Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group http://ieee802.org/16
Title	Refinement of the extended rtPS
Date Submitted	2005-04-28
Source(s)	Hyoung Kyu Lim, Hyunjeong Kang, JaeWeon Cho, Jungje Son, Panyuh Joo, Jungwon Kim Samsung Electronics Voice: +82-31-279-5017
	Yongjoo Tcha, Seong-Choon Lee [mailto: <u>yjtcha@kt.co.kr</u>] Korea Telecom
Re:	IEEE P802.16e/D7
Abstract	This contribution proposes some refinements of the extended rtPS operation.
Purpose	Discussion and Adoption in IEEE 802.16e
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html , including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair mailto:chair@wirelessman.org as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices .

Refinement of the extended rtPS

Hyoung Kyu Lim, Hyunjeong Kang, JaeWeon Cho, Jungje Son, Panyuh Joo, and Jungwon Kim Samsung Electronics

> Yongjoo Tcha and Seong-Choon Lee Korea Telecom.

Problem Statement

VoIP service can be supported as a type of UGS or rtPS or extended rtPS (ertPS) in IEEE 802.16. The ertPS was originally proposed to enhance the UL resource usage by considering an intermittent characteristic of the VoIP with silence suppression: alternating talk and silence spurts. During talk periods, the VoIP service consumes variable UL resource depending on its rate change. On the other hand, the re source allocation can be kept minimal during silence periods. The minimum allocation shall be enough for the VoIP user to send minimum-rate data plus Grant Management subheader.

The additional resource for the Grant Management subheader is required to give the VoIP user a unicast request opportunity at any time. This incurs 2-byte overhead to every UL resource allocation in silence period. Comparing with the size of the minimum-rate data in silence period, e.g. 2 bytes for rate 1/8 of TIA-IS-127 (EVRC), the overhead from the unicast request opportunity is not ignorable at all. Moreover, considering a 6-byte generic MAC header, the total overhead is quite large. Moreover, the overhead incurred by the UL MAP IE is quite large, which takes as many as 3.5 slots. Blanking those silence spurts will dramatically enhance the overall realtime traffic capacity.

Suggested Remedy

We suggest two remedies to solve this overhead problem.

Remedy 1: Eliminate the overhead incurred by Grant Management subheader.

To enable this remedy, we should be able to provide another mechanism to request incremental bandwidth up to the maximum-rate, the Maximum Sustained Traffic Rate, when the VoIP user transitions from silence spurt to talk spurt.

One way is to allow bandwidth request on a contention basis. The BR and ULTX power report header can be used for that purpose. Another way is to use CQICH for CQICH-enabled VoIP users by defining a codeword as an indication for the purpose of requesting a maximum-rate bandwidth.

Remedy 2: Enforce the minimum-rate bandwidth to zero

If the Voice codec supports a discontinuous transmission (DTX), or the data loss during silence period doesn't affect the quality of VoIP service, the data sent during silence period could be omitted altogether. In this case, the allocated bandwidth is reduced to 8 bytes (Generic MAC header + Grant Management subheader) or 6 bytes (BR and UL Tx power report header), or even none when using CQICH-based bandwidth request mechanism proposed in Remedy 1. The last option is surely the best with respect to the UL resource usage and MS power consumption.

Proposed Text Change

[Replace 6.3.5.2.2.1 Page 132 Line 30-40]

The BS may allocate periodic UL resource which is used for unicast request opportunity as well as data. The MS may request changing the size of the UL resource by either using an extended piggyback request field of the Grant Management subheader or using BR field of the BR and UL Tx power report header, or sending a codeword (defined in 8.4.5.4.10.13) over CQICH. The BS shall not change the allocated UL resource until receiving another bandwidth change request from the MS. When the bandwidth request size is set to zero, the BS may assign resource for only unicast request opportunities or no resource at all. In case that no unicast request opportunities are available, the MS may use contention request opportunities for that connection, or send the CQICH codeword to inform the BS of its having the data to send. If the BS receives the CQICH codeword, the BS shall allocate the full rate UL grant.

The key service IEs are the Maximum Sustained Traffic Rate, the Minimum Reserved Traffic Rate, the Maximum Latency and the Request/Transmission Policy.

[Insert new subclause 8.4.5.4.10.13 Page 306 Line 26]

8.4.5.4.10.13 extended rtPS Bandwidth request

In the case of extended rtPS service, the MS may request a maximum-rate bandwidth allocation which is defined as a Maximum Sustained Traffic Rate in service flow encodings. The reserved 60th codeword (i.e., 0b111100) is used for that purpose.