

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 >	
Title	Interim Considerations arising from Simulations	
Date Submitted	2002-03-14	
Source(s)	Philip Whitehead Radiant Networks Plc The Mansion, Chesterford Park Little Chesterford, Essex CB10 1XL UK	Voice: +44 1799 533600 Fax: +44 1799 533601 mailto:pw@radiantnetworks.co.uk
Re:	Coexistence task group activities up to session # 18	
Abstract	This document summarizes the status of the 42 identified simulation tasks, and proposes actions to complete the outstanding tasks	
Purpose	To assist in producing a new draft coexistence recommended practice.	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	<p>The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures (Version 1.0) <http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, if there is technical justification in the opinion of the standards-developing committee and provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder that it will license applicants under reasonable terms and conditions for the purpose of implementing the standard."</p> <p>Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <mailto:r.b.marks@ieee.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, of any patents (granted or under application) that may cover technology that is under consideration by or has been approved by IEEE 802.16. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices>.</p>	

Interim Considerations from Simulations

The following table summarizes the results so far obtained from the various simulations carried out by TG2. For those cases that are not completed, various actions are proposed.

	Scenario	Frequency	Area/ channel	Conclusion/ notes	Methodology
1	PMP BS to PP	Range 2	Adjacent area, same channel	- Remi Chayer will review RABC report (pfd limits). - PW will produce a sample calculation of minimum distance	Worst case analysis
2	PMP SS to PP	Range 2	Adjacent area, same channel	- Remi Chayer will review RABC report (pfd limits). - PW will produce a sample calculation of minimum distance	Worst case analysis
3	PP to PMP BS	Range 2	Adjacent area, same channel	- Remi Chayer will review RABC report (pfd limits). - PW will produce a sample calculation of minimum distance	Worst case analysis
4	PP to PMP SS	Range 2	Adjacent area, same channel	- Remi Chayer will review RABC report (pfd limits). - PW will produce a sample calculation of minimum distance	Worst case analysis/ Monte Carlo analysis
5	PMP BS to PP	Range 2	Same area, adjacent channel	- Barry Lewis to produce calculations using IEEE 802.16 parameters, by way of example. - Refer to useful definition of scenarios and qualitative conclusions in ETSI TR 101 853(scenarios B1 – B4)	Worst case analysis
6	PMP SS to PP	Range 2	Same area, adjacent channel	- Barry Lewis to produce calculations using IEEE 802.16 parameters, by way of example. - Refer to useful definition of scenarios and qualitative conclusions in ETSI TR 101 853(scenarios B1 – B4)	Worst case analysis
7	PP to PMP BS	Range 2	Same area, adjacent channel	- Barry Lewis to produce calculations using IEEE 802.16 parameters, by way of example. - Refer to useful definition of scenarios and qualitative conclusions in ETSI TR 101 853(scenarios B1 – B4)	Worst case analysis

8	PP to PMP SS	Range 2	Same area, adjacent channel	- Barry Lewis to produce calculations using IEEE 802.16 parameters, by way of example. - Refer to useful definition of scenarios and qualitative conclusions in ETSI TR 101 853(scenarios B1 – B4)	Worst case analysis
9	PMP BS to PP multi link	Range 2	Adjacent area, same channel	(pw will work on this during the meeting)	Worst case analysis
10	PMP SS to PP multi link	Range 2	Adjacent area, same channel	(pw will work on this during the meeting)	Worst case analysis
11	PP multi link to PMP BS	Range 2	Adjacent area, same channel	Spacing of 20 – 24 km is typically required, in the absence of co-ordination (final review required)	Monte Carlo simulation
12	PP multi link to PMP SS	Range 2	Adjacent area, same channel	Spacing is usually controlled by BS interference (see 11) unless the SS antennas are on unusually high structures, in which case, spacing may have to increase to 40 – 50km	Monte Carlo simulation
13	PMP BS to PP multi link	Range 2	Same area, adjacent channel	2 channel guard band is generally required	Worst case analysis
14	PMP SS to PP multi link	Range 2	Same area, adjacent channel	2 channel guard band is generally required	Worst case analysis
15	PP multi link to PMP BS	Range 2	Same area, adjacent channel	1 channel guard band is generally required	Monte Carlo simulation
16	PP multi link to PMP SS	Range 2	Same area, adjacent channel	1 channel guard band is generally required	Monte Carlo simulation
17	BS – BS	2.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	No contributions	
18	BS – SS	2.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	No contributions	
19	SS – BS	2.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	No contributions	
20	SS – SS	2.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	No contributions	
21	BS – BS	2.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent channel	No contributions	
22	BS – SS	2.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent channel	No contributions	

23	SS – BS	2.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent channel	No contributions	
24	SS – SS	2.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent channel	No contributions	
25	BS – BS	3.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	Jack Garrison will contribute by meeting #19	Worst case analysis
26	BS – SS	3.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	Jack Garrison will contribute by meeting #19	Worst case analysis
27	SS – BS	3.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	Typically 60 – 80 km spacing needed	Monte Carlo analysis
28	SS – SS	3.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	Low probability. Coordination needed for the bad cases.	N/A
29	BS – BS	3.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent channel	Combination of isolation (NFD etc) and physical spacing is required (typically 0.1 – 2km, dependent on available isolation)	Monte Carlo analysis
30	BS – SS	3.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent channel	Isolation needed (NFD etc) depends on modulation. In some cases it may be possible to operate in the adjacent channel.	Monte Carlo analysis
31	SS – BS	3.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent channel	Isolation needed (NFD etc) depends on modulation. In some cases it may be possible to operate in the adjacent channel.	Monte Carlo analysis
32	SS – SS	3.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent channel	Low/ medium probability Coordination needed for the bad cases. Jack Garrison will investigate whether the problem can be quantified	TBA
33	BS – BS	10.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	Jack Garrison to review whether 3.5 GHz results can be simply extrapolated using a rain fade differential estimate	
34	BS – SS	10.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	Jack Garrison to review whether 3.5 GHz results can be simply extrapolated using a rain fade differential estimate	
35	SS – BS	10.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	Typically 60 – 80 km spacing required	Monte Carlo analysis
36	SS – SS	10.5 GHz	Adjacent area, same channel	Jack Garrison to review whether 3.5 GHz results can be simply extrapolated using a rain fade differential estimate	
37	BS – BS	10.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent	Jack Garrison to review whether 3.5 GHz results can be simply extrapolated using a rain fade differential estimate	

38	BS – SS	10.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent	Jack Garrison to review whether 3.5 GHz results can be simply extrapolated using a rain fade differential estimate	
39	SS – BS	10.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent	Jack Garrison to review whether 3.5 GHz results can be simply extrapolated using a rain fade differential estimate	
40	SS – SS	10.5 GHz	Same area, adjacent	Jack Garrison to review whether 3.5 GHz results can be simply extrapolated using a rain fade differential estimate	

The following additional simulations are being carried out to assess the affect of mitigation techniques Scenarios 41 and 42 are illustrations of methods by which interference may be reduced, rather than new scenarios

41	SS – BS, using adaptive BS antenna	3.5 GHz	Adjacent area same channel	(Reza's paper – in process of revision)	
42	BS – BS using adaptive antennas	3.5 GHz	Adjacent area same channel	(Reza's next paper – to be prepared by session #19)	

End of document