CI 00	SC 0	Р	L	# 72	2
Law, David		3Co	m		

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

It has been agreed with staff that where a subclause is inserted prior to the existing first subclause it is labelled [existing subclause - one level].[a through z]. Where a subclause is inserted after an existing subclause - assuming it is not the last - the new subclause it is labelled [subclause number][a through z].

For example to insert two subclauses before 43.2.1 the subclauses would be numbered 43.2.a and 43.2.b. Two subclauses between 43.2.1 and 43.2.2 would be numbered 43.2.1a and 43.2.1b. Two subclauses added after the last subclause 43.2.2 would be numbered 43.2.3 and 43.2.4.

At the moment we are not consistent in IEEE P802.3ba and IEEE P802.3az. In some cases the draft isn't consistent with itself.

SuggestedRemedy

Use consistent subclause insertion numbering including style guide and approach agreed with staff.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 00	SC 0	Р	L	# 106
Anslow.	Peter	Nortel Networks		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The comparison document only shows added text (in blue). This means that deletions from the draft cannot easily be seen.

SuggestedRemedy

Please show additions and deletions (in strikeout and red) in the comparison document as other projects have done.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.

The way document compare works in Frame, the file that shows deletions messes up all the numbering so it is not very useful.

C/ 14	SC 14	P15	L 5	# 101
Thaler, Pat		Broadcom		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

Some text still implies that a type 10BASE-Te MAU is not a type 10BASE-T one, but it needs to be for backwards compatibility in places like autonegotiation. 10BASE-Te should be treated as a subtype of 10BASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy

In the title of Clause 14, change "and type 10BASE-Te" to "including type 10BASE-Te".

14.1.1 in the note say: "Support for both 10BASE-Te and non-10BASE-Te signal levels in a single device is not expected." Or you could use legacy 10BASE-T where you need to differentiate from 10BASE-Te.

14.1.1.3 - the first paragraph doesn't explicitly exclude 10BASE-Te. The paragraph needs language to exclude 10BASE-Te; either replace 10BASE-T with "10BASE-T except 10BASE-Te" or "legacy 10BASE-Te"

14.3, The additional sentence "This subclause also ..." is not needed since 10BASE-T includes 10BASE-Te when not otherwise specified.

14.3.1.2 the paragaph about insertion loss for a legacy 10BASE-T MAU needs to explicitly exclude 10BASE-Te.

This needs to be done for every time that there is a requirement that is different for 10BASE-Te. The paragraph near the beginning of 14.3.1.2 that contains the reference to Figure 14-7 is an example where it was done right.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.	

In the title of Clause 14, change "and type 10BASE-Te" to "including type 10BASE-Te".

14.1.1 - Change not to read: "Support for both 10BASE-T and 10BASE-Te signal levels in a single device is not required".

14.1.1.3 - Change text on page 16, line 5 from: "The performance specifications of the 10BASE-T simplex ..." to: "The performance specifications of the 10BASE-T except 10BASE-Te simplex ..."

14.3 - Delete additional sentence "This subclause also defines the ."

14.3.1.2 Change page 17, line 8 from: "For a type 10BASE-T MAU, insertion ." to: "For a type 10BASE-T MAU that is not a type 10BASE-Te MAU, insertion ."

Change text on page 18, line 34 from:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected		C/ 14	Page 1 of 30
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	·····	SC 14	1/22/2010 9:48:34 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

"data sequences for a type 10BASE-T N "data sequences for a type 10BASE-T N		-Te MAU."	C/ 14	SC 14.1.1.1	P1		49	# 69
Change text on page 18, line 44 from: ". Figure 14-7 for 10BASE-T and ." to: ". Figure 14-5 for 10BASE-T except 10E Change text on page 19, lines 12, 18 ar ". for 10BASE-T and ." to: ". for 10BASE-T except 10BASE-Te and	d 25 from:		states t charact and on	<i>Type</i> T ve that 10BASE- ⁻ that 'This clause teristics of the En e specific mediu	also specifies the fur nergy Efficient version	D a PHY. See sub actional, electric n of 10BASE-T, AU.'. 10BASE-T	al, and m the type is also a	
Change text on page 19, line 52 from: ". for a 10BASE-T MAU." to: ". for a 10BASE-T MAU that is not a 10			require	e 'A 10BASE-Te ements of a 10BA	PHY interoperates w SE-Te PHY are met. if the minimum cablir	' to read 'A 10B	ASE-Te N	
Comment Type ER Comment Sta There was a comment #10511 that was	issued against the note in 14.7	# <u>104</u> 1.1.	defines 10BAS (Catego	s a 10 Mb/s PHY E-Te PHY is fully ory 5) or better c	78.1 (page 235, line (10BASE-Te) with re y interoperable with 1 abling as specified in a 10 Mb/s MAU (101	duced transmit 0BASE-T PHYs ISO/IEC 11801	amplitude s over 100 1:1995.' to reduced tr	e requirements. The) m of class D read 'In addition to
I believe that the issue still exists with th "Expected" is defined as "considered lik word reads with a level of uncertainty. N contain stronger wording.	ely or probable to happen or a		require	ements. The 10B ass D (Category	ASE-Te MAU is fully 5) or better cabling a <i>Response Status</i>	s specified in IS		E-T MAUs over 100
"Expected" is defined as "considered lik word reads with a level of uncertainty. N contain stronger wording. SuggestedRemedy	ely or probable to happen or a		require m of cla <i>Proposed F</i>	ements. The 10B. ass D (Category Response OSED ACCEPT.	5) or better cabling a <i>Response Status</i>	s specified in IS		E-T MAUs over 100
"Expected" is defined as "considered lik word reads with a level of uncertainty. N contain stronger wording.	ely or probable to happen or an otes are used to call attention;	; therefore, it should	require m of cla Proposed F PROPO Cl 14	ements. The 10B. ass D (Category Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 14.10.3	5) or better cabling a Response Status P 2	s specified in IS W	SO/IEC 11	E-T MAUs over 100
"Expected" is defined as "considered lik word reads with a level of uncertainty. N contain stronger wording. SuggestedRemedy Change to read:	ely or probable to happen or an lotes are used to call attention; E-Te in a single device is not re	; therefore, it should	require m of cla Proposed F PROPC Cl 14 Thaler, Pat Comment 1	ements. The 10B. ass D (Category Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 14.10.3	5) or better cabling a Response Status P 2 Broad Comment Status	s specified in IS W I L ⁻ com D	30/IEC 11	E-T MAUs over 100 801:1995.'.

C/ 14 SC 14.10.3

Proposed responses	s
--------------------	---

Cl 22 Law, David	SC 22.2.1	Р 22 3Com	L 5	# 71	C/ 24 Cobb, Terr	SC 24.1.2 v	P 31 CommScope	L 19	# 107
Comment 1 EEE re Suggestedl Change Annex Please Proposed F PROPC	Type TR quires the use of <i>Remedy</i> e the text 'The d 4A' to read 'Su make the same <i>Response</i> DSED ACCEPT.		mes the use of t e of the MAC de 46.1.7 and 78.1	fined in Annex 4A'. .1.	Comment Mainte reflects The TF cabling The ob UTP w compa is corre	<i>Type</i> T mance request as what is in the P-PMD standard g, see Annex E of pjectives are me ras important be irred to screened ect. It is the lowe	Comment Status D #1206 The objective d) is corr IP-PMD standard which is which is specifically written to focu of ANSI X3.263-1995. ant to serve as goals at the s cause there are more impairr or shielded systems. So dist est common denominator.	rect as it is writte hat this clause us s on Category 5 tart of a project. nents due to noi inguishing UTP	ses for 100BASE-TX. UTP and 150 ohm STP Being able to run over se, crosstalk, and EMC; as a minimum objective
CI 24	SC 24.1.1	changes are made in clauses	L 26	# 68	S <i>uggested</i> Reject	Remedy maintenance re	quest #1206		
	te 5 seems to be	3Com Comment Status D e marked as inserted text yet	I don't seem to I	be able to find footnote		OSED REJECT	-		
Suggested	Remedy	he bottom of this page.			Refer t	to MR #1206	ed to execute the result of Mo g/3/maint/requests/revision_h		nterim Meeing, 2009
'	Response DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W					1801 makes no distinction be both as balanced cables.	etween shielded	or unshielded twisted-
Proposed F PROPC		,						etween sh	ielded

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 24 SC 24.1.2 Cobb, Terry	P 31 CommScope	L19	# 108	Cl 24 SC 24.2 Dove, Dan		9 40 L 15 Networking	# 105
Comment Type T	Comment Status D 1207 The objective 1) is corre	ct as it is writte	n.	Comment Type T The IDENTIFY J	R Comment Statu K state has an exit vector	<i>is</i> D with criteria "rx_bits[9	
UTP was important bec	ant to serve as goals at the sta	ents due to nois	se, crosstalk, and EMC;	to BAD SSD mus	ate and thus the vector w t be changed because as a FALSE CARRIER in	n /I/P/ satisfies the crite	addition, the vector going eria to enter that state
	or shielded systems. So distin st common denominator.	guishing UTP a	as a minimum objective	SuggestedRemedy			
l also don't believe you	should change objectives that	t were true at th	ne time.		d come from the CARRIE ETECT into BAD SSD to		addition, change the criteria rx_bits[4:0] <> /J/.
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Statu	s Z	
Reject maintenance rec	quest #1207			REJECT.			
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			This comment wa	as WITHDRAWN by the o	commenter.	
See comment #107				C/ 28C SC 28C		258 L 33	# 103
C/ 24 SC 24.2.3.1	P35	L 39	# 70	Woodruff, Bill		iantia	
aw, David	3Com			Comment Type T	Comment Statu to comment #20192. Ar		45 0 7 40a and slaves
	Comment Status D 2 a binary value 0001 of receives sertion of RX_DV and the ass because 26 line 10)			45.2.7.14a requir	e new EEE next pages a iation. This is unnecessa	nd new message code	
SuggestedRemedy	oo pago 20, into 10).			SuggestedRemedy	<u><u></u></u>		
,	cate "receive LPI", as' to read	d ' used to ind	licate "Assert LPI", as	Use the existing I	NP and XNP to control ad	dvertising of BASE-T E	EE
'.				Proposed Response	Response Statu	s W	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			PROPOSED RE.	JECT.		
C/ 24 SC 24.2.3.4	P 37	L13	# 67	The BRC will disc previous drafts.	cuss whether there is suf	ficient support to overt	urn the resolution in
aw, David	3Com	-		·			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D						
A reference to subclaus register list and does no	se 45.2.3.9b would be better th ot list individual bits.	nan to Table 45	-1 which is the global				
SuggestedRemedy							
Change the text ' regis 45.2.3.9b) shall',	ster 3.22 (refer to Table 45-1)	shall' to read	' register 3.22 (see				
Proposed Response	Response Status W						
PROPOSED ACCEPT.							
	d ER/editorial required GR/g patched A/accepted R/reject				sfied Z/withdrawn	C/ 28C	Page 4 of 30

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 28C.12

Page 4 of 30 1/22/2010 9:48:44 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 28C SC 28C.12 P 258 L 33 # 66 Kasturia, Sanjay Teranetics	C/ 28C SC 28C.12 P 258 L 38 # 98 Thaler, Pat Broadcom
omment Type TR Comment Status D This is a "pile on" to comment #20192. Annex 28C and Clause 45.2.7.13a and clause 45.2.7.14a require new EEE next pages and new message codes that add 1/2 second during autonegotiation. This is unnecessary time. uggestedRemedy Use the existing NP and XNP to control advertising of BASE-T EEE	Comment Type T Comment Status D This says that 45.2.7.13a defines what is sent in bits U10:U0 so there are no remaining U field bits (which is the only field in the unformatted page). The bits should all be defined in one place (45.2.7.13a) so that this doesn't need to be updated two places if another bit is used sometime. My comment on 15.2.7.13a would update it to cover all the bits.
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The BRC will discuss whether there is sufficient support to overturn the resolution in previous drafts.	This comment also applies to 73A.4 SuggestedRemedy Delete ", the remaining field bitson receipt". Proposed Response Response Status W
28C SC 28C.12 P 258 L 33 # 73 arris, Arthur Cadence comment Type TR Comment Status D This is a pile on to 20192 against draft 2.1	PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change for 28C.12 and 73A.4. See also comment #99
Surely the whole point of adding XNPs for 10GBASE-T was to use them for this sort of configuration operation. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Please reconsider reponse to 20192 <i>troposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The BRC will discuss whether there is sufficient support to overturn the resolution in previous drafts.	Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.1.3 P75 L 30 # 79 Pillai, Velu Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status D Definition of code_sync_status should be same as what is there in 802.3-2008 for sync_stauts. SuggestedRemedy A parameter set by the PCS Synchronization process to reflect the status of the link as viewed by the receiver. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. V V V V V

C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.3

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.7 Villai, Velu	P 76 Broadcom	L 48	# 81	C/ 36 Sarnette, Jam	SC 36.2.5.2.2 es	P 83 Vitesse Sem	L 13 iconducto	# [
This timer is started when It should be	Comment Status D ner, tx_tq_timer and tx_tr_time en the PCS receiver enters en the PCS transmitter enters			specified v SuggestedRei	from LPI_IDLE_D, Li when multiple conditi medy	ons occur simultane		not sufficiently
uggestedRemedy				Branches	from LPI_IDLE_D ne	ar line 13:		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.		"rx_ts_tim LPI_IDLE SUDI(![/K2 SUDI(![/K2 "xmit = DA	anch from LPI_IDLE_ er_done" to "signal_c _D to off-page node I 28.5/])" to "signal_det 28.5/])". On the branc LTA * SUDI + SUDI([/ SUDI + SUDI([/K28.5	detect = OK * rx_ts_1 F, change the condit tect = OK * !rx_ts_tin th from LPI_IDLE_D /K28.5/])" to "signal_	timer_done". On ion from "xmit != I ner_done * xmit != to LPI_K, change	the branch from DATA * = DATA *		
				Branches	from LPI_K near line	19:		
		LP_IDLE_		n "signal_detect = C		is the branch back to re <cond> is replaced</cond>		
		Branches from RX_WAKE near line 32:						
		OK * SUD	I([/K28.5/]*EVEN)) *	" into the condition	for this branch.	* !(code_sync_status = On the branch to o the condition for this		
				Similarly,	in branches from RX	_WTF near line 36:		
				!(code_sy On the bra		DI([/K28.5/]*EVEN))	*" into the cond	= OK * dition for this branch. ect = OK *" into the
				Proposed Res	ponse Resp	onse Status W		
				PROPOS	ED ACCEPT IN PRI	ICIPLE.		
				Use chanę two:	ges as suggested for	branches from LPI_	IDLE_D. Use the	following for the other
				Branches	from RX_WAKE nea	r line 32:		
				for this bra		to RX_WAKE_DON	E, insert the cond	*" into the condition lition "signal_detect =
VPE: TR/technical required	d ER/editorial required GR/g	eneral required T/te	chnical E/editorial G	deneral				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 36	Page 6 of 30
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	C/ 30	Fage 6 01 50
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	SC 36.2.5.2.2	1/22/2010 9:48:44 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

On the conditi	e branch to RX_LII	om RX_WTF near line 36: NK_FAIL, insert the conditio . On the branch to RX_WAF <_wf_timer_done *" into th	<pre>KE_DONE, insert</pre>	the condition	C/ 36 SC Fig 36-76 Pillai, Velu Comment Type TR Exit out of RX_WAKE_	c P83 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D _DONE should be to H and no	L 40 ot to G	# 83
<i>CI</i> 36 Barnette, J	SC 36.2.5.2.2 James	P 83 Vitesse Semio	L 44 conducto	# 2	SuggestedRemedy			
	state RX_QUIET	Comment Status D is to be left via transition (sig			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
FALSE	Ē.	_FAIL (via the "I" connector)	0 -1		C/ 36 SC Fig 36-70 Pillai, Velu	c P83 Broadcom	L 5	# 82
A rece would	eiver would never b be powered down	nd transition) is ever met rx_ be able to get data again sin all the time - only a reset we	ce the receiver (e			Comment Status D as all the EEE PHYs, change to AX SLEEP. Also on page 70		irom
Suggestea	lRemedy				OTAICI_ICX_OLLET IC	TRA_OLELT . Also on page A	0, 1110 01.	
this wo	ould be an addition	_LINK_FAIL signal "rx_quiet nal assignment to the alread			If the editor decides to RX_SLEEP to START	keep the name, then on page _RX_SLEEP.	e 76, line 28 cha	inge the name
this wo FALSE Proposed	ould be an addition				RX_SLEEP to START SuggestedRemedy	_RX_SLEEP.	e 76, line 28 cha	inge the name
this wo FALSE Proposed PROP	ould be an addition E"). <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. SC Fig 36-7a	nal assignment to the alread			RX_SLEEP to START SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	_RX_SLEEP. Response Status W		
this wo FALSE Proposed A PROP C/ 36 Pillai, Velu Comment	ould be an addition E"). <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT. <i>SC</i> Fig 36-7a <i>Type</i> ER	Response Status W P81 Broadcom Comment Status D	y existing assign	ment "rx_lpi_active <=	RX_SLEEP to START SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	_RX_SLEEP. Response Status W	e 76, line 28 cha <i>L</i> 4	inge the name # <u>84</u>
this wo FALSE Proposed A PROP C/ 36 Pillai, Velu Comment Missin	ould be an addition E"). Response OSED ACCEPT. SC Fig 36-7a Type ER Ing closing paranthe	Response Status W P81 Broadcom Comment Status D	y existing assign	ment "rx_lpi_active <=	RX_SLEEP to START SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 36 SC Fig36-7a Pillai, Velu Comment Type TR	_RX_SLEEP.	L 4	
this wo FALSE Proposed A PROP Cl 36 Pillai, Velu Comment Missin Suggested Proposed A	ould be an addition E"). Response OSED ACCEPT. SC Fig 36-7a Type ER Ing closing paranthe	Response Status W P81 Broadcom Comment Status D	y existing assign	ment "rx_lpi_active <=	RX_SLEEP to START SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 36 SC Fig36-7a Pillai, Velu Comment Type TR	_RX_SLEEP. Response Status W P81 Broadcom Comment Status D	L 4	

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 40	SC 40.1.3	P 89	L 9	# 96	C/ 40 SC 40.3.4	P 99	L 11
Thaler, Pa	t	Broadcom			Grimwood, Michael	Broadcom	
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Type TR	Comment Status D	
	esponse to comme e "more commonl	ent 427 on the initial WG bal	lot was suppose	to be applied here to		, the PHY can use 3 of the 4 pairs PHY needs to encode LPI during t	
Suggested					needed to convey this	information so that only 2 of the 4	pairs
00	the response.					in a small but non-zero degradation even if a PHY is allowed to encode	
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			cannot actually enter a	low power state during this time.	Thus
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.				during training offers n	o real benefit yet results in a sligh	t degi
C/ 40	SC 40.3.1.3.4	P 97	L11	# 63	This small degradatior requests during trainin	in robustness can be eliminated l	by ha
Healey, Ac		LSI Corporati		" "	SuggestedRemedy	y.	
Comment	Type T	Comment Status D				ding loc_lpi_req during training. T	ho ch
	51	by IEEE staff editors that, pe	er IEEE style, eq	uations should be	this follow:		
		hlighting changes using stril			Introduce a new variat	ole, loc_lpi_en, which in the PHY C	Contro
Suggested	Remedy					e state "SEND IDLE or DATA" and	
	0 0 0	hting (strikethrough and und acement instructions before	,	e equations in this	"SLAVE SILENT" and		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W				est state diagram (Figure 40-9), m	odify
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.				the state "LOC LPI RE	Q OFF to be:	
					pcs_reset = ON + link_	_status != OK + loc_lpi_en = FALS	SE.
					Document the commu	nication between the PHY Control	and

provide a reliable indication of hing, then one of the pairs is irs can effectively be used. in the robustness of the link-up PI during training, the link us, permitting an LPI command egradation in robustness.

having the PHY ignore LPI

changes required to accomplish

trol state diagram (Figure 40set FALSE in the states

fy the transition condition into

d the Local LPI Request blocks by updating the functional and reference diagrams and defining the PMA service primitive associated with the variable, loc_lpi_en.

A presentation will be submitted for review at the January 2010 interim meeting in New Orleans detailing the specific changes required.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.

Pending review of grimwood_02_0110.pdf and Task Force discussion.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13a P128 L 24 # 57 Grimwood, Michael Broadcom Broadcom	C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13a P 128 L 25 # 99 Thaler, Pat Broadcom
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
The next page bit number references don't match up with the EEE advertisement register bit numbering as was specified in the resolution to Comment #193 against Draft 2.1 at the November Plenary.	The bit assignments still aren't right. Bits 3 through 1 of the register should map to U3 through U1 of the U field. I.e. each bit in the register should map to the corresponding bit of the U field. This was agreed in the resolution of my comment 416 on the first ballot and in the response to 193 in the first recirculation.
SuggestedRemedy	
In table 45-157a	This comment also applies to 45.2.7.14a which should use the same mapping.
For 7.60.3 change next page bit number from "U2" to "U3"	SuggestedRemedy
For 7.60.2 change next page bit number from "U1" to "U2" For 7.60.1 change next page bit number from "U0" to "U1"	Change the mapping of bits 3 through 1 to U3 through U1 respectively in both tables.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	I would also prefer that the resolution in response to 416 be fully implemented - the register bits 0 through 15 should map to U0 through U15 (all bits apply to Clause 73 and only bits 0 through 10 apply to Clause 28) with the unused values reserved. That allows the mapping for the register to U bits to be established now for when additional bits are added latter.
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Change 3:1 to U3 through U1 to rectify editorial mistake implementing comment #193. Make the change in both tables: 45-157a and 45-157b
	Add a new paragraph after the current one in 45.2.7.13a:
	Bits 10:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U10 through U0 respectively of the unformatted next page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12. Bits 15:0 of register 7.60 map to bits U15 through U0 respectively of the unformatted next page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 73A.4. Devices using Clause 28 autonegotiation

C/ **45** SC **45.2.7.13**a

may ignore bits defined for Clause 73 autonegotiation and devices using Clause 73

autonegotiation may ignore bits defined for Clause 28 autonegotiation.

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.14a P130	L 24	# 58	C/ 46 SC 46.4a	P135	L 47	# 75
Grimwood, Michael Broadcom			Parnaby, Gavin	Solarflare (Communicat	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
The next page bit number references don't match up register bit numbering as was specified in the resolu 2.1 at the November Plenary.			or receiving fault si	e prevented from requesting a gnaling, to prevent LPI reques his would prevent any frames	ts occuring during	PCS_Test while the
Also, since this refers to register 7.61 the bit designa to 7.61.x.	tions need to be	e changed from 7.60.x		tes that LPI requests should b		
SuggestedRemedy			operational for at le autoneg.	ast 1 second, but this only tra	os the case when	the link retrains after
In table 45-157b,			SuggestedRemedy			
Change all eight occurrences of "7.60." to "7.61."			Add text stating that receiving fault sign	t transitions to LPI should be p aling.	prevented within 1	ms of sending or
For 7.60.3 (7.61.3) change next page bit number from			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
For 7.60.2 (7.61.2) change next page bit number from For 7.60.1 (7.61.1) change next page bit number from			PROPOSED REJE	CT.		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				restriction on LPI dependent o and have no effect on frame lo). Additional restrictions
Cl46SC46.4aPParnaby, GavinSolarflare Cor	<i>L</i> nmunicat	# 77				
Comment Type TR Comment Status D						
TX_EN, TX_ER and TXD<7:0> are not the correct n RX_EN, RX_ER and RXD<7:0> are not the correct r						
This seems to be a copy/paste error from the GMII c	lause.					
SuggestedRemedy Update the names and description to use TXD/TXC,	RXD/RXC.					
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
Change the names. Also change the reference from	35.2.1.1 to 46.1	.7.				

C/ 46 SC 46.4a

C/ 46 SC 46.4a P 135 L 48 # Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat	74 C/ 47 SC 47 Brown, Matt	.1.6 P 140 Applied Micr	L 41	# 44
Comment Type T Comment Status D	,	TR Comment Status D	0 (AMOO)	
Do we need to add a description here of what happens if the PHY is in LPI on side and in the normal operational mode on the receive side, and it receives L	the transmit A mode is requi	red where a XAUI link supports LP	signalling, but d	oes not support the
link partner?	SuggestedRemedy			
It is not clear from the text whether LF or LP_IDLE takes precedence.	support. If TRUI	D bit field XAUI_EEE_QUIET_ENA E, transmit will turn off tx_mode is C	BLE to determine QUIET. If FALSE	e if QUIET state is , transmit will not turn
I believe that, according to 46.3.4, the RS should respond to LF by sending RF transmit path. This would wake the transmit side of the link if it were in LPI.				
Suggested Remedy	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Add text stating that the fault signaling takes precedence - transmitting the RF		CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
the PHY.	This is already of	defined in Clause 45 - see register	oits 4.20.0 (capal	bility) and 4.0.9 (enable)
Should this description be added to clause 78?	This needs to be	e reflected in the text of this clause		
Proposed Response Response Status W	An XGMII Exter	der with the optional Energy Efficie	nt Ethernet (EEE	E) capability may enter a
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	low power		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 Bring 46.3.4 into the draft. Modify the second paragraph: Sublayers within the PHY are capable of detecting faults that render a link unrecommunication. Upon recognition of a fault condition a PHY sublayer indicates Local Fault statt data path. When this Local Fault status reaches an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data ** or L continuously generates a Remote Fault status on the transmit data path (possibly truncating a MAC frame being When Remote Fault status is received by an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data, and contin generates Idle control characters. When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to operation, sending MAC data ** or LPI **. 	transition to a lo DTE XS). Trans 5.20.0 (for a DT XGMII is encode as LPI at the XC PI **, and sleep symbols, then, ** if enable transmitted). conserve energy sees the sleep s nuously transmits during quiet period to a to normal recovery, adapti filter coefficients underlying chan characteristics. the XGMII Exter transmission wil	SMII. When LPI is received, an Energy When the receiver symbols it transitions to a quiet stat the allow the attached XGMII Extender ve s) and thereby track long term varianel lf, during the quiet or refresh period and the reverse transmit functions.	er 4.20.0 (for a F led by register 4. er Idle (LPI) at the ction of LPI enco- rgy Efficient XGM ctivates XAUI tra- e. The XGMII Ex- to refresh its rece- tion in the timing ls, normal inter-fr and initiates trar	PHY XS) or 5.20.0 (for a 0.9 (for a PHY XS) or be oding in the received All Extender sends insmit signals to tender periodically eiver state (e.g. timing of the link or the rame idle is asserted at insmission. This

C/ 47 SC 47.1.6

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 49 SC 00	P00		# 53	C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.2.2	P164 L22	# 51
Brown, Matt	Applied Micro	(AMCC)		Brown, Matt	Applied Micro (AMCC)	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D ants TRUE and FALSE is inco	nsistent		<i>,</i>	nent Status D e is determined from energy_detec	t primitive from EEC
SuggestedRemedy In all text and figures,	where the word represents a		eplace:	and/or PMA. The primitive has t	he values OK and FAIL, whereas the values of and FALSE. Redefine energy	he energy detect variable
"true" with "TRUE" "false" with "FALSE"				SuggestedRemedy		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				ergy_detect to energy_detect_ok. N able (not the primitive) including Fi	
C/ 49 SC 49 Pillai, Velu	P 158 Broadcom	L1	# 95		nen the PMD detects signal energy primitive indicates OK and is set to	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			6) — 1	nse Status W	
	ssing the situation in which horner is transmitting Local fault.	w a transmitter v	vill handle an LPI	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN		
SuggestedRemedy				See also comments #4 & #5 (Cl	ause 51).	
	expected to address this probl e 10GBASE-R PHY should ac is issue separately. Response Status W				s defined and used in this clause is However, the commenter correctly omment #4 & #5.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT	,			C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.2.2	P164 L43	# 54
TROFOSED ACCEL				Brown, Matt	Applied Micro (AMCC)	
This is handled in the	RS. See response to commer	nt #74.			ment Status D	
C/ 49 SC 49.1.5	P 159	L 33	# 46	51	EEE based on sentence on line 20	0.
Brown, Matt	Applied Micro	(AMCC)		SuggestedRemedy		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Replace "For EEE capability, thi	s" with "This".	
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response Respo PROPOSED ACCEPT.	nse Status W	
ENERGY_DETECT.in TX_MODE.request(tx_ RX_MODE.request(rx	or EEE service primitives: dication(energy_detect) equ _mode) equate to tx_mode _mode) equate to rx_mode lest(rx_lpi_active) equate to	variable variable				
Proposed Response	Response Status W					
PROPOSED REJECT						
The primitive definition (such as tx_data).	ns are in Clause 51. As they a	re for all of the ir	nter-sublayer interfaces			
TYPE: TR/technical requir COMMENT STATUS: D/d SORT ORDER: Clause,		general requirec cted RESPON	I T/technical E/editorial G/ ISE STATUS: O/open W/v	general rritten C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdra	awn C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.2.2	Page 12 of 30 1/22/2010 9:48:44 P

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.2 Brown, Matt	2.2 P 165 Applied Micro	L 19 (AMCC)	# 55	C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3 Brown, Matt	P165 Applied Micro	L 10 (AMCC)	# 3
Comment Type E It is common to refer to	Comment Status D PCS receiver not PCS's rece	eiver.		Figure 49-14 on page 165 a			
SuggestedRemedy Change "PCS's receiv 7 instances on page 10				Behavior of SM in TX_LI in of IDLE blocks during WAKI unsynchronized. Should hav Should unify behavior of 100	E is not enforce. Need to ve predictable behavior	o ensure that sta from start of SLE	te machines don't get EP to end of WAKE.
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Incorporate TX_L and TX_V	VN states similar to Clau	use 55 Figure 55	-15a.
	•			SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.2 Pillai, Velu	2.5 P165 Broadcom	L 34	# 88	Create variables: tx_lpi_active: " A boolean va when PHY is not in LPI moo		en PHY is in LPI	mode and set to FALSE
	Comment Status D ts_timer, tx_tq_timer tx_tr_ti	mer and tx_tw_ti	mer states	tx_lpi_req: "A boolean varial otherwise set to FALSE."		PHY client is requ	esting LPI and is
change it to	hen the PCS's receiver			Copy definitions of LPBLOC	K_T and IBLOCK_T fro	m Clause 55.	
SuggestedRemedy				In Figure 49-14: In state TX_INIT add line			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			"tx_lpi_req=FALSE" In state TX_LI add lines "tx_coded=LPBLOCK_T" "tx_lpi_req=TRUE" Delete transitions: TX_LI to TX_C			
				TX_LI to TX_E			
				Add state TX_W with lines: "tx_lpi_req=FALSE" "tx_coded=IBLOCK_T"			
				Add transitions as follows: TX_LI to TX_W: !(T_TYPE(t TX_W to TX_C: !tx_lpi_activ TX_W to TX_E: !tx_lpi_activ	/e*(T_TYPE(tx_raw)=C)		
				In Figure 49-16 In TX_ACTIVE add line "tx_I In TX_SLEEP add line "tx_I Replace all instances each "T_TYPE(tx_raw)=LI" with ' "T_TYPE(tx_raw)!=LI" with '	pi_active=TRUE" as follows: tx_lpi_req"		
				Proposed Response Re	esponse Status W		
				, , ,			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **49** SC **49.2.13.3** Page 13 of 30 1/22/2010 9:48:44 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

transmit state machine will ontrol to Lyule for sleep, refresh and wake phases. Cl 49 SC 49.2.13.3 P170 L11 # [56] Comment Type T Comment Status D Applied Marca (AMCC) After signal vas to provide a higher energy signal to target the energy detect from noise. Figure 40-7. In transition RX_ACTIVE to RX_SLEEP need to qualify with RX_BLOCK_LOCK. Sogested/Remedy As a result, when in RX_WAKE and RX_WTP states, it is very possible and expected the energy_detect in VERY reliable with the ALERT signal, as target detection of noise or ringing. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Range condition to: X_WTF to RX_UDIET NWKE signal not a taise detection of noise or ringing. R_TYPE[rx_coded]=LI Proposed Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Range modulion to: X_WTF to RX_UDIET R_TYPE[rx_coded]=LI Proposed Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P159 L40 # 17 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Range interastic to reast the reast state set of a large detection of noise or ringing. Suggested/Remedy The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. P159 L40 # 17 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P159 L40 # 15 Proposed Response Response Status W PR	machine will continue to	state machines need to be send LPI or IDLE according	to the state of th	ne XGMII. The LPI	<i>Cl</i> 49 Brown, Ma	SC 49.2.13.3 att	-	L 33 cro (AMCC)	# 52
own, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) parment Type T proment Status D Figure 49-17. In transition RX_ACTIVE to RX_SLEEP need to qualify with RX_BLOCK_LOCK. pagester/Remedy Change transition citeria to: Change transition citeria to: R_book_lock*(block/lock/lock/)*R_TYPE(rx_coded)=Li cyposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change condition to: r_block_lock*(block/lock/cock*) P168 £ 889 The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. P168 £ 5 # 899 This should say The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/49 SC 492.4.4 P159 L40 # 147 This should say The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/49 SC 492.4.4 P159 L40 # 147 PROPOSED ACCEPT. The state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. Suggester/Remedy Change Tow Power Idle(LPI) is an option* to *Low Power Idle (LPI) control characters PROPOSED ACCEPT. The state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter <t< td=""><td>transmit state machine w</td><td>vill control tx_quiet for sleep,</td><td>refresh and wa</td><td>ke phases.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	transmit state machine w	vill control tx_quiet for sleep,	refresh and wa	ke phases.					
ormment Type T Comment Status D Figure 40-17. In transition RX_ACTIVE to RX_SLEEP need to qualify with RX_BLOCK_LOCK. gggestedRemedy As a result, when in RX_WAKE and RX_WTF states, it is very possible and expected it energy_detect will go FALSE before block lock is achieved. Change transition criteria to: NoteX_lock'(block_lock) 'R_TYPE(rx_coded)=L1 opposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status D Change transition to: rx_block_lock'block_lock's rx_block_lock'block_lock's Figure 40-11 (49) SC 49.2.13.1 P168 L11 Broadcom ormment Type T Comment Status D The transmit LPI state diagram controls to_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. Mat gggestedRemedy Comment Status D The transmit LPI state diagram controls to_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. Mat gggestedRemedy Comment Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT. The transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) control characters Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P159 L40 PROPOSED ACCEPT. P159 L40 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td># 56</td> <td>energ</td> <td>y. The purpose o</td> <td>f using the ALERT signal w</td> <td>vas to provide a hig</td> <td>gher energy signal so</td>		-		# 56	energ	y. The purpose o	f using the ALERT signal w	vas to provide a hig	gher energy signal so
In transition RX_ACTIVE to RX_SLEEP need to qualify with RX_BLOCK_LOCK. uggestedRemedy Change transition criteria to: rx_block_lock/tblock_lock/rR_TYPE(rx_coded)=L1 rpopsed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change condition to: rx_block_lock/tblock_lock/rR_TYPE(rx_coded)=L1 /49 SC 492.13.1 P168 L5 # [89 The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quet. This should say The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quet. uggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quet. UggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quet. UggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. The sensence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option* to "Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option (2 49 SC 492.4.4 P159 L40 # [47] Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D Not clear what TPI is ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option* to "Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option (2 49 SC 492.4.4 P159 L40 # [45] Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D Note clear what "this option" to "Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option (2 49 SC 492.4.4 P159 L40 # [45] Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D Note clear what "this option" to "LEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	omment Type T	Comment Status D			แลเพ	le may energy_de		event laise energy	delect nom noise.
Change transition criteria to: nr. block_lock/'R_TYPE(nr.coded)=LI Oposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status W Change condition to: nr. block_lock/'tokk_block_lock'' nr. block_lock/'tok/block_lock' Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Pt68 L1 Pt68 L2 #9 SC 49.2.13.1 P168 Daradcom Proposed Response Status D Drament Type TR Comment Status D The transmit LP1 state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. This should say The transmit LP1 state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. WPROPOSED REJECT. Oposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option" to "Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option" to "Lo		to RX_SLEEP need to qual	lify with RX_BLC	DCK_LOCK.					ible and expected the
rx_blick_lock*(block_lock=rx_block_lock)*R_TYPE(rx_coded)=LI vposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Nincerve the following transitions: RX_WEE to RX_oULIET Rx_outer to applied Micro (AMCC) RX_WEE to RX_oULIET Proposed Response RTYPE(rx_coded)=LI Proposed Response (49) SC 49.2.13.3.1 P168 L10 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) CI 49 SC 49.2.14. P159 L40 # [47] mment Type TR Comment Status D D The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. SuggestedRemedy Change "Low Power Idle(LPI) is an option" to "Low Power Idle (LPI) control characters <i>proposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT. Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Image and the control status D Image and the control status D opposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT. Nate clear what LPI is option" is. SuggestedRemedy Change "this option" is. SuggestedRemedy	uggestedRemedy				Since	energy_detect is	VERY reliable with the AL	ERT signal, a tran	sition to RX_WAKE
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change condition to: rx.block_lock*lock R_TYPE(rx_coded)=Lit (49) SC 49.2.13.1 P168 L5 #89 moment Type TR Comment Status D The transmit LP1 state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. This should say The transmit LP1 state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. uggestedRemedy copsed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	5				indica	ites either a REFI	RESH or WAKE signal not	a false detection o	of noise or ringing.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change condition to: x. block_lock*block_iock* R_TYPE(rx_coded)=L1 149 SC 49.2.13.3.1 P 168 L 5 # 89 parment Type TR Comment Status D # 40 # 47 proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 49 SC 49.2.4.4 P 159 L 40 # 17 Damment Type TR Comment Status D M att Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D Not clear what LP is. The transmit LP is tate diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. The transmit LP is tate diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. Change "Low Power Idle(LPI) is an option" to "Low Power Idle (LPI) control characters Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option" to "Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option" to "EEC capability". PROPOSED ACCEPT.	、 _	,	x_coded)=LI		Suggeste	dRemedy			
rx_block_lock* Proposed Response Status W rx_block_lock* PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Status W / 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1 P168 L5 # 89 illai, Velu Broadcom Comment Status D omment Type TR Comment Status D The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. D Not clear what LPI is. SuggestedRemedy roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option" to "Low Powe	, ,	,			RX_V	VAKE to RX_QUI	ET		
R_TYPE (rx_coded)=L1 C/ 49 SC 49.2.1.3.3.1 P 168 L 5 # 89 Pillai, Velu Broadcom Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type TR Comment Status D The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. This should say The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. Suggested/Remedy Change "Low Power Idle(LPI) is an option" to "Low Power Idle (LPI) control characters Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P159 L40 # 47 C/ 49 SC 49.2.4.4 P159 L40 # 47 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Not clear what LPI is. Suggested/Remedy The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. W PROPOSED ACCEPT. The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option 'to 'L40 # 48 Proposed Response Response Status W P159 L40 # 48 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D Note clear what 'this option' to 'EEE capability'. Proposed Response <	Change condition to:				Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Cl 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1 P168 L5 # 89 Fillai, Velu Broadcom Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type TR Comment Status D Not clear what LPI is. The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. This should say The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. Suggested Remedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. W P159 L40 # 47 Cl 49 SC 49.2.4.4 P159 L40 # 48 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option Idl 48 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status D		<*			PROF	POSED ACCEPT			
<pre>illai, Velu Broadcom comment Type TR Comment Status D The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. This should say The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. ibuggestedRemedy troposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Status D Note clear what "this option" to "Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option" to "Low Power Idle (LP</pre>		D (00		"	CI 49	SC 49.2.4.4		-	# 47
The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. This should say This should say The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. urggestedRemedy Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. To comment Status D Note clear what "this option" to "EEE capability". Note clear what "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. To comment Status D Note clear what "this option" to "EEE capability". Note clear what "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Proposed Response Status D Note clear what "this option" is. SuggestedRemedy Change "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status D Note clear what "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status W			L5	# 89	Brown, Ma	att	Applied Mic	cro (AMCC)	
The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when true. This should say The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. uggestedRemedy roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Not clear what "LPI's. SuggestedRemedy Change "Low Power Idle(LPI) is an option" to "Low Power Idle (LPI) control characters Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Not clear what "this option" to "Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.					Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
when true. SuggestedRemedy This should say Change "Low Power Idle(LPI) is an option" to "Low Power Idle (LPI) control characters The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. W uggestedRemedy PROPOSED REJECT. The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option Cl 49 SC 49.2.4.4 P159 L40 # Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D Note clear what "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status D Note clear what "this option" to "EEE capability".				tue e e e 144 e e	Not cl	lear what LPI is.			
This should say The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. UggestedRemedy Troposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an optim C/ 49 SC 49.2.4.4 P159 L40 # 48 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D Note clear what "this option" is. SuggestedRemedy Change "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.		agram controls tx_mode whi	ich disables the	transmitter	Suggeste	dRemedy			
The transmit LPI state diagram controls tx_mode which disables the transmitter when it is set to quiet. UggestedRemedy Troposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an optim (C/ 49 SC 49.2.4.4 P 159 L 40 # 48 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D Note clear what "this option" is. SuggestedRemedy Change "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	<u> </u>				Chan	ge "Low Power Id	le(LPI) is an option" to "Lo	w Power Idle (LPI)	control characters."
The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an optimized method when it is set to quiet. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an optimized method. Cl 49 SC 49.2.4.4 P159 L40 # 48 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D Note clear what "this option" is. SuggestedRemedy Change "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	This should say				Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
uggestedRemedy The sentence is clear. The ability to transmit or receive Low Power Idle (LPI) is an option roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Response Status W SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Note clear what "this option" is. SuggestedRemedy Change "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.		agram controls tx_mode whi	ich disables the	transmitter	PROF	POSED REJECT.			
uggestedRemedy Cl 49 SC 49.2.4.4 P159 L40 # 48 roposed Response Response Status W Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type T Comment Status D Note clear what "this option" is. SuggestedRemedy Cl 49 SC 49.2.4.4 P159 L40 # 48	when it is set to quiet.				The s	entence is clear	The ability to transmit or re	eceive I ow Power I	Idle (I PI) is an optio
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D Note clear what "this option" is. SuggestedRemedy Change "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	uggestedRemedy								· · ·
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type T Comment Status D Note clear what "this option" is. SuggestedRemedy Change "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Capability". PROPOSED REJECT.								-	# 48
Note clear what "this option" is. SuggestedRemedy Change "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.		Response Status W			,				
Change "this option" to "EEE capability". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	PROPOSED ACCEPT.								
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.					00		"EEE capability".		
PROPOSED REJECT.									
"This option" clearly refers to the option described in the previous sentence.					,	,	,		
					"This	option" clearly ref	ers to the option described	d in the previous se	entence.
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	VPE: TR/technical required	ER/editorial required GR/o	oneral required	T/technical E/editorial G	/aeneral				

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 49
 Page 14 of 30

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 49.2.4.4
 Page 14 of 30

 SORT ORDER:
 Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 49.2.4.4
 Page 14 of 30

C/ 49 SC 49.2.4.4 Brown, Matt	P159 Applied Micro	L 40 (AMCC)	# 49	<i>CI</i> 49 Brown, Mati	SC 49.2.6	P 16 Applie	d Micro (AMC	L 1 (C)	# 50
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D	(Comment T		Comment Status	,		
	supported LPI characters may	be transmitted an	nd if EEE is not			e should align with that		_bypass definit	ion.
	haracters are treated as errors v shall LPI characters be treate			SuggestedF Change	•				
SuggestedRemedy						zation in the receiver t shall bypass the scr			
Clarify what is meant enabled.	by supported and/or clarify wh	at to do if EEE is	implemented, but not	To:				oorambior_byp	
Proposed Response	Response Status W					ation in the receiver f			
PROPOSED REJEC				scramb		e scrambler output. T			
	e capabilities. If a device indic on. There is no need to clarify nds not to.		•	Proposed R	•	Response Status	w		
C/ 49 SC 49.2.4. Brown, Matt	7 P160 Applied Micro	L 8 (AMCC)	# 45	<i>Cl 49</i> Pillai, Velu	SC Fig 49-16	P16 Broad	-	L 12	# 86
Comment Type E For consistency, cha	Comment Status D nge /LI/ name to match name i	n Clause 55 (pag	e 188, line 18).	Comment T Arrow h		Comment Status		touch the verti	cal line.
SuggestedRemedy Change "LPI" to "lp_i	dle". Nause 55 "lp_idle" to "LPI".			SuggestedF	_	_			
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC	Response Status W			Proposed R PROPC	esponse DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status	w		
There is no requirem	ent for naming consistency bet	ween separate P	CS clauses.	<i>Cl</i> 49 Pillai, Velu	SC Fig 49-16	P16 Broad		L 24	# 91
				Comment T Either c one_uS or one_us SuggestedF	hange all the 1u _timer _timer	Comment Status sec timer name to	D		
				Proposed R PROPC	esponse DSED ACCEPT	Response Status	w		
				Change	all occurrences	to one_us_timer			
	red ER/editorial required GR/ dispatched A/accepted R/reje , Subclause, page, line				U/unsatisfied	Z/withdrawn	C/ 49 SC Fig 49-1	6	Page 15 of 30 1/22/2010 9:48:44 Pl

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 49 SC Fig 49-16 P 169 L 41 # 90 Pillai, Velu Broadcom	Cl 49 SC Fig 49-17 P 170 L 47 # 92 Pillai, Velu Broadcom
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Inside TX_REFRESH state change tx_mode <= data to	Comment Type TR Comment Status D one_uS_timer is used in TX LPI and RX LPI state diagrams. It is better to use different names for these timers to avoid confusion and to follow the usual practice in IEEE standards.
tx_mode <= DATA	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W	Suggestion is to change the one on Fig 49-16 to be tx_one_uS_timer and the one on fig 49-17 to be rx_one_uS_timer. And add appropriate descriptions under 49.2.13.2.5
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/ 49 SC Fig 49-17 P 170 L 46 # 94 Pillai, Velu Broadcom	Use tx_one_us_timer, rx_one_us_timer
Comment Type TR Comment Status D In draft 2.2 a new state got added to Fig 49-17. In certain cases this transition from	C/ 49 SC Fig 49-17 P 170 L 9 # 87 Pillai, Velu Broadcom
RX_WAKE to RX_SCR_BYPASS can cause issues. For example: during refresh, what if the FEC gained the block lock by chance much before the transmitter asserts scr_bypass. This will lead the receive PCS to get an rx_block_lock, at which case this transition will take place. But then when the transmitter asserts scr_bypass, the receive PCS might see != LI, which will wake the receiver up.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Arrow heads for RX_ACTIVE to RX_ACTIVE and RX_SLEEP to RX_ACTIVE are floating. SuggestedRemedy Fix the diagram Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
RX_WAKE to RX_SCR_BYPASS can cause issues. For example: during refresh, what if the FEC gained the block lock by chance much before the transmitter asserts scr_bypass. This will lead the receive PCS to get an rx_block_lock, at which case this transition will take place. But then when the transmitter asserts scr_bypass, the receive PCS might see != LI, which will wake the receiver up. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Don't have a suggestion at this point. But certainly this needs more discussions.	Arrow heads for RX_ACTIVE to RX_ACTIVE and RX_SLEEP to RX_ACTIVE are floating. SuggestedRemedy Fix the diagram Proposed Response Response Status W
RX_WAKE to RX_SCR_BYPASS can cause issues. For example: during refresh, what if the FEC gained the block lock by chance much before the transmitter asserts scr_bypass. This will lead the receive PCS to get an rx_block_lock, at which case this transition will take place. But then when the transmitter asserts scr_bypass, the receive PCS might see != LI, which will wake the receiver up. SuggestedRemedy Don't have a suggestion at this point. But certainly this needs more discussions.	Arrow heads for RX_ACTIVE to RX_ACTIVE and RX_SLEEP to RX_ACTIVE are floating. SuggestedRemedy Fix the diagram Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT
RX_WAKE to RX_SCR_BYPASS can cause issues. For example: during refresh, what if the FEC gained the block lock by chance much before the transmitter asserts scr_bypass. This will lead the receive PCS to get an rx_block_lock, at which case this transition will take place. But then when the transmitter asserts scr_bypass, the receive PCS might see != LI, which will wake the receiver up. SuggestedRemedy Don't have a suggestion at this point. But certainly this needs more discussions. Proposed Response Response Status W	Arrow heads for RX_ACTIVE to RX_ACTIVE and RX_SLEEP to RX_ACTIVE are floating. SuggestedRemedy Fix the diagram Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 49 SC Figure 49-17 P170 L18 # 65
RX_WAKE to RX_SCR_BYPASS can cause issues. For example: during refresh, what if the FEC gained the block lock by chance much before the transmitter asserts scr_bypass. This will lead the receive PCS to get an rx_block_lock, at which case this transition will take place. But then when the transmitter asserts scr_bypass, the receive PCS might see != L1, which will wake the receiver up. SuggestedRemedy Don't have a suggestion at this point. But certainly this needs more discussions. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This scenario must be prevented by modifying the requirements in Clause 74. The FEC must be precluded from asserting SIGNAL_OK until it detectes the deterministic block (even if it luckily attains block lock without it). The restriction applies following the	Arrow heads for RX_ACTIVE to RX_ACTIVE and RX_SLEEP to RX_ACTIVE are floating. SuggestedRemedy Fix the diagram Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 49 SC Figure 49-17 P170 L18 # 65 Horner, Rita Avago Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status D In RX_SLEEP, the transition with the condition "rx_block_lock *~rx_tq_timer_done * R_TYPE(rx_coded) = IDLE" goes nowhere. There is a missing line connection, to the right

C/ 49 SC Figure 49-17

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 49 SC Figure 49-17 P 170 L 9 # 64 Horner, Rita Avago Technologies	C/ 51 SC 52.2.6.1 P 176 L 6 # 5 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Applied Micro (AMCC) Applied Micro (AMCC)
Comment Type ER Comment Status D RX_ACTIVE, the transition with the condition "block_lock != rx_block_lock" goes nowhere. There is a missing connectin to the right of the Figure 49-17.	Comment Type T Comment Status D Condition for energy_detect=OK is not specified. Nor is it defined where the states come from.
SuggestedRemedy Draw in a feedback line to the RX_ACTIVE state, which matches the earlier D2.2 version of the diagram. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Since PMA_ENERGY_DETECT.indication is identical to PMD_SIGNAL.indication, the intermediate energy_detect variable/signal is not required. SuggestedRemedy Change description of PMA_ENERGY_DETECT.indication(energy_detect) to: "The energy_detect parameter takes on one of two values OK or FAIL as indicated by PMD_SIGNAL.indication(SIGNAL_OK). A value of OK indicates that the PMD detects a
C/ 51 SC 52.2.6.1 P 176 L 6 # 4 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) 4	signal. A value of FAIL indicates that the PMD does not detect a signal. A value of OK does not guarantee that a valid signal is being presented to the PMA client."
Comment Type T Comment Status D Condition for energy_detect=OK is not specified.	Change when generated as follows: The PMA generates this primitive whenever the PMD_SIGNAL.indication(SIGNAL_OK) primitive is received.
 SuggestedRemedy Change description to: 	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See also comment #4 The condition for OK needs stating and the relation between signal_ok and energy_detect should be stated. However the energy_detect is boolean. Change the paragraph as follows: The energy_detect parameter is boolean and reflects the state of the SIGNAL_OK received from the PMD. A value of TRUE indicates that the PMA is receiving a signal from the PMD, reflecting that PMD_SIGNAL.indication is indicating OK. A value of FALSE indicates that the PMA is not receiving a signal from the PMD, reflecting that PMD_SIGNAL.indication is indicating FAIL. Note that a value of TRUE does not guarantee that a valid signal is being presented to the PMA client.
	CI 55 SC P L # 76 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat Comment Type TR Comment Status D
	Add the 10GBASE-T ad hoc output (link monitor and fast retrain capabilities) to the draft. SuggestedRemedy
	As comment. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI **55** SC

C/ 55 SC 00	P Applied Misro (A		# 7		C 55.1.3	P17		9 # 6	
Brown, Matt	Applied Micro (A	AIMCC)		Brown, Matt			d Micro (AMCC)		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Comment Status D			Comment Type		Comment Status	D		
The phrase "LPI transmit mode" is used to describe or specify two different spans. In one context, it refers to the time from the beginning of SLEEP to the end of WAKE. In another,			-		link partner system"				
it refers to the time from the end of SLEEP to the beginning of ALERT. The starting point is also described as starting when LI is first received on the XGMII.				SuggestedRemedy Change "local and link system" to "local and link partner system"					
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Resp		Response Status			
Create a unique phrase to d	lescribe each enoch and re	place the phra	ases appropriately		D ACCEPT.	Response Status	vv		
			abob appropriatory.		D AOOLI I.				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PI	esponse Status W			CI 55 SC	C 55.1.33	P18	2 L 3	5 # 8	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PI	RINCIPLE.			Brown, Matt		Applied	d Micro (AMCC)		
The editor believes that the		changed is the	e definition of	Comment Type	т	Comment Status	D		
tx_lpi_active and tx_lpi_qr_a	active in 55.5.3.5.2.2.			SLEEP may	y be immediat	ely followed by eithe	r REFRESH or	QUIET.	
In other cases the text states	s that the _transition_ to th	e LPI tx mode	begins when LI is first	SuggestedRem	ledy				
received on the XGMII; this			-	Replace:					
Change the ty Ini active an	nd tx lpi ar active definition	ne ae followe:			nese frames th	ne link partner cease	es transmission a	and is quiet.	
Change the tx_lpi_active and tx_lpi_qr_active definitions as follows: tx_lpi_active is TRUE during the LPI transmit mode and during transitions to and from the			With: Following these frames the link partner begins a QUIET/REFRESH cycle, where the link is						
tx ipi active is IRUE during	g the LPI transmit mode an	ia during transi	itions to and from the	Eollowing th	haca framas th	o link partnar bagin		DECH avala whore	the link is
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at ar						ne link partner begin	s a QUIET/REF	RESH cycle, where	the link is
				normally qu	liet.			RESH cycle, where	the link is
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at ar	ny time when the PHY is tra	ansmitting slee	ep, alert, wake or quiet-	normally qu Proposed Resp	liet.	ne link partner begin <i>Response Status</i>		RESH cycle, where	the link is
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at an refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du	ny time when the PHY is tra	ansmitting slee	ep, alert, wake or quiet-	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSE	uiet. oonse D ACCEPT.	Response Status	w		the link is
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at ar refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du	ny time when the PHY is transmit mode	ansmitting slee e [I.e. during qu <i>L</i> 9	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling].	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSE CI 55 St	uiet. Ionse	Response Status P18	W 5 L4		the link is
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at an refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du C/ 55 SC 55 Brown, Matt	ny time when the PHY is transmit mode P179	ansmitting slee e [I.e. during qu <i>L</i> 9	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling].	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSE CI 55 So Brown, Matt	uiet. Donse D ACCEPT. C 55.2.2.10.1	Response Status P 18 Applied	W 5 <i>L</i> 4: d Micro (AMCC)		the link is
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at an refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du C/ 55 SC 55 Brown, Matt Comment Type T C	ny time when the PHY is tra- uring the LPI transmit mode P 179 Applied Micro (A Comment Status D	ansmitting slee e [I.e. during qu <i>L</i> 9 AMCC)	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling]. # 29	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSE CI 55 So Brown, Matt Comment Type	uiet. Donse D ACCEPT. C 55.2.2.10.1	Response Status P 18 Applied Comment Status	W 5 <i>L</i> 4: d Micro (AMCC) D	5 # 9	
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at an refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du 55 SC 55 srown, Matt	ny time when the PHY is tra- iring the LPI transmit mode P 179 Applied Micro (A Comment Status D osal recommends inclusion	ansmitting slee (I.e. during qu L 9 AMCC) n of counters to	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling]. # 29	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSEI CI 55 St Brown, Matt Comment Type The rx_lpi_t	uiet. ponse D ACCEPT. C 55.2.2.10.1 T active primitiv 6 (TRUE or FA	Response Status P 18 Applied	W 5 L 4: d Micro (AMCC) D efined. It says th	5 # 9	
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at ar refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du C/ 55 SC 55 Brown, Matt Comment Type T C The referenced adhoc proportimes a fast retrain is invoke	ny time when the PHY is tra- iring the LPI transmit mode P 179 Applied Micro (A Comment Status D osal recommends inclusion	ansmitting slee (I.e. during qu L 9 AMCC) n of counters to	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling]. # 29	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSEI CI 55 St Brown, Matt Comment Type The rx_lpi_ in Figure 16	uiet. D ACCEPT. C 55.2.2.10.1 T active primitiv 6 (TRUE or FA VE.	Response Status P18 Applied Comment Status e is inconsistently de	W 5 L 4: d Micro (AMCC) D efined. It says th	5 # 9	
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at ar refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du 2/ 55 SC 55 Brown, Matt Comment Type T C The referenced adhoc proportimes a fast retrain is invoke required. SuggestedRemedy Create a new counter normal	ny time when the PHY is tra- rring the LPI transmit mode P179 Applied Micro (A Comment Status D osal recommends inclusion ed. By the same logic, a con al_retrain_counter.	ansmitting slee (I.e. during qu L9 AMCC) n of counters to unter for normal	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling]. # 29 o track the number of al retrains is also	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSEI CI 55 Sc Brown, Matt Comment Type The rx_lpi_i in Figure 16 NOT_ACTI' SuggestedRem	uiet. D ACCEPT. C 55.2.2.10.1 T active primitiv 6 (TRUE or FA VE.	Response Status P18 Applied Comment Status e is inconsistently de ALSE) and defines to	W 5 L 4: d Micro (AMCC) D efined. It says th	5 # 9	
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at ar refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du C/ 55 SC 55 Brown, Matt Comment Type T C The referenced adhoc proportimes a fast retrain is invoke required. SuggestedRemedy Create a new counter norma Definition: "Counts the numb	ny time when the PHY is tra- rring the LPI transmit mode P179 Applied Micro (A Comment Status D osal recommends inclusion ed. By the same logic, a con al_retrain_counter. ber of times a normal re-tra	ansmitting slee (I.e. during qu L9 AMCC) n of counters to unter for normal ain occurs. Th	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling]. # 29 o track the number of al retrains is also e counter is increment	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSE CI 55 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type The rx_lpi_: in Figure 16 NOT_ACTI' SuggestedRem Change "A0	uiet. D ACCEPT. C 55.2.2.10.1 T active primitiv 6 (TRUE or FA VE. nedy	Response Status P18 Applied Comment Status e is inconsistently de ALSE) and defines to	W 5 L 4 d Micro (AMCC) D efined. It says th	5 # 9	
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at ar refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du 5/ 55 SC 55 rown, Matt Comment Type T C The referenced adhoc propo times a fast retrain is invoke required. SuggestedRemedy Create a new counter norma Definition: "Counts the numb each time the SILENT state	ny time when the PHY is tra- uring the LPI transmit mode P179 Applied Micro (A Comment Status D osal recommends inclusion ad. By the same logic, a con al_retrain_counter. ber of times a normal re-tra- in Figure 55-24 is entered	ansmitting slee (I.e. during qu L9 AMCC) n of counters to unter for norma ain occurs. Th . The counter i	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling]. # 29 b track the number of al retrains is also e counter is increment is reset when read or	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSE CI 55 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type The rx_lpi_: in Figure 16 NOT_ACTI' SuggestedRem Change "A0	uiet. D ACCEPT. C 55.2.2.10.1 T active primitiv G (TRUE or FA VE. vedy CTIVE" to "TR OT_ACTIVE"	Response Status P18 Applied Comment Status e is inconsistently de ALSE) and defines to	W 5 L 4 d Micro (AMCC) D efined. It says the possible values	5 # 9	
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at ar refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du 55 SC 55 rown, Matt comment Type T C The referenced adhoc propo times a fast retrain is invoke required. uggestedRemedy Create a new counter norma Definition: "Counts the numb	ny time when the PHY is tra- rring the LPI transmit mode P179 Applied Micro (A Comment Status D osal recommends inclusion ad. By the same logic, a con al_retrain_counter. ber of times a normal re-tra in Figure 55-24 is entered 5-10GBASE-T_TRANSMIT	ansmitting slee (I.e. during qu L9 AMCC) n of counters to unter for norma ain occurs. Th . The counter i	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling]. # 29 b track the number of al retrains is also e counter is increment is reset when read or	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSEI CI 55 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type The rx_lpi in Figure 16 NOT_ACTI' SuggestedRem Change "No Proposed Resp	uiet. D ACCEPT. C 55.2.2.10.1 T active primitiv G (TRUE or FA VE. vedy CTIVE" to "TR OT_ACTIVE"	Response Status P18 Applied Comment Status e is inconsistently de ALSE) and defines to	W 5 L 4 d Micro (AMCC) D efined. It says the possible values	5 # 9	
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at ar refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du Cl 55 SC 55 Brown, Matt Comment Type T C The referenced adhoc proportimes a fast retrain is invoke required. SuggestedRemedy Create a new counter norma Definition: "Counts the numble each time the SILENT state when entering the DISABLE counter is readable in MDIO	ny time when the PHY is tra- rring the LPI transmit mode P179 Applied Micro (A Comment Status D osal recommends inclusion ad. By the same logic, a con al_retrain_counter. ber of times a normal re-tra in Figure 55-24 is entered 5-10GBASE-T_TRANSMIT	ansmitting slee (I.e. during qu L9 AMCC) n of counters to unter for norma ain occurs. Th . The counter i	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling]. # 29 b track the number of al retrains is also e counter is increment is reset when read or	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSEI CI 55 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type The rx_lpi in Figure 16 NOT_ACTI' SuggestedRem Change "No Proposed Resp	viet. oonse D ACCEPT. C 55.2.2.10.1 T active primitiv 6 (TRUE or FA VE. vedy CTIVE" to "TR OT_ACTIVE" oonse	Response Status P18 Applied Comment Status e is inconsistently de ALSE) and defines to	W 5 L 4 d Micro (AMCC) D efined. It says the possible values	5 # 9	
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at ar refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du 5/ 55 SC 55 frown, Matt Comment Type T C The referenced adhoc proportimes a fast retrain is invoke required. SuggestedRemedy Create a new counter norma Definition: "Counts the numble each time the SILENT state when entering the DISABLE counter is readable in MDIO	ny time when the PHY is tra- rring the LPI transmit mode P179 Applied Micro (A Comment Status D osal recommends inclusion ad. By the same logic, a con- al_retrain_counter. ber of times a normal re-tra- in Figure 55-24 is entered =_10GBASE-T_TRANSMIT) register x.x."	ansmitting slee (I.e. during qu L9 AMCC) n of counters to unter for norma ain occurs. Th . The counter i	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling]. # 29 b track the number of al retrains is also e counter is increment is reset when read or	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSEI CI 55 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type The rx_lpi in Figure 16 NOT_ACTI' SuggestedRem Change "No Proposed Resp	viet. oonse D ACCEPT. C 55.2.2.10.1 T active primitiv 6 (TRUE or FA VE. vedy CTIVE" to "TR OT_ACTIVE" oonse	Response Status P18 Applied Comment Status e is inconsistently de ALSE) and defines to	W 5 L 4 d Micro (AMCC) D efined. It says the possible values	5 # 9	
LPI transmit mode (I.e. at ar refresh signaling). tx_lpi_qr_active is TRUE du Cl 55 SC 55 Brown, Matt Comment Type T C The referenced adhoc propo- times a fast retrain is invoke required. SuggestedRemedy Create a new counter norma Definition: "Counts the numt each time the SILENT state when entering the DISABLE counter is readable in MDIO Proposed Response Ref	ny time when the PHY is tra- rring the LPI transmit mode P179 Applied Micro (A Comment Status D osal recommends inclusion ad. By the same logic, a con- al_retrain_counter. ber of times a normal re-tra- in Figure 55-24 is entered =10GBASE-T_TRANSMIT 0 register x.x." esponse Status W	ansmitting slee (I.e. during qu L9 AMCC) n of counters to unter for norma ain occurs. Th . The counter i	ep, alert, wake or quiet- uiet-refresh signaling]. # 29 b track the number of al retrains is also e counter is increment is reset when read or	normally qu Proposed Resp PROPOSEI CI 55 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type The rx_lpi in Figure 16 NOT_ACTI' SuggestedRem Change "No Proposed Resp	viet. oonse D ACCEPT. C 55.2.2.10.1 T active primitiv 6 (TRUE or FA VE. vedy CTIVE" to "TR OT_ACTIVE" oonse	Response Status P18 Applied Comment Status e is inconsistently de ALSE) and defines to	W 5 L 4 d Micro (AMCC) D efined. It says the possible values	5 # 9	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 55 SC 55.2.2.10.1

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 P189 L 40 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Applied Micro (AMC	# 11	<i>Cl</i> 55 Brown, Ma	SC 55.3.2.2.2		90 ed Micro (A	L 4 AMCC)	# 12
Comment Type T Comment Status D		Comment	Туре т	Comment Status	D		
The lpi_tx_mode is ignored specifically when the is not in the PCS_E control state diagram (Figure 55.24).	Data state in the PHY		efresh ends when	any non-LI block is	detected.	There is no lo	nger block error
SuggestedRemedy Change "During PMA training the lpi_tx_mode variable is ignored." to "During PMA training (PHY is not in PCS_Data state) the lpi_tx_mod Proposed Response Response Status W	le variable is ignored."	To:	e: uiet-refresh cycle uiet-refresh cycle	is repeated until IDI is repeated until LP			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "When the PHY is not in the PCS_Data state the lpi_tx_mode variab	le is ignored."	occurs	PHY will also trans	ition back to the nor ion is defined as the XGMII."			
		sendin IDLE 6 To: "After sendin IDLE 6 Delete	the alert signal the g a wake signal w 34B/65B blocks if the alert signal the g a wake signal w 34B/65B blocks."	which is composed o an error condition has PCS completes the	of lpi_wake as not been e transition of lpi_wake	_time LDPC fr n detected." n from LPI mod _time LDPC fr	de to normal mode by ames composed of de to normal mode by rames composed of B/65B
				on has been detecte			
		Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status	W		
		C/ 55 Brown, Ma	SC 55.3.2.2.9 tt	P 1 Applie	88 ed Micro (A	L 18 AMCC)	# 10
		<i>Comment</i> For co		Comment Status /LI/ name to match		Clause 49 (pag	ge 160, line 9).
			e "lp_idle" to "LPI	". ıse 49 "LPI" to "lp_io	dle".		
			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
		Propos	se we make the cl	nange in 49.			
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required	T/technical F/editorial C/	·		J			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalCl 55Page 19 of 30COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC 55.3.2.2.91/22/2010 9:48:44 PMSORT ORDER:Clause, Subclause, page, lineSC 55.3.2.2.91/22/2010 9:48:44 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.9a P189 L 13 # 100 Thaler, Pat Broadcom	C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.3 P 193 L 27 # 30 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC)
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Most of the clean-up of terminology for LPI and EEE has been done, but there are still a few cases where the EEE capability is referred to as low power idle.	Comment Type T Comment Status D clarify "long training sequence" SuggestedRemedy
in the state machine definitions of clause 55, "When the low power idle function is <not> supported," appears a number of times including in 55.3.5.2.4 Functions where ther is no low power idle function. These should all refer to EEE which is the name of the optional capability.</not>	Replace "long training sequence" with "training sequence without periodic re-initialization".Proposed ResponseResponse StatusWPROPOSED ACCEPT.
<i>uggestedRemedy</i> If low power idle is not supported should be "If EEE is not supported". "the low power idle function" shoudl be "EEE"	C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.3 P194 L 20 # 16 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Applied Micro (AMCC
Check for any other instances of supported being applied to low power idle or LPI and correct. LPI is the signal and LPI mode is the state where that signal is used. EEE is the	Comment Type T Comment Status D Use of timer state in global boolean expression is a bit messy since it's state is ambiguous until started the first time. D
optional capability. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Also make changes on page 179, 195, 196, 206.	SuggestedRemedy Create variable "tx_lpi_alert_active". In figure 55-16b in TX_NORMAL and SEND_WAKE add line "tx_lpi_alert_active=FALSE"
Also make changes on page 179, 195, 196, 206. / 55 SC 55.3.2.3 P 190 L 38 # 13 rown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC)	in SEND_ALERT add line "tx_lpi_alert_active=TRUE" Create variable definition "tx_lpi_alert_active A boolean variable that is set true when the PHY is transmitting ALERT signaling. Set false otherwise."
omment Type E Comment Status D Change "PCS_Status=OK is asserted" to "PCS_Status is set to OK".	On page 194 line 40 and 53 replace "!tx_lpi_qr_active*!lpi_tx_alert_time_done" with "tx_lpi_alert_active".
Note that PCS_Status primitive uses OK and NOT_OKAY. The pcs_status variable in the PHY control state diagram (Figure 55-24 in 802.3-2008). However, the pcs_status variable definition (section 55.3.6.1 in 802.3-2008) specifies values TRUE and FALSE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
uggestedRemedy	Cl 55 SC 55.3.4a.3 P194 L 20 # 14
Change "PCS_Status=OK is asserted" to "PCS_Status is set to OK". Change instance on Page 191, line 6, as well.	Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	rx_lpi_req variable no longer used SuggestedRemedy
	Remove definition for rx_lpi_req. <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.3

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.3 P 194 L 20 # 15 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) 4 15 15	C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.3 P195 L 23 # 18 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) 4 18 18 18
Comment Type T Comment Status D tx_lpi_error variable no longer used SuggestedRemedy Remove definition for tx_lpi_error. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.3 P 194 L 20 # 17 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC)	Comment Type T Comment Status D Timer values for LPI states must be precise number of symbols in length. Often timers have some tolerance. SuggestedRemedy Line 23 Change "equal to 9 LDPC frame periods" to "equal to exactly 9 LDPC frames" Line 27 Change "equal to 4 LDPC frame periods" to "equal to exactly 4 LDPC frames" Lines 31 and 36 Change "equal to lpi_wake_time LDPC frame periods" to "equal to exactly lpi_wake_time
Comment Type T Comment Status X Use of timer state in global boolean expression is a bit messy since it's state is ambiguous until started the first time. X	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.
SuggestedRemedy Create variable "tx_lpi_alert_active". In figure 55-16b in TX_NORMAL and SEND_WAKE add line "tx_lpi_alert_active=FALSE" in SEND_ALERT add line "tx_lpi_alert_active=TRUE" Create variable definition "tx_lpi_alert_active A boolean variable that is set true when the PHY is transmitting ALERT signaling. Set false otherwise." On page 194 line 40 and 53 replace "!tx_lpi_gr_active*!!pi_tx_alert_time_done" with	Stating that the timer period equals a value implies exactly equals; no tolerance is specified. Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.2.3 P 195 L 33 # 19 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type E Comment Status D Grammar SuggestedRemedy Change "recever send IDLE" to "receiver sends IDLE".
"tx_lpi_alert_active". Proposed Response Response Status W Same as #16?	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.3

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 55 SC 55.3.5.2.5 P 197 L 23 # 20 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) # 20	C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 198 L 4 # 21 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) 4 <td< td=""></td<>				
Comment Type T Comment Status D Error counter is readible via MDIO register 3.22 specified in sub-clause 45.2.3.9b EEE wake error counter	Comment Type T Comment Status D Figure 55-14. Use of timer state in global boolean expression is messy. Consider replacing reference to timer state with new variable rx_lpi_wake.				
SuggestedRemedy Add text "The value is held at all ones in the case of overflow. The current value of lpi_rxw_err_cnt is available in MDIO register 3.22 specified in sub-clause 45.2.3.9b. The counter is reset to zero when read."	SuggestedRemedy Create variable "rx_lpi_wake". In figure 55-16a in RX_INIT, RX_WE, and RX_C add line "rx_lpi_wake=FALSE"				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add text 'The counter is reflected in register 3.22(see 45.2.3.9b)'. This text is identical to that used in Clause 36. Specifying the reset/saturation functionality here would be	in RX_W add line "rx_lpi_wake=TRUE" Create variable definition "rx_lpi_wake A boolean variable that is set true when the PHY Rx is in the WAKE state and sending IDLE to the XGMII. Set false otherwise." Delete note in Figure 55-14.				
redundant. C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 197 L 32 # 22 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) # 22	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
Comment Type T Comment Status D reference to TX_L should be RX_L					
SuggestedRemedy Replace TX_L with RX_L.					
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4	P199 L18 Broadcom	# 59	C/ 55 Brown Mot	SC 55.3.5.4	P200	L13	# 112
Grimwood, Michael	ыбайсот		Brown, Mat	ll	Applied Micro	(AIVICC)	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D ASE-T lpi_req during training [Tag: 10GBASE-T lpi_req during training]				<i>Type</i> TR 55-15a. mal retrain occu	Comment Status D rs while a PHY transmitter is	in I PI mode the	LATE
	If LPI is signaled while the PHY is training, during the PCS_Test state, the local PHY may transition to QUIET before the Link Partner PHY is ready.				EPI mode (TX_L state) in the		
	,		Suggested	,			
The PHY Control and Transmit PCS the local PHY is training and, if it is, it		odified to check whether			o cause transition to TX_INIT gure 55-24) occurs.	when normal re	etrain (exit from
SuggestedRemedy			Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
At the end of section 55.4.5.1, introdu	uce a new variable, loc_lpi_en	l.	PROPO	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
In the Fig 55-24 PHY Control state distance of the state $\mbox{Control}$ state $\mbox{PCS}_{\mbox{Test}}$ and is set to TRUE \mbox{test}		LSE upon entry into			ing tx_mode != SEND_N as a rain attempt resets the state		he TX_L state. This
In the Transmit PCS state diagram, in	nhibit transitions to LPI (TX_L)) when loc_lpi_en is	Add tx_	_mode != SEND	_N as a transition condition in	nto TX_L.	
FALSE.			C/ 55	SC 55.3.5.4	P 201	L 5	# <u>7</u> 8
Document the communications betwee	een the PHY Control block an	d the Transmit PCS	Parnaby, G	avin	Solarflare Cor	nmunicat	
block by updating the functional and primitive associated with the variable		ing the PMA service	Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status D		rx_lpi_active init
A presentation will be submitted for r Orleans detailing the specific change		erim meeting in New	rx_lpi_a RX_INI		in the 64B/65B state diagram	until RX_L. It sh	nould be reset in
Proposed Response Response	•		tx_lpi_r	req is not set in t	he 64B/65B state diagram ur	ntil TX_L. It shou	Id be reset in TX_INIT.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL			Suggested	Remedy			
			Add rx	_lpi_active <= fa	Ise to the RX_INIT state.		
Review detailed changes in the task	force.		Add tx	lpi reg <= false	to the TX_INIT state.		
			Proposed F		Response Status W		
			i ioposeu i	10000100	Nesponse Status W		

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4

Proposed responses	IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energ	y Efficient Ethernet comments Jan 201
Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 201 L Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Applied Micro (AMCC)	5 # 23 C)	CI 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 202 L 6 # 27 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) # 27
Comment Type T Comment Status D In Figure 55-16 and Figure 55-16a, the variable rx_lpi_activ	<i>rx_lpi_active init</i> we is never initialized to FALSE.	Comment Type T Comment Status D Figure 55-16a. Note in upper right is incorrect. The entire diagram is required for EEE.
SuggestedRemedy In Figure 55-16, RX_INIT state add line "rx_Ipi_active=FAL	SE".	SuggestedRemedy Remove note or change to "The portion of the state machine is this figure is required for EEE capability."
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
	10 # 113	Use "It is required to implement the portion of the state machine in this figure for PHYs with the EEE capability."
Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC Comment Type TR Comment Status D Figure 55-15a. If a normal retrain occurs while a PHY receiver is in LPI mo	LATE	CI 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 203 L 7 # 24 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Applied Micro (AMCC) Environment Type TR Comment Status D D Environment Type TR Comment Status D Environment Type Tr Envit Type Tr Environment
SuggestedRemedy Provide a mechansim to cause transition to RX_INIT state PCS_DATA state in Figure 55-24) occurs. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	,	SuggestedRemedy Change transition criteria from TX_NORMAL to SEND_SLEEP to "tx_lpi_req*ldpc_frame_done". (Similar to transitions to SEND_ALERT state.) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
The editor proposes using tx_mode != SEND_N as a transi guarantees that any retrain attempt resets the state machin Add tx_mode != SEND_N as a transition condition into RX_	le.	C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 203 L 7 # 25 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) # 25
Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 202 L Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D	26 # 28 C)	Comment Type T Comment Status D Note in upper right corner of Figure 55-16b is not required. SuggestedRemedy
Figure 55-16a. RX_WE is a zero time state. SuggestedRemedy Add note the figure that RX_WE is a zero-time state.		Remove note. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.		
This doesn't seem neccesary. I can't see it being done any	where else.	

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4

		1.40	// 00		Deed	1.40	
C/ 55 SC 55.4.2 Brown, Matt	2.2 P 205 Applied Micro	L 10 (AMCC)	# 26	C/ 74 SC 74.5.1 Brown, Matt	P 231 Applied Micr	L 19 o (AMCC)	# 31
Comment Type T	Comment Status D	(******)		Comment Type E	Comment Status D	- (
51	pecifies the timing requirements,	the requiremen	t for slave loop timing	,,	names. Inconsistent with oth	ner instances.	
should be moved he	ere from Section 55.3.4a.1 (page	e 191, line 51) or	re-stated here.	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Change:			
	4a.1. "An EEE capable PHY shal the slave PHY." Maybe stateme			FEC_TXQUIET to FE FEC_RXQUIET to FE FEC_LPIACTIVE to F	C_RX_QUIET		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Meles similar shares			
PROPOSED ACCE				•	through sections where nece	essary.	
Add line from 55.3.4 shall be enabled on	4a.1. "An EEE capable PHY shal uthe slave PHY "	ll support loop tir	ming and loop timing	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W		
					1.		
C/ 72 SC 72 Pillai, Velu	P 224 Broadcom	L	# 93	C/ 74 SC 74.5.1	P 231	L 32	# 32
·				Brown, Matt	Applied Micr	o (AMCC)	
Comment Type TR None of the change	Comment Status D es listed in Pillai_1109_01.pdf got	t added/modified	l into CL72.	Comment Type T rx_lpi_active is not se	Comment Status D ant to lower layers		
SuggestedRemedy					•		
				SuggestedRemedv			
				SuggestedRemedy Change			
	Response Status W			Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar	nd rx_lpi_active to control"		
	,			Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar to			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.	raft		Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar to "rx_quiet and tx_quie	t to control".		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE Put changes from P	PT IN PRINCIPLE.	raft		Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar to	t to control". Response Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE Put changes from P C/ 74 SC 74	PT IN PRINCIPLE. Pillai_1109_01.pdf into the next d P 230	raft L	# 85	Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar to "rx_quiet and tx_quie Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	t to control". <i>Response Status</i> W T.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE Put changes from P C/ 74 SC 74 Villai, Velu	PT IN PRINCIPLE. Pillai_1109_01.pdf into the next d P 230 Broadcom	raft L	# 85	Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar to "rx_quiet and tx_quie Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP CI 74 SC 74.5.1.4	t to control". <i>Response Status</i> W T. <i>P</i> 231	L43	# 33
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE Put changes from P Cl 74 SC 74 Pillai, Velu Comment Type TR	PT IN PRINCIPLE. Pillai_1109_01.pdf into the next d P230 Broadcom Comment Status D	L		Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet arr to "rx_quiet and tx_quiet Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP CI 74 SC 74.5.1.4 Brown, Matt	t to control". <i>Response Status</i> W T. <i>P</i> 231 Applied Micr	-	# [33
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE Put changes from P Cl 74 SC 74 Pillai, Velu Comment Type TR Editor forgot to char	PT IN PRINCIPLE. Pillai_1109_01.pdf into the next d P 230 Broadcom	L		Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet arr to "rx_quiet and tx_quiet Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP Cl 74 SC 74.5.1.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T	t to control". <i>Response Status</i> W T. <i>P</i> 231 Applied Micr <i>Comment Status</i> D	o (AMCC)	# [33
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE Put changes from P Cl 74 SC 74 Pillai, Velu Comment Type TR Editor forgot to char SuggestedRemedy	PT IN PRINCIPLE. Pillai_1109_01.pdf into the next d P230 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D nge the tx_quiet and rx_quiet to t	L tx_mode and rx_		Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar to "rx_quiet and tx_quie Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP CI 74 SC 74.5.1.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T energy_detect is not a	t to control". <i>Response Status</i> W T. <i>P</i> 231 Applied Micr	o (AMCC)	# 33
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE Put changes from P C/ 74 SC 74 Villai, Velu Comment Type TR Editor forgot to chan SuggestedRemedy Refer to Pillai_1109	PT IN PRINCIPLE. Pillai_1109_01.pdf into the next d P230 Broadcom Comment Status D	L tx_mode and rx_		Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar to "rx_quiet and tx_quie Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP CI 74 SC 74.5.1.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T energy_detect is not a SuggestedRemedy	t to control". <i>Response Status</i> W T. <i>P</i> 231 Applied Micr <i>Comment Status</i> D	o (AMCC)	# <u>33</u>
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE Put changes from P Cl 74 SC 74 Pillai, Velu Comment Type TR Editor forgot to chan SuggestedRemedy Refer to Pillai_1109 Proposed Response	PT IN PRINCIPLE. Pillai_1109_01.pdf into the next d P230 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D nge the tx_quiet and rx_quiet to t 0_01.pdf and modify appropriately <i>Response Status</i> W	L tx_mode and rx_		Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar to "rx_quiet and tx_quie Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP CI 74 SC 74.5.1.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T energy_detect is not a SuggestedRemedy Redefine as follows:	t to control". <i>Response Status</i> W T. <i>P</i> 231 Applied Micr <i>Comment Status</i> D a boolean variable is has valu	o (AMCC)	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE Put changes from P Cl 74 SC 74 Pillai, Velu Comment Type TR Editor forgot to chan SuggestedRemedy Refer to Pillai_1109	PT IN PRINCIPLE. Pillai_1109_01.pdf into the next d P230 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D nge the tx_quiet and rx_quiet to t 0_01.pdf and modify appropriately <i>Response Status</i> W	L tx_mode and rx_		Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar to "rx_quiet and tx_quie Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP CI 74 SC 74.5.1.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T energy_detect is not a SuggestedRemedy Redefine as follows: "The energy_detect p PMA_SIGNAL.indicat	t to control". Response Status W T. P231 Applied Micr Comment Status D a boolean variable is has valu arameter takes on one of two ion(SIGNAL_OK). A value of	o (AMCC) es OK and FAIL values OK or FA OK indicates that	IL as indicated by the PMD detects a
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE Put changes from P Cl 74 SC 74 Pillai, Velu Comment Type TR Editor forgot to chan SuggestedRemedy Refer to Pillai_1109 Proposed Response	PT IN PRINCIPLE. Pillai_1109_01.pdf into the next d P230 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D nge the tx_quiet and rx_quiet to t 0_01.pdf and modify appropriately <i>Response Status</i> W	L tx_mode and rx_		Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar to "rx_quiet and tx_quiet Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP CI 74 SC 74.5.1.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T energy_detect is not a SuggestedRemedy Redefine as follows: "The energy_detect p PMA_SIGNAL.indicat signal. A value of FAI	t to control". Response Status W T. P231 Applied Micr Comment Status D a boolean variable is has valu arameter takes on one of two ion(SIGNAL_OK). A value of L indicates that the PMD doe	o (AMCC) es OK and FAIL values OK or FA OK indicates that s not detect a sign	IL as indicated by the PMD detects a nal. A value of OK doe
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE Put changes from P Cl 74 SC 74 Pillai, Velu Comment Type TR Editor forgot to chan SuggestedRemedy Refer to Pillai_1109 Proposed Response	PT IN PRINCIPLE. Pillai_1109_01.pdf into the next d P230 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D nge the tx_quiet and rx_quiet to t 0_01.pdf and modify appropriately <i>Response Status</i> W	L tx_mode and rx_		Change "rx_quiet", tx_quiet ar to "rx_quiet and tx_quiet Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP CI 74 SC 74.5.1.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T energy_detect is not a SuggestedRemedy Redefine as follows: "The energy_detect p PMA_SIGNAL.indicat signal. A value of FAI	t to control". Response Status W T. P231 Applied Micr Comment Status D a boolean variable is has valu arameter takes on one of two ion(SIGNAL_OK). A value of	o (AMCC) es OK and FAIL values OK or FA OK indicates that s not detect a sign	IL as indicated by the PMD detects a nal. A value of OK doe

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	CL 74	Page 25 of 30
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	0/ 14	0
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	SC 74.5.1.4	1/22/2010 9:48:44 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 74 SC 74.5.1.5 P 232 L 10 # 34 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Image: Compare the second	CI 74 SC 74.5.1.6 P 232 L 27 # 36 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) # 36				
Comment Type E Comment Status D Re-word.	Comment Type E Comment Status D RE-word.				
SuggestedRemedy Change definition to: The rx_lpi_active parameter is a boolean variable sent from the PCS that is set to TRUE when LPI mode is active at the receiver and set to FALSE otherwise. Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy Change: "The rx_quiet parameter can take on one of two values: TRUE or FALSE. A boolean variable sent from the PCS" To:				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	"The rx_quiet parameter is a boolean variable sent from the PCS"				
CI 74 SC 74.5.1.5.2 P232 L 19 # 35	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Comment Type T Comment Status D Effect of rx_lpi_active is to enable use of fast block lock. SuggestedRemedy	According to Pillai_1109_01.pdf and resolution for comment #85 rx_quiet becomes rx_mode. Hence the description changes to: "the rx_mode parameter is a variable sent from the PCS. It is set to QUIET while the receiver is in the RX_QUIET state and is set to DATA otherwise"				
Change definition to: When rx_lpi_active is TRUE, fast block lock as specified in 74.5.1.8 will be used to quickly determine the FEC start of frame during EEE REFRESH or WAKE. When rx_lpi_active is FALSE, fast block lock will not be used.	C/ 74 SC 74.5.1.6.2 P 232 L 38 # 37 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Applied Micro (AMCC)				
Proposed Response Response Status W	Comment Type T Comment Status D rx_quiet effect of receipt looks like PCS definition. Specify FEC behavior.				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Change definition to: When rx_quiet is TRUE the FEC decoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_quiet is FALSE the FEC decoder logic operate normally. The value rx_quiet is passed to the client layer through PMA_RX_QUIET(rx_quiet).request.				
	Proposed Response Response Status W				
	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
	Chaning the suggested remedy to accommodate rx_mode instead of rx_quiet				
	When rx_mode is QUIET the FEC decoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When rx_mode is DATA the FEC decoder logic operate normally. The value rx_mode is passed to the client layer through PMA_RX_MODE(rx_mode).request.				

C/ 74 SC 74.5.1.6.2

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

1/22/2010 9:48:44 PM

C/ 74 SC 74.5.1.7 P 232 L 27 # 38 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) 4 38 1	C/ 74 SC 74.5.1.8 P 233 L 22 # 41 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Image: Comparison of the second secon
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type T Comment Status D
RE-word.	The note is talking both about transmit injection and receiver lock detection. The note is out of place here and should be in the PCS Tx section (Clause 49).
SuggestedRemedy Change:	SuggestedRemedy
"The tx_quiet parameter can take on one of two values: TRUE or FALSE. A boolean variable sent from the PCS"	Delete first line or move it to sub-clause 49.2.6. Delete 2nd line and move it to previous paragraph.
To:	Proposed Response Response Status W
"The tx_quiet parameter is a boolean variable sent from the PCS"	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	First line is already mentioned in sub-clause 49.2.6 in different words. It is up to the Clause 49 editor to change the suggested remedy.
According to Pillai_1109_01.pdf and resolution for comment #85, tx_quiet becomes tx_mode. Hence the description changes to:	2nd line is covered in comment #40.
"the tx_mode parameter is a variable sent from the PCS. It is set to QUIET while the transmitter is in the TX_QUIET state, it is	CI 74 SC 74.5.1.8 P 233 L 35 # 42 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) Applied Micro (AMCC) <t< td=""></t<>
set to ALERT while the transmitter is in the TX_ALERT state and is set to DATA otherwise."	Comment Type T Comment Status D
C/ 74 SC 74.5.1.7.2 P 233 L 3 # 39 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) <	incorrect reference to FEC_SIGNAL.indication also incorrect capitalization
Comment Type T Comment Status X	SuggestedRemedy
rx_quiet effect of receipt looks like PCS definition. Specify FEC behavior.	Change: "FEC_SIGNAL.indication(RX_LPI_ACTIVE)" to "FEC_LPI_ACTIVE.request(rx_lpi_active)"
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W
Change definition to:	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
When tx_quiet is TRUE the FEC encode logic may deactivate functional blocks to conserve energy. When tx_quiet is FALSE the FEC decoder logic operate normally. The value	
rx_quiet is passed to the client layer through PMA_TX_QUIET(tx_quiet).request.	C/ 74 SC 74.5.1.8 P 233 L 35 # 43 Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC) 43
Proposed Response Response Status W	
Chaning the suggested remedy to accommodate tx_mode instead of tx_quiet	Comment Type T Comment Status D incorrect reference to FEC_SIGNAL.indication
When tx_mode is QUIET the FEC encoder logic may deactivate functional blocks to	also incorrect capitalization
conserve energy. When tx_mode is DATA the FEC encoder logic operate normally. The value tx_mode is passed to the client layer	SuggestedRemedy
through PMA_TX_MODE(tx_mode).request.	Change: "FEC_SIGNAL.indication(RX_LPI_ACTIVE)" to "FEC_LPI_ACTIVE.request(rx_lpi_active)"
	Proposed Response Response Status W
	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	This is a duplicate comment. This issue is already covered through comment #43, filed by the same commenter.
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/g	
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/w SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	SC 74.5.1.8 1/22/2010 9:48:44

C/ 74 SC 74.5.1.8 P233 L8 # 40	CI 78 SC 78.1.1.2.1 P237 L8 # 60
Brown, Matt Applied Micro (AMCC)	Grimwood, Michael Broadcom
Comment TypeTComment StatusDSLIP is an action, moving the candidate start of block location.Also, pull the 2nd sentence of the following paragraph into this paragraph.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Indicate that LPI requests are undefined when the PHY is indicating Local Fault or Remote Fault.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change paragraph to: When rx_lpi_active is TRUE, FEC Rapid block lock mechanism will attempt to determine the FEC start of block location based on the deterministic pattern. When the rapid block	The effect of receipt of this primitive is undefined if link_status is not OK (see 28.2.6.1.1) or if LPI_REQUEST=ASSERT within 1 second of the change of link_status to OK.
lock is locked, the determined start of block location is used as the FEC lock state diagram candidate start of block location until the rapid block lock lock lock lock. Assuming the rapid	То:
block lock determined the correct start of block location, the FEC lock state diagram will achieve lock without requiring subsequent slips. The rapid lock algorithm is implementation dependent and outside the scope of this standard.	The effect of receipt of this primitive is undefined if link_status is not OK (see 28.2.6.1.1), or if LPI_REQUEST=ASSERT within 1 second of the change of link_status to OK, the PHY is indicating Local Fault, or the PHY is indicating Remote Fault.
Delete second sentence of paragraph on line 22.	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	CI 78 SC 78.4 P230 L30 # 109
When rx_lpi_active is TRUE and rx_mode is set to DATA, FEC Rapid block lock	Diab, Wael Broadcom
mechanism will attempt to determine the FEC start of block location based on the	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
deterministic pattern. When the rapid block lock is locked, the determined start of block location is used as the FEC lock state diagram candidate start of block location until the rapid block lock loses lock. Assuming the rapid block lock determined the correct start of block location, the FEC lock state diagram will achieve lock without requiring subsequent slips. The rapid lock algorithm is implementation dependent and outside the scope of this standard.	Comment #110 on D2.1 requested a change from Tw_sys to Tw_sys_tx to update the L2 nomenclature to the one adopted by the wake-shrinkage ad-hoc. Nevertheless, the scope of replacing Tw_sys with Tw_sys_tx was limited to only 78.4, leaving other dependent area on L2 with the incorrect older nomenclature. For L2 purposes the scope ought to be more than 78.4, specifically for 79 and to check if C30 or C30 annexes need updating.
Delete second sentence of paragraph on line 22.	SuggestedRemedy
C/ 74 SC 74.7.4.8 P L # 102 Thaler, Pat Broadcom	For the purposes of Layer 2, update the entire draft to match the nomenclature change done in comment #110 on D2.1. Specifically, change Tw_sys to Tw_sys_tx for the Layer 2 negotiated paramaeter references in C78.4, C79 and C30, where applicable and wherever else applicable
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

The response to 384 on the first Working Group ballot has not been fully implemented. FEC does not have "frames", it has blocks

SuggestedRemedy

All instances of "frame" in Claause 74 should be replaced with "block".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Change "frame" to "block" at the following locations:

Page 233, line 11, 15 and 19.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 78 SC 78.4 Page 28 of 30 1/22/2010 9:48:44 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.2 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 78 SC 78.4 P 230 L 30 # 111 Diab, Wael Broadcom	C/ 78 SC 78.4 P 230 L 30 # 110 Diab, Wael Broadcom
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment #111 on D2.1 requested a change so that the negotiated Tw_sys_tx parameter should be rounded up to the nearest integer usec to fit within the byte length fields available. This was necessary since there were no decimal points when we first introduced the parameters , however, the wake shrinkage adhic settled on numbers that had fractional ammounts which would eat up the length of the TLVs. The issue with the adopted resolution is that it was specific to a sentence in that section. All negotiated and exchanged parameters in Layer 2 do not have fractional values and that should be clearly stated throughout any references to negotiated Tw_sys_tx.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Part of the adopted resolution to comment #110 on D2.1, a change in the assignment in the init state to be LOCAL INITIAL TX VALUE and LOCAL INITIAL RX VALUE. This inadvertantly had the opposite effect of what we were trying to do as it leaves the start values to the system instead of the times defined by table 78-4 SuggestedRemedy There are two ways that could resolve this. Either: (a) Rather than change assignments in init state, change Tw_phy to Tw_sys_tx in 78.4.2.2 PHY WAKE VALUE and 79 where it occurs. I believe this occurs in 3 places total (2 in 79
SuggestedRemedy For the purposes of Layer 2, all values need to be rounded to the nearest usec (i.e. not just	and 1 in 78.4.2.2).
for initialization params). Statements can be inserted in C78.4, C79 and C30 where applicable and wherever else applicable Proposed Response Response Status W	(b) initializing everything to PHY WAKE VALUE The second proposal maybe simpler as it reduces two constants in the draft. Nevertheless, I included both for discussion in case there was something missed
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement option (b) in the suggested remedy

CI 78 SC 78.4

CI 78	SC 78.5	P 251	L 26	# 61
Grimwood, N	lichael	Broadcom		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

[Tag: 10GBASE-T lpi_req during training]

If the 10GBASE-T PHY receives an LPI request while it is in PCS_TEST, it should defer acting upon this request until PCS_TEST is complete (A separate comment with the above tag proposes the mechanism by which the PHY ignores LPI requests while in the PCS_TEST state). With this mechanism, the LPI requestor may not know precisely when the PHY acted upon the LPI request and therefore there may be ambiguity with respect to whether or not the CASE-1 wake time may be used.

To avoid this ambiguity, state that the CASE-1 wake time only applies if the PHY has not indicated Local Fault for at least 10 msec. This time period allows enough time for PCS_TEST to complete.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

Case-1 of the 10GBASE-T PHY applies when the PHY is requested to transmit the Wake signal before transmission of the Sleep signal to the Link Partner is complete.

To:

Case-1 of the 10GBASE-T PHY applies when the PHY is requested to transmit the Wake signal before transmission of the Sleep signal to the Link Partner is complete and if the PHY has not indicated Local Fault at any time during the previous 10 ms.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 78 SC 78.5