Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group
Title	System Requirements Minutes for 802.16 Session #4
Date Submitted	1999-11-10
Source	Carl Eklund Voice: +358-40-7499 036 Nokia Research Center Fax: +358-9-4376 6851 P.O. Box 407 E-mail: carl.eklund@nokia.com FIN-00045 Helsinki, Finland
Re:	
Abstract	Minutes of the 802.16 system requirements task group., 1999-11-8, Hyatt Regency Kauai.
Purpose	To provide a correct record of events during the above meeting
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.
Release	The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by 802.16.
IEEE Patent Policy	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE Patent Policy, which is set forth in the IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws < <a href="http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws">http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws</a> > and includes the statement: "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, if there is technical justification in the opinion of the standards-developing committee and provided the IEEE
	receives assurance from the patent holder that it will license applicants under reasonable terms and conditions for the purpose of implementing the standard."

# Minutes of 802.16 system requirements task group meeting 8.11.1999

Author: Carl Eklund Edited by: George Fishel, Brian Petry

#### Introduction

This document contains the minutes from IEEE 802.16 System requirements meeting session#4 Kauai Monday 8.11.99

## **Meeting opening**

The meeting was opened by a status report given by the task group Chair SysReq Task Group, George Fishel. He presented the meeting agenda document IEEE 802.16S-99/02R1 a motion was made and seconded in the 802.16 plenary meeting to accept the document.

#### **Record of events**

Brian Kiernan made a motion to approve the minutes of meeting #3

Ray Sanders seconded Brian's motion. During the discussion an error was detected in the document. A change of comment number from 47 to 48 was made to correct an error in the minutes. Approval of the minutes was postponed to later in the meeting as the second had stepped out of the room and was not able to approve the change.

### **Comment resolution**

These comments are comments on the document 802.16s0-99/5 submitted by e-mail prior to the comment submission deadline. The comments are referred to by number as they appear in the comment database. Comments were either accepted as such, accepted with modifications or rejected via a meeting vote.

Brian Petry reported on the on-line resolution of comments to 802.16s0-99/4 that had taken place between sessions #3 and session #4.

Motion to ratify on-line comments by Brian Petry to ratify the accepted 94 comments Seconded by Brian Kiernan

Comments accepted by unanimous voice vote.

Brian Petry gave an overview of the draft #5 document containing the 94 comments and explained that that it was posted to the Web site and comments were received. The following is a tally of the comments received:

78 comments total received on draft #5.

- 5 editorial comments were duplicates
- 2 technical comments were duplicates
- 2 technical comments have no specific edit proposed (should be rejected)

#### Current status:

- 37 technical comments to resolve
- 32 editorial comments that should be easy to resolve

• These comments have be edited into a new draft (based on draft #5) red-lined in a different color

Motion by Tom Kolze: To accept the 32 editorial comments as a block and allow the editor to incorporate into revision #6 of the document.

Second John Liebtreu

Motion carries with a voice vote with one opposing vote and no abstains.

The Chair introduced a requirements summary document of the SHALL, SHOULD, MUST, and MAY statements contained in the document to be included as an appendix to the document.

Motion by Brian Petry: To accept the requirements summary appendix and instruct the editor to maintain its validity as the document changes.

Second by: Jung Yee

Accepted by voice vote with 1 abstain.

Start of comment resolution for the 37 comments on draft #5 document. Member were instructed the if the comment maker is present, please propose a motion to accept your comment. If the comment maker is not present, any voting member can champion a comment and propose it be accepted by the task group.

Comment 309 Motion by: Marianna Goldhammer to accept.

Seconded by: David Falconer

Friendly amendment by Bruce Currivan accepted by maker

Motion to amend comment 309 by: Scott Marin, delete the second sentence.

Second by: Tom Kolze

19 in favor of the amendment, 2 opposed 0 abstains

Amendment accepted.

Motion by Nico van Waes to remove telecommuters from comment 309. James Mollenauer seconds 5 in favor 13 against 4 abstains Motion Rejected

Final vote on comment 309. 14 in favor, 9 in opposition, Motion fails due to 75% majority required for technical change.

Comment 338 Motion to accept by Brian Petry Second by Nico van Waes 18 in favor 0 in opposition 2 abstains Comment 338 adopted.

Comment 310 Motion to accept by Marianna Goldhammer Second by Naftali Chayat 5 in favor, 10 opposed, 6 abstains Comment 310 Rejected

Comment 325 Motion to accept by Scott Marin

Seconded by Tom Kolze

Friendly Amendment by Carl Eklund accepted maker and second.

Reads Fixed wireless access (e.g. 802.16.1 P-MP radio)

17 in favor, 8 opposed, 0 abstained 75 % majority required for technical change.

Comment 325 fails.

Comment 353 Motion to accept by Scott Marin,

Second by Gene Robinson

Amendment by Bruce Currivan, atmospheric conditions(especially rainfall)

Second by Tom Kolze

13 in favor, 10 opposed

Failed due to 75% technical change rule

20 in favor 2 opposed 4 abstains

Comment 353 accepted as presented.

Comment 326 Motion to accept by Scott Marin

Seconded by John Liebtreu

20 in favor, 0 opposed, 2 abstains

Comment 326 accepted.

Comment 328 Motion to accept by Scott Marin

Seconded by Nico van Waes

20 in favor 0 opposed 0 abstains

Comment 328 accepted.

Comment 311 Motion to accept by Marianna Goldhammer

Seconded by Naftali Chayat

8 in favor 9 opposed, 0 abstains

Comment 311 rejected.

Comment 341 also rejected as a duplicate to 311.

Comment 342 Motion to accept by Phil Guillemette

Seconded by Marianna Goldhammer

15 in favor, 1 opposed, 2 abstains

Comment 342 accepted.

Comment 343 Motion to accept by Phil Guillemette

Seconded by Nico van Waes

18 in favor 1 opposed 0 abstains

Comment 343 accepted.

Comment 355 Motion to accept by Brian Kiernan

Seconded by Phil Guillemette

15 in favor 0 opposed 3 abstains

Comment 355 accepted

Comment 356 (corrected) Motion to accept Brian Kiernan Seconded by Jung Yee 13 in favor 4 in opposition 1 Abstain Comment 356 accepted

Comment 344 Motion to accept by Phil Guillemette Seconded by Nico van Waes 14 in favor, 0 agaist, 2 abstains Comment 344 approved

Comment 345 Motion to accept Phil Guillemette Seconded by Nico van Waes Voice vote passed by acclamation Comment 345 accepted

Comment 346 Motion to accept by Phil Guillmette Seconded by Scott Marin 11 in favor, 10 opposed, 0 abstains 75% rule for technical changes. Comment 346 rejected

Motion to break for dinner Accepted

Comment 312 rejected

Meeting resumed Comment 347 Motion to accept by Phil Guillemette Seconded by Carl Eklund 9 in favor, 3 opposed, 1 abstained Comment 347 accepted

Comment 312 Motion to accept Marianna Goldhammer Naftali Chayat seconded Amendment by Jay Klein Seconded by John Liebtreu Question called with one person opposed 14 in favor, 0 opposed, no abstains Amendment accepted. Friendly amendment by Hadad ... Amendment accepted Question is called with one person opposed Vote on main motion 0 in favor, 15 opposed no abstains

Comment 313 Motion to accept by Marianna Goldhammer Seconded by: Naftal Chayat Friendly amendment by Jay Klein accepted Call the question. Question is called by voice vote 4 in favor 13 opposed, 0 abstains Comment 313 rejected

Comment 348 Motion to accept by Phil Guillemette Seconded by Nico van Waes 14 in favor, 3 opposed, 0 abstains Comment 348 accepted

Comment 314a Motion to accept by Marianna Goldhammer Seconded by Phil Guillemette 6 in favor, 9 opposed, 1 abstain Comment 314a rejected

Comment 314b Motion to accept by Marianna Goldhammer Seconded by Tom Kolze 4 in favor 2 opposed motion fails 75% rule on technical changes. Comment 314b failed

Comment 349 Motion to accept by Phil Guillemette Seconded by Brian Petry 9 in favor, 5 opposed, 75% rule for technical changes. Comment 349 rejected.

Comment 359 similar to previously accepted comment. Voice vote comment accepted unanimously.

Comment 330 Motion to accept by Scott Marin Seconded by Ray Sanders Voice vote unanimously approve comment. Comment 330 accepted.

Comment 315 Motion to accept by Marianna Goldhammer Seconded by Naftali Chayat Friendly amendment by Phil Guillemette rejected Call the question. Question was called by voice vote. 1 in favor, 16 against, no abstains Comment 315 rejected

Comment 363 similar to previously accepted comment. Voice vote comment accepted unanimously.

Comment 362 similar to previously accepted comment. Voice vote comment accepted unanimously.

Comment 360 similar to previously accepted comment. Voice vote comment accepted unanimously.

Comment 361 similar to previously accepted comment. Voice vote comment accepted unanimously.

Comment 300 Motion to accept by Scott Marin
Seconded by Ray Sanders
Friendly amendment by Brian Petry to delete sentence Starting with "system delay..." and fix grammar in paragraph following" accepted
13 in favor, 1 against, no abstains
Comment 300 accepted

Comment 366 similar to previously accepted comment. Voice vote comment accepted unanimously.

Comment 318 Motion to accept by Scott Marin Seconded by:Gene Robinson Amendments by Ray Sanders and Ken Lazaris-Brunner accepted Comment 318 accepted by unanimous voice vote

Comment 317 Motion to accept by Marianna Goldhammar Seconded by Naftali Chayat Friendly amendment by Jung Yee accepted. 5 in favor, 12 opposed, no abstains Comment 317 rejected

Comment 316 Motion to accept by Marianna Goldhammer Seconded by Naftali Chayat 3 in favor, 11 in opposition, 1 abstain Comment 316 rejected

Comment 308 Motion to accept by Phil Guillemette Seconded by Brian Petry 9 in favor 5 opposed 75% rule for technical changes Comment 308 rejected

Comment 319 Motion to accept by Scott Marin Seconded by Gene Robinson 13 in favor, 2 opposed Comment 319 accepted

Comment 320 Motion to accept by Scott Marin Seconded by Gene Robinson 11 in favor 2 against, no abstains Comment 320 accepted

Comment 332 Motion to accept by Scott Marin Gene Robinson seconds Friendly amendment by Ray Sanders accepted 12 in favor 1 in oppositiom Comment 332 accepted

Comment 371 Motion to approve by Phil Guillemette Seconded by Ray Sanders Voice vote Unanimously accepted Comment 371 accepted

All filed comments on document 802.16s0-99/5 resolved at this point.

The motion to accept minutes of session#3 previously postponed was revived. The minutes of session#3 were unanimously accepted by voice vote.

#### Motion by Nico van Waes:

To accept the edited draft#5,802.16s0-99/5 (will be 802.16s0-99/6) and submit it to the 802.16 working group for their acceptance and to move before the 802.16 working group:

"To accept 802.16s0-99/6 document as a binding document for development of the 802.16.1 air interface."

Seconded by Gene Robinson Call the question. Question called by voice vote 11 in favor 2 opposed, no abstains

Motion carries to move document 802.16s0-99/6 forward in the 802.16 closing plenary on Thursday afternoon.

802.16 Chair urged the task group to make a report of reasons for negative votes and submit these together with the motion above.

Next meeting: The chair proposed that the System Requirements Task Group tentative plan to meet at the Dallas interim meeting in January.

Motion to Adjourn Seconded Accepted. Meeting adjourned at approximately 23:15.

Item Number: 300 Commentor Name: Scott

Page Number 18 Line Number: 4

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Delete the delay definitions, lines 4 through 11.

The delay definitions need improvement. For example, the phrase "ready to transmit" and "actually begins transmission" can be interpreted in several way and needs a more precise definition. In addition, the end-to-end delay from BNI to SNI and SNI to BNI contains functions outside of the MAC and PHY for which no delay assumptions or allocation is provided. In Table 1, it's not clear which, if any, of the delay definitions are being used. The actual delay through the MAC and PHY are not defined, which is probably the most important definition for 802.16 to get correct. The notion of delay for initially configuring a connection versus the steady state delay during payload transmission is not defined.

Roger

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

Notes:

Item Number: 301 Commentor Name: Marks

Page Number 0 Line Number: 0

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Global replacement of "802.16" with "802.16.1". For consistency with the new PAR number (802.16.1) to refer to the

Air Interface standard.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Notes:

Item Number: 302 Commentor Name: Marks

Page Number 4 Roger Line Number: 20

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

The term "LMDS" is used nowhere else in the document (except in the In Figure 1-1, delete "LMDS".

references). It is not defined, it does not add value, and it detracts from the global nature of the result since it is often

associated with one particular nation.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 303 Commentor Name: Fishel

George Page Number 5

Line Number: 1

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Replace "Fixed Wireless Access" in the Wireless Solutions column of figure 1 It was always intended that one of the areas that would be serviced by our with "802.16P-MP Radio". standard would be the residential market.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Item Number: 304 Commentor Name: Fishel

George Page Number 7

Line Number: 6

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Remove sentence that reads "Typical bands allocated for 802.16 use are very wide, allowing for the bands to be channilized."

The bands are not allocated for 802.16 use they are allocated for LMDS use.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Notes:

Item Number: 305 Commentor Name: Fishel

Page Number 8 George

Line Number: 21

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Delete the sentence "The resultant topology is very similar the Hybrid fiber

The topology is in no way the same as an HFC network. Some of the access Coax (HFC) cable TV network. protocol may be similar but that is the only reason they are alike.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 306 Commentor Name: Fishel

George Page Number 16

Line Number: 40

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Errored seconds is not a measurement of availability. The paragraph is in error. Delete the Paragraph from line 40 to 44.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 Date Resolved:

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Notes:

Item Number: 307 Commentor Name: Fishel

Page Number 20 George Line Number: 6

**Description of Edi** 

Change the column hearing "Bitrate(s)" to "throughput rate(s)" The over-the-air bit rate contains additional overhead bits for things like forward error correction that should not be included in this column.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 308 Commentor Name: Guillemette Phil

Page Number 19 Line Number: 35

**Description of Edi** 

Insert "In addition to the QoS requirements listed in Table 1, the MAC and PHY must ensure an upper bound on the jitter that they add to the traffic. Although the MAC jitter, other than that which is added due to scheduling, can be virtually removed by dejitter buffering, the buffering must not cause

the delay bound to be exceeded."

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

Reason for Edit:

Reason for Edit:

This should be added to ensure that the jitter requirements are met for the different QoS. Ideally, values should be included in the table; however, I was unable to find an accurate quantification for these values.

Item Number: 309 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 4 Line Number: 1

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change "and multi-tenant dwellings such as high rise buildings"

to ", SoHo (Small Office Home Office), Telecommuters and multi-tenant dwellings. From the deployment perspective, most of these markets are situated in residential areas."

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 310 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 5 Marianna

Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete "Line of sight constrained" from the High-Cap Access trapeze and "Must Overcome LOS" from Mass Market Access trapeze.

Technical inconsistency: there is no relation between radio propagation constraints and more or less than 2Mb/s capacity.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 311 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 9 Marianna

Line Number: 19

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete the sentence starting with "This form of digital transport"

1. The MAC protocol is not time slotted, but packet based. The video digital broadcast is not a "time-critical" service (par. 6) and

shall be passed in a regular broadcast MAC packet.

Marianna

To ensure that the standard can cover the large market segment situated

between enterprise market and the residential one.

2. The only interface point, as defined for 802 primitives, is with the MAC layer.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 312 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 12 Marianna
Line Number: 20

**Description of Edi** 

Insert: High reliability IP services shall be supported by an automatic retransmission mechanism. The number of retransmissions shall correspond to the IP datagram header type-of-service bits.

The IP datagrams shall be transported according to 802.2 type 1

procedures."

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

Reason for Edit:

data service require higher reliability than legacy services.

ARQ is used in wireless protocols to ensure the BER performance.

For time critical IP services a low ARQ number should be used.

2. Data packets should be treated as in 802.2

Marianna

Support business market requirements for VPN.

Item Number: 313 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 12 Line Number: 26

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Insert

" 3.1.6 Virtual Private Network

The 802.16 MAC and PHY shall permit VPN services. Support shall be given to IEEE 802.1q Virtual LAN protocols. Wired-line Equivalent Privacy shall be assured by adequate data encryption. The packets belonging to a VPN service shall not be mixed in a common frame with packets not belonging to the same VPN."

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 314 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 14 Marianna

Line Number: 0

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Change the Digital Audio/Video stream to exactly the same layers as 1. Does not conform with the IEEE 802 reference model ATM, LLC, STM. Also delete the explanatory text from rows 21-24.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

Notes:

Item Number: 315 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 17 Marianna

Line Number: 22

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Insert: "In order to assure this BER, the support for data retransmission Radio performance is generally defined at a BER=10exp-6 and special shall be implemented in the MAC protocols." provision should be provided for data error correction using

retransmissions.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 316 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Marianna Page Number 19 Line Number: 20

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Insert "5.9 Cost issues

In order to provide a cost-effective solution, the 802.16 PHY and MAC protocols shall permit half-duplex operation of the subscriber station."

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

11

Permit lower cost radio solutions

Item Number: 317 Commentor Name: Goldhammer

Page Number 19 Line Number: 21

**Description of Edi** 

" 5.10 Deployment issues

Large enterprises can afford the high antennae installation costs required for line-of-sight radio propagations. However, it is necessary to ensure a low cost deployment solution that utilizes near-line-of-sight propagation, based on reflections, for the residential areas. The SoHo and Telecommuter communities are generally located in the residential areas, and as such, 802.16 shall support the deployment restrictions of this geographical area. The 802.16 may draft one or two different modems, one for line-of-sight conditions encountered in business areas, and the other for near-line-of-sight and multi-pass conditions specific for residential areas, to cater for these differing applications and zones."

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 318 Commentor Name: Myers

William Page Number 19

Line Number: 35

**Description of Edi** Add to end of sentence (... various bearer services.), the words "that the PHY and

MAC SHALL provide for the wireless access section.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Resolution Status: accepted-modified Comment Type: Technical

Simplified the requirements and english grammar structure. Notes:

Item Number: 319 Commentor Name: Myers

William Page Number 20

Line Number: 6 **Description of Edi** 

In Table 1, the column heading for Delay (one way), add "Maximum" prior to

Delay.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 320 Commentor Name: Myers

Page Number 20 William

Line Number: 6

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

In the Delay column, for all instances change 10 - 20 ms to 10 ms. This establishes a defined maximum limit for delay rather than a range of values to fall into.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Reason for Edit:

Reason for Edit:

Reason for Edit:

Introduce adequate PHY requirements for the

near-line-of-sight deployment, that can give a cost effective solution for the large SoHo/small business/telecommuter market.

Marianna

There are precedents in 802.11:

3 different PHYs in the first release, more 2 PHYs in the second

This imposes the QoS parameters of Table 1 as requirements.

This establishes a maximum limit rather than a range of values.

release.

This is intended to clarify the meaning of delay to include transit delay

All figures and tables should have titles. All figures and titles should

Marin

through the MAC and PHY path for information transmission.

Item Number: 321 Commentor Name: Myers William

Page Number 20 Line Number: 8

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Add sentence after Table 1: "Note that delay refers to the transmission delay from the MAC input from the upper layer at the transmit terminal to the MAC output to the upper layer at the receive terminal for

informationtransmission. It does not include setup time, link acquisition, etc.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Notes:

Item Number: 322 Commentor Name: Scott

Page Number 2 Line Number: 28

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: All figures and tables should have titles. All figures and titles should

add figure title. "Figure 1, Relationship between 802.16 and other

Protocol Standards" be called out in the text.

be called out in the text.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 323 **Commentor Name: Scott** 

Page Number 2 Marin

Line Number: 23

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: All figures and tables should have titles. All figures and titles should

Replace the sentence "The following diagram illustrates the relationship of 802.16 protocols to other 802 standards and to the OSI model" with "The 802.16 protocols relate to other 802 standards and to the OSI

reference model as shown in Figure 1."

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 324 **Commentor Name: Scott** 

Marin Page Number 2

Line Number: 25

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Move the sentence "(The numbers in the figure refer to IEEE standard numbers.)" to the end of the Figure 1 title. Replace "(The numbers in the figure refer to IEEE standard numbers.)" with "(The numbers refer to

IEEE standard numbers.)"

be called out in the text. The parenthetic note should be with the figure.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Item Number: 325 Commentor Name: Scott

**Page Number** 5 **Line Number:** 1

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

In the Wireless Solution Column of Figure 1-2, Replace the "Fixed Wireless Access" with "Fixed Wireless Access (e.g., 802.16.1 P-MP Radio)".

Figure 1-2 in document IEEE 802.16s0-99/5 implies that 802.16 P-MP radio is difference than fixed wireless access, which it is not. The figure also implies that 802.16 doesn't apply for data rates below 2 Mbps or for the SOHO market, which is also not true. The figure was correct in document IEEE 802.16s0-99/4 which labeled both the Mass Market Access and the High-cap Access as being within the scope of 802.16.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 326

Commentor Name: Scott

Marin

Page Number 7 Line Number: 6

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete Sentence: "Typical bands allocated for 802.16 use are very wide, allowing for the bands to be channelized."

The sentence has several problems. Bands are not "allocated" for 802.16. Only the ITU "allocates" bands for use by defined radio services such as the fixed service. The phrase "very wide" is vague. The logical correctness of the argument that because bands are wide they can be channelized is incorrect. The channelization of bands has nothing to do with how wide the band is. Rather than trying to repair all of these issues, I recommend simply deleting the sentence.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

 Item Number:
 327

 Page Number:
 8

 Marin

**Page Number** 8 **Line Number:** 1

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

In Figure 2-3, replace "STS" with "subscriber station" and replace "BTS" with "base station"

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Notes:

To be consistent with text.

Item Number: 328 Commentor Name: Scott

Page Number 8 Line Number: 21

**Description of Edi** 

Delete the sentence "The resulting topology is very similar to a Hybrid Fiber Coax (HFC) cable TV network [69][69][3].

Reason for Edit:

The sentence has several editorial and technical problems. Editorially, [69] appears twice, and the sentence should end with a period instead of a comma. Grammatically, the word very in the phase "is very similar to" is odd construction and vague. If the phase "very similar to" implies "almost the same as" then I take exception to the wording because HFC networks are many differences and only a few similarities to 802.16 networks. The text needs to either explain the differences and similarities or say nothing. In the interest of time and because there is not a requirement in the line 21 sentence, I recommend simply deleting the sentence.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 Date Resolved:

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

**Item Number:** 329 Commentor Name: Scott Page Number 16 Marin

Line Number: 22

**Description of Edi** Delete the sentence "This amounts to 53 minutes of outage a year" Reason for Edit:

The sentence applied when the prior sentence called out 99.99% availability. As the prior sentence now states a range from 99.9 to 99.999%, the sentence "this amounts to 53 minutes of outage a year" is incorrect. Because the subsequent sentence put the outage time for 99.999% availability into perspective, the sentence "this amounts to 53 minutes of outage a year" can be deleted without changing the meaning of the paragraph.

Date Received: 11/3/99

**Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 330 Commentor Name: Scott Marin

Page Number 16 Line Number: 40

**Description of Edi** Delete the paragraph on lines 40-43. Reason for Edit:

The paragraph has several editorial and technical problems. Editorially, I believe that G.826 limits the errored second ratio to be at most 0.04 and that the severely errored second ratio to at most 0.002 instead of "defining" these ratios to be exactly 0.04 and 0.002, respectively. As noted in line 29, unavailability begins at the onset of 10 consecutive SES. Availability goals in the range 99.9 to 99.999% are not the same as errored second ratio or severely errored second ratio; therefore, the sentence "Note that this is below the 99.99% goal" is incorrect because 199.99% doesn't apply to ES or SES. The sentence is also vague because the pronoun "this" is unclear. Because there are no requirements in lines 40-43 and because repairing the paragraph will take some time, I recommend deleting the paragraph.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

Item Number: 331 Commentor Name: Scott

Marin Page Number 20

Line Number: 6

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Move Table 1 title from bottom to top of table. to follow conventional style for technical writing.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Resolution Status: accepted Comment Type: Editorial

Notes:

Item Number: 332 Commentor Name: Scott Marin

Page Number 20 **Line Number:** 6

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Replace "Bitrate(s)" column with "Information Rate" To make it clear that the rates are payload information rates and not the over-the-air rates that include FEC bits.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Resolution Status: accepted-modified Comment Type: Technical

Notes: MAC Payload Rate

Item Number: 333 Commentor Name: Scott Marin

Page Number 20 Line Number: 6

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Replace "Bit Error Rate" column "Maximum Ratio". Add BER to the Circuit The column contains several types of ratios in addition to BER. It's

Based rows so that BER 10^-6. incorrect to label the column only BER. In addition, the ratios are maximums.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 334

Commentor Name: Scott Page Number 20 Marin

Line Number: 6

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Replace "Delay (One way)" with "Maximum Delay (One way)" The delay requirement is a maximum and not a nominal as currently

implied by the table.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

**Item Number:** 335 Commentor Name: Scott

Page Number 20 Marin Line Number: 6

Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** 

add text to define all ratios. What are the definition of all of the ratios CMR and SECBR mean?

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Item Number: 336 Commentor Name: Yee

Page Number 1

Line Number: 39

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete "a" after "...item because" Grammar

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 337 Commentor Name: Yee

Page Number 4
Line Number: 19

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace second "Figure 1-1" with "Figure 1-2"

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 338 Commentor Name: Yee

Page Number 5

Line Number: 0

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Figure 1-2, replace "802.11 WLAN" with "WLAN"

Bluetooth and 802.11 may both meet the requirements. Leave the

description more general

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 339 Commentor Name: Yee

Page Number 17

Line Number: 22

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "bit error rate" with "bit error ratio"

To be consistent with section 10.2

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 340 Commentor Name: Yee

Page Number 19
Line Number: 26

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change "ATM" to "ATM Forum"

To be consistent with IETF mentioned in the sentence

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Phil

Phil

Item Number: 341 Commentor Name: Guillemette

Page Number 9

Line Number: 19

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete "This form ... the MAC protocol layer."

This sentence seems to imply an implementation and not a requirement.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 342 Commentor Name: Guillemette

Page Number 10 Line Number: 31

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete paragraph.

The content of the paragraph seems to fall under availability and QoS which are later in the document. It does not add any requirement and is not very

informative.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 343 Commentor Name: Guillemette

Page Number 10 Phil

Line Number: 36

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete paragraph. The information is not required.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 344 Commentor Name: Guillemette

Page Number 11 Phil

Line Number: 23

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete lines 23, 24, 25, and 26 up to "ATM standards define..." The information is not relevant to what 802.16 is trying to do.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 345 Commentor Name: Guillemette

Page Number 11 Phil

Line Number: 29

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Delete line 29 and line 30 up to "802.16 protocols..." Information is not relevant.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

Phil

Phil

Item Number: 346 Commentor Name: Guillemette

Page Number 11

Line Number: 29

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

change "SHOULD" to "MUST" This is an important service, and like IP and voice, it must be supported.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Resolution Status: rejected Comment Type: Technical

Notes:

Item Number: 347 Commentor Name: Guillemette

Page Number 11 Line Number: 32

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Delete sentence starting with "Thus". This requirement is pretty hard to test for. Not really the type of

requirement that the document is trying to highlight.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 348 Commentor Name: Guillemette

Page Number 12 Phil

Line Number: 10

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

There is no requirement in this section. Delete section (except last sentence)

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 349 Commentor Name: Guillemette

Phil Page Number 16

Line Number: 22

Line Number: 43

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

delete the two sentences starting at "This ..." This information is not required.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 350 Commentor Name: Guillemette

Phil Page Number 16

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

delete sentence beginning with "Note..." serves no purpose.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted-duplicate

Item Number: 351 Commentor Name: Guillemette

Page Number 21

Line Number: 16

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

delete until line 36 Integrated Services are not likely to play a very big role, if any at all,

in IP QoS.

Phil

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

**Item Number:** 352 **Commentor Name:** Simon

Page Number 4 Francois

Line Number: 19

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace the second "Figure 1-1" instance with "Figure 1-2"

Provide the correct market reference

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 353 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 5 Francois

Line Number: 22

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Propose to replace "rain fall" with "atmospheric conditions"

Atmospheric conditions, other than rain, such as snow, hail, fog, and even clouds may also alter the operating range of 802.16

radio

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 354 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 9 Francois

Line Number: 4

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Replace "services" with "services".

Misspelled word

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Notes:

Item Number: 355 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 11 Francois

Line Number: 12

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Remove "ISDN PRI" from the list.

The list already contains "Q.931" which is the ITU-T signaling protocol for ISDN BRI and PRI. Thus, ISDN PRI is redundant.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

Item Number: 356 Commentor Name: Simon

Francois Page Number 11

Line Number: 11

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Add "Q.2931" to the list. ATM, as mentioned, elsewhere in the document, provides support for telephony and video conferencing. It seems natural that the ATM

signaling protocol should be included here.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 357 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 11 Francois

Line Number: 36

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Add "(Q.931)" between "protocols" and "to establish" Provides the reader with a reference to the ATM message-based signaling protocol.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 358 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 13 Francois

Line Number: 5

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Add "(Q.933)" between "defined and "and in use". Provides the reader with a reference to the Frame Relay signaling protocol.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Notes:

Item Number: 359 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 15 Francois

Line Number: 22

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Replace "rain fall" with "atmospheric conditions" Atmospheric conditions, other than rain, such as snow, hail,

fog and clouds may also affect the target performance levels.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

Atmospheric conditions other than rain (snow, fog, hail, may

Item Number: 360 Commentor Name: Simon

Francois Page Number 17

Line Number: 2

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Replace "rain fall (rain rate and droplet size and other factors)" with "atmospheric conditions (e.g.: rain rate and droplet size and

contributes to excessive attenuation. other factors)".

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 361 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 17 Francois

Line Number: 3

Reason for Edit: **Description of Edi** 

Replace "rain fall" with "atmospheric conditions". Already addressed in previous comments

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 Date Resolved:

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 362 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 17 Simon

Line Number: 5

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Replace "rain fall" with "atmospheric conditions" Already addressed in previous comments

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 363 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 17 Francois

Line Number: 12

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Replace "rain fall" with "atmospheric conditions". Already addressed with previous related comments.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

Notes:

Item Number: 364 Commentor Name: Simon

François Page Number 18

Line Number: 4

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Delete the word "between" The sentence is meaningless as it stands

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Item Number: 365 Commentor Name: Simon

Francois Page Number 18

Line Number: 42

Date Received: 11/3/99

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Replace "maximum error rates" with "minimum error rates".

While it can be views both ways, a positive statement is better than a negative one!.

**Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Notes:

Item Number: 366 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 18 Francois

Line Number: 42

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Replace "rain" with "atmospheric conditions". Already addressed in previous comments

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 367 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 21 Francois

Line Number: 5

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit: Consistency with the ATM Forum definition

Moving the word "rate" at the end of "Non-Real-Time" and place it after "Variable Bit" so that the expression reads "Variable

Bit Rate: Non-Real-Time". Date Received: 11/3/99

**Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 368 Commentor Name: Simon

Francois Page Number 22

Line Number: 41

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Reorder the list in the following fashion: Fault management; Configuration management; Accounting management; Performance

management; Security

Date Received: 11/3/99

the widely used acronym FCAPS (Fault, Configuration, Accounting, Performance, Security) to specify the 5 network management disciplines.

Very minute point - This change provides the order compatible with

**Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Item Number: 369 Commentor Name: Simon Francois

Page Number 23

Line Number: 9

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Add the following, or equivalent, to the end of the paragraph: "The 802.16 protocols MUST also permits subscribers to monitor performance service levels of the 802.16 services being provided at the delivery point".

The current trend in the industry is that more and more 'users' of telecommunications services want to monitor the service being provided to them to insure compliance to the SLAs. The current text, as it stands, seems to address the 802.16 operator view point only.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 370 Commentor Name: Simon

Francois Page Number 23

Line Number: 13

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Replace "BTS" with "base station" Maintain consistency with this version of the document.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 371 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 23 François

Line Number: 22

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

No particular change proposed The 802.16 working group(s) may want to look at the work being done (if not already done so) by the 802.11 related to security.

It is not suggested here that an 802.11 network is similar to a 802.16 network. However, there may be similar security issues.

Facilitate reading of the document.

Date Received: 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Technical Resolution Status: rejected

**Notes:** 

**Item Number:** 372 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 23 Francois

Line Number: 32

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Resolution Status: accepted

Delete space between "station at" and the start of the next

line

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Notes:

Item Number: 373 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 23 Francois

Line Number: 35

**Description of Edi** Reason for Edit:

Delete the space between "station from" and the start of the Facilitate the reading of the document next line.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Item Number: 374 Commentor Name: Simon

Page Number 34 Francois

Line Number: 6

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Add "CoS - Class of Service" to the table. Provide consistency with the rest of the table; QoS is specified.

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

**Notes:** 

Item Number: 375

Commentor Name: Simon

François

Page Number 34 Line Number: 6

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Remove 'rate' at the end of "variable Bit Rate: Non-Real-Time rate" so that is reads "Variable Bite Rate: Non-Real-Time".

Date Received: 11/3/99 Date Resolved:
Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Notes:

Item Number:376Commentor Name:MarksPage Number:0Roger

Page Number 0 Line Number: 2

Description of Edi Reason for Edit:

Change title from:

"Preliminary Draft Working Document for 802.16 Broadband Wireless

Access System Requirements"

to:

"802.16.1 Functional Requirements, Rev. 0"

(1) Drop language indicative of a preliminary status.

(2) Use "Rev. 0" to allow easy migration path.

Consistency with ATM Forum Definition

(3) Use the new PAR number (802.16.1) to refer to the Air Interface standard.

(4) Replace "System Requirements" with "Functional Requirements" because:

-The document specifies the functions that the network provides rather than requirements concerning the system implementation.

-Consistent with "IEEE 802 Functional Requirements" <a href="http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/11/fureq6-8.html">http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/11/fureq6-8.html</a>>.

-Consistent with "802.14 Cable-TV Functional Requirements and Evaluation Criteria" <a href="http://www.walkingdog.com/catv/94-002.pdf">http://www.walkingdog.com/catv/94-002.pdf</a>

-"Functional Requirements" was used in several contributions in support of the development of this document, including:

\*802.16sc-99/7

Functional Requirements for the 802.16 Standard

\*802.16sc-99/5

Functional Requirements for Broadband Wireless Access Networks

\*802.16sc-99/2

802.6 Functional Requirements

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted

Item Number: 377 Commentor Name: Marks Roger

Page Number 0Line Number: 0

**Description of Edi Reason for Edit:** 

Global replacement of "System Requirements" with "Functional Requirements".

For consistency with proposed change of title to "802.16.1 Functional Requirements, Rev. 0".

**Date Received:** 11/3/99 **Date Resolved:** 

Comment Type: Editorial Resolution Status: accepted