IEEE802.3at Task Force

Power Feeding Method-What is the best system decision?

Chandler AZ, Jan 2006 Yair Darshan PowerDsine



www.powerdsine.com

Concept#1: 4-pairs High Power PSE



Objective: 30W min, TBD max.



Concept #2: 4-pairs HP & 2-pairs MP PSE



Objective: 30W min, TBD max.



Analysis of the PD side

PD power feeding type is defined by the vendor according to its power needs

There is a consensus that PD can be either

- 2P MP
- 4P HP
- 802.3af

Conclusion: the PD is not the issue in the feeding method debate



Analysis the PSE side

- The disagreement is on the PSE side.
- There is a consensus that 4P PSE should be supported in the standard
- The disagreement is around the question if to allow 3rd PSE type (2P Medium Power) that will support:
 - Only half of the max power of 4 pairs
 - Over 2 pairs



IEEE802.3at status

- On September 2005 we had consensus that we should use Concept #1 only (4P PSE only).
- On November 2005 the issue was opened up again

In the following slides a summary of the arguments raised for each concept is presented





4P PSE only or 2P MP PSE (Type 3 PSE) in addition to 4P PSE (Type 2 PSE)

Pros and Cons



www.powerdsine.com

2P MP PSE – Immediate vs. Long-term

<u>Pros</u>

"I have a customer that want it now"

<u>Cons</u>

The standard is built for the long term

- There is no 200Mb/s standard



2P MP PSE – Complexity

<u>Pros</u>

2P MP can be easily defined as a subset of 4P high power system

<u>Cons</u>

- Added complexity for PD indication. Now 4P PD connected to 2P MP will fail too..
- More complex signals are required in classification
- Other potential interoperability issues need to be investigated prior to the decision of adding 2P MP support to the standard



2P MP PSE – Power limitation

<u>Pros</u>

There is a market for MP (~25W) suitable for 2P MP PSEs.

<u>Cons</u>

- With current data transformer technology and RJ45 connectors technology size/space current can not be more than 400mA/2P which is 36.8W/4P or 18.4W/2P at the PD
 - Not enough for 25W applications
 - Significantly increasing the data transformer size would limit our market size
- RJ45 connector maximum current capability is another open issue.



2P MP PSE – Market Acceptance

<u>Pros</u>

Designers will do it anyway so it is better to support it in the standard

<u>Cons</u>

Those who did proprietary and/or pre-standard solutions eventually aligned themselves to the 802.3af standard.





2P MP PSE – Penetration and Cost (pros)

<u>Pros</u>

- Adding 2P MP will speed the penetration of 802.3at
 - Existing IEEE802.3af PSEs can be easily modified to support pre-standard 2P MP PSEs
- It cost less than 4P PSE
 - For IT managers that for sure will have only 2-pair PD's that require more than 13W but less than what the maximum 2-pairs medium power PSE's can provide



2P MP PSE – Penetration and Cost (cons)

<u>Cons</u>

- IT managers
 - Already took some time to get 802.3af into the market.
 - Now with the new project: Which PSE type to use 2P MP or 4P?
 - Is it enough for my PDs or it will be not sufficient for the next 6month / Year?
 - Most would eventually use 4P to cover all potential applications
- Vendors would have to keep different inventory, support etc...
- The end result would be
 - Lower quantities and increased solution price
 - Confusion in the market
 - Slower adaptation curves for BOTH



Current sharing and Current balancing

- Location of <u>current sharing</u> and <u>current balancing</u> is not related to the power feeding method
 - Current sharing is always required in 4P system
 - If (I>350-400mA) then current balancing is required in 2P and 4P systems for the same 802.3af data transformer size/space.
 - If data transformer size is increased for supporting higher current per conductor then current balancing is not required. (may limit some applications)
- Location is a question of who is going to suffer more due to the additional power dissipation



Summary

- There is no place for three PSE types
 - 2P, IEEE802.3af PSE
 - 2P, Medium Power PSE
 - 4P, High Power PSE
- Only 4 pairs concept addresses all High Power market needs (all PDs type)
- Allowing 4P PoEp PSE and 2P PoEp PSE would result in
 - Dilution of all PSE types (af, 2PMP, 4PHP)
 - Causing lower quantities and increased solution price,
 - Confusion in the market
 - Potential of bad reputation for the PoE technology some PDs (2P MP) will not work..
 - Slower adaptation curves for BOTH.



Discussion



