2007-02-13 IEEE L802.16-07/007



#### INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION

## RADIOCOMMUNICATION STUDY GROUPS

21<sup>ST</sup> MEETING OF WORKING PARTY 8F YAOUNDÉ, CAMEROON, 17-25 JANUARY 2007 Revision 1 to Document 8F/TEMP/504-E 24 January 2007 English only

### **Working Party 8F**

## LIAISON STATEMENT FROM ITU-R WORKING PARTY 8F| TO IEEE AND WIMAX FORUM

# Acknowledgement of the receipt of the submission of a proposal for the 6<sup>th</sup> IMT-2000 terrestrial radio interface and request for clarification on outstanding issues

ITU-R WP 8F acknowledges the receipt of the submission of the proposed new IMT-2000 terrestrial radio interface referred to as "IP-OFDMA" intended for inclusion in Recommendation ITU-R M.1457.

ITU-R WP8F at its 21<sup>st</sup> meeting (17<sup>th</sup> to 25<sup>th</sup> January 2007, Yaoundé) reviewed and discussed this submission and has identified the following issues for clarification:

### **Issues regarding Document 8F/1065 (from IEEE)**

• Attachment 1: ITU-R WP 8F considers the proponent for this submission to be "IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access" and the "WiMax-Forum". Please confirm with an update to relevant Attachment 2 of 8/LCCE/47

### Issues regarding Document 8F/1075 (from WiMAX Forum)

- ITU-R WP 8F would like to be informed on the future planned joint work-programme with other proponents.
- In the chapter "Enhanced performance capabilities" the contributor references "in Recommendation ITU-R M.1645, it is anticipated that the capabilities of IMT-2000, currently up to about 14 Mbit/s, will be extended even further up to approximately 30 Mbit/s by around the year 2005". WP 8F assumes that these figures and dates have been included by copy-and-paste from ITU-R M.1645 and therefore should have been in quotation marks. If this is not the case, ITU-R WP8F would like to ask for further clarification.

### Issues regarding Document 8F/1079(Rev.1) (from WiMAX Forum)

• In chapter 2.2. "Requirements and Objectives Template", Tables 8 to 10 provide information on the achievement of various requirements and objectives. ITU-R WP 8F would like to ask for an additional column with "justification" for each result.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "IP-OFDMA" is the name used by the proponents as taken by reference from the submission, not concluding on the naming of the possible new radio interface. The name of the possible new radio interface in ITU-R M.1457 will have to be agreed in the future by ITU-R WP 8F.