

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 >	
Title	Consideration on the profile issue in coexistence	
Date Submitted	2006-01-04	
Source(s)	Wu Xuyong, Zhao Quanbo, Pan Zhong, Huawei Qu Hongyun, ZTE Dong Xiaolu, CATR	Voice: +86-755-28971667 Fax: +86-755-28972149 wuxuyong@huawei.com qu.hongyun@zte.com.cn dongxiaolu@catr.com.cn
Re:	80216h-05_028: Call for Comments and Contributions: IEEE 802.16 License-Exempt Task Group (2005-12-15)	
Abstract	According to the PAR and detailed scope, to limit the system in 16h to specific profile of OFDM or OFDMA to enable the communication between the coexistence neighbor should be consider as out of 16h scope, and to limit the profile to communicate between neighbor stations will cause other issues that may disrupt the advantage of 16h systems.	
Purpose	To clarify the profile issue in coexistence. To propose not to limit the profile for coexistence purpose in further discussion and contribution.	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < mailto:chair@wirelessman.org > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices >.	

Consideration on the profile issue in coexistence

Wu Xuyong, Zhao Quanbo, Pan Zhong

Huawei

Qu Hongyun

ZTE

Dong Xiaolu

CATR

Overview

In last meetings, there is some discussion on specifying a existing profile into CTS and some one suggest to limit all the 16h system into one existing profile, so that the 16h air network can talk with the neighbor air network in the air link easier.

Seeing to the problems to be solved in 16h and the result if we really commit to use only one profile in defining coexistence, we find it not realistic. Here is some comment on this topic.

Reference:

- [1] *IEEE802.16-2004: IEEE standard for Local and metropolitan area networks Part16: Air Interface for Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems 2004-10-01*
- [2] *IEEE 802.16h-05/026: License-Exempt Task Group Meeting Minutes for Session #40 (2005-12-06)*
- [3] *IEEE 802.16-05/027: Working Document for P802.16h (2005-12-02)*
- [4] *P802.16h PAR : IEEE 802.16h PAR (as approved 04/12/08)*
- [5] *IEEE 802.16h-05/003: Detailed Scope of IEEE 802.16h Standard*

Discussion

Applicability

According to the 16h PAR:14a. Reason for the standardization project:

“The mechanisms specified need to be widely implemented and interoperable for their benefits to be realized, so standardization is required.”

14a. Reason for the standardization project:

This standard will improve the coexistence in license-exempt (LE) operation for IEEE 802.16 fixed wireless systems. It will reduce the potential for interference caused by such systems sharing the same LE bands. The mechanisms specified need to be widely implemented and interoperable for their benefits to be realized, so standardization is required. As result there will be improved user service experience and increased robustness and efficiency of spectrum use. This will expand the market opportunities for enterprise, service provider, and consumer applications.

According to IEEE 802.16h-05/003: Detailed Scope of IEEE 802.16h Standard:

The mechanisms used in the 16h standard shall provide coexistence between different 802.16 PHY modes and the transmission between different network systems shall be in a PHY independent mode.

Pro-active cognitive approach

- Announce when a system/unit transmits and receives
 - Discussion: another system will try avoiding interfering or be interfered; this approach will be useful for providing coexistence between different 802.16 PHY modes (as OFDM, OFDMA, SC) or between 802.16 and other spectrum users
- “ ”
- A system should know the Network Management address of other systems
 - Discussion: to communicate
 - Should be transmitted in a PHY independent mode
 - Discussion: to address inter-802.16 PHY modes (OFDM, OFDMA, SC) transmission; messages that can be translated in simple PHY properties may be used by each one of the PHY modes.
- “ ”

Complexity

Ranging

As we known, the communication between neighbors does not contain any service data and need to keep as less content as possible. It means that efficiency will not be the most important issue when considering the communication between neighboring networks. The current solution described in the WD is trying to use some add-ons to ensure the neighbor to exchange some message with only a little information, with out complex procedures such as ranging. We use PHY independent mechanism like time domain or frequency domain energy detection, which only need mostly some AGC technology that already exist in the current chipsets and necessary for all possible solutions. We need to make least change to the existing 16 standard.

Unfortunately to use a specific profile between neighbors is not as simple. If we communicate the BS or SS to neighbor stations using the profile which now applied in the service data of BSs and SSs, we need ranging process between the SSs and the neighbor BSs and even between the BS and neighbor BS if talk directly. Notice here, the neighboring systems mentioned here is normally a plural form. That's big-ticket for all the fixed stations, even for the mobile ones.

SS vs. Multi BS

In current PMP fixed solution, SS is only communicate to one BS with the whole set of parameter of the link chain. The current solution in the working document is trying to use some low complexity broadcasting mechanism that make all the SS and BS does not need to dealing with all the problems aroused by SS linking to multi BS. Such as time domain energy pulse using the existing item of RSSI mechanism and frequency domain energy bin using existing FFT, these existing solution in the WD tried to prevent the SS system to change much and prevent the SS to update link chain parameters frequently and switch them from time to time in frames between BSs.

Effectiveness

SNR and range

In coexistence topic, the main purpose of talking with the neighbor is not to transmit a lot of data to the neighbors, but to identify the interference situation between the neighbors and fairly make it less harmful to the main wireless service. So we need to make the SNR requirement for signaling between the neighbor network systems as low as possible, that will make the radio-signature and other signaling between neighbors acquire better reachable range and make the coexistence mechanism more effective.

To use the same PHY inner the wireless service network and between the wireless service network will lead to same SNR requirement on dealing these two different topic, which means unnecessarily high SNR requirement in coexistence wireless signaling between neighbor wireless networks, that will reduce the opportunity and reachable range of successful communication between neighbors.

Considering current working document, to improve the simple PHY properties which have lower SNR requirement should be the right direction to solve the issues we are facing in this group.

Conclusion

We are not trying to enumerate all the issues that may caused by limiting the profile to get coexistence. But as our perspective and the reasons above, we believe it's not the preferred way to ensure coexistence by limiting the 16h applicability to specific profile.

We believe the following topic is out of 16h scope, content based on these topic should be limited into the 15.2.2.3.1 same PHY profile or ANNEX according to some particular scenario:

1. To limit profile in order to transmit message in the air link between coexistence neighbor networks;
2. To specify one of the existing profile into CTS in order to exchange message between coexistence neighbors;
3. Approaches for coexistence with dependence on certain specified profile condition or uptight limitation on profile applicability.