

Plenary Meeting – Nov 2008 – Dallas, Texas

Official Meeting Minutes

Chair = Mike McCormack

Recording Secretary = Bill Delveaux

Note: All times given in local Dallas (UTC/GMT-6) time

Note: Please see the comment resolution database for a record of comment resolution events. Refer to <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/at/comments/index.html> to find the latest comment database.

Note: All voting is taken by name and recorded. Please refer to http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/at/public/nov08/ballots_1_0811.pdf for the voter record spreadsheet.

November 11th, 2008:

Meeting called to order at 8:45am

Recording Secretary appointed – Bill Delveaux

Introductions – went around the room

Agenda:

Tuesday:

- Appoint Recording Secretary
- Introductions
- Patent Review / Rules Review
- Review and discuss agenda
- Liaison / Adhoc Reports (partial)
- Comment resolution

Wednesday and Thursday (Start at 8:30am)

- Patent Review
- Comment resolution

Motion to accept the agenda.

At 8:50am - Agenda passed without opposition (voice vote)

At 8:51am - Patent Policy read by Mike McCormack

- Slide #1 of the patent policy were read out loud by Mike McCormack
- Slide #2 read out loud by Mike McCormack – Links provided
- Slide #3 read out loud by Mike McCormack – Potentially Essential Patents
- Mike McCormack asks if any of the members want to identify any potentially essential patents – none
- Slide #4 read out loud by Mike McCormack – Other Guidelines

Ground Rules – mutual respect and consideration

- No cost, product pitches, etc
- All may speak and vote
- No restrictions on presentations or materials
- No prices in any currency, complexity is OK
- 802.3 rules apply

Rules – in general... behave

Rules – web sites presented for bylaws, operating rules, etc. presented

Electronic information

- Web address – <http://www.ieee802.org/3/at/index.html>
- eMail reflector – stds-802-3-poep@ieee.org
- Private Area – user name and password given (not recorded here)
- Next meeting – please monitor <http://www.ieee802.org/meeting/index.html>

Present state:

- 160 comments, 10 unsatisfied TRs
- 76.8% Approval
- 24% Abstain
- 3 days to clear comments

Attendance Tool

- For 802.3 membership
- Get the link from <http://ieee802.facetoface-events.com/attendee>
- Must be logged on to IEEE, no VPN, must be during meeting time

Sign in sheet sent around the room – enter name, employer, and affiliation

Time Limit review:

Presentations:

- 45 min max
- 15 min discussion max

Debate of Motions:

- 2 turns to speak, priority to first time speakers
- 3 minutes per speaker max
- 30 min per motion max

Debate of comments

- 2 turns to speak, priority to first time speakers
- 1 min per speaker max
- 5 min per comment max

Steve Carlson discussed European Union released a code of conduct regarding DC power supplies. It may affect power over Ethernet. An ad hoc meeting will take place at 8am on Wednesday in Sanger AB conference room.

Motion to change the start time of Wednesday's meeting to 9am.

At 9:06am - Agenda passed without opposition (voice vote)

TIA Liaison Presentation report – Valerie Maguire – maguire_1_0811.pdf

Started at 9:07am

- TR42.7 – Copper Cabling will be meeting in December
- TSB-184 “Guidelines for Supporting Power Delivery over Twisted Pair Cabling” - Overview of document – Annex A has temperature information

Started Comment resolution at 9:28am. Please see Comment Resolution database for a record of the events.

** Break at 10:35am

Back in Comment resolution at 10:52am

** Break for lunch at 12:03pm

Back in Comment resolution at 1:37pm

** Break at 2:48pm

Back in Comment resolution at 3:04pm

At 4:22pm – a vote is taken on comment #84 regarding a model number MIB variable. Question was worded as “Vote yes to accept the resolution proposed, which is to change the state machine”.

How many people support adding this MIB variable? 5

How many people are opposed to adding this MIB variable? 2

How many people are actively abstaining? 12

Information added to the comment database

** Meeting in recess at 5:20pm

November 12th, 2008:

Meeting called to order at 9:08am.

The chair asked if anyone wanted to review the patent policy. No one responded.

The chair asked if there were any new patents. No one responded.

The chair asked if there were any letters of assurance. No one responded.

Started Comment resolution at 9:10am

Motion by Chad Jones, Seconded by Fred Schindler:

Move that the Task Force accepts the editors recommendation for those comments contained in the “ez” bucket as posted in

P802d3at_D3p2_premtg_bucket_EZ.pdf with the exception of comments 61, 74, 87, 102, and 120.

*** This vote was by voice and is not in the voter spreadsheet

Technical Motion – passes without opposition (at 9:37am)

** Break at 10:26am

The Chair reviews the time limits previously agreed to.

Back in Comment resolution at 10:47am

With regards to comment 58 in the comment database D3.1, a show of hands vote (straw poll) was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of changing the response as shown in the database?

0 raised hands

With regards to comment 16 in the comment database D3.1, a show of hands vote (straw poll) was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of changing the response as shown in the database?

0 raised hands

With regards to comment 17 in the comment database D3.1, a show of hands vote (straw poll) was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of changing the response as shown in the database?

0 raised hands

With regards to comment 34 in the comment database D3.1, a suggested additional text was proposed. A show of hands vote (straw poll) was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of adding the text "Refer to comment 16" to the response to comment 34 in the comment database D3.1?

14 raised hands

How many people in the room are opposed?

0 raised hands

No one requested a roll call vote

With regards to comment 34 in the comment database D3.1, a show of hands vote (straw poll) was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of changing the response as shown in the PDF file?

0 raised hands

With regards to comment 35 in the comment database D3.1, a show of hands vote (straw poll) was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of changing the response as shown in the database?

0 raised hands

With regards to comment 97 in the comment database D3.1, changing the response to "Accept" was proposed. A roll call vote was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of changing the response to "Accept" for the response to comment 97 in the comment database D3.1?

All in room:

Yes: 21 No: 0 Abstain: 1

Technical Motion – passes (at 11:48am)

With regards to comment 97 in the comment database D3.1, a show of hands vote (straw poll) was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of changing the response as shown in the PDF file?

0 raised hands

With regards to comment 194 in the comment database D3.1, a show of hands vote (straw poll) was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of changing the response as shown in the database?

0 raised hands

With regards to comment 195 in the comment database D3.1, a show of hands vote (straw poll) was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of changing the response as shown in the database?

0 raised hands

With regards to comment 198 in the comment database D3.1, a show of hands vote (straw poll) was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of changing the response as shown in the database?

0 raised hands

With regards to comment 199 in the comment database D3.1, a show of hands vote (straw poll) was taken.

How many people in the room are in favor of changing the response as shown in the database?

0 raised hands

** Break for lunch at 12:02pm

Midspan 100BT ALT A TX output signal template – Yair Darshan – darshan_1_0811.pdf

Started at 1:31pm

- Addressing comment #76 in D3.2
- Additional implementation independent alternative
- Discussion around technical issues in this implementation

Yair asked that a show of hands vote (straw poll) be taken.

How many people in the room are supportive of the changes in "Suggested Remedy for comment #76"?

3 raised hands

How many people are opposed?

4 raised hands

How many people would support an ad-hoc being formed to address this issue?

3 raised hands

How many people are opposed to forming an ad-hoc?

5 raised hands

Back in Comment resolution at 2:22pm

A vote is taken on comment #76 regarding the proposed response via roll call. Question was worded as "Vote yes to adopt the proposed resolution as contained in 'Suggested Remedy for comment #76'."

All in room:

Yes: 4 No: 11 Abstain: 8

Technical Motion – fails (at 2:27pm)

A vote is taken on comment #147 in comment database 3.2 regarding the suggested remedy via show of hands. Question was worded as "Vote yes to accept the commenter proposed suggested remedy for comment 147."

All in room:

Yes: 1 No: 16 Abstain: 7

Technical Motion – fails (at 2:58pm)

** Break at 3:00pm for one hour

Back in Comment resolution at 4:11pm

Note: Please see the comment resolution database for a record of comment resolution events. Refer to <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/at/comments/index.html> to find the latest comment database.

A vote is taken on comment #149 in comment database 3.2 regarding the suggested remedy via show of hands. Question was worded as "Vote yes to accept the commenter proposed suggested remedy for comment 149."

All in room:
Yes: 0 No: 17 Abstain: 5

A vote is taken on comment #148 in comment database 3.2 via show of hands.
Question was worded as "How many people want to pursue specifying the PSE and cabling, having no explicit requirements on the PD"

All in room:
Yes: 0 No: 15 Abstain: 2

** Meeting adjourned at 4:53pm

November 13th, 2008:

Meeting called to order at 8:45am.

The chair asked if there was a request to review the patent policy. No one requested this.

The chair asked if there were any letters of assurance. No one responded.

The chair asked if there were any new patents. No one responded.

Started Comment resolution at 8:46am

A vote is taken on comment #55 in comment database 3.2 regarding 4-pair power.
Question was worded as "Does four-pair have broad market potential?"

All in room:
Yes: 1 No: 14 Abstain: 8

Technical Motion – fails (at 8:56am)

Ended Comment resolution at 9:12am

Motion by Chad Jones, Seconded by Fred Shindler:

Move that the 802.3at Task Force accept the resolutions in the comment database, authorize the editor to create D3.3 and request the chair to conduct a re-circulation ballot. Editor to remove 30A and 30B and preserve this material for future use (assumes previous passage of the 802.3.1 PAR).

All in room:
Yes: 27 No: 0 Abstain: 1

Technical Motion – passes (at 9:22am)

Note: All voting is taken by name and recorded. Please refer to http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/at/public/nov08/ballots_1_0811.pdf for the voter record spreadsheet.

Motion by Bill Delveaux, Seconded by D. Matthew Landry :
Move to accept the meeting minutes for May 2008 interim as recorded on the IEEE802.3at website.

Voice vote – passes unanimously

Procedural Motion – passes at 9:24am

Motion by Bill Delveaux, Seconded by Christian Beia :
Move to accept the meeting minutes for September 2008 interim as recorded on the IEEE802.3at website.

Voice vote – passes unanimously

Procedural Motion – passes at 9:25am

David Law reviews the PAR form changes with the group.

Motion by Chad Jones, Seconded by Martin Patoka:
Move that the 802.3at Task Force endorse the revised PAR and request that the IEEE 802.3 Working Group approve the same and turn over to the chair for appropriate processing.

All in room:

Yes: 28 No: 0 Abstain: 0

Technical Motion – passes (at 9:38am)

Motion by Yair Darshan, Seconded by :
Move that the 802.3at Task Force endorse the revised 5 criteria and request that the IEEE 802.3 Working Group approve the same and turn over to the chair for appropriate processing.

Motion fails due to lack of a seconder

Motion by Yair Darshan, Seconded by Wael Diab:
Move that the 802.3at Task Force instruct the chair of the IEEE 802.3at Task Force to prepare and bring forward the procedure 19 package for review by the IEEE 802.3 Working Group and request that the IEEE 802.3 Working Group approve the same and turn over to the IEEE 802.3 chair for appropriate processing.

All in room:

Yes: 28 No: 0 Abstain: 0

Technical Motion – passes (at 10:00am)

Chair discusses the upcoming meetings. Please refer to http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/poep_study/email/msg00864.html for reference.

- January 2009 Interim - TF Comment resolution (expected minimal changes)
 - WG Chair open SB for D3.3 renamed to D4.0
- March Plenary - TF SB comment resolution D4.0
 - TF SB recirculation D4.1
- May Interim - TF SBR comment resolution D4.1
 - TF SB recirculation D4.2
- July Plenary - TF SBR comment resolution D4.2
 - WG conditional approval for RevCom submit
- September 2009 RevCom/Standards Board
 - Standards Ratification

Motion to adjourn by Chad Jones, seconded by Bill Delveaux, motion passes without opposition by voice.

** Meeting and session adjourned at 10:11am

Ballot Spreadsheet can be found at:

http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/at/public/nov08/ballots_1_0811.pdf