

# IEEE 802.3 Criteria for Standards Development (CSD)

The IEEE 802 Criteria for Standards Development (CSD) are defined in Clause 14 of the IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee (LMSC) Operations Manual. The criteria include project process requirements (“Managed Objects”) and 5 Criteria (5C) requirements. The 5C are supplemented by subclause 4.5 ‘Criteria for Standards Development’ of the ‘IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group Operations Manual’.

The following are the CSD Responses in relation to the IEEE P802.3dp PAR

Items required by the IEEE 802 CSD are shown in Black text and supplementary items required by IEEE 802.3 are shown in **blue** text.

# Managed Objects

---

Describe the plan for developing a definition of managed objects. The plan shall specify one of the following:

- a) The definitions will be part of this project.
  - b) The definitions will be part of a different project and provide the plan for that project or anticipated future project.
  - c) The definitions will not be developed and explain why such definitions are not needed.
- 
- The definitions will not be developed as this project will not require managed objects.

# Coexistence

---

**A WG proposing a wireless project shall prepare a Coexistence Assessment (CA) document unless it is not applicable.**

- a) Will the WG create a CA document as part of the WG balloting process as described in Clause 13? (yes/no)**
- b) If not, explain why the CA document is not applicable.**

- No. A CA document is not applicable because the proposed project is not a wireless project.

# Broad Market Potential

---

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall have broad market potential. At a minimum, address the following areas:

- a) **Broad sets of applicability.**
  - b) **Multiple vendors and numerous users.**
- 
- Many applications in building, industrial, and transportation sectors have begun the transition from legacy non-Ethernet networks to Ethernet. A number of these applications require enhancements to 10Mb/s multidrop single balanced pair networks, e.g., larger multidrop topologies, power delivery, and Ethernet support for time synchronization protocols. The guidance provided in this standard will be applicable to the users and vendors for all these applications.

# Compatibility

---

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard should be in conformance with IEEE Std 802, IEEE 802.1AC, and IEEE 802.1Q. If any variances in conformance emerge, they shall be thoroughly disclosed and reviewed with IEEE 802.1 WG prior to submitting a PAR to the Standards Committee.

- a) Will the proposed standard comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1AC and IEEE Std 802.1Q?
  - b) If the answer to a) is “no”, supply the response from the IEEE 802.1 WG.
  - c) **Compatibility with IEEE Std 802.3**
  - d) **Conformance with the IEEE Std 802.3 MAC**
- There will be no changes to any data interface. The proposed standard will conform to the 802.1D, 802.1Q and 802. This standard is applicable to only power delivery and is not a MAC/PHY standard. Therefore, this criterion is not relevant.

# Distinct Identity

---

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of a distinct identity. Identify standards and standards projects with similar scopes and for each one describe why the proposed project is substantially different.

**Substantially different from other IEEE 802.3 specifications/solutions.**

- There is no other IEEE 802.3 standard that provides guidance or requirements that address this issue.

# Technical Feasibility

---

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence that the project is technically feasible within the time frame of the project. At a minimum, address the following items to demonstrate technical feasibility:

- a) Demonstrated system feasibility.
  - b) Proven similar technology via testing, modeling, simulation, etc.
  - c) **Confidence in reliability.**
- IEEE 802.3 SPoE has existed since the publication of IEEE Std 802.3bu-2016. The importance of using cabling in excess of the minimum current carrying capacity for the application has been long established and understood. This standard will only provide guidance on current carrying capacity of cabling to support the IEEE 802.3 'plug-and-play' interoperability model.
  - Absent this standard, current delivered by the application can exceed the current carrying capacity, reducing the reliability of the application.

# Economic Feasibility

---

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of economic feasibility. Demonstrate, as far as can reasonably be estimated, the economic feasibility of the proposed project for its intended applications.

Among the areas that may be addressed in the cost for performance analysis are the following:

- a) Known cost factors.
  - b) Balanced cost factors.
  - c) Consideration of installation costs.
  - d) Consideration of operational costs (e.g., energy consumption).
  - e) Other areas, as appropriate.
- 
- Without this standard, installed cabling that does not meet the current carrying capacity requirements to support the IEEE 802.3 'plug-and-play' interoperability model may need to be replaced to support equipment upgrades. In extreme cases, users can end up with degraded cabling that may require replacement as it no longer meets the original specification of the cabling.