

Proposed comment resolution agenda

802.3aq Task Force meeting, 16th November 2004, San Antonio.

Open comments:

- 70 comments received on Draft 0.2
Available at <http://ieee802.org/3/aq/public/comments/index.html>
- 24 Draft 0.1 comments remain open

Comments expected to be uncontroversial:

- Do not propose substantive changes to sense of document;
- Email circulated proposing 15 (mostly editorial) as likely to be uncontroversial:
 - **84, 88, 90, 49, 68, 50, 72, 70, 71 (T), 115, 101, 117, 62, 105 (T) and 64 (TR).**
- Further 7 (all technical) also likely to be uncontroversial:
 - **76, 77, 67 and 103**
- Several open Draft 0.1 comments look either uncontroversial or the proposed changes have, I believe, already been adopted:
 - **38, 39, 17, 48, 29, 30**

Proposed comment resolution agenda

802.3aq Task Force meeting, 16th November 2004, San Antonio.

Technical comments, other than those listed above, can be broadly categorized (comments 1 – 48 on Draft 0.1, 49 and above on Draft 0.2):

- Topics relating to definition and measurement of OMA and ER
 - 14, 45, 96, 111, 112, 86, 113, 85, 82, 106,
- Proposed new, or modifications to, parameter values
 - 13, 93, 74, 75, 97, 100, 114, 81
- Jitter aspect of TP3 stressed receiver test
 - 16, 7, 46, 98, 99, 79, 107, 102, 58
- Topics relating to time-varying channels:
 - 19, 33, 80, 104, 95, 94, 87
- TP2 transmitted waveform specification and test
 - 22, 24, 23, 109, 83,
- Proposed additional tests or different test approaches to specification
 - 31, 32, 116, 56, 57,
- Optical launch specification
 - 78, 59 (TR)

Proposed comment resolution agenda

802.3aq Task Force meeting, 16th November 2004, San Antonio.

Wednesday AM. Get through as many of these as we can:

1. Quickly accept as many of the “uncontroversial” comments as we can without debate.
2. Cases for each of the time-varying channel comments. Followed by discussion and votes on the these.
3. Cases for each of the OMA/ER comments. Followed by discussion and votes on the these.
4. Cases for each of the transmitted waveform comments. Followed by discussion and votes on the these.
5. Cases for both of the optical launch comments. Followed by discussion and votes on the these.
6. Cases for each of the TP3 jitter comments. Followed by discussion and votes on the these.

Wednesday PM. Work through these (regardless of how far we got before lunch):

1. For each “addition test” comment: Case then vote;
2. For each “parameter value” comment: Case then vote.
3. Work through remaining comments starting with those having most significance to document and those most straight forward.