2004-08-17	IEEE C802.16e-04/322
Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < <u>http://ieee802.org/16</u> >
Title	Enhancement for MIMO Transmission with 3 Antennas
Date Submitted	2004-08-17
Source:	Wen Tong, Peiying Zhu, Ming Jia, Dongsheng Yu, Jianglei Ma ,Mo-Han Fong, Hang Zhang, BrianVoice: (613)-763-1315 Fax: (613)-765-7723Johnson
	Nortel Networkswentong@nortelnetworks.com3500 Carling Avenue0ttawa, ON. K2H 8E9CANADA
Re:	Response to Recirculation Ballot #14c
Abstract	The enhancement of the 3-antenna MIMO transmission
Purpose	To incorporate the changes here proposed into the 802.16e D5 draft.
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < <u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</u> >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < <u>mailto:chair@wirelessman.org</u> > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this

Enhancement for MIMO Transmission with 3 Antennas

1 Introduction

The current Rate-1 and Rate-2 space time coding matrices for 3 transmit antennas configuration consist the following open issues for the performance optimization (1) transmit power balancing among the 3 transmit antennas (2) the inter antenna codes aggregation to maximize the antenna diversity.

1.1 Rate-1 Matrix A

The current rate-1 matrix-A in the current specification is as follows:

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{s}_{1} & \tilde{s}_{2}^{*} & 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{s}_{2} & -\tilde{s}_{1}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{3} & \tilde{s}_{4}^{*} \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{s}_{4} & -\tilde{s}_{3}^{*} \end{vmatrix}$$

where the row of the matrix represents the antenna index. The merit of Matrix-A structure is that it allows the simple Alamouti decoder to decode Matrix-A, therefore minimizes the receiver complexity and supports the single antenna MSS reception of signals from 3 transmit antennas. As we can see the second antenna is required to transmit two times more power than the first and third antennas, this will cause the diversity performance loss or the PA inefficiency. To resolve this issue while keeping the same matrix structure, the matrix A can be modified into the following format:

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{s}_{1} & \tilde{s}_{2}^{*} & 0 & 0 & \tilde{s}_{6} & \tilde{s}_{5}^{*} \\ \tilde{s}_{2} & -\tilde{s}_{1}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{3} & \tilde{s}_{4}^{*} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{s}_{4} & -\tilde{s}_{3}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{5} & -\tilde{s}_{6}^{*} \end{vmatrix}$$

1.2 Rate-2 Matrix B

The current rate-2 matrix-B in the current specification is as follows:

$$B = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{s}_1 & \widetilde{s}_2^* & \widetilde{s}_5 & \widetilde{s}_6^* \\ \widetilde{s}_2 & -\widetilde{s}_1^* & \widetilde{s}_6 & -\widetilde{s}_5^* \\ \widetilde{s}_7 & \widetilde{s}_8 & \widetilde{s}_3 & \widetilde{s}_4 \end{vmatrix}$$

where the row of the matrix represents the antenna index, the existing code construction consists of orthogonal STTD and non-orthogonal parts, within an STTD structure, no inter-symbol interference is eliminated thanks to the orthogonality. Interference arises between the STTD structure and the non-orthogonal symbol. On the other hand, due to the practical three branch diversity antenna design (x-polar, and/or spatial). It is desirable to aggregate the STTD among the antennas, (1) to achieve additional diversity gain (2) and to combat the channel matrix ill.

$$B = \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{s}_{1} & \tilde{s}_{2}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{7} & \tilde{s}_{8}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{10} & -\tilde{s}_{9}^{*} \\ \tilde{s}_{2} & -\tilde{s}_{1}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{5} & \tilde{s}_{6}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{11} & \tilde{s}_{12}^{*} \\ \tilde{s}_{3} & \tilde{s}_{4}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{6} & -\tilde{s}_{5}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{9} & \tilde{s}_{10}^{*} \end{vmatrix}$$

The additional advantage is to allow the drastically reduction of the MSS decoding complexity, e.g. for the first 3x2 submatrix, the receiver can be represented as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_{1,1} \\ r_{1,2} \\ r_{2,1}^* \\ r_{2,2}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} & 0 \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} & 0 \\ h_{12}^* & -h_{11}^* & 0 & h_{13}^* \\ h_{22}^* & -h_{21}^* & 0 & h_{23}^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \\ s_4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3^* \\ n_4^* \end{bmatrix}$$

The zero-forcing receiver is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{s}_{1} \\ \tilde{s}_{2} \\ \tilde{s}_{3} \\ \tilde{s}_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} & 0 \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} & 0 \\ h_{12}^{*} & -h_{11}^{*} & 0 & h_{13}^{*} \\ h_{22}^{*} & -h_{21}^{*} & 0 & h_{23}^{*} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} r_{1,1} \\ r_{1,2} \\ r_{2,1}^{*} \\ r_{2,2}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$

1.3 Mapping of the Matrix-A/B

Since the matrix A and matrix B share the same dimension, i.e. $Matrix - A/B = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 & M_2 \end{bmatrix}$ The sub-carrier mapping can be designed as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 sub-carrier mapping

2 Text Proposal

8.4.8.3.5 Space Time Transmission Configurations for 3 Antennas

Three transmission formats are allowed for this configuration for different throughput/diversity trade-offs. The following matrices define the transmission format with row index representing the antenna number and the column index representing the sub-carrier number. The space time code is mapped over two OFDM symbols and three sub-carriers (the same mapping is repeated for the sub-channels for each format till all sub-carriers are used).

Transmission format A uses Matrix A (space time coding rate = 1)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{s}_{1} & \tilde{s}_{2}^{*} & 0 & 0 & \tilde{s}_{6} & \tilde{s}_{5}^{*} \\ \tilde{s}_{2} & -\tilde{s}_{1}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{3} & \tilde{s}_{4}^{*} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{s}_{4} & -\tilde{s}_{3}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{5} & -\tilde{s}_{6}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$

Transmission format B uses Matrix B (space time coding rate = 2)

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{s}_{1} & \tilde{s}_{2}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{7} & \tilde{s}_{8}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{10} & -\tilde{s}_{9}^{*} \\ \tilde{s}_{2} & -\tilde{s}_{1}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{5} & \tilde{s}_{6}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{11} & \tilde{s}_{12}^{*} \\ \tilde{s}_{3} & \tilde{s}_{4}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{6} & -\tilde{s}_{5}^{*} & \tilde{s}_{9} & \tilde{s}_{10}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$

Transmission format C uses Matrix C (space time coding rate = 3)

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{s}_1 \\ \widetilde{s}_2 \\ \widetilde{s}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$