A Feasibility Overview

Hossein Sedarat

September 2023 IEEE 802.3 ISAAC

$E T H \Xi R N O V I \Delta^{\circ}$

Overview

Review high-level schemes for asymmetric Ethernet

- Automotive application
- History of asymmetry in 802.3 automotive
- EEE
- Low power data (LPD)
- Frequency-domain duplexing
- Time-domain duplexing
- Code multiplexing
- Summary



Asymmetric Data Rate

Automotive data links to cameras/displays are asymmetric in nature:

- Camera node is a high data-rate generator (HDG): High volume of data is streamed down from camera in the down-stream (DS) direction
- Aggregator (link-partner of camera) is a low data-rate generator (LDG): Small amount control data is transmitted up to the camera in the up-stream (US) direction



VEHICLE COMMUNICATIO

Asymmetry and PHY Complexity

Asymmetry in data rates may be leveraged to lower the complexity of the communication system resulting in

- Lower power consumption
- Lower PHY complexity: silicon area, relative cost
- Lower overall system cost
 - Lower complexity of cooling system
 - Simpler power delivery system
 - Easier integration with other components



PHY Complexity – PMA Layer

- PMA receive functions are usually the most complex within a highdata-rate PHY
 - Equalization
 - Echo cancellation
 - EMC
- Complexity of these functions grow as the data rate and signaling bandwidth increases



PHY Complexity – Equalization

To support higher data rates:

- Signaling bandwidth is wider and channel loss is higher, demanding
 - Nonlinear equalization
 - Transmit precoding
- Equalizing filters are run at higher frequencies with higher resolution
- The analog front-end (AFE) is required to support higher dynamic range over wider bandwidth
- Smaller phase error is tolerated



PHY Complexity – Echo Cancellation

At higher data rates:

- Echo power is stronger and echo pulse response covers more symbols
- AFE dynamic range and linearity need to be higher over a wider bandwidth
- Lower clock jitter is tolerated
- Echo cancelling filter has to run faster with higher resolution
 - Complexity grows quadratically (growth is mostly linear with time-domain echo limits)



PHY Complexity – EMC

- Susceptibility: At higher data rates, the receiver frequency bandwidth is wider, exposing it to:
 - More sources of in-band RF interference
 - Stronger RF interferers due to lower coupling/shielding attenuation

• Emission: At higher data rates, transmit emission control is more challenging due to wider transmit bandwidth and lower balance, coupling and shielding attenuation



Methods to Support Asymmetry

- 1. EEE in its original form
- 2. Low-Power Data (LPD): a modified version of EEE
- 3. Frequency-domain duplexing
- 4. Time-domain duplexing
- 5. Code multiplexing



Asymmetry: History in 802.3 Automotive

• 802.3bp: OAM data over LPI signaling

• https://www.ieee802.org/3/bp/public/jan15/graba_3bp_01_0115.pdf

• 802.3ch: Large number of contributions from many PHY designers

- https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/sep17/dalmia_3ch_01_0917.pdf
- https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/jul18/souvignier_3ch_01a_0718.pdf
- https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/sep18/souvignier_3ch_01_0918.pdf
- <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/nov18/souvignier_3ch_02_1118.pdf</u>
- https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/adhoc/Lo_3ch_01_1218.pdf
- https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/adhoc/Lo_3ch_03_1218.pdf
- <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/adhoc/sedarat_3chah_01_013019.pdf</u>
- <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/adhoc/Lo_3ch_01_adhoc_0119.pdf</u>
- <u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/adhoc/Lo_3ch_01_adhoc_0219.pdf</u>
- https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/jan19/Lo_3ch_01_0119.pdf
- https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/mar19/Lo_3ch_03a_0319.pdf
- https://www.ieee802.org/3/ch/public/mar19/sedarat_3ch_01a_0319.pdf
- Asymmetry through EEE and extensions to LPI signaling
- Studies covered PMA, PCS, RS, and beyond

• 802.3cy: Early contribution covering different schemes beyond EEE

<u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/B10GAUTO/public/jan20/sedarat_3B10G_01_0120.pdf</u>



Method 1: EEE

- EEE is the implicit method of choice to achieve asymmetry in some current Ethernet standards
- EEE sends the low-throughput information over bursts of highspeed data transmission
- Transceiver goes to low-power idle mode (LPI) between these bursts



Method 1: EEE – cont'd

• Average power consumption scales with upstream data rate

• EEE does not offer any silicon cost reduction



Method 2: Low-Power Data (LPD)

The upstream operates in LPD mode, a variant of LPI which includes periodic insertion of one or more frames of data after Refresh frames



Method 2: LPD – cont'd

- Average power consumption scales with upstream data rate
- No need for Alert detection
- More regular flow of data traffic



Method 3: Frequency-Domain Duplexing

- Symbol rate and signal bandwidth scales with DS and US data rates
- Complexity of the entire upstream receiver scales with data rate
- The echo from one frequency band to another may be small enough to eliminate or simplify the echo canceller



Frequency-Domain Duplexing – HDG

- HDG (camera) transmits at higher baud rate and receives at low rate
- Echo power is mostly at high frequency while the receive signal from link-partner is low frequency
- The receiver anti-aliasing filter blocks most of the high-frequency echo
- Signal-to-Echo Ratio = 35 dB
 - echo cancellation may not be needed!



Frequency-Domain Duplexing – LDG

- LDG (aggregator) transmits at lower baud rate and receives at high rate
- Transmit signal is mostly low frequency resulting in small echo power covering a fraction of the receiver bandwidth
 - Partial echo cancellation may be needed
 - Polyphase implementation reduces the complexity by the ratio of DS/US data rates



Method 4: Time-Domain Duplexing

• HDR and LDR signals are transmitted at high symbol rate but over nonoverlapping periodic timeslots



- When the local transmitter is ON the remote transmitter is OFF eliminating echo into remote receiver (and vice versa)
- Guard bands, where both transmitters are off, is needed at transition between US and DS to eliminate echo from far-end reflection points



Method 5: Code Multiplexing

- Low-frequency upstream data bits modulate high-frequency pseudo-random carrier before launched on cable
- The carrier is a sequence of uncorrelated PAM2 symbols transmitted at high symbol rate



Code Multiplexing – Spreading Gain

- Every data bit is spread over multiple PAM symbols
- Spreading results in SNR gain
- Example: DS = 10 Gbps (PAM4), US = 100 Mbps (PAM2)
 - ⇒ Spreading factor ≈ 50
 - ⇒ SNR gain ≈ 20 dB
 - ⇒ Required input SNR ≈ 0 dB
- Upstream receiver may be as trivial as an Alert detector
 - No equalizer, no echo canceler, simple analog components
- Downstream receiver requires a simple, polyphase echo canceller





• There are a number of different methods to achieve asymmetry at the physical layer

An asymmetric PHY is very much feasible with widely known techniques



ETHERNOVIA®

Thank You