

Comment #4 D2.5 (145.2.8.5.1, P161, I3)

Regarding Fig. 145-22, according to the editor, this figure still needs work. I agree on several levels but will only address issues of clarity on this ballot.

1. The end point limits of the diagram label "Link Section" are not clear.
2. What is meant to be included in the diagram label "PSE Load" is not clear.
3. The utility of the diagram term "End-to-End Pair-to-Pair resistance" is unclear since (as delineated by the dimension lines) it is not a measurable quantity.
4. The diagram term "End-to-End Pair-to-Pair resistance" is probably incorrect since what is delineated is not that but (perhaps) the "End-to-End Per-Pair resistance".
5. Each of the two dark vertical lines @ line 3 have no discernible meaning.

Suggested Remedy

1. The end point limits of the diagram label "Link Section" should be clarified with dimension lines that go from PI to PI.
2. Something should be done to better graphically express that the diagram label "PSE Load" applies to the entire dashed box.
3. Delete the diagram term "End-to-End Pair-to-Pair resistance" and its dimension lines.
4. See remedy #3.
5. Delete each of the two dark vertical lines @ line 3.

Yair's Proposed Response:

1. The end point limits of the diagram label "Link Section" should be clarified with dimension lines that go from PI to PI. [Yair: Done. See modified drawing next page.](#)
2. Something should be done to better graphically express that the diagram label "PSE Load" applies to the entire dashed box. [Yair: Done. See modified drawing next page.](#)
3. Delete the diagram term "End-to-End Pair-to-Pair resistance" and its dimension lines.

Yair:

1. [We can't delete it, it is used by the text but we can clarify it. See modified drawing](#)
2. [This quantity is measurable however is not required to be measured by the spec. we need to explain the boundaries of where this term as explained in the text.](#)



