedy

An ABS may<ins> shall</ins>_use the AAI_SII-ADV message to broadcast a list of Network Service Provider (NSP) Identifiers.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

<ins>If NSP Identifier is transmitted by the ABS then the</ins> An ABS may<ins> shall</ins>_use the AAI_SII-ADV message to broadcast a list of Network Service Provider (NSP) Identifiers.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

other option is better choice (SBC), but text not available.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: YoungKyo Baek Membership Status: Member Date: 2010-08-12 <u>Comment # A10063</u> Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 216 Line 30 Fig/Table# 757 Subclause 16.2.3.51 Comment Currently a legacy Access networks are deployed together with a legacy core networks (e.g. AAA server).

Due to development of the new 16m technologies we expect the 16m Access network will be deployed in some time.

So we may expect that 16m Access network is operating together with a legacy core network.

In those situations, MSID privacy may be disabled.

The AMSs need to know whether the network(e.g. NSP) supports MSID privacy or not.

Hence we suggest using AAI_SII-ADV message to notify the NSP's MSID privacy policy.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1020 or its later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

MSID privacy policy can be provisioned, also cannot be in critical path of NE.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

Editor's Notes b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

	-					
Commen	i <u>t by:</u> You	ngKyo Baek		<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10064	<u>Document</u>	under Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballot ID	<u>):</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 216	Line 38 F	ig/Table# 752	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.51
	NSP identifier, 'N ar and meaningles	SP identifier which ABS s s words.	supports' is suffic	iently enough.		
Suggested Rem NSP identifie		s>which ABS supports <td>ns> to repo</td> <td>ort.The serving A</td> <td>BS shall indicate</td> <td>only the trigger</td>	ns> to repo	ort.The serving A	BS shall indicate	only the trigger
<u>GroupResolutio</u>	<u>n</u>	Decision of Group: Ag	gree			
NSP identifie	er s <ins< td=""><td>s>which ABS supports<td>ns> to repo</td><td>ort.The serving A</td><td>BS shall indicate</td><td>only the trigger</td></td></ins<>	s>which ABS supports <td>ns> to repo</td> <td>ort.The serving A</td> <td>BS shall indicate</td> <td>only the trigger</td>	ns> to repo	ort.The serving A	BS shall indicate	only the trigger

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC NE

2010/10/25			IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment by:</u>	Kiseon Ryu	Membership Status: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment # A10065	Document under Review:	802.16m/D7 Ballot	<u>:ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Techni No action in AAI_CM-CMD	ical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> 220 is meaningless.	Line 30 Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.47
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Delete 'no action' from the	AAI_CM-CMD message and reserve the val	ue as follows.	
Table 7	56—Contents of AAI_CM-CMD message		
O Indication Type 2	Indicate the corresponding secondary carrier is activated or deactivated 00: no action <ins><u>reserved</u>< 01: deactivation only 10: activation only 11: both activation and deactivation</ins>	when Action code is	
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Principle		
Delete 'no action' from the	AAI_CM-CMD message and reserve the val	ue as follows.	
Table 7	756—Contents of AAI_CM-CMD message		
O Indication Type 2	Indicate the corresponding secondary carrier is activated or deactivated 00: no action <ins>deactivatio 01: deactivation only<ins>action and the second second 10: activation only<ins>both activation and deactivation</ins></ins></ins>	tivation only activation and deactivation	Shall be present when Action code is set to 0b0 in AAI_CM-CMD message
Reason for Group's Decision/Res	olution		

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Tsai	Chia-Lung		Membership Statu	us:	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10066		Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 225	<u>Line</u> 10	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.57

Clarifications are needed in Table 759.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in C802.16m-10/0960 or its latest revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #241.

Resolution:

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/1054r3.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

							Ξ.
<u>Comment</u>	by: Chia-Lu	ing Tsai		Membership Statu	<u>us:</u>	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-11	
Comment #	A10067	Docume	ent under Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical <u>Pa</u>	art of Dis Satisfied	Page 225	<u>Line</u> 10	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.57	
Some typos ir	n Table 760 are ident	ified and clarification	ns are needed in ⊺	Table 759.			
<u>Suggested Reme</u> Adopt the pro	<u>dy</u> posed text in C802.10	6m-10/0960 or its la	atest revision				
GroupResolution	L	Decision of Group:	Principle				
Resolved by c	comment #241.						

Resolution:

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C802.16m-10/1054r3.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Tsai Chia-Lung	Chia-Lung Membership Status:					
Comment # A10068	Document und	er Review: P802.16m	/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial The word bit shall be plural in	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 225 <u>Line</u> 1	3 <u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.57			
Suggested Remedy Change the Size (bit) to the Size (bits)							
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Agree						
Change the Size (bit) to the Size (bits)							
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution							
Group's Notes							
Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages							
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done						

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Chia-Lung Tsai	<u>Membership Stat</u>	us:	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-11
Comment # A10069	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial The word bit shall be plural in		<u>Page</u> 225 <u>Line</u> 13	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.57
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Change the Size (bit) to the	Size (bits)			
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Agree			
Change the Size (bit) to the	Size (bits)			
Reason for Group's Decision/Resol	<u>ution</u>			
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC C	control messages			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Soojung	Jung		Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10070		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ba	<u>llot ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of	f Dis	<u>Page</u> 226	Line 37 <u>F</u>	ig/Table# 759	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.57

The frequency offset and bandwidth of each carrier are provided through the AAI_Global-CFG message. Therefore the description that are used to derive the information for subcarrie alignment based on reference carrier indicator and multicarrier configuration index and Table 803 need to be modified.

Suggested Remedy

adopt the proposed text in the latest version of contribution C80216m-10_0983

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text modifications in C80216m-10_0983r1.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.3, MAC: MAC Control messages; MAC MC (multicarrier)

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Youngbin	Chang			<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10071			Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	al <u>Part o</u>	of Dis 🗌 Sat	tisfied	<u>Page</u> 232	<u>Line</u> 48 <u>F</u>	g/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.4.3

Clarification text on pakcing and concatenation. When pakcing is used, MAC SDUs from same connection should be packed not concatenated. The below cases are the exceptional because packing can not be used

1. two control mesaages can be conncatenated in one PHY burst

2. ARQ arrangement blocks and normal ARQ blocks can be cancanetated in on PHY burst

Suggested Remedy

Multiple MAC PDUs from the same or different connections may be concatenated into a single transmission in either the UL or DL directions. <u>MAC PDUs from the same connection may be concatenated only if the MAC SDUs cannot be packed in a single MAC PDU.</u> For AMS attached to ABS, each MAC PDU in UL/DL burst is uniquely identified by FID.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Multiple MAC PDUs from the same or different connections may be concatenated into a single transmission in either the UL or DL directions. <u>MAC PDUs from the same connection may be concatenated only if the MAC SDUs cannot be packed in a single MAC PDU.</u> For AMS attached to ABS, each MAC PDU in UL/DL burst is uniquely identified by FID.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.4, MAC: Construction and Transmission of MAC PDUs

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comme	<u>nt by:</u> You	ngKyo Baek		Membership Status	<u>s:</u> Member	Date: 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10072	Docume	ent under Review: P8	802.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 245	<u>Line</u> 44	Fig/Table# 398	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.2.1.2
last part of	CMAC key formula	is missed.				

Suggested Remedy

Dot16KDF (CMAC-TEK prekey, "CMAC_KEYS"<ins>, 256)</ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Dot16KDF (CMAC-TEK prekey, "CMAC_KEYS"<ins>, 256)</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	YoungKyo Bae	ek		Membership Status:	Member	Date:	2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10073		Document un	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editoria	Part of Dis	s Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 246	Line 33 F	ig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.	5.2.1.4
wrong referei	nce							

Suggested Remedy

The Key agreement 3-way handshake procedure (as shown in Figure <ins>399</ins> 400) includes the following steps:

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group: Agree

 The Key agreement 3-way handshake procedure (as shown in Figure <ins>399</ins> 400) includes the following steps:

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution
 Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comr	nent by:	YoungKyo Baek			Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Commen</u>	<u>t#</u> A10074		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 247	Line 1 Fig	g/Table#	Subclause 16.2.5.2.1.4
line 1 ~ 2	nage 247 belong	s to the above bull	let				

line 1 ~ 2 ,page 247, belongs to the above bullet. Hence in order to prevent misreading line 1~2 should be the same depth with the sentence just before.

Suggested Remedy

make line 1~2, page 247, the same depth with the sentences just before.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

make line 1~2 ,page 247, the same depth with the sentences just before.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by: You	ngKyo Baek			Membership Statu	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10075		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ball	lot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 248	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table# 399	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.2.1.4
In the previou	is session #68, Co	mment #10012	2 is accepted in	n principle w	ith the contribu	tion C802.16m-	-10/0889r1 but proposed text #5
is wrongly im	plemented.						

Suggested Remedy

Line 12 : Obtain AK<u><ins>and</ins></u> Derive CMAC keys Line 21: remove the box containing 'Derive PMK, AK, CMACkeys'.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Line 12 : Obtain AK<u><ins>and</ins></u> Derive CMAC keys Line 21: remove the box containing 'Derive PMK, AK, CMACkeys'.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	t by:	YoungKyo	Baek		<u>!</u>	<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>D</u>	ate: 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10076			Document unde	er Review: P80)2.16m/D7	B	allot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part o	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 250	<u>Line</u> 10	Fig/Table# 400	<u>Subclause</u> 1	6.2.5.2.1.5.2
delete the ','	between PMK a	nd SN.							

Suggested Remedy

AAI_PKM-RSP("TEK-Reply", EKS, PMK, SN, COUNTER_TEK)(CMAC)

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

AAI_PKM-RSP("TEK-Reply", EKS, PMK, SN, COUNTER_TEK)(CMAC)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	YoungKyo Baek		<u>I</u>	Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10077		Document under	Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editoria	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 251	Line 61 Fig	/Table#	Subclause 16.2.5.2.1.5.6
ranlaca NION	CEMS with NO	NCE MS					

replace NONCEMS with NONCE_MS

Suggested Remedy

AMS generates random NONCEMS<ins> NONCE_MS</ins> on calculating AMSID*. AMS derives new AK, and its CMAC key and TEK based on the AMSID*.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

AMS generates random NONCEMS<ins> NONCE_MS</ins> on calculating AMSID*. AMS derives new AK, and its CMAC key and TEK based on the AMSID*.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Anil Agiwal			Membership Status	: Member	Date: ?
Comment #	A10078		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 252	Line 52	-ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.2.2

FID values needs correction.

FID 0x0 is for unecnrypted message and FID 0x1 is for encrypted message.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the lines 52-57 on page 252, section 16.2.5.2.2 as follows:

SA is used to provide keying material for unicast transport/control flows. Once an SA is mapped to an unicast transport flow, the SA is applied to all the data exchanged within the unicast transport flow. Multiple flows may be mapped to the same SA. The indication to the receiver that the MAC PDU is encrypted or not is indicated by the <ins>FID</ins>FlowID 0x0<ins>0x1</ins> and 0x1<ins>0x0</ins> in AGMH respectively.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Modify the lines 52-57 on page 252, section 16.2.5.2.2 as follows:

SA is used to provide keying material for unicast transport/control flows. Once an SA is mapped to an unicast transport flow, the SA is applied to all the data exchanged within the unicast transport flow. Multiple flows may be mapped to the same SA. The indication to the receiver that the MAC PDU is encrypted or not is indicated by the <ins>FID</ins>FlowID 0x0<ins>0x1</ins> and 0x1<ins>0x0</ins> in AGMH respectively.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment I	oy: Yo	oungKyo I	Baek		<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
Comment #	10079		Document und	er Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Technical	Part of	Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 255	<u>Line</u> 10	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.2.3.1.1

'byte index 0 transmit first' means big endian. CCM alogrithm(NIST Special Publication 800-38) follows big endian also. Hence If explanation about big endian is included separately like D7, it can make misunderstanding. Suggest deleting that description.

Suggested Remedy

The ciphertext message authentication code is transmitted so that byte index 0 (as enumerated in NIST Special Publication 800-38) is transmitted first (i.e., LSB first).

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

The ciphertext message authentication code is transmitted so that byte index 0 (as enumerated in NIST Special Publication 800-38) is transmitted first (i.e., LSB first).

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	t by:	YoungKyo E	Baek			<u>Membership Status</u>	. Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10080		Doc	cument unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of	Dis Satisfi	ied	<u>Page</u> 255	Line 65	Fig/Table# 764	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.2.3.1.1
STD and IKS	should be repla	aced with S	STID and EK	(S respect	tively.			

Suggested Remedy

line 65: ST<ins>l</ins>D|FID line 65 :. <ins>E</ins>lKS|PN

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

line 65: ST<ins>I</ins>D|FID line 65 :. <ins>E</ins>IKS|PN

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

Editor's Notes

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
Comment	t by: Yo	ungKyo Baek			Membership Statu	<u>s:</u> Member	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10081		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 272	<u>Line</u> 38	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.3.1

Currently a legacy Access networks are deployed together with a legacy core networks (e.g. AAA server).

Due to development of the new 16m technologies we expect the 16m Access network will be deployed in some time.

So we may expect that 16m Access network is operating together with a legacy core network.

In those situations, MSID privacy may need to be disabled.

Hence we suggest AMSID privacy disable mode should be possible even when the ABS is attached to the advanced ASN.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1014 or its later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1014.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Anil Agiwal		<u> </u>	Membership Status	s: Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10082	Document under Review: P802.16m/D7					Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 274	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.3.3
Comment # 0	495 which was resc	lved in Meetin	g #68, with the	e proposed	text in contribu	tion	is not correctly implemented.

Change 5 from 766 r1 is not incorporated.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the text on page 274, lines 1-7 as follows:

The selective confidentiality protection over control messages is indicated by <ins>FID </ins>the EC bit in the MCEH <ins>AGMH</ins>. Contrary to the transport flows where the established SA is applied to all data, the primary SA is selectively applied to the control messages. EC bit in the MCEH is used only for control flows to indicate whether PDU contains the control message that is encrypted based on control message type and its usage. In particular, whether control message is encrypted or not is decided on the security level with which the message is associated.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Modify the text on page 274, lines 1-7 as follows:

The selective confidentiality protection over control messages is indicated by <ins>FID </ins>the EC bit in the MCEH <ins>AGMH</ins>. Contrary to the transport flows where the established SA is applied to all data, the primary SA is selectively applied to the control messages. EC bit in the MCEH is used only for control flows to indicate whether PDU contains the control message that is encrypted based on control message type and its usage. In particular, whether control message is encrypted or not is decided on the security level with which the message is associated.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

 Group's Notes

 Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

 Editor's Notes
 Editor's Actions

 a) done

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.1	6-10/0045r3	
<u>Comment</u>	by:	∕oungKyo Baek			Membership Status	<u>B:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u>	2010-08-12	
Comment #	A10083		Document und	er Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 274	<u>Line</u> 1	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2	2.5.3.3	
EC bit is not available any more. encrytion of control message is distinguished by FID.									

Lo bit is not available any more. Cherytion of control message is distin

Suggested Remedy

The selective confidentiality protection over control messages is indicated by <ins>FID</ins> the EC bit in the MCEH. Contrary to the transport flows where the established SA is applied to all data, the primary SA is selectively applied to the control messages. <ins>FID</ins> the EC bit in the MCEH is used only for control flows to indicate whether PDU contains the control message that is encrypted based on control message type and its usage.<ins>(see the table 652)</ins> In particular, whether control message is encrypted or not is decided on the security level with which the message is associated.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #10082. Resolution: Modify the text on page 274, lines 1-7 as follows:

The selective confidentiality protection over control messages is indicated by <ins>FID </ins>the EC bit in the MCEH <ins>AGMH</ins>. Contrary to the transport flows where the established SA is applied to all data, the primary SA is selectively applied to the control messages. EC bit in the MCEH is used only for control flows to indicate whether PDU contains the control message that is encrypted based on control message type and its usage. In particular, whether control message is encrypted or not is decided on the security level with which the message is associated.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment # A10084</u> <u>Document under Review:</u> P802.16m/D7 <u>Ballot ID:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> 274 <u>Line</u> 18 <u>Fig/Table#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.5.2.3	.2
CMAC protected control messages has following issues:	

Receiver needs to perform ASN.1 decoding before verifying the received control message

1) To get CMAC tuple

2) To get AK_COUNT (in case of AAI_RNG_REQ)

ASN.1 encoded message is input to CMAC generation algorithm. So CMAC tuple can not be part of ASN.1 encoded control message. But in D7 CMAC tuple is part of ASN.1 encoded message.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C80216m-10_0963

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Disagree

deferred

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

remedy is incomplete

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.5, MAC: AAI Security

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comr</u>	<u>ment by:</u>	Jaesun Cha		Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?
<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt#</u> A10085		Document under Review:	2802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Technical	Part of Dis	atisfied Page 279	Line 24 Fi	<u>ig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.6.1.1

The current AAI_NBR-ADV does not contain the information on cell load of neighbor ABSs.

Suggested Remedy

The AAI_NBR-ADV message may include parameters required for cell selection e.g., cell-load and cell type. The ABS may broadcast different segments of AAI_NBR-ADV message over multiple MAC PDU's.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #114 Resolution: In page 279, line 26, modify the following sentence: "The AAI_NBR-ADV message may include parameters required for cell selection e.g., cell load and cell type."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Jaehyuk Jang	<u>Membership S</u>	tatus: Member	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment # A10086	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 280 <u>Line</u> 51	<u>Fig/Table#</u> Tabl	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.2

To support handover from ABS to R1 BS, different triggering values may be required. Different size and structure of (A-)preamble may require a different triggering values.

Suggested Remedy

[Update the "Value" of "ABS type" in Table 772, pp. 280, line 51 as follows:]

ABS type of target ABS for this Trigger definition: (Any, Macro ABS, Macro Hot-zone ABS, Femto ABS, etc.). A value representing "any" means this trigger applies to all target ABSs. This value of ABS type field shall be ignored for triggers with Type= 0x3 or the Function=0x5 or 0x6 in Table 773 0x0: Any 0x1: Macro ABS 0x2: Macro Hot-zone ABS 0x3: Femto ABS 0x4<ins>: **R1 BS 0x5**</ins>-0xF: Reserved

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

[Update the "Value" of "ABS type" in Table 772, pp. 280, line 51 as follows:]

ABS type of target ABS for this Trigger definition: (Any, Macro ABS, Macro Hot-zone ABS, Femto ABS, etc.). A value representing "any" means this trigger applies to all target ABSs. This value of ABS type field shall be ignored for triggers with Type= 0x3 or the Function=0x5 or 0x6 in Table 773 0x0: Any 0x1: Macro ABS 0x2: Macro Hot-zone ABS 0x2: Macro Hot-zone ABS 0x3: Femto ABS 0x4<ins>: R1 BS 0x4<ins>-0xF: Reserved

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes		Editor's Actions	a) done				
2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Jaesun Cha			Membership Status	. Member	Date: ?
Comment #	10087		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 284	<u>Line</u> 44	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.6.3.3
HO cancel by	ABS is not defi	ned in the D7.					

Suggested Remedy

[Remedy 1: Modify texts on page 284, line 44 as follows]

Upon reception of the AAI_HO-CMD message, the AMS should pre-update STID and AK to be used in the target ABS. Any mismatched system information between AMS and the target ABS, if detected, may be provided to the AMS by the Serving ABS during HO preparation. For AMS initiated HO, the ABS may detect an S-SFH mismatch by referring to the AAI_NBR-ADV change count of AMS included in AAI_HO-REQ message. In such case, the ABS should include mismatching delta SFH information in AAI_HO-CMD, or it should cancelreject the HO.

[Remedy 2: add the following row at the end of Table 691]

O AA_NBR-ADV change	1 1: there is a mismatch in AAI_NBI	R-ADV May be included	
count mismatch indication	n change count	when Mode == 0b10	
	0: otherwise		

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

In page 284, line 44, modify the following sentence:

"Upon reception of the AAI_HO-CMD message, the AMS should pre-update STID and AK to be used in the target ABS. Any mismatched system information between AMS and the target ABS, if detected, may be provided to the AMS by the Serving ABS during HO preparation. For AMS initiated HO, the ABS may detect an S-SFH mismatch by referring to the AAI_NBR-ADV change count of AMS included in AAI_HO-REQ message. In such case, the ABS should include mismatching delta SFH information in AAI_HO-CMD, or it should cancel<ins>reject</ins> the HO.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>by:</u>	Inuk Jung			Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10088		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 293	Line 34 E	<u>ig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.6.4.1.2.1
here are redundant text description and Figures related to zone switch mode=0.							

Suggested Remedy

Review and adopt proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1048 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

remedy is incomplete

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Inuk Jung		Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10089	Docume	nt under Review:	9802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 296	Line 6 F	ig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.6.4.1.2.1

AMS should be able to have a zone switch capability allowing DL only processing in both zones (i.e. and UL for LZone) for zone switch benefits. In this case, the AMS can succesfully receive SFH while operating in LZone until Action Time (No UL operation in MZone) saving time during network reentry in MZone.

Suggested Remedy

Review and adopt proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1047 or its latest version.

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Disagree

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution
 Image: Complex of Group is the second second

remedy is incomplete

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>by:</u>	Jaehyuk	Jang			<u>Membershi</u>	i <u>p Status:</u>	Member		Date: 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10090			Document unde	er Review:	P802.16m/E	70		Ballot ID: sb_16	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part o	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 298	3 <u>Line</u> 1	<u>Fic</u>	<u>/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.6.4.2.2
If an AMS pe	rforms handover	from M	Zone of	ABS to R1 BS,	the AMS	needs to pe	erform fu	ll network	reentry in order	to re-negotiate all

capability with R1 BS. That text is missing in D7.

Suggested Remedy

[Add the following sentence in pp. 298, line 1 as follows:]

The AMS follows the same network reentry procedure to the target R1 BS as defined in section 6.3.21.2.7.<ins> <u>The network re-entry</u> procedure shall be the same as full network reentry with HO optimization rules and scenarios defined in 6.3.21.2.10.</ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

[Add the following sentence in pp. 298, line 1 as follows:]

The AMS follows the same network reentry procedure to the target R1 BS as defined in section 6.3.21.2.7.<ins> <u>The network re-entry</u> procedure shall be the same as network reentry with HO optimization rules and scenarios defined in 6.3.21.2.10.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.6, MAC: MAC HO procedures

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Chiwoo Lim			Membership Status	Nonmember	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10091		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ba	llot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 305	<u>Line</u> 61	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.8

PHY level controls (MIMO/Multi-BS MIMO/Power Control/FFR/CINR report) should be clarified for multi-carrier operation. UL channel operation and DL CINR report operation for CA (Carrier Aggregation) with partially configured secondary carrier are already defined. However, PHY level controls using MAC control message or MAC signaling header for CA with fully configured carriers are not clear. The problem is that there is no way to feedback these MAC control messages and signaling headers through the proper active carrier which ABS wants because all the unicast MAC control messages relative to multicarrier operations shall be sent from/to the AMS through its primary carrier.

So, we propose to handle PHY level controls per carrier unlike MAC state, mobility and context of an AMS that are managed and controlled by an ABS through the primary carrier.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0974 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposed remedy is incomplete.

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/2	5						IEEE 802.16-10/0045r	3
<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Eunjong Lee			Membership Status	s: Member	Date: ?	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10092		Document under	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 306	<u>Line</u> 59	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.8.1	
Editorial corr	ection							

Editorial correction

Suggested Remedy

Multicarrier Switching: The multicarrier mode in which the AMS switches its physical layer connection from the primary to the partially configured or fully configured secondary carrier by ABS<ins>'s</ins> instruction to receive E-MBS services on the secondary carriers.

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Agree
------------------------	--------------------	-------

Multicarrier Switching: The multicarrier mode in which the AMS switches its physical layer connection from the primary to the partially configured or fully configured secondary carrier by ABS<ins>'s</ins> instruction to receive E-MBS services on the secondary carriers.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

2010/10/25	5						IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
Comment	<u>: by:</u>	Eunjong Lee			Membership Status	: Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10093		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 310	<u>Line</u> 23	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8.2.3.2
Editorial corre	ection						

Suggested Remedy

The AAI_MC-RSP (<ins>M</ins>multicarrier Response) Message is typically sent to the AMS in response to the AAI_MC-REQ <ins>(Multicarrier Request)</ins> message, but it may also be sent by the ABS to an AMS to update the list of assigned carriers in unsolicited manner.

GroupResolution	Decision of Group:	Agree
-----------------	--------------------	-------

The AAI_MC-RSP (<ins>M</ins>multicarrier Response) Message is typically sent to the AMS in response to the AAI_MC-REQ <ins>(Multicarrier Request)</ins> message, but it may also be sent by the ABS to an AMS to update the list of assigned carriers in unsolicited manner.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comme</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Eunjong Lee			Membership Status	Member		Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10094		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 311	<u>Line</u> 34	ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.8.2.8

Wrong section number

Suggested Remedy

An ABS may assign UL feedback channels to each active carrier of an AMS as defined in 16.3.6.5.2.4. <ins> 16.3.5.5.2.4.</ins>

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

An ABS may assign UL feedback channels to each active carrier of an AMS as defined in 16.3.6.5.2.4. <ins> 16.3.5.5.2.4.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Eunjong Lee			Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10095		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 311	<u>Line</u> 39 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8.2.8

Wrong section number

Suggested Remedy

When FastFeedback channel is assigned, the AMS reports CINR for an active carrier over the assigned FastFeedback channel of the corresponding carrier at the feedback region as defined in 16.3.8.3.3. <ins>16.3.7.3.3. </ins>

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

When FastFeedback channel is assigned, the AMS reports CINR for an active carrier over the assigned FastFeedback channel of the corresponding carrier at the feedback region as defined in 16.3.8.3.3. <ins>16.3.7.3.3. </ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

2010/10/2	5						IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
Commen	t by:	Eunjong Lee			<u>Membership Statu</u>	s: Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10096		Document und	ler Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 311	<u>Line</u> 43	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8.2.8
For clarificati	on						

Suggested Remedy

When only DL of fully configured carrier has been activated, UL feedback channel assigned by the ABS is located at the UL region defined in the SFH on the primary carrier. In this case, the ABS may allocate for an AMS one UL fast feedback channel per a secondary carrier over a primary carrier. <ins>If the AMS receives Feedback Allocation A-MAP IE through the DL only activated carrier, the AMS reports CINR for the secondary carrier over the assigned FastFeedback channel of the primary carrier.</i>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Modify the text (line 43, page 311) as follows:

When only DL of fully configured carrier has been activated, UL feedback channel assigned by the ABS is located at the UL region defined in the SFH on the primary carrier. In this case, the ABS may allocate for an AMS one UL fast feedback channel per a secondary carrier over a primary carrier. <ins>If the AMS receives Feedback Allocation A-MAP IE through the DL only activated carrier, the AMS transmits the UL feedback information for the secondary carrier over the assigned UL Fast Feedback channel of the primary carrier.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Eunjong Lee		<u> </u>	<u>Membership Status</u>	: Member	Date: ?
Comment #	A10097		Document unde	er Review: P80	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 314	<u>Line</u> 60	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8.2.9.2.1

Editorial correction

Suggested Remedy

The serving ABS informs the AMS <ins>of </ins>the carrier information (e.g. target primary carrier index) of the target ABSs through AAI_HO-CMD message.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

The serving ABS informs the AMS <ins>of </ins>the carrier information (e.g. target primary carrier index) of the target ABSs through AAI_HO-CMD message.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

2010/10/25							IEEE 80	2.16-10/0045r	3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Eunjong Lee			Membership Status	Member		Date: ?	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10098		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 314	<u>Line</u> 64 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.8.2.9.2.1	
'will' is not a te	erm of a specific	ation							

Suggested Remedy

The serving ABS will <ins>shall </ins>forward the information received from AAI_MC-REQ message to the target ABS(s) for secondary carrier pre-assignment. The serving ABS will<ins> and</ins> reply the secondary carrier pre-assignment results to the AMS if Carrier_Preassignment_Indication is set to 1 in the AAI_HO_CMD message.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Modify the text (line 64, page 314) as follows:

The serving ABS will forward the information received from AAI_MC-REQ message to the target ABS(s) for secondary carrier pre-assignment. The serving ABS will reply the secondary carrier pre-assignment results to the AMS if

Carrier_Preassignment_Indication is set to 1 in the AAI_HO_CMD message.

<ins>If the serving ABS determines that the secondary carriers need to be preassigned, the serving ABS shall forward the multicarrier capability information of the AMS to the target ABS(s). The serving ABS shall also respond with the secondary carrier pre-assignment results to the AMS using the Pre-assigned secondary carrier information in the AAI_HO_CMD message./ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Inuk Jung	<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10099	Document und	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 315 Line 8 Fi	<u>g/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.2.8.2.9.2.2

There is a missing scenario for MCHO. A single RF AMS may still perform multi-carrier aggregation and hence may perform EBB HO with HO_Reentry_Interleaving_Interval>0. The restriction of MC capable AMS not able to have HO_Reentry_Interleaving_Interval>0 should be released.

Suggested Remedy

Review and adopt proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1053 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposed remedy is incomplete.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment by:</u>	Eunkyung Kim	<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment # A10100		Document under Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m

<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u> <u>Page</u> 319 <u>Line</u> 11 <u>Fig/Table#</u> <u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8.2.10.2

In order to support idle state if multicarrier feature is supported by the AMS and ABS minimizing the modification current draft in idle mode and multicarrier operation, following operation should be considered.

- PGID_Info message is transmitted in all carriers including fully and partially configured carrier.

- Any traffic pointed by A-MAP IE does not transmitted in the dedicated carrier but AAI_E-MBS-CFG message is transmitted in the dedicated carrier.

- PGID_Info and PAG-ADV message should be transmitted via an corresponded carrier met the equation "Paging carrier index = DID modulo N."

- It may not impact on the normal idle mode.

- It degrades the overhead in the dedicated carrier.

- Carrier switching is necessary to perform location update which is done via primary carrier.

- In order to avoid any interruption of E-MBS during paging, any E-MBS traffic is not transmitted during the paging listening interval.

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt the text proposal in IEEE C802.16m-10/0978 or its lastest revision.

GroupResolution De

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal impacts on E-MBS data transmission and it may complicate E-MBS server and Paging controller.

Vote: In favor: 2 Opposed: 6 Abstain:

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.8, MAC: Multicarrier operation

Editor's Notes b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	t by:	Soojung Jung		ļ	<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10101		Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 331	Line 4	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.11.1.1
incorrect refe	rence						
Suggested Rem	edy						
[Modify the t	ext as follows]						
in section <in< td=""><td>s> 16.11 </td><td>10.1 <td>>Global values</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td></in<>	s> 16.11	10.1 <td>>Global values</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td>	>Global values				

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

[Modify the text as follows]

in section<ins> 16.11 </ins> 10.1 Global values

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.11, MAC: Bandwidth Request and Allocation Mechanism

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Heejeong	Cho			Membership Status	<u>s:</u> Nonmemb	er <u>Date:</u> ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10102			Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	al <u>Part o</u>	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 331	<u>Line</u> 10	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.11.1.1
Thoro are stil	l ambiquous de	ecription	and in	correct figure				

There are still ambiguous descriptions and incorrect figure.

1. Clarify the description related to implicit-NACK (line 10 in page 331)

According to D7, at least one BR-ACK A-MAP IE shall be sent at the DL frame of the frame n+BR_ACK_Offset if the ABS detects at least one BR preamble sequence in the BR opportunities of frame n and does not grant UL resources to all the successfully received BR requests before or in the frame n+BR_ACK_Offset. If no BR-ACK A-MAP IEs are sent at the DL frame of frame n+BR_ACK_Offset, the AMS considers it as an implicit-NACK and may restart BR procedure. However, the AMS should not consider only no reception of the BR-ACK A-MAP IE when AMS decide it has received an implicit-NACK. That's because BR-ACK A-MAP IE can be omitted by providing all UL BW allocation for all the successfully received BR requests before or in the frame n+BR_ACK_Offset.

2. Clarify the description related to time when BR-ACK A-MAP IE is sent (line 15 in page 331)

Because BR-ACK A-MAP IE is sent at the frame n+BR_ACK_Offset, not frame n+1, current text (from line 17 to 23 in page 331) is incorrect. Each BR-ACK A-MAP IE should include the decoding status of all BR opportunities in frame n.

3. Clean-up of the Figure the 428 (line 1 in page 333)

In 5-step random access BR procedure, ABS sends UL grant for UL data, not for standalone BR header at step-4. And then, AMS sends UL data, not BR header at step-5.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C80216m-10/1004 or later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution C80216m-10/1004r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.11, MAC: Bandwidth Request and Allocation Mechanism

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Soojung	Jung		<u>Memb</u>	ership Status:	Member		<u>Date:</u>	2010-08-13
Comment #	A10103		Docur	<u>ment under Review:</u>	P802.16	Sm/D7		Ballot ID: sb	_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Editorial	<u>Part c</u>	of Dis Satisfied	<u>d</u> <u>Page</u> 33	1 <u>Line</u>	38 <u>Fi</u>	g/Table#	<u>Subclar</u>	<u>use</u> 16.2	.11.1.1

typo

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the text as follows]

The AMS shall start a BR timer if the AMS receives a BR-ACK A-MAP IE indicating a successful receiption

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

[Modify the text as follows]

The AMS shall start a BR timer if the AMS receives a BR-ACK A-MAP IE indicating a successful receiption

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.11, MAC: Bandwidth Request and Allocation Mechanism

Editor's Notes Editor's

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Soojung Jung		<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13			
<u>Comment #</u>	A10104		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>:ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 333	<u>Line</u> 17	Fig/Table# 428	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.8.1
step 4 and s	tep 5 of Figure 43	38 need to be co	rrected				

Suggested Remedy

adopt the proposed text in the latest version of contribution C80216m-10_0982

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

adopt the proposed text in contribution C80216m-10_0982. Changes only in step 4 & 5.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.11, MAC: Bandwidth Request and Allocation Mechanism

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jaehyuk Jang		l	Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10105		Document under	Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Technical	Part of Dis	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 333	Line 28 Fi	g/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.11.1.1

In 5-step bandwidth request procedure, BR sequence shall be randomly selected among 24 BR preambles.

Suggested Remedy

[Insert the following sentence in pp. 615, line 51:]

In the regular 5-step random access BR procedure, an AMS shall send a BR preamble sequence only. <ins> <u>The AMS shall select the</u> <u>BR preamble randomly among 24 BR preamble indices.</u></ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There may be other ways to allocate the preamble index.

Vote:

In favor: 15 Opposed: 7 Abstain: 3

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.11, MAC: Bandwidth Request and Allocation Mechanism

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jaesun	Cha		Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10106		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of	f Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 333	Line 64 Fi	<u>g/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.11.1.3

wrong section number

Suggested Remedy

Piggybacked bandwidth request is used by the AMS to request bandwidth for the same or different connections of the data payload in the MAC PDU. It is carried in the extended header defined in section 16.2.2.2.96.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Piggybacked bandwidth request is used by the AMS to request bandwidth for the same or different connections of the data payload in the MAC PDU. It is carried in the extended header defined in section 16.2.2.2.96.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.11, MAC: Bandwidth Request and Allocation Mechanism

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Soojung Jung		Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10107	Docume	nt under Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 333	Line 65 Fig	g/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.11.1.3

incorrect reference

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the text on page 333, line 65 as follows]

it is carried in the extended header defined in section<ins> 16.2.2.6</ins> 16.2.2.9

[Modify the text on page 387, line 54 as follows]

PBREH as defined in <ins> 16.2.2.6</ins> 16.2.2.9

GroupResolutionDecision of Group:Agree[Modify the text on page 333, line 65 as follows]it is carried in the extended header defined in section<ins> 16.2.2.6</ins> 16.2.2.2.9[Modify the text on page 387, line 54 as follows]PBREH as defined in <ins> 16.2.2.6</ins> 16.2.2.2.9

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.11, MAC: Bandwidth Request and Allocation Mechanism

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by: Yo	oungKyo Baek			Membership Status	s: Member	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10108		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ba	<u>llot ID:</u> sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 351	<u>Line</u> 38	Fig/Table# 785	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.12.8

Default service flows are activated with predefined QoS parameters(table 785) after successful completion of Registration transaction. Per table 785 current text mentions that 'IP Masked Source Address parameter' and ' IP Masked Destination Address parameter' are included. However, both items does not give any information and there is no guarantee that IP is used.

Hence we suggest replacing 'include' with 'omit'.

If 'IP Masked Source Address parameter' is omitted, it implies 'comparison of the IP packet source address for this entry is irrelevant' and

If ' IP Masked Destination Address parameter' is omitted, it implies 'comparison of the IP packet destination address for this entry is irrelevant'.

Suggested Remedy

Table 785—Parameters for default service flow setting

Name	Value/Note
······	
Packet classification rule parameter	Packet Classification Rule Index field =2, Classification Action Rule =0 protocol field : omit IP Masked Source Address parameter : include <ins>omit IP Masked Destination Address parameter : include <ins>omit IP Masked Destination Address parameter : include <ins>omit Protocol Source Port Range field : omit Protocol Destination Port Range field : omit Associated PHSI field : omit IP Type of Service : omit</ins></ins></ins>
GrounResolution	Decision of Group: Principle
GroupResolution	IP Type of Service : omit Decision of Group: Principle

Table 785—Parameters for default service now setting

Packet classification rule parameter

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.12, MAC: Quality of Service (QoS)

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Anil Agiwal	<u>Membership Stat</u>	us: Member	Date: ?
Comment # A10109	Document und	ler Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Techn	ical Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 354 <u>Line</u> 27	Fig/Table# 431	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.13.1.2
The figure 431 needs corre	ection, PFEH should be PEH.			
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Replace PFEH by PEH on	line 27 in figure 431, page 354			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree			
Replace PFEH by PEH on	line 27 in figure 431, page 354			
Reason for Group's Decision/Res	olution			
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.13, MAC: ARC	Q mechanism			

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u> b	<u>y:</u> H	lyunkyu Yu			Membership Status	: Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment # A	10110		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 367	<u>Line</u> 62	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.14.1

In MC operation, an AMS can operate over multiple carriers with the sum of FFT sizes that is larger than 2048 subcarriers. In that case, total number of HARQ channels can be larger than 16. However, ACID field in assignment A-MAP IE can only indicate maximum 16 values (4 bits). To solve the problem above, in the implementation side, AMS and ABS can have their own mapping rules based on carrier index and 4-bit ACID. But this approach may lead HARQ channels to be unsynchronized between ABS and AMS in case of A-MAP loss and HARQ feedback false detection, increasing AMS/ABS complexities to control various error cases.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the text proposal in IEEE C802.16m-10/0828r1 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the text proposal in IEEE C802.16m-10/0828r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.14, MAC: HARQ Functions

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:	Hyunkyu Yu	Membership Status:	Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment # A10111	Docume	nt under Review: P802.16m/D7	<u>Ballot ID:</u> sb_1	6m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Tec Remedy was not correc missed.		<u>Page</u> 381 <u>Line</u> 18 <u>F</u> 74 (C802.16m_0829r1) which was	•	16.2.14.4.1 Multiplication sign is
Suggested Remedy [Remedy-1: change the	e following text in page 381, line	18, subcluase 16.2.14.4.1, as]		
The AI_SN keeps toggli	ing every ACID cycling period (allocation period <ins> x </ins> N	I_ACID) until	
[Remedy-2: change the	e following text in page 381, line	39, subcluase 16.2.14.4.2, as]		
The AI_SN keeps toggli	ing every ACID cycling period (allocation period <ins> x </ins> N	I_ACID) until	
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Agree		
[Remedy-1: change the	following text (add multiplicatio	n sign) in page 381, line 18, subcl	uase 16.2.14.4.1, as]	
The AI_SN keeps toggli	ing every ACID cycling period (allocation period <ins> x </ins> N	I_ACID) until	
[Remedy-2: change the	following text (add multiplicatio	n sign) in page 381, line 39, subcl	uase 16.2.14.4.2, as]	
The AI_SN keeps toggli	ing every ACID cycling period (allocation period <ins> x </ins> N	I_ACID) until	
Reason for Group's Decision/	/Resolution			
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.14, MAC: H	HARQ Functions			

Editor's Notes Ed

Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/2	5						IEEE 802.16-10/0045r	3
Commen	i <u>t by:</u>	Ying Li			<u>Membership Statu</u>	s: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10112		Document unde	er Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 383	Line 63	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.5	

Comment In the current draft D7, the preferred cell type in network entry like in Fig. 443 may have dead loop in network entry, or it may make the network entry a very large latency.

If the AMS prefers femtocell to macrocell, if the detected cell is not the preferred type (e.g., the femtocell), the AMS would scan 1. again and again, until it gets on a femto. If indeed there is no femto in the proximity, the AMS would be in a dead loop because of the preferred type according to Fig. 443.

If the AMS does not have any preamble partition information which is used to differentiate the cell type, the AMS has to wait until 2. it gets such partition information, then to check whether the detected cell is of its preferred type. This adds on the delay or latency in network entry.

Remedy:

Make the preferred cell type optional to the AMS. In network entry, the AMS may ignore the check box of preferred cell type if 1. there is no preferred type detected.

If the preamble partition information is not available, the AMS can perform network entry without considering the preferred type, 2. then handover to its preferred type upon the AMS can recognize the cell of preferred type.

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt the text in contribution C80216m-10 1050 or its latest version.

Decision of Group: Principle GroupResolution

<ins>In network entry, if the AMS cannot attach to the preferred cell, the AMS may choose to perform a network entry without any preference of the BS type, even though the AMS has preference of the BS type in general. </ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.15, MAC: Network Entry and Initialization

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: YoungKyo Baek Membership Status: Member Date: 2010-08-12 <u>Comment # A10113</u> Ballot ID: sb_16m Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 388 Line 8 Subclause 16.2.15.4 Fig/Table# Comment

The section 16.2.15.4 describes basic capability negotiation procedure during network entry.

However, the description follows the logic based on the assumption that capability class only is used for AMS capability negotiation. Now, we have the concept of device class separately from the capability class and allow negotiation of configuration parameter and features one by one.

Hence, we need fix the current text .

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1021 or its later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1021r1.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.15, MAC: Network Entry and Initialization

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: YoungKyo Baek Membership Status: Member Date: 2010-08-12 <u>Comment # A10114</u> Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 390 Line 31 Subclause 16.2.15.6 Fig/Table# **Comment**

Default service flows are activated after successful completion of Registration transaction.

However, the QoS parameters values for the DSF is predefined and there is no method for their update. That is, it does not permit the flexibility of changing/customizing the values by the operators for their subscribers in a different deployment or for a different usage scenario.

Hence we suggest remedies to update default QoS parameters.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1015 or its later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

If the parameter need to changed, then default service flow shall be made during DSX mechanism or DSC mechanism is more desirable.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.15, MAC: Network Entry and Initialization

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Giwon Park	<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10115	Document und	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 393 <u>Line</u> 17 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.17.1

Text is unclear.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the following modification.

The ABS shall ignore an AMS's request if the ABS has already initiated a change sleep mode initiation request.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the following modification.

The ABS shall ignore an AMS's request if the ABS has already initiated a change sleep mode initiation request.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep Mode

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>by:</u>	Jaesun Cha			Membership Status	: Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10116		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 394	<u>Line</u> 28	- ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.17.2.1

The following sentences describes the same situation but describes New Initial Sleep Cycle with different words. Because the second sentence is redundant and misleading, it should be removed.

"When NSCF is set to 0b10, current sleep cycle is reset to New Initial Sleep Cycle included in the AAI_SLP-RSP message, SCH after positive traffic indicator. In this case, after receiving positive TRF_IND, the sleep cycle will be reset to some other value as defined by NSCF parameter."

Suggested Remedy

When NSCF is set to 0b10, current sleep cycle is reset to New Initial Sleep Cycle included in the AAI_SLP-RSP message, SCH after positive traffic indicator. In this case, after receiving positive TRF_IND, the sleep cycle will be reset to some other value as defined by NSCF parameter.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

When NSCF is set to 0b10, current sleep cycle is reset to New Initial Sleep Cycle included in the AAI_SLP-RSP message, SCH after positive traffic indicator indication. In this case, after receiving positive TRF_IND, the sleep cycle will be reset to some other value as defined by NSCF parameter.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep Mode

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

2010/10/25	5						IEEE 802 . ⁻	16-10/0045r
Comment	<u>by:</u>	Jaesun Cha			<u>Membership Status</u>	Member	Dat	<u>e:</u> ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10117		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 395	Line 42 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16	.2.17.2.3.1
The response	e to AAI_TRF_INI	D-REQ message	e is AAI_TRF_	IND-RSP me	essage, not a tra	affic indicat	ion.	

Suggested Remedy

Traffic Indication is considered positive when the AAI_TRF-IND message is lost or not detected by the AMS, but unicast data is received by the AMS. If the AMS receives any unicast data during the listening window, then it considers that the traffic indication was positive. If the AMS receives neither the traffic indication message nor any unicast data in the Listening Window, the AMS shall then send an AAI_TRF_IND-REQ message after its current default listening window to ask the ABS the location of next scheduled Listening Window. The ABS shall respond to the AMS by unicasting an AAI_TRF_IND-RSP message containing the starting frame number and the length of next Sleep Cycle. On receiving the traffic indicationAAI_TRF_IND-RSP message, the AMS shall be synchronized with the next Sleep Cycle.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Traffic Indication is considered positive when the AAI_TRF-IND message is lost or not detected by the AMS, but unicast data is received by the AMS. If the AMS receives any unicast data during the listening window, then it considers that the traffic indication was positive. If the AMS receives neither the traffic indication message nor any unicast data in the Listening Window, the AMS shall then send an AAI_TRF_IND-REQ message after its current default listening window to ask the ABS the location of next scheduled Listening Window. The ABS shall respond to the AMS by unicasting an AAI_TRF_IND-RSP message containing the starting frame number and the length of next Sleep Cycle. On receiving the traffic indicationAAI_TRF_IND-RSP message, the AMS shall be synchronized with the next Sleep Cycle.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep Mode

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Jaesun Cha		Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10118	Docum	nent under Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>i Page</u> 39	7 <u>Line</u> 5 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.17.2.3.2

Expiration of T_ABS timer is independent with the exhaustion of DL HARQ retransmission trials. If ABS receives ACK from AMS, HARQ retransmission procedure will stop. In this case, those two conditions will not be met at the same time.

Suggested Remedy

After the default listening window ends, if the T_ABS timer expires and or the number of DL HARQ retransmission is exhausted for DL of the AMS, the ABS shall regard the AMS as returning to sleep (i.e., the Sleep Window starts).

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

After the default listening window ends, if the T_ABS timer expires and the number of DL HARQ retransmission is exhausted or there is no pending HARQ retransmission for DL of the AMS, the ABS shall regard the AMS as returning to sleep (i.e., the Sleep Window starts).

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep Mode

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>by:</u>	Jaesun	Cha		<u> </u>	Membership Status:	Member		Date: ?
Comment #	A10119		De	ocument unde	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part o	of Dis Sati	isfied	<u>Page</u> 397	<u>Line</u> 39 <u>F</u>	g/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.17.2.4

wrong name

Suggested Remedy

Alternatively, the ABS may initiate a Sleep Cycle parameter change by sending an unsolicited AAI_SLP-RSP <u>message</u> or SCH <u>message</u> to the AMS.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Alternatively, the ABS may initiate a Sleep Cycle parameter change by sending an unsolicited AAI_SLP-RSP <u>message</u> or SCH <u>message</u> to the AMS.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep Mode

Editor's Notes Editor's

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment t	by:	Jaesun Cha			Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?
Comment # A10120			Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 397	<u>Line</u> 50 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.17.2.4

If ABS rejects AMS's request for Sleep Cycle Change, it may transmit unsolicited AAI_SLP-RSP or SCH after the completion of DSA transaction. Why does ABS always request the AMS to transmit AAI_SLP-REQ by including REQ_duration even though the final decision on Sleep Cycle Change is made by the ABS?

Suggested Remedy

Otherwise, the ABS shall either omit the entire Sleep Cycle Setting or include both the Response Code = 0b10 (i.e., Reject) and/or REQ_duration in AAI_DSx-RSP message, as rejection of the AMS's request.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Modify text on page 397, line 50 as follows:]

Otherwise, the ABS shall either omit the entire Sleep Cycle Setting or include both the Response Code = 0b10 (i.e., Reject) and/or REQ_duration in AAI_DSx-RSP message, as rejection of the AMS's request.

[Modify table 709 on page 150, line 47 as follows:]

O REQ_duration 8 Waiting value for the AAI_SLP-REQ message retransmission , which is the Least Significant 8 bits of Frame Number. <u>If REQ_duration is</u> <u>missing when Response_Code == 0b10,</u> <u>it shall be regared as REQ_duration = 0</u> 0~255

<u>REQ_duration may be included only</u> <u>w</u>When (Response_Code == 0b10)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep Mode

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Yeongmoo	n Son			Membership Statu	<u>s:</u> Member		<u>[</u>	Date: 2010-08-10
<u>Comment #</u>	A10121			Document unde	er Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID:	sb_16m	า
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Techi	nical <u>Pa</u>	rt of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 397	<u>Line</u> 50	Fig/Table#	Subo	<u>clause</u>	16.2.17.2.4
The contribution(10/0953) proposes to clean up Sleep Cycle Setting in DSx-REQ/RSP message which has TLV format. It should be transformed into the same format as other tables to support ASN.1 PER.										
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> [Adopt the proposed text in contribution C80216m-10/0953 or its later version.]										

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There is an unnecessary condition included in the table.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep Mode

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Yeongmoon Son		<u> </u>	Membership Status:	Member		Date: 2010-08-10
<u>Comment #</u>	A10122		Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Generation	al <u>Part of Dis</u>	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 398	Line 60 Fig	g/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.17.2.5
Editorial com	ment. CQICH	should be replace	with FFBCH.					

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the section title on page 398, line 60, for consistency, as follows]

16.2.17.2.5 CQI<ins>FFBCH</ins> operation during Sleep Mode

[Modify the parameter on page 905, line 50, for consistency, as follows]

--CQI<ins>FFBCH</ins>_operation indication

cqi<ins>ffbch</ins>Operation

INTEGER (0..2) OPTIONAL,

- -- 0: the CQICH<ins>FFBCH</ins> assigned
- -- to the AMS is kept.
- -- 1: the CQICH<ins>FFBCH</ins> is deallocated
- at the frame specified by
- -- startFrameNumber.
- -- 2: the CQICH<ins>FFBCH</ins> is automatically
- -- deallocated at the
- -- beginning of the sleep
- -- window whenever the CQICH
- -- is newly assigned to the
- -- AMS during the Listening

-- Window.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

[Modify the section title on page 398, line 60, for consistency, as follows] 16.2.17.2.5 CQI<ins>FFBCH</ins> operation during Sleep Mode

[Modify the parameter on page 905, line 50, for consistency, as follows]

CQI <ins>FFBCH</ins> _operation indication	-	
cqi <ins>ffbch</ins> Operation		IN

INTEGER (0..2) OPTIONAL,

- -- 0: the CQICH<ins>FFBCH</ins> assigned
 - to the AMS is kept.
- -- 1: the CQICH<ins>FFBCH</ins> is deallocated
- -- at the frame specified by

startFrameNumber. 2: the CQICH <ins>FFBCH</ins> is automatically deallocated at the beginning of the sleep window whenever the CQICH is newly assigned to the AMS during the Listening									
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolut	<u>tion</u>								
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep I	Vode								
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions	a) done							
2010/10/25						IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3			
Comment by:	Jaesun Cha			Membership Status	: Member	Date: ?			
Comment # A10123		Document und	der Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 399	<u>Line</u> 34	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.17.2.6.1			

For clarification

Suggested Remedy

• the ABS has indicated a return to normal Sleep Cycle operation by sending <u>SCHsleep control information</u> with Resume Sleep Cycle Indication set to the AMS.

Grou	pReso	ution

Decision of Group: Agree

• the ABS has indicated a return to normal Sleep Cycle operation by sending <u>SCH</u>sleep control information with Resume Sleep Cycle Indication set to the AMS.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep Mode

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: Jaesun Cha Membership Status: Member Date: ? <u>Comment # A10124</u> Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb 16m Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 399 Line 42 Subclause 16.2.17.2.6.1 Fig/Table# Comment

The ABS can not cancel the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption because the AMS is in Sleep Window right after receiving SCH with Scheduled Sleep Cycle Indication. Moreover, how to cancel the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption is not defined in the current draft.

Suggested Remedy

If normal Sleep Cycle operation is resumed via the ABS sending Resume Sleep Cycle Indication to the AMS, the ABS may send the expected control signaling response in a Listening Window of a normal Sleep Cycle or in a specific scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption. When a scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption is used, the ABS may specify the starting time of the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption relative to SCH along with Resume Sleep Cycle Indication. If the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption has not been cancelled, tThe AMS shall be in a listening mode regardless of its current Sleep Cycle state from the specified start time of the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption until either the AMS receives the expected ABS response or times out waiting for the response. At the end of the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption, normal Sleep Cycle operation shall resume after accounting for the time elapsed during the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption. The occurrence of a scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption does not impact the length and frame location of the Sleep Cycle(s) to which it coincides.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

If normal Sleep Cycle operation is resumed via the ABS sending Resume Sleep Cycle Indication to the AMS, the ABS may send the expected control signaling response in a Listening Window of a normal Sleep Cycle or in a specific scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption. When a scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption is used, the ABS may specify the starting time of the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption relative to SCH along with Resume Sleep Cycle Indication. If the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption has not been cancelled, tThe AMS shall be in a listening mode regardless of its current Sleep Cycle state from the specified start time of the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption until either the AMS receives the expected ABS response or times out waiting for the response. At the end of the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption, normal Sleep Cycle operation shall resume after accounting for the time elapsed during the scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption. The occurrence of a scheduled Sleep Cycle interruption does not impact the length and frame location of the Sleep Cycle(s) to which it coincides.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.17, MAC: Sleep Mode

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Yuqin	Chen		Membership Status	: Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10125		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 408	Line 55	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.18.2.3

In order to support the legacy ASN, the ABS/AMS has to bridge the gap between the legacy ASN-GW and the 16m AMS/ABS. For example, the paging listening interval relevant parameters, such as paging cycle and paging offset are quite different between 16e and 16m. The mapping of paging cycle and paging offset has to be done by the AMS and ABS.

Suggested Remedy

If the ABS is attached to the legacy network, the ABS should map the paging cycle and paging offset in terms of frame to super-frame. The paging cycle and paging offset in terms of super-frame shall be sent to the AMS for paging.

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Disagree

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution
 No specific remedy provided.

 Group's Notes
 Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.18, MAC: Idle Mode

Editor's NotesEditor's Actionsb) none needed

2010/10/25	5						IEEE 8	02.16-10/0045	r3
<u>Comment</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Jin Lee		1	Membership Status	s: Member		Date: ?	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10126		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 415	<u>Line</u> 30	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.20	
From texts ir	n line 25, the ABS	and AMS shal	l maintain the	e original tim	ning relationsh	ip even afte	er collision with	CLC active inte	rval
in case of sy	nchronous HARQ	. If this is the	case, the fig	jure 455 is r	ot correct. Th	e allocation	scheduled for	the 2nd	
	and the second sec	and the second second							

retransmission shall be in the first UL subframe instead of 2nd subframe and the first UL subframe shall be used for the 1st retransmission.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the figure 455: Make the 1st UL subframes in 3rd, 4th frame as the use of HARQ retransmission (instead of 2nd UL subframes)

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Modify the figure 455:

Move the location of the retransmissions to the first UL subframe in each frame. Change 1st re-transmission to 2nd re-transmission and 2nd re-transmission to 3rd re-transmission.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.20, PHY: Co-located coexistence

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	Jin Lee			<u>Membership Status</u>	: Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10127		Document und	er Review: P8)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 415	Line 56	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.20
The serving	ABS shall accent th	a request from	AMS if the re	auested CL (Class mosts th	na CLC limit	e or it shall reject the request

The serving ABS shall accept the request from AMS if the requested CLC class meets the CLC limits or it shall reject the request.

Suggested Remedy

Modify texts from page 415 line 56 as following :

Otherwise, if the requested CLC class does not meet the CLC limits, the serving ABS may reject or accept the request,

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

original sentence is correct operation of the protocol.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.22, MAC: MAC Control Reliability

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Jin Lee		Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?
Comment #	A10128	Document une	der Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 415	<u>Line</u> 60 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.20
The process	of determining whe	ther a CLC class meets the	CI C limits is	s not specified in	section 16	2.12 Correct the section number

The process of determining whether a CLC class meets the CLC limits is not specified in section 16.2.12. Correct the section number.

Suggested Remedy

Modify texts as following :

The process of determining whether a CLC class meets the CLC limits for Type I, II, and III classes is specified in 16.2.12 <ins> 16.2.20.1, 16.2.20.2 and 16.2.20.3 respectively </ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Modify texts as following :

The process of determining whether a CLC class meets the CLC limits for Type I, II, and III classes is specified in 16.2.12 <ins> 16.2.20.1, 16.2.20.2 and 16.2.20.3 respectively </ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.2.22, MAC: MAC Control Reliability

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jin Lee		Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?			
<u>Comment #</u>	A10129	Dc	ocument under Review: P8	802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m				
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	sfied Page 416	Line 34 E	ig/Table# 456	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.20			
'CLC Request : accept' (line 34) shall be changed with 'CLC Response : accept'									

Suggested Remedy

'CLC Request : accept' (line 34) shall be changed with 'CLC Response : accept'

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

'CLC Request : accept' (line 34) shall be changed with 'CLC Response : accept'

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.22, MAC: MAC Control Reliability

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jin L	_ee		Membership Statu	s: Member		Date: ?	
Comment #	A10130		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of	Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 416	<u>Line</u> 51	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.20	
Activated CLC classes can be deactivated by the confirmation from ABS no matter whether the AMS is in active mode, sleep mode, or scanning mode.									

Suggested Remedy

Modify texts from page 416 line 51 as following : An active CLC class shall remain active until it has been deactivated by the AMS <ins>ABS </ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

the ABS has no control to deactivate a CLC class as long the CLC meets the CLC limits

vote: 9 for, 9 against, 0 abstain

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.22, MAC: MAC Control Reliability

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u> b	<u>by:</u>	Jinsoo	Choi			Membership Status	<u>B:</u> Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	10131			Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
comment	Type Technical	Part o	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 430	<u>Line</u> 27	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.24

In description (a) to (c), 'between the last received S-SFH counts and the corresponding stored values' is a little confusing to express the current updating procedure. It is recommended to use the description in C802.16m-10/0824r1 which was harmonized among companies and accepted in the previous #68 session.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the current description in page 430 as following

The AMS shall compare the value of S-SFH change count in the current P-SFH IE with that stored last, whenever the validity check of the last stored S-SFH SP IEs is required.

a) If there is no difference between the last received S-SFH counts and the corresponding stored values <ins>of two S-SFH change counts</ins>, the AMS may not decode S-SFH IE during a certain period where S-SFH change count remains unchanged.

b) Else if the difference between the last received S-SFH counts and the corresponding stored values <ins>of two S-SFH change counts</ins> is one, the AMS shall update the S-SFH SP IE(s) whose bit in the S-SFH SP change bitmap is set to 1.

c) Else if the difference between the last received S-SFH counts and the corresponding stored values <ins>of two S-SFH change counts</ins> is greater than one, the AMS shall update all S-SFH SP IEs.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #225.

Resolution:

Adopt contribution C802.16m-10/0973r3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions b) none r	needed			
2010/10/25				IEEE 80	02.16-10/0045r3
Comment by:	Jinsoo Choi		Membership Status: Men	nber	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment # A10132	Docu	ment under Review: P8	802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Techn In the previous #68 sessio section. However, the figur correct that.	n, the proposed text in C8	02.16m-10/0824r1		cation of the update o	f S-SFH IEs
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Adopt the proposed text in	C802.16m-10/0995 or its	latest revision.			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group	: Principle			
Resolved by comment #22	25.				
Resolution:					
Adopt contribution C802.1	6m-10/0973r3				
Reason for Group's Decision/Res	solution				
Group's Notes Clause 16.2.24, MAC: Upo	late of S-SFH les				
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions b) none r	needed			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>	Jaesun Cha	<u>Membershi</u>	<u>p Status:</u> Member	Date: ?
Comment # A10133	Docu	ent under Review: P802.16m/D)7 <u>B</u> ä	<u>allot ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic	cal Part of Dis Satisfie	Page 433 Line 27	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.26.1

According to the coverage loss detection procedure, ABS shall grant UL burst to the AMS if active_ABS_timer is expired and AMS shall transmit a MAC PDU with data or padding bytes on the UL grant. However, a padding PDU is not defined in 16m draft.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt texts in C802.16m-10/1024

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This issue can be resolved by the implementation.

Vote: In favor: 18 Opposed: 14 Abstain: 0

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

Editor's Notes b) none needed

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: Yeongmoon Son Membership Status: Member Date: 2010-08-10 Ballot ID: sb_16m Comment # A10134 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 433 Line 32 Subclause 16.2.26.1 Fig/Table# Comment

The sentence in page 433, line 32 seems to be redundant. The intension of Coverage Loss detection procedure is to check whether the AMS is still alive in the ABS. If the ABS receives something from the AMS on the UL burst, reseting active_ABS_timer is enough. ABS doesn't have to send AAI_RNG-ACK message with status "success" to the AMS. It should be optional (i.e. shall --> may). Moreover, periodic ranging operation between AMS and ABS is definitely indepent of coverage loss procedure. Even though we remove the sentence about AAI_RNG-ACK in coverage loss section, it can be covered by the bullet 'd)' in page 391, line 60 which describes optional transmission fo AAI_RNG-ACK.

Suggested Remedy

[Remove the sentence on page 433, line 32, as follows]

16.2.26.1 Coverage loss detection at ABS and ABS's behavior

.....

Upon each expiration of the active_ABS_timer, to check whether an AMS is still alive in active mode, the ABS shall grant UL burst to the AMS and the AMS shall transmit a MAC PDU with data or if no data pending to be transmitted, then just with padding bytes on the UL grant. If the ABS successfully receives an UL data burst from the AMS in the UL allocation granted to it, the ABS shall reset the active_ABS_timer for the AMS. The ABS shall send a unicast AAI_RNG-ACK message with status "success" to the AMS with or without adjustment parameters based on the measurement on the received UL burst from the AMS.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Accept the resolutions in contribution c80216m-10/1088.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by:	Inuk Jung			Membership Status	: Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment # A10135			Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 434	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.26.1

Re-initiation of Coverage loss detection after HO would be when ABS receives a HO cancel (i.e. AAI_HO-IND) indication from an AMS, instead of a random MAC PDU. This is because the role of AAI_HO-IND cancel message is a solid message that actually implies that the AMS is coming back to the serving ABS, which should be the time when coverage loss should be re-initated.

Suggested Remedy

Modify text as follows:

□ Once the ABS receives a <insl><u>AAI_HO-IND message with HO Event Code 0b100 (i.e. HO cancel)</ins> MAC PDU (i.e. bandwidth request)</u> from the AMS that is assumed to handover to a neighbor ABS (i.e. T-ABS), the ABS shall initiate the coverage loss detection procedure (i.e. described in 16.2.26.2) for the AMS

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Accept the resolutions in contribution c80216m-10/1088.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

2010/10/2	5						IEEE 80	02.16-10/0045r3
Comme	<u>nt by:</u> Ye	eongmoon Son			<u>Membership St</u>	tatus: Member		Date: 2010-08-10
<u>Comment #</u>	A10136		Document und	der Review: P8	302.16m/D7	B	allot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	al <u>Part of Dis</u>	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 434	<u>Line</u> 18	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.26.2
the netwo - The A - But, th static o - the AM What will entity(e.g The AMS netork en	or re-entry with Cl MS detects the I and ABS does not context of the AM AS performs the happen? It will re anchor authenti already knows th	RID. But, there link loss with the detect a link los AS. network re-entr esult in more ne icator). he value of serv e, if the HO reso	is hole in this p e serving ABS ss with that AMS y with CRID etwork overhead ving ABS's HO r purce retain time	rocedure: 5 yet. It implie I and latency resource reta e does not ex	es the ABS s due to retrie in Time throu pire, it is bett	3S, the AMS sha till keeps the dyn val of context fro ugh AAI_REG-RS er for AMS to pel	amic and m network SP during	
2. We need	to clariry 'otherw	ise' in page 434	4, line 37					

Suggested Remedy

[Modify section 16.2.26.2 on page 434, line 18, as follows] 16.2.26.2 Coverage loss detection at AMS and AMS's behavior

The AMS can detect a coverage loss when it loses PHY synchronization or DL synchronization or UL synchronization, i.e., if the AMS cannot decode a predetermined number of contiguous SFHs, called number of lost SFHs denoted as NLost-SFH, the AMS shall regard it as Link Loss from the ABS <ins>and shall start Resource_Retain_Time (see Table 681)</ins>.

....

[Modify section 16.2.26.3 on page 434, line 31, as follows] 16.2.26.3 Coverage loss recovery procedure

Upon detection of a coverage loss, the AMS scans for a new channel. After achieving PHY synchronization and DL synchronization with the discovered ABS, which could be its previous serving ABS before the coverage loss, <ins>if the Resource_retain_time(see Table 681) does not expire, the AMS shall perform network reentry with Serving BSID and STID (see Table 680).</ins>the AMS shall perform network reentry<ins> Else </ins>if the AMS has been getting the information about previous Serving ABS (e.g., serving ABSID)<ins>, the AMS shall perform network reentry</ins> as indicated below. Otherwise, <ins> Else if the AMS has no information about previous Serving ABS, which it has registered, due to some error (e.g. battery run-out) </ins> the AMS shall perform initial network entry.

.....

GroupResolution

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Since ABS and AMS have their own coverage detetection algorithm (i.e., N_{LOST-SFH} and active_ABS_timer), resource retain timer maintained at ABS and AMS may not be synchronized and the maintanece of resource retain timer at AMS may be meaningless. Therefore, AMS shall always include CRID, Serving BSID and STID regardless of resource retain timer and ABS has to determine the network entry type and optimization level.

vote: 5 for, 7 against, 0 abstain

Group's Notes

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2010/10/25

Comment by: Jin Lee Membership Status: Member Date: ? Comment # A10137 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Comment Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 434 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 16.2.26.3

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

It is not the matter if the discovered ABS is the previous serving ABS before coverage loss or not when to try re-entry procedure.

Suggested Remedy

Modify texts in page 434 line 36 as following :

, which could be its previous serving ABS before the coverage loss, the AMS shall persorm network reentry if the AMS has been getting information about previous Serving ABS (e.g., serving ABS) as indicated below. Otherwise, the AMS shall perform initial network entry .

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Accept the resolutions in contribution c80216m-10/1088.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.2.26, MAC: Coverage Detection and Recovery

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by: Eun	kyung Kim		<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10138	Document une	der Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	Type Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 442	Line 55 Fig	g/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.3.1

E-MBS burst may not be allocated per AAI subframe.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the line 51-58, pp 442, P802.16m/D7 as follws.]

ABS shall not allocate more than 1 broadcast data burst with time domain repetition per frame and 1 broadcast data burst without time domain repetition per AAI subframe (using Broadcast Assignment A-MAP IE with the field "Transmission Format" indicating with or without time domain repetition) and 1 E-MBS burst (using E-MBS A-MAP IE) per AAI subframe. Here, a long TTI burst shall be counted as one burst for each and every AAI subframe that the long TTI burst spans.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

[Modify the line 51-58, pp 442, P802.16m/D7 as follows.]

ABS shall not allocate more than 1 <ins>one</ins> broadcast data burst with time domain repetition per frame and 1 <ins>one</ins> broadcast data burst without time domain repetition per AAI subframe (using Broadcast Assignment A-MAP IE with the field "Transmission Format" indicating with or without time domain repetition) and 1 E-MBS burst (using E-MBS A-MAP IE) per AAI subframe. Here, a long TTI burst shall be counted as one burst for each and every AAI subframe that the long TTI burst spans.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.3, PHY: Frame structure

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Yuqin Chen Membership Status: Member Comment by: Date: 2010-08-13 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 <u>Comment # A10139</u> Ballot ID: sb_16m Subclause 16.3.3.5 Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 455 Line 38 Fig/Table# Comment

To support legacy system, 16e and 16m could be supported by one single carrier or different carriers. For operators who own multiple carriers, one new carrier could be assigned to support 16m system.

However, in current 16m draft, only one single carrier deployment for coexistence of 16e and 16m is mentioned, which brings confusion to people. Therefore, it is suggested to make the text clearer.

Suggested Remedy

Suggest to discuss and adopt the text proposal in C80216m-10_1075 or its latest version.

GroupResolution	Decision of Group:	Disagree				
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolutio	_					
The proposed remedy is not de	ai.					
<u>Group's Notes</u>						
Clause 16.3.3, PHY: Frame structure						
Editor's Notes Editor's Notes	litor's Actions b) none ne	eeded				

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Lei Huang	<u>Membership Stat</u>	us: Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10140	Document (under Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>		Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 502 Line 20	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.3.4.4.3

In July meeting, the comment #10213 was agreed. However, the comment #10213 was not correctly implemented in D7.

Suggested Remedy

Add the following new paragraph on line 21, page 502

"<ins> Inside an open-loop region of type 1 or type 2, the MaxMt pilots shall always be transmitted across all CLRUs in that open-loop region. Outside an open-loop region, the pilots shall not be transmitted on CLRUs where no data is sent.</ins>"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by the resolution to Comment #228:

Insert the following paragraph at line 21 of p. 502:

<ins>Inside an open-loop region of type 1 or type 2, the MaxMt pilots shall always be transmitted across all CLRUs in that open-loop region. Outside an open-loop region, the pilots shall not be transmitted on CLRUs where no data is sent.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.4, PHY: Downlink physical structure

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by:	oungbo Cho			Membership Status:	Member	Date:	2010-08-13
Comment #	A10141		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 509	Line 53 F	ig/Table#	Subclause 16.3.	6.1
These is used	econintion of A D	المحمط حاطمت معت	the second s	محمد مرجا بسرح مراكل				

There is no description of A-Preamble boosting levels for multi-carrier mode.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/1009 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The 16m preamble boosting in legacy support mode results in performance degradation.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Kaushik Josiam			Membership Status:	Member	Date: 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10142		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 541	Line 1 Fi	g/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.2.3
Somo olorifio	ation in the C MD	SMAD in require	ad A taxt ala	on un io proi	acced in contribu	tion 10E1	

Some clarification in the E-MBS MAP is required. A text clean up is proposed in contribution 1051

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the suggested changes in the latest revision of contribution C802.16m-10/1051

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Principle
Adopt the suggested changes in cor	ntribution C802.16	m-10/1051r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Hyunkyu Yu	Yu		Membership Status: Member		Date: 2010-08-13	
Comment # A10143			Document und	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	ype Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 545	<u>Line</u> 45	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.3.2.2	

When AMS calculates HF-A-MAP resource index, AMS needs to obtain the HF-A-MAP Index Parameter. But it is not clear where AMS should obtain this HF-A-MAP Index Parameter: when assignment A-MAP IE is transmitted? or when HF-A-MAP is transmitted? Because HF-A-MAP resource index should be scheduled/optimized using both 3-bit HFA value in assignment A-MAP IE and HF-A-MAP Index Parameter in NUS A-MAP IE, we suggest to use HF-A-MAP Index Parameter which is transmitted in the subframe where *n* is signaled.

We also suggest the same remedy for HARQ feedback channel in UL.

Suggested Remedy

[Remedy-1: Add the following text in page 545, line 45, subclause 16.3.5.3.2.2]

..., where $\langle del \rangle j$ is HF-A-MAP Index Parameter in the Non-user specific A-MAP IE, $\langle del \rangle n$ is a 3 bit HFA value in each assignment A-MAP IE, $\langle ins \rangle j$ is HF-A-MAP Index Parameter in the Non-user specific A-MAP IE which is transmitted in the subframe where *n* is signaled, $\langle ins \rangle$...

[Remedy-2: Add the following text in page 695, line 37, subclause 16.3.7.3.3.2]

..., where $\langle del \rangle j$ is HFBCH Index Parameter in the Non-user Specific A-MAP IE, $\langle del \rangle n$ is a 3 bit HFA value signaled in each Assignment A-MAP IEs, $\langle ins \rangle j$ is HFBCH Index Parameter in the Non-user specific A-MAP IE which is transmitted in the subframe where *n* is signaled, $\langle ins \rangle$

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #257.

Resolution: Adopt the text proposed in C802.16m-10/1062r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25 IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3 Comment by: Ying Li Membership Status: Member Date: 2010-08-12 Comment # A10144 Document under Review: P802.16m/D7 Ballot ID: sb_16m Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 553 Line 47 Fig/Table# 837 Subclause 16.3.5.5.1.2 Comment In the current draft D7, it uses a bit 'femto indicator' in S-SFH SP1 IE, for the purpose of indicating different ranging configuration of femto and other types of the cells. We think the key reason to use different ranging configuration is because of the different size or Tx power of the cell. Not only femtocells, but also other small-sized cells can use the same ranging configuration as femto, different from macrocell. Hence we want to change the femto indicator to the indication of ranging configuration. Make the ranging configuration more flexibly related to the cell size, not just the type of the cell. Suggested Remedy Please adopt the text in contribution C80216m-10 0970 or its latest version. **GroupResolution** Decision of Group: Disagree Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution The synchronized ranging channel for initial entry can only be used for the Femto cell. Vote: In favor: 8 **Opposed: 5** Abstain: Group's Notes Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25							IEEE 80	02.16-10/0045r	3
Comment I	by: Tae	young Kim			<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u> Member		Date: 2010-08-13	
Comment #	10145		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16	m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 556	Line 22	Fig/Table# 838	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.5.5.1.2	
The name of O	Region in Table	838 causes th	ne misunderst	anding heca	use it's associa	ated with the use	of common	SEED in	

CRU/DRU allocation in only downlink. So, I'd like to change the name from OLRegion to CommonSEED. And the description of this field is not enough to understand, so I propose the detail description for this CommonSEED field in Table 838.

Suggested Remedy

[Remedy-1: Modify the text in line 22, page 556, in Table 838]

OLRegion <ins>CommonSEED</ins>	1 	 Provides indication about the structure of the MIMO OL Region. Further details in Section 16.3.4.3.1. <ins> Indicates whether common SEED is used in CRU/DRU allocation</ins> (16.3.4.3.1) or not. If CommonSEED==0b0, cell specific SEED is used If CommonSEED==0b1, common SEED is used for OL region type0 and OL region type 1 with NLRU
--	----------------------	--

[Remedy-2: Modify the text in line 10, page 483 as below]

If the OLRegion <ins>CommonSEED</ins> parameter in the S-SFH SP2 is set to 0b1, then the SEED and DL_PermBase in FP0 shall both be set to 0 <ins>zero</ins> in Equation (198).

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Suggested remedy is more confusing than the original text.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		William Hillery	Membership Status: Member				Date: ?	
Comment # A10146		Document under Review: P802.16m/D7			Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 563	<u>Line</u> 42	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.5.2.1	
Fix typograph	nical errors in line	e 42 of p. 563.						

Suggested Remedy

Modify line 42 of p. 563 (in D7) as follows:

in the reuse-1 parititon<ins>partition</ins>, and Group 3 using QPSK 1/2 or<ins>for</ins> assignment A-MAP in the power-boosted reuse-3

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Modify line 42 of p. 563 (in D7) as follows:

in the reuse-1 parititon<ins>partition</ins>, and Group 3 using QPSK 1/2 or<ins>for</ins> assignment A-MAP in the power-boosted reuse-3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	t by: Tae	eyoung Kim			<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	Date: 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10147		Document und	der Review: P8)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 570	Line 59 Fig	<u>/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.5.5.2.4.1
This is the wr	ong implementation	on when editing	g was perform	ed. (10/0328)			

Suggested Remedy

Modify the text as below

The contiguous LRUs <ins>shall</ins> can be constructed from the same LRU type i.e., DLRU, NLRU or SLRU

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Modify the text as below

The contiguous LRUs <ins>shall</ins> can be constructed from the same LRU type i.e., DLRU, NLRU or SLRU

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	t by:	Soojung Jung			Membership Statu	s: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10148		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ē	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 596	<u>Line</u> 17	Fig/Table# 855	Subclause 16.3.5.5.2.4.7
typo							

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the text as follows]

0b1: Bandwidth allocation <ins> i</ins>n response to a received contention-based ranging request

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

[Modify the text as follows]

0b1: Bandwidth allocation <ins> i</ins>n response to a received contention-based ranging request

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

Editor's Notes Editor's A

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	by:	Hyunkyu Yu			<u>Membership Sta</u>	itus: Member		Date: 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10149		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 598	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.5.5.2.4.7
The MIMO scheme for the UL HARQ burst signaled through CDMA allocation A-MAP IE is not clear. We recommend to change								
"vertical enco	ding" to "MIMO I	mode 1".						

Suggested Remedy

[Change the text in page 598, line 1, subclause 16.3.5.5.2.4.7, as]

The UL HARQ burst signaled by <ins>via</ins> the CDMA Allocation A-MAP IE is always transmitted using vertical encoding <ins>MIMO mode 1</ins> with M_t =1 as the MIMO encoder format and QPSK as the modulation scheme.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

[Change the text in page 598, line 1, subclause 16.3.5.5.2.4.7, as]

The UL HARQ burst signaled by <ins>via</ins> the CDMA Allocation A-MAP IE is always transmitted using vertical encoding <ins>MIMO mode 1</ins> with M_t =1 as the MIMO encoder format and QPSK as the modulation scheme.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Hyunkyu Yu		<u>I</u>	Membership Status:	Member	Date:	2010-08-13
Comment #	A10150		Document under	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 603	Line 51 <u>F</u>	ig/Table# 858	Subclause ?	

Remedy-3 was not implemented in comment #176 (C802.16m_0831r2) which was agreed in Session #68.

Suggested Remedy

Change the text in page 603, line 51, table 858, subclause 16.3.5.5.2.4.10, as

1. Size (bit) field: 1 <ins>2</ins>

2. Description/Notes field: 0b0: Allocation in the first UL subframe relevant to an A-MAP region 0b1: Allocation in the second UL subframe relevant to an A-MAP region <ins> Indicates the location of UL subframe relevant to this A-MAP. 0b00: the first UL subframe relevant to this A-MAP 0b01: the second UL subframe relevant to this A-MAP 0b10: third UL subframe relevant to this A-MAP 0b10: third UL subframe relevant to this A-MAP

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Change the text in page 603, line 51, table 858, subclause 16.3.5.5.2.4.10, as

1. Size (bit) field: 1 <ins>2</ins>

2. Description/Notes field:
 0b0: Allocation in the first UL subframe relevant to an A-MAP region
0b1: Allocation in the second UL subframe relevant to an A-MAP region
<ins> Indicates the location of UL subframe relevant to this A-MAP.
0b00: the first UL subframe relevant to this A-MAP
0b01: the second UL subframe relevant to this A-MAP
0b10: third UL subframe relevant to this A-MAP
0b10: third UL subframe relevant to this A-MAP
0b11: N.A </ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Editor's Notes		Editor's Action	<u>s</u> a) done					
2010/10/25							IEEE 80	2.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by: S	angheon Kim			Membership Status	: Member		<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10151		Document un	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>ot ID:</u> sb_16i	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 606	Line 1 I	Fig/Table# 859	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.5.5.2.4.
			edback by polling only correlation r					users are be able to control

supported, the feedback overhead due to only correlation matrix is higher than 5% of total UL resource. ABS should be able to control the feedback overhead by setting the longer period. Therefore, the modification to the period field is proposed to support the longer period.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in C80216m-10/1077 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed text in C80216m-10/1077r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jaehyuk Jang			Membership Sta	itus: Member		Date: 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10152		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 615	<u>Line</u> 51	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3552412
	S's decoding com signment A-MAP		ximum number	of BR ACK	A-MAP IE in s	s subframe sh	nall be 2 which is	same number as
Suggested Reme [Insert the foll	edy owing sentence i	n pp. 615, line	51:]					

BR opportunities are encoded in ascending order based on the number of the uplink subframe in which they are contained in a single or multiple BR-ACK A-MAP IEs.<ins>The maximum number of BR ACK A-MAP IE in s subframe is 2.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

[Insert the following sentence in pp. 615, line 51:]

BR opportunities are encoded in ascending order based on the number of the uplink subframe in which they are contained in a single or multiple BR-ACK A-MAP IEs.<ins>mathtman.com The maximum number of BR ACK A-MAP IEs in a subframe is 2.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Minor editorial fix done to original proposed remedy

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Hyunkyu Yu			Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10153		Document und	er Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 619	Line 1 Fig	g/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3552413

Time domain repetition cannot be used for all broadcast messages. Some broadcast messages should be transmitted in the predetermined subframe/frame. I suggest to describe clearly which broadcast MAC control message cannot be repeated in time domain.

Suggested Remedy

[Add the following text in page 619, line 1, subclause 16.3.5.5.2.4.13, as]

<ins> AAI_RNG-ACK, AAI_TRF-IND, AAI_PAG-ADV, and PGID_Info messages shall not be transmitted with the time domain repetition. For other broadcast MAC control messages, the time domain repetition may be used. </ins> The periodicity of the time domain repetition ...

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

[Add the following text in page 619, line 1, subclause 16.3.5.5.2.4.13, as]

<ins> AAI_TRF-IND, AAI_PAG-ADV, and PGID_Info messages shall not be transmitted with the time domain repetition. For other broadcast MAC control messages, the time domain repetition may be used. </ins> The periodicity of the time domain repetition ...

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.5, PHY: Downlink control structure

2010/10/20							JZ. 10-10/00451
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Tsai Chia-Lung		<u>Membership Statı</u>	<u>IS:</u>		Date: 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10154	Docume	ent under Review:	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 661	<u>Line</u> 54	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.6.2.5.5.2
The <i>i</i> th codew	vords D _i shall be ita	lic.					
Suggested Reme	edy_						
Change the <i>i</i> t	h codewords D _i to b	pe italic					
GroupResolution	1	Decision of Group:	Agree				
Change the <i>i</i> t	h codewords D _i to I	pe italic					
Reason for Grou	<u>p's Decision/Resolution</u>	L					
Group's Notes							
Clause 16.3.6	6, PHY: Downlink M	IIMO					
Editor's Notes	<u>Edi</u>	tor's Actions a) done					

Comment by:	Chia-Lung Tsai	<u>Membership Sta</u>	tus:	Date: 2010-08-11
Comment # A10155	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 661 <u>Line</u> 54	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.6.2.5.5.2
The ith codewords D _i shall b	e italic.			
Suggested Remedy				
Change the ith codewords D	_i to be italic			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree			
Change the ith codewords D	_i to be italic			
Reason for Group's Decision/Reso	lution			
Group's Notes				
Clause 16.3.6, PHY: Downli	nk MIMO			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions b) none needed			
Same as A10154				

2010/10/25					UZ.16-10/0045f		
Comment by:	Tsai Chia-Lung		Membership Status:		<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13		
Comment # A10	0156 <u>Docum</u>	nent under Review: P	302.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16	Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u> <u>Ty</u>	pe Editorial Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 662	Line 41 Fig	/Table# Subclause	16.3.6.2.5.5.2		
The rotation matr	ix Q_{D} shall be italic.						
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Change the rotat	ion matrix Q_{D} to be italic						
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Agree					
Change the rotat	ion matrix Q_D to be italic						
Reason for Group's D	Decision/Resolution						
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.6, P	HY: Downlink MIMO						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done						

Comment by:	Chia-Lung Tsai	Membersh	nip Status:	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-11
Comment # A10157	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/	D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 662 Line 41	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.6.2.5.5.2
The rotation matrix Q_D shall be	be italic.			
Suggested Remedy				
Change the rotation matrix Q	\mathfrak{l}_{D} to be italic			
One of the state o				
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree			
Change the rotation matrix Q	\mathfrak{l}_{D} to be italic			
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution	<u>ution</u>			
Group's Notes				
Clause 16.3.6, PHY: Downlin	ık MIMO			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions b) none needed			
Same as A10156				

Comment by:	Tsai Chia-Lung	Membership State	<u>us:</u>	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13			
Comment # A10158	Document unde	er Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial The full stop following NGPRU s	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 706 Line 3	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.7.5			
$\frac{\text{Suggested Remedy}}{\text{Delete the full stop following N}_{\text{G}}}$							
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree						
Delete the full stop following $N_{\rm G}$	PRU						
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolutio	Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution						
Group's Notes Clause 16.3.7, PHY: Uplink phy	vsical structure						
Editor's Notes Ec	ditor's Actions a) done						

<u>Comment by:</u>	Chia-Lung Tsai	<u>Membership Stat</u>	<u>us:</u>	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-11
Comment # A10159	Document und	er Review: P802.16m/D7	<u>Ballot l</u>	<u>D:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editor The full stop following N _{GPF}		Page 706 Line 3	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.7.5
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Delete the full stop followir	ng N _{GPRU}			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree			
Delete the full stop followir	ng N _{GPRU}			
Reason for Group's Decision/Res	solution			
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.7, PHY: Uplin	k physical structure			
Editor's Notes Same as A10158	Editor's Actions b) none needed			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by:	Chiwoo Lim	<u>Membership Stat</u>	us: Nonmember	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10160	Document u	nder Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID:	sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 722 <u>Line</u> 63	Fig/Table# S	<u>ubclause</u> 16.3.8.2.4

In D7, the subband index of RCH (I_{SB}) is calculated by the IDcell and the allocated number of subbands Y_{SB} according to the following equation.

 $I_{SB} = mod (IDcell, Y_{SB})$

Where $Y_{SB} = \sum L_{SB-CRU, FPi} / 4$, i=0,1,2,3. $L_{SB-CRU, FPi}$ is the number of allocated subband CRUs in each frequency partition *i*.

The problem is current I_{SB} can indicate the non-power boosted reuse 3 frequency partition when UL FFR is applied. We need to clarify this problem.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0975 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0975r3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u>

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Tsai	Chia-Lung		Membership State	us:	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10161		Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 723	<u>Line</u> 35	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.8.2.4.1

Grammatical error

Suggested Remedy

Change the text on line 35 as

"OSFth UL AAI subframe of the first frame in every 4^{-th} 4th superframe,"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change the text on line 35 as

"OSFth UL AAI subframe of the first frame in every 4th 4th superframe,"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

r3

2010/10/25				IEEE 80)2.16-10/0045r
Comment by: Ch	iia-Lung Tsai	<u>Membership</u>	<u>Status:</u>		Date: 2010-08-11
Comment # A10162	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D	7	Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial Grammatical error	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 723 <u>Line</u> 35	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.8.2.4.1
Suggested Remedy					
Change the text on line 35 as					
"OSFth UL AAI subframe of the	e first frame in every 4 ^{-th} 4 th s	uperframe,"			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree)			
Change the text on line 35 as					
"OSFth UL AAI subframe of the	e first frame in every <mark>4^{-th} 4th s</mark>	uperframe,"			
Reason for Group's Decision/Resoluti	ion_				
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink co	ntrol channel				
<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>E</u>	Editor's Actions a) done				

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Sangheon	Kim		Membership Status	: Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10163		Document under	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technic	al <u>Part o</u>	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 726	Line 44	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.3.8.2.4.2

When NS-RCH format 0 is used, we don't have to allocate the different frequency resource for S-RCH. Because S-RCH is assigned to the different resource, one more subband cannot be used for long TTI allocation.

Suggested Remedy

```
Modify the equation (286) on line 44 page726
<del> I_{SB,s} = mod(IDcell+1, Y<sub>SB</sub>) (286) </del>
```

```
<ins>
I<sub>SB,s</sub> = mod(IDcell, Y<sub>SB</sub>) for NS-RCH format 0
mod(IDcell+1, Y<sub>SB</sub>) for NS-RCH format 1 (286)
</ins>
```

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Principle
Resolved by comment #10160.		

Resolution:

Adopt the contribution C80216m-10/0975r3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>by:</u>	Jeongho Park			<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10164		Document unde	er Review: P8)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 732	Line 31 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	Subclause 16.3.8.2.5
Current desig	n of BW REQ ch	annel for power	level of MSG	and Preambl	e shows the unb	alanced lii	nk performance.

For better performance it would be better to make two link performance similar to each other.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed remedies of the contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1041 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposal offers no justification of gain.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	t by:	Sangheon Kim			<u>Membership Status</u>	: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10165		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 741	<u>Line</u> 13	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.8.3.1.2
There is no s	pecial reason to	map the feedba	ck contents from	m LSB, unlik	e other filed. It	should be c	consistent with other fields.

Suggested Remedy

delete "from LSB" in the sentence on line 13, page 741 as following.

The feedback contents in Table 929 are carried from LSB in order of Feedback Fields in Feedback formats.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

delete "from LSB" in the sentence on line 13, page 741 as following.

The feedback contents in Table 929 are carried from LSB in order of Feedback Fields in Feedback formats.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Ts		Tsai Chia-Lung	ai Chia-Lung Membership Status:			<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10166		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial		atisfied	<u>Page</u> 747	<u>Line</u> 25	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.8.3.1.5
The feedbac	k format 2 and 2 h	wa twaa in tha ta	bla 022				

The feedback format 2 and 3 have typo in the table 933.

Suggested Remedy

The feedback format 2 and 3 miss the right parentheses in the table 933. 2(M=min{5,YSB}) 3(M=min{10,YSB})

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

The feedback format 2 and 3 miss the right parentheses in the table 933. 2(M=min{5,YSB}) 3(M=min{10,YSB})

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Chia-Lung Ts	sai	Membership Status:			Date: 2010-08-11	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10167		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Editorial		Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 747	<u>Line</u> 25	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.8.3.1.5	

The feedback format 2 and 3 have typo in the table 933.

Suggested Remedy

The feedback format 2 and 3 miss the right parentheses in the table 933. $2(M=min\{5,Y_{SB}\})$ $3(M=min\{10,Y_{SB}\})$

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

The feedback format 2 and 3 miss the right parentheses in the table 933. $2(M=min\{5,Y_{SB}\})$ $3(M=min\{10,Y_{SB}\})$

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Tsai	Tsai Chia-Lung Membership Status:				Date: 2010-08-13	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10168		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part o	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 748	<u>Line</u> 23	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.8.3.1.5	
The feedback	format 2 and 2 be	we then	a in the table 021					

The feedback format 2 and 3 have typo in the table 934.

Suggested Remedy

The feedback format 2 and 3 miss the right parentheses in the table 934. 2(M=min{5,YSB}) 3(M=min{10,YSB})

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

The feedback format 2 and 3 miss the right parentheses in the table 934. 2(M=min{5,YSB}) 3(M=min{10,YSB})

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by: Chia-Lung Tsai		Chia-Lung Tsai		<u> </u>	Date: 2010-08-11		
<u>Comment #</u>	A10169		Document under	<u>Review:</u> P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Editorial	Part of Dis S	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 748	<u>Line</u> 23	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.8.3.1.5

The feedback format 2 and 3 have typo in the table 934.

Suggested Remedy

The feedback format 2 and 3 miss the right parentheses in the table 934. $2(M=min\{5,Y_{SB}\})$ $3(M=min\{10,Y_{SB}\})$

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

The feedback format 2 and 3 miss the right parentheses in the table 934. $2(M=min\{5,Y_{SB}\})$ $3(M=min\{10,Y_{SB}\})$

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u> J	leongho Park			Membership Statu	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13			
<u>Comment #</u>	A10170		Document unde	er Review: P8)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 756	<u>Line</u> 12	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.3.8.4.1			
AAI_UL_Pow	er_ADJ message	includes 'offse	tData' and 'offs	etControl' to	replace the cu	rrent values	of AMS. For the flexibility of BS			
scheduling, it	scheduling, it had better to have 'increment(delta)' on top of 'replacing'.									

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed remedies of the contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1039 or its latest version.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The increment (delta) of offsetControl has been already provided by PC-A-MAP IE, we don't need the redundant design.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>by:</u>	Jeo	ongho Park			Membership Stat	us: Member		Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A101	71		Document und	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 759	Line 23	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.8.4.4
	· ·							ABS. Samsung Ation A-MAP IE.	

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed remedies of the contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1040 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed remedies of the contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1040r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	t by: J	eongho Park			Membership Status	<u>.</u> Member		Date: 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10172		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 761	<u>Line</u> 55	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.8.4.7.2
One of condit	ione for unlink no	wor status rop	orting is event o	rivon which	is based on 'n	lact' and M('n loet)'	

One of conditions for uplink power status reporting is event driven which is based on 'n_last' and M(n_last)'. However D7 has no definition of initial value for them.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed remedies of the contribution IEEE C802.16m-10/1038 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #131.

Resolution: Adopt the text proposed in C802.16m-10/1038r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.8, PHY: Uplink control channel

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Chiwoo Lim			Membership Status:	Nonmember	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10173		Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7	<u>Ballot ID</u>	<u>sb_</u> 16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 781	Line 55 E	ig/Table#	Subclause 16.3.10.1.2
In D7, the I_{Size}	offset is not indicat	ed by broadcast	assignment A	-MAP IE and	d cannot be indic	ated by CDMA all	ocation A-MAP IE.

However, There is no description for these exceptional cases in channel coding section. It makes some confusions for implementation. So, we need to clarify this.

Suggested Remedy

<Insert the following text in page 781, line 55>

<Ins>In broadcast assignment A-MAP IE, the burst size index is directly signaled as 'Burst Size' instead of being indirectly derived using $I_{SizeOffset}$ and $I_{Minimalsize}$. When the uplink BW for BR header is granted through CDMA allocation A-MAP IE, neither $I_{SizeOffset}$ nor burst size index is signaled, but the value of burst size index is predetermined as 2 (i.e., 8bytes). In both cases, the burst size index and the modulation order are not dependent on the allocation size, where the modulation order N_{mod} is fixed to 2 (i.e., QPSK).

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

<Insert the following text in page 781, line 55>

<Ins>In broadcast assignment A-MAP IE, the burst size index is directly signaled as 'Burst Size' instead of being indirectly derived using $I_{SizeOffset}$ and $I_{Minimalsize}$. When the uplink BW for BR header is granted through CDMA allocation A-MAP IE, neither $I_{SizeOffset}$ nor burst size index is signaled, but the value of burst size index is predetermined as 2 (i.e., 8bytes). In both cases, the burst size index and the modulation order are not dependent on the allocation size, where the modulation order N_{mod} is fixed to 2 (i.e., QPSK).

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.10, PHY: Channel coding and HARQ

Editor's Notes a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

2010/10/20						JZ. 16-10/00451		
Comment by: Eunky	ung Kim		Membership Status	: Member		Date: 2010-08-13		
Comment # A10174	Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical <u>F</u>	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 792	<u>Line</u> 24	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.3.10.3.1		
"multicast STID" should be replace	d with "E-MBS ID"							
Suggested Remedy								
In the line 24, page 792 in P802.1 Replace "multicast STID" with "E-I	-							
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree							
In the line 24, page 792 in P802.1 Replace "multicast STID" with "E-								
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution								

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.10, PHY: Channel coding and HARQ

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by: Sar	igheon Kim		Membership State	us: Member	Date: 2010-08-13		
<u>Comment #</u>	<u>ent#</u> A10175		cument under Review	<u>v:</u> P802.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satis	fied Page	793 <u>Line</u> 29	Fig/Table# 961	<u>Subclause</u> 16.3.10.3.3		
The uplink pilot power for distributed LRU should be modified to improve the performance for the cell-edge users by enhancing channel estimation.								

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in C80216m-10/1078 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the proposed text in C80216m-10/1078

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.3.10, PHY: Channel coding and HARQ

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Anshuman	Nigam			Membership St	atus: Member	<u>1</u>	Date: ?
Comment # A10176			Document under Review:		der Review: P8	302.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Techn	ical <u>Part o</u>	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 809	<u>Line</u> 62	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.4.7.1
During Netwo	ork (Re) Entry	, the AMS i	needs to	prioritize the	base stations	that it has for	ound by scanni	ng, for attempting	cell (re)selection.

Standard can give some recommendations for this

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in the latest version of the contribution number C80216m-10_1012

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Modify the text in 16.4.7.1 on page 809 line 62 onwards as follow:

During network entry, the AMS shall acquire the DL PHY synchronization by A-Preamble. The AMS iden¬tifies the type of ABS based on the detected Cell_ID and the Cell_ID partitioning information. The AMS may select the ABS depending on the preference on the ABS types of the AMS. <ins>Cell Type, Cell ID, Received Signal Level, Nearness to a cell, Service Continuity/Services Offered, Capability of the neighbor cell like MIMO configuration, MC configuration etc.</ins>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-1	0/0045r3
<u>Comment by:</u>		Ying Li	Membership Status: Member			Date: 2010-08-12		
Comment # A10177		Document under Review: P802.16m/D7					Ballot ID: sb_16m	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 810	<u>Line</u> 1	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.4.13	I.

16.4.7.5 FemtoABS reselection by AMS

It has several problems in it.

Problem 1: "If the received CSGID(s) from the AMS does not match any of the CSGID(s) of the Femto ABS itself, then the Femto ABS shall determine that the AMS is not a member of the Femto ABS and therefore cannot be granted access."

The above logic will hold only if: the received CSGID(s) are ALL the CSGIDs and the BSIDs that the AMS has subscribed.

If the AMS only sends part of the CSGIDs or BSIDs that the AMS subscribes, the above logic does NOT hold.

Problem 2: "In case the AMS does not support CSG whitelist capability or does not have any CSGID(s) provisioned in its CSG whitelist, the "Redirection Info" may be provided in the AAI_REG-RSP message."

If the AMS does not have any CSGID(s) provisioned in its CSG whitelist, why at the first place the AMS would perform ranging to CSG Femto BS? Since this scenario implies that the AMS does not subscribe any CSG, hence the AMS should not try to range to the CSG Femto BS, so there is no need for "Redirection Info".

Our proposal is to fix the problems.

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt the text in contribution C80216m-10_1045 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the proposed resolution in the contribution C80216m-10_1045r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.4. Other: Femto

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Anshuman Nigam			Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10178		Document und	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technic	al <u>Part of Dis</u>	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 811	<u>Line</u> 21 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.4.8.1.1
functionality.	· · ·	posed to remove			•		gh to support the desired ate the additional functionality that
Suggested Rem	<u>edy</u>						
Please adopt	the proposed	modifications in	the latest version	on of the cont	ribution C80216r	n-10_1011	

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Please adopt the proposed modifications in the contribution C80216m-10_1011r3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2010/10/25	•				IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Ying Li	<u>Membership Status</u>	s: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10179	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 811 <u>Line</u> 41	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.4.8.1

D7 says: "The AMS may request additional scanning opportunity by sending AAI-SCN-REQ including the detected SA-preamble index and FA information. Upon reception of the AAI_SCN-REQ, the ABS shall respond with an AAI_SCN-RSP which may include neighbor accessible Femto ABS list based on the SA-preamble index."

The AAI_SCN-REQ can have SA-preamble and FA information, in many situations, even when the AMS is scanning for the BS in NBR-ADV list, it may include SA-preamble and FA in SCN-REQ, or it can also be that when the AMS is scanning for the BS not in NBR-ADV list but the AMS is not expecting any NBR refinement from the BS e.g., when the AMS has already known the BSID of the BS not in NBR list. If the ABS responds with a list of accessible Femto BS, whenever the SA-preamble and FA are included in SCN-REQ, this does not make sense.

Hence, there should be some indication from the AMS, to the ABS, to indicate whether the AMS is requesting a unicasted Femto ABS list.

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt the text in contribution C80216m-10_1043r1 or its latest version.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposed text is not needed.

Group's Notes Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comme</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Jin Lee		Membership Status:	Member	Date: ?
<u>Comment #</u>	A10180	Document un	nder Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 811	Line 49 Fig	g/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.4.8.1.2
a cortain co	ndition' in Page 811	Line 40 is not defined any	whore in spec	Define the condi	tion other	wise delete it

'a certain condition' in Page 811 Line 49 is not defined anywhere in spec. Define the condition otherwise delete it.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the following changes from page 811 line 49 :

to monitor UL signaling of its member AMS which is served by the Macro ABS when a certain condition is met .

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Modify Line 49 Page 811 in section 16.4.8.1.2 as follows:

to monitor UL signaling of its member AMS which is served by the Macro ABS when a certain condition is met .

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Ying Li			Membership Status	s: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10181		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 812	<u>Line</u> 12	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.4.8.1.2

Problem: In the current draft D7, it has text saying

The AAI_SCN-REP may contain the neighbor request indication, to which the ABS may unicast an AAI_NBR-ADV that includes a list of femto ABSs which is formed based on the reported FA, A-preamble indexor BSIDs, or the reported measurement To send the AMS the unicasted list of NBR accessible FBS is a valid idea. However, there could be problems to use unicasted

AAI_NBR-ADV. For example,

a) In SCN-REQ/SCN-RSP, there is some field indicating whether it is for the ABS in AAI_NBR-ADV, or not.

b) In some other messages, there is some field related to 'the index of the ABS listed in AAI_NBR-ADV'

c) Suppose an AMS/ABS receives/sends a broadcasted AAI_NBR-ADV, AND a unicasted AAI_NBR-ADV only with femtos in the list, which AAI_NBR-ADV shall the AMS/ABS interpret when the AMS/ABS reads "AAI_NBR-ADV" such as in case a) and b), the broadcasted one, or the unicasted one??

Remedy:

Option 1: Make a separate new message, to unicast information of accessible NBR Femto ABSs. This option would be adding a lot of work to generate a new message.

Option 2: Make the scanning response to unicast the information of accessible NBR Femto ABSs. In this proposal, we choose Option 2.

Suggested Remedy

4014010

Please adopt the text in contribution C80216m-10_1052 or its latest version.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This is an implementation issue.

Group's Notes Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2010/10/25							IEEE 80	2.16-10/0045r3	,
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Ying Li		<u> </u>	Membership Statu	s: Member		<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12	
Comment #	A10182	Doc	cument under	Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n	
Comment	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	fied	<u>Page</u> 812	<u>Line</u> 21	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.4.8.1.2	

Problem: In the current draft D7, the scanning report message does not include the indicator of whether the detected CSG-closed femtocell is in the AMS's local whitelist. Since AMS should have a whitelist to check whether the detected femtocell is in the whitelist or not, if AMS reports such, it gives the ABS some free information. The ABS needs to know whether the AMS is accessible to the reported cell for different follow-up operations:

- If it is accessible, the ABS may use the cell as handover candidate

- If it is inaccessible, the AMS may use the cell as the one to coordinate interference mitigation

If the AMS would not report whether the CSG-clsoed femto is in its whitelist or not, the ABS had to check about it via the backhaul every time when the AMS reports CSG-closed femtocell, because the ABS may not have the AMS's whitelist or subscription information, and the backhaul check adds on the latency for the ABS to perform the handover or interference mitigation, which are very importantly to be timely treated.

Remedy:

Add one bit of the indicator of whether the detected femtocell is in the AMS's local whitelist, in AAI_SCN-REP.

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt the text in contribution C80216m-10_1070 or its latest version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The ABS can know whether the CSGID is in the whitelist without an indication from the AMS.

Vote: In favor: 9 Opposed: 7 Abstain:

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.4, Other: Femto

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by:	Alexey	Davydov			Membership Status	Member	Date: ?	
Comment #	A10183			Document unde	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 821	Line 37	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.5.1.3.1	
hara ia na n	hass definition a			N/L concetenct	ion nroodu	ura ia ambigaua	It may leave	d to different internetation of abo	_

There is no phase definition and it's usage in PMI concatenation procedure is ambigous. It may lead to different interpetation of phase at the ABS and AMS and as a result to different multi-BS precoding matrices.

Suggested Remedy

Provide the definition of phase with equation explaining it's usage in PMI concatenation scheme

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No proposed remedy.

Group's Notes Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	t by:	Ping-Heng Kuo			<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10184		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 824	Line 31 Fi	<u>g/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.5.2.1.2
There are so	me notation erro	ors in the text of su	ubclause 16.5.	2.1.2			

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in C802.16m-10/0962 or its latest version

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the proposed text in C802.16m-10/0962

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.5, Other: Multi-BS MIMO

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	t by: Jiny	young Chun		Membership Status	: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10185	<u>Document</u>	under Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 845	<u>Line</u> 7	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.6.3.2.1
	eting, most of relay e operation of ABS		n FDD, the HAF	RQ operation is o	different wit	h ABS and ARS. It's very critical

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed remedy in C80216m-10/1002 or the revised version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the proposed remedy in C80216m-10/1002r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by: Jiny	oung Chun		<u> </u>	<u>Membership Status</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10186		Document und	er Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 846	<u>Line</u> 61	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.6.3.2.1
In the last me	eting, most of relay	/ issues are so	lved. But still	the indicatior	method of DL	R-TTI and	UL Tadv is not defined.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed remedy in C80216m-10/1001 or the revised version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

R_IdleTime can be deifned in SCD message. Tadv can be calcuated from R_IdleTime.

Group's Notes

Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2040/40/25

·3

2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/0045							
<u>Comment by:</u>	Tsai Chia-Lung	<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13							
Comment # A10187	<u>Document</u>	under Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16m								
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 847 <u>Line</u> 1	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.6.3.2							
Some errors and typos in Section	on 16.6.3.2 have been ide	entified. In this contribution									
Suggested Remedy Adopt the proposed text in C802.16m-10/0959 or its latest revision											
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Pr	inciple									
Resolved by comment #10185.											
Resolution:											
Adopt the proposed remedy in C80216m-10/1002r1											
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolutio	<u>on</u>										

Group's Notes Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Jinyoung Chun		M	lembership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10188	D	ocument under Re	eview: P80	2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Sati	isfied Pa	<u>ige</u> 850	Line 60 Fig	/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.6.3.3.1

Type error.

Suggested Remedy

The indication of MIMO midamble transmission in in the AAI DL Relay zone shall be sent to AMS by SFH SP2 and to ARS by AAI_ARS-CONFIG-CMD.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

The indication of MIMO midamble transmission in in the AAI DL Relay zone shall be sent to AMS by SFH SP2 and to ARS by AAI_ARS-CONFIG-CMD.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

Editor's Notes Edit

Comment	Comment by: Tsai Chia-Lung					Date: 2010-08-13		
Comment #	10189	Doc	ument und	ler Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisf	ed	<u>Page</u> 851	Line 5	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.6.3.3.1
The full stop fo	llowing the type-2	AAI subframe is m	issing					
Suggested Remed	<u>v</u>							
type-1 or type-	2 AAI subframe <u>.</u>							
<u>GroupResolution</u>		Decision of Grou	<u>o:</u> Agree					
type-1 or type-	2 AAI subframe <u>.</u>							
Reason for Group	's Decision/Resolution							
Group's Notes								
Clause 16.6, C	ther: Relay							
<u>Editor's Notes</u>	<u>Edit</u>	tor's Actions a) done						

<u>Comment</u>	by: Chia	a-Lung Tsai			<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>		Date: 2010-08-11	
Comment #	A10190	Docur	nent und	er Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	1	<u>Page</u> 851	Line 5	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.6.3.3.1	
The full stop for	ollowing the type-	2 AAI subframe is mis	sing						
<u>Suggested Reme</u> type-1 or type-	₫ <u>v</u> 2 AAI subframe.								
<u>GroupResolution</u>		Decision of Group:	Agree						
type-1 or type-	2 AAI subframe.								
Reason for Group	's Decision/Resolutio	<u>on</u>							
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.6, (Other: Relay								
Editor's Notes	E	ditor's Actions a) done							

Comment by:	Tsai Chia-Lung	<u>Membership St</u>	Date: 2010-08-13						
Comment # A10191	Document un	der Review: P802.16m/D7	Ba	Ballot ID: sb_16m					
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Edito	Drial Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 851 <u>Line</u> 20	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.6.3.3.1					
The subclause number 1	5.3.5.3. is incorrect.								
Suggested Remedy									
The subclause number s	The subclause number shall be changed to 16.3.4.3.								
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Agree	9							
The subclause number s	hall be changed to 16.3.4.3.								
Reason for Group's Decision/R	Resolution								
Group's Notes									
Clause 16.6, Other: Rela	y								
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions a) done								
16.3 cross reference nee	eded								

<u>Comment</u>	by: C	hia-Lung Tsai			Membership Stat	us:		Date: 2010-08-11	
Comment # A10192 Document				der Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballot ID: sb_16	allot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 851	<u>Line</u> 20	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.6.3.3.1	
The subclaus	e number 15.3.5	5.3. is incorrect.							
Suggested Remedy									
The subclause number shall be changed to 16.3.4.3.									
GroupResolution		Decision of	of Group: Agree	•					
The subclaus	e number shall b	be changed to 1	6.3.4.3.						
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution									
<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.6, (Other: Relay								
Editor's Notes		Editor's Actions	a) done						

2010/10/25							IEEE 80	2.16-10/004	5r3
<u>Comment</u>	by: Chi	a-Lung Tsai			<u>Membership St</u>	<u>tatus:</u>		Date: 2010-08-1	1
<u>Comment #</u>	A10193		Document und	der Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	n	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 851	<u>Line</u> 20	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.6.3.3.1	

There are some typos in the text.

Suggested Remedy

If AAI_Relay_zone_AMS_allocation_indicator field signaled in the AAI_System Configuration Descriptor message and AAI_ARS-CONFIG-CMD message is equal to 0, which indicates that ABS does not allocate AMS transmissions in the AAI Relay zone, then the values of DCASSB,0, DCASi, DCASMB,0 DCAS_{SB,0}, DCAS_i, DCAS_{MB,0} used for cell-specific resource mapping in AAI DL Relay zones of ABS and ARS frames shall be set to the values R_DCASSB,0, R_DCASi, R_DCAS_{MB,0} R_DCAS_{SB,0}, R_DCAS_i, R_DCAS_{MB,0} correspondingly. The values of cell specific AAI Relay zone param¬eters R_DCASSB,0, R_DCASi, R_DCASMB,0 R_DCASSB,0, R_DCASSB,0,

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

If AAI_Relay_zone_AMS_allocation_indicator field signaled in the AAI_System Configuration Descriptor message and AAI_ARS-CONFIG-CMD message is equal to 0, which indicates that ABS does not allocate AMS transmissions in the AAI Relay zone, then the values of DCASSB,0, DCASi, DCASMB,0 DCAS_{SB,0}, DCAS_i, DCAS_{MB,0} used for cell-specific resource mapping in AAI DL Relay zones of ABS and ARS frames shall be set to the values R_DCASSB,0, R_DCASi, R_DCAS_{MB,0} R_DCAS_{sB,0}, R_DCAS_i, R_DCAS_{MB,0} correspondingly. The values of cell specific AAI Relay zone param¬eters R_DCASSB,0, R_DCASi, R_DCASMB,0 R_DCASSB,0, R_DCASSB,0,

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Tsai	Chia-Lung	<u> </u>	Membership Statu	I <u>S:</u>	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10194		Document unde	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Editorial	<u>Part c</u>	of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 851	Line 26	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.6.3.3.1

There are some typos in the text.

Suggested Remedy

If AAI_Relay_zone_AMS_allocation_indicator field signaled in the AAI_System Configuration Descriptor message and AAI_ARS-CONFIG-CMD message is equal to 0, which indicates that ABS does not allocate AMS transmissions in the AAI Relay zone, then the values of DCA_{SSB,0}, DCA_{SI}, DCA_{SB,0}, DCAS_{3B,0}, DCAS_{3B,0}, DCAS_{3B,0}, used for cell-specific resource mapping in AAI DL Relay zones of ABS and ARS frames shall be set to the values R_DCA_{SSB,0}, R_DCA_{SI}, R_DCA_{SI}, R_DCA_{SB,0}, R_DCAS_{3B,0}, R_DCAS

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

If AAI_Relay_zone_AMS_allocation_indicator field signaled in the AAI_System Configuration Descriptor message and AAI_ARS-CONFIG-CMD message is equal to 0, which indicates that ABS does not allocate AMS transmissions in the AAI Relay zone, then the values of DCA_{SSB,0}, DCA_{SI}, DCA_{SIB,0}, DCAS_{SB,0}, DCAS_i, DCAS_{MB,0} used for cell-specific resource mapping in AAI DL Relay zones of ABS and ARS frames shall be set to the values R_DCA_{SSB,0}, R_DCA_{SI}, R_DCA_{SIB,0}, R_DCAS_i, R_DCA_{SIB,0}, R_DCAS_i, R_DCA_{SIB,0}, R_DCAS_i, R_DCA_{SIB,0}, R_DCAS_{MB,0} correspondingly. The values of cell specific AAI Relay zone param¬eters R_DCA_{SSB,0}, R_DCA_{SI}, R_DCA_{SIB,0}, R_DCAS_{SB,0}, R_DCAS_i, R_DCAS

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.6, Other: Relay

Editor's Notes

r3

0040/40/05					_
2010/10/25				IEEE 802.16-10/004	-51
Comment by:	Ying Li	<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u> Member	Date: 2010-08-1	2
Comment # A10195	Document un	nder Review: P802.16m/D7	Ī	Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 855 <u>Line</u> 49	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	Subclause 16.7.4	
In the current draft D7, the reco Our proposal is to clean up the	•	nore clarification.			
Suggested Remedy					
Please adopt the text in contrib	ution C80216m-10_0972 o	tis latest version.			
<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group: Disa	gree			
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolutic	<u>on</u>				
This is an implementation issue	e and the proposal does not	set any requirements			
Vote: In favor: 6 Opposed: 9 Abstain:					
Group's Notes					
Clause 16.7, Other: SON					
Editor's Notes E	ditor's Actions b) none needed				

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Lei Zhou	<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10196	Document unde	er Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 862 <u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table# 607	Subclause 16.8.2.4.2

Comment #100321 and related contribution C802.16m-10_0799r2 have been accepted in IEEE 802.16 #68 meeting. But unfortunately operation description figures in contribution C802.16m-10_0799r2 can't be correctly implemented in IEEE 802.16m/D7. Some editorial errors exists in Figure 607&608 of IEEE 802.16m/D7. We propose to modify these editorial errors in Figure 607&608.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed AWD text changes in contribution C802.16m-10_0990 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

In Figure 607 step 10, it says "degree μ t". Replace that with \Delta t In Figure 608 step 7, it says "degree μ t". Replace that with \Delta t

editor: use the proper upper case delta symbol here

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Clause 16.8, Other: LBS

Editor's Notes

Comment by:	Chia-Lung Tsai	<u>Membership Sta</u>	<u>tus:</u>	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-11
Comment # A10197	Document ur	der Review: P802.16m/D7	E	allot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technie	Cal Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 826 <u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.6.3.2
There are some concerns a	and typos in the section 16.6.3.2	2		
Suggested Remedy				
Adopt the proposed text in	C802.16m-10/0959 or its latest	revision		
GroupResolution	Decision of Group: Princ	iple		
Resolved by comment #107	185.			
Resolution:				
Adopt the proposed remedy	y in C80216m-10/1002r1			
Reason for Group's Decision/Reso	<u>plution</u>			
Group's Notes				
MC ad hoc to take this Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions b) none needed			

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Kaushik	Josiam			<u>Membership Status</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10198			Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 868	Line 26	ig/Table#	Subclause 16.9.1.2

For E-MBS macrodiversity mode, transmission PHY parameters have to be synchronized across all ABSs in the E-MBS zone. The transmission PHY parameters include MCS associated with each E-MBS Burst including FEC Type, Modulation Type. There is no mention of repetition coding in the channel coding and HARQ section. Suggest removing the word repetition coding

Suggested Remedy

Make the following changes to the sentence begining on Line 26:

Transmission PHY parameters, MCS associated with each E-MBS Burst including FEC Type, Modulation Type, and Repetition Coding

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Make the following changes to the sentence begining on Line 26:

Transmission PHY parameters, MCS associated with each E-MBS Burst including FEC Type, Modulation Type, and Repetition-Coding

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.9, Other: eMBS

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>: by:</u>	Eunkyung Kim		<u> </u>	Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10199		Document unde	er Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technic	al <u>Part of Dis</u>	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 869	Line 5 Fig	g/Table#	Subclause 16.9.2.1

E-MBS Service Establishment should provide variable scenario based on the charging system as well as service start as follows.

- Starting receiving E-MBS immediately after DSx procedure

- Starting receiving E-MBS when the user wants

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt the text proposal in IEEE C802.16m-10/0979 or its lastest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the text proposal in IEEE C802.16m-10/0979r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.9, Other: eMBS

Editor's Notes

2010/10/25							IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3
<u>Comment</u>	by:	Eunkyung Kim			<u>Membership Status</u>	Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10200		Document unde	r Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technic	al <u>Part of Dis</u>	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 870	<u>Line</u> 33	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.9.2.4

E-MBS operation in multicarrier deployment should be provided clearly in order to achieve an efficient carrier switching operation. Proposed E-MBS operation minimizing frequent message overhead such as reporting or allocating available interval using "Minimal interval of unicast transmission in the primary"

, where if the unicast interval is larger than minimal interval, AMS returns to the primary carrier. otherwise, AMS stays in the E-MBS carrier.

Suggested Remedy

Group's Notes

Please adopt the text proposal in IEEE C802.16m-10/0980 or its lastest revision.

GroupResolutionDecision of Group:DisagreeReason for Group's Decision/ResolutionAn alternate solution was accepted in C802.16m-10/1035r1

Clause 16.9, Other: eMBS

Editor's Notes b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u> K	aushik Josiam	<u>Membership Status</u>	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12		
<u>Comment #</u>	A10201	Document under	er Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 870 <u>Line</u> 35	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.9.2.4		
The current spec is silent on the mechanism for carrier switching to and from the E-MBS secondary carrier. The details on carrier							
switching operation have been in discussion for sometime.							

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in the latest revision of C802.16m-10/1035

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #54.

Resolution: Adopt the text proposed in C802.16m-10/1035r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.9, Other: eMBS

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Eunkyung Kim			<u>Membership Sta</u>	atus: Member		Date: 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10202		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technic	al <u>Part of Dis</u>	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 870	<u>Line</u> 64	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.9.3.1
AAI_E-MBS-0	CFG shall be a	dvertised in the ev	very 16 superfra	ame before	the begining	of MSI.		
In a cell, only	one MSI exists	and it may be les	ss than 16 (i.e.,	, 2, 4, 8, and	16).			

Therefore, the largest MSI in a cell may be ambiguous.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the line 64-65, pp 870, P802.16m/D7 as follws.]

AAI_E-MBS-CFG shall be advertised in the superframe before the beginnin of the largest MSI (16superframes)

<ins>

AAI_E-MBS-CFG message shall be advertised at the superframe when its superframe number (N_{superframe}) from SFH meets the following condiction.

N_{superframe} modulo 16 == 15

</ins>

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #146.

Resolution:

```
Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10_1030r1
```

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.9, Other: eMBS

Editor's Notes b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	unkyung Kim			Membership Sta	atus: Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10203		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 871	Line 3	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.9.3.1
Mhonovor any	v paramotor rola	tod to E MPS in		naos somo	noromotore (A EMPSN	IAD Decource Index) in

Whenever any parameter related to E-MBS in AAI_SCD changes, some parameters (e.g., E-MBS MAP Resource Index) in AAI_E-MBS-CFG also may change. The interval between the time applying the configuration from AAI_SCD and upcoming AAI_E-MBS-CFG to update makes complicated operation for AMSs due to deciding one of either previous AAI_SCD or new AAI_SCD during the interval.

Therefore, applying the configuration from AAI SCD to AAI E-MBS CFG should be defined clearly.

In this contribution, we propose AAI_SCD configuration change count in AAI_E-MBS-CFG message to resolve the ambiguity which AAI_SCD and when the changed configuration of AAI_SCD.

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt the text proposal in IEEE C802.16m-10/0981 or its lastest revision.

<u>GroupResolution</u> <u>Decision of Group:</u> Principle

Resolved by comment #146.

Resolution:

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16m-10_1030r1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.9, Other: eMBS

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>t by:</u>	Eunkyung Kim		<u> </u>	<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	Date: 2	2010-08-13
Comment #	A10204		Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technica	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 874	Line 21 Fi	g/Table#	Subclause 16.9.3	3.3
N is dofino	d in "AAL SCD r	neccade" not "A A		"opeogoa				

N_{MSI} is defined in "AAI_SCD message" not "AAI_E-MBS-CFG message"

Suggested Remedy

In the line 21, page 874 in P802.16m/D7, Replace "AAI_E-MBS-CFG" with "AAI_SCD"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In the line 21, page 874 in P802.16m/D7, Replace "AAI_E-MBS-CFG" with "AAI_SCD"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Clause 16.9, Other: eMBS

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt by:</u> J	aehyuk Jang			Membership Status	: Member	Date:	2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10205		Document unde	r Review: P8)2.16m/D7	Ball	<u>ot ID:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 883	<u>Line</u> 19	Fig/Table# Tabl	Subclause 16.1	1
BR_ACK_Of	ffset is used to des	cribe bandwidth	request proce	edure, but its	default value is	s not defined in	D7.	

Suggested Remedy

[Update "Default Value" of "BR ACK offset" field in Table 983, pp. 883, line 19, to '2 frames'.]

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Make the "Default Value" of "BR ACK offset" field in Table 983, pp. 883, line 19, <ins><u>2 frames</u></ins>.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Clause 16.11, Other: Global Values

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u> Y	oungKyo Baek			Membership Statu	s: Member		<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12			
<u>Comment #</u>	A10206		Document und	der Review: P8	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m			
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 931	Line 1	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	Annex Q			
	Test vectors for cryptographic methods presents in Annex Q. To prevent misunderstanding we suggest fixing test vectors and some typos.										
ro prevent in	isunderstanding	we suggest livin		and some ty	/p03.						
Suggested Rem	edy_										
Adopt the pro	posed text in co	ntribution C802. ⁻	16m-10/1016	or its later ve	ersion.						

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1016

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Annex Q, General: Annex

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Hassan	Yaghoobi			<u>Membership</u>	Status: Nonmen	nber <u>Date:</u> ?
Comment #	A10207			Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	,	Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part o	of Dis 🗌 S	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 935	<u>Line</u> 1	Fig/Table#	Subclause Annex R

The radio Specifications of 802.16m as specified in Annexes R and S need to be updated for completeness.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1071 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Resolved by comment #A0256.

Resolution: Adopt the proposed text in contribution C802.16m-10/1044

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Annex R, General: Annex

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Peretz	Feder		Membership Status	: Member	Date: 2010-08-13
Comment #	A10208L		Document und	er Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	<u>Part o</u>	f Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 4	Line	Fig/Table#	Subclause 3
Omissions - d Section 3, pag		ges not	shown in draft 7.				

Suggested Remedy

add the modifications that are captured in the D7 delta files. The accepted changes in the D7 delta spec are not present in the D7 file

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

add the modifications that are captured in the D7 delta files. The accepted changes in the D7 delta spec are not present in the D7 file

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's NotesEditor's Actionsg) editor disagrees

Confirmed that D7 delta (an unofficial document) changes are present in Draft 7.

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u> k	<u>y:</u>	Peretz Feder			<u>Membership Statı</u>	us: Member	Date: 2010-	-08-13
Comment # A	10209L		Document under	Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
	<u>Type</u> Technical		Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 4	Line	Fig/Table#	Subclause 3	

Add a definiton of a single radio

The term "single radio" is mentioned in multiple places but not defined.

Suggested Remedy

3.xxx Single Radio: A multimode MS/AMS that operates with only a single transmitting radio and with one or more receiving radios at any given time.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

3.xxx Single Radio: A multimode MS/AMS that operates with only a single transmitting radio and with one or more receiving radios at any given time.

Throughout the document, ensure that any references to "single radio" do not contain a hyphen between "single" and "radio".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Peretz Feder			<u>Membership Stat</u>	us: Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10210L		Document und	ler Review:	P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 4	Line	Fig/Table#	Subclause 3
The term "dua	al radio" is mentic	oned in multiple	e places but no	t defined.			

Add a definition of a dual radio

Suggested Remedy

Dual Radio MS: A multimode MS/AMS that can have both radios (transmitting and receiving) active at the same time. A Dual Radio MS/AMS can simultaneous transmit and receive on both radios (for e.g. WiMAX and 3GPP). A Dual Radio MS/AMS may behave as a SR MS by operating in Single Radio Mode

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Add the following definitions to Clause 3:

Dual Radio MS: A multimode MS/AMS that can have both radios (transmitting and receiving) active at the same time. A Dual Radio MS/AMS can simultaneous transmit and receive on both radios (for e.g. WiMAX and 3GPP). A Dual Radio MS/AMS may behave as a Single Radio MS by operating in Single Radio Mode

Multi Radio MS: A multimode MS/AMS that can have multiple radios (transmitting and receiving) active at the same time. A Multi Radio MS/AMS can simultaneous transmit and receive on multiple radios (for e.g. WiMAX and 3GPP). A Multi Radio MS/AMS may behave as a Single Radio MS by operating in Single Radio Mode

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commer	<u>t by:</u>	Peretz Feder			Membership Statu	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10211L		Document und	ler Review: P	802.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 16	<u>Line</u>	Fig/Table# 5.2.6	<u>Subclause</u> ?
Entries 1/16	256 omitted						

Entries 1,4,6..256 omitted

Suggested Remedy

Add three more entries to table 2a: 2 - IP with RoHC, 3-IP with PHS, 5-Ethernet with PHS

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This information is already embedded in the service flow encodings.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Peretz Feder			Membership Status:	Member	Dar	te: 2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10212L		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ba	allot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 37	Line F	ig/Table# 578	Subclause ?	
make the CS	implementations	consistent. No	need to genera	ate two sets	of values for the	same classifi	ication action	

Suggested Remedy

Match with section 11.13.18.1. This means: values 4,7,8 should be reserved and value 5 is Packet, IPv4 over IEEE 802.3/Ethernet, value 6 is Packet, IPv6 over 802.3/Ethernet, and value 9 is ATM

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Table 11.13.18.1 was removed, and the two tables 11.13.18.1 and 11.7.7.1 match.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Peretz Feder			Membership Status	Member	<u>Date:</u> 20	010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10213L		Document unde	r Review: P	802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical		Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 43	Line 56	Fig/Table#	Subclause ?	
nand to pool	re the CDID is no	r apocific MS						

need to assure the CRID is per specific MS

Suggested Remedy

Change from: The network shall asign a 72 bit CRID to each AMS during netwok entry.

to:

The network shall asign a unique 72 bit CRID to each AMS during netwok entry.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Change from: The network shall asign a 72 bit CRID to each AMS during netwok entry.

to:

The network shall asign a <ins><u>unique</ins></u> 72 bit CRID to each AMS during netwok entry.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	by:	Joey Chou		ļ	<u> Membership Status:</u>	Member	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10214L		Document under R	Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis		<u>age</u> 63	<u>Line</u> 20 <u>F</u>	ig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.2.2.7

MLEH has been removed in the San Diego meeting.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the following changes

1. Delete section 16.2.2.2.7

GroupResolutionDecision of Group:PrincipleDelete as indicated on Page 56, Line 52:

0b0110 MAC PDU length extended header See 16.2.2.2.7

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Other material was removed by A10015

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comme</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Joey Chou			<u>Membership Statu</u>	<u>s:</u> Member	Date	<u>e:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10215L		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 70	<u>Line</u> 64	Fig/Table# 679	<u>Subclause</u> 16	2.3
Inconsistent	functional areas na	me for MIMO						

Suggested Remedy

Item 55, change MultiBS-MIMO to MIMO Item 56, change FFR/MultiBS-MIMO to MIMO Item 57, change MultiBS-MIMO to MIMO Item 58, change MultiBS-MIMO to MIMO Item 59, change MultiBS-MIMO to MIMO

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Item 55, change MultiBS-MIMO to MIMO Item 56, change FFR/MultiBS-MIMO to MIMO Item 57, change MultiBS-MIMO to MIMO Item 58, change MultiBS-MIMO to MIMO Item 59, change MultiBS-MIMO to MIMO

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's A

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comme	<u>nt by:</u>	Joey Chou	Men	nbership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u>	2010-08-12
<u>Comment</u> #	<u>≇</u> A10216L	Document un	der Review: P802.	16m/D7	Ballot I	<u>D:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	Page 71 Lin	<u>ne</u> 33 <u>Fi</u>	ig/Table# 679	Subclause 16.2.	3
Inconsistent	t functional areas na	ame for MIMO					

Suggested Remedy

Item 64, add MISC to funcational areas column Item 65, add MISC to funcational areas column Item 66, add MISC to funcational areas column

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Item 64, add MISC to funcational areas column Item 65, add MISC to funcational areas column Item 66, add MISC to funcational areas column

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	i <u>t by:</u>	Joey Chou			<u>Membership Status</u>	: Member		Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10217L		Document und	ler Review: P8	802.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>et ID:</u> sb_16r	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 71	<u>Line</u> 33	Fig/Table# 679	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3
There are m	ultiple LBS messag	es. So, there	should be a se	parate funct	ional area for LB	S		

Suggested Remedy

- 1. Create a new functional area called "LBS"
- 2. Move item 4 AAI-LBS-ADV to LBS functional area
- 3. Add a new row to LBS
- No. Functional Areas Message names Message descriptionSecurity ConnectionxxLBSAAI-LBS-ADVInitiate LBS measurementN.A.Unicast

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

- 1. Move item 4 AAI-LBS-ADV to a new row added to the end of the table
- 2. Add two new rows to the table, with the following content (re-number all of the rows in the table as appropriate)

No.	Functional A	ecurity Cor	nnection		
XX	LBS	AAI-LBS-ADV	Initiate LBS measuremen	t N.A.	Broadcast
XX	LBS	AAI-LBS-IND	LBS indication	N.A.	Unicast

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Joey Chou			Membership Status	<u>Member</u>	Date:	2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10218L		Document under	Review: P8	302.16m/D7	B	allot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 80	Line 24	Fig/Table# 681	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2	.3.2
C) Undated (OS Info has variab	h hac azia al	oes not have en	ough infor	mation to procee	d ASN 1 cod	ing	

C) Updated QoS Info has variable size, and does not have enough information to proceed ASN.1 coding

Suggested Remedy

Clarify the size and note of C) Updated QoS Info

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No text provided.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	Joey Chou		Membership Status:	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10219L	Document	under Review: P	802.16m/D7	<u>Ballot ID</u>	<u>:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 97	Line 56 Fig	<u>g/Table#</u> 686 <u>s</u>	Subclause 16.2.3.7
O.1) Minimal	HO Reentry Interle	eaving Interval is the inter	leaving interval	I in frames. But, the	e size is only 1 bit	t. For The note "MC HO

capable AMS, this value shall be 0. Shall be included only if EBB support is set to 1" seems talk about different thing.

Suggested Remedy

Clarify teh size and note Minimal HO Reentry Interleaving Interval parameter

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No specific text provided.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Joey Chou			Membership Statu	<u>s:</u> Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10220L		Document und	er Review: P8	802.16m/D7	Ballo	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 99	<u>Line</u> 63	Fig/Table# 686	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.7
D) Doguosto	d Hoot Configuratio	no IE dooo no	t have aize an	d value / pat	to.		

B) Requested-Host-Configurations IE does not have size and value / note

Suggested Remedy

Add size and value / note definitions

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No text provided.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commer	<u>t by:</u>	Joey Chou		<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10221L	Docum	nent under Review: P8	302.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 103	<u>Line</u> 42 <u>F</u>	ig/Table# 687	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.8
C) Additional	Host Configuration	ne IE dooe not have e	ize and value / not			

C) Additional-Host-Configurations IE does not have size and value / note

Suggested Remedy

Add size and value / note definitions

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No text provided.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Joey Chou		<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	Date: 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10222L	Docur	ment under Review: P	302.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>1 Page</u> 104	Line 23 Fi	ig/Table# 687	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.8
It is not clear	what CS type is in	"CS type for default of	service flow" param	otor		

It is not clear what CS type is in "CS type for default service flow" parameter.

Suggested Remedy

Needs to define CS type in CS type for default service flow

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No text provided.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>: by:</u>	Joey Chou		Membership Status:	Member	Date: 2010-08-12					
<u>Comment #</u>	A10223L	Documen	t under Review: P8	02.16m/D7	<u>Ballot I</u>	<u>D:</u> sb_16m					
	<u>Type</u> Technical of AAI_NBR-AD\ ded when N_New		<u>Page</u> 107	<u>Line</u> 15 <u>Fi</u>	g <u>/Table#</u> 690	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.10					
But, N_New_	But, N_New_ABS_Index can't be found										
<u>Suggested Remedy</u> Fix it or remove it											
<u>GroupResolution</u>	1	Decision of Group: P	Principle								
Resolved by	comment #255.										
Resolution:											
Adopt text pro	oposal in contribut	ion C802.16m-10/1060r5	5								
Reason for Grou	p's Decision/Resolution	<u>on</u>									
Group's Notes											
Editor's Notes	<u>E</u>	ditor's Actions b) none neede	ed								

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Joey Chou		<u> </u>	<u>Membership Status</u>	: Member	Date:	2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10224L		Document unde	r Review: P8)2.16m/D7	B	allot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 116	<u>Line</u> 16	Fig/Table# 692	Subclause 16.2.	3.12
Parameters F) SFH Subpkt 1, G) SFH Subpkt	2, and H) SFH	Subpkt 3 ha	ave no size and	note		

Suggested Remedy

Clarify the size and note of Parameters F) SFH Subpkt 1, G) SFH Subpkt 2, and H) SFH Subpkt 3

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No text proposed.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>nt by:</u>	Joey Chou	<u>Membership Statu</u>	is: Member	Date: 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10225L	Document u	nder Review: P802.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 154 <u>Line</u> 18	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.26
Parameter S	LPID Update is sho	own in the text, but not in f	the MAC message table 710		

Suggested Remedy

Add SLPID_Update to the table or remove it from the text

GroupResolution	Decision of Group:	Principle

Resolved by comment #100.

Resolution:

[Modifiy the table 710 on page 153, line 37, as follows;]

			Table 710—Parameters for AAI_TRF-IND	
				····
М	SLPID	10	Each SLPID is used to indicate the positive traffic indication for an AMS 0~1023	When FRMT ==1
<u>O</u>	<u>SLPID_Update</u>	<u>_20*N</u>	For each 20 bits, the first 10 bits indicates old SLPID and the second 10 bits indicates new SLPID N = the number of SLPIDs to be updated (1	<u>When FRMT ==1</u> <u>1024)</u>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment by:		Joey Chou	Membership Status: Member				<u> </u>	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10226L		Document unde	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16n	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 168	<u>Line</u> 16	Fig/Table#	Subclause	16.2.3.36
16.2.3.36 AAI_NBR-REQ should be together with other NBR messages								

Suggested Remedy

Move section 16.2.3.36 AAI_NBR-REQ after section 16.2.3.12 AAI_NBR-ADV

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Move section 16.2.3.36 AAI_NBR-REQ after section 16.2.3.12 AAI_NBR-ADV

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Joey Chou			<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	Date	<u>e:</u> 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10227L		Document und	er Review: P8)2.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>:ID:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 199	Line 7 F	ig/Table# 740	<u>Subclause</u> 16	.2.3.46.1
A) Common for Group Create/Change has variable size. Its not is also not clear								

B) Qty SFID request has size M. But, there is no definition about M

Suggested Remedy

Clarify its size and note

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No text provided.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Joey Chou	<u>Membership Status</u>	E Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10228L	Document under	er Review: P802.16m/D7	Ballot II	<u>):</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	Type Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 209 <u>Line</u> 41	Fig/Table# 743	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.46.4

The definition of Group Parameter Create/Change parameter structure is not complete, and can't be converted intoASN.1.

For example

1. A) Common for Group Create/Change has variable size, and the note has no information how this attribute is defined 2. B) Qty SFID request jas size M. But there is no description what M is

Suggested Remedy

Fix the definition or delete CS encodingRule

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The proposed remedy has nothing to do with the comment.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	t by:	Joey Chou			<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u>	2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10229L		Document und	er Review: P8)2.16m/D7	<u>Ballot</u>	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 210	Line 9 Fi	<u>g/Table#</u> 743	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2	.3.46.4
A) Common for Group Create/Change has variable size. Its not is also not clear								

B) Qty SFID request has size M. But, there is no definition about M

Suggested Remedy

Clarify its size and note

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No text proposed.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment by:</u>		Joey Chou	<u>Membership</u>	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12				
<u>Comment #</u>	A10230L	Document ur	der Review: P802.16m/D	7	Ballot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 213 <u>Line</u> 24	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.47			
16.2.3.47 AAI-RNG-CFM should be together with other RNG messages								

Suggested Remedy

Change section 16.2.3.47 AAI-RNG-CFM to section 16.2.3.4 AAI-RNG-CFM that follows section 16.2.3.3 AAI_RNG-ACK

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Change section 16.2.3.47 AAI-RNG-CFM to section 16.2.3.4 AAI-RNG-CFM that follows section 16.2.3.3 AAI_RNG-ACK

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's A

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Joey Chou	ı		Membership Status	: Member	<u>Date:</u> 20	10-08-12
Comment #	A10231L		Document und	er Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 213	<u>Line</u> 48	Fig/Table#	Subclause 16.2.3.4	18
AAI_UL_Mul	tiBS_MIMO_SBP s	hould be to	ogether with other	[•] MIMO mess	ages			

Suggested Remedy

Change section 16.2.3.48 AAI_UL_MultiBS_MIMO_SBP to section 16.2.3.42 AAI_UL_MultiBS_MIMO_SBP that follows section 16.2.3.41 AAI_MULTI_BS_SOUNDING-CAL

GroupResolution Decision of O

Decision of Group: Agree

Change section 16.2.3.48 AAI_UL_MultiBS_MIMO_SBP to section 16.2.3.42 AAI_UL_MultiBS_MIMO_SBP that follows section 16.2.3.41 AAI_MULTI_BS_SOUNDING-CAL

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	t by:	Joey Chou		ļ	<u>Membership Status</u>	. Member		Date: 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10232L		Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>t ID:</u> sb_16r	n
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 214	Line 8	Fig/Table# 749	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.48
The description	on of PMImin attrib	ute is not clear						

E.g. It is not clear what size 4Nnbr to 6Nnbr mean.

Suggested Remedy

Clarify size 4Nnbr to 6Nnbr and it's description in the note

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution No text proposed.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

3

2010/10/25							IEEE 80	02.16-10/0045r
<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Joey Chou			Membership State	us: Member		Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10233L	<u>1</u>	Document unde	<u>r Review:</u> P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
	Type Technical rce-Info [14] and <i>i</i> no definition on "b"			-		Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.49
Suggested Reme	edy							
Change the c	onditions as the fol	lowing						
<ins><u>HARQ b</u></ins>	rce-Info [14] Pr ourst sizes are char Present if 	nged. Otherwise,		of the last C	SRA allocation	are used. <in< th=""><th><u>s></u></th><td></td></in<>	<u>s></u>	
GroupResolution	n onditions as the fol	Decision of G	<u>roup:</u> Agree					
Burst&Resou	rce-Info [14] Pr purst sizes are char	esent if b =		of the last C	GRA allocation	are used. <in< th=""><th><u>s></u></th><td></td></in<>	<u>s></u>	
A) Burst size	Present if 	b == 1 						
Reason for Grou	<u>p's Decision/Resolutior</u>	L						
Group's Notes								
Editor's Notes	Ed	itor's Actions a) de	one					

·3

2010/10/25	5					IEEE 8	02.16-10/0045r		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Joey Chou	ey Chou <u>Membership Status:</u> Member				Date: 2010-08-12		
<u>Comment #</u>	A10234L	Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m			
	Type Technical rce-Info [14] and <i>r</i> no definition on "b"	Part of Dis. Satisfied A) Burst size have condition	Page 214 Present if I	<u>Line</u> 47 o == 1	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2.3.49		
Burst&Resou <ins><u>HARQ t</u></ins>	rce-Info [14] Pr	resent if b == 1 nged. Otherwise, burst sizes	s of the last (GRA allocation	are used. <ir< th=""><th><u>15></u></th><th></th></ir<>	<u>15></u>			
<u>GroupResolutior</u>	1	Decision of Group: Princi	ple						
Resolved by	comment #233.								
Resolution:									
Change the c	onditions as the fol	llowing							
		resent if b == 1 nged. Otherwise, burst sizes	<u>s of the last (</u>	GRA allocation	are used. <ir< th=""><th><u>ns></u></th><th></th></ir<>	<u>ns></u>			
A) Burst size	Present if 	b == 1 							
Reason for Grou	p's Decision/Resolutior	<u>1</u>							
Group's Notes									
Editor's Notes	Ed	itor's Actions b) none needed							

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	<u>by:</u>	Joey Chou		Membership Status:	Member	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10235L	Docur	ment under Review: P8	302.16m/D7	Ballot I	<u>D:</u> sb_16m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 223	Line 29 Fi	g/Table# 758	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.56
Parameters F) SFH Subpkt 1, (G) SFH Subpkt 2, and	H) SFH Subpkt 3 h	nave no size and r	note	

Suggested Remedy

Clarify the size and note of Parameters F) SFH Subpkt 1, G) SFH Subpkt 2, and H) SFH Subpkt 3

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No text proposed.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions

·3

2010/10/25						IEEE 802.16-10/0045r
<u>Comment</u> b	<u>y:</u>	Joey Chou		<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	Date: 2010-08-12
Comment # A	10236L	Docum	ent under Review: P	802.16m/D7	Ballot	<u>ID:</u> sb_16m
<u>oomment</u>		Part of Dis Satisfied on from AAI_SCD is		Line 47 <u>Fi</u>	g/Table# 758	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.3.56
Does it mean th	nat AAI-SCD mess	age is to be included	d in MC-ADV?			
Suggested Remedy Clarify if AAI-S		be included in MC-A	ADV.			
<u>GroupResolution</u>		Decision of Group:	Agree			
No change requ	uired to the draft.					
Reason for Group's	s Decision/Resolution					
Answer: yes, th	e AAI_SCD mess	age is included in Mo	C-ADV.			
Group's Notes						
Editor's Notes	<u>Edit</u>	or's Actions a) done				

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commen</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Joey Chou		<u> </u>	<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>Date:</u> 2010-08-12			
Comment #	A10237L		Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7	Bal	lot ID: sb_16m			
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 354	Line 27 F	ig/Table# 431	<u>Subclause</u> 16.2.13.1.2			
A comment was accepted in San Diego meeting to fix fig 431 , but was not implemented correctly										

Suggested Remedy

Change PFEH to PEH

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

In Figure 431, Change PFEH to PEH

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Commen	<u>t by:</u>	Peretz	Feder		<u> </u>	Membership Status:	Member		Date: 2010-08-13		
<u>Comment #</u>	A10238L			Document unde	er Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16r	n		
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of	f Dis 🗌 Sa	atisfied	<u>Page</u> 405	Line 55 Fi	<u>g/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.2652122		
remove "Sing	emove "Single Radio" RAT discovery using scanning, procedure can also be done with a dual radio!										

AMS shall initiate other RAT discovery using scanning procedure. The single radio AMS shall negotiate scanning procedure before scanning commencement.

Suggested Remedy

change from:

AMS shall initiate other RAT discovery using scanning procedure. The single radio AMS shall negotiate scanning procedure before scanning commencement.

To:

AMS shall initiate other RAT discovery using scanning procedure. The AMS shall negotiate scanning procedure before scanning commencement.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

change as indicated:

AMS shall initiate other RAT discovery using scanning procedure. The single radio AMS shall negotiate scanning procedure before scanning commencement.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Comment</u>	by:	Peretz Feder		<u> </u>	Membership Status:	Member	Date	2010-08-13
<u>Comment #</u>	A10239L		Document unde	er Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16m	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 408	Line 40 F	g/Table#	Subclause ?	

"Radio" dropped for some reason

Suggested Remedy

Change from:

Control signaling messages for the target RAT are exchanged between the single AMS and the target RAT,

to:

Control signaling messages for the target RAT are exchanged between the single radio AMS and the target RAT,

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Change from:

Control signaling messages for the target RAT are exchanged between the single AMS and the target RAT,

to:

Control signaling messages for the target RAT are exchanged between the single radio AMS and the target RAT,

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>t by:</u>	Joey Chou			Membership Status	s: Member		Date: 2010-08-12
<u>Comment #</u>	A10240L		Document und	ler Review: P8	02.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 858	<u>Line</u> 21	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	16.8.2.4.1
All MAC con	trol message shoul	d be section 1	6.2.3					

Suggested Remedy

1. Change section 16.8.2.4.1 AAI_LBS-ADV Message to section 16.2.3.59 AAI_LBS-ADV Message that follows section 16.2.3.58

<u>GroupResolution</u>	Decision of Group:	Agree
------------------------	--------------------	-------

Move section 16.8.2.4.1 AAI_LBS-ADV Message to new section 16.2.3.59 AAI_LBS-ADV Message (new section follows section 16.2.3.58)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

<u>Commer</u>	<u>it by:</u>	Joey Chou		<u>N</u>	lembership Status	: Member		Date: 2010-08-12
Comment #	A10241L	Doc	ument under R	Review: P80	2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16	m
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis 🗌 Satisfi	ied P	<u>age</u> 860	Line 57	Fig/Table#	<u>Subclause</u>	16.8.2.4.2
All MAC con	trol message shoul	d be section 16.2.3						

Suggested Remedy

Change section 16.8.2.4.2 LBS Measurement Message formats to to section 16.2.3.60 AAI_LBS-IND Message 2. Place section 16.2.3.60 AAI_LBS-IND Message after section 16.2.3.59

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Move section 16.8.2.4.2 LBS Measurement Message formats to to section 16.2.3.60 AAI_LBS-IND Message (new subclause)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-10/0045r3

Comment	<u>: by:</u>	Joey Chou		!	<u>Membership Status:</u>	Member	<u>I</u>	Date: 2010-08-12	
<u>Comment #</u>	A10242L		Document unde	r Review: P80)2.16m/D7		Ballot ID: sb_16n	n	
<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	Part of Dis	Satisfied	<u>Page</u> 889	Line 4 F	ig/Table#	Subclause	Annex P.2	
When optional attributes in MAC control message tables are coded in ASN.1, they are attached with OPTIONAL tag. The condition									
information in the tables are lost. There has to be a way in the ASN.1 code to retain the condition information.									

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C80216m-10_1025.doc or later revision

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

No text change required by informational contribution.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The contribution does not contain any proposed text, only information.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions