Correction in PUSC Renumbering Formula

Re: Response to the call for contributions to IEEE Standard 802.16-2004, IEEE 802.16maint-04/01, 2004-08-04.
Header error fix to IEEE 802.16maint-04/08.

This contribution describes the error in PUSC cluster renumbering formula.

To incorporate the text modification proposed in this contribution into IEEE 802.16REVd standard.

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE’s name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE’s sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures <http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <mailto:chair@wirelessman.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices>.
Correction in PUSC Renumbering Formula

Sean Cai, Wenzhong Zhang, Jing Wang, Jason Hou,
Jun Han, Dazi Feng, Irving Wang

ZTE San Diego Inc.

1. Problem Statement

In Table 308 of IEEE P802.16-REVd/D5-2004, the renumbering sequence for PUSC is provided to renumber clusters before subcarrier allocation to subchannels. However in 8.4.6.1.2.1.1 Downlink subchannels subcarrier allocation in PUSC this Renumbering Sequence is used to renumber the physical clusters into logical clusters as a formula, in which IDcell is a variable for generating different mapping sequences of logical clusters. Therefore, for 2 BS (BS-A and BS-B) using 2 different IDcell values, the same Group number (0 … 5) in BS-A will have different physical clusters allocated than that of BS-B. The same sector number of these two BS will contain different physical clusters, in other words, sector 0 of BS-A will not be orthogonal to sector 1 and 2 of BS-B. The renumbering makes it VERY DIFFICULT or IMPOSSIBLE for 3-sector cell planning in the multicell deployment due to severe inter-cell interference, however multiple 3-sector cell deployment is very common especially in mobile networks.

Here provided is an example of typical multiple 3-sector cell deployment, as shown in Figure 1 (circled in blue). The physical cluster numbers in red are the common physical clusters that will cause interference among these sectors.

Physical Clusters (Sector = 2, IDcell = 0):

114 3 92 80 55 88 41 98 94 100 70 64 68 11 118 25 110 62 33 84 5 43 66 112

Physical Clusters (Sector = 1, IDcell = 2):

39 77 100 26 79 55 53 43 35 18 110 51 30 4 106 61 41 57 112 63 33 82 27 11

Physical Clusters (Sector = 0, IDcell = 3):

80 9 74 58 78 3 81 107 68 7 95 52 60 70 72 36 103 117 34 56 15 24 113 101
2. Proposed solutions

Based on the interference caused by the renumbering formula, we propose to remove the formula, using the only default renumber sequence in Table 308 of IEEE P802.16-REVd/D5-2004. With this change, the physical cluster assignment to the 6 cluster groups (Group 0 to 5) are fixed; all the different group number subcarrier allocation will be orthogonal to each other, with the same or different IDcell number assignment. The same example in Figure 1 (circled in blue) can be used here. There are no colliding physical clusters from different sectors.

Physical Clusters (Sector = 2, IDcell = 0):
114  3  92  80  55  88  41  98  94  100  70  64  68  11  118  25  110  62  33  84  5
43  66  112

Physical Clusters (Sector = 1, IDcell = 2):
65  103  6  52  105  81  79  69  61  44  16  77  56  30  12  87  67  83  18  89  59  108
53  37
Physical Clusters (Sector = 0, IDcell = 3):
119  48  113  97  117  42  0  26  107  46  14  91  99  109  111  75  22  36  73  95  54  63  32  20

The interference caused by the sectors with the same group numbers of different BS, typically from second tier cells (such as sector 0 of IDcell 12 BS to sector 0 of IDcell 0 BS, as depicted in Figure 1), can still be mitigated with the help of current subcarriers permutation scheme defined in the standard; sectors from different cells may have the same physical clusters however their logical subchannels can be different due to intra-group data subcarrier permutation based on different IDcell numbers.

3. Specific text changes

[Modify the following text to section 8.4.6.1.2.1.1 Downlink subchannels subcarrier allocation in PUSC [1] bullet 2) ]

===' Start text changes =====

2) Renumbering the physical clusters into logical clusters using the following formula:
   LogicalCluster = RenumberingSequence( (PhysicalCluster+13*IDcell) mod 120)
   In the first PUSC zone of the downlink (first downlink zone) the default used IDcell is 0.

===' End text changes =====
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