## **Proposed Responses**

## IEEE P802.3.1b D1.3 MIB Rev Task Force 3rd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

Cl 6 SC 6.3.2 P88 L22 # 136

Simms, William NVIDIA

Comment Type E Comment Status D

dot3Oam-PeerMode is split between two lines unlike others in the table

SuggestedRemedy

Add newline after the comma and move 'dot3OamPeerMode' on second line in its entirety

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

While this might appear better the existing text follows IEEE guidelines and the requested change doesn't improve readability.

C/ 6 SC 6.3.2 P89 L27 # 137

Simms, William NVIDIA

Comment Type E Comment Status D

dot3OamErr-FramThreshold is split between two lines unlike others in the table

SuggestedRemedy

Add newline after the comma and move 'dot3OamErrFrameThreshold' on second line in its entirety

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

While this might appear better the existing text follows IEEE guidelines and the requested change doesn't improve readability.

Cl 6 SC 6.3.2 P89 L32 # [138

Simms, William NVIDIA

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Same comments as above for dot3OamErrFramePeriodThreshold

SuggestedRemedy

Add newline after the comma and move 'dot3OamErrFramePeriodThreshold' on second line in its entirety

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

While this might appear better the existing text follows IEEE guidelines and the requested change doesn't improve readability.

Cl 9 SC 9.1.2 P202 L17 # 139

Simms, William NVIDIA

Comment Type E Comment Status D

XGMII is not defined in the terms at bottom of Figure 9-6 which is inconsistent with prior Figures

SuggestedRemedy

define XGMII under GMII term defintion

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the following text to Figure 9-6:

"XGMII = 10 GIGABIT MEDIA INDEPENDENT INTERFACE"

C/ 9 SC 9.2 P204 L37 # 140

Simms, William NVIDIA

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Ion the title of Table 9-2 it states 'A single row exists in the table.' It is unclear to me what this means

SuggestedRemedy

no proposed change as this is a question for clarity

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

No change has been recommended. Commenter is encouraged to submit this during initial SA ballot for the Task Force to discuss.