

Network Architecture and Ring Aggregation

Necdet Uzun Cisco Systems

September 4, 2001

802-17-01-00083 nu_netarch_01.pdf

Necdet Uzun



802.17 Baseline

- Simplicity has helped make Ethernet successful
 - We need to keep that in mind as we define .17
- A ring is composed of two counter-rotating ringlets
- Each node on the ring is independent of other nodes
 - Local free running oscillators
 - Allow line timing on SONET/SDH interface
 - No need to pass clocks or build a synchronization network



Link Aggregation

- 802.3ae defines a method for grouping separate MACs into a single logical MAC that has greater capacity
- Each MAC is still independent in terms of clocking etc
 - Distributer and Collector functions are defined by specifying behaviors and not implementations
 - The important behavior is that conversation packet orders are preserved
 - within a source/dest pair and priority

Link Aggregation in a Ring

- Taking 802.3ae and applying on a Link basis is similar to a ring of Ethernet switches
 - Ability to arbitrarily switch packets from one ringlet to another at each node requires a switch between the MACs
 - Overly complex and is outside of scope of 802.17MAC
- Apply 802.3ae rules to each entire ring
 Consider the ring as the "link" in 802.3ae terms
- Outside of the scope of work for this standard



Ring Aggregation

- When is Ring Aggregation needed
 - Initial build out of ring at some capacity
 - A to C links always congested
 - Add additional capacity to A-C
 - No need to upgrade entire ring





Ring Aggregation

- If links A-B and B-C were most congested
 - then some percentage of traffic between A-B, A-C and B-C should be moved to the second ring
 - Use rules similar to 802.3ae to distribute traffic
 - Note 802.3ae defines behaviors and not mechanisms
 - Independent Operation of rings
 - Keeps the MACs simple
 - No change to behavior of other nodes on the original ring
 - Changes only to the distributor and collector functions on A, B and C





Ring Aggregation

- Eventually, the second ring can be congruent to the first ring
 - No change needed to distribution rules
 - Larger opportunity to spread traffic
 - Can use different rules
 - Source / destination pairs
 - Priority I.E. separate traffic classes





Conclusions

- Ring Aggregation is a reasonable extension to Link Aggregation that will scale well
- Few if any changes needed to base MAC
 - Complexities are in the distributor and collector functions
 - We can start this standard once 802.17 is well underway