[OFDMA Downlink – Evaluation of channel estimation performance under mobile conditions]

Document Number:		
IEEE \$802.16e-04/153		
Date Submitted:		
2004-7-13		
Source:		
Ran Yaniv, Tal Kaitz, Naftali Chayat,	Voice:	+972-37674583
Vladaimir Yanover, Marianna Goldhammer		
Alvarion Ltd.		
21 A Habarzel St. Ramat - Hahayal Tel - Aviv 69710	E-mail:	ran.yaniv@alvarion.com, tal_kaitz@alvarion.com
Venue:		
IEEE 802.16 Session #32		
Base Document:		
C802.16e-04/153		
Purpose:		

Notice:

This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy:

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures <<u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</u>>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <<u>mailto:chair@wirelessman.org</u>> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <<u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices</u>>.

Problem Statement

- Scattered pilots are required for channel estimation in mobile environments.
- Current pilot arrangement does not enable reliable channel estimation in STC mode with high multi-path channels since pilots are too far apart.
- As a result, channel estimation and not ISI will be the limiting factor when combating multi-path.
- In addition, pilot location does not change between cells.

FUSC zone

- Current definition:
 - Non-STC mode: Pilots are spaced 6 subcarriers apart over a 2 symbol cycle.
 - STC mode: Pilots are spaced 12 subcarriers apart of the symbol cycle.

FUSC:

Current Performance of STC mode

- MMSE estimation from pilots
- subcarrier spacing=11KHz



FUSC: Proposed Solution (Contribution #238)

- Adopt pilot locations of 'Optional FUSC' mode, i.e. pilot spacing of 3 subcarriers over a cycle of 3 symbols.
- Define two basic pilot sets:
 - PilotSet#0 = 18k+3m+1
 - PilotSet#1 = 18k+3m+10
- Where:
 - *k* = 0, 1, ..., Npilots-1
 - m = ((floor(FUSC_SymbolNumber/KSTC)+IDCell) mod 3)
 - KSTC = 1/2/4 for the non-STC / 2-Antenna / 4-antenna cases, respectively.

non-STC mode

• Use both pilot sets in each symbol:



2-Antenna STC mode



4-Antenna STC mode

- Symbol #0:
 - PilotSet#0 for antenna #0 and PilotSet#1 for antenna #1.
- Symbol #1:
 - PilotSet#0 for antenna #2 and PilotSet#1 for antenna #3.
- Symbol #2:
 - PilotSet#1 for antenna #0 and PilotSet#0 for antenna #1.
- Symbol #3:
 - PilotSet#1 for antenna #2 and PilotSet#0 for antenna #3.

Performance Comparison: 2-Antenna mode



Performance Comparison: 4-Antenna mode



Performance Comparison: non-STC mode



FUSC zone

[Text Changes]

PUSC zone

- In STC mode for the PUSC zone, pilots are spaced 12 subcarriers apart. This spacing is not adequate in cases of high multi-path.
- In addition, STC encoding is performed over <u>symbol-pairs</u> rather than <u>symbols</u>. This will degrade performance in situations where high Doppler spread is present.

PUSC:

Current Performance of STC mode

