Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group http://ieee802.org/16
Title	Problems with DBPC Messages
Date Submitted	2004-11-10
Source(s)	David A Castelow, Gavin Meakes Voice: +44 1895 467281 Fax: +44 1895 467202
	Airspan Communications,mailto:dcastelow@airspan.comCambridge House, Oxford Road,Uxbridge, UK
Re:	Supporting document for Reply to Comment
Abstract	Changes required in order to enable good operation of 802.16 systems.
Purpose	The document is intended for consideration within the comments resolution process.
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < <u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html></u> , including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < <u>mailto:chair@wirelessman.org></u> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < <u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices></u> .

Problems with DBPC Messages

David A Castelow, Gavin Meakes Airspan November 2004

References

[1] IEEE, "IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems," IEEE Std 802.16-2004.

[2] Yuval Lomnitz, Comment 084, 802.16maint-04/04r5.

[3] Yuval Lomnitz, Yigal Eliaspur, Dov Andelman, "DL Burst profile selection unsuitable for OFDMA," IEEE C802.16maint-04/13, 2004-08-19.

[4] IEEE, "IEEE Draft Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems," IEEE P802.16-REVd/D5-2004.

Introduction

The changes proposed in this document are to correct errors in the specification and usage of the DBPC-REQ and DBPC-RSP messages as described in IEEE 802.16-2004 [1, 4].

Description of Problem

The DBPC messages are sent by an SS when it receives data carried on a DIUC whose modulation is either more or less robust than the terminal estimates it can deal with. When the SS can receive data using a less robust modulation than the data it is receiving, it is REQUIRED to send a request to increase the data rate. This will include broadcast data. As a result broadcast data will cause a storm of DBPC-REQ messages flooding the uplink. The solution is not to exclude the message, as has been proposed in [2, 3], but to modify the description of the use of the DBPC-REQ/RSP messages (sections 6.3.2.3.20 and 6.3.2.3.21) and the associated STL diagrams and operational description in section 6.3.10.1. In this way the changes are also applicable to all PHY modes: certainly the OFDM PHY will suffer the problem along with the OFDMA PHY.

Do Not Remove the Message

I do not think the changes in [2,3] are complete or appropriate for the following reasons:

- 1. The changes in [2.3] are PHY specific: the problem is there regardless of the PHY mode.
- 2. According to section 6.3.10.1, the unsolicited RNG-REQ can only be sent in a contended Initial Ranging Interval, whereas the DBPC-REQ can be sent in allocated transmission opportunities.
- 3. A mimimal RNG-REQ is larger than the DBPC-REQ (40 bits cf 24 bits)).

Text Changes

Text changes are relative to [4].

Page 74, Line 11:

The DBPC-REQ message is sent by the SS to the BS on the SS's Basic CID to request a change of the <u>least robust</u> downlink burst profile used by the BS to transport data to the SS.

Page 75:

Page 202:

Page 203: Figure 79 needs to indicate action of SS in event of non-detection of DBPC-RSP.

Page 204: Figure 80 needs to indicate action of SS in the event of the BS refusing the DBPC-REQ.

Figures 79 and 80 need to include Timers so that BS is not flooded with requests. This will require additional timers to be defined.