

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3.2a D3.1 Yang Rev Task Force 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

Cl 1 **SC 1.4** **P15** **L23** # **R1-1**

Parsons, Glenn Ericsson AB

Comment Type **TR** **Comment Status** **D**

This was not the intent of my comment I-25. There are complicating implications of making the git version the authoritative version. The authoritative version of the YANG has to be part of the standard.

And then this paragraph is about formatting of YANG in PDF. So the authoritative point should be made in the first paragraph, and also in 5.3.2, 6.5.2, 7.4.2 , 8.5.2

SuggestedRemedy

Reword last sentence of last paragraph:
"In case of any discrepancies, the machine-readable files attached to this standard take precedence"

Reword previous paragraph to start:
The authoritative machine-readable YANG files are attached to this standard. A copy is also available for download at the following URL: ...

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 5 **SC 5.3.2** **P47** **L4** # **R1-6**

Parsons, Glenn Ericsson AB

Comment Type **TR** **Comment Status** **D**

This was not the intent of my comment I-25. There are complicating implications of making the git version the authoritative version. The authoritative version of the YANG has to be part of the standard.

But this paragraph is unrelated, this is about the discrepancy within the descriptive text and tables about the module and the actual YANG module. It must be retained. To align with the formatting issues mentioned in 1.4, it is proposed that the attached version of the YANG take precedence

SuggestedRemedy

replace deleted paragraph, so that the first paragraph of this clause is:

"In the YANG module definition, should any discrepancy between the text of the description for individual YANG nodes in the YANG modules of this clause and the corresponding definition in 5.2 through 5.3 of this clause occur, the definitions in the YANG modules attached to this standard shall take precedence."

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 6 **SC 6.5.2** **P183** **L4** # **R1-3**

Parsons, Glenn Ericsson AB

Comment Type **TR** **Comment Status** **D**

This was not the intent of my comment I-25. There are complicating implications of making the git version the authoritative version. The authoritative version of the YANG has to be part of the standard.

But this paragraph is unrelated, this is about the discrepancy within the descriptive text and tables about the module and the actual YANG module. It must be retained. To align with the formatting issues mentioned in 1.4, it is proposed that the attached version of the YANG take precedence

SuggestedRemedy

replace deleted paragraph, so that the first paragraph of this clause is:

"In the YANG module definition, should any discrepancy between the text of the description for individual YANG nodes in the YANG modules of this clause and the corresponding definition in 6.2 through 6.5 of this clause occur, the definitions in the YANG modules attached to this standard shall take precedence."

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Page 183 ROW 4
replaced deleted paragraph, so that the first paragraph of this clause reads:

"In the YANG module definition, should any discrepancy between the text of the description for individual YANG nodes in the YANG modules of this clause and the corresponding definition in 6.2 through 6.5 of this clause occur, the definitions in the YANG modules attached to this standard shall take precedence."

PAGE 201 ROW 43

PSE-2 YANG module contains an error in the "leaf detection-status"
"status obsolete;" this line was removed

Cl 7 **SC 7.4.2** **P215** **L1** # **R1-7**

Parkholm, Ulf Telefon AB LM Ericsson

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **D**

Orientation of page is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

Change orientation of page to standing

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Responses

IEEE P802.3.2a D3.1 Yang Rev Task Force 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

Cl 7 SC 7.4.2 P215 L4 # R1-4

Parsons, Glenn Ericsson AB

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This was not the intent of my comment I-25. There are complicating implications of making the git version the authoritative version. The authoritative version of the YANG has to be part of the standard.

But this paragraph is unrelated, this is about the discrepancy within the descriptive text and tables about the module and the actual YANG module. It must be retained. To align with the formatting issues mentioned in 1.4, it is proposed that the attached version of the YANG take precedence

SuggestedRemedy

replace deleted paragraph, so that the first paragraph of this clause is:

"In the YANG module definition, should any discrepancy between the text of the description for individual YANG nodes in the YANG modules of this clause and the corresponding definition in 7.2 through 7.4 of this clause occur, the definitions in the YANG modules attached to this standard shall take precedence."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 8 SC 8.5.2 P266 L4 # R1-5

Parsons, Glenn Ericsson AB

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This was not the intent of my comment I-25. There are complicating implications of making the git version the authoritative version. The authoritative version of the YANG has to be part of the standard.

But this paragraph is unrelated, this is about the discrepancy within the descriptive text and tables about the module and the actual YANG module. It must be retained. To align with the formatting issues mentioned in 1.4, it is proposed that the attached version of the YANG take precedence

SuggestedRemedy

replace deleted paragraph, so that the first paragraph of this clause is:

"In the YANG module definition, should any discrepancy between the text of the description for individual YANG nodes in the YANG modules of this clause and the corresponding definition in 8.2 through 8.5 of this clause occur, the definitions in the YANG modules attached to this standard shall take precedence."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.