Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group http://ieee802.org/16 >
Title	OFDM DL preamble enhancement for Zero IF receivers
Date Submitted	2004-04-28
Source(s)	Bogdan Franovici, Lingjie Li Redline Communications Inc.Voice: ++1-(905) 479 8344 Fax: ++1-(905) 479 5331 mailto:bfranovici@redlinecommunications.com302 Town Center Blvd.
Re:	802.16-REVd/D4 Sponsor Ballot
Abstract	This contribution introduces a change to the 802.16 OFDM DL preamble which will enable the deployment of low cost Direct Conversion receivers for the subscribers where cost is critical.
Purpose	Adopt into P802.16-REVd/D5 draft.
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html , including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <mailto:chair@wirelessman.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose</mailto:chair@wirelessman.org>

OFDM DL preamble enhancement for Zero IF receivers

Bogdan Franovici, Lingjie Li Redline Communications Inc.

1. Introduction

This contribution introduces a change to the 802.16 OFDM DL preamble which will enable the subscriber stations to effectively estimate and compensate I/Q gain and phase imbalances typically introduced by Direct Conversion receivers. This will relax the matching requirements of gain and phase on the DC receiver, hence enabling low cost Direct Conversion receiver implementation for the subscriber stations.

2. The current OFDM preamble

Figure 1 shows the current 802.16 OFDM DL preamble structure.



Figure 1: 802.16 OFDM DL preamble structure.

The DL long preamble consists of two consecutive OFDM symbols: a 4 times 64 sequence and a 2 times 128 sequence. In the frequency domain, these symbols are represented by $P_{4\times64}(k)$ and $P_{EVEN}(k)$ and they are derived from a sequence $P_{AUL}(k)$ of the form:

$$P_{ALL}(-100:100) = \begin{cases} \pm 1 \pm j, & k \neq 0, \\ 0, & k = 0, \end{cases}$$

These sequences are expressed as follows:

$$P_{4\times64}(k) = \begin{cases} 2P_{ALL}^{*}(k), & k_{\text{mod}4} = 0\\ 0, & k_{\text{mod}4} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$P_{EVEN}(k) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} P_{ALL}(k), & k_{\text{mod } 2} = 0, \\ 0, & k_{\text{mod } 2} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

3. Diversity requirement in Direct Conversion Receiver

Direct Conversion Receiver (DCR) is an alternative wireless receiver architecture to the well-established superheterodyne, particularly for highly integrated, low-power, low cost terminals. The fundamental advantage of DCR is that the received signal is amplified and filtered at baseband rather than at a high intermediate frequency. This results in lower current drain in the amplifiers and active filters and eliminates the task of image rejection. Figure 2 shows the architecture of DCR.



Figure 2: Direct Conversion Receiver architecture.

In a Direct Conversion Receiver, due to the phase/gain imbalances in the I and Q paths, the received frequency domain symbol R(k) can be expressed as

$$R(k) = S(k)C(k) + S^{*}(-k)C^{*}(-k)L(k),$$

where:

S(k) is the transmitted frequency domain symbol,

R(k) is the received frequency domain symbol,

C(k) is the channel frequency response,

L(k) is the image leakage due to the I/Q mismatch,

k is the sub-carrier index and

 $\{\}^*$ is the complex conjugate operator.

For any two symbols $S_1(k)$ and $S_2(k)$, their corresponding received symbols $R_1(k)$ and $R_2(k)$ can be written as (they have been split in positive and negative indices):

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1(k) & R_2(k) \\ R_1^*(-k) & R_2^*(-k) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C(k) & C^*(-k)L(k) \\ C(k)L^*(-k) & C^*(-k) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_1(k) & S_2(k) \\ S_1^*(-k) & S_2^*(-k) \end{bmatrix} \qquad k > 0$$

If we want to recover both the channel and the image leakage from $R_1(k)$ and $R_2(k)$, the following condition must stand:

$$\Delta_{S}(k) = \det \begin{bmatrix} S_{1}(k) & S_{2}(k) \\ S_{1}^{*}(-k) & S_{2}^{*}(-k) \end{bmatrix} = S_{1}(k)S_{2}^{*}(-k) - S_{2}(k)S_{1}^{*}(-k) \neq 0, \ k = 1..100.$$

In the 802.16a OFDM PHY, we can use the two training symbols from the long preamble $P_{4\times64}(k)$ and $P_{EVEN}(k)$ as $S_1(k)$ and $S_2(k)$, recover the image leakage for every 4th carrier, and use interpolation for the rest of the carriers. This requires that

$$\Delta_{P}(k) = \det \begin{bmatrix} P_{4\times 64}(k) & P_{EVEN}(k) \\ P_{4\times 64}^{*}(-k) & P_{EVEN}^{*}(-k) \end{bmatrix} = P_{4\times 64}(k)P_{EVEN}^{*}(-k) - P_{EVEN}(k)P_{4\times 64}^{*}(-k) \neq 0, \ k = 4:4:100.$$

However, for the current preamble we have:

$$\Delta_{P}(k) = 2P_{ALL}^{*}(k)\sqrt{2}P_{ALL}^{*}(-k) - \sqrt{2}P_{ALL}(k)2P_{ALL}(-k) = -4\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im}\left\{P_{ALL}(k)2P_{ALL}(-k)\right\}k = 4:4:100,$$

which has some zero values.

4. Proposed change in the OFDM preamble

In order to make $\Delta_{P}(k)$ non-zero for all k = 4:4:100, we propose to change $P_{4x64}(k)$ as

$$P_{4x64}(k) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(k) \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot P_{EVEN}(-k) & k_{mod4} = 0 \\ 0 & k_{mod4} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

With this changed $P_{4x64}(k)$, we will have:

$$\Delta_{P}(k) = \sqrt{2}P_{EVEN}(-k)P_{EVEN}^{*}(-k) - P_{EVEN}(k)(-\sqrt{2}P_{EVEN}^{*}(k)) = \sqrt{2}(P_{EVEN}(-k)^{2} + |P_{EVEN}(k)|^{2}) = 8\sqrt{2}, \quad k = 4:4:100$$

As a result, the I/Q phase/gain imbalances can be estimated and corrected on a frame basis with virtually no SNR loss.

This will greatly relax the requirements for the direct conversion receiver.

To decorrelate P_{4x64} and P_{EVEN} , some of the phases are changed as shown in Section 5.

5. Editorial instructions

Section 8.3.3.6, page 419, lines 55-57, replace:

$$P_{4x64}(k) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot conj(P_{ALL}(k)) & k_{mod 4} = 0\\ 0 & k_{mod 4} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

with:

$$P_{4x64}(k) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(k) \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot (-1)^{\operatorname{nor}((|k|-4|/20))} \cdot P_{EVEN}(-k) & k_{mod4} = 0 \\ 0 & k_{mod4} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Move P_{4x64} definition after Peven definition.

6. Performance

6.1. Cross correlation

The following figures show the correlation between the long preamble and the 64 sample sequence (1/4 of P_{4x64}).



There is 0.8dB loss for the proposed preamble w.r.t. the current preamble.

6.2. PAPR

The PAPR of the new sequence is 2.89dB which is slightly better than the current preamble (3.01dB).

6.3. Simulation Results

The following figure shows CINR computed at the slicer output as a function of the receiver SNR. Both the I/Q imbalance and the channel frequency response are estimated. Simulation conditions:

- I/Q Phase imbalance = 4deg
- I/Q Gain imbalance = 2dB
- AWGN



As expected, the CINR is upper bounded by the amount of self-interference introduced by the I/Q mismatch. With I/Q imbalance compensation, this effect is fully compensated.