CI 00 SC 0	Р	L	# 11	C/ 00 SC 0	P 19	L 37	# 14
CHOU, JOSEPH	REALTEK SE	MICON		Maguire, Valerie	Siemon		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
draft but are used in the TX_LP_IDLE: 24.2.2, 24	e of TX_LP_IDLE and RX_L following clauses: 1.2.2.5, 24.2.3.1, and 36.2.4. 4.2.2.5, 24.2.3.1, 35.2.2.9a, a	12a	clearly defined in the	consistent with text i 27).	ce the TIA cabling equivalent to n other locations of the docume		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	falla		
,	replace them with actual con	tents		Revise sentence as	TOIIOWS:		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>				BASE-Te is a channel meeting crified by ISO/IEC 11801:1995 -1995."		
C/ <b>00</b> SC <b>0</b> Diab, Wael	<i>P</i> Broadcom	L	# 244	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E Revision history is incor	Comment Status D	ss draft		C/ 00 SC 0 Maguire, Valerie	<i>P</i> <b>21</b> Siemon	L <b>4</b>	# 15
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
Suggest having consista Proposed Response	ancy or deleting alltogether Response Status W				ce the TIA cabling equivalent to n other locations of the docume		
PROPOSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			SuggestedRemedy			
Will clear revision histor	у.			Revise sentence as	follows:		
C/ <b>00</b> SC <b>0</b> Maguire, Valerie	<i>P</i> <b>19</b> Siemon	L 14	# 17		the worst case insertion loss for or for a category 5 channel as		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Insert text to reference t	he TIA cabling equivalent to her locations of the docume						
SuggestedRemedy							

568-A-1995 category 5, or better cabling."

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 00 SC 0

Proposed responses IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy	y Efficient Ethernet comments	May 2009
Cl 00         SC 0         P 25         L 20         # 16           Maguire, Valerie         Siemon	C/ 01SC 1P 15L 14Barrass, HughCisco	# 106
Comment Type E Comment Status D Insert text to reference the TIA cabling equivalent to ISO class D and add a note (similar to the existing ISO note) indicating that the latest version of the standard specifies a media the exceeds the minimum requirements of the standard. This revision is consistent with text in other locations of the document (see clause 78.1.1, page 237, line 27).	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         The editor's note with revision history and comments has note been keep July 2008. Therefore it is clearly not considered useful by either editors         SuggestedRemedy         Delete the editor's note box.	
Note: ANSI/TIA-568-C.2 is anticipated to published August, 2008. SuggestedRemedy Insert text as follows: "the requirements of the Class D channel specified by ISO/IEC 11801:1995 or the category 5 channel as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A-1995.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Will clear revision history See response to comment 244	
NOTE - ANSI/TIA-568-C.2 provides a specification for category 5e media that exceeds the minimum requirements of this standard."         Leave the note related to ISO as it stands.         Proposed Response       Response Status       O	Cl       01       SC 1.3       P 15       L 31         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Status was checked during 802.3-2008 revision.         SuggestedRemedy	# 102
C/ 01 SC 1 P 15 L 1 # 105 Barrass, Hugh Cisco	Delete editor's note box & subclause heading. <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
Comment Type E Comment Status D This header may be useful but it doesn't need to be repeated for every clause - it's a waste of electrons!	C/ 01SC 1.4P 15L 39Barrass, HughCisco	# 103
SuggestedRemedy Delete ", Clause 1"	Comment Type E Comment Status D After 4 drafts, it is clear that no commenters think that there are more te	erms to add.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note box.	
Editor will check with 802.3 Chair/vice chair on their recommendation on the use of a header to distinguish between a new clause and changes to existing clauses.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

C/ 01 SC 1.4

Proposed responses		IEEE	P802.3az D1.3 Energy	/ Efficient Etherne	et comments			May 200
C/ 01 SC 1.5 Diab, Wael	P 16 Broadcom	L 12	# 246	C/ <b>14</b> SC GUPTA, SUJAY	14.1	P <b>19</b> Infosys Tech	L <b>23</b> nologies	# 231
Comment Type E This section is intended	Comment Status <b>D</b> to be an expantion of abbrev	iations, not an e	explanation	<i>Comment Type</i> The section t		<i>mment Status</i> <b>D</b> , so the keyword mayb	e removed as it i	s understood.
SuggestedRemedy Delte the words "label to	o indicate" and the " "			SuggestedReme j) Provides fo	,	reduced transmit amp	itude for a type 1	0BASE-Te (optional).
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed Responer	nse Res ACCEPT IN PR	ponse Status WIINCIPLE.		
C/ 01 SC 1.5	P16	L <b>3</b>	# 104	Change (j) to	:			
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			j) Provides fo	or operation with	reduced transmit amp	itude for type 10	BASE-Te (optional).
-	Comment Status D that no commenters think tha	t there are more	e abbreviations to add.	C/ 14 SC Barrass, Hugh	14.10.4.5.12	Р <b>26</b> Cisco	L <b>28</b>	# 108
	& the bogus subclause head	ing.		Comment Type After 4 drafts		<i>mment Status</i> <b>D</b> o commenters think th	at any further Pl	CS items are required.
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			SuggestedReme Delete the ec	<i>dy</i> ditor's note box.			
C/ <b>01</b> SC <b>1.5</b> Diab, Wael	P 16 Broadcom	L <b>8</b>	# 245	Proposed Respo PROPOSED	nse Res	ponse Status W		
	Comment Status D ading issue. Section 1.1 appe	ears under 1.5		C/ 14 SC Grimwood, Micha	<b>14.3.1.2.1</b> ael	P <b>23</b> Broadcom	L <b>27</b>	# 174
SuggestedRemedy Delete 1.1				Comment Type	T Co	mment Status D		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			This means t		data should be tested emplate requirements ted-pair model.		
C/ <b>14</b> SC <b>14</b> Barrass, Hugh	P 17 Cisco	L 1	# 109	SuggestedReme Change:	dy			
Comment Type E It's not necessary to have	Comment Status D	ry clause.		".with the loa Figure 14-8."		ough the twisted-pair m	nodel as defined	in Figure 14-7 and
SuggestedRemedy	·	-		To:				
Delete all the boilerplate	e text up to the Clause headin	g.				ough the twisted-pair m		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			•		d Figure 14-7a and Fig	gure 14-8 for 10E	BASE-Te."
				Proposed Respo	nse Res	ponse Status W		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.2.1

Page 3 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:39 PM

C/ 14 SC 14.3	.1.2.1 P 24	L <b>3</b>	# 175	CI 22	SC	Р	L	# 247
Grimwood, Michael	Broadcom	L <b>3</b>	# 115	Diab, Wael	00	, Broadcom	L	# 247
pair model. This n	Comment Status <b>D</b> the link test pulse and data should neans that the voltage template re be met with the 10BASE-Te twist	equirements for t		Comment Ty Several o SuggestedRe	of the cross-re	Comment Status D ofs appear in blue		
SuggestedRemedy				If this is r	not intentional	l, please change back to bla	ack	
	nnected through the twisted-pair r	nodel as defined	in Figure 14-7 and	Proposed Re PROPOS	,	Response Status W		
To:						that appear in blue have no I anywhere in the draft - cau		
	nnected through the twisted-pair r BASE-T and Figure 14-7a and Fi			Add a se	ntence to the	editing instructions on page	e 15:	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W				erences that active link.	do not point to text in this a	mendment are sh	own in Dark Blue ar
Change on line 3 in Figure 14-7 and	from ".with the load connected the JFigure 14-8."	rough the twisted	-pair model as defined	<i>Cl <b>22</b></i> Barrass, Hug	SC <b>22</b> h	P <b>27</b> Cisco	L 1	# 112
Figure 14-8 for 10	nnected through the twisted-pair r BASE-T and Figure 14-7a and Fi m "with the load connected throu igure 14-8."	gure 14-8 for 10E	BASE-Te."	SuggestedRe Delete al Proposed Re	ecessary to h emedy I the boilerpla	Comment Status D ave this boilerplate text for e te text up to the Clause hea Response Status W		
"with the load con	nected through the twisted-pair m BASE-T and Figure 14-7a and Fi			<i>Cl <b>22</b></i> Barrass, Hug	SC <b>22</b> h	P 27 Cisco	L <b>3</b>	# 110
C/ 14 SC 14.4 Barrass, Hugh	P 25 Cisco	L <b>3</b>	# 107	Comment Ty Editor's r	pe E lote is no long	Comment Status D ger needed.		
Comment Type E Comment Status D After 4 drafts, it is clear that no commenters think that there are mfurther link segment				SuggestedRe Delete th Proposed Re	e editor's not			
	nake.			•	SED ACCEPT	Response Status W -		
SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's	s note box.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 22 SC 22 Page 4 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:39 PM

CI 22 SC 22.2 P 29 L 12 # 232	Cl 22 SC 22.2.1.3.3 P29 L 20 # 40					
GUPTA, SUJAY Infosys Technologies	Dietz, Bryan Alcatel-Lucent					
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type T Comment Status D					
In Carrier_Status is dependent independently on the basic MII CRS plus our new addition the LPI SM. Recommending to change the language clause.	Note that this paragraph was the subject of a maintenance request at the last meeting. The first sentence is supposed to be removed, either by 802.3az or another project.					
The CARRIER_STATUS parameter can take one of two values: CARRIER_ON or CARRIER_OFF. The values CARRIER ON and CARRIER OFF are derived from the MII signal CRS and from	SuggestedRemedy					
the transmit LPI state machine.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
SuggestedRemedy	Comment type changed to a T					
The CARRIER_STATUS parameter can take one of two values: CARRIER_ON or CARRIER_OFF. The values CARRIER_ON and CARRIER_OFF can be derived from the MII signal CRS and	C/ 22         SC 22.2.2.2         P 29         L 47         # 176           Grimwood, Michael         Broadcom					
also from the transmit LPI state machine.	Comment Type T Comment Status D					
Proposed Response Response Status W	In figure 24-11a, the transition from the state IDENTIFY JK to the state START OF					
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	STREAM J is initially triggered by the sequence 11111 (/I/) followed by 11000 (/J/). This can be the same initial sequence that leads to a transition to the state START_RX_SLEEP					
C/ 22         SC 22.2         P 30         L 40         # 224           GUPTA, SUJAY         Infosys Technologies	(111 11 000). However, before the actual transition is complete, implementations may extend RX_CLK as described in the last paragraph of page 15 of 802.3-2005_section2.pdf. In the event that RX_CLK is extended as triggered by the bit sequence 11111 11000, the specification should be modified to allow this extension not only for the IDENTIFY JK to START of STREAM J state but also for the IDENTIFY JK to the START_RX_SLEEP state since the bit sequences that cause these transitions are initially indistinguishable.					
Comment Type E Comment Status D The MAC should wait for the resolved time before asserting out of LPI. So changing;						
The MAC device should not assert TX_EN for valid transmit data until after the wake up time	SuggestedRemedy					
specified for the PHY.	On page 15 of 802.3-2005_section2.pdf in Section 22.2.2.2 (pertaining to the RX_CLK), append the following sentence to the last paragraph:					
SuggestedRemedy						
The MAC device should not assert TX_EN for valid transmit data until after the resolved wake up time specified for the PHY.	"For low power operation, when the receiver transitions from the IDENTIFY JK state to the START_RX_SLEEP state at the transition from the IDLE code-group /I/ to the SLEEP code group /P/, the PHY may extend a cycle of RX_CLK by holding it in either the high or low condition for an interval that shall not exceed twice the nominal clock period."					
Proposed Response Response Status W	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
	Note that this brings 22.2.2.2 into the draft.					

C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.2

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.6a P 30 L 33 # 6	Cl 22 SC 22.7 P 34 L 7 # 229					
larris, Arthur Cadence	GUPTA, SUJAY Infosys Technologies					
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D					
It is not the MAC that controls LPI transitions it is the LPI client.	Need a figure for logical location of the LPI SM, which layer it interfaces. Can be mentioned in figure 22-20a, page 33.					
SuggestedRemedy Change 'MAC device' to 'LPI client' and put in a cross-reference to Clause 78	SuggestedRemedy					
Do the same in 22.2.2.9a on page 32.	Proposed Response Response Status W					
Also in 22.7a on page 33.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Add LPI client to Figure 22-20a removing mention of station management. Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b>	There is no need for a new figure, however it needs to be stated explicitly in the text describing Fig 22-20a how the LPI transmit state machine is involved.					
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	At the end of the second paragraph in 22.7a (p.33, I.44) add the following sentence:					
Change "MAC device" to "LPI client"	"The timing of PLS_CARRIER.indication when used for the LPI function is controlled by the LPI transmit state machine."					
p.30, l.33; p.32, l.28; p.33, l.9 & l.11	Cl 22 SC 22.7 P35 L4 # 111					
Change "station management" to "LPI client service interface" - p.33, I20 & I36	Barrass, Hugh Cisco					
Add at the beginning of 22.7a:	Comment Type E Comment Status D Editor's note is no longer needed.					
"Low Power Idle operation and the LPI client are described in Clause 78.1."	SuggestedRemedy					
C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.6a P 31 L 4 # 32	Delete the editor's note box.					
raeber, Mario Infineon Technologies	Proposed Response Response Status W					
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> "> minimum LPI assertion time" in Figure 22-6a became obsolete in one of the last drafts and is not referred somewhere else anymore.	PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
SuggestedRemedy						
Remove it from the drawing						
Proposed Response Response Status W						
PROPOSED ACCEPT.						
Comment type changed to a T						
See also #26						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 22 SC 22.7

CI 22	SC 22.7a	P 33	L 1544	# 41	CI 22	SC 22.7a.2	P 35	L	# 36
Dietz, Brya	n	Alcatel-Lucent				ario	Infineon Technolo	ogies	

#### Comment Type E Comment Status D

Clarify explanation of LPI operation by editing text. The following sentence is unclear and hard to read.

"Similarly, RX\_ER and RXD<3:0> are mapped to PLS\_DATA.indication except when LP\_IDLE is detected and CRS is mapped to PLS\_CARRIER.indication except when LP\_IDLE.request is asserted or the wake timer has yet to expire."

#### SuggestedRemedy

Restructure the following paragraph:

"The LPI assertion and detection mechanism fits conceptually between the PLS Service Primitives and the MII signals as shown in Figure 22-20a. The definition of TX\_EN, TX\_ER and TXD<3:0> is derived from the state of PLS\_DATA.request (22.2.1.1), except when it is overridden by an assertion of LP\_IDLE.request. Similarly, RX\_ER and RXD<3:0> are mapped to PLS\_DATA.indication except when LP\_IDLE is detected and CRS is mapped to PLS\_CARRIER.indication except when LP\_IDLE.request is asserted or the wake timer has yet to expire."

to read (use bullets for the sub points)

"The LPI assertion and detection mechanism fits conceptually between the PLS Service Primitives and the MII signals as shown in Figure 22-20a.

" The definition of TX\_EN, TX\_ER and TXD<3:0> is derived from the state of PLS\_DATA.request (22.2.1.1), except when it is overridden by an assertion of LP\_IDLE.request.

" Similarly, RX\_ER and RXD<3:0> are mapped to PLS\_DATA.indication, except when LP\_IDLE is detected

" CRS is mapped to PLS\_CARRIER.indication, except when LP\_IDLE.request is asserted or the wake timer has yet to expire."

#### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

#### Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

Figure 22-21 TX LPI State Diagram does not include the case when the MAC is allowed to assert LPI first after a link-up. In particular this could cause problems in 100BASE-TX modes since the state-diagram suggests that the MAC could signal an LPI assertion directly after reset, i.e. during ANEG (which is useless) or link-up of 100BASE-TX. This in turn could cause link-up instabilities.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Introduce a state "WAIT\_ON\_LINKUP" into which a transition goes after reset. Only after Link-Up has been indicated via Management Registers the MAC is allowed to assert LPI. In case of a Link-Down or reset a re-transition into "WAIT\_ON\_LINKUP" is required.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The suggested remedy will not have the desired effect. The TX LPI state machine does not restrict the signaling of LPI from the LPI client to the PHY, it only controls the flow of data from the MAC to the PHY during wake.

Alternative solution:

In 22.7a.1 LPI messages (p.34, I.3) add the following:

"LPI\_IDLE.request shall not be set to ASSERT unless the attached link is operational (i.e. link\_status = READY, see 28.2.6.1.1). LP\_IDLE.request shall remain to be set to DEASSERT for 1 second following link\_status changing state to READY."

CI 22	SC 22.7a.2.2	P <b>34</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 26
Healey, A	dam	LSI Corporation		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

It has been established that no PHY, within the scope of P802.3az, requires a minimum LPI assertion time.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Delete the definition of li\_timer and its use in the Transmit LPI state diagram (Figure 22-21).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 22 SC 22.7a.2.2 Page 7 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:40 PM

C/ <b>22</b> SC <b>22.7a.2.2</b> GUPTA, SUJAY	P <b>34</b> Infosys Techn	L <b>3035</b>	# 228	C/ <b>24</b> Traeber, N	SC <b>24.2.2.1.1</b> Aario	P 42 Infineor	<i>L</i> n Technologies	# 34
	omment Status D			Comment PCS of	<i>Type</i> <b>ER</b> code group P does	Comment Status	<b>D</b> the MII (TXD/RXD) w	hich is "undefined". In
SuggestedRemedy Call li_timer -> lp_intimer and tw_timer -> lp_outtimer,	the term tw is overloade	ed.		Suggestee Make	dRemedy a link into clause	hold true for the Idle " 22 specifing the codin	g of P at the MII or al	Iternatively inserting
Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR	sponse Status W RINCIPLE.			Proposed	Response	mmenting on TX_EN	•	
Li_timer is deleted by #26. tw_timer is an appropriate na	ame for the function.					P transmitted or receive		state while MII is sending ne code P in PCS.
C/ 22 SC Figure 22-6a Marris, Arthur	P <b>31</b> Cadence	L 19	# 1	C/ 24 Bennett, N	SC 24.2.2.5 /lichael	P <b>43</b> LBNL	L <b>22</b>	# 243
Comment Type <b>T</b> Co What is the relevance of PLS	omment Status <b>D</b> S.CARRIER.indication ir	n this description of	of transmit operation?	<i>Comment</i> The v		Comment Status 1 2 do not match the va		
SuggestedRemedy Consider deleting PLS.CARF moved to Figure 22-9a which			ybe it should be		ding to slide 12 in	chou_02_0708.pdf, w the tables consistent	hich was adopted as	a baseline, the values in
5	sponse Status W				Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status	w	
PLS.CARRIER.indication is sending data before the wak		se 4A MAC, to pre	event the MAC from			between Tbale 24-2 ar		
This mechanism is based on following link:	the proposal from a no	ted Ethernet expe	rt shown by the	" Chai 220us	nge the default va "		lpi_rx_ts_timer, and I	pi_tx_tr_timer to 200us -
http://www.ieee802.org/3/efn	n/public/jan02/marris_1_	_0102.pdf		chang	es:		n the timer value acc	ording to the following
C/ 24 SC 24 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>37</b> Cisco	L1	# 115	Ts 200 us (min) 220 us (max) Tq 20,000 us (min) 22,000 s (max) Tr 200 us (min) 220 us (max)				
Comment Type E Co It's not necessary to have thi	omment Status <b>D</b> is boilerplate text for eve	ery clause.						
SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerplate text	up to the Clause heading	ng.						
Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT.	sponse Status W							
TYPE: TR/technical required ER COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatch SORT ORDER: Clause, Subcla	ned A/accepted R/reject				d U/unsatisfied	Z/withdrawn	C/ 24 SC 24.2.2.5	Page 8 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:40

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 24 SC 24.2.2.5 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>43</b> Cisco	L <b>5</b>	# 113	Cl         24         SC         24.2.2         P 47         L 10         # 12           CHOU, JOSEPH         REALTEK SEMICON					
Comment Type E Editor's note is no longer	Comment Status D			Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> The value of LP_IDLE in Figure 24-8 is not defined here. It is apparently the codeword 0001 specified in Table 22-1 and also defined as TX_LP_IDLE in 24.2.3.1. This LP_IDLE is					
SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note b	IOX.			used in several places in this figure.					
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy Either replace LP_IDLE with TX_LP_IDLE and define TX_LP_IDLE clearly in 24.2.3.1 or replace it with the value 0001.					
C/ 24 SC 24.2.3.4 Grimwood, Michael	P 45 Broadcom	L <b>24</b>	# 177	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         With the current allowable range of Ipi_rx_ti_timer and considering the PCS receive state diagram of Figure 24-11b, it is possible to get into an endless loop due to the following sequence:         1. Erroneously enter RX_SLEEP (due to bit errors or misalignment)				Replace LP_IDLE with TX_LP_IDLE. Refine the definition of TX_LP_IDLE in line 10 page 44 as follows. "A value 0001 of transmit nibble-wide Data signals (TXD) combining with the deasserttion of TX_EN and the assertion of TX_ER on the MII used to communicate the status or request of low power transmit state, as specified in 24.2.2."					
2. Receive a minimum IF	G (0.96 usec) of IDLE caus pi_rx_ti_timer is done causir	sing a transition t		C/         24         SC         24.2.4.2         P 47         L 12         # 27           Healey, Adam         LSI Corporation         LSI Corporation         LSI Corporation         LSI Corporation         LSI Corporation					
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type T Comment Status D					
Modify lpi_rx_ti_timer su	ch that its maximum value is	s less than the m	ninimum IPG.	Now that lpi_tx_ts_timer and lpi_tx_tr_timer are of the same duration, the states TX_SLEEP and TX_REFRESH are essentially identical in that the execute the same actions and share the same exit conditions. The state diagram could be simplified by merging them.					
"The timer shall have a p	eriod between 1.0 us to 1.2	us."		SuggestedRemedy					
То:				Merge the TX_SLEEP and TX_REFRESH states.					
"The timer shall have a period between 0.8 us to 0.9 us." <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. However, I would like to keep Refresh state in the draft conext. The following area of dra need to be changed accordingly:						
				<ol> <li>Remove state TX_REFRESH of Figure 24-8. Add "tx_quiet&lt;=FALSE" action to TX_SLEEP state.</li> <li>Remove description of lpi_tx_tr_timer in page 46.</li> <li>Modify the row containing Refresh in Table 24-2 to make it refer to the Sleep state.</li> <li>Rewrite the description of Refresh State in line 42 of page 43 to make it similar to Sleep state.</li> </ol>					

C/ 24 SC 24.2.4.2 Page 9 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:40 PM

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

	Infineon Tech	nnologies		GUPTA, S	UJAY		Infosys Techr	L 6 nologies	# 230
raeber, Mario Comment Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D	literegiee		Comment		Comment	Status D	lologioo	
The RX_SLEEP state hand-up of the FSM ir	does not encode all possible a case of Transmitter false bel pires but still signal power is p	havior. In particu	lar this happens when		Id be "PMA_LPI			PMA_LPILINK.I	request primitive.
SuggestedRemedy Introduce a state-trans signal_status=ON*lpi_	sition to RX_LPI_LIN_FAIL wi	nen		Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	,	Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT	Response Status W			<i>C</i> / <b>24</b> GUPTA, S	SC <b>24.3 Fig</b> u UJAY	ıre 24-11b	P <b>49</b> Infosys Techr	L <b>26</b> nologies	# 225
0 1	n will rarely happen as the cor expires, if Signal_status is stil	·		Comment RX_W again Suggested	AKE->RX_QUIE		t Status <b>D</b> n sig_status=Of	FF, Need to start	the lpi_rx_tq timer
1. If the signal_status 2. Or, an IPG comes i Therefore, the only sit	turne OFF, then FSM moves n which will lead to WAIT_IDL uation that this FSM will stuck ata stream without IPG.	.E.		Proposed			Status W		
	normal receiving PCS state r		· · · ·						n erroneous glitch code any valid symbol.
C/ <b>24</b> SC <b>24.2.4.4</b> CHOU, JOSEPH	P <b>49</b> REALTEK SI	L 13 EMICON	# 13		uiet timer should T_RX_QUIET is		ted under such o	circumstance. Th	at's why state
0001 specified in Tabl is used in several plac SuggestedRemedy	-	X_LP_IDLE in 24	4.2.3.1. This LP_IDLE	C/ <b>24</b> Dietz, Brya Comment Typo:	Туре Е	Comment	P 53 Alcatel-Lucen Status D	L <b>53</b> t	# 42
Either replace LP_IDL replace it with the valu	E with RX_LP_IDLE and define 0001.	ne RX_LP_IDLE	clearly in 24.2.3.1 or	Suggested Typo:	<i>IRemedy</i> change "the Ene	rgy Efficient E	Ethernet" to "En	ergy Efficient Eth	ernet".
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W			Proposed	•	Response	Status W		
"A value 0001 of recein RX_DV and the asser	f RX_LP_IDLE in line 14 pag ve nibble-wide Data signals ( tion of ed to communicate the status	RXD) combining							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 24 SC 24.4.1

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

24 SC 24.4.1.5 vietz, Bryan	P <b>54</b> Alcatel-Lucent	L <b>35</b>	# 43	C/ 25 SC 25.3 Barrass, Hugh	P 57 Cisco	L 9	# 116
Comment Type E Typo:	Comment Status D			Comment Type ER Editor's note appears to	Comment Status D highlight some inconsistend	cies in the draft.	
uggestedRemedy Insert space between "4"	and "Figure 24-8".			If these are real - fix the	m, otherwise the editor's no	te is incorrect.	
	Response Status W			In either case - delete th SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note			
/ 24 SC 24.8.2.2 arrass, Hugh	P 55 Cisco	L <b>20</b>	# 114	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
omment Type E Editor's note is no longer	Comment Status D needed.			C/ <b>25</b> SC <b>25.4</b> Barrass, Hugh	P <b>59</b> Cisco	L <b>34</b>	# 117
uggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note b	ox.			Comment Type <b>E</b> The editor tries	Comment Status D		
also on page 56, line 3 roposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			It appears that the edito SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note	r has been successful - hoo	rah!	
7 <b>25</b> SC <b>25</b> arrass, Hugh	P 57 Cisco	L 1	# 119	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
	Comment Status <b>D</b> e this boilerplate text for even	ry clause.		C/ 25 SC 25.5.1 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>65</b> Cisco	L <b>8</b>	# 118
•	text up to the Clause headin Response Status W	g.		Comment Type E Editor's note is no longe SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note			
				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		

CI **25** SC **25.5.1** 

C/ 28C SC 28C P 256 L 8 Barrass, Hugh Cisco	# 120	C/ 30 SC 30 P 67 L 3 # 1 Barrass, Hugh Cisco	21			
Comment Type E Comment Status D Editor's note is no longer needed.		Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> The editor's note highlights a deficiency in the draft.				
SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note box. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		SuggestedRemedy Add MIB object definitions based on the text in Clause 78 & copying the style of MIB definitions. Delete the editor's note	802.3at			
C/ 28C         SC 28C.12         P 256         L 44           Healey, Adam         LSI Corporation	# 28	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
Comment Type T Comment Status D		Editor will show detailed text during the TF meeting.				
"with at least two unformatted next pages that contain informative There is currently only one unformatted next page following the		C/ 35         SC 35         P 68         L 1         # 1           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco	25			
SuggestedRemedy Change to "with at least one unformatted next page"		Comment TypeEComment StatusDIt's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause.				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerplate text up to the Clause heading.				
C/ 30 SC 30 P 66 L 1 Barrass, Hugh Cisco	# 122	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
Comment Type E Comment Status D It's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause.		C/ 35         SC 35         P 69         L 4         # 1           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco	23			
SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerplate text up to the Clause heading.		Comment Type E Comment Status D Editor's note is no longer needed.				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note box.				
		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				

CI 35 SC 35

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 35         SC 35.1.1         P 69         L 25         # 37           Booth, Brad         AMCC	C/ 35         SC 35.2.2.6a         P 70         L 47         #         7           Marris, Arthur         Cadence			
Comment Type E Comment Status D Sentence is a bit confusing.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D It is not the MAC that controls LPI transitions it is the LPI client.			
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy			
Change to read:	Change 'MAC device' to 'LPI client' and put in a cross-reference to Clause 78.			
The GMII may also support low power idle signaling as defined for Energy Efficient Ethernet in Clause 78.	Also 35.2.2.9a on page 72.			
Proposed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status W			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
The GMII may also support low power idle signaling as defined for Energy Efficient Ethernet in Clause 78 for some PHY types.	Change "MAC device" to "LPI client"			
C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.4 P70 L 912 # 44	p.70, l.47, l.51; p.71, l.1; p.72, l.45, l.48			
Dietz, Bryan Alcatel-Lucent	At the beginning of 35.2.2.6a, insert:			
Comment Type E Comment Status D	"Low Power Idle operation and the LPI client are described in Clause 78.1."			
Editorial change: use of "and" to join two unlike clauses.	CI 35 SC 35.2.2.7 P71 L 35 # 45			
SuggestedRemedy	Dietz, Bryan Alcatel-Lucent			
Replace paragraph:	Comment Type E Comment Status D			
"While TX_EN is de-asserted and TX_ER is asserted, TXD<7:0> are used to request the	Editorial change: use of "and" to join two unlike clauses.			
PHY to generate an assertion of low power idle; Carrier Extend or Carrier Extend Error	SuggestedRemedy			
code-groups. The use of TXD<7:0> during the transmission of a frame with carrier extension is described in 35.2.2.5 and low power idle transitions are described in 35.2.2.6a. Carrier extension shall only be signaled immediately following the data portion of a frame."	Replace paragraph:			
	"While RX_DV is de-asserted, the PHY may provide a False Carrier indication or assert low			
With:	power idle by asserting the RX_ER signal while driving the specific value listed in Table 35-			
"While TX_EN is de-asserted and TX_ER is asserted, TXD<7:0> are used to request the	2 onto RXD<7:0>. See 36.2.5.2.3 for a description of the conditions under which a PHY will provide a False Carrier indication and low power idle transitions are described in 35.2.2.9a.			
PHY to generate an assertion of low power idle, Carrier Extend or Carrier Extend Error				
code-groups. The use of TXD<7:0> during the transmission of a frame with carrier extension is described in 35.2.2.5. Carrier extension shall only be signaled immediately	"While RX_DV is de-asserted, the PHY may provide a False Carrier indication or assert low power idle by asserting the RX_ER signal while driving the specific value listed in Table 35-			
following the data portion of a frame. The use of TXD<7:0> to signal low power idle	2 onto RXD<7:0>. See 36.2.5.2.3 for a description of the conditions under which a PHY will			
transitions is described in 35.2.2.6a."	provide a False Carrier indication. Low power idle transitions are described in 35.2.2.9a."			
Proposed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status W			
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	PROPOSED ACCEPT.			

C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.7

C/ <b>35</b> SC <b>35.5</b> Barrass, Hugh	P 73 Cisco	L <b>48</b>	# 124	C/ 36         SC 36.2.5.1.3         P 77         L 16         # 128           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco				
Comment Type E Editor's note is no longe	Comment Status D er needed.			Comment Type T Comment Status D (comment originally from Velu)				
SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note				Also, applies to receive state diagram (fig 36-9b)				
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Reverse the effect of comment #166 from the previous draft :-)				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				There is a requirement for a variable that has the same definition as rx_lpi_mode used to have.				
C/ 36 SC 36	P 75	L <b>1</b>	# 132	SuggestedRemedy				
Barrass, Hugh Cisco				Restore the definition of rx_lpi_mode and the control of that variable in the receive state				
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			diagram.				
SuggestedRemedy	ve this boilerplate text for eve	ery clause.		Change the variable name to rx_lpi_active; change the 2 states to TRUE (formerly ON) and FALSE(formerly OFF).				
Delete all the boilerplate	e text up to the Clause headi	ng.		Proposed Response Response Status W				
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.2.2 P81 L5 # 129				
C/ 36 SC 36	P 76	L <b>4</b>	# 130	Barrass, Hugh Cisco				
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Comment Type T Comment Status D				
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			(comment originally from Velu)				
Editor's note is no longe	er needed.			fig 36-7a PCS receive state diagram				
SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note	box.			The state machine needs to stay in state LPIDLE_MODE during LP idle.				
Proposed Response Response Status W				SuggestedRemedy				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	,			Change all 3 exit conditions from state LPI_K to include "* (rx_lpi_active = FALSE)"				
				Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				

C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.2

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

4/23/2009 8:47:40 PM

C/ 36         SC 36.2.5.2.6         P 83         L 47         # 3           Marris, Arthur         Cadence	C/ 36         SC 36.2.5.2.8         P 86         L 39         # 126           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco
Comment Type T Comment Status D Missing underline on added paragraph	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> (comment originally from Velu)
SuggestedRemedy Underline the penultimate paragraph on page 83.	Effectively the same as comment #128 from the previous draft. Fig 36-9b LPI receive state diagram.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Make the same changes as were accepted for Clause 49, wake time fault. SuggestedRemedy
C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.8 P 86 L 20 # 127 Barrass, Hugh Cisco	Add new state RX_WTF, counter wake_error_counter and timer rx_wf_timer - both as in Clause 49.
Comment Type T Comment Status D	Exit conditions from the new state are the same as RX_WAKE.
Effectively the same as comment #89 from the previous draft.	Proposed Response Response Status W
Is is really necessary to "de-bounce" signal_detect = FAIL?	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
The value of signal_detect is communicated from the PMA sublayer to indicate that the PMD detects the presence of a signal AND that the PMA is able to synchronize to that signal. This is unlikely to be tricked by the power-down transient of the link partner	C/         36         SC         36.2.5.2.9         P 86         L         # 99           Pillai, Velu         Broadcom
transmitter.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
SuggestedRemedy Remove RX_DEACT state and delete the definition of rx_deact_timer.	LPI status bits are added 3.1 register. 1000Base-X PCS does not have any definition in Cl45, 3.1 register. If new bits are added then standard has to defined the meaning of rest of the bits that register (Ex: fault)
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Add the 1000Base-X PCS LPI status in different register.
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.
	Many of the bits in register 3.1 are already defined to be meaningful for certain PHYs and not others. None of the bits pose any special problems for 1000BASE-X PHYs.
	C/ 36         SC 36.7         P 87         L 48         # [131]           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco
	Comment Type E Comment Status D Editor's note is no longer needed.
	SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/e COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/o SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	al G/general

Proposed responses IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energ	gy Efficient Ethernet comments	May 2009
C/         36         SC         Fig 36-7a         P 81         L         # 95           Pillai, Velu         Broadcom	C/ 40         SC 40         P 89         L 1         #           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco         Ci	# 133
Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         Without "rx_lpi_active" transition from LPI_K to IDEL_D can happen during transitioning in and out of quiet mode (transition from LPI_K to IDLE_D. To avoid this AND detect_idle with rx_lpi_active. Please refer to page 9 of pillai_01_0409.         SuggestedRemedy         Proposed Response       Response Status       W	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         It's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause.         SuggestedRemedy         Delete all the boilerplate text up to the Clause heading.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See #128, 129 for details.	Cl         40         SC         40.1.3         P 90         L 4         #           Healey, Adam         LSI Corporation         LSI Corporati	<b>#</b> 25
C/ 36         SC Fig 36-9b         P 86         L         # 96           Pillai, Velu         Broadcom	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Additional test modes should be defined to facilitate verification of a device's the specification.	compliance to
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> PCS LPI transmit state diagram need rx_lpi_active. Please refer to page 10 of pillai_01_0409. SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Presentation to be submitted for Task Force review. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Proposed Response Response Status W	Pending review of the cited presentation.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See #128, 129 for details.	C/         40         SC         40.2.11         P 95         L 8         #           McIntosh, James         Vitesse	<b>#</b> 19
Cl 36 SC Figure 36-7a P 81 L 4 # 2 Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type ER Comment Status D There is a subclause numbering problem starting here. There are two subcla 40.2.11s. The first is on page 94, line (PMA_LPIMODE.indication) and the s page 95, line 8 (PMA_LPIREQ.request).	
RXD<7:0> <= 0000 0001 should be add to LP_IDLE state actions. SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Renumber subclauses 40.2.xx starting here (page 95, line8): 40.2.12 PMA_LPIREQ.request	
as above Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

C/ 40 SC 40.2.11 Page 16 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:40 PM

Proposed	responses
----------	-----------

C/ 40         SC 40.5.1.1         P 111         L 25         # 20           McIntosh, James         Vitesse	C/         40         SC 40.5.1.2         P 112         L 27         # 24           Healey, Adam         LSI Corporation
Comment Type ER Comment Status D Register 3.22 in Table 40.3 is called "1000BASE-T wake error counter" here, but called "EEE wake error counter" in clause 45. SuggestedRemedy Rename to "EEE wake error counter". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         Unformatted next page 4 serves no purpose and need not be sent.         SuggestedRemedy         Delete Page 4 (Unformatted next page) from Table 40-4.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Cl 40       SC 40.5.1.2       P 111       L 39       # 30         Healey, Adam       LSI Corporation       Image: Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       Image: Comment Status       D         This text should be updated to describe the additional next page exchanges for Energy Efficient Ethernet.       SuggestedRemedy       Update the text accordingly.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Image: Comment Status       Image: Comment Status	Cl 40       SC 40.6.1.2.5       P 111       L 47       # 178         Grimwood, Michael       Broadcom       Broadcom         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         Clarify that MASTER clock jitter specifications be met in low-power mode.       SuggestedRemedy         In section 40.6.1.2.5 change:       When in the normal mode of operation as the MASTER, the peak-to-peak value of the MASTER TX_TCLK jitter relative to an unjittered reference shall be less than 1.4 ns.         To:
CI 40       SC 40.5.1.2       P 112       L 20       # 31         Healey, Adam       LSI Corporation       Image: Comment Type T       Comment Status D       Table 40-4 is missing the EEE Technology Message page.         SuggestedRemedy       Define Page 3 as a Message next page with the EEE technology message code. Page 4 would then be the Unformatted next page currently defined as Page 3.         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.	When in the normal or low power modes of operation as the MASTER, the peak-to-peak value of the MASTER TX_TCLK jitter relative to an unjittered reference shall be less than 1.4 ns.  Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.  "Low power mode of operation" is too broad since there is no reason to verify the requirement when the PHY is in the WAIT_QUIET, QUIET, WAKE, or WAKE_SILENT states since no signal or a known non-compliant signal is being transmitted.  The standard is already clear regarding when the transmitter must deliver a compliant signal. The definition of lpi_wakemz_timer (40.4.5.2) is: "This timer defines the time allowed for the PHY transmitter to achieve compliant operation following activation." This not only includes jitter, but signal levels, distortion, etc. and represents a superset of the proposed text. For these reasons, no change appears to be required.

C/ 40 SC 40.6.1.2.5

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ <b>40</b> Grimwood,	SC 40.6.1.2.7 Michael	P 112 Broadcom	L <b>36</b>	# 180	<i>Cl</i> <b>40</b> Healey, Ad	SC <b>40.6.1.2.7</b> lam	P <b>112</b> LSI Corporat	L <b>36</b>	# 29
Comment		omment Status D			Comment		Comment Status D		
The tra undefir of nom thresho	insmitter wake signal s ned. Why is there not a inal idle level 600 nse old values so does this	specification has severa a single threshold? (For c after the beginning of s mean that the signal is litional 10% tolerance a	example, If the Wake, this is ou non-compliant?	wake signal is at 90% tside of the two	1. The ns. A F 2. The	re is no need to d PHY that delivers concept of "symb	efine an upper bound on the a full amplitude signal shou pols ratio" is not clearly defir s that nothing more than the	ld still be complia	ant. out for the purpose of
This comment suggest simplifying this specification to make it clear.					Suggested	Remedy			
uggested					Chang		a batwaan EQ and ZEQ( af t	he nominal idle l	
Chang	•				"The wake signal shall be between 50 and 75% of the nominal idle levels with a symbols ratio within 10% of a nominal idle signal. These requirements shall be met within 700 ns following entry into the WAKE state."				
The wake signal shall be between 50 and 75% of the nominal idle levels with a symbols ratio within 10% of a nominal idle signal. These requirements shall be met within 700 ns following entry into the WAKE state.				To: "The w	0	e no less than 50% of the r	nominal idle level	s within 700 ns	
To: The wake signal shall be at least 75% of the analog signal levels corresponding to a					Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
					PROP	OSED ACCEPT.			
	al PAM3 {+2, 0, -2} idl ng entry into the WAKI	e signal. These requirer E state.	nents shall be n	net within 700 ns	C/ 45	SC 45	P 116	L <b>1</b>	# 136
		sponse Status W			Barrass, H	ugh	Cisco		
-	OSED ACCEPT IN PR	, RINCIPLE.			Comment Type E Comment Status D It's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause.				
Refer t	o #29.				Suggested Delete		e text up to the Clause head	ing.	
					Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W	-	
					<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> Barrass, H	SC <b>45</b> ugh	P 117 Cisco	L <b>3</b>	# 134
					Comment Editor's	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> s note is no longe	Comment Status D r needed.		
					Suggested Delete	Remedy the editor's note	box.		
					Proposed I	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		

C/ 45 SC 45

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/         45         SC         45.2         P 120         L 11         # 226           GUPTA, SUJAY         Infosys Technologies         Infosys Technologies	C/         45         SC         45.2.3.9a         P 120         L 46         # 179           Grimwood, Michael         Broadcom
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Instead of mentioning state transition is undefined, it can be made dependent on the latch register status.	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Introduce capabilities and advertisement bits related to 10BASE-Te to allow management selection of the transmitter mode when devices support both 10BASE-T and 10BASE-Te.
Applies to the recv register as well. SuggestedRemedy The behavior if read is reliable only if the Transmit low power idle received(45.2.3.2.1a) latch register indicates the same state.	SuggestedRemedy Introduce 10BASE-Te capability bit to 3.20.0 and 10BASE-Te advertisement bits to 7.60.0 and 7.61.0.
Proposed Response Response Status W	A presentation will be submitted for the April/May EEE interim detailing the rationale and rules for resolving the mode.
PROPOSED REJECT. The proposed response does not work in all cases - for example when the PHY has come out of LPI and the indication bit reads 0 whereas the latched bit stays 1. Even if it did work, it doesn't give any more information than stating that the behavior is undefined if read during a state transition (unreliable = undefined).	Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED REJECT.       10BASE-T and 10BASE-Te are in all respects compatible and interoperable on supported media. The media is not part of negotiation or management, therefore advertisement would
I 45       SC 45.2       P 121       L 21       # 227         UPTA, SUJAY       Infosys Technologies         comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         Keep a room for mentioning the error counter size.(can be changed later)	be redundant. Furthermore, the justification for 10BASE-Te was based largely on the physical constraints of producing low power devices on small geometry silicon (particularly related to multi- speed devices). If 10BASE-T is supported, there is no benefit to support 10BASE-Te in addition, therefore support of both should not be expected.
uggestedRemedy This counter is of size 4bytes.	C/         45         SC         45.2.3.9a.5         P 121         L 15         # 22           McIntosh, James         Vitesse
oposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type ER Comment Status D We reference subclause 40.2.11 here and in subcluse 45.2.7.13a.5 (page 122, line 53) as the definition of support of EEE operation for 1000BASE-T. This does not seem correct.
Change "This counter shall be reset" to "This 16 bit counter shall be reset"	Would 40.1.3 be a better reference?
45         SC 45.2.3.2         P 119         L 21         # 21           clntosh, James         Vitesse	SuggestedRemedy Change reference/link to 40.1.3 (or the appropriate reference).
Comment Type ER Comment Status D LL is defined in Table 45-84 as Latching Low. LH is not defined here, but I assume that it stands for Latching High.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
uggestedRemedy Add footnote to bottom of Table 45-84: LH = Latching High	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3.9a.5** 

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3		L 19	# 23	C/ 46 SC 46	P 125	L <b>1</b>	# 141
McIntosh, James	Vitesse			Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
	Comment Status D ause 25.4.11 here and in subcliport of EEE operation for 100B, better reference?			Comment Type E It's not necessary to have SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> this boilerplate text for even	ery clause.	
SuggestedRemedy Change reference/li Proposed Response	ink to 24.1.1 (or the appropriate Response Status W	reference).		Delete all the boilerplate te Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT.	ext up to the Clause headi Response Status W	ing.	
PROPOSED ACCE	PT.			Cl <b>46</b> SC <b>46</b> Barrass, Hugh	P 126 Cisco	L <b>4</b>	# 139
Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 McIntosh, James Comment Type E	3.9b P 121 Vitesse Comment Status D	L <b>25</b>	# 18		Comment Status D		
context, but there is that is inappropriate SuggestedRemedy	ym WTF clearly has the technic another common use of this ac a. ym WTF, or replace with a diffre	cronym among the		SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note bo Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT.	x. Response Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W			<i>Cl</i> <b>46</b> SC <b>46.3</b> Marris, Arthur	P <b>126</b> Cadence	L <b>34</b>	# 10
Replace "WTF" with				Comment Type <b>TR</b> The proposed use of a new implementations and seen			
<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> <i>SC</i> <b>45.5</b> Barrass, Hugh	P <b>124</b> Cisco	L <b>4</b>	# 135	link status signalling.	is unnecessary when seq	quence ordered s	
Comment Type E Editor's note is no le	Comment Status <b>D</b> onger needed.			SuggestedRemedy Please consider defining a (see Table 46-5 in exisiting	9 802.3 standard). This wo	ould have less im	npact on existing
SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's r	note				t be transported by existin Response Status W	ng network infras	tructure.
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W			PROPOSED REJECT. Current implementations w stream to support sleep/wa existing systems (while sig	ake cycles as required by	the new standar	

CI **46** SC **46.3** 

C/         46         SC         46.3.1.5a         P 127         L 44         #         8           Marris, Arthur         Cadence         Cadence         Cadence         Cadence         Cadence	C/         46         SC         46.3.1.5a         P 128         L 12         # 137           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco         C
Comment Type TR Comment Status D It is not the MAC that controls LPI transitions it is the LPI client.	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> (comment originally from Velu)
SuggestedRemedy Change 'MAC device' to 'LPI client' and put in a cross-reference to Clause 78. Also 46.3.2.4a on page 130. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "MAC device" to "LPI client"	In fig 46-7a TXC should be shown HIGH during IDLE after wake. Also, make it clear in the diagram and the text that TXC & TXD are the same for all 4 lanes. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> As per comment. <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT.
p.127, I.44, I.48, I.51; p.130, I.6, I.8 Add at the beginning of 46.3.1.5a and 46.3.2.4a: "Low Power Idle operation and the LPI client are described in Clause 78.1." C/ 46 SC 46.3.1.5a P 128 L # 97	Cl 46 SC 46.3.1.5a P 128 L 2 # 46 Dietz, Bryan Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type E Comment Status D Typo SuggestedRemedy
Pillai, Velu Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status D - TXC needs to be high during IDLE - This diagram should show TXC<3:0>, TXD<31:24>, TXD<23:16>,	Delete one of the two periods.  Proposed Response Response Status W  PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Finis diagram should	Cl 46       SC 46.3.2.4a       P 130       L       # 98         Pillai, Velu       Broadcom         Comment Type TR Comment Status D         - RXC needs to be high during IDLE         - This diagram should show RXC<3:0>, RXD<31:24>, RXD<23:16>, RXD<15:8>, RXD<7:0>.         - Line 9 is not correct. RXC<3:0> is 0XF during IDLE and LPI         SuggestedRemedy
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See #138

Proposed responses	IEEE	P802.3az D1.3 Energy	Efficient Ethe	ernet comme	ents			May 2009
C/ 46 SC 46.3.2.4a Barrass, Hugh C	P <b>130</b> L <b>23</b> Disco	# 138	<i>Cl</i> <b>46</b> Pillai, Velu	SC CI46.3.2.4	a	P 130 Broadcom	L	# [101
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment St (comment originally from Velu)	atus D		Comment Ty During Ic each		Comment S 0xF, RXD<3		:16>, RXD<15:8	3>, RXD<7:0> are 0x07
In fig 46-8a RXC should be shown HIG	H during IDLE after wake.		During L 0x06 ead		)> = 0xF, RXE	0<31:24>, RXD∙	<23:16>, RXD<	15:8>, RXD<7:0> are
Also, make it clear in the diagram and t SuggestedRemedy	he text that RXC & RXD are	the same for all 4 lanes.	SuggestedRe		or all four land	es		
As per comment. Proposed Response Response Sta PROPOSED ACCEPT.	atus W		Proposed Re PROPOS	sponse SED ACCEPT I	Response S N PRINCIPLE			
			Duplicate	e of #98				
Cl         46         SC         46.5           Barrass, Hugh         C	P 131 L 4 Disco	# 140	<i>Cl</i> <b>46</b> Marris, Arthu	SC Figure 46	-7a	P 128 Cadence	L 11	# 9
Comment Type E Comment St Editor's note is no longer needed.	atus D		Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment S	S <i>tatus</i> <b>D</b> and FB start o	f frame	
SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note Proposed Response Response Sta	atus <b>W</b>		SuggestedRe	emedy C high for every	-			ata at the right hand
PROPOSED ACCEPT.			Also do t	he same for RX	C in Figure 4	6-8a		
C/ 46 SC Cl46.3.1.5a Pillai, Velu E	P 128 L Broadcom	# 100	Proposed Re PROPOS	sponse SED ACCEPT.	Response S	Status W		
Comment Type TR Comment St During Idle TXC<3:0> = 0xF, TXD<31:2 each During LP Idle TXC<3:0> = 0xF, TXD<3 0x06 each	24>, TXD<23:16>, TXD<15:		See #13	7, 138				
SuggestedRemedy Show data and control for all four lanes								
Proposed Response Response Sta PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.								
Duplicate of #97								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 46 SC Figure 46-7a

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/     46     SC Table 46-3     P 127     L 14     #       Marris, Arthur     Cadence	Cl         48         SC         48         P 132         L 1         # 148           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco         Cisco					
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Delete '(in all lanes)'. This does not seem to make sense.	Comment TypeEComment StatusDIt's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause.					
SuggestedRemedy As above	SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerplate text up to the Clause heading.					
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
The "in all lanes" indicates that LPI must be asserted in all lanes simultaneously.	C/         48         SC         48.2.3         P 132         L 45         # 209           Parnaby, Gavin         Solarflare Communica					
Change "(in all lanes)" to "(asserted in all lanes simulataneously)" - in Table 46-3 and Table 46-4.	Comment Type E Comment Status D					
C/     48     SC 2.3     P 133     L 4     # 250       Chadha, Mandeep     Vitesse Semiconducto	'The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle is an option for certain PHYs to support Energy Efficient Ethernet' isn't very clear. The ability to transmit or receive LPI is a requirement for PHYs that support EEE.					
Comment Type T Comment Status D	SuggestedRemedy					
In figure 48-3a, LI is only indicated in Lane 1 and is as such inconsistent with clause 46.3.1.5a and table 46-3 which indicate LI in all the lanes.	Change text to something like					
SuggestedRemedy	'Certain PHYs may support Energy Efficient Ethernet. PHYs that support Energy Efficient Ethernet are able to transmit and receive Low Power Idle characters.'					
Modify figure 48-3a to indicate LI in all the lanes.	Proposed Response Response Status W					
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
C/ 48         SC 48         P 131         L 30         # 146           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco         Cisco						
Comment Type E Comment Status D Editor's note is no longer needed.						
SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note box.						
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.						

C/ 48 SC 48.2.3

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

Cl 48     SC 48.2.6.1.3     P 135     L 26     # 143       Barrass, Hugh     Cisco	C/         48         SC         48.2.6.2         P 138         L 21         # 142           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco				
Comment Type T Comment Status D (comment originally from Velu)	Comment Type T Comment Status D (comment originally from Velu)				
Also, applies to receive state diagram (fig 48-9b)	fig 48-9 PCS receive state diagram				
Reverse the effect of comment #167 from the previous draft :-)	The state machine needs to stay in state LPIDLE_MODE during LP idle.				
There is a requirement for a variable that has the same definition as rx_lpi_mode used to have.	SuggestedRemedy Change exit condition from state LPIDLE_MODE to (rx_lpi_active = FALSE) * AUDI				
SuggestedRemedy Restore the definition of rx_lpi_mode and the control of that variable in the receive state	Also, delete state RECEIVE_LPI and take exit path from LPIDLE_MODE directly to RECEIVE.				
diagram. Change the variable name to rx_lpi_active; change the 2 states to TRUE (formerly ON) and FALSE(formerly OFF).	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 P 141 L 19 # 144 Barrass, Hugh Cisco				
C/         48         SC         48.2.6.1.3         P 135         L 38         # 208           Parnaby, Gavin         Solarflare Communica	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         Effectively the same as comment #89 from the previous draft.         Is is really necessary to "de-bounce" signal_detect = FAIL?				
Comment Type E Comment Status D					
delete is in 'is set to FALSE' SuggestedRemedy	The value of signal_detect is communicated from the PMA sublayer to indicate that the PMD detects the presence of a signal AND that the PMA is able to synchronize to that signal. This is unlikely to be tricked by the power-down transient of the link partner transmitter.				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	SuggestedRemedy Remove RX_DEACT state and delete the definition of rx_deact_timer.				
The sentence would make no sense as suggested.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				

C/ **48** SC **48.2.6.2.5** 

Proposed responses	8	IEEE	P802.3az D1.3 Energ	y Efficient Ethe	rnet comment	s		May 2009
C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2. Barrass, Hugh	5 <i>P</i> 141 Cisco	L <b>40</b>	# 145	<i>Cl</i> <b>48</b> Pillai, Velu	SC Fig 48-9b	P <b>141</b> Broadcom	L	# 94
Comment Type <b>T</b> (comment originally fro Effectively the same as diagram.	Comment Status D om Velu) s comment #128 from the prev	vious draft. Fig 4	8-9b LPI receive state		VE and RX_SLEE _FAIL state. Plea	Comment Status <b>D</b> EP needs rx_lpi_active. L ase refer to page 8 of pilla		not needed in
SuggestedRemedy	es as were accepted for Claus			Proposed Res PROPOS See #143	ED ACCEPT IN F	Pesponse Status W PRINCIPLE.		
Exit conditions from the Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	e new state are the same as F <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	RX_WAKE.		Pillai, Velu Comment Typ		P 133 Broadcom Comment Status D	L	# 79
CI 48 SC 48.7 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type E Editor's note is no long SuggestedRemedy	P 143 Cisco Comment Status D er needed.	L <b>5</b>	# 147	SuggestedRe Proposed Res		esponse Status W		
Delete the editor's note Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Marris, Arthur Comment Typ		P 133 Cadence Comment Status D tes? Not just in lane 0?	L <b>4</b>	# 5
during transitioning in a	P 138 Broadcom Comment Status D gram shown in Fig 48-9 needs and out of quiet mode. Using r o to avoid the wrong assertion	x_lpi_active as	shown in page 7 of	SuggestedRe As above Proposed Res PROPOS	medy	Pesponse Status W PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT See #142	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 48 SC Figure 48-3a Page 25 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:41 PM

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 49 SC 49	P 144	L 1	# 156	C/ <b>49</b>	SC 49.2.13.3	P 15	53	L <b>20</b>	# 152	
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Barrass, Hu	gh	Cisco				
Comment Type E It's not necessary to have t	Comment Status <b>D</b> this boilerplate text for ever	ery clause.		Comment T (probab	ype <b>T</b> ly an artifact of Fr	Comment Status ameMaker)	D			
SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerplate te	ext up to the Clause headi	ng.		receive	state diagram (fig	49-15)				
Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			SuggestedF	Remedy	RX_C (towards flag "R_TYPE(rx_coded	,	sing its end.		
C/ 49         SC 49.2.13.2.2           Barrass, Hugh	<i>P</i> <b>150</b> Cisco	L <b>2</b>	# 153	Proposed R		Response Status				
Comment Type <b>T</b> (comment originally from V	Comment Status D /elu)			<i>Cl</i> <b>49</b> Barrass, Hu	SC <b>49.2.13.3</b> gh	P15 Cisco	53	L <b>5</b>	# 150	
Also, applies to receive sta	ate diagram (fig 49-15)			Comment T	•	Comment Status	D			
Reverse the effect of comr	ment #81 from the previou	s draft :-)		(comme	ent originally from	Velu)				
There is a requirement for have.	a variable that has the sa	me definition as	rx_lpi_mode used to		state diagram (fig	,				
uggestedRemedy						stay in state RX_LI	while rx_lpi_	_active is true.		
Restore the definition of rx	_lpi_mode and the contro	l of that variable	in the receive state	SuggestedF For the	-	change "signal_ok"	to "rx_lpi_a	active = FALSE."		
Change the variable name FALSE(formerly OFF).	to rx_lpi_active; change	he 2 states to T	RUE (formerly ON) and	Delete t Proposed R	•	ansition (it is redund <i>Response Statu</i> s		<i>y</i> ).		
	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCEPT.						
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				<i>Cl</i> <b>49</b> Barrass, Hu	SC <b>49.2.13.3</b> gh	P 15 Cisco	53	L <b>5</b>	# 149	
				Comment T (comme	ype <b>T</b> ent originally from	<i>Comment Status</i> Velu)	D			
				receive	state diagram (fig	49-15)				
				In state	RX_LI, rx_raw sh	ould be fixed to LI	so that garb	age is suppress	ed during wake-u	Jp.
				SuggestedF Change	Remedy "DECODE(rx_co	ded)" to "/LI/"	-		-	
				Proposed R		Response Status	w			
TYPE: TR/technical required E COMMENT STATUS: D/dispat SORT ORDER: Clause, Sub	ched A/accepted R/rejection	general required ted RESPON	T/technical E/editorial G/g SE STATUS: O/open W/w	jeneral ritten C/closed	U/unsatisfied Z/	withdrawn	C/ 49 SC 49.2.1	3.3	Page 26 of 4 4/23/2009 8	

C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3 Barrass, Hugh	<i>P</i> <b>153</b> Cisco	L <b>7</b>	# 151	C/         49         SC         49.3         P         158         L         4         #         155           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco         Ci				
Comment Type <b>T</b> (comment originally from	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> n Velu)			Comment Type E Comment Status D Editor's note is no longer needed.				
receive state diagram (	ig 49-15)			SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note				
If an /LI/ code is receive SuggestedRemedy	ed during a non-IPG state ther	an error must	be flagged.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
Change exit condition f "R_TYPE(rx_coded) =	rom RX_INIT state from "R_T" (E + D + T+ LI)"	YPE(rx_coded)	= (E + D + T)" to	C/ 49 SC 49-16 P154 L # 90				
Change exit condition f "R_TYPE(rx_coded) =	rom RX_D state from "R_TYP 'F + C + S + LI)"	E(rx_coded) = (	E + C + S)" to	Pillai, Velu     Broadcom       Comment Type     TR       Comment Status     D				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			TX_REFRESH is still shown in this state diagram. This will not handle the PHy when FEC is enabled. In March pillai_01_0309 proposed changes to KR phy when FEC is enabled. order to handle that proposal this statemachine needs the changes as shown in page 4 c				
C/ <b>49</b> SC <b>49.2.4.4</b> Dietz, Bryan	P 145 Alcatel-Lucent	L <b>54</b>	# 47	pillai_01_0409. SuggestedRemedy				
Comment Type E Typo	Comment Status D			Proposed Response Response Status W				
SuggestedRemedy				PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Replace trailing right pa	renthesis with period.			It appears that the only functional difference between the state machine shown on page 4				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			of pillai_01_0409 is that PHYs with scrambler_reset_enable = TRUE will bypass the scrambler during refresh as well as wake.				
C/ <b>49</b> SC <b>49.2.9</b> Barrass, Hugh	P <b>147</b> Cisco	L <b>24</b>	# 154	There does not appear to be any benefit to this and this will increase the refresh time by microseconds.				
Comment Type <b>T</b> (comment originally from	Comment Status D			There is a need to modify the LPI receive state diagram. Add a transition out of RX_WAP conditional on !signal_ok to label "B"				
	e (Fig 49-13) needs to be char restart phases. BER should on							
SuggestedRemedy Change fig 49-13.								
Change "!block_lock" to	) "!rx_block_lock"							
Proposed Response	Response Status W							

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **49** SC **49-16** 

El 49 SC Fig 49-13 P L # 92	C/ 49 SC Fig 49-15 P 153 L # 87
illai, Velu Broadcom	Pillai, Velu Broadcom
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Cl49 BER monitor state diagram (Fig 49-13): When in EEE mode, block_lock is latcher Cl49 Rx lpi fsm. During transitions in and out of Quiet mode, PCS gets some garbage which will trigger hi_ber. When hi_ber is set, 10G-R link is dropped. To avoid this freez the BER fsm during low power mode. The proposal is shown in page 6 of pillai_01_040	ata pillai_01_0409 page 3 shows the necessary changes.
ruggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	See #151
See #154	Cl         49         SC Fig 49-15         P 153         L         # 88           Pillai, Velu         Broadcom
illai, Velu Broadcom	Comment Type TR Comment Status D State RX LI has
omment Type TR Comment Status D	rx_raw . DECODE(rx_coded)
The arc that loops back for RX_LI is qualified by "!signal_ok + R_TYPE(rx_coded) = LI". When the transmitter starts the refresh or wake sequence th signal_ok becomes valid, but R_TYPE may not be LI. Which means the state machine arc towards RX_E. This will assert an error in the RS layer.	SuggestedRemedy will It should be rx raw <= LI
uggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W
It should be ""rx_lpi_active" to be consistant with 10GBASE-T state diagram. This state diagram should keep asserting /LI/ towards the RS layer, until the RX LPI St	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
diagram comes out of LPI mode. Please refer to pillai_01_0409	See #149
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/         49         SC         Fig 49-17         P 155         L         # 91           Pillai, Velu         Broadcom
See #149, 150	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
2/49       SC Fig 49-15       P 153       L       # 80         illai, Velu       Broadcom         comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D	This state diagram needs changes to handle the proposal on pillai_01_0309. rx_lpi_active is needed to handle the PCS receive state diagram arc. R_TYPE(rx_coded)=LI should be R_TYPE(rx_coded) /=LI for the transition from RX_WAKE and RX_WTF. Also some of the transitions need changes as shown in page 5 of pillai_01_0409.
Transition to RX_INIT should be reset+ r_test_mode + hi_ber + !rx_block_lock	SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy	
	Proposed Response Response Status W
roposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 49 SC Fig 49-17 Page 28 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:41 PM

Proposed responses		IEEE	E P802.3az D1.3 Ene	ergy Efficient Ethe	rnet comi	ments		May 2009
<i>Cl</i> <b>49</b> <i>SC</i> <b>Fig 49-17</b> Pillai, Velu	P <b>155</b> Broadcom	L	# 83	C/ <b>51</b> Sooth, Brad	SC 51	<i>Р</i> 159 АМСС	L <b>26</b>	# 39
Comment Type <b>TR</b> RX_DEACT state is mis pillai_01_0409 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> ssing. Please refer to the state	diagram sho	wn in page 5 of		service inte o XSBI to p	Comment Status <b>D</b> rface also has a physical instar ermit the exchange of the energ		
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Comment #89 in the pro	Response Status W	lly) that this s	state is not required.	Proposed Res PROPOSI	ponse ED ACCEP	ass the energy_detect informat <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> T IN PRINCIPLE.	ion across XSB	I.
C/ <b>51</b> SC <b>51</b> Barrass, Hugh	P 159 Cisco	<i>L</i> 1	# 158	Edit 51.4. Add energ		<_quiet, tx_quiet into Fig 51-3		
SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerplate Proposed Response	It's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause.         uggestedRemedy         Delete all the boilerplate text up to the Clause heading.	energy_de 78) then th rx_quiet: I then the X tx_quiet: It	ne XSBI inte f the optiona SBI interfac f the optiona	4.2 optional Energy Efficient Etherr erface includes energy_detect a al Energy Efficient Ethernet fun ce may rx_quiet as described in al Energy Efficient Ethernet fun ce may tx_quiet as described in	as described in ction is support 51.8a. ction is supporte	51.8a. ed (see Clause 78)		
				Barrass, Hugh Comment Typ Editor's no SuggestedRen Delete the Proposed Res	e E ote is no lon <i>nedy</i> editor's no	Response Status W	L <b>4</b>	# <u>157</u>

C/ 51 SC 51.10

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

J 51         SC 51.8a.1         P 159         L 41         # 38           ooth, Brad         AMCC	C/ 55         SC 55         P 161         L 1         # 160           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco
omment Type       T       Comment Status       D         The PMA sublayer mentions a PMD signal called energy_detect, but there is no energy_detect in any of the supporting PMD sublayers.       The PCS also references this signal.	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         It's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause.         SuggestedRemedy         Delete all the boilerplate text up to the Clause heading.
Could this signal be an extra state of the signal_detect from the PMD? The SIGNAL_OK could be expanded to be OK, FAIL and ENERGY_DETECTED.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
IggestedRemedy Either add energy_detect to the PMD sublayers or add a new state for the signal_detect variable from the PMD.	CI 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 P 170 L 21 # 210 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communica Comment Type E Comment Status D
oposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	PHY should be PHYs
Change definition for signal_detect in Clause 72 to fulfill energy_detect function (similarly to other PMDs). "For Energy Efficient Ethernet, the SIGNAL_DETECT parameter shall be set to OK within 2µs after activation of a compliant transmitter and shall be set to FAIL within 2µs after deactivation of a compliant transmitter."	SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace PHY with PHYs.
Change to:	Cl 55 SC 55.3.2.3 P 171 L 2 # 181
"For Energy Efficient Ethernet, the SIGNAL_DETECT parameter can take on one of two values: OK or FAIL, indicating whether the PMD is detecting electrical energy at the receiver (OK) or not (FAIL). When SIGNAL_DETECT = FAIL, PMD_UNITDATA.indication(rx_bit) is undefined." Also, change the definition of energy_detect in 51.8a.1:	Grimwood, Michael       Broadcom         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         Clarify that the LDPC syndrome and CRC8 errors are not monitored during LPI. This clarification is needed for consistency with Figure 55-16 since otherwise undesired transitions to RX_INIT could occur during LPI.         SuggestedRemedy
"A boolean variable sent from the PMD that is set to TRUE when signal energy is detected at the receiver and is set to FALSE otherwise. This variable is derived directly from the PMD signal_detect parameter. When PMD signal_detect is OK, energy_detect is TRUE; when PMD signal_detect is FAIL, energy_detect is FALSE."	In 802.3an-2006, page 92, add the following sentence after the fourth paragraph (ending with ".on the XGMII."):
when him Signal_delect is hale, energy_delect is halbe.	"LDPC frame errors are not monitored during low-power operation." <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status <b>W</b>
	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
	We will need to make a change to the state diagram for this change.
	I propose changing the transition from LFER_TEST_LF to LFER_BAD_LF from !!f_valid t !!f_valid * !rx_lpi_active

Cl	55	
SC	55.3.2.3	

Page 30 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:41 PM

# IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

4/23/2009 8:47:41 PM

E       Comment Status       D         le to generate the alert signal ' to 'generates the alert alert signal as'         ly         lse       Response Status       W         ACCEPT.         55.4.2.2       P 185       L 4       # 183         el       Broadcom       T       Comment Status       D         re       PMA transmit function continuously sources TX_TCLK to explicitly require clock drift specifications be met during low-power operation.       T
Isse       Response Status       W         ACCEPT.       55.4.2.2       P 185       L 4       # 183         el       Broadcom       T       Comment Status       D         T       Comment Status       D       D         ne PMA transmit function continuously sources TX_TCLK to explicitly require clock drift specifications be met during low-power operation.       T
ACCEPT. 55.4.2.2 P 185 L 4 # 183 el Broadcom T Comment Status D ne PMA transmit function continuously sources TX_TCLK to explicitly require clock drift specifications be met during low-power operation.
el       Broadcom         T       Comment Status         D       D         he PMA transmit function continuously sources TX_TCLK to explicitly require clock drift specifications be met during low-power operation.
ne PMA transmit function continuously sources TX_TCLK to explicitly require clock drift specifications be met during low-power operation.
clock drift specifications be met during low-power operation.
ly l
4.2.2 1st sentence, 2nd paragraph change:
A_CONFIG.indication parameter config is MASTER, the PMA Transmit source TX_TCLK from a local clock source while meeting the transmit jitter of 55.5.3.3.
JI JJ.J.J.J.J.
A_CONFIG.indication parameter config is MASTER, for both normal and operation, the PMA Transmit function shall continuously source TX_TCLK lock source while meeting the transmit jitter requirements of 55.5.3.3.
ise Response Status W
ACCEPT.
69 P 198 L 1 # 161 Cisco
E Comment Status D
sary to have this boilerplate text for every clause.
-
boilerplate text up to the Clause heading.
ess ied <u>j</u>

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 70 SC 70	P 200	L <b>1</b>	# 162	C/ 71 SC 71.6.12 P210 L 29 # 49
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type E It's not necessary to ha	Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> ave this boilerplate text for even	ery clause.		Dietz, Bryan       Alcatel-Lucent         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Change /LPI/ to /LI/ to be consistent with rest of document. Also make the same change in
SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerpla Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	ate text up to the Clause head Response Status W T.	ng.		page 220, line 18. SuggestedRemedy Change /LPI/ to /LI/ to be consistent with rest of document. Also make the same change in page 220, line 18. Proposed Response Response Status W
C/ 71 SC 71 Barrass, Hugh	<i>Р</i> <b>208</b> Cisco	L 1	# [163	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 72 SC P L # 77
Comment Type E	Comment Status <b>D</b> ave this boilerplate text for even			Bennett, Michael LBNL
SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerpla Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT CI 71 SC 71.1	ate text up to the Clause head Response Status W T. P 208	ng.	# 48	Subclause references and value/comment fields are incomplete on lines 43 and 45 and Subclause references on lines 48, 50 and line 3 on page 228 are incomplete. Subclauses refer to 72.6.11.x For example on p 227, the feature is "LPI Transmit state diagram" and the subclause is 72.6.11.x, the value/comment is Meets requirement of Figure72-x, but the LPI Transmit state diagram is shown in figure 49-16 on page 154
Dietz, Bryan	Alcatel-Lucer		# 48	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Change references to point to the relevant PCS clauses.
Consistent terminolog SuggestedRemedy Change "inter-frame" t	, ,			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Will remove deleted requirements and fix references.
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			C/ 72         SC 72         P 217         L 1         # 164           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco         <
				Comment Type E Comment Status D It's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause.
				SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerplate text up to the Clause heading.
				Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 72 SC 72

Proposed responses	S	IEEE	P802.3az D1.3 Energy	Efficient E	thernet comm	nents		May 2009
C/ <b>72</b> SC <b>72.1</b> Dietz, Bryan	P 218 Alcatel-Lucent	L 18	# 50	C/ <b>72</b> Bennett, M	SC <b>72.8</b> Michael	Р <b>225</b> LBNL	L <b>28</b>	# [76
Comment Type E Change "inter-frame" t	Comment Status <b>D</b> to "inter-frame idle" to be consis	stent with the re	st of the document.	Comment line 2	t <i>Type</i> <b>ER</b> 8 has:	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy Change "inter-frame" t	o "inter-frame idle" to be consis	stent with the re	st of the document.		Low Power Idle f 1 Yes [ ] N/A	unction 72.6.11 Enters LowP	ower_st when re	quested
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			there Suggeste	are no brackets a dRemedy	after the N/A		
Cl 72 SC 72.6.11 Bennett, Michael Comment Type TR	P <b>220</b> LBNL Comment Status D	L 14	# 78	add b Proposed	prackets after N/A Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
	net capabilities and parameters iation, as described in Clause 4		sed during the		tType ER	P 224 LBNL Comment Status D at FEC is optional, however th ice of "No"	L 23 he support choic	# <u>75</u> e is "Yes"
change to refer to clau Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			subm <i>Suggeste</i>	it a maintenance dRemedy	opened the clause, so I wan request, but this is low proirit add a "No[]" choice		her or not we fix it or
Cl 72 SC 72.8 Bennett, Michael Comment Type ER	P 224 LBNL Comment Status D	L <b>5</b>	# 74	Proposed PROF	<i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT d the "No []" choi	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		
It appears that the sub lines 5, 40 and 44.	clause reference in the editor's	change instruc	tions are off by 1 on	<i>Cl <b>72</b> Pillai, Veli</i>	SC Table 72		L	# 82
SuggestedRemedy on line 5, change 72.7 on line 40, change 72. on line 44, change 72.	7.3 to 72.8.3			Comment Subcl	t <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> lause reference is	Comment Status D swrong for Tsd and Tsa		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				dRemedy ect sublcause is 7: I Response	2.6.4 Response Status W		
Need add some major	headers and fix a couple of m	s-number ones		PROF	POSED ACCEPT			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 72 SC Table 72.9 Page 33 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:41 PM

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

Cl 72 SC Table 72- Pillai, Velu	6 P 222 Broadcom	L	# 81	C/         73         SC Annex73         P 258         L         # 193           Pillai, Velu         Broadcom
SuggestedRemedy Correct sublcause refer	Comment Status <b>D</b> wrong for Vtw, Vtd, and Vta ence is 72.6.5			Comment TypeTRComment StatusDAnnex 73A says EEE technology messages will follow the transmission of this page with at least two unformatted next pages that contain information defined in 45.2.7.13a which amounts to 144 bits sent when there are only 6 bits of information defined.
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			The 6 bits of information can be transferred as part of the message page and thus only require 48 bits of transmission
Cl 73 SC 78.1.1 Parnaby, Gavin Comment Type E	P <b>237</b> Solarflare Com Comment Status <b>D</b>	<i>L</i> <b>30</b> munica	# 217	SuggestedRemedy Either Add table like in Annex 28C for clarity or put more text to explain the MP10 bit information. pillai_01_0409 that will be posted during the May interim will also address the remedy.
EEE also specifies mea SuggestedRemedy should be EEE also specifies a me				Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Only 1 unformatted next page is required - change text to read "at least one unformatted next page"
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Table 73A-1 is identical in form and function to Table 28C-1.73.7.7.1 defines the unformatted next page format.
			C/ 73A         SC 73A         P 258         L 8         # 165           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco         Cisco	
				Comment Type E Comment Status D Editor's note is no longer needed.
				SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note box.
				Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 73A SC 73A

Cl 74 SC P L Pillai, Velu Broadcom	# 85 C/ 74 SC 74.7.4.7 P 231 L 4 # [ Dietz, Bryan Alcatel-Lucent	51
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> FEC Counters may show false errors during transitions in and out of Quiet	Comment Type E Comment Status D mode. Typo	
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Remove period before "FEC"	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
Add text to bypass FEC counter during LPI mode	CI 74 SC Annex 74A P L #	86
Cl <b>74</b> SC P L Pillai, Velu Broadcom	#     B4     Pillai, Velu     Broadcom       Comment Type     TR     Comment Status     D	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Table B1 and Table C1 sequences has errors. Need corrections.	
clear from the current clause 74 in the IEEE802.3az specification ? It is not fec_block_lock must go to false when the transmission on the link has stop link is in low power state. SuggestedRemedy The state diagram (figure 74-8 of the IEEE 802.3 spec) could be updated to	ped i.e. when Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
effect of energy_detect = false.	o clarify the C/ 78 SC 78 P 237 L 3 # [ Barrass, Hugh Cisco	167
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Type E Comment Status D Editor's note is no longer needed.	
C/         74         SC         74         P 229         L 1           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco         Cisco <td># 166 SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note box.</td> <td></td>	# 166 SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note box.	
Comment Type         E         Comment Status         D           It's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
It's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause. SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerplate text up to the Clause heading.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 78 SC 78.1.1 P 237 L 24 # [	218
It's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause. SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerplate text up to the Clause heading.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 78 SC 78.1.1 P 237 L 24 # [	218
It's not necessary to have this boilerplate text for every clause. SuggestedRemedy Delete all the boilerplate text up to the Clause heading. Proposed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 78 SC 78.1.1 P 237 L 24 # [ Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communica Comment Type E Comment Status D	218

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 78 SC 78.1.1

Proposed responses		IEEE P	802.3az D1.3 Energy	Efficient Ethernet comm	ients		May 2009
C/ 78 SC 78.1.1 Dietz, Bryan	P 237 Alcatel-Lucent	L <b>27</b>	# 70	<i>Cl</i> <b>78</b> SC <b>78.1.3.2</b> Parnaby, Gavin	P 238 Solarflare Comm	L <b>51</b> unica	# 223
Comment Type E Editorial suggestion	Comment Status D			Comment Type E decided should be deci	Comment Status D		
	0BASE-Te allows power cons ced power consumption."	umption saving." t	to "The definition of	SuggestedRemedy change to decide			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
<i>Cl</i> <b>78</b> SC <b>78.1.2</b> Parnaby, Gavin	P 237 Solarflare Com	L 33	# 212	<i>Cl</i> <b>78</b> SC <b>78.1.4</b> Parnaby, Gavin	P 238 Solarflare Comm	L <b>3</b> unica	# 220
Comment Type <b>T</b> Why are objectives inclu	Comment Status D	intunica		Comment Type E font is incorrect	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy Delete objectives	uucu :			SuggestedRemedy use the same font as e			
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Editor does not understa	and commenter's concern and	d motivation to rer	nove objectives	C/ 78 SC 78.1.4 Dietz, Bryan	P 239 Alcatel-Lucent	L <b>3</b>	# 71
C/ 78 SC 78.1.3.1 Grimwood, Michael	P 238 Broadcom	L <b>26</b>	# 185	Comment Type E Parts of this clause use	Comment Status <b>D</b> e smaller than normal typeface.		
Comment Type E Make diagram label ma	Comment Status <b>D</b> tch acronym "PLS".			SuggestedRemedy Update type faces to m	atch.		
SuggestedRemedy	vsical Signaling" to "Physical	Laver Signaling".		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W						

C/ 78 SC 78.1.4

C/ 78         SC 78.1.4         P 239         L 4           Grimwood, Michael         Broadcom	# 184	C/ 78         SC 78.1.4         P 239         L 6         # 186           Grimwood, Michael         Broadcom				
Comment Type E Comment Status D Smaller font was used for the following:		Comment Type E Comment Status D Typo.				
"These services are described in."		SuggestedRemedy "prmiavtes" should be "primitives"				
SuggestedRemedy Make font size consistent.		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		C/ 78 SC 78.1.4.1.2 P 239 L 26 # 187				
C/ 78         SC 78.1.4         P 239         L 5           Dietz, Bryan         Alcatel-Lucent	# 72	Grimwood, Michael Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status D Consistent apolling of signaling vs. signaling				
Comment Type E Comment Status D Word "primatives" is misspelled		Consistent spelling of signaling vs. signalling SuggestedRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy Change to "primatives"		In Clause 78, change all four occurrences of "signalling" to "signaling". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		C/ 78 SC 78.1.4.2.2 P 239 L 50 # 221				
C/ 78SC 78.1.4P 239L 6Parnaby, GavinSolarflare Communica	# 219	Parnaby, Gavin     Solarflare Communica       Comment Type     E     Comment Status				
Comment Type E Comment Status D		signaling/signalling are both used				
prmiavtes SuggestedRemedy		SuggestedRemedy signaling is the american spelling				
primitives		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		C/         78         SC         78.1.5         P 240         L 13         # 194           Parnaby, Gavin         Solarflare Communica				
		Comment Type E Comment Status D dependant should be dependent				
		SuggestedRemedy as comment				
		Proposed Response Response Status W				

C/ 78 SC 78.1.5

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 78 SC 78.1.5 Grimwood, Michael	P <b>240</b> Broadcom	L 13	# 190	C/ 78         SC 78.1.5.1         P 241         L 12         # 191           Grimwood, Michael         Broadcom				
Comment Type E Typo.	Comment Status D			Comment Type E Comment Status D Typo, punctuation.				
SuggestedRemedy Change "dependant" to	"dependent".			SuggestedRemedy Change "PHY dependant" to "PHY-dependent"				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
C/ <b>78</b> SC <b>78.1.5</b> Parnaby, Gavin	P <b>240</b> Solarflare Com	L <b>42</b> munica	# 222	CI 78         SC 78.1.5.1         P 241         L 410         # 52           Dietz, Bryan         Alcatel-Lucent         Ender Science         Ender Science				
Comment Type <b>E</b> and should be an	Comment Status D			Comment Type E Comment Status D Clarify text. Edit the "de-assert" description to match the style and format of the "assert"				
SuggestedRemedy				description by combining two short paragraphs. SuggestedRemedy				
as comment Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Change the three paragraphs starting at page 240 line 51 to read: "When the Low Power Idle request is deasserted, indicated by the LPI_REQUEST				
C/ 78 SC 78.1.5.1 Parnaby, Gavin	P <b>240</b> Solarflare Com	L <b>53</b> munica	# 195	parameter set to DEASSERT in the LP_IDLE.request primitive of the LPI Client inter the LPI assert function starts to transmit the 'normal inter-frame' encoding on the xM After a delay the LPI assert function sets the CARRIER_STATUS parameter to CARRIER_OFF in the PLS_CARRIER.indication primitive of the PLS service interface allowing the MAC to start transmitting again.				
Comment Type E capitalise 'the' to 'The'	Comment Status D							
SuggestedRemedy as comment				The delay on deassert is provided to allow the link partner to prepare for normal operation The delay has a PHY dependant default value but this value can be adjusted using the				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Data Link Layer capabilities defined in 78.4. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
C/ 78 SC 78.1.5.1 Dietz, Bryan	P 240 Alcatel-Lucent	L <b>53</b>	# 73					
Comment Type E Typo	Comment Status D							
SuggestedRemedy Capitalize "the" at the st	art of the last sentence in the	paragraph.						
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 78 SC 78.1.5.1

Proposed responses IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy	/ Efficient Ethernet comments May 2009
CI 78     SC 78.1.5.1     P 241     L 6     # 196       Parnaby, Gavin     Solarflare Communica       Comment Type     E     Comment Status     D       font appears to be incorrect	Cl 78     SC 78.1.5.3.1     P 241     L 36     # 213       Parnaby, Gavin     Solarflare Communica       Comment Type     T     Comment Status     D       100BASE-T should be 100BASE-TX.
also happens on line 20 same page, line 51 same page and line 28 next page SuggestedRemedy use the same font as elsewhere Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	There are descriptions of 100BASE-TX, 1000BASE-T and 10GBASE-T EEE modes but nothing about backplane operation. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Correct 100BASE-T. Add description of operation of the backplane EEE modes here (KX/KR/KX4)
Cl 78       SC 78.1.5.2       P 241       L 20       # 188         Grimwood, Michael       Broadcom       Broadcom         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Inconsistent font used for the text, "normal interframe".       SuggestedRemedy       Make font consistent. Exact same issue in 78.1.5.3.1, p 241, line 51 and 78.1.5.3.2, p 242,	Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       100BASE-T will be replaced by 100BASE-TX.         Editor will add description of backplane EEE operation         C/ 78       SC 78.1.5.3.1       P 241       L 39       # 66
line 28. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Dietz, Bryan     Alcatel-Lucent       Comment Type     E     Comment Status     D       100Base-T should be 100Base-TX.
CI 78       SC 78.1.5.3       P 241       L 31       # 197         Parnaby, Gavin       Solarflare Communica         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         and should be an	SuggestedRemedy Change 100Base-T to 100Base-TX Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy	CI 78         SC 78.1.5.3.2         P 242         L 22         # 198           Parnaby, Gavin         Solarflare Communica
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Type E Comment Status D delete 'some of the' SuggestedRemedy
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.

C/ 78 SC 78.1.5.3.2

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 78         SC 78.1.6         P 242         L 33         #           Parnaby, Gavin         Solarflare Communica	# 199         C/ 78         SC 78.2.3         P 243         L 42           Parnaby, Gavin         Solarflare Communica	# 215
Comment Type E Comment Status D EEE defines Low Power Idle mode	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> The propagation delay of a start of shell delimiter	
SuggestedRemedy should be	(lines 42 and 43) SuggestedRemedy	
EEE defines a Low Power Idle mode	Replace with 'The propagation delay between the xxMII and the ME	'וכ
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
CI         78         SC 78.2.1         P 243         L 5         #           Parnaby, Gavin         Solarflare Communica	# 214         C/ 78         SC 78.2.3         P 243         L 42           Dietz, Bryan         Alcatel-Lucent	# 67
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Does it make sense to define states without any state diagram or normative	requirements? Comment Type E Comment Status D Please add "(SSD)" after "start of shell delimiter". This would clarify parts of the text.	references in other
Do we need to define these states? They overlap with states defined in indivi- In my opinion this text confuses things rather than making this clearer. SuggestedRemedy		references in other
Delete these state descriptions.	Proposed Response Response Status W	
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
PROPOSED REJECT.	CI 78 SC 78.2.3 P 243 L 44	# 200
The definitions are useful for the descriptive text of EEE modes in this clause		# 200
It is not clear why this text causes any confusion and this text is intended to be with the definitions in the PHY clauses. If there is any inconsistency, please it		
CI 78 SC 78.2.2 P 243 L 27 #	53 same for line 49	
Dietz, Bryan Alcatel-Lucent	SuggestedRemedy	
Dietz, Bryan Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type E Comment Status D Change "Low Power Mode" to "Low Power Idle Mode" to match other definiti page.	ons on this Proposed Response Response Status W	
Comment Type E Comment Status D Change "Low Power Mode" to "Low Power Idle Mode" to match other definiti page.	and on this	
Comment Type E Comment Status D Change "Low Power Mode" to "Low Power Idle Mode" to match other definiti	ons on this Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 78 SC 78.2.3

Proposed response	es	IEEE	P802.3az D1.3 Energy	Efficient E	thernet comm	ients		May 2009
<i>Cl</i> <b>78</b> <i>SC</i> <b>78.2.3</b> Parnaby, Gavin	P <b>244</b> Solarflare Col	L <b>2</b> mmunica	# 201	Cl <b>78</b> Grimwood	SC <b>78.2.3</b> I, Michael	P 244 Broadcom	L 9	# 189
Comment Type E add 'the' before 'rece SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Comment Status D otion of an IDLE signal' and ad Response Status W	d 'the' before 'fir	st data codewords'	Suggeste Chan Proposed	usage.	Response Status W		
Cl 78 SC 78.2.3 Traeber, Mario Comment Type ER	P 244 Infineon Tech Comment Status D parameters contain inconsister	-	# 33	Cl <b>78</b> Parnaby, Comment	SC <b>78.3</b> Gavin <i>Type</i> <b>T</b>	P 244 Solarflare Cor <i>Comment Status</i> D Negotiation is performed upo		# 216
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	78-2 (one that describes 100B		eters) will be updated	Suggeste Reep Proposed PROF	dRemedy lace PHY error w Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Cl 78 SC 78.2.3 Parnaby, Gavin Comment Type E can does not seem to SuggestedRemedy should or must would Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP See response to com	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.	L <b>9</b> nmunica	# [ <u>202</u> ]					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 78 SC 78.3 Page 41 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:41 PM

C/ 78 SC 78.3 Grimwood, Michael	P 244 Broadcom	L <b>41</b>	# 192	<i>Cl</i> <b>78</b> Diab, Wae	SC <b>78.4</b>	<i>P</i> Broadcom	L	# 248	
	omment Status <b>D</b> ween completing link-up a e a high-quality, stable link link partners for the negoti	exists prior to e ated PHY type t	ntering LPI.	Comment Pls ma Suggested See p Proposed PROF	Type <b>TR</b> ake the changes dRemedy resentation diab Response POSED ACCEP	Comment Status D s to support fallback mode _vetteth_01_0409.pdf Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.			
If EEE is supported by both function may be used indep	endently in either direction	with the constra	aint that the Low	Revie		s consensus to proceed forwar osal in TF per guidance from L2			
Power Idle Client shall not s msec after link_status=OK.	et the LPI_REQUEST para	ameter to ASSE	RT until at least 5	CI 78	SC 78.4	P 245	L <b>12</b>	# 168	
oposed Response Re	sponse Status W			Barrass, H	lugh	Cisco			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Comment Type E Comment Status D					
Should be discussed by the	aroup.			Editor	's note is no long	ger needed.			
Editor supports this change				Suggestee					
78 SC 78.3	P <b>244</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 54		e the editor's not	e box.			
etz, Bryan	Alcatel-Lucent				Response	Response Status W			
omment Type E C	omment Status D			PROF	POSED ACCEPT	FIN PRINCIPLE.			
Change "using frames" to "u uggestedRemedy	ising L2 protocol frames".					nce. Add the following sentence instructions against Clause 79			
Change "using frames" to "u	ising L2 protocol frames".			lf deci	ision is to do tha	t in D1.3, no need for additiona	l section and m	odified Editor's note to	
0 0	esponse Status W				o C79 edits.				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				<i>Cl</i> <b>78</b> Dietz, Brya	SC <b>78.4</b> an	P 245 Alcatel-Lucent	L 18	# 56	
				<i>Comment</i> Use p	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> lural form	Comment Status D			
				Suggested Chang	•	ion" to "Implementations".			
					Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W			

CI 78 SC 78.4

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 78 SC 78.4	P 245	L <b>26</b>	# 169	C/ 78 SC 78.4.1.2	P 246	L 37	# 58
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Dietz, Bryan	Alcatel-Lucent		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	nment Status D			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
Editor's note indicates that cro	ss reference table will	be added.		Clarification			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Add the cross reference table,	delete the editor's no	te box.			ctions 78.4.1.1 and 78.4.1.2. T	he meaning of T	w is more clear if the
Proposed Response Resp	oonse Status W			Proposed Response	ed before Transmit Tw.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT	Response Status W		
Intent of editor's note is to rem		ssing C30 refs wi	ll be added when C30	Dath and fam.	, the Mathematical of the Parts (" a	TY IS DY SERVICE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
is ready. Clarify note according	jly.				e the "other side" of the link (i.e ng maybe marginal and an arg		
CI 78 SC 78.4	P 245	L <b>5</b>	# 55	for clarification as well			<b>3</b>
Dietz, Bryan	Alcatel-Lucen	ít.		C/ 78 SC 78.4.1.2	P 246	L 38	# 203
Comment Type T Cor	nment Status D			Parnaby, Gavin	Solarflare Con	nmunica	
Minor editorial clarification.				Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy				Font is incorrect			
Change "Devices that require up times".	additional sleep times	" to "Devices that	require longer wake	SuggestedRemedy			
•	oonse Status W			Correct font			
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
				PROPOSED ACCEPT	Г.		
Good catch, we specify wake Comment Type field.	up and not sleep time	s. Changed type t	o technical in the	C/ 78 SC 78.4.1.2	P 246	L 3940	# 57
Comment Type neid.				Dietz, Bryan	Alcatel-Lucent		# 57
	P 245	L 35	# 170	Blotz, Bryan	Alloator Edoorn		
			# 170	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		# [170	Comment Type E First sentence in para	Comment Status D		
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type ER Cor	Cisco		# 170	First sentence in para			
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type ER Cor Editor's note indicates that this	Cisco	d to Clause 79.	# 170	First sentence in para SuggestedRemedy	graph is duplicated.	Remove duplicat	red first sentence in
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type ER Cor Editor's note indicates that this SuggestedRemedy	Cisco nment Status D s section will be moved	d to Clause 79.	# 170	First sentence in para SuggestedRemedy		Remove duplicat	ed first sentence in
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type ER Cor Editor's note indicates that this	Cisco nment Status D s section will be moved	d to Clause 79.	# 170	First sentence in para SuggestedRemedy Remove duplicated fir	graph is duplicated.	Remove duplicat	ed first sentence in
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type ER Cor Editor's note indicates that this SuggestedRemedy	Cisco nment Status D s section will be moved			First sentence in para SuggestedRemedy Remove duplicated fir this paragraph.	graph is duplicated. st sentence in this paragraph. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	Remove duplicat	ted first sentence in
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type ER Cor Editor's note indicates that this SuggestedRemedy Add Clause 79 into this docum Move the TLV definition from 7	Cisco nment Status D s section will be moved			First sentence in para SuggestedRemedy Remove duplicated fir this paragraph. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	graph is duplicated. st sentence in this paragraph. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	·	ed first sentence in

C/ 78 SC 78.4.1.2 Page 43 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:42 PM

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

4/23/2009 8:47:42 PM

Cl 78 SC 78.4.1.3 Parnaby, Gavin	P <b>246</b> Solarflare Cor	L <b>49</b> nmunica	# 204	C/ 78 SC 78.4.4.1 Dietz, Bryan	P 247 Alcatel-Lucent	L 51	# 59
Comment Type E partner should be device	Comment Status D			Comment Type E Con Typo	mment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy replace partner with dev	vice on lines 50, 51 and 52			SuggestedRemedy Add space before word "cons	tants".		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT.	ponse Status W		
The correct term is "link "remote link partner" thr	partner". Agreed that shorth oughout	and of "partner"	maybe confusing. Use	C/ 78 SC 78.4.4.2 Parnaby, Gavin	P <b>248</b> Solarflare Com	L <b>5</b> nmunica	# 205
C/ <b>78</b> SC <b>78.4.3</b> Dietz, Bryan	P <b>247</b> Alcatel-Lucent	L <b>22</b>	# 65	Comment Type E Con than should be that	mment Status D		
Comment Type <b>T</b> The times listed in parag	Comment Status D graph 1 and paragraph 2 sho	uld be consister	nt.	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Insert "Under normal op	peration," in front of first sente	nce of paragrap	h.	Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT.	ponse Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	Response Status WIIN PRINCIPLE.			C/ 78 SC 78.4.4.3 Dietz, Bryan	P 249 Alcatel-Lucent	L <b>7</b>	# 60
	n" was carry over from .3at w need for it here. Delete "unde			Comment Type E Con Clarify meaning of variable.	mment Status D		
CI 78 SC 78.4.3	P <b>247</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 171	SuggestedRemedy			
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type ER	Cisco Comment Status D			Insert "Data Link Layer ready" software meanings that are co		ndicates." The te	erm "dll" has other
51	tes some changes that might	be made.		Proposed Response Res	ponse Status W		
If the changes are made	e then the editor's note is no	onger needed i	f not it is moot	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR	INCIPLE.		
SuggestedRemedy In either case, delete the		ongor noodod, r		In addition to requested chang 2008 or P802.3at. If not, cons		previation for DL	L anywhere in 802.3-
Proposed Response	Response Status W						
PROPOSED ACCEPT I	IN PRINCIPLE.						
achieve the requirement	support this. The note is inac t that do not require changes -Hoc to present a solution to	to the auto-neg	. There was				
If a solution is adopted f	for D1.4, note to be delted. If	not, not to be cla	arified per above.				
				general ritten C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/with	drawn C/ 78 SC 78.	4.4.3	Page 44 of 47 4/23/2009 8:47:42

### IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 78 SC 78.4.4.5 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>250</b> Cisco	L <b>3</b>	# 172	<i>Cl</i> <b>78</b> Dietz, Brya	SC 78.4.4.5	P 252 Alcatel-Lu	L 16	# 69
Comment Type E Editor's note is no longer SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D			Comment The sta condition	Type <b>T</b> ate diagram trai on RemTxSyste	Comment Status D nsition condition between emValue CHANGED. The	RUNNING and CHA	
Delete the editor's note be	ox. Response Status W			See als	so page 251 lin	t or since when. e 15. scussed in the L2 ad-hoc a	and the fix should be	part of the ad-hoc
78 SC 78.4.4.5 etz, Bryan omment Type E	P 250 Alcatel-Lucen Comment Status D	L <b>9</b> t	# 61		are two potentia	al changes: add a note to inst RemTxSystemValue.	explain CHANGED c	or define a variable that
EEE is defined only for po suggestedRemedy EEE is defined only for po	pint-to-point full duplex link				OSED ACCEP	Response Status W FIN PRINCIPLE. dy (variable) as this is cor	nsistant with P802.3a	ıt.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	-			<i>Cl</i> <b>78</b> Dietz, Brya	SC <b>78.4.4.5</b> n	P <b>252</b> Alcatel-Lu	L <b>24</b> ucent	# 63
	nd TX link partners not an nmenter is encouraged to : I		·		51	Comment Status D ALUE" in left exit condition	from CHANGE sho	uld be
/ <b>78</b> SC <b>78.4.4.5</b> ietz, Bryan	P 251 Alcatel-Lucen	L <b>28</b> t	# 68		-	ALUE" in left exit conditior	from CHANGE sho	uld be
	Comment Status <b>D</b> on condition between TX L s an "OR" that should be a		/STEM	Proposed F PROP	Response OSED ACCEP <sup>-</sup>	Response Status W		
This comment was discus report.	ssed in the L2 ad-hoc, and	should be fixed	in part of the ad-hoc					
SuggestedRemedy Change condition to "ANE	D".							
Proposed Response	Response Status W							

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 78 SC 78.4.4.5

# IEEE P802.3az D1.3 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 78         SC 78.4.5.1         P 253         L 49         # 62           Dietz, Bryan         Alcatel-Lucent         62	Cl 78         SC 78.5         P 254         L 30         # 206           Parnaby, Gavin         Solarflare Communica
Comment Type E Comment Status D Simplify text describing state diagram operation.	Comment Type E Comment Status D Remove a .
SuggestedRemedy Simplify text by replacing:	SuggestedRemedy
"Irrespective of whether the transmitting link partner enters the SYSTEM REALLOCATION state from the TX UPDATE state; it ultimately returns to the RUNNING state through the UPDATE MIRROR state where it updates the echo for the Receive Tw_sys."	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
with	Cl 78         SC 78.5         P 254         L 35         # 207           Parnaby, Gavin         Solarflare Communica
"The transmitting link partner enters MIRROR UPDATE state either from SYSTEM	Comment Type E Comment Status D
REALLOCATION or directly from TX UPDATE state. UPDATE MIRROR state then updates the echo for the Receive Tw_sys and returns to the RUNNING state."	typo 'paraneters'; also add 'the' before systems designer, replace while with 'when', change PHY's to PHYs (also on line 38 and 39)
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy
C/         78         SC         78.4.5.2         P 254         L 12         # 64           Dietz, Bryan         Alcatel-Lucent         64	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Comment Type E Comment Status D	CI 78 SC 78.5 P255 L9 # 173
Clarify explanation of state diagram operation.	Barrass, Hugh Cisco
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status D
Clarify text by replacing:	As far as this commenter understands, the conclusion of the wake time shrinkage concluded that the Tw_sys_rx for backplane PHYs should be the same as similar BASE-T
"Irrespective of whether the receiving link partner enters the SYSTEM REALLOCATION state, it ultimately gets to the RX UPDATE state."	PHYs.
	SuggestedRemedy
with	Change the backplane TBD rows as follows:
"The receiving link partner ultimately enters RX UPDATE state, either from SYSTEM	1000BASE-KX: 12.76, 11, 0, 11, 1.76
REALLOCATION state or directly from CHANGE state."	10GBASE-KX4: 11.88, 9, 0, 9, 2.88 10GBASE-KR: 14.88, 12, 0, 12, 2.88
Proposed Response Response Status W	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Add a new line for 10GBASE-KR (with scrambler_reset_enable = TRUE - use a footnote)
	10GBASE-KR: 16.88, 14, 0, 14, 2.88
	Proposed Response Response Status W
	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	01 70	
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	CI <b>78</b>	Page 46 of 47
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	SC 78.5	4/23/2009 8:47:42 PM

CI 99	SC		P <b>7</b>		L 16	# 249
Diab, Wa	el		Broad	dcom		
Comment Sugg		E Co all clause editor	<i>mment Status</i> s and other TF	-	listed	
Suggeste Per c	<i>dRemed</i> omment	У				
Proposed PROI	,	se <i>R</i> es ACCEPT.	sponse Status	w		