Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group http://ieee802.org/16 >
Title	Errata – Corrections and clarifications to the 802.16a PHY specification
Date Submitted	2003-03-07
Source(s)	Lars LindhVoice: +358 50 483 6671Nokia Research CenterFax: +358 7180 36851P.O. Box 407mailto:lars.e.lindh@nokia.comFIN-00045 NOKIA GROUPmailto:lars.e.lindh@nokia.com
Re:	802.16d-03/02
Abstract	Some of the parameters in the FEC and the transmitter constellation error test method need to be clarified
Purpose	To aid the 802.16d work
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html , including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <mailto:chair@wirelessman.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <htp: 16="" ieee802.org="" ipr="" notices="" patents="">.</htp:></mailto:chair@wirelessman.org>

Errata and suggested corrections to the 802.16a specification

Lars Lindh Nokia Research Center

Section 8.4.3.2.1 Concatenated Reed - Solomon / convolutional code

The parameter K is used in two different contexts. First, it is used to define the number of "data bytes before encoding" or in other words the information bytes. Second, it is used in the same section to define the constraint length for the convolutional code.

Suggested correction: delete "K" in the second place by stating "a constraint length equal to 7". This can be done because there does not seem to be any other references to K in the context of constraint lengths.

Section 8.4.3.2.1 Concatenated Reed - Solomon / convolutional code

Clarify the text regarding the shortening and puncturing of Reed-Solomon code. Currently it reads: "When a block is shortened to K' data bytes, the first 239-K' bytes of the encoder block shall be zero. When a code is punctured to permit T' bytes to be corrected, only the first 2T' of the total 16 codeword bytes shall be employed."

The term "codeword bytes" is not clear and should be replaced with "parity bytes". The following text which perhaps more clearly describes the shortening and puncturing is suggested: "When a block is shortened to K' data bytes, add 239-K' zero bytes as a prefix. After encoding discard the 239-K' zero bytes. When a code is punctured to permit T' bytes to be corrected, only the first 2T' of the total 16 parity bytes shall be employed."

Section 8.4.8.1.2 Transmitter constellation error and test method

The test method for the transmitter constellation error uses formula (65) for defining the computation of the $\text{Error}_{\text{RMS}}$. Formula (65) lets you understand that the error shall be averaged over all N_{FFT} tones in the FFT, including the guard carriers. The constellation error is an interoperability parameter which shall be restricted to the inband carriers. Out-of-band emission is a co-existence parameter which is defined by the spectrum mask and must not therefore be included here.

Suggested correction: Change the N_{FFT} to N_{USED} in both places in formula (65). Values for N_f as well as L_p shall also be defined.

Section 8.4.4.3.5 UL-MAP subchannelization IE format

Renumber to 8.4.5.3.5. Update also the reference on page 150 row 55.