### Enhanced EH Format (Section 15.2.2.2)

Document Number:S80216m-09\_2269

Date Submitted: 06 Nov 2009

Source:

Anil Agiwal, Youngbin Chang, Sungjin Lee, Rakesh Taori, Jungje Son

\*http://standards.ieee.org/fags/affiliationFAQ.html

### Samsung Electronics\*

E-mail: <u>anilag@samsung.com</u>, <u>yb.chang@samsung.com</u>

Venue:

IEEE 802.16 Working Group Letter Ballot #30a (80216-09\_0057)

Base Contribution:

C80216m-09\_2269

Purpose:

Discuss and approve the proposed text changes into IEEE802.16m/D3 document Notice:

This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group or any of its subgroups. It represents only the views of the participants listed in the "Source(s)" field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion. It is not binding on the contributor(s), who reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

#### Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

#### Patent Policy:

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures:

<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6> and

<<u>http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3</u>>.

Further information is located at <<u>http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html</u>> and <<u>http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat</u>>.

(A) (B)

# Introduction (1/2)

- The MAC PDU and extended header format in 16m (P802.16m/D2) is shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively
  - The EH format is variable in nature
  - The receiver can not decrypt the MAC PDU payload until it has parsed all the extended header
- In 16e, the extended sub header format contained the 'EH Length' which facilitated decryption of payload without parsing the extended sub headers









# Introduction (2/2)

- FPEH/FEH issue
  - FPEH/FEH format is different from other EH format
    - Last & Type field are not defined
  - Extended header (FPEH/FEH) is present even when EH bit is '0' in AGMH





Figure 3: EH indication and FPEH/FEH presence



### **Proposed Extended Header Format (1/2)**

- The extended header format is shown in figure 5 below
  - All extended headers are of same format consisting of a type field followed by type specific fields
    - 'Last' bit is removed
  - The first extended header is preceded by 1 byte 'EH Length' field which gives the sum of length of all extended headers
  - The presence/absence of EH is indicated by 'EH' field in AGMH



Figure 4: Existing EH Format



4

### **Proposed Extended Header Format (2/2)**



Figure 6: Proposed EH format illustration



## **Proposed FPEH Format**

- FPEH is defined as Fragmentation & Packing sub header (FPSH)
  - Fields of FPSH are same as FPEH
  - FPSH is appended before the first SDU/SDU fragment in the transport connection payload (see figure 7)



Figure 7: FPSH and EH illustration

DATE

6

## **Proposed FEH Format**

- FEH is defined as Fragmentation sub header (FSH)
  - FSH is appended before the control message or control message fragment in the control connection payload
  - Fields of FSH are same as FEH except the 'EC' bit
    - EC bit is removed from FSH
    - Flow ID is used to distinguish between the unencrypted and encrypted control message on the control connection
      - Only one control connection exists



Figure 8: FSH and FlowID illustration



7

## **Multiplexing Illustration**



Figure 9: Illustration of multiplexing

