Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group http://ieee802.org/16 >			
Title	Closed loop power control for FDD			
Date Submitted	2008-01-17			
Source(s)	Jaehee Cho Voice: +82-31-279-5596			
	June Moon			
	YongSeok Kim			
	Kwanhee Roh			
	Changyoon Oh			
	Samsung Electronics #416, Maetan-3dong, Yeongtong-gu Suwon-city, South Korea			
Re:	P802.16Rev2/D1			
Abstract	This contribution provides new MAP IE for closed loop power control. It shows performance gain of closed loop power control over open loop power control in FDD mode. The performance gain motivates a frequent transmission of closed loop power control command. The proposed new MAP IE provides sufficiently lower overhead compared to the current power control messages in the specifications.			
Purpose	Discuss and adopt			
Notice	This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group or any of its subgroups. It represents only the views of the participants listed in the "Source(s)" field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion. It is not binding on the contributor(s), who reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.			
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.			
Patent Policy	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures: <http: bylaws="" guides="" sect6-7.html#6="" standards.ieee.org=""> and <http: guides="" opman="" sect6.html#6.3="" standards.ieee.org="">. Further information is located at <http: board="" pat="" pat-material.html="" standards.ieee.org=""> and <http: board="" pat="" standards.ieee.org="">. <http: board="" pat="" standards.ieee.org="">. and</http:></http:></http:></http:></http:>			

Closed loop power control for FDD

Jaehee Cho, June Moon, Yongsuk Kim, Kwanhee Rho, Changyoon Oh Samsung Electronics

1. Introduction

It can be shown that fast power control brings benefit to UL channels having the characteristics of circuit mode like CQICH, ACKCH and possibly persistent allocation scheme being considered. For the fast power control, we need to compensate the UL fast fading and thus to use closed loop power control (CLPC) instead of open loop power control (OLPC) in FDD mode. It is because OLPC can't compensate the UL fast fading due to the lack of reciprocity of DL/UL channel in FDD mode. Further, for the fast power control, the frequent transmissions of power control command to MSs are needed. However, such frequent transmissions of the current power control messages defined in IEEE 802.16e specification cause large overhead. In this contribution, firstly, I am going to show the benefit of the fast power control: performance gain of the fast power control over slow power control. Then, the problems of overhead of the current power control messages will be provided. A new efficient power control message (MAP IE) will be proposed to improve the problem. Lastly, the proposed text for the new power control message will be present.

2. Benefit of fast power control

In FDD mode, OLPC can only compensate the long term variation of the channel due to the lack of reciprocity. However, CLPC can compensate the short term variation of the channel as well as long term variation at the expense of frequent power correction transmissions. For the performance comparison, the following operation scenarios of OLPC and CLPC in FDD mode are assumed:

- OLPC
 - Only compensate long term path loss and shadowing
 - UL channel estimate is obtained from the DL channel estimation and no delay is assumed between DL estimation and applying OLPC to UL
 - Ideal UL channel estimate is assumed
- Fast CLPC
 - Compensate fast fading as well as path loss and shadowing
 - BS estimate the UL channel and send power control command to MS
 - 1 or 2 frame delay (5 or 10ms power control delay) is assumed between UL estimation and applying CLPC to UL
 - Ideal UL channel estimate is assumed

We performed link level simulation to evaluate the SNR gain of fast CLPC over OLPC. Reflecting the operation scenario above, followings are assumed: 1) only fast fading is applied for the simulation, 2) constant Tx power is assumed for the OLPC, 3) power control applied for CLPC in every frame with appropriate channel estimate delay.

The following table shows SNR gain of fast CLPC over OLPC to meet the SER = 1% for CQICH and ACKCH defined in IEEE 802.16e specifications. For each channel, Ped-A and Ped-B 3km/h are assumed and 1 and 2 frame delay are applied only for fast CLPC.

Control CH type	Channel type	CLPC (1 frame delay)	CLPC (2 frame delay)
CQICH	Ped-A 3km/h	4.7dB	3.5dB

	Ped-B 3km/h	1.9dB	1.6dB
АСКСН	Ped-A 3km/h	4.0dB	3.2dB
	Ped-B 3km/h	1.6dB	1.5dB

As can be seen, up to 4.7 dB SNR gain is observed in fast CLPC with 1 frame delay. Even with 2 frame delay, 3.5 dB SNR gain is observed. The performance degradation of OLPC comes from that OLPC have to take fading margin to meet the SER requirements. Though not present, the similar results are expected for the persistent allocation scheme being considered. It is clear from the simulation result that fast CLPC in FDD mode bring SNR gain over OLPC and the SNR gain will guarantee better link performance for UL control channel and more VoIP users in the persistent resource allocation mode.

3. Proposed solution

3.1 Review of the current power control commands

For the fast CLPC, BS needs to send power control message to correct MS's transmitting power. In IEEE 802.16e specifications defines the following power control messages:

- 1) FPC (fast power control) message (6.3.2.3.34)
- 2) Power Control IE (8.4.5.4.5)
- 3) UL-MAP Fast Tracking IE (8.4.5.4.22)
 - A. It can be sent to a MS only when there was UL burst for the MS in two frame earlier.
 - B. It is not appropriate for CLPC

Assuming 25 power control commands have to be sent to MSs, the following table shows overhead due to the power control commands. 1/2-QPSK with 6 repetitions assumed since PC_IE and FPC message is broadcasting signaling. From the table above, it is needed to improve power control command overhead.

	No. bits	Req. No. OFDM symbols
Power control IE	1100 bit	9.17 symbols
FPC message	672it	5.60 symbols

3.2 Proposed power control command scheme

Speculating the FPC message and Power control IE, the overhead mainly comes from CID to identify a MS for the power control command to be delivered. We propose new power control signaling scheme that does not need the explicit identifier to identify a MS for the power control command to be delivered. The main idea is to explicit CQICH in UL CQICH region in the following ways:

- 1) CQICH is sent from MS to BS in periodic manner where the power control measurement can be performed to compensate the fast fading
- 2) Each MS for which a CQICH is allocated knows an index of the CQICH used to send CQI in a frame. If power control commands to MSs are arranged in the order of MSs corresponding to the CQICH in the CQICH region in a specific frame, MS can take the corresponding power control command without explicit MS identifier.

The following diagram illustrates the concept of the proposed power control signaling scheme. In Frame N-3, N MSs send CQI in CQICHs in UL CQICH region. In frame N, a power control bitmap is constructed. In the

bitmap, total N 2-bits power control commands are arranged in the same MS order as in the CQICH region in the Frame N-3. No explicit MS identifier is needed to deliver the power control command to a MS.



For this end, we propose the following UL_PC_Bitmap_IE:

Syntax	Size	Notes
UL_PC_Bitmap_IE () {		
Extended-2 UIUC	4 bits	Fast power control = $0x0B$
Length (L)	8 bits	Length in bytes
Power Control Bitmap	C*(B+1) bits	It is the sequence of power control commands with (B+1) bits each. No. of power control command(C) is Round[(8*length(L))/(B+1)] Depending on 'B', (B+1) bits power control command shall be interpreted as follows: B=0x00: 1 bit, '0':-0.5dB, '1':+0.5dB; B=0x01: 2 bits, '00':-0.5dB, '01': 0dB, '10':+0.5dB, '11':+1.0dB B=0x02: 3 bits, '000':-1.5dB ~ '111':+2.0dB, step size=0.5dB B=0x03: 4 bits, '0000':-3.5dB ~ '1111':+4.0dB, step size=0.5dB
Reserved	R bits	Shall be set to zero R is 8*Length(L) – C*(B+1)
}		

Power Control Bitmap

It is the sequence of C power control commands with (B+1) bits each. The j-th power control command is a power adjustment to the MS corresponding to the MS that transmitted the i-th CQICH on CQICH region in the (N-Frame offset)-th frame. N is the frame number of the current frame carrying this UL_PC_Bitmap_IE. No. PC command bits (B) and Frame offset are sent in UCD.

4. Improved overhead performance of the Proposed solution

Assuming 25 power control commands have to be sent to MSs, the following table shows overhead due to the power control commands. 1/2-QPSK with 6 repetitions and Ped-B 3km/h channel are assumed. Different from the previous overhead calculation for FPC message, FPC is sent only when the accumulation of power control corrections is larger than 1 dB. On average, only 8.1 MSs among 25 MSs needs to send the power control commands per frame. For UL_PC_Bitmap_IE, it is assumed that all 25 power control commands are sent in

every frame.

	No. bits	Avg. Req. No. OFDM symbols
FPC (1dB accumulation)	265 bits	2.21 symbols
UL_PC_Bitmap_IE (B=1)	64 bits	0.53 symbols
UL_PC_Bitmap_IE (B=2)	88 bits	0.73 symbols

The proposed power control command message shows superior overhead performance over the current FPC. It is worth noting that an effective number of MSs that can be sent with the proposed scheme is larger than number of CQICH channels. It is because CQICH allocation can be made with period larger than 1 frame depending the MS's mobility. For example, when the allocation periods of the CQICH is 2 frames, the proposed scheme can carry 50 power control commands with the same overhead.

5. Proposed text

[Add the following text at the end of 8.4.5.4.28 on page 936]

<u>Syntax</u>	Size	Notes
UL_PC_Bitmap_IE () {		
Extended-2 UIUC	4 bits	Fast power control = $0x0B$
Length (L)	<u>8 bits</u>	Length in bytes
Power Control Bitmap	<u>C*(B+1)</u> bits	It is the sequence of power control commands with (B+1) bits each. No. of power control command(C) is Round[(8*length(L))/(B+1)] Depending on 'B', (B+1) bits power control command shall be interpreted as follows: B=0x00: 1 bit, '0':-0.5dB, '1':+0.5dB; B=0x01: 2 bits, '00':-0.5dB, '01': 0dB, '10':+0.5dB, '11':+1.0dB B=0x02: 3 bits, '000':-1.5dB ~ '111':+2.0dB, step size=0.5dB B=0x03: 4 bits, '0000':-3.5dB ~ '1111':+4.0dB, step size=0.5dB
Reserved	<u>R bits</u>	Shall be set to zero R is $8*$ Length(L) – C*(B+1)
}		

8.4.5.4.29 UL_PC_Bitmap_IE_

Power Control Bitmap

It is the sequence of C power control commands with (B+1) bits each. The j-th power control command is a power adjustment to the MS corresponding to the MS that transmitted the i-th CQICH on CQICH region in the (N-Frame offset)-th frame. N is the frame number of the current frame carrying this UL_PC_Bitmap_IE. No. PC command bits (B) and Frame offset are sent in UCD.

[Add the following text at the end of table 610 on page 1171]

Name	<u>Type</u> (1 byte)	Length	Value
Frame offset	<u>214</u>	<u>1</u>	The offset between corresponding CQI channel and current frame. 0x0 shall not be used.

IEEE C802.16maint-08/001

No. PC command bits (B)	<u>215</u>	<u>1</u>	0x00: 1 bits, '0':-0.5dB, '1':+0.5dB; 0x01: 2 bits, '00':-0.5dB, '01': 0dB, '10':+0.5dB, '11':+1.0dB 0x02: 3 bits, '000':-1.5dB ~ '111':+2.0dB, step size=0.5dB 0x03: 4 bits, '0000':-3.5dB ~'1111':+4.0dB, step size=0.5dB 0x04~0xFF: Reserved
----------------------------	------------	----------	---