Proposal for 802.16 Connection Oriented Mesh

IEEE 802.16 Presentation Submission Template (Rev. 8.3)

Document Number:

IEEE S802.16d-03/18

Date Submitted:

2003-03-06

Source:

Jim Dickerman, Kamlesh Rath, Lalit Kotecha

CoWave Networks

47224 Mission Falls Court

Fremont, CA 94539

Venue:

Project 802.16d: Session #24, March 2003, Dallas TX

Base Document:

IEEE C802.16d-03/18

Purpose:

Presentation regarding need for connection oriented mesh in 802.16.

Notice:

This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Voice.

E-mail:

Fax.

Release

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy:

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair mailto:chair@wirelessman.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices/.

510 657-0612		
510 657-0605		
jim@cowave.com,	rath@cowave.com,	lalit@cowave.com

Proposal for 802.16 Connection Oriented Mesh March 2003

Service Provider Feedback

Surveyed leading service providers in US, China, India, Latin America, and Mexico

Reported Benefits of Mesh Solutions

- Pay as you grow investment
- Cost effective coverage
- Fast payback
- Mesh requirements
 - Licensed band operation
 - Scalable, high density solution
 - Multi service support

Limitations of current 802.16 Mesh

Limited scalability

- 802.16 MAN intended to serve 100s 1000s of subscribers per sector
- 802.16 Mesh deployment limited to <100 subscribers due to centralized scheduling message structures
- Quality of Service (Connection management)
 - 802.16 MAN intended to serve data as well as real time voice and video services
 - 802.16 Mesh MAC is connectionless and can't be used to support guaranteed QoS over multiple hops
 - Current method of UDP tunneling of MAC control messages puts L2 messages on L4 and adds large latencies
- Interference
 - 802.16 Mesh Coordinated Distributed Scheduling nodes coordinate transmissions in extended 2-hop neighborhood
 - Assumes no interference more than 2 hops away

Scalability

- 802.16 Mesh MAC limits network to <100 subscribers due to centralized scheduling messages
 - MSH-CSCF (Table 56x pg 44)
 - For n nodes in Mesh network and average of 4 child nodes per sponsor, message length = 5n + 11 bytes
 - Typical control message has 3 overhead symbols + 4 message symbols @ 25 bytes/symbol = 100 bytes – only supports 19 nodes
 - ▶ 64 nodes \rightarrow 331 bytes MSH-CSCF message of ~16 symbols
 - Large message rebroadcast by every sponsor node in network
 - 16 times in example 8 frames @ 32 symbols/control sub-frame
 - MSH-CSCH structure (Table 56w pg 43)
 - MSH-CSCH message length (for n nodes) = n + 12 bytes
 - Typical control message (100 bytes) can support up to 84 nodes
 - Needs to be rebroadcast grant message 21 times for 84 nodes 6 frames
 @ 4 messages per control sub-frame
 - Requests take 21 frames for 84 nodes 4 requests per frame
 - Implies MSH-CSCH schedule validity = 27 frames
 - At 8ms per frame takes 216ms
 - Each node needs to know the burst profiles of all other nodes via MSH-CSCF

Quality of Service (Connection Management)

- 802.16 Mesh MAC is connectionless and can't be used to support guaranteed service provider QoS needs for voice
 - Requires traversing IP stack up to L3 at each node
 - Segmentation and Reassembly
 - ► IP Routing limited to Diffserv QoS capabilities
 - Connections are only on a link basis, no concept of end to end connections
- L2 MAC messages unnecessarily tunneled over UDP at L4 (Section 6.2.15)

Connection Oriented Mesh Proposal

- Add Optional Connection Oriented Mesh
- Utilize as much of existing connection based PMP structures as possible
- Utilize existing mesh specific structures where possible
 - Scalability
 - Use modified mesh centralized scheduling mechanism and tables to allow >1000 nodes per sector from current <100</p>
 - Use sparse MSH-CSCH format for scalability, only changes in allocations sent in message
 - Use scaling to fit allocation in small multiple of frames and then repeat allocation until next MSH-CSCH message
 - Eliminate MSH-CSCF as link burst profiles don't need to be broadcast grants are in # of mini-slots, not in bits/sec
 - Connection oriented QoS
 - Modify design to support end to end connections with 802.16 PMP QoS parameters and manageable latency
 - Replace UDP tunneling mechanism for management messages and utilize end to end control connections consistent with PMP