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Clarification on the mandatory / optional status for CMI/CSI procedures

Mariana Goldhamer
Alvarion
Mandatory

– Both CMI/CSI transmission should be mandatory
  • CMI can be used for interference assessment of both DL and UL users of the spectrum
  • Both CMI and CSI allow system identification (BSID, IP_Proxy) by using the same PHY profile or by using the time or frequency domain energy keying.

– A receiver should detect in a mandatory mode the messages sent using the same PHY profile
Optional

• A Receiver may implement only one of the time or frequency domain energy keying.
MAC Level support

• BS Transmission for CMI
  – Needs a preamble for detection of the PHY mode and frequency synchronization
  – One single PHY mode possible?
  – Establish the DIUC a-priory
  – MAP for UL scheduling
    • Intra-system MAP relevance – to be establish as a permanent MAP for CMI
UL transmission

• Preamble needed?
  – Frequency synchronization?

• Should be defined a MAC header for packets intended to other BS?
  – 802.16 systems, but not 802.16h systems can still operate in parallel
DL Reception

• **BS -> SS**
• The SS should be instructed to receive the BSD messages
  – By the attribute of the CXZ
    • Different attributes to different control channel slots
• Should be defined a MAC header for packets intended to other BS?
  – 802.16 systems, which are not 802.16h systems can still operate in parallel
UL Reception

- SS -> BS
- Connection ID
  - A special one should be defined
    - For the existing standard, UL broadcast Connection-ID is valid?
CXZ Attributes

- MAC level support of CMI/CSI should be defined by giving attributes to the CXZone. By including the specific attribute in a MAP, a foreign system will be able to detect the messages transmitted during the CMI/CSI interval. Different codes should be attributed for CSI use of frequency or time keying. A BS will be able to schedule these intervals if they have absolute timing.