CI 00	SC			Р	L	# 334	C/ 00	SC	0	Р	L	# 403	
Hamano,	Hiroshi			Fujitsu Labs	s. Ltd.		Thaler, Pa	t		Broadcom			
Comment	t Type	Е	Comment S	Status A		doc-structure	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		terminology	
been be mi disap suppo suppo	The document structure introducing the EEE texts into the old ones must have already been fully discussed in the TF. But I still have a little concern that the current old texts will be mixed up and become confusing for the readers, when the editorial underlines finally disappear and conditional statements appear everywhere; if the optional EEE function is supported, if the optional low power idle function is implemented, and when the PHY supports EEE					the current old texts will prial underlines finally tional EEE function is	Terminology consistancy, the draft varies between calling the functionality. Energy Effi Ethernet (in some cases only Energy is capitalized), EEE, some varient of Low Power (such as low power idle signaling in Clause 22), and LPI. It also varies between "with capability", "supported", "compliant" and "implemented" referring to the option's presence. Often these are used where it should "enabled" because EEE capability is something that can be disabled for backwards						
00		•	802.3 with new 0	Clause numb	ers may possibl [,]	be allocated to the	•	,		ces that don't support it.			
						ly keep the current	Suggested		•				
	ription									cross clauses in referring to the			
Response			Response S	tatus C			the capability. My preference is to use "EEE" as the name for the capability and leave LPI as the name for a signal that is used by that capability.						
ACCE	EPT IN	PRINCIF	PLE.						0				
Comr	ment su	bmitted o	on Clause 99 - cl	nanged by e	ditor to Clause 0	0	Review all statments that describe new behavior such as sending of LPI and ensure that they apply only when the capability is enabled. I've tried to catch these and put in specil comments but I may not get them all. 49.2.4.4 contains a good example of what should					ese and put in specific	
			different approa	ch and not t	he suggested re	nedy				ported" should be "enabled."			
See r	espons	e to com	ment #410				Response			Response Status C			
							ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIP	LE.			
							In gen Etherr		se EEE c	apability when referring to the	e ability to suppo	ort Energy Efficient	
							Use Ll	PI mod	e when b	eing in the low power state.			

CI 00 SC 0

Responses	on	D2.0
-----------	----	------

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

C/ 00 SC 0	P L	# 2	C/ 00	SC 0		Р	L	# 173
Anslow, Pete	Nortel Networks		Frazier, Ho	ward		Broadcom C	Corporation	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A	editing instruction	Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment	Status A		editing instructior
For "change ["] strike and adding of new For "delete" and "in	tisting clauses, the change instructions are: ch through and underscore are used to indicate material respectively. Isert" normal font is used.		Strikethru and underscore are used inconsistently throughout the draft, which makes it more difficult to review. Some editors have used underscore for all new material (see Clause 25) and others have used it only when adding material to an existing subclause (see Clause 36).					
Throughout the dra	ift, this convention is not followed.		Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy		Consis	tent usage c	of strikethru and u	nderscore wou	uld be appreciate	ed.	
The following are e be fixed.	xample corrections. Therec are many, many	Response		Response	Status C			
	nderscore from text added with insert (2 place	s)	ACCEF	PT.				
Page 16 show the a Page 24 show the a	added text (change) in the clause 14 title with added text (change) in the 14.10 title with an u	an underscore	See response to comment #2					
	changes to LS4 (change) on line 15 should not be underlined	C/ 00	SC 0		Р	L	# 113	
	nderscore from text added with insert in 24.1.1	1	D'Ambrosia	a, John		Force10 Net	tworks	
	underscore from text added with insert in 74.5		Comment 1	Гуре Е	Comment	Status R		terminolog
Response	strikeout text from 74.5.4.1 which has been ac Response Status W	aded with an (insert)	The "xMII" notation does not cover XGMII and is inconsistent with other places in the draft where "xxMII" is used					
ACCEPT.			Suggested	Remedy				
CI 00 SC 0	P L	# 12	change	e "xMII" to ">	xxMII"			
Anslow, Pete	Nortel Networks		Response		Response	Status C		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A	notation	REJEC	ЭΤ.				
followed by "s"	ith the base standard "usec" should be shown aces in the draft and also in Table 78-2 where	ů.	The "x"	' in "xMII" do	oes not have a ler	gth in charact	ers	
also be mu followed								
SuggestedRemedy	-							
change "usec" to th	ne greek letter mu followed by "s" in 8 places i d by sec sto mu followed by s in Table 78-2	n the draft						
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEDT								

ACCEPT.

C/ 00 SC 0

C/00 SC 0	Р	L	# 467	CI 00	SC O	Р	L	# 410			
im, Yong	Broadcom			Thaler, Pat		Broadcom					
Agree with H. Fr compliant pre-80 802.3 PHY. I se 802.3-2008 PHY Also my assump 1) PHY behavior 2) PHY with .3az without the bene in dealing with th <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Also agree with t to create normat cleanest method preserving existi equivalent).	without .3az option must not char coption connected to a legacy PH' fits of .3az), is issue. hat H. Frazier's proposal presente ive annex to reflect 802.3az chang to both 1) minimize delays, 2) cle ng PHY conformance. Please ac al comments (TRs) would clearly so nment. <i>Response Status</i> W NCIPLE.	served and clear a 802.3az text is i urrent compliant l nge, Y, they must inte ed during telecon ges into existing l arly reflect 802.3 dopt this approac	ly referenceable as valid ntegrated into exisitng PHY as non-compliant. roperate (presumably ference on this subject PHY clauses to be the az PHY while th (or suitable	 The way that EEE operation has been added to the base clauses for PHYs other that 10BASE-T produces a risk that existing non-EEE PHYs and Reconcilliation sublaye be made non-compliant. The requirements have also been added in a way that will r EEE PHYs incompatible with currently compliant non-EEE devices. My comments of 22.2.2.4 and 22.2.2.7 are examples of where that has happened. The addition of EEE to IEEE 802.3 should not make existing IEEE 802.3 compliant on non-compliant. EEE devices should be able to work with non-EEE devices at the xN MDI interfaces. It should be optional to support and any new requirements and behas should only apply to devices that support EEE/LPI operation. Any behaviors at the x MDI that are outside what is specified for non-EEE devices should only apply when operation is enabled so that EEE devices interoperate properly with non-EEE devices <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> The safest way to do this would be to create separate clauses for behavior when EE enabled similar to the creation of annex 4A for full-duplex, though that would greatly increase the size of the document. The alternative is to carefully use the same type formula any time you change a requirement for EEE. That is, the old requirement new requirement by "When EEE operation is enabled," and the new requirement by "When EEE operation is enabled," and the new requirement by "When EEE operation is enabled," and the new requirement by "When EEE operation is enabled," and the new requirement by "When EEE operation is enabled,". 							
				enable it		link partner's Reconcilliation s					
				Response		Response Status C					
				ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	LE.					
				Carefully EEE ope		nction between requirements/v	variables/timers	that are required for			
					ables/timers ically into exi	may be kept as a separate list sting lists.	instead of bein	g integrated			
						ear that when EEE is not in use etc) the behavior of the PHY s					
					should also b ounters/timer	be clear that non-EEE capable	PHYs need not	t implement the EEE			

CI 00 SC 0

	Р	1	# 044		Р	1	# 400
C/ 00 SC 0 Grow, Robert	Intel	L	# 214	C/ 00 SC 0 Thaler. Pat	P Broadcom	L	# 436
Comment Type E			terminology	Comment Type T			backplane
This draft uses th	e term 'state machine' extensively n general an implementation may		t generally used in the		9, 51, 72 and 74 there is a discon	nect on what pri	
SuggestedRemedy					energy_detect going up the stack		
	ce 'state machine" with appropriat	e terminology.		consistant across	going down the stack. tx_quiet an the Clauses.	d rx_quiet appea	ar to be fine and
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			rx_lpi_active is de signals that go up	efined as an indication in some pla the stack.	aces but it is a re	equest. indications are
where a blind rep	arch and replace" without review is lacement does not make sense P	s not recommend	ed as there are places # 209	signal_detect is t	the benefit of using energy_detect nat signal_detect is not produced nove the PCS LPI state out of RX	when there is en	ergy but the FEC hasn't
Grow, Robert	Intel			None of the lowe	r layers use scrambler_reset so th	e primitive shoul	ld be removed
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		formatting	SuggestedRemedy			
	at for MII data signals. For examp document is consistent either.	le, TXD<3:0> or	TXD <3:0>. It doesn't		e interfaces between these Clause	es consistant D	alata scramblar reset
SuggestedRemedy							
Consult with the V	NG Chair on prefered format, requ				nergy_detect and use signal_dete		
_	a future revision, and used the pre	fered format thro	ugnout.	Indicate in Clause when FEC is not	e 49 that rx_lpi_active is only used	by FEC and ne	ed not be supplied
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response	Response Status C		
				ACCEPT IN PRI			
Use the style TXE	0<3:0> in the 802.3az draft - remo	ve space betwee	n THX<3:0>				
CI 00 SC 0	P Intel	L	# 208		medy has several requests: the primitives consistent, all the pr	imitives going de	own are:
Frow Robert	Comment Status A		formatting	rx_quiet.request			
Grow, Robert			formatting	rx_lpi_active.requ	iest.		
Comment Type E			enerally uses the form				
Comment Type E Though the style	manual could be more clear, the b the square form(s) used on this dr		enerally uses the form	There is no need	for scrambler reset to be going from	om the PCS to le	ower layers so it will be
Comment Type E Though the style	manual could be more clear, the b		enerally uses the form	There is no need deleted.	for scrambler_reset to be going fr	om the PCS to le	ower layers so it will be
Comment Type E Though the style '(see 35.2.1)' not SuggestedRemedy Replace square b	manual could be more clear, the b the square form(s) used on this dr prackets with parenthesis, use the exhaustive list) that should be fixe	aft. prevaling format	consistently. Some		ng up is:	om the PCS to le	ower layers so it will be
Comment Type E Though the style '(see 35.2.1)' not SuggestedRemedy Replace square b examples (not an 50, 51 and P. 122	manual could be more clear, the b the square form(s) used on this dr prackets with parenthesis, use the exhaustive list) that should be fixe	aft. prevaling format	consistently. Some	deleted. The primitive goir energy_detect.ind 2) We cannot rep	ng up is: dication lace energy_detect with signal_de	etect.	
Comment Type E Though the style '(see 35.2.1)' not SuggestedRemedy Replace square b examples (not an 50, 51 and P. 122	manual could be more clear, the b the square form(s) used on this dr prackets with parenthesis, use the exhaustive list) that should be fixe 2, L. 13.	aft. prevaling format	consistently. Some	deleted. The primitive goir energy_detect.ind 2) We cannot rep Fundamentally al	ng up is: dication lace energy_detect with signal_de I the three backplane PHYs uses of	tect. energy_detect (a	an early signal) to
Comment Type E Though the style '(see 35.2.1)' not SuggestedRemedy Replace square b examples (not an 50, 51 and P. 122 Response ACCEPT. An automatic "sea	manual could be more clear, the b the square form(s) used on this dr prackets with parenthesis, use the exhaustive list) that should be fixe 2, L. 13.	aft. prevaling format ed include P. 30,	consistently. Some L. 5, 6, and P. 68, L.	deleted. The primitive goir energy_detect.ind 2) We cannot rep Fundamentally al deassert rx_quief	ng up is: dication lace energy_detect with signal_de I the three backplane PHYs uses of , which in effect wakes up the from ne proposed change defeats the w	tect. energy_detect (a it end circuits, so	an early signal) to one of which generates

9/28/2009 3:34:24 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

3) Indicate in Clause 49 that rx lpi active is only used by FEC and need not be supplied when FEC is not implemented.

Ρ

C/ 00 SC 0 D'Ambrosia, John

Force10 Networks

L

121

terminology

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status A

There are references in diagrams in either captions or notes that a diagram or a portion of the diagram is optional or "NOTE-Signals and functions shown with dashed lines are

These diagrams, signals and functions are not optional if LPI is supported.

Found in Clause 40, 48, 74

SuggestedRemedy

optional."

Determining a global consisten manner to highlight what it necessary to support LPI is needed.

For notes in drawing change text to

NOTE- If optional Low Power Idle mode is supported, signals and functions shown with dashed lines are mandatory.

Correct captions to indicate Mandatory if optional Low Power Idle mode is supported.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In Clause 40, 48, 74

For relevant notes in drawing change text to

NOTE- Signals and functions shown with dashed lines are mandatory for the EEE capability.

Correct captions to indicate Mandatory for the EEE capability.

C/ 00	SC 0	Р	L	# 206
Grow, Robert		Intel		
Comment Tvp	e E	Comment Status A		editing instructions

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The draft contains far more text than considered appropriate for publication. For example it is very typical to say change the nth paragraph as follows and not include the complete subclause as seems to be the case for much of this draft. In some clauses the the changes instructions are written for the smaller volume of text and others not.

SuggestedRemedy

Either remove superflous text (my preference) or include Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication) that indicates that more base text than is required for publication is included for convienence of review and will be removed during publication preparation.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 00 SC (0 <i>P</i> 1	<i>L</i> 1	# 174	CI 00	SC 0		P 1	L 25	# 190	
Frazier, Howard	Broadcom C	Corporation		ghiasi, ali			Broadcom			
Comment Type	TR Comment Status A		doc-structure	Comment	Туре -	TR	Comment Status A		doc-structure	
As an amendr	eral comment regarding the structure ment to IEEE Std 802.3, the material	in this draft will ev	ventually be folded into	EEE is modifying some of the earlier 802.3 clauses adding optional EEE/LPI support, some of the state diagram are getting too complicated to know what is required and what is added for EEE						
	dard. When this happens, the definition	ons for the 100BA	SE-X and 1000BASE-	Suggested	Remedy					
changed, and	oding Sublayers will be substantially I the changes will be difficult to discer will also be substantially changed.	n. The definitions	for the	Propose to duplicate the state diagram in earlier clauses instead of changing them so it is clear what is optional EEE						
The 100BASE-X and 1000BASE-X PCSs are used for many other port types besides 100BASE-TX and 1000BASE-KX. Among these are 100BASE-FX, 100BASE-LX10, 100BASE-BX10, 1000BASE-SX, 1000BASE-LX, 1000BASE-CX, 1000BASE-LX10, 1000BASE-BX10, 1000BASE-PX10, 1000BASE-PX20, 10G/1GBASE-PRX-D/U1,				Response ACCE	PT IN PR	INCIPL	Response Status W			
				See re	esponse to	o comm	nent #410			
10G/1GBASE	-PRX-D/U2, and 10G/1GBASE-PRX	-D/U3.		C/ 00	SC 0		P 27	L 50	# 196	
These port typ	pes are not included in the set of obje	ectives for P802.3	az,	Grow, Rob	ert		Intel			
and the specif	Comment Type ER Comment Status A editing instructio									
	ed or effected in any way by P802.3a current IEEE Std 802.3 PCS and MII		ort types	The style manual 21.2.1 isn't followed for numbering inserts, where for example, 22.2.2.6A would follow 22.2.2.6, it doesn't precede it and the draft insert instructions do not indicate a convention other than that of the style manual.						
SuggestedRemed										
00	ny ways to solve this problem. I prefe	er the following ap	proach:	SuggestedRemedy						
				Don't insert a TX subclause in the middle of receive subclauses. If the style manual						
1. Preserve th without chang	ne definitions for the MII, GMII, 100BA ge.	ASE-X PCS, and 1	TOOOBASE-X PCS	convention is being used, what is currently 22.2.2.6a should be 22.2.2.5A. If not following the style manual all change instructions need to be clear about the insertion point. Fix all						
	changes required to support EEE in a			inserts	s consiste	ntly.				
	A for Clause 24, and Annex 25A for C ex 25A have been provided by me to				Response Response Status U					
	x 23A have been provided by the to			ACCE	PT IN PR	INCIPL	.E.			
3. Refer to the	ese normative annexes from the body	y of Clause 78.		Use ex	xplicit inse	ert instr	uctions. When the base text is	s from an approv	ved amendment	
Response	Response Status U			indicat	te the ame	endmei	nt in parenthesis.			
ACCEPT IN P	'RINCIPLE.			Use lo	wercase	alphabe	etic indication for a new subcl	ause, table or fic	ure to avoid disrupting	
See response	e to Comment #410						equent amendments.			
				When	inserting	a new :	subclause at a level it is x.x.0	a		

C/ 00 SC 0

	D	1.00	" [100			_	D.1.5	1.00	"
/ 00 SC 0 naler, Pat	P 30 Broadcom	L 36	# 406	<i>Cl</i> 01 Obara, Sa	SC 1 . atoshi	.5	Р 15 Fujitsu Limited	L 32	# 366
omment Type ER	Comment Status A		editing instructions	Comment	Type	Е	Comment Status A		
Insert new subclause the standard more co	s with numbering like 7a to avo mplex to maintain.	id renumbering	later ones will make				" which is used in Clause 45 a	ind 78.	
lt alog ignit alogn what	t the sum estation is subon this h			Suggester			EE Energy Efficient Ethernet	in Clause 1 F	
	t the expectation is when this be I the number-letter designations			Response	;		Response Status C	in Clause 1.5.	
uggestedRemedy				ACCE	EPT.				
Make 22.7a be 22.7 a subclauses, figures a	and renumber the PICS to 22.8 nd tables similarly.	. Treat other ins	ertions of new	<i>Cl</i> 01 Chalupsky	SC 1 . y, David	.5	P 15 Intel Corp.	L 34	# 109
	ing is to be maintained, put in a when this is integrated into IEE		uction at the beginning	Comment			Comment Status A	ut this draft ha	foro it is defined. Add
esponse	Response Status C						on to section 1.5.		iore it is defined. Add
, ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	•			Suggestee	dRemedy	,			
See response to com	ment #196.			Add a "EEE			efinition to section 1.5., i.e. nt Ethernet"		
00 SC 0	P 33	L 4	# 5	Response	;		Response Status C		
nslow, Pete	Nortel Networ	ks		ACCE	EPT.				
omment Type E	Comment Status A		editing instructions	C/ 01	SC 1	5	P15	L 34	# 205
"Add" is not a valid ch	nange instruction			Grow, Rot		.5	Intel	L J 4	# 203
uggestedRemedy				Comment		Е	Comment Status A		capitalizati
Change all instances e.g. pages 33, 51, 59	of "Add" change instructions to , 60, 65, 69, etc.	"Insert"			rect style.	-			Capitalizati
esponse	Response Status C			Suggestee					
ACCEPT.	·						e in lower case "low power idle aft. (I don't think capitalization		, , ,
01 SC 1.4	P 15	L 20	# 115	Response)		Response Status C		
Ambrosia, John	Force10 Netw	orks		ACCE	EPT IN PF	RINCIPL	.E.		
omment Type ER add definition for "Lov	Comment Status A w Power Idle Mode"				•		sistently but recommend use o is implied by just the English p		nis term has a specific
	e - an optional mode intended to link utilization in which both sid ctionality.	•							
esponse	Response Status W								

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 01 SC 1.5 Page 7 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:24 PM



Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There are 86 occurences of "10BASE-T" in 802.3 section 1 not counting the Table of contents and 95 in section 2. This supplement adds 28 occurences of 10BASE-Te and it added some occurences of 10BASE-T so it is clear that it has not inserted "or 10BASE-Te" everywhere where 10BASE-T occurs in IEEE 802.3. Even just Clause 14 in 802.3 has 44 occurences of 10BASE-T.

Examples of three places where this causes problems are in Clause 28, Clause 30 and Clause 33.

The draft contains no edits to Clause 28 and its annexes so there is no way to autonegotiate for 10BASE-Te operation. Bits A0 and A1 of the technology ability field apply to only 10BASE-T. Also 28.2.1.1 still requires "Compliant 10BASE-T MAUs transmit link integrity pulses" for autonegotiation so any device wanting to do auto-neg would still have to deliver the 10BASE-T voltage during auto-neg which defeats some of the purpose of doing 10BASE-Te.

In Clause 30, 10BASE-Te hasn't been added to the MAU types in 30.5.1.1.2 aMAUType.

The draft contains no edits to Clause 33 so it only allows DTE power operation with 10BASE-T and not with 10BASE-Te MAUs.

SuggestedRemedy

My preferred solution to this would be to define two subtypes of 10BASE-T operation, e.g. classic (10BASE-Tc) and EEE (10BASE-Te). Use the subtypes where there is a difference between the two such as transmit voltage level. Use 10BASE-T in statements that apply to both subtypes. I can understand the desire to not change the existing meaning of 10BASE-T, but it isn't working and not including the new subtype in 10BASE-T will cause problems - existing devices won't know that a new technology ability indicates something that is backward compatible with 10BASE-T over the appropriate cable.

If that isn't done, every instance of 10BASE-T in all of 802.3 needs to be examined and modified to include 10BASE-Te as appropriate.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #356

Delete all new text from item C on line 10 on page 17.

This overrides other comment responses on item C.

Change Page 18, Line 19 to read:

This twisted-pair model shall be constructed according to Figure 14-7 for a type

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 14 SC 1.1.1

10BASE-T MAU that is not a type 10BASE-Te MAU and according to Figure 14–7a for a type 10BASE-Te MAU with component tolerances as follows:

C/ 14	SC 1.1.1	P 17	L 14	# 469
Kim, Yong		Broadcom		

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

"The 10BASE-Te PHY operation requires ISO/IEC 11801:1995

Class D or better cabling. This requirement can also be met by Category 5 cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A-1995." is not clear.

Does the referenced cable meet 10BASE-T as well as 10BASE-Te? I know what the answer is, but not clear as written. Also 10BASE-Te PHY operation *requires* ISO/IEC... cable. If intended, then I did not find corresponding "shall* statement anywhere...

SuggestedRemedy

Please fix editorial issues and clarify. Thanks.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Take the last two sentences of item c which currently read:

"The 10BASE-Te PHY operation requires ISO/IEC 11801:1995 Class D or better cabling. This requirement can also be met by Category 5 cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A-1995."

Change to:

"The 10BASE-Te PHY operation requires the simplex link segment specification found in 14.4.1."

Page 8 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:24 PM

C/ 14	SC 14	P 16	L 10	# 356	C/ 14	SC 14.1.1	P 16	L 16	
Law, David	d	3Com			Law. Davi	id	3Com		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status A

It is not clear if the 10BASE-Te MAU is a separate type of MAU or is a subtype of the 10BASE-T MAU. The way the introductory subclause is written it appears that a 10BASE-Te MAU is a separate distinct MAU type but then if that is true the whole of IEEE Std 802.3 would need to be modified to replace every instance of '10BASE-T' with '10BASE-T and 10BASE-Te' - except where 10BASE-Te has a different requirements from 10BASE-T.

As a simple examples consider Clause 13 system considerations for 10Mb/s networks - it has tables that list numbers for 10BASE-T - are these the same for 10BASE-Te or not similarly for all the mentions for 10BASE-T in Clause 28 Auto-Negotiation.

SuggestedRemedv

Suggest either [1] replace every instance of '10BASE-T' with '10BASE-T and 10BASE-Te' except where 10BASE-Te has a different requirements from 10BASE-T or [2] state somewhere that the all requirements and specifications for 10BASE-T apply to 10BASE-Te as well unless otherwise stated.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add statement in section 14.1.1.1 as follows:

i) All references to 10BASE-T include 10BASE-Te unless otherwise stated.

Response Status C

Response Status C

C/ 1

C/ 14	SC 14.1.1	P 16	L 15	#	345
Law, David		3Com			

Comment Type **T** Comment Status A

The overview text for the 10BASE-Te MAU should parallel the construct of the similar text for the 10BASE-T MAU, in addition I don't think that the one mention of the 10BASE-Te MAU name in the first overview paragraph should be parenthetical.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that 'This clause also specifies characteristics of the Energy Efficient version of 10BASE-T (type 10BASE-Te) MAU.' should be changed to read 'This Clause also specifies the functional, electrical, and mechanical characteristics of the Energy Efficient version of 10BASE-T, the type 10BASE-Te MAU, and one specific medium for use with that MAU.

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 14	SC 14.1.1	P 16	L 16	# 346
Law, David		3Com		
		_		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Isn't 'new' a relative term - in a few years this text could be read to mean legacy devices did do this - also to me the text could be simplified as suggested below.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that 'NOTE - It is expected that new 10 Mb/s devices for twisted pair media will not support both 10BASE-T and 10BASETe.' be changed to read 'NOTE - Support for both 10BASE-T and 10BASETe in a single device is not expected.'.

Response ACCEP	Т.	Response Status	С		
C/ 14 Hajduczenia	SC 14.1.1 a, Marek	P1 ZTE (6 Corporatior	L 21	# 252
the text	ames should no . Either scrub it ers. Contact me	manually or prohibit	the lines, v FrameMak	er from dividir	ates understanding of g the text on "-" s (page/line): 16/21,
SuggestedF Per con	-				
Response ACCEP	т.	Response Status	С		
<i>Cl</i> 14 Thaler, Pat	SC 14.1.1	P1 Broad	-	L 21	# 441
Comment T	mmar of the no	Comment Status	Α	e read as exp	ecting that neither is
	port either 10B	ASE-T or 10BASE-T ASE-T or 10BASE-T			One could also use
Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL	Response Status E.	С		
See res	olution of comn	nent #346.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 14 SC 14.1.1 Page 9 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:24 PM

Responses on D2.0)	IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	y Efficient E	September 200			
<i>Cl</i> 14 <i>SC</i> 14.1.1 D'Ambrosia, John	P 16 Force10 Netwo	L 21 orks	# 114	<i>Cl</i> 14 Thaler, Pa	SC 14.1.1.1 t	P 17 Broadcom	L 14	# 442
Comment Type E The added note seem there are two distinct SuggestedRemedy Delete note.	Comment Status R ns to imply an implementation, w PHY types already.	which seems un	ncessary, given that	which m. S <i>uggestec</i>	DBASE-Te senten gives the impress IRemedy	Comment Status A ce isn't parallel to the 10BA ion that perhaps only 10BA	SE-T provides fo	r operation up to 100
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			distan	ce is already in the	BASE-Te or remove the dist	ance from the 10	JBASE-1 one since the
The note was added i	in a previous version of the draf	ft to address a r	eviewer's concern.	Response ACCE OBE.	PT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C		
not add any useful ref SuggestedRemedy reference to (original) Response ACCEPT. Delete the sentence: "This specification is g Cl 14 SC 14.1.1.1	Broadcom Comment Status A generally met by 0.5 mm teleph ference. 14.4 is sufficient. Delete. Response Status W generally met by 0.5 mm teleph	one twisted pair		of the when Suggested Clarify reduce Response ACCE Chang	Type T des for operation of transmitted signal not transmitting ar <i>IRemedy</i> what "reduced tra ed signal amplitud PT IN PRINCIPLE ge ". operation with	P17 ZTE Corporat Comment Status A with reduced transmit amplit or provide a mechanism for hything? This sentense is co ansmit amplitude" means in e that is meant in here. Response Status C n reduced transmit amplitude beak differential voltage on t	ude" - does EEE r the PMD to ent onfusing this case and wi e for type 10BAS	er into sleep mode nether it is really the SE-Te" to ".
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D and components" - 'component ese 'components' are or where c Response Status Z	ts' of what ?	at that means.	therefo Suggested	<i>Type</i> T t think the reduced ore don't understa <i>IRemedy</i>	P 17 3Com Comment Status A I transmit amplitude was op nd the parenthetical 'optiona rpe 10BASE-Te (optional).' f	al' after 10BASE	-Te.
	ITHDRAWN by the commenter be clear from the reference in the			Response ACCE		Response Status C		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 14 SC 14.1.1.1 Page 10 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:24 PM

	D (=	1 00	11 0.17	0			1.01	" 050
C/ 14 SC 14.1.1.2 .aw. David	<i>P</i> 17 3Com	L 39	# 347	C/ 14 SC 14.10. Hajduczenia, Marek	4.5.12	P 14 ZTE Corporat	L 24	# 258
omment Type T	Comment Status A	I monting ' the	modium for 10PASE	Comment Type E		ent Status A		
Te is twisted-pair wire.	n for 10BASE-Te is 'a channe I believe that it is the perform hat has to meet the Class D cl	ance specificatio	ns of the 10BASE-Te	"14.10.4.5.12" is rep SuggestedRemedy Second occurence			4.10.4.7.1"	
uggestedRemedy				Response	Respons	se Status C		
	17, line 32) 'The performance performance specifications of the second			ACCEPT.				
[2] Suggest that 'The r	nedium for 10BASE-Te is a ch	annal moating of	overeding the	C/ 14 SC 14.10.	4.5.12	P 24	L 28	# 257
	changed to read 'The medium			Hajduczenia, Marek		ZTE Corporat	ion	
The performance spec	ifications of the 10BASE-Te s	implex link segm	ent is a channel	Comment Type E	Comme	ent Status A		
meeting or exceeding t				Changes to PICS in				
Response	Response Status C			Also changes in hea	ider 14.10 in II	ne 3 on page 24 a	are not marked ad	cordingly.
ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy			TO ()	
14 SC 14.1.1.2	P 17	L 40	# 199	Introduce the markin page 24	ng as in e.g. 14	4.10.4.5.12 (IS1 /	TS2) and in head	der 14.10 in line 3 on
Brow, Robert	Intel			Response	Respons	se Status C		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			ACCEPT.				
The standard footnote	that the 1995 Class D require	ment is met by 20	001 Class D should	C/ 14 SC 14.3.1	2	P18	L 22	# 349
be included.					•	10		
be included.				Law, David	-	3Com		
be included.								
be included. uggestedRemedy Add footnote.	Response Status C			Law, David Comment Type T This subclause state through a balun to s of the model.' and I	Comme es that 'For all ection 1 and th don't see any	3Com ent Status A measurements, th he signal measure changes to exclud	d across a load of this statement	connected to section
be included. uggestedRemedy Add footnote. esponse ACCEPT.	Response Status C	L7	# 443	Law, David Comment Type T This subclause state through a balun to s of the model.' and I 10BASE-Te howeve	Comme es that 'For all ection 1 and th don't see any	3Com ent Status A measurements, th he signal measure changes to exclud	d across a load of this statement	connected to section
be included. SuggestedRemedy Add footnote. Sesponse ACCEPT. ACCEPT. ACCEPT.		L 7	# 443	Law, David Comment Type T This subclause state through a balun to s of the model.' and I 10BASE-Te howeve SuggestedRemedy	Comme es that 'For all ection 1 and th don't see any i er Figure 14-7a	3Com ent Status A measurements, th he signal measure changes to exclude a doesn't contain a	d across a load o le this statement iny such annotati	connected to section from applying to ons.
be included. uggestedRemedy Add footnote. esponse ACCEPT. 14 SC 14.10 haler, Pat comment Type TR	P 24 Broadcom Comment Status A			Law, David Comment Type T This subclause state through a balun to s of the model.' and I 10BASE-Te howeve SuggestedRemedy	Comme es that 'For all ection 1 and th don't see any er Figure 14-7a uld seem to be d section of Fig	3Com ent Status A measurements, th he signal measure changes to exclude a doesn't contain a to label the left ha gure 14-7a as 'Sec	ed across a load o le this statement any such annotati and section of Fig	connected to section from applying to ons.
be included. SuggestedRemedy Add footnote. Response ACCEPT. Cl 14 SC 14.10 Thaler, Pat Comment Type TR Should also add a line	P 24 Broadcom			Law, David Comment Type T This subclause state through a balun to so of the model.' and I 10BASE-Te however SuggestedRemedy The simplest fix word 1' and the right hance Response	Comme es that 'For all ection 1 and th don't see any er Figure 14-7a uld seem to be d section of Fig	3Com ent Status A measurements, th he signal measure changes to exclud a doesn't contain a	ed across a load o le this statement any such annotati and section of Fig	connected to section
be included. SuggestedRemedy Add footnote. Response ACCEPT. Cl 14 SC 14.10 Thaler, Pat Comment Type TR	P 24 Broadcom Comment Status A			Law, David Comment Type T This subclause state through a balun to s of the model.' and I 10BASE-Te howeve SuggestedRemedy The simplest fix wood 1' and the right hand	Comme es that 'For all ection 1 and th don't see any er Figure 14-7a uld seem to be d section of Fig	3Com ent Status A measurements, th he signal measure changes to exclude a doesn't contain a to label the left ha gure 14-7a as 'Sec	ed across a load o le this statement any such annotati and section of Fig	connected to section from applying to ons.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.2

Inslow, Pete	P 19 Nortel Networ	L 2 ks	# 3	<i>Cl</i> 14 Thompson	SC 14.4.1 , Geoff	P 22 GraCaSI	L 43	# 457		
This says "Insert Figure 14-7 The point of using Figure 14-7 SuggestedRemedy Delete "and renumber subse Response Re- ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	-7a is that there is no ne equent figures appropria <i>sponse Status</i> C	eed to re-number		Comment Type ER Comment Status R I find no text added anywhere to clause 14 that states or even gives a hint of the compatibility between 10BASE-T and 10BASE-Te. How is a customer to know how to the two on a network? Further, the text in 14.4.1 is not correct in the current market and proposed context T word "Since is inappropriate. That is, it is no longer the case that we believe that "a significant number of 10BASE-T networks are expected to be installed utilizing in-plac unshielded telephone wiring" rather, the market has evolved to the extent that most telephones and networks (especially autonegotiating multi-speed adapters) are expectutilize Category 5 or better cabling.						
See response to Comment #	£196			Suggested	Remedy					
Inconstent use of units. Units		parated from the		provisi new si and 10	on for the histor ubclause to clau	ry paragraph to better refle ical context that made use se 14 to address the topic he two MDI can be freely r .SE-Te.	of "left-over" teleph of cross compatibil	none wiring. Also, add a ity between 10BASE-T		
"between 1.54V and 1.96V for SPACE-V for all data"	or all data" should read	"between 1.54-S	SPACE-V and 1.96-	Response		Response Status W				
				REJE	CT.					
SuggestedRemedy Scrub the draft accordingly.				Interor	orability botwoo	n 10BASE-T and 10BASE	-Te is addressed in	1/1/1/1/(i)		
				interep	borability bothod			()).		
0,1	snonsa Status C									
0,1	sponse Status C					14.4.1 is text from the origi . It is not the objective of th				
Response Re	sponse Status C			when	originally written		nis task force to cor			
Response Re	sponse Status C			when	changes to 14 b SC 14.4.1	. It is not the objective of th	nis task force to cor			
Response Re	sponse Status C			when o There C/ 14	changes to 14 b SC 14.4.1 , Geoff	. It is not the objective of the ased on resolution of com	nis task force to cor ment #356	rect such text.		
Response Re	sponse Status C			when of There C/ 14 Thompson Comment This n	originally written changes to 14 b SC 14.4.1 , Geoff <i>Type</i> ER ew text is in the ' here in order to	. It is not the objective of the ased on resolution of com P 22 GraCaSI	nis task force to cor ment #356 <i>L</i> 48	# 458		
Response Re	sponse Status C			when o There C/ 14 Thompson Comment This n "stuck	changes to 14 b SC 14.4.1 , Geoff <i>Type</i> ER ew text is in the bere in order to s.)	. It is not the objective of the assed on resolution of com P 22 GraCaSI Comment Status R wrong place. It is not "over	nis task force to cor ment #356 <i>L</i> 48	# 458		
Response Re	sponse Status C			when of There Cl 14 Thompson Comment This n "stuck clause Suggested Move	changes to 14 b SC 14.4.1 , Geoff <i>Type</i> ER ew text is in the ' here in order to s.) <i>Remedy</i> to within the con	. It is not the objective of the assed on resolution of com P 22 GraCaSI Comment Status R wrong place. It is not "over	his task force to cor ment #356 <i>L</i> 48 rview" text. (I do rec restructuring and re that there may be n	# 458 # 58 cognize that it was numbering sub-		
Response Re	sponse Status C			when of There Cl 14 Thompson Comment This n "stuck clause Suggested Move	changes to 14 b SC 14.4.1 , Geoff <i>Type</i> ER ew text is in the ' here in order to s.) <i>Remedy</i> to within the con	. It is not the objective of the ased on resolution of com P 22 GraCaSI Comment Status R wrong place. It is not "over a void the sticky issue of resolution of the sticky issue	his task force to cor ment #356 <i>L</i> 48 rview" text. (I do rec restructuring and re that there may be n	# 458 # 58 cognize that it was numbering sub-		
Response Re	sponse Status C			when of There Cl 14 Thompson Comment This n "stuck clause Suggested Move in orde	changes to 14 b SC 14.4.1 , Geoff Type ER ew text is in the here in order to s.) Remedy to within the conder for this to end	. It is not the objective of the ased on resolution of com P 22 GraCaSI Comment Status R wrong place. It is not "over a void the sticky issue of r text of 14.4.2. I recognize up as a clean, well-structur	his task force to cor ment #356 <i>L</i> 48 rview" text. (I do rec restructuring and re that there may be n	# 458 # 58 cognize that it was numbering sub-		

C/ 14 SC 14.4.1

C/ 14	SC 14.4.1	P 22	L 48	# 351	C/ 14	SC 14.5.2	Р	L	# 460
Law, David		3Com			Thompson,	Geoff	GraCaSI		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This is not the format used everywhere else for referencing the international (ISO/IEC) and then national (TIA) cabling standards (see page 17, line 13 for an example).

SuggestedRemedy

Change '.. meeting or exceeding the requirements of the Class D channel specified by ISO/IEC 11801:1995 or the Category 5 channel as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A-1995.' to read '.. meeting or exceeding the requirements of the Class D channel specified by ISO/IEC 11801:1995. This requirement can also be met by Category 5 cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A-1995.

Response		Response Status C		
ACCE	EPT.			
C/ 14	SC 14.4.1	P 22	L 48	# 350

3Com

Law, David

Comment Type T	Comment Status	Α
----------------	----------------	---

I don't think the medium for 10BASE-Te is 'a channel meeting ...', the medium for 10BASE-Te is twisted-pair wire. I believe that it is the performance specifications of the 10BASE-Te simplex link segment that has to meet the Class D channel. (See also similar comment on subclause 14.1.1.2)

SuggestedRemedy

[2] Suggest that 'The medium for 10BASE-Te is a channel meeting or exceeding the requirements of ..' be changed to read 'The medium for 10BASE-Te is twisted-pair wire. The performance specifications of the 10BASE-Te simplex link segment is a channel meeting or exceeding the requirements of ..'.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[2] Suggest that 'The medium for 10BASE-Te is a channel meeting or exceeding the requirements of ..' be changed to read 'The medium for 10BASE-Te is twisted-pair wire. The requirements of the 10BASE-Te simplex link segment are equivalent to the requirements of ..'.

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

14.5.2 mandates that any port that offers MDI-X connectivity shall be marked with an "X". That mandate makes no allowance for current technology in which many PHY implementations are not of a fixed configuration with respect to the cross-over function. I expect many implementations of 10BASE-Te to have automatic MDI-X correction.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise text so that the X labeling requirement only applies to ports with fixed MDI/MDI-X configuration. It would be nice if we could all agree on a single character width symbol for auto-correction.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

This comment requests a change to the base standard that is not impacted by the changes made for 10BASE-Te.

It should be submitted as a maintenance request to the base standard.

C/ 14	SC 14.8	P 23	L 50	# 256
Hajduczen	ia, Marek	ZTE Corporation		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

MAU for 10BASE-T in 802.3-2008 does not have any speed designation i.e. point e) does not exist at all. Per draft, MAU should now include designation whether it is 10BASE-T or 10BASE-Te compliant. What about the previously existing MAUs, which do not have such indication - they should be treated as 10BASE-T compliant only?

Suggestion: recommend only indication whether MAU is 10BASE-Te compliant. Lack of any indication will indicate automatically that the given MAU is 10BASE-T compliant. Make an additional note to point e) as provided below.

SuggestedRemedy

change e) to read: "10BASE-Te support (optional). MAU supporting 10BASE-T does not have any labelling for backward compatibility reasons."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #459

C/ 14 SC 14.8 Page 13 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

Responses on D2.0)	IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energ	y Efficient Etherne	t comme	ents		September 2009
C/ 14 SC 14.8 Thompson, Geoff	P 23 GraCaSI	L 51	# 459	C/ 22 SC Grow, Robert		P Intel	L	# 215
	Comment Status A T or 10BASE-Te support" abel that ends up saying "Supp	ports 10BASE-T	or 10BASE-Te"			Comment Status A edited only for 100 Mb/s c tion. Text specific to 100 N		
SuggestedRemedy Change text to read: ' '10BASE-Te' (not botl	Which of the two specifications	s is implemente	d, i.e. '10BASE-T' or	SuggestedRemed P. 27, L. 25 - c found.	,	indicate for 100 Mb/s oper	ation. Fix any	others I may not have
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Response ACCEPT IN P	RINCIPLE	Response Status C E.		
Also see comment #2	56.			P.25, I.12 add 100Mb/s opera	•	The definition of") "LPI sign	aling on the M	III is specified only for
C/ 14 SC 14.8 Law, David	Р 23 3Com	L 5 1	# 339	p.30, l.41 add 100Mb/s opera		d of the paragraph) "LPI si	gnaling on the	MII is specified only for
Comment Type E Suggest that '10BASE 10BASE-T MAU or 10	Comment Status R E-T or 10BASE-Te support.' sho BASE-Te MAU.'.	ould be change	d to read 'Whether					
SuggestedRemedy See comment.								
Response REJECT.	Response Status C							
See resolution of com	ments # 256 and 459							

CI **22** SC

Kim, Yong Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A PLS_CARRER.Indication on existing PHX is juast based on CRS prior. but "and also from the tramit LPI state machine" text forces implementor of non-802.3az PLS to implement clasue 22.7, where it does not say that 22.7 output to be implemented for .3az option only. The der, Pat Comment Type T SuggestedRemedy A Mass to say that 22.7 output to be implemented for .3az option only. Men is LPI signaling in operation? Is it noly when in low power idle or is this intended to apply when LPI operate inhas been enabled. Given the nature of the change to the figure apply when LPI operate inhas been enabled. Given the nature of the change to the figure apply when LPI operation has been enabled. Given the nature of the change to the figure apply when LPI operate inhas been enabled. Given the nature of the change to the figure apply when LPI operate inhas been enabled. Given the nature of the change to the figure apply when LPI operate inhas been enabled. Given the nature of the change to the figure apply when LPI operate inhas been enabled. Given the nature of the change to the figure apply when LPI operate inhas been enabled. Eave "LPI signaling is in operation" is a misleading upply describe that. SuggestedRemedy Adopt Nonative Annex (or equivient), or It would be better to give the ability to operate with low power a name like EEE mode and tak about that mode being enabled or disabled. Leave "LPI signaling is i
the reference Xref/22.7a.2 to be reader-friendly) also add optional nature of 22.7a in 22.7a. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be consistent with other clauses, text needs to be added to highlight the optional nature of LPI. (see also comment #407) Change "and also from the transmit LPI state machine" to "and the LPI assert function if the optional LPI signaling is supported (see 22.7a.2)" Add at the beginning of 22.7a "Certain PHYs support Energy Efficient Ethernet (see Clause 78). PHYs that support Energy Efficient Ethernet support Low Power Idle assertion and detection."
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Reword the sentence to make it clearer: To be consistent with other clauses, text needs to be added to highlight the optional nature of LPI. (see also comment #407) "The mapping changes slightly when low power idle (LPI) signaling is in operation" Change "and also from the transmit LPI state machine" to "and the LPI assert function if the optional LPI signaling is supported (see 22.7a.2)" "The mapping is changed if the optional low power idle (LPI) signaling is supported" Add at the beginning of 22.7a "Certain PHYs support Energy Efficient "Thermapping is changed if the optional low power idle (LPI) signaling is supported" Modify wording in above response as per Motion #3 before implementing response "Modify wording in above response as per Motion #3 before implementing response
To be consistent with other clauses, text needs to be added to highlight the optional nature of LPI. (see also comment #407) Change "and also from the transmit LPI state machine" to "and the LPI assert function if the optional LPI signaling is supported (see 22.7a.2)" Add at the beginning of 22.7a "Certain PHYs support Energy Efficient Ethernet (see Clause 78). PHYs that support Energy Efficient Ethernet support Low Power Idle assertion and detection."
of LPI. (see also comment #407) Change "and also from the transmit LPI state machine" to "and the LPI assert function if the optional LPI signaling is supported (see 22.7a.2)" Add at the beginning of 22.7a "Certain PHYs support Energy Efficient Ethernet (see Clause 78). PHYs that support Energy Efficient Ethernet support Low Power Idle assertion and detection."
Change "and also from the transmit LPI state machine" to "and the LPI assert function if the optional LPI signaling is supported (see 22.7a.2)" Add at the beginning of 22.7a "Certain PHYs support Energy Efficient Ethernet (see Clause 78). PHYs that support Energy Efficient Ethernet support Low Power Idle assertion and detection."
the optional LPI signaling is supported (see 22.7a.2)" Add at the beginning of 22.7a "Certain PHYs support Energy Efficient Ethernet (see Clause 78). PHYs that support Energy Efficient Ethernet support Low Power Idle assertion and detection."
"Certain PHYs support Energy Efficient Ethernet (see Clause 78). PHYs that support Energy Efficient Ethernet support Low Power Idle assertion and detection."
 Modify wording in above response as per Motion #3 before implementing response

CI 22 SC 22.2.1

22	SC 22.2.1	P 25	L 10	# 260	CI 22	SC 22.2.1.		26	L 12	# 200
ijducz	enia, Marek	ZTE Corpora	tion		Grow, Rob	ert	Inte			
	nt Type ER	Comment Status A	la also adv. da Ca	ed and Paracharia ta ba	Comment	51	Comment Statu		have also all anno 1	
equ of n	al to LPI, which sh	power idle " - low power idle hould be used in this clause th e more reason to use it. e 13.			LPI op text is	eration is split also not prope	into the LPI assert an	d detect	functions (at leas	ms, and I believe the t in Clause 78). The s no reason to weake
iggesi	tedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
(two	occurences) etc.	of "low power idle" to "LPI" on There are total of 357 occure	nes of the term '	low power idle" in teh			R_ON and CARRIER assert function (78.1		e derived from the	MII signal CRS and
	t, most of which c ordingly.	an potentially be replaced with	the acronym LF	PI. Scrub the draft	Response		Response Status	5 C		
espon	0,1	Response Status W			ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	LE.			
•	CEPT IN PRINCIP						_ON and CARRIER			
Cha	inge "low power ic	lle" to LPI in the following loca	tions:		the LP	l assert functio	n if the optional LPI s	ignaling	is supported (see	22.7a.2).
p.25	5, l.10; p.27, l.43; j	p.29, l.14; p.30, l.4; p.30, l.38;	p.31, l.29; p.31,	l.34; p.31, l.42	Modify	wording in ab	ove response as per	Motion #3	3 before impleme	nting response
Cha	inge "low power ic	lle mode" to "its low power sta	te" on p.25, l.13		CI 22	SC 22.2.1.	3. 2 P	26	L 12	# 445
		dle state" to "low power state" .30, l.5 - also 2 occurrences in		28, l.24; p.28, l.29;	Thaler, Pa <i>Comment</i>		Broa Comment Statu	adcom s A		
22	SC 22.2.1 enia, Marek	P 25 ZTE Corpora	L 9	# 259	CARR	IER_STATUS	han "and also" becau depending on whethe			ed to drive
		Comment Status A	lion		Suggested	Remedy				
"The	nt Type E e mapping change ectives in the stand	es slightly" - how much is "sligh dard text since it is meaningles	ntly"? Avoid suc	h void quantitative anges, full stop.	Response		Response Status	6 C		
iggesi	tedRemedy				ACCE		LE.			
Stril	ke word "slightly" i	in line 9 on page 25.			Comm	nent #470 rewo	rds the sentence.			
spon ACC	se CEPT.	Response Status C								
ggesi Stril spon ACC	tedRemedy ke word "slightly" i se CEPT.	in line 9 on page 25.				PT IN PRINCIF				

C/ 22 SC 22.2.1.3.2

Cl 22 SC 22.2.1.32 P26 L17 # L45 Fraicel, Howaid Broadcom Corporation Broadcom Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A doc-structure Males, Fat Broadcom The text as altered reads "The values CARRIER, ON and CARRIER COFF can be derived from the Mill signal CRS." The first in the original, which said "The values CARRIER, ON and CARRIER COFF can be derived from the Mill signal CRS." Accept the machine into the 100BASE-X PCS with LPI annex, and then implement the Suggested Remedy in my general comment concerning the structure of the draft amendhamet. Asso. The prestoin "and when a to helve and generation." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. The response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. The response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. "For EEE capability. CARRIER_STATUS is overriden according to the behavior of the Lanage to instruction mean? Total Case Case Case Case Case Case Case Case										·
Comment Type TR Comment Status A doc-structure The text as altered reads "The values CARRIER_ON and CARRIER_ON and CARRIER_ON and CARRIER_ON and CARRIER_ON and CARRIER_ON the Mail signal CRS." The 'can be and also' construction is so ambiguous as to have no meaning. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy in my general comment concerning the structure of the dard amendment. Also, LPI operation 'and when a behavior only applies when not in LPI operation. Addition only applies when not in LPI operation. Nove the transmit LPI state machine into the 1008ASE-X PCS with LPI ames, and the dard amendment. Comment Yape TR Comment Yape Comment Yape Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C The response to comment #200 removes the ambiguity and makes the optional nature of LPI operation. Response Status C This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. 'For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no influence on CARRIER_STATUS.' Thater, Flat Breadom Comment Type R Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction seal of the state diagram in the RS. This comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. 'For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS has no effect o		2.2.1.3.2	P 26 Broadcom Co	L 12	# 168	Cl 22 Thaler Pat			L 17	# 446
The text as altered reads "The values CARRIER, ON and CARRIER, OFF can be derived from the Milisignal CRS and tasks from the transmit LPI state machine", which is a far different statement from the original, which said "The values CARRIER_ON and CARRIER_OFF are derived from the Mil signal CRS." If PLS CARRIER indication is driven differently for LPI operation, then this paragraph needs to only apply when not in LPI operation. <i>ragestedRemedy</i> Move the transmit LPI state machine into the 100BASE-XPCS with LPI annex, and then implement the Suggested Remedy in my general comment concerning the structure of the draft amendment. Also, LPI operation is used several places but never defined - for example, is a device " <i>seponse Response Status</i> C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. Response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. "For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no influence on CARRIER_STATUS. while in states LP1_ASSERTED and LP1_WAIT." CI 22 SC 22.2 P26 L46 # [408] Thale, Plat desard free other places and when a been and pland SuggestedRemedy With does the editor instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? "The applation" is driven the instruction is given with in change the subcluse shown, And where the instruction is given with no change the subcluse shown. And where the instruction is given with no change the subcluse shown. And where th	*	TR Co		poradon	doc-structure					
The 'can be and also' construction is so ambiguous as to have no meaning. uggestedRemedy Move the transmit LPI state machine into the 100BASE-X PCS with LPI annex, and then implement the Suggested Remedy in my general comment concerning the structure of the draft amendment. esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The response to comment #200 removes the ambiguity and makes the optional nature of LPI clear. The response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no influence on CARRIER_STATUS.'' Becomes: 'For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no influence on CARRIER_STATUS.'' Becomes: ''For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no influence on CARRIER_STATUS.'' Becomes: ''For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no effect on CARRIER_STATUS.'' Becomes: ''For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no effect on CARRIER_STATUS.'' Becomes: ''For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no effect on CARRIER_STATUS.'' Becomes: ''For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and LPI_VATT.'' CI 22 SC 22.2 P26 L46 # 1408 ''Thaler, Pat Broadcom CARRIER_STATUS while in states A ''What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? This applies to the other places where this instruction is given with no change the subclause show. And where there is a change show. the editing instruction doesn need to say 'for LPI signaling'' Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	The text as alte from the MII sig different statem	ered reads "The gnal CRS and a nent from the o	e values CARRIER_ON also from the transmit I riginal, which said "The	_PI state machin	_OFF can be derived e", which is a far	If PLS_ needs Also, L	CARRIER.indic to be qualified to PI operation is u	cation is driven differently for l o only apply when not in LPI o used several places but neve	operation. r defined - for exa	ample, is a device "in
Move the transmit LPI state machine into the 100BASE-X PCS with LPI annex, and then implement the Suggested Remedy in my general comment concerning the structure of the draft amendment. seponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The response to comment #200 removes the ambiguity and makes the optional nature of LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no influence on CARRIER_STATUS.* Becomes: The response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. CarRIER_STATUS while in states LPI_ASSERTED and LPI_WAIT.* CI 22 SC 22.2 P26 L46 # 400 Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? This applies to the other places where this instruction doesn need to say 'Tor LPI signaling' SuggestedRemedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response A coccept in PRINCIPLE. Response A cocept in PRINCIPLE.	The "can be	and also" cons	struction is so ambiguo	us as to have no	meaning.				hen LPI has beer	n enabled even though
implement the Suggested Remedy in my general comment concerning the structure of the draft amendment. Response transment with the structure of document as described. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Reword the opening part of the paragraph: * For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no influence on CARRIER_STATUS.* The response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. Reword the opening part of the paragraph: * For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no influence on CARRIER_STATUS.* Record the opening part of the paragraph: * For EEE capability, CARRIER_STATUS is overriden according to the behavior of the L transmit state diagram (see fig 22-21). The signal CRS has no effect on CARRIER_STATUS while in states LPI_ASSRTED and LPI_WAIT.** C/ 22 SC 22.2.2 P26 L46 # [a08] Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? Suggested/Remedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	uggestedRemedy	,				Suggested	Remedy			
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The response to comment #200 removes the ambiguity and makes the optional nature of LPI clear. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. Response Table (Response) This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. "For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no influence on CARRIER_STATUS." Becomes: "For EEE capability, CARRIER_STATUS is overriden according to the behavior of the L transmit state diagram (see fig 22-21). The signal CRS has no effect on CARRIER_STATUS while in states LPI_ASSERTED and LPI_WAIT." C/ 22 SC 22.22 P26 L 46 # 408 Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction is given with no change the subclause shown. And where there is a change shown, the editing instruction doesn need to say "for LPI signaling" SuggestedRemedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	implement the S	Suggested Rei						and when a behavior only ap	plies when not in	LPI operation, add
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The response to comment #200 removes the ambiguity and makes the optional nature of LPI clear. The response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no influence on CARRIER_STATUS.* Becomes: For EEE capability, CARRIER_STATUS is overriden according to the behavior of the L transmit state diagram (see fig 22-21). The signal CRS has no effect on CARRIER_STATUS while in states LPI_ASSERTED and LPI_WAIT.* C/ 22 SC 22.2 P26 L 46 # 408 Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? This applies to the other places where this instruction is given with no change the subclause shown. And where there is a change shown, the editing instruction does need to say "for LPI signaling" SuggestedRemedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						Response		Response Status C		
The response to comment #200 removes the ambiguity and makes the optional nature of LPI clear. Reword the opening part of the paragraph: The response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. "For EEE capability, CARRIER_STATUS is overriden according to the behavior of the L transmit state diagram (see fig 22-21). The signal CRS has no effect on CARRIER_STATUS while in states LPI_ASSERTED and LPI_WAIT." C/ 22 SC 22.2.2 P26 L 46 # [408] Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling" SuggestedRemedy Make the instruction sclear. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C	•		ponse Status C			ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	_E.		
The response to comment #200 removes the ambiguity and makes the optional nature of LPI clear. The response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. "For LPI operation, in full duplex mode RX_DV and CRS have no influence on CARRIER_STATUS." Becomes: "For EEE capability, CARRIER_STATUS is overriden according to the behavior of the L transmit state diagram (see fig 22-21). The signal CRS has no effect on CARRIER_STATUS while in states LPL_ASSERTED and LPL_WAIT." Cl 22 SC 22.2 P 26 L 46 # 408 Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? This applies to the other places where this instruction is given with no change the subclause shown. And where there is a change shown, the editing instruction doesn need to say 'for LPI signaling" SuggestedRemedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PR	RINCIPLE.				Reword	d the opening p	art of the paragraph:		
The response to comment #165 addresses the use of the state diagram in the RS. This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. Becomes: "For EEE capability, CARRIER_STATUS is overriden according to the behavior of the L transmit state diagram (see fig 22-21). The signal CRS has no effect on CARRIER_STATUS while in states LPLASSERTED and LPLWAIT." Cl 22 SC 22.2 P26 L46 # 408 Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? This applies to the other places where this instruction is given with no change the subclause shown. And where there is a change shown, the editing instruction doesn need to say "for LPI signaling" SuggestedRemedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		o comment #2	00 removes the ambig	uity and makes t	he optional nature of	"For LF	PI operation, in f		CRS have no infl	uence on
This comment would be unaffected by changes to the structure of document as described. "For EEE capability, CARRIER_STATUS is overriden according to the behavior of the L transmit state diagram (see fig 22-21). The signal CRS has no effect on CARRIER_STATUS while in states LPLASSERTED and LPLWAIT." CI 22 SC 22.2.2 P26 L46 # 408 Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? This applies to the other places where this instruction is given with no change the subclause shown. And where there is a change shown, the editing instruction doesn need to say "for LPI signaling" SuggestedRemedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	The response to	o comment #1	65 addresses the use	of the state diagr	am in the RS.	OAITT				
"For EEE capability, CARRIER_STATUS is overriden according to the behavior of the L transmit state diagram (see fig 22-21). The signal CRS has no effect on CARRIER_STATUS while in states LPI_ASSERTED and LPI_WAIT." CI 22 SC 22.2.2 P 26 L 46 # 408 Thaler, Pat Broadcom # Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? This applies to the other places where this instruction is given with no change the subclause shown. And where there is a change shown, the editing instruction doesn need to say "for LPI signaling" SuggestedRemedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C	This commont	would be upoff	acted by abandon to th	a atructura of da	ourmont on described	Becom	es:			
Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? This applies to the other places where this instruction is given with no change the subclause shown. And where there is a change shown, the editing instruction doesn need to say "for LPI signaling" SuggestedRemedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C	This comment		ected by changes to th		icument as described.	transm	it state diagram	(see fig 22-21). The signal C	CRS has no effect	t on
Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? This applies to the other places where this instruction is given with no change the subclause shown. And where there is a change shown, the editing instruction doesn need to say "for LPI signaling" SuggestedRemedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accept in Principle						CI 22	SC 22.2.2	P 26	L 46	# 408
What does the editor's instruction mean? How is 22.2.2 to be changed to show LPI signaling? This applies to the other places where this instruction is given with no change the subclause shown. And where there is a change shown, the editing instruction doesn need to say "for LPI signaling" SuggestedRemedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						Thaler, Pat		Broadcom		
signaling? This applies to the other places where this instruction is given with no change the subclause shown. And where there is a change shown, the editing instruction doesn need to say "for LPI signaling" SuggestedRemedy Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		
Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						signalir the sub	ng? This applies	s to the other places where th And where there is a change	is instruction is g	iven with no change to
Make the instructions clear. Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						Suggested	Remedy			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							•	clear.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						Response		Response Status C		
Instruction removed in response to comment #4						ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	_E.		
						Instruc	tion removed in	response to comment #4		

Look for change instructions and remove any reference in the instructions that not is not useful to the IEEE editorial staff. Do include, in parenthesis, the base text revision, specifically if the editing instruction is on an amendment.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	01 22	Deres 47 of 405
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn		Page 17 of 125
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	SC 22.2.2	9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

C/ 22 SC 22.2.2	P 26	L 46	# 4	CI 22	SC 22.2.2	.4	P 27	L 40	# 409	
Anslow, Pete	Nortel Netwo	rks		Thaler, Pat			Broadcom			
Comment Type ER Co	omment Status A			Comment T	ype TR	Comm	nent Status A			
This says: Change 22.2.2 to show LPI = 22.2.2 MII signal functional s Change 22.2.2.2 for clock de There is no change to 22.2.2	pecifications finitions:	ago to 22 2 2 2		the exis insert a TX_ER	ting 802.3 s n error som is asserted.	tandard, whe where in the Therefore, ir	n TX_ER is asserted frame but that is n	ed while TX_EN, of required to hap	100BASE-TX PHYs. Ir the PHY is required to open at the time XD<3:0> may effect	
Ū.		ige to 22.2.2.2		The add	ded new bel	aviors in the	next paragraph an	d in Table 22-1 a	re written such that	
SuggestedRemedy either show a change to 22.2	2.2 or remove the first o	of the two change	e instructions		ply to all 100		Ys and would make			
Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sponse Status W				anged requir				T PHY non-compliant. EEE option when EEE	
Remove the first change ins	ruction and the heading	g for 22.2.2		– Suggested						
C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.2 Frazier, Howard	P 27 Broadcom Co	L 25 prporation	# 163	Rewrite operatio	the change	d. That may r	clause so that they equire insertion of	a separate table f	or EEE PHYs or a	
Comment Type TR C	omment Status A				to indicate t d by non-EE		he table only applie	es to EEE operati	on and is treated as	
The MII is supposed to be m 100BASE-X receive state m Clause 22? The PCS specifi and the allowance for a stret	achine states associate c material should be de	ed with normative eleted from this s	e requirements in subclause,	Response ACCEP	T IN PRINC	Respoi IPLE.	nse Status C			
SuggestedRemedy				802.3az The cha	does not m	ake changes ement only a	that make a comp	liant 100BASE-T	PHY non-compliant.	
Re-write the sentence that w implement the Suggested R concerning the structure of t	emedy in my general co		eric terms, and then				highlighted by the re			
U U	sponse Status C									
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					The text states that "while TX_EN and TX_ER are both deasserted, TXD<3:0> shall have no effect on the PHY."					
The text does not need to re amendment has no effect or		aterial. Howeve	r, restructuring the draft	asserte	The commenter then highlights conditions where one or other of TX_EN and TX_ER are asserted. Therefore the text is entirely compatible with the behavior required. It should be noted that the current standard requires that TXD<3:0> has no effect on the PHY wheneve					
Delete the added text from "	For low power operation	n." to "nominal c	lock period."	TX_EN	is deasserte	d. The chang	equires that TXD<. ge makes a single asserted and TXD	exception for the		
Change "Following the deas of RX_DV at the end of a fra	me," to "Following the o	deassertion of R	X_DV at the end of a	TA_EN	is deassend	u, I A_EK 15		<3.0> = 0001.		
frame or while the PHY is as										

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.4 Page 18 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

CI 22 SC 22.2.2.4	P 27	L 42	# 195	CI 22 SC 22.2	. 2.6a P2	28 L 19	# 261
Grow, Robert	Intel			Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE	Corporation	
comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Type T	Comment Status	s A	
Awkard and possibly misle	eading text.			Strange language power idle state"	"the LPI client asserts th	at it wishes the PHY to	o transition to the low
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
The PHY shall interpret th TXD<3:0> equal to 0001 s idle. Other values of TXD effect upon the PHY.	hown in Table 22-1 as a r	equest to enter,	or remain in low power	Change "the LPI o state" to read "the	lient asserts that it wishe LPI client requests the P est if it is EEE compatible	PHY to transition to the	LPI state". a PHY cannot
esponse	Response Status U			Response	Response Status	C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	, -			ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.		
Also change in the same s	style as suggested by com	ment #479		Make the suggest	ed change for lines 19 ar	nd 24.	
"For EEE capability, the R asserted and TXD<3:0> e remain in low power idle. (TX_ER shall have no effe	qual to 0001 shown in Tal Dther values of TXD<3:0>	ole 22-1 as a req	uest to enter, or	Cl 22 SC 22.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E		Corporation	# 262
22 SC 22.2.2.4	P 27	L 45	# 164	•	ng deassent of de-asse	en	
azier, Howard	Broadcom Co	rporation		SuggestedRemedy		and the set in the same of	diferent descels at least
omment Type TR "Other values of TXD<3:0	Comment Status A	n tha DUV"2 Uay	u dooo tha				this word, though at least se" de-assert" rather than
MAC convey transmit data			v does the	Response	Response Status	c	
uggestedRemedy				ACCEPT.	nooponoo olalao		
Change the sentence to re deasserted and TX_ER is and then implement the S concerning the structure of	asserted shall have no ef uggested Remedy in my g	fect upon the PH	Y"		of deassert to de-assert	in Clause 22.	
esponse	Response Status C						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							
The response to comment	#195 removes the issue.						

C/ **22** SC **22.2.2.6a**

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.6a	P 28	L 46	# 167	CI 22	SC 22.2.2.7	P 29	L 36	# 352
Frazier, Howard	Broadcom Co	rporation		Law, David		3Com		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R			Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status A		
figure presents what ap relationship between va	es in Figure 22-6a represen pears to be a timing diagram rious logical signals. How do a logical timing diagram, and	that shows the bes an abstract		well as ju GMII and the same	at being a good XGMII when th description. At	fer globally to the same er d idea, I believe that the er ne PHY is receiving the Lo the moment we have:	ncoding on the rec	eive path of the MII,
SuggestedRemedy					ceive low powe			
	rvice primitive from the timir ny general comment concer			XGMII a	ssert low powe ssert low powe ssert low powe	er idle		
esponse	Response Status U			I suggest	that for consist	tency we use 'assert low p	ower idle'.	
REJECT.				SuggestedRe	nedy			
	n the proposal "law_01_110	8" that was adopt	ted as the baseline for	0	·	wer idle' in Table 22-2 to r	ead 'Assert low po	ower idle'.
The diagram is based o this section.	n the proposal "law_01_110	8" that was adopt	ted as the baseline for	0	Receive low po e this change:	wer idle' in Table 22-2 to r	ead 'Assert low po	ower idle'.
this section. The representation of P ambiguity. This diagram would be C/ 22 SC 22.2.7 Frazier, Howard	LS_CARRIER.indication add present regardless of the do <i>P</i> 29 Broadcom Co	ds clarity to the di cument structure <i>L</i> 10	agram without any	0	this change: ine 46 ine 17 ine 40 line 15 line 20 line 1 line 12	wer idle' in Table 22-2 to r	ead 'Assert low po	ower idle'.
this section. The representation of P ambiguity. This diagram would be Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.7 Frazier, Howard Comment Type TR The sentence "See 22.2 provide a False Carrier 1000BASE-X half duple	LS_CARRIER.indication add present regardless of the do <i>P</i> 29 Broadcom Co <i>Comment Status</i> A 2.4.4.2 for a description of th indication" is obviously wron x ability extended status reg	ds clarity to the di- cument structure <i>L</i> 10 rporation e conditions unde g, since 22.2.4.4.	agram without any chosen. # 169 er which a PHY will .2 describes the	Also mak Page 29, Page 40, Page 68, Page 105 Page 105 Page 115 Page 115 Page 124 Response ACCEPT.	e this change: ine 46 ine 17 ine 40 line 15 line 20 line 1 line 12 line 12 line 1	Response Status C		ower idle'.
this section. The representation of P ambiguity. This diagram would be 2 22 SC 22.2.2.7 razier, Howard Comment Type TR The sentence "See 22.2 provide a False Carrier	LS_CARRIER.indication add present regardless of the do <i>P</i> 29 Broadcom Co <i>Comment Status</i> A 2.4.4.2 for a description of th indication" is obviously wron x ability extended status reg since it	ds clarity to the di- cument structure <i>L</i> 10 rporation e conditions unde g, since 22.2.4.4.	agram without any chosen. # 169 er which a PHY will .2 describes the	Also mak Page 29, Page 40, Page 68, Page 105 Page 105 Page 115 Page 115 Page 124 Response ACCEPT.	e this change: ine 46 ine 17 ine 40 line 15 line 20 line 1 line 12 line 12 line 1			ower idle'.
this section. The representation of P ambiguity. This diagram would be p 2 2 SC 22.2.2.7 razier, Howard Comment Type TR The sentence "See 22.2 provide a False Carrier 1000BASE-X half duple inserted some time ago also appears in 802.3-2	LS_CARRIER.indication add present regardless of the do <i>P</i> 29 Broadcom Co <i>Comment Status</i> A 2.4.4.2 for a description of th indication" is obviously wron x ability extended status reg since it	ds clarity to the di- cument structure <i>L</i> 10 rporation e conditions unde g, since 22.2.4.4.	agram without any chosen. # 169 er which a PHY will .2 describes the	Also mak Page 29, Page 40, Page 68, Page 105 Page 105 Page 115 Page 115 Page 124 Response ACCEPT.	e this change: ine 46 ine 17 ine 40 line 15 line 20 line 1 line 12 line 12 line 1	Response Status C		ower idle'.
this section. The representation of P ambiguity. This diagram would be C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.7 Frazier, Howard Comment Type TR The sentence "See 22.2 provide a False Carrier 1000BASE-X half duple inserted some time ago	LS_CARRIER.indication add present regardless of the do P 29 Broadcom Co <i>Comment Status</i> A 2.4.4.2 for a description of th indication" is obviously wron x ability extended status reg since it 005.	ds clarity to the di- cument structure <i>L</i> 10 rporation e conditions unde g, since 22.2.4.4.	agram without any chosen. # 169 er which a PHY will .2 describes the	Also mak Page 29, Page 40, Page 68, Page 105 Page 105 Page 115 Page 115 Page 124 Response ACCEPT.	e this change: ine 46 ine 17 ine 40 line 15 line 20 line 1 line 12 line 12 line 1	Response Status C		ower idle'.

C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.7

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient E	thernet com	ments		September 200
Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.9a Hajduczenia, Marek	P 29 ZTE Corporatio	L 51 on	# 263	<i>Cl 22 Hajduczen</i>	SC 22.2.2. ia, Marek	Pa P 30 ZTE Corporation	L 4	# 225
Comment Type E Text is confusing "When transition into the low po setting RXD<3:0> to 000 dividing the sentence int SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Add a comma as shown "When the PHY receives	Comment Status A the PHY receives signals fro wer state it indicates this to to the while keeping RX_DV dea two two logical blocks. Response Status C s signals from the link partner this to the LPI client by asset	om the link part he LPI client by sserted." Cons	r asserting RX_ER and ider adding commas or nsition into the low	more t Suggested Chang more t Response ACCE CI 22 Hajduczen Comment What a	e the PHY devic han 9 clock" is <i>IRemedy</i> ge to "While the han 9 clock" PT. SC 22.2.2.4 ia, Marek <i>Type</i> E	Comment Status A ce is indicating low power idle it m m issing a comma (?). PHY device is indicating LPI, it n Response Status C	ay halt the R hay halt the I <i>L</i> 5	RX_CLK at any time
C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.9a	P 30 Broadcom	L 4	# 412	Suggested	Remedy	uare brackets and replace them w	ith parenthe	ses (?).
Comment Type TR This indicates that RX_C	Comment Status A CLK may be stopped which is ntinuous and only says that it				PT IN PRINCI	Response Status C PLE. ets on line 5. Replace "[45.2.3.1.3	a]" with "(see	e 45 2 3 1 3a)"
SuggestedRemedy Make the subclauses co 22.2.2.2. Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Add to the end of the pa "For EEE capability, RX	nsistant. If RX_CLK is stopp Response Status C	9.						

C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.9a Page 21 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

22 SC 22.2.2.9a P 30 L 6 # 21	Cl 22 SC 22.7.3.4a P 33 L 37 # 229
rrass, Hugh Cisco	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
mment Type T Comment Status A **Clock Stoppable**	Comment Type T Comment Status A Item L7 contains 'shall' - what for?
Refer also to comment #6, rev 1.5	SuggestedRemedy Change "RS shall continue to indicate" to "RS continues to indicate". Shall is not needed
The clock stoppable bit as currently defined is not useful. It is better to split the control into two directions - PHY-MAC & MAC-PHY.	the PICS already. Item feature is a description of the function only.
The MAC needs to assert a bit to allow the PHY to stop the clock in the PHY-MAC	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
direction; The PHY needs to assert a bit to allow the MAC to stop the clock in the MAC- PHY direction	Cl 22 SC 22.7a P 30 L 38 # 226
ggestedRemedy	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
Change "RX_CLK_stoppable bit" to "Clock stop enable bit"	Comment Type ER Comment Status A
Also, make the reference an active link.	"Low Power Idle" or "low power idle" - pick one and be consistent with it. Also consider or of the previous comments which suggest the use of LPI which was already defined in this
sponse Response Status C	draft.
АССЕРТ.	SuggestedRemedy Per comment
22 SC 22.2.7 P 29 L 13 # 411 aler, Pat Broadcom	Response Response Status W
mment Type TR Comment Status A	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
By adding this as a requirement on any "PHY that supports low power idle operation" you have made these PHYs incompatible with existing Reconcilliation sublayers. Such Reconcilliation sublayers do not understand the value 0001 on RXD<3:0>.	Comment #260 resolves this. It will be a proper noun every place where it is not shortened to LPI.
A compliant phy supporting low power idle operation should be able to interoperate with	Partial
Reconcilliation sublayers and PHYs that do not support it.	Cl 22 SC 22.7a.1 P 31 L 30 # 227
ggestedRemedy	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
This requirement and any other new requirements or behaviors should only apply when low power idle operation is enabled and low power idle operation should only be enabled when	Comment Type T Comment Status A
attached to other devices that also support low power idle operation.	"The link partner is operating with normal idle behavior" - what is a 'normal idle' in this
sponse Response Status C	case? It is not defined anywhere and seems like a strange construct. Can it be replaced
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	with something like "The link partner is in normal operating mode" There are other occurences of this text string below.
The "shall" is not appropriate as it indicates a PHY requirement. Therefore reword as follows:	SuggestedRemedy Per comment.
"For EEE capability, the PHY indicates that it is receiving low power idle by asserting the RX_ER signal and driving the value 0001 onto RXD<3:0> while RX_DV is de-asserted."	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
TA_ER signal and driving the value 0001 onto TAD<3.02 while TA_DV is de-asserted.	
	Change "normal idle" to "normal inter-frame" to match the contents of Tables 22-1 & 22-2

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 22
 Page 22 of 125

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 22
 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

 SORT ORDER:
 Clause, Subclause, page, line
 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 22 SC 22.7a.1 P 31 L 37	# 228	C/ 22 SC 22.72	a.2.2 P 32	L 6	# 166	
Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation		Frazier, Howard	Broadcom	Corporation		
Comment Type T Comment Status A		Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			
"The system wishes to operate with normal idle behavior (defau This concept is not known / defined in 802.3	t)." - what is 'the system' ?	contains the RS ha	ndition that is true until such tir is reached the operating region	n" sounds		
SuggestedRemedy Either define what this 'system' is or rewrite the sentence to ider	tify what the agent		t about the L.O.? What about of why it is a bad idea to have			
responsible for the decision to enter the LPI mode is. Is this an I client located relative to MAC?	PI client? How is this	SuggestedRemedy				
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			chine into the 100BASE-X with y in my general comment conc			
Change the semantics definition to match 78.1.2.1.2 as modified response to comment #211 which requests cleanup of anthropo		Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C CIPLE.			
"system" is the LPI client - clarify and replace system with LPI cl	ient where appropriate.	Add the variable "p				
C/ 22 SC 22.7a.2.1 P 31 L 51	# 170		ue until such time as the powe e operating region."	r supply for the dev	vice that contains the	
Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation		Values: FALSE; Th	ne device is completely powere			
Comment Type TR Comment Status A		IRUE; IN	e device has not been complete	ely powerea.		
The sentence "The notation ++ after a counter indicates it is to be superfluous. SuggestedRemedy	e incremented" appears to	Change name of "reset" to "rs_reset" with definition: "Used by management to control the resetting of the RS" Values: FALSE; Do not reset the PCS. TRUE: Reset the PCS.				
Delete the sentence, and then implement the Suggested Remer concerning the structure of the draft amendment.	ly in my general comment	,	on "reset" to "rs_reset + power	_on"		
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		See also #165 reg	arding the use of a state machi	ne in the RS.		
The sentence is superfluous and should be deleted.		Note that this com changed.	ment has equal validity whethe	r the document stru	cture is preserved or	

Cl 22 SC 22.7a.2.2

C/ 22 SC 22.7a.2.3	P 32	L 15	# 165	CI 22	SC 22.7a.:	2.3 P	32	L 20	# 17
Frazier, Howard	Broadcom Corp	ooration		Barrass, H	lugh	Cisc	o		
A state diagram in the MII of signal to indicate when the		e PHY assert/de	eassert the CRS	Comment Arrow Suggested	heads & tails	Comment Statu are not correctly aligne			
uggestedRemedy				Clean	up the arrows	in Fig 22-21.			
Take out the state diagram asserting and deasserting (comment concerning the st	CRS, and then implement t	the Suggested F		Response ACCE		Response Status	S C		
Response R	esponse Status U			CI 22	SC 22.9a	P	30	L 0	# 370
REJECT.				Ofelt, Davi			per Network	-	# 370
In favor of accepting the pr	oposed reject:			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Statu	s A		
Yes: 15 No: 0 Abstain: 7						on on when the RX_C fter the deassertion of			eassertion of LPI, and if receive data.
				Suggested	dRemedy				
The state machine in the R (law_01_1108) that was ad		s the cornerston	e of the baseline			about the details of wh state is deasserted.	at can happ	en with the R	X_CLK, RXDV, and
It was considered advantaged RS for a number of reasons		f the PLS_CAR	RIER.indication in the	Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	Response Status IPLE.	G C		
 It keeps the PHY receive part of the receive path). 	and transmit paths separa	ate (the PHY co	nsiders CRS to be			r "if and only if the bit is asserted." on p.3	30, line 6.		
2. It allows the PHY to go to process.				RX_C		art RX_CLK at any time east one positive trans			
 It keeps the "data holdba would be implemented in m 		IAC and egress	buffers, where it	The a	rrival of new re	eceive data is controlle	d by Tw and	l is described	in Clause 78.
4. It frees the PHY from hat controled using LLDP fram		ake time negotia	ation process (that is	<i>Cl</i> 22 Ofelt, Davi	SC 7a.2.2 id		32 iper Network	L 0 (S	# <u>367</u>
It works for PHYs that op can be used for all speeds.	perate at speeds greater th	an 1Gbps, so th	e same mechanism		ross reference	Comment Statu for Tw_sys is wrong a was given as "Tw_sys_	nd it would	match the tex	tt in clause 78 better if
The state diagram would be present (or deleted according to the comment) whether the proposed changes to the document are accepted or not.				Suggested Replac	dRemedy ce the crossre	ference to "78.4.2.3" v fw_sys" with "Tw_sys_	vith "78.2".		
				Response	,	Response Status	s W		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line CI **22** SC 7a.2.2

	nses on D2.0			P802.3az D2.0 Energy			nonto		September 20
CI 22	SC 7a.3	P 32	L 0	# 368	C/ 24	SC 1.1	P 34	L 13	# 471
Ofelt, Dav	vid	Juniper Netw	orks		Kim, Yong		Broadcom		
Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		
claus		"Resolved Transmit Tw". I the ms. If so, it doesn't exactly m				nly 100BASE-X ally" word insei	CPHY that supports this capabi rted.	lity is 100BASE	-TX." should have
		ince.			Suggested	-			
••	dRemedy	to 78.4.2.3 where the variable	es are defined a	nd change the	Adopt I	Nomative Anne	x (or equivlent), or		
		v" to match one of the variable		5	change	to "The only 1	00BASE-X PHY that optionally	supports this ca	apability is 100BASE-
Response	e	Response Status W			TX."				
ACCI	EPT IN PRINCIPL	.Е.			Response		Response Status W		
l leo t	he variable name	& xref from comment #367.			ACCER	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
					Please	see response	to comment #232 and #230.		
Cl 22	SC 7a.3.1	P 32	L O	# 369	CI 24	SC 2.3.2	P 41	L 2	# 473
Ofelt, Dav		Juniper Netwo	OFKS		Kim, Yong		Broadcom		" 10
Commen		Comment Status A ng and "Transmit Tw_sys" sh	and the "True are	- 4II	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
Chan	sys_tx" to match t	rence from "78.4.2.3" to "78.2 the parameter names in that s <i>Response Status</i> W	•	ransmit Tw_sys" to	indicate operati were to	e as such (miss on to drive link o implement 24 Also not clear v	used for LPI portion of the state sing, and not reader-friendly at monitor statemachine (24.3.4.4 .3.4.4 link monitor statemachine what normal PHY were to imple	best). This sign 4). It is not clea e and turn it off	al was used in norma r whether .3az PHY (or not!) if option is no
ACCI	EPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			Suggested				
	variable used in th	is section should be Tw_sys	rx, with xref 78.	5.	00		x (or equivlent), or		
The					Ацорт				
CI 22	SC Figure 2	2-6a P 28 Intel	L 45	# 207		the relationship r statemachine	b between this state variable us	se in the RX stat	emachine and link
CI 22 Grow, Ro	bert		L 45	# 207				se in the RX stat	emachine and link
Cl 22 Grow, Ro Commen	bert t <i>Type</i> E	Intel		# <u> 207</u>	monito Response		Response Status W	e in the KX stat	emachine and link
Cl 22 Grow, Ro Commen I'm ui Suggeste Remo	bert t Type E ncomfortable with dRemedy ove the PLS_CAR	Intel Comment Status R mixing two sides of the RS in RRIER.indication line for cons	n the figure		monito <i>Response</i> ACCEF The sig machir	r statemachine PT IN PRINCIP gnal_status is g le as well as by	Response Status W	by optional LPI i nd Far-End Fauli	mode of Receive stat
C/ 22 Grow, Ro Commen I'm ui Suggeste	bert t Type E ncomfortable with dRemedy ove the PLS_CAR	Intel Comment Status R mixing two sides of the RS ir	n the figure		monito <i>Response</i> ACCEF The sig machir been s In orde	r statemachine PT IN PRINCIP gnal_status is g le as well as by hown in Function r to clarify the r	Response Status W LE. enerated by PMD and is used I v Link Monitor state machine ar	by optional LPI i Id Far-End Fauli 1-4.	mode of Receive stat t state machine. It ha

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 24

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 C/ 24

 SORT ORDER:
 Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 2.3.2

	Page 25 of 125
.2	9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 24	SC 2.4.2	P 42	L 11	# 472		C/ 24	SC	24.1.1		P 34	L 11	# 231	
(im, Yong		Broadcom				Hajduczen	ia, Mare	ek		ZTE Corpora	tion		
Comment T	Туре Т	Comment Status R			472	Comment	Туре	т	Comment	Status A			230
impleme	entation must b	, if TXD[3:0]=TX_LP_IDLE, the taken. Or TX_ER=TRUE particular terms of the taken.	ath to START EF	ROR J state							nctional blocks." state that clearly		
		n, if option is not implemented 1.1.6 and 22.2.2.5(originally int				Suggested	Remea	ły					
take on	any value (and	I the text says, not required to	implement in RS	S, shall implement	in	Per co	omment						
		ent in MAC) including TX_LP_	IDLE, coinciden	tally.		Response			Response	Status C			
SuggestedF						ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPL	.E.				
Adopt N	Nomative Annex	k (or equivlent), or				See re	esponse	e to comm	nent #230.				
		to address this concern but er. If we add text to avoid TX_			ne	CI 24	SC	24.1.1		P 34	L 13	# 232	
legacy F		_		0.0		Hajduczen	ia, Mare	ek		ZTE Corpora	tion		
esponse		Response Status C				Comment	Туре	т	Comment	Status A			230
	nge required.												
Based o	iged when it rec	he idle mode option is not impl eives TXD[3:0]=TX_LP_IDLE	*TX_EN=FALSE			capab <i>Suggestec</i> Per cc		-					
Based o unchang Therefo	nged when it rec pre, it will not me		*TX_EN=FALSE at all.	*TX_ER=TRUE.		Suggested	d <i>Remea</i> omment	-	Response	Status C			
Based c unchang Therefo	nged when it rec ore, it will not mo SC 24.1.1	eives TXD[3:0]=TX_LP_IDLĖ [;] ove to "START ERR J" state a P 34	*TX_EN=FALSE			Suggested Per cc Response	dRemea	-	•	Status C			
Based o unchang Therefo / 24 nompson,	nged when it rec pre, it will not mo SC 24.1.1 Geoff	eives TXD[3:0]=TX_LP_IDLĖ [;] ove to "START ERR J" state a <i>P</i> 34 GraCaSI	*TX_EN=FALSE at all.	*TX_ER=TRUE.		Suggested Per co Response ACCE	IRemea omment PT IN F	PRINCIPL	•	Status C			
Based of unchang Therefo 2 24 hompson,	nged when it record ore, it will not mo SC 24.1.1 Geoff <i>Type</i> TR	eives TXD[3:0]=TX_LP_IDLÈ [;] ove to "START ERR J" state a <i>P</i> 34 GraCaSI <i>Comment Status</i> A	*TX_EN=FALSE at all. <i>L</i> 10	*TX_ER=TRUE. # [462	230	Suggested Per co Response ACCE	IRemea omment PT IN F	PRINCIPL	.E.	Status C			
Based o unchang Therefo C/ 24 Thompson, Comment T There is 100BAS that (a) anywhe	aged when it record, it will not mo SC 24.1.1 Geoff Type TR s mention of an SE-X PHY to go there is no defi ere else in the d such an agent to	eives TXD[3:0]=TX_LP_IDLĖ [;] ove to "START ERR J" state a <i>P</i> 34 GraCaSI	*TX_EN=FALSE at all. <i>L</i> 10 the active element and normal opera Pl agent nor ever uses where one w	*TX_ER=TRUE. # 462 Int that causes the ation. I find it stran of any mention of it would expect a par	ge	Suggested Per co Response ACCE	IRemea omment PT IN F	PRINCIPL	.E.	Status C			
Based o unchang Therefo 7 24 hompson, comment T There is 100BAS that (a) anywhe use of s 10BASE	SC 24.1.1 Geoff Type TR s mention of an SE-X PHY to go there is no defi ere else in the d such an agent to E-Te)	eives TXD[3:0]=TX_LP_IDLÈ ove to "START ERR J" state a P 34 GraCaSI Comment Status A "LPI agent" in this clause as t back and forth between LPI a nition or specification of an LP raft, not even in the other clau	*TX_EN=FALSE at all. <i>L</i> 10 the active element and normal opera Pl agent nor ever uses where one w	*TX_ER=TRUE. # 462 Int that causes the ation. I find it stran of any mention of it would expect a par	ge	Suggested Per co Response ACCE	IRemea omment PT IN F	PRINCIPL	.E.	Status C			
Based o unchang Therefo 2/ 24 hompson, comment T There is 100BAS that (a) anywhe use of s 10BASE cuggestedF Fully de LPI (be	aged when it record, it will not more ore, it will not more SC 24.1.1 Geoff Type TR s mention of an SE-X PHY to go there is no definere else in the d such an agent to E-Te) Remedy efinne and spece it an "LPI agen	eives TXD[3:0]=TX_LP_IDLÈ ove to "START ERR J" state a P 34 GraCaSI Comment Status A "LPI agent" in this clause as t back and forth between LPI a nition or specification of an LP raft, not even in the other clau	*TX_EN=FALSE at all. <i>L</i> 10 the active element and normal opera Pl agent nor ever uses where one v sch for the other L nterfaces for the er, have that me	*TX_ER=TRUE. # 462 ht that causes the ation. I find it stran of any mention of it vould expect a par PI PHYs (except activating function chanism act on ea	ge allel n for ch	Suggested Per co Response ACCE	IRemea omment PT IN F	PRINCIPL	.E.	Status C			
Based o unchang Therefo 7 24 hompson, comment T There is 100BAS that (a) anywhe use of s 10BASE cuggestedF Fully de LPI (be	aged when it record, it will not more ore, it will not more SC 24.1.1 Geoff Type TR s mention of an SE-X PHY to go there is no definere else in the d such an agent to E-Te) Remedy efinne and spece it an "LPI agen	eives TXD[3:0]=TX_LP_IDLÈ ove to "START ERR J" state a P 34 GraCaSI Comment Status A "LPI agent" in this clause as t b back and forth between LPI a nition or specification of an LP raft, not even in the other clau o cause the same sort of switc ify the operation and service in t" or other mechanism). Furthe	*TX_EN=FALSE at all. <i>L</i> 10 the active element and normal opera Pl agent nor ever uses where one v sch for the other L nterfaces for the er, have that me	*TX_ER=TRUE. # 462 ht that causes the ation. I find it stran of any mention of it vould expect a par PI PHYs (except activating function chanism act on ea	ge allel n for ch	Suggested Per co Response ACCE	IRemea omment PT IN F	PRINCIPL	.E.	Status C			

Please refer to comment #230 for the suggested modification

C/ 24 SC 24.1.1

C/ 24 SC 24.1.1 P 34 L 8 # 230 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation 230	CI 24 SC 24.1.2 P 34 L 33 # 233 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status A 230 "When a transmitting station of a link with this capability does not need the full bandwidth, the LPI agent can put the local PHY transmitter and the link partner's receiver into low power idle mode to conserve energy". The idea that I got from EEE proceedings is that EEE is about energy conervation and not about 'needing / not needing full bandwidth'. This	Comment Type T Comment Status A 233 point g) is not entirely clear. What messages are intended to be transmitted to a reader in here? SuggestedRemedy
sentense confuses cause and effect. SuggestedRemedy "When a transmitting station of a link with this capability detects conditions, under which the link remains idle for extended period of time, the LPI agent can put the local PHY transmitter and the link partner's receiver into LPI mode to conserve energy" it is just an attempt to capture the thought. The facts which should be reflected (i) what matters for EEE is that the link is idle for extended period of time, and (ii) LPI agent then puts the Tx PHY and Rx PHY in peer into LPI mode. The original sentence talks about bandwidth as if the LPI agent was controlling / observing bandwidth useage.	Suggest to change point g) to read "Support Energy Efficient Ethernet, with the optional function of low power idle (LPI - see Clause 78), available only for 100BASE-T.". Also, what is intended as optional in this case - support for EEE or LPI? Can EEE be supported without LPI ? Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rewrite the point g) as follows: "Optionally support Energy Efficient Ethernet through the function of Low Power Idle (LPI - see Clause 78), available only for 100BASE-TX."
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Cl 24 SC 24.1.4.1 P 34 L 53 # 234
The entire 24.1.1 Scope is rewritten as follows: "The 100BASE-X may support the capability of Energy Efficient Ethernet as described in Clause 78. When a transmitting station of a link with this capability detects low link utilization, it can request the local PHY transmitter to enter LPI mode and send appropriate symbols over the link. Upon receiving and decoding those symbols, the link partner's receiver can enter LPI mode. The transmit and receive paths can enter and exit low power states independently. Energy is conserved by deactivating the corresponding functional blocks of individual path. Only 100BASE-TX supports this optional capability."	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A 234 What is "MII opcode" ? in the existing standard, I could only find references to "MII nibbles" - is this the same ? 234 SuggestedRemedy Clarify what "MII opcode" is 234 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C C

C/ 24 SC 24.1.4.1

Responses of	on D2.0
--------------	---------

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 24 SC 24.2.2 P 35 L 27 # 26	Cl 24 SC 24.2.2 P 36 L 33 # 235						
Barrass, Hugh Cisco	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation						
Comment Type T Comment Status A ** State diagram conventions ** It is not clear which state diagram conventions are relevant for each section in this amendment. Notes need to be added so that the conventions for each clause are clear.	Comment Type T Comment Status A One of the arrows should be dashed and it is solid. Check arrow to box "FAR-END FAULT DETECT". Also, arrow arriving to box "LINK MONITOR" from the bottom (condition link_control) does not easy to have any ending.						
The conventions may be cleaned up and coordinated in the next revision when all clauses are open.	not seem to have any ending. SuggestedRemedy Fix the errors in the figure as described in the comment.						
SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C						
Add a note (at the beginning of 24.2.2:	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
Note: The state diagram conventions described in 24.1.7 apply to all of the state diagrams in this clause.	These two questioned lines are from the diagram of original standard.						
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	What is more, the solid line goes to FAR-END FAULT DETECT should be solid since it is part of a line from Transmitter process all the way to TX process which is not an option.						
Add Editors notes (at the beginning of 24.2.2 and 24.3.3):	Add arrow head to line going to FAR-END FAULT GENERATE (line 29, page 36) as a service to humanity.						
Note: The state diagram conventions described in 24.1.7 apply to all of the state diagrams in this clause.	Arrow arriving to box "LINK MONITOR", with label Link_control, comes from autoneg - dc as is done in Clause 40.						
	C/ 24 SC 24.2.2.1 P 37 L 38 # 236 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation ZTE Corporation						
	Comment Type T Comment Status A What is the 'low power state' - is this the same as 'low power idle mode'?						
	SuggestedRemedy Clarify and if both terms mean the same, use only one as needed.						
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rewrite the bullet e) as follows:						

C/ 24 SC 24.2.2.1

ek ZTE Corporation T Comment Status pow power transmit state" - is this the same as "low power idle transmit state"? reate new terms but use existing ones. sed later on in the text. Scrub teh draft accordingly. by Response Status C PRINCIPLE. rt transmit state and receive state are adopted in an early meeting motion. It en overlooked. riginal sentence in line 12 as follows: E-X PCS accepts LPI commands from the RS and MII (Table 22-1) to put the in low power idle mode. The PCS returns to the normal mode when it detects n of the LPI power transmit state" with " transmit path in low power idle mode" in the es:
by power transmit state" - is this the same as "low power idle transmit state"? reate new terms but use existing ones. sed later on in the text. Scrub teh draft accordingly. <i>Response Status</i> C PRINCIPLE. or transmit state and receive state are adopted in an early meeting motion. It en overlooked. riginal sentence in line 12 as follows: E-X PCS accepts LPI commands from the RS and MII (Table 22-1) to put the in low power idle mode. The PCS returns to the normal mode when it detects n of the LPI power transmit state" with " transmit path in low power idle mode" in the
reate new terms but use existing ones. sed later on in the text. Scrub teh draft accordingly. <i>Response Status</i> C PRINCIPLE. In transmit state and receive state are adopted in an early meeting motion. It en overlooked. Figinal sentence in line 12 as follows: E-X PCS accepts LPI commands from the RS and MII (Table 22-1) to put the in low power idle mode. The PCS returns to the normal mode when it detects n of the LPI power transmit state" with " transmit path in low power idle mode" in the
Response Status C PRINCIPLE. In transmit state and receive state are adopted in an early meeting motion. It en overlooked. Triginal sentence in line 12 as follows: E-X PCS accepts LPI commands from the RS and MII (Table 22-1) to put the in low power idle mode. The PCS returns to the normal mode when it detects in of the LPI
Response Status C PRINCIPLE. In transmit state and receive state are adopted in an early meeting motion. It in overlooked. Triginal sentence in line 12 as follows: E-X PCS accepts LPI commands from the RS and MII (Table 22-1) to put the in low power idle mode. The PCS returns to the normal mode when it detects in of the LPI
r transmit state and receive state are adopted in an early meeting motion. It en overlooked. Figinal sentence in line 12 as follows: E-X PCS accepts LPI commands from the RS and MII (Table 22-1) to put the in low power idle mode. The PCS returns to the normal mode when it detects in of the LPI power transmit state" with " transmit path in low power idle mode" in the
en overlooked. riginal sentence in line 12 as follows: E-X PCS accepts LPI commands from the RS and MII (Table 22-1) to put the in low power idle mode. The PCS returns to the normal mode when it detects n of the LPI power transmit state" with " transmit path in low power idle mode" in the
E-X PCS accepts LPI commands from the RS and MII (Table 22-1) to put the in low power idle mode. The PCS returns to the normal mode when it detects n of the LPI power transmit state" with " transmit path in low power idle mode" in the
E-X PCS accepts LPI commands from the RS and MII (Table 22-1) to put the in low power idle mode. The PCS returns to the normal mode when it detects n of the LPI power transmit state" with " transmit path in low power idle mode" in the
26.
e 49
e 196
e 202 e 209
power transmit state" with " low power idle mode" in the following places:
e 41
e 40 e 49 e 196 e 202 e 209 power transmit state" with " low power i e 41

C/ 24 SC 24.2.2.5

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient Et	September 2009				
C/ 24 SC 24.2.2.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 39 ZTE Corpora	L 31 Ition	# 239	C/ 24 Hajduczen	SC 24.2.2.5 ia, Marek	P 39 ZTE Corporati	<i>L</i> 35 ion	# 241	
Comment Type T Comment Status A "The start of a LPI state is indicated by a series of SLEEP code-groups for fixed amount" should probably read "The start of a LPI state is indicated by a series of SLEEP code-groups !!!transmitted!!! for fixed amount" (remove ! signs). SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "The start of a LPI state is indicated by a series of SLEEP code-groups transmitted for a fixed amount"					Comment Type T Comment Status A "which is consuming less power than the normal state" - from the sentence, it seems that a state is consuming power. Probably equipment / hardware is refine the sentence accordingly. in line 37: "before a Refresh or Wake state must present." should probably read "before a Refresh or Wake state appears". The original sentence reads very strange at the end. SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For line 35, remove the sentence ",which is consuming less power than the normal state"				
Cl 24 SC 24.2.2.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Editorial issues on pay line 32 missing space line 33 "to low power i SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT.			# 240	For line	e 35, remove the sente e 37, modify the sente e a Refresh or Wake s	nce as follows:	ng less power ti	nan the normal state	

CI 24 SC 24.2.2.5

Respo	nses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	/ Efficient E	Ethernet comm	ients		September 200
CI 24	SC 24.2.2.5	P 39	L 43	# 242	CI 24	SC 24.2.3.1	P 40	L 5	# 243
lajduczei	nia, Marek	ZTE Corpora	ation		Hajducze	nia, Marek	ZTE Corpor	ation	
	is the "low power	Comment Status A receive state" - is this the st	ame as "low powe	r idle receive state"? If	Commen Three	51	Comment Status A re defined and not two		
		terms but use existing ones. on in the text. Scrub teh dra	ft accordingly.		00	dRemedy			
uggeste	dRemedy		•••				otion. Usually, no number is al order in the list below:"	provided. May ch	hange to "Insert new
Per c	omment				Respons	• •	Paananaa Statua		
esponse	è	Response Status C			•		Response Status C		
'	- EPT IN PRINCIPL	•			ACC	EPI.			
ACCE		- C .			C/ 24	SC 24.2.3.2	P 40	L 21	# 158
	ow power transmi used here since th	t state and receive state are nen.	adopted in an ear	ly meeting motion. It	Frazier, H	loward	Broadcom	Corporation	
					Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status A		
Rewr	ite the original se	ntence in line 43 as follows:					"Insert new variable in the " " indicates that this set of fi		
	n successfully rec n low power idle i	eiving SLEEP code-groups, mode"	the 100BASE-X F	CS puts the receive	at va	rious points into th			
		ceive state" with " receive pa	ath in low power id	le mode" in the	imple	mented in a "clas	sic" 100BASE-X PHY, yet I osed to know this?		
	ing places: 1 of page 40				Suggeste	dRemedy			
	4 of page 49					-	ted Remedy in my general	comment	
	5 of page 196 (Cl	ause 70.6.10)					e of the draft amendment.	oonmon	
line 2	9 of page 202 (Cl	ause 71.6.12)			Respons	-	Response Status C		
line 1	6 of page 209 (Cl	ause 72.6.11)			•	- EPT IN PRINCIPL	•		
	ace "low power re 5 of page 40	ceive state" with " low power	idle mode" in the	following places:		See response to			
	2 of page 40				022				
	7 of page 40								
line 1	4 of page 41								
	0 of page 41								
	9 of page 41								
	5 of page 41								
	1 of page 41 5 of page 45								
	1 of page 45								
	1 of page 45								
	9 of page 46								
	5 of page 46								
	6 of page 46								
	5 of page 47								
	2 of page 49								
	9 of page 53								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 24 SC 24.2.3.2 Page 31 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 24 SC 24.2.3.4 P 41	L8	# 157	C/ 24	SC 24.2.4.2	P 42	L 15	# 152			
Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corpora	ation		Frazier, H		Broadcom	orporation				
Comment Type TR Comment Status A A "classic" 100BASE-X PHY does not need to implement yet how is a designer or a user of a "classic" 100BASE-X set of timers has a very broad range of values, from fract of microseconds to tens of milliseconds, which implies a The amendment should make it clear that a "classic" 100BASE-X PHY is in no way required to these timers.	(PHY suppos tions non-trivial imp	ed to know this? The plementation cost.	plena from " with th used t that tx	transmit state di ry session was a IDLE" back to "II he transition from to pace the trans c_bits[4:0] gets a	Comment Status A agram, a bug that I pointed ddressed by eliminating the DLE" because this transition "IDLE" to "TX_SLEEP". The itions in this diagram so value assigned only upon r to see the transition condition	transition condition condition conflict e primitive sentCon ecceipt of sentCode	on ed odeGroup.indicate is eGroup.indicate.			
SuggestedRemedy			Suggestee	dRemedy						
Implement the Suggested Remedy in my general comme concerning the structure of the draft amendment.	ent			ne transition con						
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			TX_E	odeGroup.indica N=FALSE * ER=FALSE + (T>	te * {_ER=TRUE * TXD[3:0] {is	not equal to} TX_L	.P_IDLE))			
OBE. See response to comment #410.			from "	IDLE" back to "II	DLE",					
					e Suggested Remedy in my re of the draft amendment.	general commen	t			
			Response ACCE		Response Status C LE.					
			Add a	n arc from IDLE	back to IDLE. Make the trai	nsition condition a	variable.			
			If LPI	e the variable: is not implement odeGroup.indica	ed: te * TX_EN=FALSE					
					If LPI is implemented sentCodeGroup.indicate * TX_EN=FALSE * (TX_ER=FALSE + (TX_ER=TRUE * TXD[3:0] {is not equal to} TX_LP_IDLE))					
			In transfering this to Framemaker, replace {is not equal to} with the appropriate symbol.							
			Modif	 y wording in abo	ve response as per Motion	#3 before impleme	enting response			

C/ 24 SC 24.2.4.2

24 SC 24.2.4.2 P 42 L 15 # 153	Cl 24 SC 24.2.4.4 P43 L 20 # 147
azier, Howard Broadcom Corporation	Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation
omment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
The variable tx_quiet is not used by a "classic" 100BASE-X PCS. If a 100 Mbps PHY does not implement EEE (e.g. a 100BASE-FX PHY), then it should not have to set or clear this variable.	A 100BASE-X PHY that pre-dates P802.3az will not comply with this receive state diagram, because it will not take the branches from states "IDENTIFY JK" and "BAD SSD" of to part B of the diagram.
<i>lggestedRemedy</i> Implement the Suggested Remedy in my general comment	This will have the effect of making billions of existing 100BASE-TX PHYs not compliant with IEEE Std 802.3. This is a bad thing.
concerning the structure of the draft amendment.	SuggestedRemedy
esponse Response Status C	See my general comment concerning the structure of the draft amendment.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response C
The tx_quiet variable will be clearly identified as a variable that is required for EEE PHYs and not required for non-EEE PHYs.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Note in dashed section to the right will be modified to read: This section of the state diagram is mandatory only for EEE	Frame these two branches to part B with dashed line block and make a note saying: "States and state transitions shown within the dashed box are only required for the EEE capability"
24 SC 24.2.4.4 P 43 L 17 # 150	C/ 24 SC 24.2.4.4 P43 L 20 # 149
azier, Howard Broadcom Corporation	Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation
omment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
Why was the transition condition from the state "CARRIER DETECT" to the state "BAD SSD" changed from rx_bits[9:0] {not equal to} /I/J/ to rx_bits[9:0] {not equal to} /I/J ? The trailing slash indicates that /J/ is a code group.	Why was the transition condition from the state "CARRIER DETECT" to the state formerly known as "CONFIRM K" changed from rx_bits[9:0]=/I/J/ to rx_bits_[9:0]=1111111000 ? These should be equivalent.
IggestedRemedy	·
Change the transition condition back to be rx_bits[9:0] {not equal to} /l/J/	This sort of change obfuscates the real set of changes that are needed to support EEE, and will cause unecessary confusion.
and then implement the Suggested Remedy in my general comment concerning the	SuggestedRemedy
structure of the draft amendment.	Change the transition condition back to
ACCEPT. C	rx_bits[9:0]=/I/J/
Change the transition condition back to be rx_bits[9:0] (not equal to) /l/J/	and then implement the Suggested Remedy in my general comment concerning the structure of the draft amendment.
Don't change the doc structure.	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

CI 24 SC 24.2.4.4

CI 24	SC 24.2.4.4	P 43	L 25	# 151	C/ 24	SC 24.2.4.4	P	43	L 43	# 148			
Frazier, H	oward	Broadcom C	Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation										
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	A					
It appears that a single bit error in a /K/ in the SSD /J/K/ can synthesize the sequence rx_bits[9:0] = /l/P/. In the "classic" 100BASE-X receive state machine, this would be counted as a BAD SSD, a packet would be discarded, and life would go on. In this new 100BASE-X receive state machine, it appears that such a single bit error in a /K/ will send the state machine to START_RX_SLEEP.						This looks like an accidental typo in the receive state diagram, but it demonstrates the kin of inadvertent damage that can be done when significant changes are made to existing specifications. It appears that there is a mistake in the transition condition from the state "RECEIVE" to the state "DATA". The transition condition in the draft is							
May v	SuggestedRemedy May want to consider a more robust transition condition for going to				gotCodeGroup.indicate * rx_bits[9:5] {is not an element of} DATA. I believe that this transition condition should be gotCodeGroup.indicate * rx_bits[9:5] {is an element of} DATA.								
		ent the Suggested Remedy of the draft amendment.	in my general co	omment	SuggestedRemedy								
Response	0	Response Status C			Change the transition condition to be								
ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPL	E.			gotCodeGroup.indicate * rx_bits[9:5] {is an element of} DATA,								
goes	Introduce a new state WAIT_SLEEP between IDENTIFY JK and branch point "B" which goes to START RX_SLEEP				and then implement the Suggested Remedy in my general comment concerning the structure of the draft amendment.								
11111 It th	100000 is received nen moves to STA	s to WAIT_SLEEP from the I. RT RX_SLEEP when receiv			Response ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status E.	С					
/P/P/. A SSD		nan /P/ received following a	/P/ symbol will le	ead to the state of BAD	Accep	ot suggested rem	edy to fix the typo.						

CI 24 SC 24.2.4.4

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 24 SC 24.3.		L 4	# 244	CI 24	SC 24.3.3.2		L 7	# 246
lajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corpora	ation		Hajduczei	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp	oration	
	e Clause 24.2.4.4 and Figure 24	1-11b" should rea	d "PMA - see 24.2.4.4		n low power idle	Comment Status A mode is executed, this" st	nould probably read	"In the low power idle
and Figure 24-15."	ee Clause 24.3.4.4 and Figure 2			mode Suggeste	dRemedy			
802.3 is that the we Manual. Scrub the in line 30: "low pow	24.3.4.4." should read "24.3.4.4 ord "Clause" is not used - se sed draft accordingly. rer state. See Clause 24.2.4.4 a 4.2.4.4 and Figure 24-11b."	tion 11 in 2009 IE	EE Standards Style	Per co Response ACCE		Response Status C		
uggestedRemedy				<i>Cl</i> 24 Frazier, H	SC 24.3.4.4 oward		L 3 Corporation	# 154
Per comment esponse ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status W				51	Comment Status A classic" 100BASE-X PHY pi_link_fail.	should not have to	test
"PMA (see 24.2.4.4	IA. See Clause 24.2.4.4 and Fig I and Figure 24-11b)."				ment the Sugges	sted Remedy in my generative of the draft amendment		
	AIL. See Clause 24.3.4.4 and F and Figure 24-15)."	gure 24-15" to		Response	; EPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C		
Change line 25: "C	lause 24.3.4.4." to "24.3.4.4.".					l are only required for the	EEE capability.	
	w power state. See Clause 24.2 ee 24.2.4.4 and Figure 24-11b)		4-11b" to	<i>Cl</i> 24 Frazier, H	SC 24.3.4.5		L 22 Corporation	# 155
/ 24 SC 24.3. ajduczenia, Marek omment Type T	1.9.3 P 45 ZTE Corpor Comment Status A	L 53 ation	# 245	Comment The fa	Type TR	Comment Status A		ot
Language in "Far-E fault is not generat	and fault is not generated during and fault is not generated during and when in the low power idle m	the low power id ode."	e mode." > "Far-End	Suggeste	,	sted Remedy in my genera	al comment	
uggestedRemedy						ire of the draft amendment		
Per comment				Response)	Response Status C		
esponse	Response Status C			ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
ACCEPT.				See r	esponse to comr	ment #154		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 24 SC 24.3.4.5

Responses on D2.0 IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energ						rgy Efficient Ethernet comments					
C/ 24 SC 24. Frazier, Howard	4.1	P 49 Broadcom Co	L 3	# 156	C/ 24 Haiducze	SC 24 nia, Marek		P 49 ZTE Corp	L 12	# 247	
Comment Type T These new servic EEE. There is no primitives that m SuggestedRemedy Implement the Si		t Status A nly relevant for a em in the list of s y all 100BASE-X in my general co	' 100BASE-TX P service PHYs.	HY which implements	Comment line 1 24-11 line 3 8." Suggeste	<i>t Type</i> E 2: "state. S lb."	ER See Clause	Comment Status A e 24.2.4.4 and Figure 2	24-11b." > "state	- see 24.2.4.4 and Figure ee 24.2.4.2 and Figure 24-	
Response ACCEPT IN PRI	Response	Status C			Response ACCI	e EPT IN PRI		Response Status W			
See response to Cl 24 SC 24. Anslow, Pete Comment Type E	4.1	P 49 Nortel Networ t Status A	L 7 ks	# 6	"stat Chan	e (see 24.2 ge line 34:	.4.4 and F	ee Clause 24.2.4.4 and Figure 24-11b)." ee Clause 24.2.4.2 and igure 24-8)."	-	to	
This says "Insert clause 24.4.1.3.3 SuggestedRemedy change "shown b	the following new p:"	clause 24.4.1.3.		low at the end of	Commen		ER	P 50 ZTE Corp Comment Status A e references to IEEE S		# 248	
	er clause 24.4.1.3. lent change in othe <i>Response</i>	-	aft where this o	ccurs.	Suggeste	Std 802.3- dRemedy omment	2008. Rej	place them with referen	nces to "IEEE Sto	d 802.3-2008"	
ACCEPT. Change "at the e	nd of" to "after" in t	he following plac	es:		Response ACCI			Response Status W			
Line 48 of page 4	5 9										

C/ 24 SC 24.8.2.2

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 24 SC 24.8.2.3	P 51	L 10	# 474	C/ 25	SC 25	P 52	L 2	# 27		
(im, Yong	Broadcom			Barrass, Hu	gh	Cisco				
Comment Type T Comm Shouldn't PICs for PCS (this clau does not prevent PCS to have .3a indication that .3az option ought t better place to specify (or recomm have PICS reflect the resulting te	az option and PMA to be implemented mend) .3az option to	not, which is fine in both or neithe	e. But there is no r. Perhaps there is a	** State diagram conventions ** It is not clear which state diagram conventions are relevant for each section in this amendment. Notes need to be added so that the conventions for each clause are clear.						
ggestedRemedy				The cor are ope		be cleaned up and coordinate	ed in the next rev	rision when all clauses		
Should be T (not TR) but submite Nomative Annex (or equivlent) ap				SuggestedF	Remedy					
comment.	·····			Insert n	ew subclause:					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a new bullet (e) on 24.3.2 "(e) EEE capability, which disable condition with the PMA_RXLPI.re		It function and m	odifies the link down	The boo definitio state dia The not	ns of variables agram and des ation used in th	conventions ard is comprised of state diag , constants, and functions. Sh criptive text, the state diagrar he state diagrams follows the entions of 14.2.3.2.	nould there be a n prevails.	discrepancy between a		
Add a new subclause "24.3.2.3 EEE capability				Response		Response Status C				
EEE capability, when communica ways. It disables the operation of	ated by PMA_RXLP Far-End Fault proc	I.request primitiv	e, affects PMA in two requent on and off	•	T IN PRINCIPI					
activity of signal_status. It receiv PMA_LPILINKFAIL.request primi	tive and changes th	he Link Monitor s		See response to comment #26 Make it an editors note.						
an exit from the low power state t	to the link down star	le.		C/ 25	SC 25.3	P 52	<i>L</i> 11	# 249		
Modify 24.8.2.3 as follows	4005			Hajduczenia	a, Marek	ZTE Corpora	tion			
*LP1 support PCS LPI function 2- *LP2 support PMA LPI function 2				Comment Type T Comment Status A Suggest to reword bullet e) to read as follows "100BASE-TX optionally supports Energy Efficient Ethernet, as described in Clause 78, with its Low Power Idle. Two new service primitives PMD_RXQUIET.request(rx_quiet) (see 24.4.1.4) and PMD_TXQUIET.request(tx_quiet) (see 24.4.1.5) are generated to pass the energy saving requests from the PCS."						
				SuggestedF	Remedy					
				Per con	nment					
				Response		Response Status C				
				ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPI	LE.				
				Efficien	t Ethernet, as c	let e) to read as follows "100E lescribed in Clause 78, with it JIET.request(rx_quiet) (see 24	s Low Power Idl			

1 2	25	Page 37 of 125
С 2	25.3	9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 25 SC 25.3 P 52 L 25 # 161	Cl 25 SC 25.4.11 P 53 L 45 # 250					
Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status A					
It is not necessary to reproduce Table 25-1 in P802.3az. It appears that it was included in the draft only for the sake of adding three rows to the end	"This clause takes effect only if the option of low power idle" should read "This clause takes effect only if the optional low power idle"					
of the table for the three new service primitives introduced by EEE. The purpose of the table, however, is to present a mapping of FDDI terms or concepts into 100BASE-TX terminology. Since there is no comparable mapping	SuggestedRemedy Per comment					
of the new service primitives into FDDI terms or concepts, there is no need to include them in the table.	Response Response Status C					
SuggestedRemedy	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Delete the table, and then implement the Suggested Remedy in my general comment concerning the structure of the draft amendment.	Change the sentence: "This clause takes effect only if the option of low power idle is implemented"					
Response Response Status C	to: "25.4.11 is required only for the EEE capability"					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
Remove the changes to Table 25-1 and hence remove the table from 802.3az.	C/ 25 SC 25.4.11.1.1 P 54 L # 302 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation					
C/ 25 SC 25.3 P 52 L 40 # 160	Comment Type T Comment Status A					
Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation	"This variable is from the Transmit process of PCS to control the power saving function of					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	local transmitter" - this variable is part of the Transmit processand it is used by PCS to control the power saving ? Is this what is meant?					
This is not a problem introduced by EEE or P802.3az. I have submitted a	Similar question for page 56, line 3					
maintenance request on this topic.	SuggestedRemedy					
The maximum stream size parameter in Table 25-1 is incorrect,	Per comment					
and should have been updated by 802.3as frame format extensions.	Response Response Status C					
SuggestedRemedy	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
I believe that the correct value for maximum stream size is 4018 code-groups. If the task force persists in reproducing this table in the draft amendment, this change should be made. I think that a better solution is to delete the table (see associated	Rewrite the statement as follows:					
comment) and leave it to maintenance to change the parameter.	"This variable is generated by the Transmit process of the PCS to control the power saving					
Response Response Status C	function of local transmitter"					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Make similar to change to Page 56 line 3.					
Remove the change to Table 25-1. Move the suggested modification of stream size to maintenance.						

C/ **25** SC **25.4.11.1.1**

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energ	y Efficient Et	hernet comr	nents		September 2009
Cl 25 SC 25.4.11.1.1.1 Frazier, Howard	P 54 Broadcom Co	L 4 prporation	# 162	C/ 28B Thaler, Pat	SC 28B.3	P 247 Broadcom	L 0	# 404
Comment Type T Cor Not allowed to use more than	<i>mment Status</i> A 5 levels of indenture a	according to IEEE	style guide.	Comment EEE ne	51	Comment Status A ed to Priority resolution.		
SuggestedRemedy Reduce to 5 levels of indentur Response Resp ACCEPT.	re. ponse Status C			both si Response	est that EEE readers support EE	solution should occur after prio E for the selected PHY type, t Response Status C		
Remove line 34 of page 55 co	ontaining "25.4.11.2.1	State Variables".			PT IN PRINCIP ent is on 28B.	LE.		
Change "25.4.11.2.1.1 variabl	es" to "25.4.11.2.1 Sta	ate variables - va	riables".		the end of 28C	.12 and 73A.4		
Change "25.4.11.2.1.2 messa	ges" to "25.4.11.2.2 S	tate variables - n		"EEE o	capability negot	iation is defined in 78.3"		
Why in some locations terms ' in other they are not? Does it I SuggestedRemedy		', 'Descrambler' e	# 329					
Per comment Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ponse Status C							
Change "Descrambler" to "des	scrambler" in the follow	wing places:						
Line 29 of Page 55 Line 47 of Page 55 Line 48 of Page 55 Line 17 of Page 56								
Change "Receiver" to "receive	er" on the following pla	ces:						
Line 28 of Page 55 Line 39 of Page 55 Line 40 of Page 55 Line 41 of Page 55								
No place of "Transmitter" in dr	raft can be found whic	h needs to be ch	anged.					

C/ 28B SC 28B.3

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

2/ 28C SC 28C.12 P 247 L 37 # 110	C/ 28C SC 28C.12 P 247 L 39 # 413
obb, Terry Commscope	Thaler, Pat Broadcom
omment Type T Comment Status R	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
If auto-negotiation is mandatory why not make extended next page mandatory.	There is no reason to specify both an extended next page message code and an
lggestedRemedy	unextended one. The third paragraph of 28C defines a mechanism for packing a Message page and up to two unformatted code fields into a single extended next page so once you
Change 28C.12 Message code 10 to extended next page and delete 28C.13.	have defined an unextended next page message, you have also defined an extended one
esponse Response Status C	that carries the same information.
REJECT.	However, time per next page exchange can be quite long - on the order of a quarter of a
After extended discussion on the topic the task force does not have consensus on making	second per page which is why we defined extended next pages and required their use for 10GBASE-T. Note that support for extended next page also uses faster bursts and shorte
a change.	time between bursts which shortens time per page as well as the number of pages.
	SuggestedRemedy
	It would be better to require Extended Next Page support for EEE.
Straw poll: Make extended next page mandatory for EEE capability	If there is a reason to allow for 16 bit page_size for next page, then only specify a messa code for unextended pages which can be carred in extended pages using the packing
Yes: 7	already specified for 28.
No: 4	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
(The TF discussed making Extended Next Pages mandatory and this was not approved. The following response applies)	Delete message code 11 from the table and delete 28C.13 add the following to 28C.12:
The majority of Ethernet PHYs use next page messages and do not support extended next page operation. Therefore 28C.12 is needed for these PHYs.	"For PHYs that negotiate extended next page the EEE advertisement is sent as part of the 10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T technology message defined in 55.6.1."
However, 10GBASE-T PHYs are required to use extended next page operation (and once	C/ 28C SC 28C.12 P 247 L 40 # 414
it is negotiated, they are required to use only extended next pages). Therefore 28C.13 is	Thaler, Pat Broadcom
needed for these PHYs.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
	"at least one unformatted next page" A message should be fixed format.
	SuggestedRemedy
	use "one unformatted next page" - there are currently only 6 EEE auto-neg PHY types an if you are concerned about running out of the 11 bits, you could do separate bit map assignments for BASE-T and backplane PHYs.
	Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ **28C** SC **28C.12**

Responses on D2.0)	IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	/ Efficient E	thernet comn	nents			September 200
C/ 28C SC 28C.12 Thaler, Pat	P 247 Broadcom	L 41	# 415	C/ 30 Thompsor	SC 30.5.1.1 . n, Geoff	21	P 61 GraCaSI	L 6	# 463
	Comment Status A oplies to 28C.13. The exact place I It would be better to do this in essages.				t understand what mentation? Or is	at this attribu	nt Status A te indicates. Is it t for which the PCS		standard at time of n support EEE
	next page, you don't say which page. (This last part is the reas		esponds to which bit in	Suggestee Revise		DEFINED A	S:" text to clarify.		
SuggestedRemedy See 40.5.1.2 and 55.6	6.1 for examples.			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	'	e Status W		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C				ad-only list of the ly Efficient Etheri		Y types for which d in Clause 78."	the underlying	system supports
This is a change to 45	5.2.7.13a			C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.	21	P 61	L 6	# 461
Add a column to Table	e 45-145 for unformatted next p	page bit number		Thompsor	n, Geoff		GraCaSI		
28C SC 28D.7 ajduczenia, Marek	P 248 ZTE Corporati	L 10	# 266		51	.21 aEEESu		e same as that	of etiher aMAUType or
omment Type E	Comment Status A			Suggestee	dRemedy				
Change "Clause 78 (E The same in line 12 SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Energy Efficient Ethernet)" to "E	Energy Efficient I	Ethernet (Clause 78)"	(more same prese	likely) 30.6.1.1.5 object parser for	5 aAutoNegL both and pr a. This would	ocalTechnologyA	bility . that would happing as to will have been as tow will have been as tow will have been as to will have been	her aMAUType or ld allow the use of the hich PHYs are both on and test software
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI		e Status C		
				Chang	ge the SYNTAX	section to rea	ad:		
				"^ 05			VS that match the	overtox of cMAL	IT ma"

"A SEQUENCE of ENUMERATIONS that match the syntax of aMAUType"

(this will be compatible with future changes for 40/100G)

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.21 Page 41 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

CI 30	SC 31	Р	L	# 476		C/ 35	SC 2.1	P 65	L 31	# 477
Kim, Yong		Broadcom				Kim, Yong		Broadcon	n	
Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status R			LATE	Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A		LATI
Pause/ LPI timi allowed	Flow control use ing and Pause t d, orthogonal, et	essed in .3az (in which case, ig e of the MAC Control - should timing overlap enough to mak tc).	it benefit from l	_PI/EEE?		The ne looks li statem	ew text "The m ike a behavior	apping of GMII signals to P apping changes shall no al specification. Is there a g e, then perhaps this specific 2 7a)	t be set to ASSERT good way to just ref	f unless state to OK."
Suggested	-					Suggested	-			
Should	be T (not TR) b	out submited after comment su	ubmission dead	line.			-	but submitsed ofter somme	nt outpriseion de o	ماله
Conside	er specifving re	lationship between .3az and c	lause 31. if not	vet considered.		Should		but submited after comme	int submission dead	line.
Response		Response Status C		,		Please	make it so.			
REJEC	т					Response		Response Status C		
NEULO						ACCEF	PT IN PRINCI	PLE.		
		oosed as part of 802.3az that mentation) of Clause 31.	would require ar	ny change to the		Remed	died by the res	ponse to comment #357.		
C/ 30	SC 5.1.1.21	P 60	L 52	# 475		C/ 35	SC 2.2	P 66	L 45	# 478
Kim, Yong		Broadcom				Kim, Yong		Broadcon		
- Comment T	Type E	Comment Status A			LATE	Comment	Type T	Comment Status A		LATI
But the rational aMAUT 100BAS	descriptions of le for the differe TypeList SE-TX Two-pa	JTypeList was not touched, ar the MAU type are different th nces. For example, air Clause 25, duplex mode of pair Clause 25, Full duplex	an aMAUTypeL unknown.		ny	accord utilizati .3az).	ling to 35.2.2.6 ion according t	NOTE-GTX_CLK may be have ta." and "NOTE-RX_CLK may to 35.2.2.9a." is not clear was es not reference LPI clause	ay be halted during hether this note ap	periods of low
						Suggested	Remedv			
	upportList SE-TX Clause 2	24, Clause 25 MLT-3					-	bmited after comment subr	nission deadline.	
Suggestedl Please	,	ription consistent. e.g. use 10	0BASE-TXHD ir	aFFFSupportLis	st. and	Adopt I	Nomative Ann	ex (or equivlent), or		
		ion (confusing to the reader).						entation wording to the note		
Response	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C						al w/ no-clock period in thei n-conformant).	r design (or risk of	making existing PHY
ACCEF		_ _ .				Response		Response Status C		
Comme	ent #461 resolve	es this.				ACCEF	PT IN PRINCII	PLE.		
						Change "For El	e the notes to EE capability,	65 and not 66. read: GTX_CLK may be halted a RX_CLK may be halted act		

C/ 35 SC 2.2 Page 42 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 35 SC 2.2.4 P 66 L 15 #	479	C/ 35	SC 2.2.7	P 67	L 35	# 480
Kim, Yong Broadcom		Kim, Yong		Broadcom		
Comment Type T Comment Status A The text "The PHY shall interpret the combination of TX_EN, TX_ER and TXE shown in Table 35-1 as an assertion of low power idle. Transition into and out power idle state is shown in Figure 35-6a." breaks the legacy PHY and [uninter make all systems based on legacy PHY non-conformant.	t of the low	assert in Tabl which	xt "While RX_I low power idle le 35-2 onto RX a PHY will prov	Comment Status A DV is de-asserted, the PHY ma by asserting the RX_ER signa KD<7:0>. See 36.2.5.2.3 for a (vide a False Carrier indication. a." describes two possible beh	I while driving the description of the Low power idle	e specific value listed e conditions under
SuggestedRemedy Should be TR but submited after comment submission deadline.		1. LPI	rx, - 35.2.2.9a se Carrier - 36.3		aviors.	
Adopt Nomative Annex (or equivlent), or Add optional implementation wording text or correct via reference. Response Response Status C		only re indicat this to	efers to .3az op	ehaivor has priority, and 35.2.2 tion "When the PHY receives the low power state it indicate by asserting RX_ER and setting	s signals from th	e link partner to
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Suggested	IRemedy			
The use of a "shall" that applies to the PHY is not appropriate, therefore rewor	rd:		-	omited after comment submiss	ion deadline.	
"For EEE capability, the RS shall use the combination of TX_EN deasserted, asserted and TXD<7:0> equal to 0x01 shown in Table 35-1 as a request to er		Adopt	Nomative Ann	ex (or equivlent), or		
in low power idle."		option	is not impleme	entation wording text in 35.2.2. ented, false carrier takes precede other way around).		
		Response		Response Status C		
		ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	PLE.		
		indicat false c indicat	ions - if TXD<7 arrier; if TXD< ion is carrier e aneously, there	ing priority makes no sense. The sense of the indication is LF $7:0> = 0x01$ the indication is LF $7:0> = 0x0F$ the indication is calculated error. Since the data bust is no prioritization specified - of the sense of the sens	I; if TXD<7:0> = arrier extend; if T cannot have mu	0x0E the indication is XD < 7:0> = 0x1F the ultiple different values
		implem		add wording to 35.2.2.7a and 3 tional (even though no such wo		
		The fire	st sentence for	35.2.2.7a and 35.2.2.9a beco	mes:	

"The optional Low Power Idle operation and the LPI client are described in 78.1"

CI 35 SC 2.2.7

Responses on D2.0	D	IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Ener	gy Efficient Et	hernet comn	nents		September 20
C/ 35 SC 35.1.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 65 ZTE Corporati	L 21 on	# 303	C/ 35 Hajduczen	SC 35.2.1 ia, Marek	P 65 ZTE Corpo	L 30 ration	# 304
Ethernet in Clause 78	Comment Status A support low power idle signaling 3 for some PHY types. (see Cla signaling as defined for Energy	use 78)." > "GN	III may also support	0	y" - how much is o not add anythi iy.	Comment Status A s 'slightly'? Remove all such ng to the description and m		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Per co Response	mment	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	-		
C/ 35 SC 35.2.1 Law, David	Р 65 3Com	L 30	# 357	C/ 35 Grow, Rob	SC 35.2.1	P 65	L 33	# 201
Comment Type TR At a minimum mentio	Comment Status A on has to be made that the use of	of LPI requires t	hat Annex 4A MAC.	Comment		Comment Status A		

I can't figure out what the last sentence is trying to specify. It also seems that the edits treat service primitives as logic signals. Service primitives are not logic signals, they are events and therefore can't remain in any state. Though the value sent in a primitive may have state, the primitive is only generated when the value changes state. So, it may not be best to use the term set in earlier sentences either.

SuggestedRemedy

If I understand the intent right, the following would be more accurate, though I don't believe there is a way to put timing requirements in the service primitives, (only in the layers that cause generation of the primitive) so the following isn't correct either (this needs thought and work):

An LPI_IDLE.request primitive with value ASSERT shall not be generated unless the attached link is operational (i.e. link_status = OK, according to the underlying PCS/PMA). The PHY shall not cause an LP_IDLE.request primitive with value ASSERT to be generated for at least one second following a link_status change to OK.

A similar problem exists in 46.1.7.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accept the suggested remedy for this clause. Make a similar change for 46.1.7.

Also add a reference to 78.1.2.1.2.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

I'm also not to sure I'm crazy about the idea of just including subclause 22.7 be reference

and applying it to the GMII rather than doing an equivalent subclause for the GMII, for

isn't correct for the GMII (See same comment against Clause 46).

SugaestedRemedv

Response

the PHY is in low power idle mode.'.

specific, to 78.1.3 to apply to all xMIIs.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the text as proposed in [1].

example just looking at the first subclause of 22.7a I note it references TXD<3:0> which

[1] Add the text 'The definition of low power idle signaling assumes the use of the MAC

defined in Annex 4A for simplified full duplex operation (with carrier sense deferral). This

provides full duplex operation but uses the carrier sense signal to defer transmission when

[2] Add equivalents to subclause 22.7a through 22.7a.3.1 for the XGMII to the changes to

Clause 46. Another idea may be to add much of 22.7.a. changed to be non onterface

Add a new subclause equivalent (and almost identical) to 22.7a through 22.7a.3.1.

Response Status C

C/ 35 SC 35.2.1 Page 44 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

2009

Responses on D2.0)	IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient E	thernet commo	ents		September 2009
<i>Cl</i> 35 <i>SC</i> 35.2.2.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 66 ZTE Corporation	L 9	# 307	<i>CI</i> 35 Hajducze	SC 35.2.2.6a nia, Marek	P 66 ZTE Corporat	<i>L</i> 49 ion	# 309
the PHY? Same in line SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A "generate an assertion of low po e 16 on the same page.	wer idle" ? Is	a signal generated by	the P "The	LPI client maintain HY to remain in the LPI clients keeps t	Comment Status A s the same state for these si e low power idle state." - this he signals' state as long as t eel free to modify this further	is a very compl the PHY is requ	licated way of saying
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	change the description <i>Response Status</i> C LE. f the existing sentence, change t	he inserted te	ext to:	Per c Response	dRemedy omment e EPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C		
"Low Power Idle"					the same change nenter).	s on line 47 & p.67, l.1 as for	r comment #261	(from the same
	ZTE Corporation Comment Status A vishes " - indicates that the LP " sounds betters. Please scrub th	I client has a		Refer The c two d The N	t <i>Type</i> T ck Stoppable** also to comment a clock stoppable bit irections - PHY-M/ MAC needs to asse	as currently defined is not us	stop the clock in	the PHY-MAC
sometheing completel SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response	ZTE Corporation Comment Status A > to 01." is this 01 a hex represently different ? Please clarify Response Status C		# 308	PHY Suggeste Chan	direction <i>dRemedy</i> ge "Clock stoppab change the referen e	le bit" to "Clock stop capable nce to 45.2.3.2.2a and make <i>Response Status</i> C	e bit"	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Change to 0x01	LL.							

C/ **35** SC **35.2.2.6a** Page 45 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

Responses on D2.	0	IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	y Efficient Et	thernet commer	nts		September 200
Cl 35 SC 35.2.2.	7 P 67 Broadcom C	L 35 orporation	# 172	C/ 35 Hajduczen	SC 35.2.2.7 ia, Marek	P 67 ZTE Corporation	L 41	# 306
Comment Type TR The words inserted i	Comment Status A nto the first sentence of the se	cond paragraph o	of this subclause are	Comment "while	• ·	Comment Status A 01> onto RXD<7:0>." how big	∣ is <01> ? If	it is two bits long, how
unecessary. The sub SuggestedRemedy	sequent paragraph describes	the GMII RX sign	aling for LPI.		esignate is as 0x01	wide variable? If it is a hex re to avoid confusion. What doe		
	assert low power idle" on line al comment concerning the str			Suggested	0			
Response	Response Status C			Please	e clarify the issues			
ACCEPT IN PRINCI				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C		
Cl 35 SC 35.2.2.	vords exactly as suggested.	L 40	# 330	Chang	e to 0x01			
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corpora	- ••	# 330	C/ 35	SC 35.2.2.9a	P 68	L 43	# 310
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Hajduczen	ia, Marek	ZTE Corporation	1	
	ert' ? In various different locatio aff editors which version is the <i>Response Status</i> C			Propos transiti and se signals signals	e the first paragrap sed version "When ion into the low pow etting RXD<7:0> to s in this state while s from the link partn	Comment Status A h of this section i.e. 35.2.2.9a the PHY receives signals fror ver state, it signals this fact to 0x01 while keeping RX_DV d it remains in the Low Power I her indicating its transition out client by deasserting RX_EF	n the link pa the LPI clier easserted. T dle state. Wh of the low po	rtner indicating its at by asserting RX_ER the PHY maintains these hen the PHY receives bower idle state, it
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.			frame				ig to a normal inter-
Within clause 35 of 8	302.3az, change all instances t	o de-assert.		Also, v Suggested	vhat is this 'normal	inter-frame state' ?		
Partial				00		the change plus answer the c	uestion	
				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C		
				Chang	e to:			
				LPI cli deasse Power transiti	ent by asserting RX erted. The PHY ma Idle state. When th ion out of the low po	signals from the link partner i (_ER and setting RXD<7:0> t intains these signals in this sl ine PHY receives signals from ower idle state, it signals this iormal inter-frame encoding."	o 0x01 while ate while it re the link parti	keeping RX_DV emains in the Low her indicating its

"normal inter-frame" is defined in Table 35-2.

C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.9a Page 46 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

	L 51	# 23	CI 35	SC 35.2.2.9a	P 69	L 4	# 353
Barrass, Hugh Cisco			Law, David		3Com		
Comment Type T Comment Status A **Clock Stoppable**			mode the	ere is a minimu ere is no specif	Comment Status A m of 9 RX_CLK clock cy ication of the minimum r	number of RX_CLK	clock cycles required to
Refer also to comment #6, rev 1.5			required.		e although from the figu	re it could be implied	d that there is only one
The clock stoppable bit as currently defined is not two directions - PHY-MAC & MAC-PHY.	useful. It is better to	split the control into	SuggestedRe	-	o minimum number of r		a required on evit from
The MAC needs to assert a bit to allow the PHY to			low powe		ne minimum number of F		s required on exit from
direction; The PHY needs to assert a bit to allow t PHY direction	he MAC to stop the c	ock in the MAC-	Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy			ACCELL		L.		
Change "Clock stoppable bit" to "Clock stop enab	le bit"		Similar to	o comment #37	0		
Also, make the reference an active link. <i>Response Response Status</i> C ACCEPT.			Clock sto "The PH	oppable bit is a Y may restart F	and only if the sserted." on p.68, I.51. RX_CLK at any time whil t one positive transition		
C/35 SC 35.2.2.9a P 69	L 10	# 171	C/ 35	SC 35.5.3.3a	P 70	L 15	# 38
	Corporation		Barrass, Hug	n	Cisco		
Frazier, Howard Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the numeric value "0001" in the middle	of Figure 35-9a indic		Comment Ty	pe T	Cisco Comment Status A ems for Rx & Tx direction	ı LPI.	
Frazier, Howard Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A	of Figure 35-9a indic		Comment Ty Need se SuggestedRe	pe T Darate PICS ite Daredy	Comment Status A	I LPI.	
Frazier, Howard Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the numeric value "0001" in the middle Is it supposed to be the value of the RXD<7:0> bu digit hexadecimal number. SuggestedRemedy	of Figure 35-9a indic Indle? If so, it should	be shown as a two	Comment Ty Need se SuggestedR Change	pe T barate PICS ite emedy L1:	Comment Status A		
Frazier, Howard Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the numeric value "0001" in the middle Is it supposed to be the value of the RXD<7:0> bu digit hexadecimal number.	of Figure 35-9a indic indle? If so, it should mplement the Sugge	be shown as a two	Comment Ty Need se SuggestedR Change Assertion	pe T barate PICS ite emedy L1: n of LPI in Tx d	Comment Status A		
Frazier, Howard Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the numeric value "0001" in the middle Is it supposed to be the value of the RXD<7:0> bu digit hexadecimal number. SuggestedRemedy Change the value to 0x01 or simply 01, and then general comment concerning the structure of	of Figure 35-9a indic indle? If so, it should mplement the Sugge	be shown as a two	Comment Ty Need se SuggestedR Change	pe T barate PICS ite emedy L1: n of LPI in Tx d	Comment Status A		
Frazier, Howard Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status A What does the numeric value "0001" in the middle Is it supposed to be the value of the RXD<7:0> bu digit hexadecimal number. SuggestedRemedy Change the value to 0x01 or simply 01, and then general comment concerning the structure of	of Figure 35-9a indic indle? If so, it should mplement the Sugge	be shown as a two	Comment Ty Need se SuggestedRe Change Assertion Insert ne	pe T parate PICS ite emedy L1: n of LPI in Tx d w item:	Comment Status A	able 35-1	

C/ **35** SC **35.5.3.3a**

CI 35 SC 5	P 70	L 5	# 482	CI 36	SC 2	2.5.1.3	P 7 2	2 L	3	# 483
Kim, Yong	Broadcom			Kim, Yong			Broad	com		
Comment Type T C	omment Status A		LATE	Comment 7	уре	т	Comment Status	Α		LATE
[similar comment as 100M/s Clase 35 (RS), Clause 36 (F preventing systems (I don't I sublayer option.	PCS), etc, to be consiste	nt so that it is all	or none, while not	This no and ma accepte	kes leg	ng with R gacy impl	X statemachine and S ementation not even	Sync statmachii referenceable c	ne, changes th once the new te	e legacy PHY, exts are all
SuggestedRemedy							3 below the definition			
Should be T but submited a	fter comment submissio	n deadline.					w power idle functior machine.'	is implemente	d, then this var	Table is affected
No suggestions if deemed	l useful, please address	it.					s used in Synchroniza			
Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status C						achine3az Sync SS tus. After the .3az ch			
The intent of the comment is PICS for clauses 35 and 36 The general approach of 80 PICS entries difficult.	to make them more con	sistent and conve	enient.	viewed Values OK; Th	neter s by the FAIL; e recei	receiver. The rece ver is syn	PCS Synchronizatior iver is not synchroniz chronized to code-gr w power idle functior	ed to code-grou	up boundaries. s.	
C/ 35 SC Table 35-2	P 26	L	# 481				machine.	is implemente	u, men mis vai	lable is allected
Kim, Yong	Broadcom	L	# 401	aada a	una at	otuo				
	omment Status A fication text associated v DV is de-asserted, the P	HY may indicate	that it is receiving low	synchro Values	e used onized FAIL;	to by the to code-g The rece	synchronization state roup boundaries. iver is not synchroniz chronized to code-gr	ed to code-grou	up boundaries.	
which is unclear - does it as implementation status?	sert or not? is it optional	behavior, or opti	onal based on .3az	We nov not exis	v have st in the	legacy P RX SS,	HY with no sync state and where does code	machine, since	the variable s	ync_status does
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestedl						
Should be ER but submited	after comment submiss	on deadline.		00	-	,	nited after comment s	ubmission dead	dline.	
Adopt Nomative Annex (or e	equivlent), or			Adopt I	Iomativ	ve Annex	(or equivlent), or			
Please clarify.				Please	clarify	such that	legacy PHY behaves	s as before, and	d .3az enhance	ement is
Response Re	esponse Status W			compat	ible.					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response			Response Status	С		
Comment #310 rewords the	paragraph.			ACCEF	PT IN P	RINCIPL	Ε.			
The words "Assert low powe normative definition.	er idle" may be found in ⁻	Table 35-2 for a v	ery clear and	names.	The va	ariable na	to express some con mes are never part o ior is normatively req	f the compliand		
				In orde	r to red	luce confi	usion, change the not	e on p.72, l.3:		
TYPE: TR/technical required EF COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatc SORT ORDER: Clause, Subcl	hed A/accepted R/reject	general required ted RESPON	T/technical E/editorial G/g SE STATUS: O/open W/wr	eneral itten C/closed	U/uns	satisfied	Z/withdrawn	C/ 36 SC 2.5.1.3		Page 48 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

"NOTE: For the EEE capability this variable is affected by the LPI receive state machine. Without the EEE capability this variable is identical to code_sync_status controlled by the	C/ 36 SC 36.2.4.12a P 71 L 52 # 311 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
synchronization state machine." 7/36 SC 36.2.4.12a P 71 L 51 # 28	Comment Type T Comment Status A 'indicating "assert low power idle.' - missing "" at the end. Additionally, wouldn;t it be possible to say that GMII is singalling the request to asset the LPI?
Barrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status A ** State diagram conventions ** It is not clear which state diagram conventions are relevant for each section in this amendment. Notes need to be added so that the conventions for each clause are clear.	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status ACCEPT.
The conventions may be cleaned up and coordinated in the next revision when all clauses are open.	"assert low power idle" - exactly as in Table 35-1. Cl 36 SC 36.2.4.7 P 71 L 12 # 331 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation # 331 Comment Type E Comment Status A in line 12 and 13, /L11/ is divided between lines, please avoid it. SuggestedRemedy Per comment Per comment
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #26 Make it an editors note.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
C/ 36 SC 36.2.4.12a P 71 L 51 # 332 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation # 332 Comment Type E Comment Status A "Low Power Idle" or "Low power idle" or "low power idle" or any other version ? SuggestedRemedy Decide how to capitalize this term. Use LPI if possible, once it is decided. Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P.71, I.51, add (LPI) after Low Power Idle. C Change to LPI - P.71, I.51; p.72, I.3; p.72, I.18; p.72, I.30; p.72, I.34; p.80, I.1; p.80, I.16; p.82, I.27; P.72, I.30; p.72, I.34; p.80, I.1; p.80, I.16; p.82, I.27;	

C/ 36 SC 36.2.4.7

Responses on D2.0 IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy	/ Efficient Ethernet comments September 2009
C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 72 L 11 # 419 Thaler, Pat Broadcom	C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.3 P 72 L 19 # [312] Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Also applies to 36.2.5.1.3 and 36.2.5.1.5. A great many variables and counters have been added to support EEE when this support applies to only one of the PHY types that use this PCS. It should be made clear here which PHY types EEE support applies to, i.e. 1000BASE-KX.	Comment Type T Comment Status A "(xmit=DATA * TX_OSET.indicate * TX_EN=FALSE * TX_ER=TRUE * (TXD<7:0> =01))" the 01 is hexadecimal or not? Otherwise, which bits are compared? SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status C
Also it should be made easy for the reader to determine which constant, variables and counters are required only for EEE support.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
SuggestedRemedy Insert into this Clause a statement of the PHYs for which EEE support applies. Put the constant, variables and counters for EEE support into a separate subclause or subclauses (this is what I would prefer). Or you could mark each one to indicate that it is required only for EEE.	Change to 0x01 C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.3 P 72 L 27 # 421 Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	The text here isn't clear. Also, the alternate terms should only be used when EEE is enabled.
See response to comment #410 EEE capability counters/constants/variables will be listed separately.	SuggestedRemedy Either make it clear what the equation for the alias is. I.e. Alias for detect idle. When EEE is disabled: (xmit
C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 72 L 18 # 333 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A There are numerous logical conditions in this section. Could it be possible to move them	When EEE is enabled: (xmit Or do the full equation using the variable for EEE enabled to condition use of the additional terms. Response Response Status
into separate equations, so they are more readable ? SuggestedRemedy Per comment	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The equation will be reformatted according to comment #333.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the formatting of assert_lpidle, detect_idle and detect_lpidle to improve readability.	The TF did not deem it necessary to specify a "mode" for EEE because the standard precludes sending LPI unless it is supported by both link partners. This matches the treatment of other options within this clause (such as half-duplex, full-duplex and others).

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.3 Page 50 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

Responses on D2.0)	IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energ	y Efficient Et	hernet comm	ents			September 200
C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	.5 P72 ZTE Corporat	L 49 ion	# 267	<i>Cl</i> 36 Gustlin, Ma	SC 36.2.5.1.5 ark	6 P7 Cisco	-	L 9	# 221
Comment Type E "This timer is started receiver"	Comment Status A when the PMD's receiver" > "T	his timer is starte	ed when the PMD	Comment ⁻ The ter		Comment Status s strange in this state			
SuggestedRemedy Per comment						the receiver an addi r return to the quiesc	•		is declared broken.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy	own or some other to	erm?		
C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	.5 P73 ZTE Corporat	L 35 ion	# 313	As abc <i>Response</i> ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status	С		
40 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A icates" - probably "When equal	to TRUE, it indi	cates" similar in line	There Clause Clause	are three instanc 36, page 73 48, page 129 49, page 145				
Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C PLE.			Chang		re a link failure is ind	icated"		

C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.5 Page 51 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:25 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.2.1 P73 L 50 # 420	C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.1 P75 L # 381
haler, Pat Broadcom	Kasturia, Sanjay Teranetics
omment Type TR Comment Status A There is text in the figures that says that the items in the dotted boxes are new but nothing says that they are optional. It isn't even clear whether the dotted boxes are intended to stay once this is integrated into 802.3 or are just to mark the new areas in the draft. uggestedRemedy New behaviors for EEE support must only be required when the EEE option is applicable to the PHY type and supported by the PHY. Put explicit text in that says that the states in the	Comment Type T Comment Status A Submitted on behalf of Oren Sela In figure 36-6 - PCS transmit code-group state diagram, in state IDLE_I2B the current text is: if tx_oset=/LI/ then (tx_code-group ? /D16.2/) else (tx_code-group ? /D26.4/) This looks like an error
dotted boxes and transitions to and from them are required only for devices that support EEE. Also, transitions to EEE states are only valid when EEE support is enabled. A PHY might support but be connected to a link partner that does not and in that case it should not exhibit any EEE behaviors. One clear way to do this would be to add an EEE enabled variable and condition any transitions to EEE states on this variable. Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy Text should be changed to: if tx_oset=/Ll/ then (tx_code-group ? /D26.4/) else (tx_code-group ? /D16.2/) Response Response Status ACCEPT.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The change instruction identifies that the new states and transitions are in boxes. The boxes will therefore disappear at the next revision. In most cases, the states and transitions required for optional behavior are not explicitly identified (e.g. CARRIER_EXTEND). It is left to the skill of the implementer to optimize away redundant structures. Add the following note: Note: transitions B and C are only required for the EEE capability.	Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.2.2 P L # 19 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type E Comment Status A Arrow heads & tails not well aligned. SuggestedRemedy Clean up arrows in Fig 36-7a Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C C
	Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.2.6 P79 L5 # 37 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status A Changes to the base document are not underlined SuggestedRemedy Underline changes - lines 5, 29 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 36 Pa SC 36.2.5.2.6 9/2

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.6 P 79 L 5 # 422 Thaler, Pat Broadcom	C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.6 P 80 L 2 # 314 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status A This state machine has no change marks but it has been changed, at least in the variable name sync_status to code_sync_status.	Comment Type T Comment Status A "is given by 36-9b" - probably Figure 36-9b. Also remove the repetition of the figure caption after the 36-9b from line 3.
It would be preferable to have different state diagrams for the new functionality minimize the risk of making changes in the required behavior for existing devices, but if this is not done, then all state machine changes must be marked.	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status ACCEPT.
Mark all state machine changes so that they can be reviewed to ensure backwards compatibilty with a reasonable amount of effort.	C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.6 P 80 L 2 # 18
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #37 C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.6 P 80 L 1 # 423 Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status R	Barrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type E Comment Status A Reference is to Figure 36-9b SuggestedRemedy Change 36-9b to Figure 36-9b Response Response Status C
New behavior should only apply when EEE operation is enabled, not when it is supported but disabled.	ACCEPT. <i>CI</i> 36 SC 36.2.5.2.8 <i>P</i> 80 <i>L</i> 23 # 276 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
This also applies to 36.2.5.2.8. uggestedRemedy	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Do not use "<=" in figures as an assignment operator. There is a specific symbol for that - see page 11 in your own draft ("Assignment operator")
REJECT. C	SuggestedRemedy Per comment
The TF did not deem it necessary to specify a "mode" for EEE because the standard precludes sending LPI unless it is supported by both link partners. This matches the treatment of other options within this clause (such as half-duplex, full-duplex and others).	Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.8

C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.8 P 81 L 24 # 101 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) # 101 Inclusion	CI 36 SC 36.2.5.2.9 P 82 L 26 # 268 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) Comment Type TR Comment Status A In Figure 36-9b, transitions from RX_WAKE and RX_WTF to RX_QUIET will restart quiet timer so realistic failure scenarios can cause undetected failure. One scenario is link partner driver failing or interconnect failure enough to attenuate but not kill the signal. Another is the Tx taps have changed. Instead, the return transition should not restart quiet timer. SuggestedRemedy Create new state RX_QUIET_INIT between RX_SLEEP and RX_QUIET. RX_SLEEP to RX_QUIET_INIT when "signal_detect=FAIL". RX_QUIET_INIT to RX_QUIET WHEN "UCT" In RX_QUIET_INIT add "Start rx_tq_timer". In RX_QUIET_INIT add loop to continue until the quiet timer (3-4 ms) is done then a fault will be detected. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A "If the optional Low Power Idle function is implemented the PCS indicates to the management system that LPI is currently active in the receive and transmit directions using the status variable shown in Table 36-3c." should read "If the optional Low Power Idle function is implemented##,## the PCS indicates to the management system that LPI is currently active in the receive and transmit directions using the status variable##s## shown in Table 36-3c." SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the comma and "s" as highlighted. Also change the text to replace: "If the optional Low Power Idle function is implemented" with:
Start rx_tq_timer only in RX_SLEEP state so that cycles of signal detect that don't achieve alignment don't restart the timer. Also, the definition of rx_tq_timer currently says that it is started in RX_QUIET but doesn't mention that it is also started in RX_SLEEP. Correct the definition to match the resolution of this comment. Add an arc from RX_SLEEP to RX_LINK_FAIL with condition rx_tq_timer_done	 "For EEE capability" with appropriate adjustments for grammar Cl 36 SC 36.7.4.9 P83 L24 # 36 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status A Need more specific PICs items for state machines SuggestedRemedy Change PICS to the following items: LP-01 - Transmit ordered set state machine : Support additions to Figure 36-5 for LPI operation : 36.2.5.2.1 LP-02 - receive state machine : Support additions to Figure 36-7a / 36-7b for LPI operation : 36.2.5.2.8 LP-03 - LPI transmit state machine : Meets the requirements of Figure 36-9a : 36.2.5.2.8 LP-04 - LPI receive state machine : Meets the requirements of Figure 36-9b : 36.2.5.2.8 LP-05 - LPI transmit timing : Meets the requirements of Table 36-3a : 36.2.5.2.8 LP-06 - LPI receive timing : Meets the requirements of Table 36-3a : 36.2.5.2.8 Response Response Status C
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 36 SC 36.7.4.9

C/40 SC 00	P 84	L 1	# 388	C/ 40	SC 40.1.3		P 84	L 16	# 117
haler, Pat	Broadcom			D'Ambrosia	, John	F	orce10 Netwo	orks	
Comment Type TR C	omment Status R			Comment 7	ype ER	Comment Sta	tus A		Low Power Idle mode
Behavior changes for EEE b also supports EEE.	ehavior should only be e	xhibited when co	onnected to an LP that			ig, as terminology nay optionally ente			Idle mode
uggestedRemedy				This wa	is also found in	Clause 55.			
Through out the Clause, stat Ethernet," or "When Energy				Suggestedl	Remedy				
with "When Energy Efficient	Ethernet is <not> enable</not>	ed"	·		sentence to BASE-T PHY m	nay optionally ente	er a low powe	er idle mode	
In the case of the state mach that conditions going into LP			E_enable variable	do glob	al replace on lo	w power mode to	low power id	lle mode	
Response Re	sponse Status C			Response		Response Sta	tus W		
Response Re REJECT.	sponse Status C			•	T IN PRINCIPI	,	tus W		
REJECT. Refer to comment #423.				ACCEF To be c	onsistent with t	LE.	n Clause 78,		Power Idle mode" will nt Ethernet.
REJECT. Refer to comment #423. 40 SC 12.6	P 110 UNH-IOL	L 6	# 50	ACCEF To be c	onsistent with t	LE.	n Clause 78,		
REJECT. Refer to comment #423. / 40 SC 12.6 eckwith, Jonathan	<i>P</i> 110 UNH-IOL	L 6	# <u>50</u>	ACCEF To be c replace	onsistent with t the term "low p	LE. the capitalization i power mode" whe	n Clause 78, n referring to	Energy Efficier	nt Ethernet.
REJECT. Refer to comment #423. / 40 SC 12.6 eckwith, Jonathan	P 110 UNH-IOL omment Status A	-	# 50	ACCEF To be c replace C/ 40	onsistent with t the term "low p SC 40.1.3	LE. the capitalization i power mode" whe	n Clause 78, n referring to P 84 roadcom	Energy Efficier	nt Ethernet.
REJECT. Refer to comment #423. 7 40 SC 12.6 eckwith, Jonathan omment Type E Ca "Unfilter jitter in low power m uggestedRemedy	P 110 UNH-IOL omment Status A node" should be "Unfiltere	-	# <u>50</u>	ACCEF To be c replace <i>CI</i> 40 Thaler, Pat <i>Comment 1</i> This be	onsistent with t the term "low p SC 40.1.3 ype TR havior should c	LE. the capitalization i bower mode" whe B Comment Sta	n Clause 78, n referring to P 84 roadcom <i>htus</i> R	Energy Efficier	nt Ethernet.
REJECT. Refer to comment #423. 4 40 SC 12.6 eckwith, Jonathan <i>comment Type</i> E Co "Unfilter jitter in low power m <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change "unfilter" to "unfiltered	P 110 UNH-IOL omment Status A node" should be "Unfiltere	-	# <u>50</u>	ACCEF To be c replace <i>CI</i> 40 Thaler, Pat <i>Comment 1</i> This be	onsistent with t the term "low p SC 40.1.3 Type TR havior should c ng negotiated E	LE. the capitalization i bower mode" whe B Comment Sta only be permitted of	n Clause 78, n referring to P 84 roadcom <i>htus</i> R	Energy Efficier	# 424
REJECT. Refer to comment #423. 40 SC 12.6 eckwith, Jonathan comment Type E Co "Unfilter jitter in low power me tuggestedRemedy Change "unfilter" to "unfiltered	P 110 UNH-IOL omment Status A node" should be "Unfiltere	-	# <u>50</u>	ACCEF To be c replace C/ 40 Thaler, Pat Comment 7 This be on havi Suggested	onsistent with t the term "low p SC 40.1.3 ype TR havior should c ng negotiated E Remedy	LE. the capitalization i bower mode" whe B <i>Comment Sta</i> only be permitted EEE through AN.	n Clause 78, n referring to P 84 roadcom atus R when EEE mo	Energy Efficier	# 424

C/ 40 SC 40.1.3 Page 55 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

40 SC 40.1.3.1 P 86 L 10 # 315	C/ 40 SC 40.1.4 P85 L 50 # 120
duczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation	D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks
nment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
Editorial comments for section 40.1.3.1 "When the PHY supports Energy Efficient Ethernet, the idle mode encoding conveys information to the remote PHY indicating whether the local PHY is requesting it to enter	The second note to Fig 40-3 reads: NOTE-Signals and functions shown with dashed lines are optional.
into the low power mode or not. Such requests are a direct translation of the assertion of low power idle at the GMII. In addition, the idle mode encoding conveys information to the	are these dashed lines associated with low power idle mode? are these lines mandatory if the optional mode is supported?
remote PHY indicating whether the local PHY has completed the update of its receiver state or not, as indicated by the PMA PHY Control function"	SuggestedRemedy
Also some questions:	Change note to read
(1) what is 'idle mode encoding' ? is this like 'low power idle assertion' ?	
 (2) capitalization of terms like 'idle mode', 'low power idle' etc. needs to be scrutinized. (2) 	NOTE- If optional Low Power Idle mode is supported, signals and functions shown with dashed lines are mandatory.
IgestedRemedy	Response Response Status W
Per comment	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
sponse Response Status C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	All signals and functions shown with dashed lines are associated with Energy Efficient Ethernet.
Refer to IEEE 802.3-2008, 40.1.3.1 (fourth paragraph) for the definition of "idle mode encoding".	Change second note in Figures 40-3 and 40-14 and the note in Figure 40-5 to read:
	"Signals and functions shown with dashed lines are only required for the EEE capability."
"Between frames, a special subset of code-groups using only the symbols {2, 0, -2} is transmitted. This is called idle mode. Idle mode encoding takes into account the information of whether the local PHY is operating reliably or not (see 40.4.2.4) and allows this information to be conveyed to the remote station. During normal operation, idle mode	Change the note in Figure 40-4 to read: "Service interface primitives shown with dashed lines are only required for the EEE capability."
is followed by a data mode that begins with a Start-of-Stream delimiter."	C/ 40 SC 40.1.4 P 89 L 3 # 269
Usage of the term, including capitalization, is consistent with the base document. However,	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
in the process of reviewing this comment, a different issue with terminology was noted and will be corrected.	Comment Type E Comment Status R
	"an optional low power mode." > "and optional low power mode missing 'd' at the end of
Change text: "Such requests are a direct translation of the assertion of low power idle at the GMII."	line 3
Such requests are a direct translation of the assertion of low power fulle at the Givin.	SuggestedRemedy
· · ·	Per comment
To:	
	Response Response Status C REJECT.
To:	

C/ **40** SC **40.1.4**

Responses on D2.0 IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments September 2009 SC 40.12.6.1 C/ 40 P 111 L 9 # 106 C/ 40 SC 40.2.12.1 P89 L 30 # 271 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp. Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Status A E Comment Type E typo: "Etherrnet' "is in progress hence 1000BTtransmit (refer to 40.3.3.1) will also be FALSE" - it is not common to use "refer to" in 802.3. Use "see" instead SuggestedRemedy Alsi in like 29, missing separator between 'Note' and "Assert low power idle" terms change Etherrnet to Ethernet SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Per comment ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 40 SC 40.2.11.1 P 89 L5# 316 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation It should be pointed out that there are many examples of the use of "refer to" in IEEE 802.3-2008 but the editor acknowledges that "see" is more frequently used. Change all Comment Type **T** Comment Status A Low Power Idle mode occurences of "refer to" to "see" (the editor counts four such occurences in Clause 40). "This value is asserted with then PHY is operating in low power mode." > "This value is asserted when the PHY is operating in the low power mode." With regard to the second point, to emphasize that this is not a "NOTE" per 18.1 of the Questions 2009 IEEE Standards Style Manual, change text to read: (1) is 'low power mode' the same as 'low power idle mode' ? "Note that "assert low power idle" at the." (2) capitalization of vital terms needs to be consistent across the draft P87 SuggestedRemedy C/ 40 SC 40.2.2 L13 # 270 Per comment Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status A In general case, editorial instructions should avoid specyfing the exact number of added ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. variables, since these things change along the draft development. In this line, it is stated Refer to #117. that 3 new items are added, while the list below contains 6 items marked as added. Which is it? Such a problem exists in many places in the draft, and while not critical, it is confusing the reader to suspect that the mark-up is wrong ... SugaestedRemedv Please scrub the draft and remove references to the number of added variables or correct the number of variables / entrie added in each editorial instruction Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change editorial instruction to read "Insert new items in the list of service primitives as shown below:" Also see response to comment #410 Also correct editorial instruction in 40.12.4.1.

Editor to review editorial instructions throughout the draft and update as necessary.

~ ...

D 57 . (405

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/wit	thdrawn	Page 57 of 125
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		SC 40.2.2	9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

haler, Pat	SC 40.3.1.3.4	P 93 Broadcom	L 22	# 387	C/ 40 Barrass, Hu	SC 40.3.4 Iah	P 9 Cisco	-	L 16	# 29
comment Typ	pe TR Com	ment Status A			Comment 7	0	Comment Status			
Changes				what non-EEE devices ould not be changed.		e diagram conv				
uggestedRe				C C			ate diagram conventioned to be added so that			
support E	ËË.				The co are ope	,	be cleaned up and co	ordinated	in the next revi	sion when all clauses
esponse	IN PRINCIPLE.	onse Status C			Suggested					
ACCLIT	INT KINGI EE.				Add a i	-				
mode is r present a	at the GMII), the behav	quested by the LPI c rior of the PHY, includ	lient (e.g. "assert	low power idle" is not		he state diagra	am conventions descri	ibed in 40.	.1.6 apply to all	of the state diagrams
Intended	to revert to the origina	il benavior.			Response		Response Status	С		
				present at the GMII per	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			
)-9. When the optional to assume the value o			ted, loc_lpi_req		sponse to comi an editors not				
The equa	ation for Sdn[3] reverts	to its original form w	hen loc_lpi_req =	FALSE.	C/ 40	SC 40.3.4	Pg	6	L 11	# 272
	ation of Sdn[2] adds a -EEE 1000BASE-T im				Hajduczeni			Corporatio		# 272
behavior.			s no impact on e	Certially Observable	Comment 7	ype E	Comment Status	Α		
	_req = FALSE, then loc and the equation for S			igure 40-15 (see also			.E) * (rem_lpi_req = T does not seem to app			s located a little bit too IDLE and LP_IDLE
The equa	ation for cext_err adds	the term "and (TXDn	[7·0] != 0x01)" w	nich does modify the	Suggested	Remedy				
externally	observed behavior of	f a 1000BASE-T PHY	 However, this a 	hange impacts how	Move it	to the right, pl	ease			
	responds to the prese This discrepancy may				Response ACCEF	РТ.	Response Status	С		
	a the term "and (TXDn	n[7:0] != 0x01)" with "a								
realizes t	he same Low Power lo al form when Low Pow			•						

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 40 SC 40.3.4

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

/ 40 SC 40.4.2.4 P 100 L 3 # 274	C/ 40 SC 40.4.5.1 P 99 L 10 # 317
ajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
omment Type E Comment Status A "signal at the MDI as defined in 40.6.1.3.5." > "signal at the MDI, as defined in 40.6.1.3.5." - missing comma	Comment Type T Comment Status A "Note that when the PHY supports Energy Efficient Ethernet, when signal_detect is FALSE, scr_status is set to NOT_OK" - this sentence does not read right. There are two
uggestedRemedy Per comment	"when" conditions? Perhaps one should be changed to an "if" condition. Are the conditions mutual?
esponse Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT.	Please rewrite this sentence so that it is clear what it means. Avoid using two 'when' statements unless used together with 'and/or' e.g. ' when and when' or alike.
/ 40 SC 40.4.2.4 P 98 L 7 # 318	Response Response Status C
ajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
omment Type T Comment Status R "When the PHY supports Energy Efficient Ethernet, PHY Control will transition to a low power mode in response to concurrent requests for low power operation from the local PHY (loc_lpi_req = TRUE) and remote PHY (rem_lpi_req = TRUE)." - how do you guarantee that the remote and local PHYs transit to the lower power idle mode at the same moment of time? There is something like transmission delay in P2P links which will make it	Change text to read: "Note that when the PHY supports Energy Efficient Ethernet and signal_detect is FALSE, scr_status is set to NOT_OK." Modify wording in above response as per Motion #3 before implementing response
impossible. Could you clarify this concept in the draft?	C/ 40 SC 40.4.5.1 P 99 L 49 # 273
uggestedRemedy	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
Per comment	Comment Type E Comment Status A
esponse Response Status C	"or not the remote PHY is has completed the" - either 'is' or 'has'
REJECT.	·
No abanga baing mode to the draft	SuggestedRemedy Per comment
No change being made to the draft. When the system requests operation in Low Power Idle mode, "assert low power idle" is	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
continuously encoded at the GMII. Per the PCS Local LPI Request state diagram (Figure 40-9), loc_lpi_req = TRUE is continuously encoded in the transmitted symbols when	
"assert low power idle" is present on the GMII. This implies that rem_lpi_req = TRUE will be continuously decoded from the received symbols by the link partner. Since this is not a "one time" transmission, but rather a continuous encoding of state, the synchronization issue implied by the commentor does not exist.	Change text to read: ".the remote PHY has completed."
If rem_lpi_req = TRUE is not decoded from the received symbols while "assert low power idle" is present at the GMII (or vice versa), then the intended behavior is to not have the PHY transition to Low Power Idle mode.	
The draft adequately describes the intended behavior and no further clarification is required.	

C/ 40 SC 40.4.5.1

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 40 SC 40.4.5.2 P100 L 20 # 275	C/ 40 SC 40.4.6.1 P103 L 912 # 464
łajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation	Traeber, Mario Infineon Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status A "This timer defines the maximum time the PHY will dwell in the POST_UPDATE state before" "This timer defines the maximum time the PHY will remain quiet before initiating	Comment Type TR Comment Status A There is a corner case inside the state diagram of Figure 40-15b in the outbound transitions from UPDATE. The main reason for this corner case is the asynchronous behavior of the state-machine but the synchronous transfer (symbol-period) of the inband
transmission to" etc. in the same section. It would be more natural to use "PHY dwells /PHY remains" etc. Avoid using Future Simple since it does not relay the idea that such an operation of the underlyign function/element is certain	control signals like loc_lpi_req, loc_update_done, loc_rcvr_status. This implies that signals may be received in parallel, e.g. rem_update_done=true and rem_lpi_req=false when in POST_UPDATE state. This, however, is assumed by the current version of the state machine not to occur.
uggestedRemedy	Here's the description of the corner case:
Per comment	The Slave transitions into POST_UPDATE due to timeout of lpi_update_timer. The Master is assumed to stay in UPDATE and it's loc_lpi_req stays true the whole time. When the
Response Response Status C	Slave enters POST_UPDATE is will send it's loc_update_done to the MASTER. Assume that loc_lpi_req gets deasserted at the Slave shortly (<8ns) after entering into
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	POST_UPDATE. This will cause a signaling of loc_lpi_req on the line to the MASTER.
Modify timer definitions in 40.4.5.2 to avoid the use of the future simple tense.	Now, by nature of the inband signaling both loc_update done=true and loc_lpi_req=false of the Slave are synchronized to the same symbol period and transferred synchronously to the Master. As such the Master receives both signals simultaneously. By current implementation the Master will take it's way back to IDLE because rem_lpi_req=false, although rem_update_done=true. This causes a problem to the Master since the Slave will
	do it's normal wake cycle via WAKE_SILENT, QUIET, WAKE and TRAINING. However, when the Slave enters QUIET it will stop signaling to the Master. As such the Master will break the link.
	A better intoduction into this corner case is handled in the presentation traeber_01_0909.pdf
	SuggestedRemedy
	Change the outbound state transitions in UPDATE state as follows:
	UPDATE->POST_UPDATE: (rem_update_done=TRUE + lpi_update_timer_done) * (loc_lpi_req=TRUE)
	UPDATE->IDLE: loc_lpi_req=FALSE + (rem_lpi_req=FALSE * rem_update_done=FALSE)
	This will cause the link-partners to follow via the POST_UPDATE when when at least one side of the link entered this state before.
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Implement changes per traeber_03_0909.pdf slide 6.

C/ 40 SC 40.4.6.1

Cl 40 SC 6.1.2.7 P 106 L 48 # 55	C/ 40C SC 0 P L # 465
Beckwith, Jonathan UNH-IOL	Traeber, Mario Infineon Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
In order to determine when a device enters the WAKE state, a trigger signal must be defined. Otherwise, the "65% of nominal idle levels within 700ns" requirement cannot be measured.	Since clause 40 Next-Pages became mandatory. Within clause 40 (Annex40C) the ordering of the Next-Pages have been defined. Within clause 40 (Annex40C) the mandatory clause 40 relevant Next-Pages must be sent autonomously. In the current Draft of the current Draft because the sent autonomously.
UggestedRemedy Adopt the TX_TCLK gating approach proposed in healey_01_0409.pdf.	2.0 additional Next-Pages have been defined to advertize the EEE features. However, it is not yet defined in which order they must be sent in addition to the existing PHY Next- Pages. Especially legacy PHYs like 100base-TX did not require any Next-Pages up to now
Response Response Status C	which will change. Existing tests will fail (see also UNH ANEG Test-Suite).
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	More details in traeber_02_0909.pdf
	SuggestedRemedy
One critique of healey_01_0409.pdf was that clock gating may easily be delayed to display conformance to the timing requirements even when the underlying implementation does not satisfy the requirements.	(1) Define a sequence ordering of the exchanged Next-Pages which is mandatory(2) Define that these pages are sent autonomously before the SW Next-Pages
Define the requirements in terms of something that may be directly measured and is most relevant to the implementation of the energy detect function at the receiver. The transmitter activation time is a component of transmitter wake time shrinkage and, like wake time shrinkage, cannot be measured without GMII access or a comparable timing reference	Change the Standard Draft: (A) Include EEE MP and EEE UP into Figure 40C-2 (B) Include EEE MP and EEE UP into Figure 40C-3 (C) Add and Annex 25A which describes the clause 25 Next-Page ordering/autonomous for EEE pages similar to Annex 40C (D) The concept shall be applied similarly to Extended Next-Pages, e.g. 10GbT
Change "40.6.1.2.7 Transmitter operation during WAKE" to read:	Proposed Response Response Status Z
When the PHY supports the optional EEE capability, it is required to transmit Idle symbols while in the WAKE state (see the PHY Control state diagram, Figure 40–15b). This signal	REJECT.
may be transmitted during reactivation of the PHY analog front-end and is not guaranteed or intended to be compliant.	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
The transmit levels of the Idle symbols transmitted during the WAKE state shall exceed 65% of the transmit levels of compliant Idle symbols for a period of at least 500 ns.	
The PHY shall achieve compliant operation upon entry to the WAKE_TRAINING state (see the PHY Control state diagram, Figure 40–15b).	

CI 40C SC 0

y Efficient Ethernet com	mento	September 20
C/ 45 SC 44.2.7. 1 Parnaby, Gavin	13a P 117 L Solarflare Communic	15 # 373
be changed similarly.		tions of these bits should also
As comment		
Response	Response Status C	
ACCEPT.	-	
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3	P 112 L	11 # 39
Barrass, Hugh <i>Comment Type</i> T	Cisco Comment Status A	
		anged by 802.3av. Also the
SuggestedRemedy Change the instructio	n and the table heading to match:	
"Change Table 45-83 <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.	(as renumbered by 802.3av) to add E <i>Response Status</i> C	EE capability register:"
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 Lynskey, Eric	P 112 L Teknovus	16 # <u>359</u>
Comment Type E	Comment Status A	
SuggestedRemedy	-	
0	6	e 45-2 to Table 45-83.
	Parnaby, Gavin Comment Type E In Table 45-145, the isay 'Advertise that the be changed similarly. SuggestedRemedy As comment Response ACCEPT. CI 45 SC 45.2.3 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Table reference is wr table heading is wron SuggestedRemedy Change the instruction "Change Table 45-83 Response ACCEPT. CI 45 SC 45.2.3 Lynskey, Eric Comment Type E Table number does m SuggestedRemedy Change from Table 4 Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Community Comment Type E Comment Status A In Table 45-145, the descriptions say 'EEE is supported'. say 'Advertise that the PHY is EEE capable'. The description be changed similarly. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As comment Response C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P 112 L Barrass, Hugh Cisco C Comment Type T Comment Status A Table reference is wrong - the table numbers have been chable heading is wrong. SuggestedRemedy C C SuggestedRemedy Change the instruction and the table heading to match: "Change Table 45-83 (as renumbered by 802.3av) to add E E Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C 45 SC 45.2.3 P 112 L Upnskey, Eric Teknovus C ACCEPT. C 45 SC 45.2.3 P 112 L Upnskey, Eric Teknovus C ACCEPT. C 45 A Table number does not match editing instructions. SuggestedRemedy C A Table numbe

shown in Table 45-145.

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3**

C/ 45 SC 45.2 Ganga, Ilango	.3 P 112 Intel	L 16	# 183	C/ 45 Grimwood, N	SC 45.2.3.1 lichael	P 113 Broadcom	L 26	# 193
editing instruction other than IEEE S	Comment Status A nd other tables in Clause 45 have s should include the appropriate s td 802.3-2008. Also the table num table numbers from previous am	ource document nbers should be	where the source is	SuggestedR	nt clock stopp <i>emedy</i>	Comment Status A able changes that were agre able the PHY to stop the rec		
Also other PCS re amendments e.g. the draft P802.3b For example char 45.2.3.1 PCS cor Change Table 45 Update the table SuggestedRemedy Update the Editin text and use the r	gisters have been modified by the P802.3av). So update the editing v/D2.2. ge editing instruction as follows:	e P802.3ba docu instructions and clock control: above source. to indicate appropriate amend	I the change text as per opriate source for base ment to 802.3-2008.	Allocate is capab bit and a behavior stopping "If the P transmit Related	an existing re- e of handling dd a new sect of the PHY if the xMII clock HY does not se xMII clock, the to the two new	with the new definition. Served status bit and appriat a stopped transmit clock. Ch ion describing this bit. In this it does not support LPI or is a with the following sentence upport low power idle signali en it shall clear this bit to 0." /ly-defined bits, correspondii 2.2.9a, Table 40-3, 35.2.2.6a	nange the approp s new section exp not able to hand :: ng or is not able ng changes are n	viate Table entry for this blicitly define the le the MAC/LPI Client to handle a stopped leeded in the following
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN See comments #3	-				TIN PRINCIPI			

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3.1**

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

				. <u></u>			
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.1	P 113	L 26	# 48	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.1	P 113	L 8	# 360
arrass, Hugh comment Type T **Clock Stoppable** Refer also to comment # The clock stoppable bit a two directions - PHY-MA The MAC needs to assendirection; The PHY need PHY direction uggestedRemedy Change register bit 3.0.1 Clock stop enable : 1 = F Change the text of 45.2.3 If bit 3.0.10 is set to 1 the low power idle otherwise	Cisco Comment Status A 6, rev 1.5 as currently defined is not us C & MAC-PHY. rt a bit to allow the PHY to s s to assert a bit to allow the 0 to: PHY may stop the clock duri 3.1.3a: en the PHY may stop the re it shall keep the clock active not able to stop the received	seful. It is better top the clock in MAC to stop the ing LPI, 0 = clock ceive xMII clock re. If the PHY do	to split the control into the PHY-MAC e clock in the MAC- k not stoppable. while it is signaling es not support low	Lynskey, Eric <i>Comment Type</i> T Clause 45 needs to be other Task Forces. Tai the updated speed sele been included. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Get the latest version of <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2.3.2 Barrass, Hugh <i>Comment Type</i> T Table reference is wror <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change the instruction	Teknovus Comment Status A updated to reflect the change ble 45-83, which is incorrectly action in bits 3.05:2. There m of Clause 45 and use that as the Response Status C P114 Cisco Comment Status A ng - the table numbers have the and the table heading to mathe as renumbered by 802.3av) for Response Status C	es introduced by y marked as Tab ay be other upd the baseline for a <i>L</i> 10 been changed by sch:	802.3av and possibly le 45-2, does not have ates that have not all changes. # 41
ACCEPT.							
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.1 Barrass, Hugh	<i>P</i> 113 Cisco	L 3	# 40				
Comment Type T	Comment Status A	been changed by	/ 802.3av. Also the				
SuggestedRemedy	nd the table heading to mat	ch:					
"Change Table 45-84 (as	s renumbered by 802.3av) f	or LPI clock con	trol:"				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

CI **45** SC **45.2.3.2**

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.2	P 114	L 34	# 49	C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13a P117 L3 # 41	6
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Thaler, Pat Broadcom	
Comment Type T Co **Clock Stoppable** Refer also to comment #6, re	mment Status A			Comment Type T Comment Status A There is no reason to send EEE capabilities for backplane PHYs when using Cla auto-neg or for BASE-T PHYs when using Clause 73 auto-neg. They two classes use different auto-negotiation.	
The clock stoppable bit as cu two directions - PHY-MAC & I The MAC needs to assert a b direction; The PHY needs to a PHY direction SuggestedRemedy Change register bit 3.1.6 (cur Clock stop capable : 1 = MAC Insert 45.2.3.2.2a after 45.2.3	rrently defined is not u MAC-PHY. it to allow the PHY to s assert a bit to allow the rently reserved) to: C may stop clock during 3.2.2:	stop the clock in MAC to stop th g LPI, 0 = clock r	the PHY-MAC e clock in the MAC- not stoppable.	 Also, Clause 73 next pages are always equivalent to Clause 28 extended next part Therefore "For PHYs that negotiate extended next page support doesn't apply to you need to add text to cover Clause 73 auto neg. Since backplane phys have 32 U bits in a message there is no reason to restrict bits. And with higher speeds coming out there may be enough new Clause 73 au PHYs to need more bits. If any additional BASE-T PHYs are defined they are also require extended next pages as 10GBASE-T did and have 32 bits available. SuggestedRemedy Define the mapping at least for 16 bits for extended next pages and Clause 73. Consider specifying just sending the relevant bits for the auto-neg type allowing t usage to overlap for the two auto-neg types. 	them" so it to 11 to-neg o likely to
If bit 3.1.6 is set to 1 then the power idle otherwise it shall k idle signaling or is not able to 22.2.2.6a, 35.2.2.6a, 46.3.1.5	eep the clock active. I stop the receive clock	f the MAC does i	not support low power	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
	ponse Status C			The additional column is defined for bit mapping. BASE-T capabilities are only se Clause 28 or 55 defined frames; BASE-K capabilities are only sent in Clause 73 of frames.	
45 SC 45.2.7	P 116	L 33	# 42	Define the mapping for all 16 bits. Do not use overlap.	
arrass, Hugh <i>comment Type</i> T Co Table reference is wrong - the	Cisco mment Status A e table numbers have	been changed by	/ 802.3av.	CI 45 SC 45.2.7.13a P 117 L 8 # 43 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status A	}
uggestedRemedy Change the instruction and th "Change Table 45-141 (as rea	-		isters:"	Comment Type Table reference is wrong - the table numbers have been changed by 802.3av. SuggestedRemedy Change the table reference and the table heading to Table-157a	
	ponse Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	

C/ **45** SC **45.2.7.13a**

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

Demokry Certin	.2.7.14a	P 118 Solarflare Con	L 16	# 374	C/ 46	SC 46.1.7		120	L 17	# 358
Parnaby, Gavin			hmunica		Law, David		3Co			
Comment Type T		t Status A			Comment T	•	Comment Statu		L DI requiree th	act Appay 44 MAC
•	iner advertisement	ladie.					has to be made than crazy about the ide			
SuggestedRemedy		link Derteen EE		nintari akan na ali kita	and app	lying it to the (GMII rather than doir	ng an equiv	alent subclause	e for the GMII, for
	description to 'Link			gister', change all bits			it the first subclause GMII (See same com			es IXD<3:0> which
Response	Response	Status C			SuggestedF		,	0	,	
ACCEPT.					[1] Add	he text 'The d	efinition of low powe			
C/ 45 SC 45.	537	P 119	L 11	# 418			or simplified full duple peration but uses the			
Thaler, Pat		Broadcom	211	# 410			er idle mode.'.			
	rr Commen	t Status A			[2] Add	auivalante to	subclause 22.7a thr	ough 22 7a	2.1 for the VG	MII to the changes t
	to the PICS make		S. even PCS tvp	pes don't have the			ea may be to add mi			
option to support	t EEE, and Clause	45 AN implement	ation non-compl	liant. There is no	specific	to 78.1.3 to a	pply to all xMIIs.			
reason to make t	these registers mar	ndatory for device	es that don't supp	port EEE.	Response		Response Status	s C		
				doesn't support are	ACCEP	IN PRINCIP	LE.			
	at requirement is e at doesn't know whe			patibility for	Add the	text as propos	sed in [1].			
management the					Add a n	ew subclause	equivalent (and almo	ost identica	l) to 22.7a throu	ugh 22.7a.3.1.
-	ems need to be con-	ditional on PCS.								
Also the PCS ite	ems need to be con-	ditional on PCS.			C/ 46	SC 46.3	Р	120	L 42	# 389
Also the PCS ite SuggestedRemedy Add these registe	ers in the same wa	y that requiremen				SC 46.3		1 20 adcom	L 42	# 389
Also the PCS ite SuggestedRemedy Add these registe optional capabilit	ers in the same wa ties were added. D	y that requiremer efine an option (s			C/ 46			adcom	L 42	# 389
Also the PCS ite SuggestedRemedy Add these registe optional capabilit	ers in the same wa	y that requiremer efine an option (s			Cl 46 Thaler, Pat Comment T No beha	vpe ER	Broa Comment Statu should be exhibited	adcom s A between ar	n EEE supportir	ng device and a non
Also the PCS ite SuggestedRemedy Add these registr optional capabilit examples). You In the status colu	tiers in the same wa ties were added. Do could use EEE for t	y that requiremer efine an option (s the option name. se, make them m	ee 45.5.3.6 and andatory conditi		Cl 46 Thaler, Pat Comment T No beha EEE su	<i>tpe</i> ER vior changes porting device	Broa Comment Statu	adcom s A between ar a new requi	n EEE supportir	ng device and a non
Also the PCS ite SuggestedRemedy Add these registr optional capabilit examples). You In the status colu If the option is El	ters in the same wa ties were added. Do could use EEE for umn for each of the EE, you would repla	y that requiremer efine an option (s the option name. se, make them m ace "M" with PCS	ee 45.5.3.6 and andatory conditi *EEE:M	45.5.3.2 for	Cl 46 Thaler, Pat Comment T No beha EEE su	ype ER vior changes porting device s to support a	Broa Comment Statu should be exhibited e. This note implies a	adcom s A between ar a new requi	n EEE supportir	ng device and a non
Also the PCS ite SuggestedRemedy Add these registr optional capabiliti examples). You In the status colu If the option is El For the AN items probably can't us	ters in the same wa ties were added. D could use EEE for umn for each of the EE, you would repla s, also define an op se the same option	y that requiremer efine an option (s the option name. se, make them m ace "M" with PCS tion and replace ' name both place	ee 45.5.3.6 and andatory conditi *EEE:M 'AN:M" with "AN	45.5.3.2 for	CI 46 Thaler, Pat Comment T No beha EEE su sublaye SuggestedF	upe ER vior changes oporting device s to support a emedy	Broa Comment Statu should be exhibited e. This note implies a	adcom s A between ar a new requi nalted.	EEE supportir rement for all F	ng device and a non Reconcilliation
Also the PCS ite SuggestedRemedy Add these registr optional capabiliti examples). You In the status colu If the option is El For the AN items probably can't us	ters in the same wa ties were added. Do could use EEE for umn for each of the EE, you would replace s, also define an op se the same option with what they did	y that requiremer efine an option (s the option name. se, make them m ace "M" with PCS tion and replace ' name both place in AN.	ee 45.5.3.6 and andatory conditi *EEE:M 'AN:M" with "AN	45.5.3.2 for ional on EEE support. * <option>:M". You</option>	CI 46 Thaler, Pat Comment T No beha EEE su sublaye SuggestedF	upe ER vior changes oporting device s to support a emedy	Broa Comment Statu should be exhibited e. This note implies a clock that may be h	adcom s A between ar a new requi nalted. oplies when	EEE supportir rement for all F	ng device and a non Reconcilliation
Also the PCS ite SuggestedRemedy Add these registr optional capabilit examples). You of In the status colu If the option is El For the AN items probably can't us looks consistent Response	ters in the same wa ties were added. Do could use EEE for umn for each of the EE, you would replace s, also define an op se the same option with what they did	y that requiremer efine an option (s the option name. se, make them m ace "M" with PCS tion and replace ' name both place	ee 45.5.3.6 and andatory conditi *EEE:M 'AN:M" with "AN	45.5.3.2 for ional on EEE support. * <option>:M". You</option>	Cl 46 Thaler, Pat Comment T No beha EEE su sublaye SuggestedF Qualify Response	upe ER vior changes oporting device s to support a emedy	Broa Comment Statu should be exhibited e. This note implies a clock that may be h nce so that it only ap Response Status	adcom s A between ar a new requi nalted. oplies when	EEE supportir rement for all F	ng device and a non Reconcilliation
Also the PCS ite SuggestedRemedy Add these registr optional capabilit examples). You of In the status colu If the option is El For the AN items probably can't us looks consistent	ters in the same wa ties were added. Do could use EEE for umn for each of the EE, you would replace s, also define an op se the same option with what they did	y that requiremer efine an option (s the option name. se, make them m ace "M" with PCS tion and replace ' name both place in AN.	ee 45.5.3.6 and andatory conditi *EEE:M 'AN:M" with "AN	45.5.3.2 for ional on EEE support. * <option>:M". You</option>	Cl 46 Thaler, Pat Comment T No beha EEE su sublaye SuggestedF Qualify Response ACCEP	ype ER vior changes oporting device is to support a emedy he new sente F IN PRINCIP	Broa Comment Statu should be exhibited e. This note implies a clock that may be h nce so that it only ap Response Status	adcom s A between ar a new requi alted. oplies when s C	EEE supportir rement for all F	ng device and a non Reconcilliation

C/ 46 SC 46.3

<i>CI</i> 46 Law, David	SC 46.3.1.2	<i>P</i> 121 3Com	L 13	# 354	<i>Cl</i> 46 Thaler, Pat	SC 46.3.1.2	P 121 Broadcom	L 36	# 390
Comment 1	vpe T Cor	nment Status A			Comment 7		Comment Status A		
To allov well as GMII ar	v Clause 78 to refer glo just being a good idea, ad XGMII when the RS escription. At the mom	bally to the same end I believe that the end is transmiting Low Po	oding on the trar	smit path of the MII,	This re don't su applica	quirement is sta upport low powe ble and support	ted such that it applies to all r idle. EEE requirements sho		
		ent we have.			Suggested	-			
GMII XGMII	Assert low power idle Assert low power idle LP_IDLE - assert low 2 assert low power idle				and en	abled and that r	le that the new code should eception of the code is only licable when EEE is support	equired in that c	
l sugge	st that for consistency		wer idle'.		devices	s and that EEE s	It the clause that new require supporting devices are only t THEE mode is enabled with	o exhibit new bel	havior to peers or
Suggested	-				Response		Response Status C	oupport	
Change	e 'LP_IDLE - assert low	power idle' to read 'A	ssert low power	idle'.	•	PT IN PRINCIPL	•		
Also ch locatior	ange 'transmit low pow ls:	er idle' to read 'assert	low power idle' i	n the following		e the sentence:			
Page 6	7, line 50 6, line 43						bility shall interpret the comb tion of low power idle."	ination of TXC a	nd TXD as shown in
Page 1 Page 1	05, line 13 05, line 18 14, line 47				C/ 46 Barrass, Hu	SC 46.3.1.5a Jgh	P 121 Cisco	L 49	# 24
Page 1 Page 1	15, line 7 21, line 39				Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Response		oonse Status C			**Clock	Stoppable**			
ACCEF	,				Refer a	also to comment	#6, rev 1.5		
C/ 46 ₋aw, David	SC 46.3.1.2	P 121 3Com	L 14	# 355			t as currently defined is not u IAC & MAC-PHY.	seful. It is better	to split the control into
	eally 'Normal inter-fram	nment Status R ne'.				n; The PHY nee	ert a bit to allow the PHY to eds to assert a bit to allow the		
Suggested				<i>.</i> .	Suggested	Remedy			
00	t that 'Normal inter-frar	0	d 'Low power int	er-trame'.	Change	e "clock stoppab	le bit" to "Clock stop capable	e bit"	
esponse	,	oonse Status C				hange the refer	ence to 45.2.3.2.2a.		
REJEC	1.				Response	nange me relete	Response Status C		
	s no "low power" behav be "normal inter-frame"			erefore the mapping	ACCEF	PT.	Response Status C		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **46** SC **46.3.1.5a**

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 46 SC 46.3.2.2 Law, David	P 123 3Com	L 10	# 344	<i>Cl</i> 46 SC 46.5.3.3a Barrass, Hugh	P 125 Cisco	L 23	# 35
Comment Type E Typo.	Comment Status A			3 1	Comment Status A s for Rx & Tx direction LPI.		
SuggestedRemedy 'assert low' should rea	ad 'Assert low'.			SuggestedRemedy Change L1:			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				ection : as defined in Table 4	6-3	
C/ 46 SC 46.3.2.4a Law, David	P 124 3Com	L 1	# 341	Insert new item: Assertion of LPI in Rx dire	ection : as defined in Table 4	6-4	
Comment Type E Typo.	Comment Status A			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy 'Insert 45.3.2.4a for rece receive low power idle tr Response ACCEPT.	eive low power idle transitior ransition:'. Response Status C	n:' should read 'li	nsert 46.3.2.4a for		HSZ Consulting Comment Status R t on the encoding of LPI as a		# 382
C/ 46 SC 46.3.2.4a Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T	P 124 Cisco Comment Status A	L 13	# 25	alternative in the existing redesign and verification of	a new XGMII character for L standard - Sequence ordere of existing implementations. ated and difficult to validate.	d sets !, withou	ut requiring wholesa
Clock Stoppable Refer also to comment #	#6, rev 1.5				led by defining a new Seque ready support clock comper		et for LPI.
The clock stoppable bit a two directions - PHY-MA	as currently defined is not u				mechanaism (Sequence orc mpact of EEE on the existin nality.		
	ds to assert a bit to allow the			-	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy							
Change "clock stoppable	e bit" to "Clock stop enable	bit"			d rejected this proposal prev		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				tment of MII & GMII. Using s uld ease the complexity of s		

C/ 46 SC Table 46-3

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient Etherne	et comme	ents		September
C/ 48 SC 48.2.3 Law, David	P 126 3Com	L 17	# 342	Cl 48 SC Thaler, Pat	48.2.4	P 127 Broadcom	L 12	# 391
Idle on its RX MDI is Ta (see also my comment SuggestedRemedy		r idle', not 'receiv			ar on the lin	Comment Status A er of the PCS code group in a e in the table rather than as a		the other codes, it
Change 'receive Low Po Response ACCEPT.	ower Idle' to read 'assert low Response Status C	oower idle'.		Response ACCEPT IN I See commen	-			
Cl 48 SC 48.2.4 Estes, Dave Comment Type T Table 48-3	P 127 UNH - IOL Comment Status A	L	# 125	Cl 48 SC Barrass, Hugh Comment Type	48.2.4 T	P 127 Cisco Comment Status A ot underlined in new row of T	L 12	# 44
SuggestedRemedy For an XGMII RXD of 0 K28.5 or D20.5a".	s 06 the PCS will also receive		"K28.0 or K28.3 or	SuggestedReme	dy	row "Low Power Idle" Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT. Cl 48 SC 48.2.4 Estes, Dave Comment Type T Table 48-2	Response Status C P 127 UNH - IOL Comment Status A	L	# [124	Barrass, Hugh Comment Type		P 127 Cisco Comment Status A ot underlined in new row of T	L 38 able 48-3.	# 45
When the XGMII TXD is SuggestedRemedy	s 06 the PCS will also transm 6, Change the PCS code grou <i>Response Status</i> C		"K28.0 or K28.3 or	00	•	row "Low Power Idle" Response Status C		

C/ **48** SC **48.2.4** Page 69 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

	8.2.4.2	P 128	L 24	# 392	C/ 48	SC 48.2.4.2	P 128	L 42	# 1
haler, Pat	ł	Broadcom			Anslow, Pete		Nortel Networks		
21	TR Comment St				Comment Ty		Comment Status A		
	added as a requirement ere EEE support doesn't		players even the	ose that are part of	"ordered	set LPIDLE	is a special of I " doesn't make	e sense	
Fill types whe		appiy.			SuggestedRe	2			
	ther new requirements a upported and enabled or		or EEE support	should only apply	change to	o "ordered set	LPIDLE is a special case of	I "	
		n ine FCS.			Response		Response Status C		
uggestedRemedy After "with the f	, following exceptions tha	t apply when o	ntional FEE one	ration is enabled." or	ACCEPT				
similar languag		apply when o		Tation is chabled. Of	C/ 48	SC 48.2.4.2	P 128	L 43	# 400
esponse	Response St	atus C			Thaler, Pat		Broadcom		
ACCEPT IN PF	RINCIPLE.				Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status A		
Change "with th	he following exceptions"	' to "with the fol	lowing exception	ns for PHYs with FFF	"in one ro	ow" makes it s	ound like they all go in the same	row/lane.	
capability"			lowing exception		SuggestedRe	emedy			
	8.2.4.2	P 128	L 25	# 399		/D20.5/ in on e lanes during	e code-group of each column wi J	th a random	uniform distribution
haler, Pat		Broadcom			Response		Response Status C		
51	ER Comment St 48 doesn't have rows, it				ACCEPT				
	,	nas lanes			C/ 48	SC 48.2.4.2	P128	L 44	# 126
uggestedRemedy Use lane.	·				Estes, Dave		UNH - IOL		
esponse	Response Sta	otuo C			Comment Ty	be T	Comment Status A		
ACCEPT.	Response Su						lock compensation may be perfo		
							described in 48.2.4.2.3" however n of R or Idle.	the rules in	48.2.4.2.3 only allows
Six instances to	o replace in this clause.				SuggestedRe				
	8212	P 128	L 3	# 46	00		clude the capability to perform c	lock compen	sation on 4 Low Powe
2/ 48 SC 44 arrass, Hugh		Cisco			Idle chara	acters or a co	umn containing 3 /R/ and 1 /D20).5/.	
arrass, Hugh omment Type	T Comment St	Cisco tatus A			Response		Response Status C).5/.	
arrass, Hugh comment Type The additional	T Comment Si text in the title is not unc	Cisco tatus A			Response	acters or a co	Response Status C	J.5/.	
arrass, Hugh omment Type The additional uggestedRemedy	T Comment Si text in the title is not unc	Cisco <i>tatus</i> A derlined.			Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C		/"
arrass, Hugh omment Type The additional uggestedRemedy	T Comment St text in the title is not und	Cisco tatus A derlined. DLE)"			Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		ſ

C/ 48 SC 48.2.4.2

Responses on D	2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient E	thernet comm	nents			September 2009
C/ 48 SC 48.2 . Thaler, Pat	4.2	P 128 Broadcom	L 47	# 393	<i>C</i> / 48 Estes, Da	SC 48.2.4.2 .		<i>P</i> 129 UNH - IOL	L 24	# 128
	r under the sam	ent Status A ne subclause headi xt two subclauses h		f the variable changes numbering.		of the new definit	Comment S ions are for time		rs.	
SuggestedRemedy Use the subclause numbers from the editor notes.					SuggestedRemedy Create a subclause for timers.					
Response ACCEPT.		se Status C			Response ACCI	EPT.	Response S	tatus C		
C/ 48 SC 48.2. Estes, Dave	4.2.3	<i>P</i> 129 UNH - IOL	L 10	# 127	<i>Cl</i> 48 Thaler, Pa			P 130 Broadcom	L 24	# 395
Comment Type E		ent Status A 'A Boolean variable	.".		Comment Titles Suggeste	of the state diag	Comment S rams in the note		e titles on the dia	agram.
SuggestedRemedy Change "An boole	an variable" to '	'A Boolean variable			Chan	ge the titles in the		Ũ	IS.	
Response ACCEPT.	Respons	se Status C			Response ACCI		Response S	tatus C		
C/ 48 SC 48.2. Thaler, Pat	4.2.3	P 129 Broadcom	L 3	# 394	<i>Cl</i> 48 Thaler, Pa	SC 48.2.6.2		P 130 Broadcom	L 24	# 398
Comment Type TR	nters and mess	ent Status A sages have been ac	lded with no ind	ication that they only		e is nothing in the enabled. For bac		that condition		idle signaling on EEE used when EEE is
SuggestedRemedy					00	dRemedy				
Either group all the variables, counters and messages requrired for EEE operation only in a separate subclause or indicate in the description of each one that it only applies when EEE is supported.					Add a Response		lpi_enable varia Response S		tion new behavio	or on it being TRUE.
Response	Respons	se Status C			REJE	CT.				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the note on p.128, I.49 can be changed in a similar manner to comment #483 response:					The definition of the RS only allows LPI signaling when both link partners have indicated LPI capability. Therefore the PCS does not need any such restriction. This approach is similar to that used for other options such as carrier extension.					
""NOTE: For EEE	oility this variab	ariable is affected b le is identical to de		ve state diagram. tus controled by the						
See response to c	omment #410. I	EEE capability varia	ables/timers will	be kept separately.						
	D/dispatched A	Vaccepted R/reject		T/technical E/editorial G/ge SE STATUS: O/open W/wri		d U/unsatisfied	Z/withdrawn	CI 48 SC 48		Page 71 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26

9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2 P 130 L 24 # 30 earrass, Hugh Cisco	Cl 48 SC 48.2.6.2 P 131 L 3 # 396 Thaler, Pat Broadcom					
Comment Type T Comment Status A ** State diagram conventions **	Comment Type T Comment Status A LPIDLE needs to be added to the list of Constants.					
It is not clear which state diagram conventions are relevant for each section in this amendment. Notes need to be added so that the conventions for each clause are clear.	SuggestedRemedy Add LPIDLE					
The conventions may be cleaned up and coordinated in the next revision when all clauses are open.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.					
SuggestedRemedy Add a note:	C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2 P 132 L 1 # 401 Thaler, Pat Broadcom					
Note: The state diagram conventions described in 48.2.6 apply to all of the state diagrams in this clause.	Comment Type E Comment Status A Figure 48-8 should appear before Figure 48-9					
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy Correct the ordering of the figures.					
See response to comment #26 Make it an editors note.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.					
SC 48.2.6.2 P 131 L 26 # 397 haler, Pat Broadcom	C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2 P 132 L 5 # 47 Barrass, Hugh Cisco					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Altering state machine behavior with a note isn't a good idea. It should be done in the state machine or the supporting text for the state machine. Also, "one row" implies that the	Comment Type T Comment Status A Additional information is needed for the note.					
D20.5 always goes in the same lane which is not the intent.	SuggestedRemedy					
SuggestedRemedy One approach would be to modify the definitions for the constants R and K to state	Add the sentence to the note:					
that if TX= LPIDLE , one code-group of the column is replaced by /D20.5/ as defined in	"If Low Power Idle is not supported then the transition to the optional state is never true."					
48.2.4.2. Or create two new constants to represent the LP Idle versions of R and K and in the state boxes use an if TX= LPIDLE to send the correct constant.	Response Response Status C					
Response Response Status C	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	"The transition to the optional state is only possible with EEE capability."					

C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2 Page 72 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

Cl 48 SC 48.2.6.2.2 P 132 Thaler, Pat Broadco		# 402	<i>Cl</i> 48 Thaler, Pat	SC 48.2.6.2.5	P 134 Broadcom	L 3	# 447
Comment Type TR Comment Status A "is not implemented" should be "is not enabled				51	Comment Status A d like the figures replace or s	show modificati	ons to the transmit and
New behavior should only occur when the opti-	on is enabled						
SuggestedRemedy Make the change above. Also check for other	occurances of "imple	mented" or "supported"	Also th 48.2.6.		ke a normative statement. F	or an example	see the first sentence of
and change to "enabled" where they describe			Page 1	35 line 49 should	d also make a normative stat	ement.	
Response Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Originally submitted with Page 41, line 132 Edit the two inserted sentences to read: For the EEE capability the relationship betwee	n align_status and de	eskew_align_status is	shown You ca overrid align_s	in figures 48-9a n go on to explai es disables the t tatus and tells th	supports EEE shall impleme and 48-9b and that these pro n that the transmit LPI state ransmitter when true and tha e receive state machine whe er tables normative too.	ocesses shall ru diagram contro it the receive or	un when EEE is enabled. Is tx_quiet which ne produces
given by Figure 48-9b, the LPI receive state diagram, otherwise align_status is identic	al to deskew_align_s	status.	Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C =.		
			See re	sponse to comm	ent #455.		
			proces tx_quie	ses as shown in et which disables	the EEE capability shall imp figures 48-9a and 48-9b. Th the transmitter when true. T and synchronizes the receiv	e transmit LPI s he receive LPI	state diagram controls state diagram controls

LPĬ."

receive."

Change the statement on p.135, I.49:

for transmit and Table 48-10 for

C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5

"The LPI functions shall use timer values for these state machines as shown in Table 48-9

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	y Efficient E	thernet comm	nents		September 2009
C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 D'Ambrosia, John	P 134 Force10 Netwo	L 4 orks	# 122	<i>Cl</i> 48 Estes, Dav	SC 48.2.6.2.	5 <i>P</i> 135 UNH - IOL	L	# 129
	Comment Status A s for conformance to the LP ding SHALL statement in te:		eceive state diagrams,	0	e 48-9b	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy add appropriate SHALL s Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status W			started LPID to be i RX_W	d when the RX_G DLE exit conditio restarted upon ea /AKE: The signal	_timer that is started in this s QUIET state is entered not the n from this state that goes ba ach re-entry. _detect=FAIL exit condition of ceive data or other non-Idle a	e RX_SLEEP s ick to this state	tate. Also, the and will cause the timer appropiate because it
Comment #455 adds sha Cl 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type E	P 134 Cisco Comment Status A & 48-9b are not properly ali	L 8	# 20	Suggested RX_S define	dRemedy LEEP: If a timer i ed.	signal_detect=OK, only LPIE s intended to be utilized in th ne signal_detect=FAIL exit cc	is state then a	
SuggestedRemedy Align the arrow heads & t		gneu.		Response		Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C					nodified by comment #448. in 48.2.4.2.5 to match the m	odified state ma	achine.
				C/ 48 Brown, Ma <i>Comment</i> In Figu	Type TR	5 P 135 AppliedMicro Comment Status A ions out of RX_SLEEP are a		# 100
				criteria	ge criteria for RX_ a for RX_SLEEP-	_SLEEP-RX_SLEEP to " LP RX_ACTIVE to " IDLE *!rx_ RX_ACTIVE to "(signal_dete	tq_timer_done	'.
				Response ACCE		Response Status C		

 C/
 48
 Page 74 of 125

 SC
 48.2.6.2.5
 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

CI 48	SC 48.2.6.2.5	P 135	L 19	# 448	C/ 48	SC 48.2.6.2.5	P 135	L 22	# 98
Thaler, Pat		Broadcom			Brown, Ma	tt	AppliedMicro (A	AMCC)	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There appears to be a small bug in the state machine. If while in LPI, the link becomes degraded such that the receiver can not acquire deskew_align_status=OK, but the signal is still able to trigger signal_detect=OK though perhaps sluggishly or intermittantly, then Link Failure will not be detected.

Also note that at these speeds, signal detect is difficult and it is possible that noise on a none terminated line may cause signal detection. It is so difficult at these speeds to set a threshold that doesn't unsquelch for noise and does for signal that we made it optional in Clause 71 and rely mainly on gaining alignment as a measure of link quality.

Each time LPI is sent on the link, signal detect (which might be due to noise) will cause a transition from quiet to wake. If alignment cannot be acheived by the time the incoming signal returns to quiet, the state returns to quiet and the rx_tq_timer is restarted. This can go on indefiniately without detecting the failure because none of the timers time out.

This may delay failure detection or prevent it which hurts fast fail-over capabilities in end nodes and bridges. Also, if the machine doesn't get to RX_LINK_FAIL to assert align_status = FAIL, auto-neg to begin to restore the link can not start.

SuggestedRemedy

Start rx_tq_timer only in RX_SLEEP state so that cycles of signal detect that don't achieve alignment don't restart the timer.

Also, the definition of rx_tq_timer currently says that it is started in RX_QUIET but doesn't mention that it is also started in RX_SLEEP. Correct the definition to match the resolution of this comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Transitions from RX_WAKE and RX_WTF to RX_QUIET will restart quiet timer so realistic failure scenarios can cause undetected failure. One scenario is link partner driver failing or interconnect failure enough to attenuate but not kill the signal.

Instead, the return transition should not restart quiet timer.

SuggestedRemedy

Create new state RX_QUIET_INIT between RX_SLEEP and RX_QUIET. RX_SLEEP to RX_QUIET_INIT when "signal_detect=FAIL". RX_QUIET_INIT to RX_QUIET WHEN "UCT" In RX_QUIET delete "Start rx_tq_timer". In RX_QUIET_INIT add "Start rx_tq_timer".

The above will permit the dead loop to continue until the quiet timer (3-4 ms) is done then a fault will be detected.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #448

CI 48	SC 48.2.6.2	.5 P 135	L 3	# 82
Brown, M	att	AppliedMicro	(AMCC)	
Commen	t Type ER	Comment Status A		
	gure 48-9b, comp is Clause.	aring boolean variable to bool	ean value is re	dundant and out of style

SuggestedRemedy

Change "reset=TRUE" to "reset".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Page 75 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	/ Efficient E	therne	t comm	nents		September 2009
C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2. Thaler, Pat	5 P 136 Broadcom	L 3	# 449	<i>Cl</i> 49 Gustlin, M	SC ark		P Cisco	L	# 222
	Comment Status A should also specify the accep eivers or by stating a tolerance	0	her by min and max	If the o	tatemen optional		ver Idle function is implem		nit and receive functions
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C .E.				ansmit a dRemedy	and recie	in Figures 49-16 and 49- ve functions are specified		9-15, clarify this statement.
Add tolerance of 1%. C/ 48 SC 48.7.4.5 Barrass, Hugh	P 137 Cisco	L 24	# 34		PT IN P	RINCIPL 5 covers			
Comment Type T Need more specific Pl	Comment Status A Cs items for state machines			<i>Cl</i> 49 Gustlin, M		49.1.5	P 138 Cisco	L 26	# 219
LP-02 - LPI transmit st LP-03 - LPI receive sta LP-04 - LPI transmit tir	nachine: Support additions to ate machine : Meets the requi ate machine : Meets the requir ning : Meets the requirements	rements of Figu ements of Figur of Table 48-9 :	re 48-9a : 48.2.6.2.5 e 48-9b : 48.2.6.2.5 48.2.6.2.5	Efficie	lause is nt Ether stent, stic	net, else ck with o	Comment Status A istent with what it calls the where it is called Low powne or the other when calling	ver idle. I think it	would be good to be
Response ACCEPT.	ning : Meets the requirements Response Status C	ot ladie 48-10 :	48.2.6.2.5	Response ACCE		RINCIPL	Response Status C .E.		

Change "Energy Efficient Ethernet function" to "EEE capability" to be consistent with other subclauses.

C/ 49 SC 49.1.5

Responses on D2	2.0	IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient E	thernet comm	nents			September 20
C/ 49 SC 49.1.6 Barrass, Hugh	6 P 138 Cisco	L 37	# 31	<i>Cl</i> 49 Brown, M	SC 49.2.13.2 att	2	P 144 AppliedMicro	L 43 (AMCC)	# 92
Comment Type T ** State diagram co	Comment Status A onventions **			Commen Make	<i>Type</i> T it clear what to d		nt Status A nbler reset if FEC	is not in use.	
amendment. Notes	state diagram conventions are r need to be added so that the co ay be cleaned up and coordinate	nventions for ea	ch clause are clear.	Add s	dRemedy sentence to end of PHY shall set scra	ambler_rese		E if FEC is not	in use."
are open.				ACCI		Response			
SuggestedRemedy Add a note:				C/ 49	SC 49.2.13.2	.2	P 144	L 49	# 133
Noto: The state die	arom conventions described in 4	0 2 12 1 opply to	all of the state	Estes, Da	ve		UNH - IOL	-	
diagrams in this cla	gram conventions described in 4 use.	9.2.13.1 apply it	all of the state	Commen	Туре Е	Commer	nt Status A		
Response	Response Status C			wake	_error_counter sh	ould be in th	ne counter subcla	use not the vari	able subclause.
ACCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE.			Suggeste	dRemedy				
See response to co	mment #26				wake_error_cour	nter to the co	ounter subclause		
Make it an editors r	note.			Response		Response	e Status C		
C/ 49 SC 49.2.1	I3.2.2 P 144	L 19	# 453	ACCI	EPT.				
haler, Pat	Broadcom								
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A								
	nly devices implementing EEE n ters either by putting them in a so								
SuggestedRemedy									
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C								
Similarly to comme									
Similarly to comme	111 #354								
response: "NOTE: If the EEE receive state diagra	n p.144, I.13 can be changed in a capability is implemented, then t am. If the EEE capability is not im <_lock controled by the lock state	his variable is aff	ected by the LPI						
	omment #410 that calls for EEE r as opposed to being merged into mers								

C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.2.2 Page 77 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.2	2.3 P 141	L 32	# 131	C/ 49 SC 49.2.13	.2.3 P1	141 <i>L</i> 38	# 452
Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL			Thaler, Pat	Broa	dcom	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type TR	Comment Status	Α	
R_BLOCK_TYPE				Something beginning	"note that" isn't norma	ative and bit errors cou	uld create an LI on a non-

Bullet a) of Type C currently states "A block type field of 0x1e and eight valid control characters none of which is /E/ and all eight of which are not /Ll/ (note that the eight /Ll/ characters are only excluded if the optional Low Power Idle function is supported)". The wording "none of which is /E/ and all eight of which are not /Ll/" is confusing and can be mis-interpreted (does all eight of which are not /Ll/ mean that none are /Ll/ or less than 8 are /Ll?). The note "note that the eight /Ll/ characters are only excluded if the optional Low Power Idle function is supported" is not necessary because page 138 lines 53/54 states that if the Low Power Idle function is not supported then Low Power Idle characters will be treated as an error if received.

SuggestedRemedy

Change bullet a) of Type C from "A block type field of 0x1e and eight valid control characters none of which is /E/ and all eight of which are not /LI/ (note that the eight /LI/ characters are only excluded if the optional Low Power Idle function is supported)" to "A block type field of 0x1e and eight valid control characters other than /E/ and where less than eight of the characters are /LI/".

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Also see response to #139

Make the change suggested, but change:

"and where less than eight of the characters are /Ll/"

"and, if the EEE capability is supported, less than eight of the characters are /Ll/" (see comment #452)

	LPI link. We sho	uldn't place new requiremen	nts on a currently conformant device.
l/ ne	SuggestedRemedy replace from "an	d" with "and, when EEE is e	enabled, all eight of which are not /LI/"
e 18	Also For "LI:" su	oported should be enabled.	
l	This comment al	so applies to T_BLOCK_T	/PE
ters	Response	Response Status	С
	ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.	

Delete the note & make LPI support statement normative as suggested - see comments #131, 132 for details.

See response to comment #402 for supported vs enabled.

<i>CI</i> 49 Estes, Dav	SC 49.2.13.2. 3	8 <i>P</i> 142 UNH - IOL	L 52	# 132	C/ 49 SC 49.2 . Gustlin, Mark	13.2.5	<i>P</i> 145 Cisco	L	# 220
Comment		Comment Status A			Comment Type T		Comment Status A		
T_BL0	OCK_TYPE				This statment is co	onfusing	:		

Bullet a) of Type C currently states "eight valid control characters /O/, /S/, /T/, /E/ and all eight of which are not /LI/ (note that the eight /LI/ characters are only excluded if the optional Low Power Idle function is supported)". The wording "all eight of which are not /LI/" is confusing and can be mis-interpreted (does all eight of which are not /LI/ mean that none are /LI/ or less than 8 are /LI/?).

Type LI is defined as eight /LI/ characters or four /LI/ followed by four /l/ characters, however this is inconsistent with R_BLOCK_TYPE which classifies four /LI/ followed by four /I/ characters as type C.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Bullet a) of Type C from "eight valid control characters /O/, /S/, /T/, /E/ and all eight of which are not /LI/ (note that the eight /LI/ characters are only excluded if the optional Low Power Idle function is supported)" to "eight valid control characters /O/, /S/, /T/, /E/ and where less than eight of the characters are /LI/".

Change the definition of type LI from "If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then this vector contains eight /LP/ characters, or contains four /LI/ followed by four /l/ characters" to "If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then this vector contains eight /LP/ characters"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Also see response for comment #140

Make the change suggested, but change:

"and where less than eight of the characters are /LI/"

"and, if the EEE capability is supported, less than eight of the characters are /LI/" (see comment #452)

"Change Figure 49-14 for LPI transmit state diagram and 49-15 for LPI receive state diagram"

Does it refer to the transmit state diagram (49-14) and recieve (49-15), or the LPI transmit state diagram (49-16) and the LPI receive state diagram (49-17)?

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify the statement accordingly.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment #455 clarifies.

C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.2.5

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3 P 146 L 18 # 545 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC)	C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3 P 147 L # 134 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL UNH - IOL Image: state stat
Comment Type TR Comment Status X late This comment reports an issue similar to that reported in comment #93 in CL 55. It relates to the state machine in Figure 49-14 and the definition of T_BLOCK_TYPE LI on pages	Comment Type T Comment Status A Figure 49-15
142 and 143. T_BLOCK_TYPE LI is specified as including cases with either 8 /Ll/ or 4x/Ll/+4x/I/. As the state machine in Figure 49-14 is currently defined this allows and	RX_D: There is not an exit condition defined if R_TYPE_NEXT=LI.
requires transition to low power mode $(TX_LI \text{ state})$ if either is detected. Transition to low power mode upon detection of $4x/Ll/+4x/l/$ should not be permitted. However, provision is required to allow for this are stated.	RX_E: There is not an exit condition defined if R_TYPE_NEXT=LI. SuggestedRemedy
required to allow for this special case while in the TX_LI state. SuggestedRemedy	RX_D: Modify the exit conditions from RX_D and RX_E states to the RX_T state to "R_TYPE(rx_coded)=T * R_TYPE_NEXT=(S+C+LI)"
Define LII as "LII: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then LII occurs when the vector contains four /LI/ control characters followed by four /I/ control characters."	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Re-define LI as "LI: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then the LI type occurs when the vector contains eight control characters of /LI/."	C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3 P 147 L 2 # 454 Thaler, Pat Broadcom
In Figure 49-14 Change the criteria for transition for the following transition to include LII: TX_C to TX_E TX_INIT to TX_E TX_D to TX_E TX_E to TX_E TX_E to TX_E	Comment Type TR Comment Status A This state diagram also needs a note saying the state in the dotted box is optional. SuggestedRemedy
TX_T to TX_E Change the criteria for transition from TX_LI to TX_LI (loop) to "T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(LI+LII)". Alternately, change the criteria for transition from TX_L to TX_C to "T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(I+LII)".	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Proposed Response Response Status W	Also add the following note:
-	Note: transition E is only required for EEE capability.
This comment was received late and not processed at the task force meeting.	Gustlin, Mark Cisco
Some of the issues raised may have been resolved by the response to comments #99 and #456	Comment TypeTRComment StatusAIt would help to put in a text description of the behavior of each state machine, 49-16 and 49-17, what is each SM accomplishing at a high level.SuggestedRemedy
	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Comment #455 may satisfy this.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **49** SC **49.2.13.3.1**

Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) Comment Type TR Comment Status X It is possible to be caught in RX_SLEEP state. The only exit conditions are detection of IDLE blocks or detection of no energy at PMA. It is possible that with a compromised signal that neither !signal_ok or IDLE will be detected. SuggestedRemedy Move the "start rx_tq_timer" from RX_QUIET state to the RX_SLEEP state (as proposed in Comments #425 and #448) and add a transition to RX_LINK_FAIL on "rx_tq_timer_done signal_ok". Note that this transition is already included in the CL 49 LPI RX SM.
IDLE blocks or detection of no energy at PMA. It is possible that with a compromised signal that neither !signal_ok or IDLE will be detected. SuggestedRemedy Move the "start rx_tq_timer" from RX_QUIET state to the RX_SLEEP state (as proposed in Comments #425 and #448) and add a transition to RX_LINK_FAIL on "rx_tq_timer_done signal_ok". Note that this transition is already included in the CL 49 LPI RX SM.
Move the "start rx_tq_timer" from RX_QUIET state to the RX_SLEEP state (as proposed i Comments #425 and #448) and add a transition to RX_LINK_FAIL on "rx_tq_timer_done signal_ok". Note that this transition is already included in the CL 49 LPI RX SM.
Comments #425 and #448) and add a transition to RX_LINK_FAIL on "rx_tq_timer_done signal_ok". Note that this transition is already included in the CL 49 LPI RX SM.
Proposed Response Response Status W
This comment was received late and not processed at the task force meeting. Some of the issues raised may have been resolved by the response to comments #99 and
#456
C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1 P149 L 19 # 547
Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)
Comment Type T Comment Status X
Transition criteria from RX_SLEEP to RX_ACTIVE not consistent with rest of SM.
SuggestedRemedy Simple fix Change "R_TYPE(rx_coded) = IDLE" to "(R_TYPE(rx_coded) = IDLE) * rx_block_lock". Alternately Consider/define (R_TYPE(x) = y) being TRUE to include the condition that rx_block_lock =
TRUE. In which case, we can clean up the SM by removing the rx_block_lock condition
from the following transitions: RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE RX_WTF to RX_SLEEP RX_WTF to RX_ACTIVE
Proposed Response Response Status W
This comment was received late and not processed at the task force meeting.
Some of the issues raised may have been resolved by the response to comments #99 and #456

C/ **49** SC **49.2.13.3.1**

C/ 49	SC 49.2.13.3.1	P 149	L 22	# 425	C/ 49	SC 49.2.13.3.1	P 149	L 25	# 99
Thaler, Pat	t	Broadcom			Brown, M	att	AppliedMicro	(AMCC)	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There appears to be a small bug in the state machine. If while in LPI, the link becomes degraded such that the receiver can not acquire rx_block_lock, but the signal is still able to trigger energy_detect=OK though perhaps sluggishly or intermittantly, then Link Failure will not be detected.

Also note that at these speeds, signal detect is difficult and it is possible that noise on a none terminated line may cause signal detection. It is so difficult at these speeds to set a threshold that doesn't unsquelch for noise and does for signal that we made it optional in Clause 72 and rely mainly on gaining alignment as a measure of link quality.

Each time LPI is sent on the link, energy_detect (which might be due to noise) will cause a transition from quiet to wake. If block lock cannot be acheived by the time the incoming signal returns to quiet, the state returns to quiet and the rx_tq_timer is restarted. This can go on indefiniately without detecting the failure because none of the timers time out.

This may delay failure detection or prevent it which hurts fast fail-over capabilities in end nodes and bridges. Also, if the machine doesn't get to RX_LINK_FAIL to assert block_lock = FAIL, triggering auto-neg to begin to restore the link can not start.

SuggestedRemedy

Start rx_tq_timer only in RX_SLEEP state so that cycles of signal detect that don't achieve alignment don't restart the timer.

Also, the definition of rx_tq_timer currently says that it is started in RX_QUIET but doesn't mention that it is also started in RX_SLEEP. Correct the definition to match the resolution of this comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #99

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Transitions from RX_WAKE and RX_WTF to RX_QUIET will restart quiet timer so realistic failure scenarios can cause undetected failure. One scenario is link partner driver failing or interconnect failure enough to attenuate but not kill the signal. Another is the Tx taps have changed.

Instead, the return transition should not restart quiet timer.

SuggestedRemedy

Create new state RX_QUIET_INIT between RX_SLEEP and RX_QUIET. RX_SLEEP to RX_QUIET_INIT when "!signal_ok". RX_QUIET_INIT to RX_QUIET WHEN "UCT" In RX_QUIET delete "Start rx_tq_timer". In RX_QUIET_INIT add "Start rx_tq_timer".

The above will permit the dead loop to continue until the quiet timer (3-4 ms) is done then a fault will be detected.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

State diagram changes as per brown_01_0909.pdf will resolve the issue.

C/ 49	SC 49.2.13.3.1	P 150	L 9	# 426
Thaler, Pa	ıt	Broadcom		
•		· · · · ·		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The transmitter timers should also specify the acceptable range - either by min and max columns as for the receivers or by stating a tolerance.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Put a tolerance of 1%

C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1

Responses on	D2.0
--------------	------

C/ 49 SC 49	19.2.4.4	P 138	L 52	# 343	Cl 49 SC 49.2.4.7 P139 L 52 # 217	
aw, David		3Com			Gustlin, Mark Cisco	
Comment Type	E	Comment Status A			Comment Type T Comment Status A	
Idle on its RX M	MDI is Table	ve path of the XGMII whe e 46-4 as 'assert low powe subclause 22.2.2.7).			In the following statement, the (0x07) can be confusing, since we don't know if it refe the XGMII or 10GBASE-R code, and the XGMII code for Idle is also 0x07.	ers to
SuggestedRemedy	V				To communicate Low Power Idle, low power idle control character /Ll/ (0x07) is sent continuously in place	t
Change 'receiv	ve Low Powe	er Idle' to read 'assert low	power idle'.		of /l/.	
Response	F	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy	
ACCEPT.					Change to:	
C/ 49 SC 4 9 Thaler, Pat	19.2.4.4	P 138 Broadcom	L 54	# 450	To communicate Low Power Idle, low power idle control character /Ll/ is sent contin in place of /l/.	nuously
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R			Response Response Status C	
Supported shou	ould be enab	led since these signals sh II where the Reconcilliation			ACCEPT.	
SuggestedRemedy			,		C/ 49 SC 49.2.4.7 P140 L # 130	
,					Estes, Dave UNH - IOL	
Change suppor	orted to enab	oled.				
Change suppor Response					Comment Type T Comment Status A	
Change suppor Response REJECT.		oled. Response Status C			Comment Type T Comment Status A Table 49-1	
Response	F				Table 49-1 The encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control codes of 0x inconsistent with the Clause 55 encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GE	
Response REJECT. See comment # C/ 49 SC 49	F	Response Status C	L 25	# 59	Table 49-1 The encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control codes of 0x inconsistent with the Clause 55 encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GE control codes of 0x06.	BASE-
Response REJECT. See comment # C/ 49 SC 49	F #402	Response Status C	L 25	# 59	Table 49-1 The encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control codes of 0x inconsistent with the Clause 55 encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GE control codes of 0x06. Regarding the 8B/10B cell containing "K28.0 or K28.3 or K28.5 with D20.5 in one ro	BASE-
Response REJECT. See comment # C/ 49 SC 49 Bennett, Michael Comment Type	#402 19.2.4.4 ER	Response Status C P 139 LBNL Comment Status A		# 59	Table 49-1 The encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control codes of 0x inconsistent with the Clause 55 encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GE control codes of 0x06. Regarding the 8B/10B cell containing "K28.0 or K28.3 or K28.5 with D20.5 in one ro D20.5 is only included when K28.0 or K28.5 is transmitted.	BASE-
Response REJECT. See comment # Cl 49 SC 49 Bennett, Michael Comment Type	#402 19.2.4.4 ER on behalf of	Response Status C P 139 LBNL Comment Status A Jonathan Ebbers, jpebbe		# 59	Table 49-1 The encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control codes of 0x inconsistent with the Clause 55 encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GE control codes of 0x06. Regarding the 8B/10B cell containing "K28.0 or K28.3 or K28.5 with D20.5 in one ro	BASE- ow",
Response REJECT. See comment # C/ 49 SC 49 Bennett, Michael Comment Type Note: entered c 802-769-5034 (Signal scramble	#402 i9.2.4.4 ER on behalf of (T/L 446-50: ler_reset is r port (optional)	Response Status C P 139 LBNL Comment Status A Jonathan Ebbers, jpebbe	ers@us.ibm.com	6 for Energy efficient	Table 49-1 The encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control codes of 0x inconsistent with the Clause 55 encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GE control codes of 0x06. Regarding the 8B/10B cell containing "K28.0 or K28.3 or K28.5 with D20.5 in one ro D20.5 is only included when K28.0 or K28.5 is transmitted. SuggestedRemedy Change the encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control codes	BASE- ow", les of
Response REJECT. See comment # Cl 49 SC 49 Bennett, Michael Comment Type Note: entered c 802-769-5034 (Signal scramble ethernet suppo also in Figure 7	#402 #9.2.4.4 ER 0 on behalf of (T/L 446-50: ler_reset is r prt (optional) 74-1	Response Status C P 139 LBNL Comment Status A Jonathan Ebbers, jpebbe 34) not listed in the Service pr	ers@us.ibm.com	6 for Energy efficient	Table 49-1 The encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control codes of 0x inconsistent with the Clause 55 encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GE control codes of 0x06. Regarding the 8B/10B cell containing "K28.0 or K28.3 or K28.5 with D20.5 in one ro D20.5 is only included when K28.0 or K28.5 is transmitted. SuggestedRemedy Change the encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control code 0x06. Also reflect this change on page 139 line 52 and page 141 line 43 (type LI). Change the cell "K28.0 or K28.3 of K28.5 with D20.5 in one row" to "K28.0 with D20	BASE- ow", les of
Response REJECT. See comment # Cl 49 SC 49 Bennett, Michael Comment Type Note: entered c 802-769-5034 (Signal scramble ethernet suppo also in Figure 7 SuggestedRemedy	#402 #9.2.4.4 ER on behalf of (T/L 446-50: ler_reset is r ort (optional) 74-1 y	Response Status C P 139 LBNL Comment Status A Jonathan Ebbers, jpebbe 34) not listed in the Service pr	ers@us.ibm.com	6 for Energy efficient	Table 49-1 The encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control codes of 0x inconsistent with the Clause 55 encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GE control codes of 0x06. Regarding the 8B/10B cell containing "K28.0 or K28.3 or K28.5 with D20.5 in one ro D20.5 is only included when K28.0 or K28.5 is transmitted. SuggestedRemedy Change the encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control code 0x06. Also reflect this change on page 139 line 52 and page 141 line 43 (type LI). Change the cell "K28.0 or K28.3 of K28.5 with D20.5 in one row" to "K28.0 with D20	BASE ow", les of
Response REJECT. See comment # Cl 49 SC 49 Bennett, Michael Comment Type Note: entered c 802-769-5034 (Signal scramble ethernet suppo also in Figure 7 SuggestedRemedy	#402 #9.2.4.4 ER on behalf of (T/L 446-50: ler_reset is r ort (optional) 74-1 y I scrambler_	Response Status C P 139 LBNL Comment Status A Jonathan Ebbers, jpebbe 34) not listed in the Service pi as displayed in Section 7	ers@us.ibm.com	6 for Energy efficient	Table 49-1 The encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control codes of 0x inconsistent with the Clause 55 encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GE control codes of 0x06. Regarding the 8B/10B cell containing "K28.0 or K28.3 or K28.5 with D20.5 in one ro D20.5 is only included when K28.0 or K28.5 is transmitted. SuggestedRemedy Change the encoding from XGMII control codes of 0x06 to 10GBASE-R control code 0x06. Also reflect this change on page 139 line 52 and page 141 line 43 (type LI). Change the cell "K28.0 or K28.3 of K28.5 with D20.5 in one row" to "K28.0 with D20 one row, or K28.3, or K28.5 with D20.5 in one row" Response Response Status C	BASE- ow", les of

C/ **49** SC **49.2.4.7**

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 49 SC 49.2.6 P 141 L 1 # 223 Gustlin, Mark Cisco Cisco # 223 Comment Type TR Comment Status A scrambler-reset It seems to me that resetting the scrambler to all 0s each time the link comes out of LPI is dangerous and will allow malicious users to send killer packets. The original scrambler for 10GE was chose as a very long polynomial to prevent attacks. Walker's presentation shows a Mean Time to Jamming of 29 years, but that is without resetting the scrambler. http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/10G_study/public/jan00/walker_1_0100.pdf When you reset the scrambler often, that means someone could construct a packet to reverse the scrambler, and if this packet is sent immediately after LPI for instance, it could	only applies to FE obtain lock with th the only spot in a	Broadcom R Comment Status A blding the scrambler reset aids in bld EC block sychronization. The 64B/6 he scrambler off because it relies o block where a persistant transtion reset for 1 us, then the clock state	66B block lock sta on the scrambler r occurs is at the s	ate machine will not running to ensure that
It seems to me that resetting the scrambler to all 0s each time the link comes out of LPI is dangerous and will allow malicious users to send killer packets. The original scrambler for 10GE was chose as a very long polynomial to prevent attacks. Walker's presentation shows a Mean Time to Jamming of 29 years, but that is without resetting the scrambler. http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/10G_study/public/jan00/walker_1_0100.pdf When you reset the scrambler often, that means someone could construct a packet to	This says that hole only applies to FE obtain lock with th the only spot in a scrambler is held	Iding the scrambler reset aids in blo EC block sychronization. The 64B/6 he scrambler off because it relies o block where a persistant transtion reset for 1 us, then the clock state	66B block lock sta on the scrambler r occurs is at the s	ion. Apparently this ate machine will not running to ensure that
reverse the scrambler and bring down the link. SuggestedRemedy Either find another way to sync up the FEC after LPI or do an analysis that shows the possibility of jamming the scrambling even though it is being reset is not significant. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to Comment #456	simplest approach and false otherwis If use of scramble have to be negotia <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add the requireme operating and fals synchronization. Also, once signal arriving, the R PC unscrambled data lock and even if it though the incomi If there is an inten block lock will nee be added to claus descrambler will n	ment made of when scrambler reset th is to require scrambler_reset_ena- ise. e reset is optional outside FEC or n iated. nents for when scrambler_reset_ena- se otherwise. Also, change the des I detect indicates okay because of F CS may think it has block lock beca a but it won't be producing useable t happened to lock on the sync hea ning 64B/66B blocks are not scramb nt for scrambler reset to be used ou ed to be specified/explained and er se 45 and auto-neg. Also, how the i need to be explained unless the as 64B/66B from the time that lock occ <i>Response Status</i> C	et should/may/sha able to be true wh not mandatory for able shall be true scription to say tha FEC lock and uns use it can lock or receive data sinc ader, its descramb bled. Explain how utside FEC, then nabling of scramb receiver knows w	ve an incorrect lock all be enabled. The hen the PHY has FEC FEC, then it would when FEC is at it aids in FEC block scrambled data is n any transition in the ce it may have a bad bler is running even v that is to be handled. the mechanism for oler reset will need to vhen to enable its it is okay to get bad

C/ **49** SC **49.2.6**

Responses on D2.0		IEEE F	9802.3az D2.0 Energy	efficient Ethernet cor	nments		September 200
C/ 49 SC 49.2.9 Thaler, Pat	P 141 Broadcom	L 15	# 451	C/ 51 SC 51.4.2 Thaler, Pat	2 P 154 Broadcom	L 1	# 435
Comment Type T implemented SB enable	Comment Status R ed			•	Comment Status A s on the service interface and sl	hould have primit	ive definitions in the
SuggestedRemedy				style of 51.2 SuggestedRemedy			
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Add primitive definit	tions Response Status C		
See comment #402				ACCEPT.			
C/ 49 SC 49.2.9 Gustlin. Mark	P 141 Cisco	L 16	# 218	C/ 51 SC 51.8a D'Ambrosia, John	.1 P 154 Force10 Net	L 27 works	# 123
Comment Type T	Comment Status A hould be to 49-17, not 49-15?			Comment Type TR PICS call out "addit corresponding text.	Comment Status A ional interface variables to supp	oort LPI, but no S	HALL statement in
SuggestedRemedy Change the reference t	o 49-17.			SuggestedRemedy add appropriate SH	ALL statement		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status W		
C/ 49 SC 49.3.6.6	P 152	L 32	# 33	Change "includes"	to "shall include" on line 22.		
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T	Cisco Comment Status A			C/ 55 SC 55.1.3 Estes, Dave	8.1 P 158 UNH - IOL	L 4	# 135
•	Cs items for state machines			Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy Delete item LP-04 & re	place with the following lines:				en the PHY supports EEE the PO se the PCS is part of the PHY a		
	nachine: Support additions to	Figure 49-14 for	LPI operation :	SuggestedRemedy			
49.2.13.3 LP-05 - receive state m 49.2.13.3	nachine: Support additions to F	igure 49-15 for l	PI operation :	Remove the senten mode".	ce "When the PHY supports EE	E the PCS also s	supports a low power
LP-06 - LPI transmit sta LP-07 - LPI receive sta LP-08 - LPI transmit tin	ate machine : Meets the requir te machine : Meets the require ning : Meets the requirements ing : Meets the requirements of	ements of Figure of Table 49-2 : 4	49-17 : 49.2.13.3.1 9.2.13.3.1	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

C/ 55 SC 55.1.3.1 Page 85 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energ	y Efficient E	thernet comm	ients		September 2009
C/ 55 SC 55.1.3.2 Estes, Dave	<i>P</i> 158 UNH - IOL	L 11	# 136	<i>Cl</i> 55 Brown, Ma	SC 55.1.3.3 tt	P 158 AppliedMicro	L 26 (AMCC)	# 102
mode and a low power	Comment Status A e PHY supports EEE the PM receive mode" is unnecessar port EEE if the PHY does.			receiv	pecifies that low	Comment Status A er power mode begins when te machine permits transitio		
SuggestedRemedy Remove the sentence " transmit mode and a low	When the PHY supports EEE work of the period	the PMA also s	upports a low power		w transition to lo	wer power mode upon recei omment against state mach		4 /l/.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C		
C/ 55 SC 55.1.3.2	<i>P</i> 158	L 38	# 340	See co	omment #95			
Law, David Comment Type E	3Com Comment Status A			C/ 55 Brown, Ma	SC 55.1.3.3 tt	P 158 AppliedMicro	L 42 (AMCC)	# 72
As XGMII means 10 Gig Gigabit Media Independ SuggestedRemedy Change 'XGMII interfac		rface 'XGMII inte	rface' expands to '10	Suggested	is framed LDPC			
Also: Page 159, line 25 Page 168, line 53 Page 232, line 11 Page 232, line 19 Page 232, line 20				Chang charao <i>Response</i> ACCE <u>CI 55</u>	oters".	IDLE characters" "compose Response Status C P158	d of LDPC frame	# 83
Response	Response Status C			Brown, Ma	tt	AppliedMicro	(AMCC)	
ACCEPT.	P 158	L 21	# 71	<i>Comment</i> The lir	<i>Type</i> ER Ik partner is a tra	Comment Status A		
Brown, Matt	AppliedMicro (π	Suggested	-			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A					a that the link partner is about he link partner is about to er		
Not clear whether each	end or each direction can go	into low power r	node independently.	Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy Change "Each side" to	"Each direction".			ACCE	PT.			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							

C/ 55 SC 55.1.3.3 Page 86 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

Responses on D2.0	
-------------------	--

Cl 55 SC 55.1.3.3 Brown, Matt	P 159 AppliedMicro	L 8 (AMCC)	# 73	C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2 P 163 Zimmerman, George Solarflare	L 23 # 466
Comment Type E Sentence structure.	Comment Status A			Comment Type TR Comment Status A Both clause 55 and clause 49 share a common block en the changes for Low Power Idle (/LI/) are different. The	se should use the same control
SuggestedRemedy Change: "The PCS 64/65B Transmit state diagram includes additional states for EEE as specified in Figure 55-15 and Figure 55-15a." To: "The PCS 64/65B Transmit state diagram as specified in Figure 55-15 and Figure 55-15a includes additional states for EEE."				code to maintain commonality, simplicity, and avoid com SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy: Change the control code for /Ll/ in 4 associated changes to R_Block_Type LI and T_Block_T Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Clause 55 to 0x07 & make
Figure 55-16 and Figur To:	eive state diagramas specifie		·	Based on email on the .az reflector the value will be cha 55 will remain unchanged and will keep 0x06. Cl 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 P 159 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AM Comment Type E Comment Status Change 64/65B to 64B/65B. Two instances in paragrap SuggestedRemedy Change 64/65B to 64B/65B. Two instances in paragrap	L8 # <u>74</u> NCC) h.
C/ 55 SC 55.2.2.10 Thaler, Pat	P 161 Broadcom	L 35	# 437	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
	Comment Status A es that go up the stack, reque S goes down the stack so it is			C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 P 164 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AM)	L 35 # 67 MCC)
SuggestedRemedy Change to .request				Comment Type T Comment Status A /I/ is character label, use IDLE.	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Change "/l/ 64B/65B" to "IDLE 64B/65B" in two places in Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	n paragraph.

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energ	y Efficient Ethe	rnet comm	ents		September 200
C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2 . Grimwood, Michael	.9a P 163 Broadcom	L 40	# 194	<i>CI</i> 55 Brown, Matt	SC 55.3.4a	P 165 AppliedMicro	L 36 (AMCC)	# 68
power idle is not supp This leads to ambiguit R_BLOCK_TYPE and	Comment Status A ot explicit with respect to how /L orted. y in Section 55.3.5.2.4 (pp 170 T_BLOCK_TYPE are of type 0 more /LI/ characters are prese	-171) with respe C or E when low	ect to whether	SuggestedRe	frames during <i>medy</i> LDPC frames"	Comment Status A g Quiet-Refresh. Refer to leng ' to "LDPC frame periods" in t Response Status C		aragraph.
If low power idle is not	ence to the end of the paragra t supported, then /LI/ is not a va		acter.	C/ 55 Estes, Dave	SC 55.3.4a.1	<i>P</i> 166 UNH - IOL	L 24	# 137
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			<i>Comment Туµ</i> Туре, cha	e E Inge maximise	Comment Status A e to maximize.		
Modify wording in abo	ve response as per Motion #3	before impleme	nting response	<i>SuggestedRe</i> Change r	<i>medy</i> naximise to ma	aximize.		
C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2. Brown, Matt	.9a P 165 AppliedMicro (<i>L</i> 33 AMCC)	# 75	Response ACCEPT		Response Status C		
low power mode. This SuggestedRemedy In first sentence of par	Comment Status A lescribes the criteria by which / is described in 55.1.3.3 as ind ragraph, remove: "When prece	icated later in th	e paragraph.	CI 55 Estes, Dave Comment Typ Table 55-		P 167 UNH - IOL Comment Status A	L	# 138
	Response Status C			<i>SuggestedRe</i> Change " "lpi_offse	<i>medy</i> pi_offset + 3 >	tive_pair=PAIR_C incorrectly < lpi_qr_time <= u < 4 x lpi_qr ime <= u < 4 x lpi_qr_time O	time OR 0 <=	v < lpi_offset" to
C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.3 Brown, Matt	P 165 AppliedMicro (L 39	# 7 <u>6</u>	Response ACCEPT		Response Status C		
<i>Comment Type</i> E Change "an single pai	Comment Status A							
SuggestedRemedy Change "an single pai	r" to "a single pair".							
Response	Response Status C							

C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.1 Page 88 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.1	P 167	L 29	# 78	C/ 55	SC 55.3.4a.3	P 16		# 380
Brown, Matt	AppliedMicro	(AMCC)		Parnaby, G	avin	Solarf	lare Communica	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status R			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	Α	
	ime bounds with complex equid clarity replace variable name			tx_lpi_a	active is not use	d consistently.		
SuggestedRemedy Replace column 3 for t Row 1: 124 <= mod(v, Row 2: mod(v,128) = 1 Row 3: 0 <= v <= 127 Row 4: 128 <= v <= 25 Row 5: 256 <= v <= 38 Row 6: 384 <= v <= 51	128) <= 127 24 35 33			REFRE transm as the In draft but not	ESH_A//REFR itted after the ale alert state is ent 2.0 tx_lpi_active 55-15a.	ESH_D/QUIET are se ert, so for this logic to ered. e is set to false in SEI	becoming equal to false et when tx_lpi_active is tr work tx_lpi_active must ND_ALERT, which match	rue; refreshes are not be set false as soon
				The tx	_ipi_active variat	ble cannot be used by	both state machines.	
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			[if the r	emedy in comm	ent #10 is used then I	I think it removes this iss	ue]
REJECT.				Suggested	Remedy			
C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.1	P 167	L 6	# 77	Either	-			
Brown, Matt	AppliedMicro	(AMCC)		·) (- II				
Comment Type ER	Comment Status R			I) TOIIOV	comment #10 a	and pass XGMII code	words	
Tables 55-1b defines t	ime bounds with complex equ			or if co	mment #10 is no	t adopted		
SuggestedRemedy				ii)				
Replace column 3 for t Row 1: 60 <= mod(u,1 Row 2: mod(u,128) = 6 Row 3: 192 <= u <= 31 Row 4: 320 <= u <= 44 Row 5: 448 <= u <= 55 Row 6: 64 <= u <= 191	28) <= 63 50 19 17 51 or 0 <= u <= 63			sendin quiet/re Chang tx_lpi_ Chang 'The va encode	g quiet/refresh s afresh, alert and e the lpi_tx_mod qr_active instead the lpi_tx_mod riable is set to N code-groups as	gnaling. tx_lpi_active wake signaling. e description so that l of the existing tx_lpi e description to say ORMAL when tx_lpi_ specified by the stat	_ _qr_active is false, indica e diagrams 55-15, 55-15	HY is sending sleep, UIET values use ting the PCS will 5a, 55-16b.'
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			tx_lpi_a tx_lpi_a	active within SEI active<=FALSE	ND_INITIAL_QUIET a within SEND_ALERT	true within SEND_SLEE and SEND_QR to tx_lpi_ to tx_lpi_qr_active<=FA reflect these changes	qr_active. Change the
CI 55 SC 55.3.4a.3		L 32	# 69	Response		Response Status	0	
Brown, Matt	AppliedMicro	(AMCC)		,	PT IN PRINCIPL	,	C C	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			AUGEN		L.		
Change "when the sle	ep is detected" to "when the s	leep signal is de	tected".				nd the state diagrams on	pages 15, 16 and 20
SuggestedRemedy			.		aby_01_0909_v	2.pdf.		
Change "when the slee	ep is detected" to "when the s	leep signal is de	tected".		tion, redefine:	rom when the PHV s	tarts sending SLEEP unt	til the PHV finishes
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			WAKE				
	ed ER/editorial required GR/s spatched A/accepted R/rejeo Subclause, page, line				U/unsatisfied	Z/withdrawn	C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.3	Page 89 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 P

Responses on D2.0 IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient Ethernet comments September 2
C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.3 P 169 L 7 # 70 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) From the second seco	C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.3 P 170 L 19 # 85 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)
Comment Type E Comment Status A Equations for REFRESH_A/B/C/D is hard to read and somewhat ambiguous. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status R Number of LDPC frames is defined by fixed variable specified on another page. To make this definition clear put the value here.
Put brackets around "rx_active_pair==PAIR_A/B/C/D". State that result of equation must be true.	SuggestedRemedy Change "equal to lpi_wake_time LDPC frames" to "equal to 9 LDPC frame periods".
Put equation on new line Example:	Response Response Status C REJECT.
The variable is set to REFRESH_A when	No need to repeat the same number multiple places in the draft for maintainability.
(tx_lpi_active * (tx_active_pair==PAIR_A) * tx_refresh_active) is TRUE.	C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.3 P 170 L 24 # 86 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) Applied
Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Image: Classical Status Clascical Status Clascical Status <td< td=""><td>Comment Type T Comment Status R Number of LDPC frames is defined by fixed variable specified on another page. To make this definition clear put the value here.</td></td<>	Comment Type T Comment Status R Number of LDPC frames is defined by fixed variable specified on another page. To make this definition clear put the value here.
Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type T Comment Status A block_definitions LPI wake sends LI or LF (local fault) blocks. LF blocks are not defined. Another comment requests specification of LF block.	Change "equal to lpi_wake_time LDPC frames" to "equal to 9 LDPC frame periods". <i>Response</i> REJECT. C
SuggestedRemedy Change "IDLE control characters" to "IDLE or LF blocks".	See response to comment #85
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.3 P 170 L 26 # 87 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) Applied
Line 16 on page 170 is part of the lpi_wake_timer definition	Comment Type T Comment Status A lpi_tx_wake_timer is not used in Clause 55.
Change the lpi_wake_timer definition to read: "This timer defines the time the local transmitter transmits the wake signal."	SuggestedRemedy Remove definition of lpi_tx_wait_timer, lines 25 to 31.
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

CI 55 SC 55.3.5.2.3

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.4	P 170	L 36	# 139	CI 55	SC 55.3.5.2.	4 <i>P</i> 171	L 12	# 140	
Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL			Estes, Dav	е	UNH - IOL			
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		block_definitions	Comment T	Туре Т	Comment Status A		block_definitions	
R_BLOCK_TYPE				T_BLO	CK_TYPE				

Bullet a) of Type C currently states "A block_type field of 0x1E and eight valid control characters, none of which are /E/ and, if the low power idle function is supported, all of which are not /LI/". The wording "all of which are not /LI/" is confusing and can be misinterpreted (does all of which are not /Ll/ mean that none are /Ll/ or less than 8 are /Ll/?).

The I type should be it's own type and not a subset of C type, so this will need to be reflected in the C type definition.

SuggestedRemedv

Change bullet a) of Type C to "A block_type field of 0x1E and eight valid control characters other than /E/ and, if the low power idle function is supported, less than eight of the characters are /LI/ and less than eight of the characters are /I/".

Change the definition for type I to remove the references to this type being a sublcause of type C.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

It is not desirable to separate C/I; if this is done then we break the state machine for existing 10GBASE-T PHYs, for which C includes I. Fixing this would complicate the existing state machine substantially.

The wording will be changed to

"A block_type field of 0x1E and eight valid control characters, none of which are /E/ and, if the low power idle function is supported, none of which are /Ll/ "

C/ 55 SC	55.3.5.2.4	P 170	L 37	# 191
Grimwood, Mich	ael	Broadcom		
Comment Type E		Comment Status A		

In R_BLOCK_TYPE, there are 7 types enumerated, not 5.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "five types" to "seven types".

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

stes, Dave		UNH		
omment Type T_BLOCK_T	T YPE	Comment Status	Α	block_definitions
· ·		, 0		aracters other than /O/, /S/, /T/,

and which are not four /Ll/ control characters followed by four /l/ control characters". This is not consistent with the R BLOCK TYPE definition which does not allow for LI blocks to contain less than eight /LI/ characters.

The I type should be it's own type and not a subset of C type, so this will need to be reflected in the C type definition.

Type LI is defined as eight /LI/ characters or four /LI/ followed by four /I/ characters, however this is inconsistent with R BLOCK TYPE which classifies four /Ll/ followed by four /I/ characters as type C.

SuggestedRemedy

Change bullet a) of Type C to "eight valid control characters other than /O/, /S/, /T/, and /E/, and, if the low power idle function is supported, ess than eight of the characters are /Ll/ and less than eight of the characters are /I/"

Change the definition for type I to remove the references to this type being a sublcause of type C.

Change the definition of type LI so that it requires eight LI characters.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accepted in part.

See response to comment #139. We don't want to separate C/I; if we do this we break the state machine for existing 10GBASE-T PHYs. for which C includes I.

I should remain part of C.

Cl 55 Grimwood,	SC 55.3.5.2.4 Michael	P1 Broad		L 13	# 192
Comment 7 In T_BI	51	Comment Status re are 7 types enun		5.	
Suggestedl Change	R <i>emedy</i> e "five types" to "s	seven types".			
Response ACCEF	ΥТ.	Response Status	С		
neral					Dama 04 af 405

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

C/ 55 Page 91 of 125 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM SC 55.3.5.2.4 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

<i>CI</i> 55 Brown, Ma	SC 55.3.5.2.4	P 171 AppliedMicro	L 30 (AMCC)	# 93	TX_D t TX_E t	IT to TX_E to TX_E to TX_E				
As the to low 4x/Ll/-	Type TR Co pecified as including ca state machine in Figur power mode if either is -4x/l/ should not be per low power mode in Fic	e 55-15 is currently de detected. Transition to mitted. Provision is red	fined this allows low power mode	e upon detection of	In Figu		transition from	m TX_L to TX_L	(loop) to "T_TYI	PE(tx_raw)=(LI+LII)".
Suggested	lRemedv				Modify	above response	e as per Motio	on #3 before imp	lementing	
Define "LII: If	LII as the optional Low Powe ns four /LI/ control char	r Idle function is suppo acters followed by four	orted then LII occi	urs when the vector cters."	C/ 55 Estes, Dav	SC 55.3.5.2.9 e	5	<i>P</i> 171 UNH - IOL	L 47	# 141
Re-de "Ll: If	fine LI as he optional Low Power	Idle function is suppor		. –	ame_done is no		t Status A			
	contains eight control oure 55-15	characters of /LI/."				Remedy Idpc_frame_dor				
	e the criteria for transit to TX_E	ion for the following tra	insition to include	e LII:	Response ACCEI	PT.	Response	Status C		
ТХ_D ТХ_E	TX_INIT to TX_E TX_D to TX_E TX_E to TX_E					e the text to say	the last symb	ool of each LDPC	; frame'	
	to TX_E ure 55-15a					nange MDI interf				
Altern	ge the criteria for transit ately, change the criteri PE(tx_raw)=(I+LII)".	ion from TX_L to TX_L a for transition from TX	. (loop) to "T_TYF <_L to TX_WN to	PE(tx_raw)=(LI+LII)".	Note ldpc_frame_done is used in Figure 55-16b. Ldpc_frame_done becomes true on the final symbol of each ldpc frame and is reset to false on the next symbol. The definition wil be added to the variable definitions in 55.3.5.2.2					
Response		ponse Status C			<i>Cl</i> 55 Brown, Mat	SC 55.3.5.2.5	5	P 171 AppliedMicro	L 51 (AMCC)	# 88
Define "LII: If	LII as the optional Low Powe				Comment Type T Comment Status A Change "tx_ldpc_frame_cnt" to "rx_ldpc_frame_cnt".					
contai	ns four /Ll/ control char	acters followed by four	·/I/ control charac	cters."	Suggested		opt" to "ry	ldpc_frame_cnt".		
"LI: If i	fine LI as the optional Low Power contains eight control o		rted then the LI ty	pe occurs when the	Response ACCEI			Status C		
In Fig	ure 55-15									
	e the criteria for transit to TX_E	ion for the following tra	insition to include	e LII:						

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.5

Page 92 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 172 L 2 # 32 Barrass, Hugh Cisco	Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 173 L 8 # 94 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)						
Comment Type T Comment Status A ** State diagram conventions **	Comment Type TR Comment Status A block_definition LI is specified as including case with either 8 /LI/ or 4x/LI/+4x/I/. As the state machine in Figure 55-15 is currently defined this allows and requires transition to low power mode if either is detected. Transition to low power mode upon detection of						
It is not clear which state diagram conventions are relevant for each section in this amendment. Notes need to be added so that the conventions for each clause are clear.	4x/Ll/+4x/l/ should not be permitted. Provision is required to allow for this special case during low power mode in Figure 55-15a.						
The conventions may be cleaned up and coordinated in the next revision when all clauses are open.	This comment is a duplicate of one against 55.3.5.2.4.						
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy						
Add a note:	Define LII as						
Note: The state diagram conventions described in 55.1.6 apply to all of the state diagrams in this clause.	"LII: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then LII occurs when the vector contains four /LI/ control characters followed by four /I/ control characters."						
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Re-define LI as "LI: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then the LI type occurs when the vector contains eight control characters of /LI/."						
See response to comment #26 Make it an editors note.	In Figure 55-15						
C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 173 L # 142 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL	Change the criteria for transition for the following transition to include LII: TX_C to TX_E TX_INIT to TX_E						
Comment Type T Comment Status A Terminate_state_transitions Figure 55-15	TX_D to TX_E TX_E to TX_E TX_T to TX_E						
In Clause 49 it is valid to transmit LI while exiting the TX_T state, however this is not shown as a valid transition in Clause 55.	In Figure 55-15a						
SuggestedRemedy Add an exit condition from TX_T to TX_L if T_TYPE(tx_raw)=LI, and remove type LI in the transition to the TX_E state.	Change the criteria for transition from TX_L to TX_L (loop) to "T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(LI+LII)". Alternately, change the criteria for transition from TX_L to TX_WN to "T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(I+LII)".						
_	Response Response Status C						
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
	See response to comment #93						
	 Modify above response as per Motion #3 before implementing						

C/ **55** SC **55.3.5.4**

Responses o	Responses on D2.0 IEEE P802.3az D2.0 En				y Efficient E	September 2009				
CI 55 SC :	55.3.5.4	P 174	L	# 376	C/ 55	SC 55.3.5.4	P 174	L 12	# 95	
Parnaby, Gavin		Solarflare Co	mmunica		Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)					
Comment Type	ER Com	ment Status A			Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status A		block_definitions	
Typo: loc_lpi_	req should be tx_l	lpi_req in TX_WN in	Figure 55-15a				ig case with either 8 /Ll/ o			
SuggestedRemed	<i>ly</i> i_req with tx_lpi_r	90			to lov	v power mode if eith	Figure 55-15 is currently d er is detected. Transition e permitted. Provision is re	to low power mod	de upon detection of	
Response		onse Status C				g low power mode in	•		i illis special case	
ACCEPT.	Roope		This comment is a duplicate of one against 55.3.5.2.4.							
See response	to Comment #89				Suggeste	dRemedy	-			
See response	to comment #09				Defin	e LII as				
C/ 55 SC : Parnaby, Gavin	55.3.5.4									
Comment Type	TR Com	ment Status A			Re-de	efine LI as				
Currently it us	es tx_lpi_active=f	from WX_WN to TX alse. [i.e. transition f PHY has complete	from normal to e	e tx_lpi_active=true. error if a non-IDLE			ower Idle function is supported to the support of t	orted then the LI t	type occurs when the	
		FIT has complete	u wakej.		In Fig	jure 55-15				
SuggestedRemed Change the tr	ly ansition from TX_	WN to TX_WE to				ge the criteria for tra	ansition for the following t	ansition to includ	e LII:	
tx_lpi_active= T_TYPE(tx_ra	TRUE * aw)=((C.!I)+D+E+L	I+S+T)			tx_II	NIT to TX_E to TX_E				
Response	, ,	onse Status C			_	to TX_E				
ACCEPT.	Respu				TX_T	to TX_E				
AUULI I.					In Fig	jure 55-15a				
							ansition from TX_L to TX_			

Alternately, change the criteria for transition from TX_L to TX_L to TX_WN to "T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(I+LI)".

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #93

Modify above response as per Motion #3 before implementing

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 Page 94 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

Responses on D2.	0	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	rgy Efficient Ethernet comments				September 2009		
Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.4 Brown, Matt	4 P 174 AppliedMicro (L 24 AMCC)	# 79	C/ 55 Estes, Dav		5.3.5.4	Р 175 UNH - IOL	L	# 143
boolean values which	Comment Status A several cases several boolean v n is out of style with the rest of C		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Ū	55-16	T	Comment Status A	TY T	Terminate_state_transitions
crowded SM.				In Clause 49 it is valid to recieve LI while exiting the TX_T state, however this is not shown as a valid transition in Clause 55.					, nowever this is not shown
SuggestedRemedy Replace all instances	s of			Suggested	Remedy				
<variable_name>=tru</variable_name>	ue with <variable_name> lse with !<variable_name></variable_name></variable_name>			Add a	n exit con	dition fro	om RX_T to RX_L if R_TYPE _D to RX_T in R_TYPE_NE		
Example: Change "tx_lpi_active	e=false" to "!tx_lpi_active".			Response ACCE			Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			<i>Cl</i> 55 Brown, Ma		5.3.5.4	P 175 AppliedMicro	<i>L</i> 40 (AMCC)	# 96
C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4	4 P 174	L 24	# 89	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		Terminate_state_transitions
Brown, Matt	AppliedMicro (AMCC)		In Figu	ure 55-16	, there is	no exit transition from RX_T	due to LI.	
Comment Type T loc_lpi_req, referred supposed to refer to SuggestedRemedy Change "loc_lpi_req"		l in Clause 55. T	his is probably	Suggested Add tr Response ACCE	ansition f		T to RX_L with criteria "LI"; (<i>Response Status</i> C	use connec	tor labelled "L".
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 55 Brown, Ma		5.3.5.4	P 176 AppliedMicro	L 24 (AMCC)	# 80
Also see identical co	mment #376			Comment Type ER Comment Status A In Figure 55-16a, in several cases several boolean variable are redundantly equated with					
Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.4 Brown, Matt	4 P 174 AppliedMicro (L 36 AMCC)	# 90	crowd	ed SM.		out of style with the rest of (Clause 55 a	nd adding extra clutter to a
Comment Type T In Figure 55-15, trans SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A sition from TX_E due to LI goes	to connected lab	pelled "LI".	-varia	ce all inst ble_name	ances of e>=true v	: with <variable_name> with !<variable_name></variable_name></variable_name>		
Re-label connector to) "L".			Exam	ole:				
Response	Response Status C			Chang	ge "rx_lpi_	_active=f	alse" to "!rx_lpi_active".		
ACCEPT.				Response ACCE			Response Status C		

C/ **55** SC **55.3.5.4** Page 95 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient Eth	September 2009		
C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 Brown, Matt	P 176 AppliedMicro (A	L 8 AMCC)	# 91	<i>Cl</i> 55 Parnaby, Ga	SC 55.3.5.4 Ivin	P 177 L Solarflare Communica	# 372
Instead incorporate the SuggestedRemedy In Figure 55-16a Change criteria for RX Add to RX_L "rx_lpi_ac Change criteria for RX Add to RX_W "rx_lpi_a Delete Figure 55-27a c	_L-RX_W to "pma_alert_indica ctive=false".	65B state mad	chine.	Comment Ty case of SuggestedF Make th Response ACCEP	/pe E false is not con	Response Status C E.	ibly other diagrams)
Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.4 Estes, Dave Comment Type E Figure 55-16b	P 177 UNH - IOL Comment Status A	L	# 144				
SuggestedRemedy	me_done to ldpc_frame_done.						
Response ACCEPT. [note two locations]	Response Status C						

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 55 Parnaby,	SC 55.3.5.4	P 177 Solarflare Co	L 12	# 379	<i>Cl</i> 55 Brown, Ma	SC 55.3.5.4	P 177 AppliedMicro	L 24	# 81	
			mmunica					(ANCC)		
in 55- New o chara to the interfa	issignments to tx 16a. constants should cters to be sent LDPC encoder, ace and 4) a 72 l	Comment Status A _coded in this state diagram a be defined within 55.3.5.2.1 f to the LDPC encoder, 2) a 65 3) a 72 bit block of LP_IDLE o bit block of IDLE characters to OCK_T instead of /LF/ within	for 1) a 65 bit blo bit block of IDLE characters to be be sent to the ≯	ock of LP_IDLE E characters to be sent sent to the XGMII KGMII interface	boolea crowd Suggesteo Repla <varia< td=""><td>ure 55-16b, in se an values which ed SM. <i>IRemedy</i> ce all instances of ble_name>=true</td><td>with <variable_name></variable_name></td><td></td><td></td></varia<>	ure 55-16b, in se an values which ed SM. <i>IRemedy</i> ce all instances of ble_name>=true	with <variable_name></variable_name>			
Suggeste Add ti LPI_E 65 b	<i>dRemedy</i> he following defii 3LOCK_T<64:0> it vector to be se	nitions to 55.3.5.2.1			<variable_name>=false with !<variable_name> Example: Change "tx_refresh_active=false" to "!tx_refresh_active". Response Response Status C</variable_name></variable_name>					
65 b locati	DCK_T<64:0> it vector to be se ons	nt to the LDPC encoder conta	aining /LP/ in all	the eight character	ACCE C/ 55 Parnaby, (SC 55.3.5.4	P 177 Solarflare Co	L 38 mmunica	# 377	
72 b locati I_BLC	ons DCK_R<71:0> it vector to be se	nt to the XGMII interface cont	-	-	Comment Type T Comment Status D The current EEE Tx state machine enforces 9 LDPC frames of wake (IDLE character following alert. During these frames the state machine replaces XGMII data with IDLE characters. The value of tx_coded that goes into the scrambler is ambiguous in some cases (see comment #12).					
Response ACCE (corre Add t LP_B	e EPT IN PRINCIP ected copy/paste he following defii LOCK_T<64:0> it vector to be se	n place of IDLE/LP_IDLE in F <i>Response Status</i> C LE. error in the suggested remed nitions to 55.3.5.2.1 ent to the LDPC encoder conta	y)		It would be preferable (and simpler) for the tx state machine to pass XGMII data through transparently. Higher layer system requirements mandate that the wake sequence is at least 9 frames of IDLE. SuggestedRemedy Figure 55-16b; EEE transmit state diagram Transition from SEND_ALERT to TX_NORMAL when tx_lpi_alert_timer_done=true. Delete the SEND_WAKE and SEND_ERROR states and transitions to & from those states. Figure 55-15a; delete TX_WN and TX_WE and the transitions to and from those states.					
I_BLC 65 b locati LP_B 72 b I_BLC	DCK_T<64:0> it vector to be se ons LOCK_R<71:0> it vector to be se DCK_R<71:0>	ent to the LDPC encoder containing /LI ent to the XGMII containing /LI ent to the XGMII containing /I/	/ in all the eight	character locations	TX_E Simila XGMII <i>Proposed</i> REJE	when T_TYPE(t rly, it might also codewords, inst <i>Response</i> CT.	X_L to TX_C when T_TYPE <_raw)=(S+E+D+T) be desirable to change the S iead of forcing tx_coded<=LF <i>Response Status</i> Z THDRAWN by the commente	END_SLEEP sta P_IDLE.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.4 Page 97 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

Responses	on	D2.0
-----------	----	------

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

C/ 55 Brown, Ma	SC 55.5.3.5	P 182 AppliedMicro	L 29	# 97	<i>Cl</i> 69 D'Ambrosi	SC 69.1.2	P1	92 <i>L</i> 4' 10 Networks	1 # 118
Comment		Comment Status A	(AMOO)		Comment	,	Comment Status		
On the	e slave PHY, it is	possible that the Rx is in low juency drift limitation must als			P802. "Optio	3ba will be addi	ing the objective "a 4 la	ne 40Gb/s PHY.	The addition by 802.3az of 0GBASE-KR4 will support
Suggestee	dRemedy				EEE.				
Resta		s in the lower power mode or	when the receiv	er is in lower nower	Suggested	ge added object	tive text to		
mode		Y the transmitter clock short t				nally support E		for PHYs that su	pport MAC rates of 10 Gb/s
Response		Response Status C			Response		Response Status	w	
ACCE	PT.				REJE	CT.			
CI 69	SC 69.1.1	P 192	L 1	# 186	P802.	3az does not st	ate anywhere that EEE	supports 40G.	
Ganga, Ila	U	Intel			CI 70	SC 6.5	P 19	95 L 38	B # <u>56</u>
Comment	51	Comment Status A			Beckwith,	Jonathan	UNH-	IOL	
		amended by P802.3ba. Upd iate source (IEEE Std 802.3-			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	R	
Suggested		,		,			ver voltage threshhold for the states 30mV.	or the activation t	ime. Deactivation
As pe	r comment				Suggested		ly states sonry.		
Response		Response Status W			00	2	shhold as the beginning	of the activation	time measurement.
	PT IN PRINCIPL				Response		Response Status		
There	doesn't appear t	o be any conflicting or overlap	oping changes.		REJE		Response Glatas	0	
		or's note to indicate P802.3ba fy draft if the edit is based on		clause 69 and, in	No jus	stification provid	led nor is a lower value ltage used to indicate i		
					C/ 70	SC 7.1	<i>P</i> 1		B # 51
					Beckwith,		UNH-		
					Comment The te confus	ext "Differential	Comment Status peak-to-peak output vo		TX enabled (Vtw)" is
					Suggested	dRemedy			
					Chang	ge to "Transmitt	er activation/deactivation	on measurement	upper threshhold"
					Response		Response Status	С	
					REJE This is	-	wer threshold when the	transmitter is en	abled.
COMMEN	T STATUS: D/dis	d ER/editorial required GR/g				d U/unsatisfied	d Z/withdrawn	C/ 70	Page 98 of 125
SORT OR	DER: Clause, S	Subclause, page, line						SC 7.1	9/28/2009 3:34:26 P

Responses	s on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energ	y Efficient Et	hernet comm	nents		September 2009
CI 70 S Thaler, Pat	SC 70.1	P 194 Broadcom	L 28	# 427	<i>Cl</i> 70 Marris, Art	SC 70.6.10	P 195 Cadence	L 47	# 361
This text ap SuggestedRem Change the	imonly knowi ppears in 3 c nedy e first senten	Comment Status A n as" isn't correct. It is the name ther clauses. The comment ap ce with "A PHY with the nter" and remove 2nd senter	plies to all of the optional Energy	nem.	Suggested Delete	ect underlining Remedy	Comment Status A from the subclause title and for ng on page 196.	bllowing text.	
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C			Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C		
Cl 70 S Thaler, Pat	SC 70.1	P 194 Broadcom	L 33	# 428	<i>Cl</i> 70 Thaler, Pa	SC 70.6.4	P 195 Broadcom	L 11	# 429
	applies to the	Comment Status A text added to 71.1 ning recovery, adaptive filter co	pefficients)"		Comment Delete Suggested	"optional but" th	Comment Status A he next sentence covers when	EEE isn't suppo	orted.
adaptive fil "receiver cl		ts and possibly other items that	at might be refro	eshed are not	Response ACCE	т	Response Status C		
SuggestedRen	-	d be "receiver state" as it is in t	wo other clause		ACCE	P1.			
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		55.					
CI 70 S Bennett, Micha	SC 70.2 nel	<i>P</i> 195 LBNL	L 3	# 62					
Comment Type There is a		Comment Status A ng between 'in' and 36.2.5.1.6							
SuggestedRen insert the s									
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C							

CI 70	SC 70.6.5	P 195	L 24	# 187
Ganga, Il	ango	Intel		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The PMD transmit disable function was previously controlled only by the PMD_transmit_variable, however when energy efficient Ethernet is supported the PMD transmit disable function is also controlled by the PMD_TXQUIET.request primitive (both TX disable variable and the tx_quiet signal). This information should be added to item d.

Also move the timing requirement to a separate item e.

SuggestedRemedy

If Energy Efficient Ethernet is supported, the PMD_transmit_disable function is controlled by the PMD_transmit_disable variable and the tx_quiet signal. When

PMD_transmit_disable variable is set to ONE or tx_quiet signal is set to TRUE the transmit disable function shall turn off the transmitter such that the differential peak-to-peak output voltage is less than 30mV. When the PMD_transmit_disable variable is set to ZERO or the tx_quiet signal is set to FALSE the PMD_transmit_disable function shall turn on the transmitter such that the differential peak-to-peak output voltage is greater than 800mV (see Table 70-4).

e. When the PMD transmit disable function is controlled by the tx_quiet signal the Transmiter shall be turned off within 500ns from the tx_quiet signal set to TRUE and the transmitter shall be turned on within 500ns from the tx_quiet signal set to FALSE (see Table 70-4).

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For the EEE capability, the PMD_transmit_disable function is controlled by the PMD_transmit_disable variable and the tx_quiet signal. When PMD_transmit_disable variable is set to ONE or tx_quiet signal is set to TRUE the transmit disable function shall turn off the transmitter such that the differential peak-to-peak output voltage is less than 30mV. When the PMD_transmit_disable variable is set to ZERO or the tx_quiet signal is set to FALSE the PMD_transmit_disable function shall turn on the transmitter such that the differential peak-to-peak output voltage is greater than 800mV (see Table 70-4).

E. When the PMD transmit disable function is controlled by the tx_quiet signal the Transmiter shall be turned off within 500ns from the tx_quiet signal set to TRUE and the transmitter shall be turned on within 500ns from the tx_quiet signal set to FALSE (see Table 70-4).

CI 70	SC 70.6.5	P 195	L 27	# 181
Ganga, Ilang	go	Intel		

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Show only changes from base text by underline or strikethrough in this subclause and elsewhere in Clauses 70, 71, 72.

For example in 70.6.5 first paragraph, "optional" is already in the base text and hence should not be underlined.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor will fix specific instance identified and others that are found.

CI 70	SC 70.7.1	P 197	L 18	# 430
Thaler, Pa	at	Broadcom		
Comment	t Type TR	Comment Status A		

Also applies to 70.7.2

Need to provide an indication that the new characteristics are only required when EEE is supported.

SuggestedRemedy

It may be easiest to refer to the new characteristics by putting them in a separate table or tables creating a subclause Additional transmitter and receiver characteristics for EEE.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Follow guidelines in the response to comment #410 to clearly identify the new characteristics are for EEE capability.

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

Cl 70 SC 70.7.2 P 198 L 15 # T Anslow, Pete Nortel Networks Cl 71 SC 71.6.12 P 201 L 40 # 362 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Type
nano seconds is "ns" not "nS" Also applies to Table 71-6 SuggestedRemedy Change "nS" to "ns" in Table 70-6 (two places) Change "nS" to "ns" to "ns" in Table 71-6 (two places) Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Cl 71 SC 7.1.7.1 P 203 L 16 # 431 Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Status A Also applies to 71.7.2 Need to provide an indication that the new characteristics are only required when EE supported. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Specify a 30mV threshold as the beginning of the activation time measurement. Response Response Status C Response Status C SuggestedRemedy No justification provided nor is a lower value
SuggestedRemedy Change "nS" to "ns" in Table 70-6 (two places) Remove underlining from subclause title and following text. Response Response Status C Also on following page 202. RecEPT. Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Cl 71 SC 71.7.1 P 203 L 16 # 431 Beckwith, Jonathan UNH-HOL Broadcom Comment Status R Need to specify a lower voltage threshhold for the activation time. Deactivation measurement explicitly states 30mV. Need to provide an indication that the new characteristics are only required when EE supported. SuggestedRemedy Specify a 30mV threshhold as the beginning of the activation time measurement. Response Response Status C REJECT. No justification provided nor is a lower value specified. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. SuggestedRemedy Cl 71 SC 7.1 P 203 L 16 # 52
Change "nS" to "ns" in Table 70-6 (two places) Change "nS" to "ns" in Table 71-6 (two places) Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # [57] Beckwith, Jonathan UNH-IOL Comment Type T Comment Status R Response Status C Need to specify a lower voltage threshhold for the activation time. Deactivation measurement explicitly states 30mV. Comment Type TR Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Specify a 30mV threshhold as the beginning of the activation time measurement. Need to provide an indication that the new characteristics are only required when EE supported. SuggestedRemedy Specify a 30mV threshhold as the beginning of the activation time measurement. Need to provide an indication that the new characteristics by putting them in a separate tab tables creating a subclause Additional transmitter and receiver characteristics for EE No justification provided nor is a lower value specified. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. Response Response Status C Cl 71 SC 7.1 P 203 L 16 # [52]
Change "nS" to "ns" in Table 71-6 (two places) Also on following page 202. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Beckwith, Jonathan UNH-IOL Cl 71 SC 71.7.1 P 203 L 16 # 431 Comment Type T Comment Status R Broadcom Comment Status A Also applies to 71.7.2 SuggestedRemedy Specify a 30mV threshhold as the beginning of the activation time measurement. Response Status C C REJECT. No justification provided nor is a lower value specified. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. The 30mV threshhold is the frammitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. See response to comment #430
ACCEPT. Cl 71 SC 6.6 P 201 L 34 # 57 Beckwith, Jonathan UNH-IOL Cl 71 SC 71.7.1 P 203 L 16 # 431 Comment Type T Comment Status R Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A Need to specify a lower voltage threshhold for the activation time. Deactivation measurement explicitly states 30mV. Need to provide an indication that the new characteristics are only required when EE supported. SuggestedRemedy Specify a 30mV threshhold as the beginning of the activation time measurement. Need to provide an indication that the new characteristics by putting them in a separate tab supported. Response Response Status C REJECT. No justification provided nor is a lower value specified. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. Messages Response Status C Cl 71 SC 7.1 P 203 L 16 # 52 See response to comment #430
Beckwith, Jonathan UNH-IOL Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type T Comment Status R Need to specify a lower voltage threshhold for the activation time. Deactivation measurement explicitly states 30mV. Thaler, Pat Broadcom SuggestedRemedy Specify a 30mV threshhold as the beginning of the activation time measurement. Need to provide an indication that the new characteristics are only required when EE supported. Response Response Status C No justification provided nor is a lower value specified. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. May be easiest to refer to the new characteristics by putting them in a separate tab tables creating a subclause Additional transmitter and receiver characteristics for EEI Response Response Status C No justification provided nor is a lower value specified. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. # 52 C/ 71 SC 7.1 P 203 L 16 # 52
Need to specify a lower voltage threshhold for the activation time. Deactivation measurement explicitly states 30mV. Also applies to 71.7.2 SuggestedRemedy Specify a 30mV threshhold as the beginning of the activation time measurement. Response Response Status REJECT. No justification provided nor is a lower value specified. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. Need to provide an indication that the new characteristics are only required when EE supported. C/ 71 SC 7.1 P 203 L 16 # 52
SuggestedRemedy Specify a 30mV threshhold as the beginning of the activation time measurement. Need to provide an indication that the new characteristics are only required when EE supported. Response Response Status C REJECT. It may be easiest to refer to the new characteristics by putting them in a separate table screating a subclause Additional transmitter and receiver characteristics for EEI No justification provided nor is a lower value specified. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. Response Response Status C C/ 71 SC 7.1 P 203 L 16 # 52 See response to comment #430
Suggested nervicely Suggested nervicely Specify a 30mV threshhold as the beginning of the activation time measurement. supported. Response Response Status C REJECT. It may be easiest to refer to the new characteristics by putting them in a separate tab tables creating a subclause Additional transmitter and receiver characteristics for EEI No justification provided nor is a lower value specified. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. Response Response Status C C/ 71 SC 7.1 P 203 L 16 # 52 See response to comment #430 See response to comment #430
Response Response Status C REJECT. No justification provided nor is a lower value specified. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. Response Response Status C C/ 71 SC 7.1 P 203 L 16 # 52 See response to comment #430 See response to comment #430
REJECT. If may be easiest to refer to the new characteristics by putting them in a separate tab tables creating a subclause Additional transmitter and receiver characteristics for EE No justification provided nor is a lower value specified. The 30mV threshhold is the transmitter disable voltage used to indicate it is electrically quiet. C/ 71 SC 7.1 P 203 L 16 # 52 See response to comment #430 See response to comment #430
Interstitution provided not is a lower value specified. The source interstitution is a lower value specified. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 71 SC 7.1 P 203 L 16 # 52 See response to comment #430
Comment Type E Comment Status R The text "Differential peak-to-peak output voltage (min.) with TX enabled (Vtw)" is confusing.
SuggestedRemedy
Change to "Transmitter activation/deactivation measurement upper threshhold"
Response Response Status C
REJECT. This is actually the lower threshold when the transmitter is enabled.

C/ 71 SC 71.7.1

Cl 71	SC 71.7.1	P 203	L 19	#	188
Ganga, Ilang	go	Intel			

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Differential peak to peak output voltage min and max have been already defined in 71.7.1.4 (see items 1 & 2). The TX is driven when Transmit function is enabled. Why is mininum defined again in Table 71-4? If the objective is to unambiguously specify the value when TX is enabled then update the table to have two separate line items to specify both min (800mV) and max values (1200mV) and specify any relevant changes w.r.t EEE in 71.7.4.1 (define VTQ and VTW in 71.7.1.4) and provide a reference to these values in other sections or tables that reference this subclause.

The new changes need to be underlined. Underline (VTQ) on line 19

The terms VTQ, VTW, TTD, TTA are specified in the table but the terms have not been defined elsewhere in the text, so define the terms in the corresponding/referenced subclauses (for example define in 71.7.1.4).

This comment also applies to subclauses and tables Clauses 70 and 72. Make appropriate changes to Clauses 70 and 72.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the following editorial instructions on 70, 71 & 72:

1.) Delete the 4 underlined additions in tables 70-4, 71-4, and 72-6.

2a) Replace 70.6.5, d) with the following:

For EEE capability, the PMD_transmit_disable function shall turn off the transmitter after tx_quiet is asserted within the time and voltage level specified in 70.7.1.5. The PMD_transmit_disable function shall turn on the transmitter after tx_quiet is deasserted within a time and voltage level specified in 70.7.1.5.

2b) Replace 71.6.6, d) with the following:

For EEE capability, the PMD_transmit_disable function shall turn off all transmitter lanes after tx_quiet is asserted within a time and voltage level specified in specified in 71.7.1.4. The PMD_transmit_disable function shall turn on all transmitter lanes after tx_quiet is deasserted within a time and voltage level specified in 71.7.1.4.

2c) Replace 72.6.5, d) with the following:

For EEE capability, the PMD_transmit_disable function shall turn off the transmitter after tx_quiet is asserted within a time and voltage level specified in 72.7.1.4. The PMD_transmit_disable function shall turn on the transmitter after tx_quiet is deasseted within the time and voltage level specified in 72.7.1.4.

3a) Add the following to the end of 70.7.1.5

For EEE capability, the transmitter's differential peak-to-peak output voltage shall be less than 30mV within 500ns of tx_quiet being asserted. Furthermore, the transmitters differential peak-to-peak output voltage shall be greater than 800mV within 500ns of tx_quiet being deasserted.

3b) Add the following to the end of 71.7.1.4

For EEE capability, the transmitter lane's differential peak-to-peak output voltage shall be less than 30mV within 500ns of tx_quiet being asserted. Furthermore, the transmitter lane's differential peak-to-peak output voltage shall be greater than 800mV within 500ns of tx_quiet being deasserted.

3c) Add the following to the end of 72.7.1.4

For EEE capability, the transmitter's differential peak-to-peak output voltage shall be less than 30mV within 500ns of tx_quiet being asserted. Furthermore, the transmitter's differential peak-to-peak output voltage shall be greater than 90% of the trained peak-to-peak value within 500ns of tx_quiet being deasserted.

C/ 72 Beckwith, J	SC 6.11.1.3 onathan	P 2 UNH-		1 #	54
Comment 7 I believ		Comment Status ation blocks" is a ty			
Suggested Change	R <i>emedy</i> e "venation" to "f	unction"			
Response ACCEF	РТ.	Response Status	С		
CI 72	SC 6.5	P 2	08 L 9	#	58
Beckwith, J	onathan	UNH-	IOL		
		Comment Status voltage threshhold f states 30mV.		ime. Deactivatio	on
SuggestedI Specify	2	nold as the beginning	of the activation	time measurem	ent.
Response REJEC	Т.	Response Status	С		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

 C/
 72
 Page 102 of 125

 SC 6.5
 9/28/2009 3:34:26 PM

Responses	on	D2.0
-----------	----	------

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 72 SC 7.1 Beckwith, Jonathan	<i>P</i> 210 UNH-IOL	L 12	# 53	C/ 72 Ganga, Ila	SC 72.6.4 ango	P 207 Intel	L 26	# 189
enabled (Vtw)" is con SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status R peak-to-peak output voltage (n fusing ter activation/deactivation measure	·		detec are di minut	se 72 supports di t) was not part of ifficult to define/ir ies_01_0505.pdf)	Comment Status A gital signal detect mechanism this clause as it was felt that nplement in the backplane en . Hence define a suitable dig	robust analog si vironment. (see	gnal detect functions thaler_01_0505.pdf,
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Suggeste	w power idle stat dRemedy er comment			
C/ 72 SC 72.6.11 Marris, Arthur	P 208 Cadence	L 46	# 363	ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIP			
Comment Type ER Unnecessary under-li	Comment Status A			from	sleep.	o clear alternative to a basic e quired to wake up within a cer		
SuggestedRemedy remove the unnecess	sary under-lining in 72.6.11 on	pages 208 and 2	09	energ	gy on the diff sign	al pair from a compliant, enal	oled transmitter.	C C
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			be co	mplete before it	_detect would not work for EE could wake up the receiver. wake the PHY's receiver prior	This was believed	
				saved		nsmit coefficients and receive iet and quickly restored after		
				Chan	and ware mode t	a tha atota diagrama (ana raa	nonce to comme	ant #40E) to five the

Changes were made to the state diagrams (see response to comment #425) to fix the observable behavior that may be caused by false detection. There is concern that the energy detect threshold level and detection circuitry could cause unnecessary activity in the receiver (due to noise and cross-talk).

Responses on D2.0			P802.3az D2.0 Energy		iemet comi	nents		September 200
C/ 72 SC 72.7.1 Thaler, Pat	P 210 Broadcom	L 12	# 433	Cl 73A Thaler, Pat	SC 73A.4	P 249 Broadcom	L 33	# 417
Comment Type TR Co Also applies to 72.7.2	omment Status A				e register is 1	Comment Status A 6 bits, you might as well allow f e available and any new PHY ty		
Need to provide an indicatior supported.	1 that the new characteri	istics are only re	quired when EEE is			nent on 45.2.7.13a.		
SuggestedRemedy It may be easiest to refer to t tables creating a subclause A				SuggestedF	Remedy			
_	sponse Status C				T IN PRINCIP			
See response to Comment #	430			Change	"6:0" to "15:0'	" and "22:16" to "31:16"		
Cl 73 SC 73.7.6 Thaler, Pat	P 249 Broadcom omment Status A	L 1	# 405	CI 73A Cobb, Terry Comment T		P 249 Commscope Comment Status R	L 33	# <u>111</u>
EEE needs to be added to P 28, priority resolution is in the resolution of 73.7.6 or as an somewhere. SuggestedRemedy I suggest that EEE resolution both sides support EEE for th	riority resolution. Since E e body, I'm not sure if it s additional subclause in A n should occur after prior	should be added Annex 73A but it rity resolution for	to the existing needs to be PHY selection. If	l assum 10. <i>SuggestedF</i> Use reg and the	e this part is fo Remedy isters 2 and 3 n 15:0 of regis se 22.2.4.3.1 t	zeros and could be used to se or message code 11 although th in subclause 22.2.4.3.1 to fill in ter 3. Then add an optional forr to allow the registers to contain <i>Response Status</i> C	ne subclause ti the 24 bits. Us nat for the PH	itle says message code se bits 7:0 of register 2 Y identifier in
EEE needs to be added to P 28, priority resolution is in the resolution of 73.7.6 or as an somewhere. SuggestedRemedy I suggest that EEE resolution both sides support EEE for th Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	riority resolution. Since E e body, I'm not sure if it s additional subclause in A n should occur after prior he selected PHY type, th sponse Status C	should be added Annex 73A but it rity resolution for	to the existing needs to be PHY selection. If	l assum 10. SuggestedF Use reg and the subclau Response REJEC	e this part is fo <i>Remedy</i> isters 2 and 3 h 15:0 of regis se 22.2.4.3.1 t Γ.	or message code 11 although th in subclause 22.2.4.3.1 to fill in ter 3. Then add an optional forr to allow the registers to contain	ne subclause ti the 24 bits. Us nat for the PH	itle says message code se bits 7:0 of register 2 Y identifier in
EEE needs to be added to P 28, priority resolution is in the resolution of 73.7.6 or as an somewhere. SuggestedRemedy I suggest that EEE resolution both sides support EEE for th Response Res	riority resolution. Since E e body, I'm not sure if it s additional subclause in A n should occur after prior he selected PHY type, th sponse Status C	should be added Annex 73A but it rity resolution for	to the existing needs to be PHY selection. If	I assum 10. SuggestedF Use reg and the subclau Response REJEC This fea C/ 74 Gustlin, Mar Comment T	e this part is for <i>Remedy</i> isters 2 and 3 in 15:0 of regis se 22.2.4.3.1 t T. ture is beyond SC 74.0.1 k <i>ype</i> T 't the signal so	or message code 11 although th in subclause 22.2.4.3.1 to fill in ter 3. Then add an optional forr to allow the registers to contain <i>Response Status</i> C	the 24 bits. Us nat for the PH a NIC specific	itle says message code se bits 7:0 of register 2 Y identifier in
EEE needs to be added to P 28, priority resolution is in the resolution of 73.7.6 or as an somewhere. SuggestedRemedy I suggest that EEE resolution both sides support EEE for th Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	riority resolution. Since E e body, I'm not sure if it s additional subclause in A n should occur after prior he selected PHY type, th sponse Status C	should be added Annex 73A but it rity resolution for	to the existing needs to be PHY selection. If	I assum 10. SuggestedF Use reg and the subclau Response REJEC This fea CI 74 Gustlin, Mar Comment T Why isn	e this part is for Remedy isters 2 and 3 in 15:0 of regis se 22.2.4.3.1 t T. ture is beyond SC 74.0.1 k ype T 't the signal so Remedy	or message code 11 although the in subclause 22.2.4.3.1 to fill in ter 3. Then add an optional forr to allow the registers to contain <i>Response Status</i> C I the scope of this project. <i>P</i> 213 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> R	the 24 bits. Us nat for the PH a NIC specific	itle says message code se bits 7:0 of register 2 Y identifier in mac address.

Page 1 9/28/20

Cl 74

SC 74.0.1

Page 104 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:27 PM

Responses on D2.0 IEEE P802.3az D2.0 E	Energy Efficient Ethernet comments September 2009
C/ 74 SC 74.0.1 P 213 L 3 # 8 Anslow, Pete Nortel Networks Nortel Networks 8	C/ 74 SC 74.0.1 P 213 L 9 # 9 Anslow, Pete Nortel Networks
Comment TypeERComment StatusAThe Functional block diagram subclause is 74.4.1 not "74.0.1" as shown in the draft. Also the Figure shown is Figure 74-2	Comment Type E Comment Status A The Functional block diagram title (actually Figure 74-2 not as shown here) is being modified by 802.3ba
SuggestedRemedy change the subclause number to 74.4.1 change Figure to 74-2	SuggestedRemedy Coordinate changes to clause 74 with 802.3ba so that 802.3az does not reverse changes made by 802.3ba
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Numbering will be reconciled after discussion with the 802.3ba editor.	Clause 74 editor for 802.3az will coordinate with counterpart for 802.3ba.
C/ 74 SC 74.0.1 P 213 L 37 # 434 Thaler, Pat Broadcom Broadcom	If possible, will use the 802.3ba draft as the baseline and provide change instructions relative to that. Baseline used will be identified in the change instruction.
Comment Type E Comment Status A The EEE primitives also need to go between the FEC and the PMA	C/ 74 SC 74.5 P 214 L 11 # 364 Marris, Arthur Cadence Cadence Cadence Cadence
SuggestedRemedy Add lines for the primitives. Also, the subclause number should be 74.4.1.	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Two new items added not one.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy Change text to:
Will add lines for the primitives.	Insert two new primitives after item (c) as shown below:
Subclause number will be revisited to reconcile with changes underway in 802.3ba	and underline item e) <i>Response Response Status</i> W ACCEPT.

CI 74 SC 74.5

CI 74 SC 74	4.5	P 214	L 12	# 184	C/ 74	SC 74.5	P 214	L 50	# 119
anga, Ilango		Intel			D'Ambros	ia, John	Force10 I	Networks	
Underline new Also subclause Update the nun	nbering as per the bas ould be Figure 74-2).	m e) RX_LPI_A e numbers for fu	unctional block d	agrame are incorrect. Ild be 74.4.1 and	rates PHYs the te Suggester coord	osed changes in 8 of 10Gb/s. Prope , which would als xt in 802.3az sho dRemedy ination between 8	Comment Status A 302.3az are only applicab osed changes in 802.3ba o include 40Gb/s and 10 uld only be applied to see 302.3az and 802.3ba is n	are altering Claus OGb/s. Therefore, ctions specific to 1 ecessary.	se 74 to support BASE-R , it needs to be clear that 0GBASE-R PHYs.
esponse	Response S	Status W			Add e PHYS		ating that changes in 802	.3az are only appl	ICADIE TO TUGBASE-R
ACCEPT IN PF Please refer to 364 and 8					Response ACCE		Response Status W		
	4.5	D.044	1.40	" [100	C/ 74	SC 74.5.4.1	P 215	L 3	# 365
74 SC 74	4.5	P 214 Broadcom	L 12	# 432	Marris, Ar	thur	Cadence		
	TR Comment				Comment		Comment Status A		
has been adde going between	d to the primitives but	not to the list. F	igure 49-4 show	·	Suggester Remo	ove crossed out te		5.5	
	s go up the stack, req et (if it is sent to FEC)				Chang "Inser		n below after 74.5.3"		
SuggestedRemedy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	und m_ipi_deut			to:				
Correct the inst	truction to say the corr						5 as shown below after	′4.5.3 [°]	
•	dd missing primitives. EEE is supported. Tha			1	Response ACCE	, EPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status W .E.		
It isn't clear why by the lower lay	y Clause 49 shows res /ers.	set_scrambler c	rossing the inter	ace since it isn't used	Remo	oting only the follo			
Change primitv	es that go from PCS t	o FEC to .reque	est.		Chang "Inser	0	n below after 74.5.3"		
Response ACCEPT.	Response S	Status C			to: "Inser	t 74.5.4 and 74.5	.5 as shown below after 7	74.5.3"	
AUULFI.					Rejec	ting:			
							ining from 74.5.4 and 74. t needs underlining.	5.5	

C/ 74 SC 74.5.4.1 Page 106 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:27 PM

Responses on	D2.0
--------------	------

CI 74	SC 74.5.4.1	P 215	L 9	# 438	C/ 74	SC	74.7.4.1	P 216	L 30	# 385	
Thaler, Pa	t	Broadcom			Thaler, Pat			Broadcom			
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Status D			
If this primitive is not removed (the subject of another comment of mine), this when generated section is incorrect.					The reverse gearbox function in the FEC is suppose to get block lock on the data from the PCS using the block lock state diagram in Figure 49-12. This is in the current standard.						
SuggestedRemedy						This doesn't work if deterministic blocks are to be produced with scrambler_reset.					
When generated for this should be similar to 74.5.3.2 - FEC generates the primitive when the energy_detect primitive it received from the PMA changes. The model of the primitives for backgroup variables (which is different than the real life signals) is that the primitive is						The existing subclause does say that the reverse gearbox may not be required when the XSBI is not implemented.					
for boolean variables (which is different than the real life signals) is that the primitive is generated when the value changes.					SuggestedRemedy						
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					Add an edit to the subclause to say that when FEC is present, the reverse gearbox is not used and 66-bit block lock is provided from the PCS to the FEC in an implementation dependent manner.						
		an indication coming from the nce this primitive is not genera			Proposed F REJEC	•	ise	Response Status Z			
C/ 74 Ganga, Ila	SC 74.7 ngo	P 216 Intel	L 22	# 185	This co	mmen	t was WIT	HDRAWN by the commente	r.		
- Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A			C/ 74	SC	74.7.4.7	P 216	L 53	# 60	
Clause 74 is also being amended by P802.3ba. So where appropriate update the editing instructions to indicate the appropriate base text (IEEE Std 802.3-2008 or P802.3ba/D2.2).					Bennett, Mi	chael		LBNL			
Suggested				,	Comment Type ER Comment Status A						
00	comment						l on behali 1 (T/L 446	of Jonathan Ebbers, jpebbe 5034)	rs@us.ibm.com		
Response ACCE		Response Status W	Sentence Otherwise fec_block_lock is fec_normal_block_lock OR fec_rapid_block_lock is inaccurate and does not match the behaviour implied by Figure 74-2. On this figure 74-2, transition from false to true of signal fec_rapid_block_lock is used as a trigger to the fec_normal_block_lock state machine. In fact, it is assumed that an other mechanism (as per 2nd paragraph and Note in section 74.7.4.8) will activate the signal fec_rapid_block_lock.								
					<i>Suggestedi</i> Remov		<i>ly</i> sentence				
					Response ACCEF	PT IN F	PRINCIPL	Response Status C			

fec_rapid_block_lock signal generation needs explanation so explanation will not be removed.

See response to comment #439 which changes the description

CI 74 SC 74.7.4.7

Response	es on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient Eth	ernet comm	ents		September 2009	
<i>Cl</i> 74 Thaler, Pat	SC 74.7.4.8	P 217 Broadcom	L 6	# 384	<i>Cl</i> 74 Thaler, Pat	SC 74.8.2.2	P 218 Broadcom	L 4	# 439	
	sn't have frame	Comment Status A es, it has blocks. Even though d the wrong word, don't exten		he current Clause 74		, no need to rer	Comment Status A ame fec_block_lock. Renami where necessary or too painfu			
SuggestedRe	emedy				case.					
Response ACCEPT Replace	IN PRINCIPL	of "frame" in the text you hav <i>Response Status</i> C E. lock" as in the suggested rem		If it is necessary for signal_detect to go true before fec_block_lock goes true, then change the description of fec_signal_ok to be based on the received SIGNAL_OK = OK and (fec_block_lock + fec_rapid_block_lock). In addition, there is a problem with getting signal detect from combining normal and fec block lock as it will glitch False. In the following description, I have used fec_block_lock for the name of the signal generated by the block lock machine rather than fec_normal_block_lock.						
CI 74 SC 74.7.4.8 P 217 L 6 # 386 Thaler, Pat Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A The use of "deterministic frame" implies that the FEC will be receiving one frame content that it can look for. This is not the case. It may receive a frame that is all LPI, one that is all normal idle, or one that starts out LPI and switches to normal idle (wake starts during the beginning of a refresh). I couldn't find a prohibition on sending frames too early during waking though one would be foolish to do so. There is just infomative material to explain the maximum wake up time. If the MAC sends frames too soon, is it assumed that it is okay for rapid block sync to not work. It seems like that should be okay.					<pre>fec_rapid_block_lock is described as going false when it doesn't receive the deterministic block. 4 complete "deterministic" blocks are sent in a 1 us scrambler_reset. Some of those are eaten by the time for signal detect and clock recovery so there may be only 1 or 2 received. The first one received will cause fec_rapid_block_lock to go true and will cause the block lock state machine to start trying lock at that slip value. Within another block or two, the block received isn't deterministic and fec_rapid_block_lock goes false. However, it takes at least 4 good blocks for the state machine to set fec_block_lock true.</pre> As currently described, at the start of a recovery period or exit from LPI, signal detect will probably go true for an FEC block or two due to fec_rapid_block_lock, then go false for a few blocks due to the gap between fec_rapid_block_lock = true and fec_block_lock = true. SuggestedRemedy Don't change the name of fec_block_lock in the state machine. Just add					
SuggestedRe	emedy ceptable for rap	snould be okay. bid block lock to only work for to look for one of two determi			fec_rapi detectio	d_block_lock to	the detection is necessary th	detect if it is no	ecessary to speed that	
for a bloc that.		tion between LPI and idle whi					oes false before fec_block_lo Response Status C			
Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.				IN PRINCIPL	,			
Text will o	clarify that ther	e are two types of determinis	tic frames.				rmal_block_lock to fec_block_ ec_rapid_block_lock.	lock. And cha	ange the description for	

Rejecting any change needed for glitch. The commenter state that 1 or 2 FEC blocks will be consumed by the CDR and signal detect circuit. But the deterministic fec blocks are transmitted after 12us of scrambled IDLE code words. Hence the CDR and signal ok will not consume those 1 or 2 frames. The FEC block lock needs at least 8 frames to loose

lock.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 74 SC 74.8.2.2 Page 108 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:27 PM

C/ 74 SC 74.8.2.3	P 218 Broadcom	L 52	# 440	Cl 74A SC 74A.5 Ganga, Ilango	P 250 Intel	L 47	# 182
Comment Type E Including T_TYPE_NE: used in this Clause.	<i>Comment Status</i> A KT in the functions appears to	be an error in tl	ne standard. It isn't	Comment Type E Also update table nur subclause title 74A.5	Comment Status A nbering for Annex 74A. Should	be 74A-1 etc., ;	also underline the
SuggestedRemedy Do a service to humani	ty and remove the extraneous	function.		SuggestedRemedy As per comment			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Task force reviewed the	e request and agreed to proce	ed with the sug	gested remedy.	CI 74A SC 74A.5	P 250	L 51	# 337
CI 74 SC 74.8.3	P 220	L 7	# 61	Koenen, David	Hewlett Packa	ard	
ennett, Michael	LBNL			Comment Type E	Comment Status R	unde la sil sila ta 16.05	
omment Type ER	Comment Status A			The FEC encoder will SuggestedRemedy	not alway be receiving unscra	mpled data if th	e PHY support EEE.
	k state diagram there is a das dge but there is no note to ide			Clause 78) then the r	"If the optional Energy Efficier everse gearbox of the remote F eriods. PCS sublayer will be er nistic FEC frame."	EC encoder wil	I receive unscramble
Add a hole				Response	Response Status C		
NOTE: If the optional L fec_rapid_block_lock_e	ow Power Idle function is suppedge is mandatory	ported then		REJECT.			
Response	Response Status C			OBE.			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	E.			Discussion on the top	ic at the task force meeting an	d changes to the	e state machine have
NOTE: fec_rapid_block	_lock_edge is only required for	or EEE capabilit	y	voided the reason to originally proposed p	make the change and led to a circle in the meeting.	change in the re	sponse that was
Cl 74 SC Figure 74 Szczepanek, Andre Comment Type TR	I-1 P 213 HSZ Consultin Comment Status A	L 36 g	# 383	blocks. The fec rapid	tate machine change, there wi block lock will adjust the fec sli rmal fec block lock during refre	p, which will ena	able the reverse
tx_quiet must pass thro	_quiet from (or through) the F ugh or around the FEC layer e is no path for rx_quiet.			See comment #99, #4	456 and #385		
SuggestedRemedy							
	o the PMA service interface o	f the FEC subla	yer				
	Response Status W						
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	E.						

C/ 74A SC 74A.5 Page 109 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:27 PM

Responses on D2.0		IEEE P8	302.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient E	thernet com	ments		September 2
C/ 78 SC 1 Bennett, Michael	P 226 LBNL	L 16	# 64	<i>Cl</i> 78 Hajduczer	SC 78.1 nia, Marek	P 226 ZTE Corporati	L 13	# 299
Comment Type E Comment This paragraph seems verbose and r a table of supported PHYs instead?		ported" several	times. Why not use		ition time to an	Comment Status A d from the lower level of power d not a "lower power period" or		
SuggestedRemedy Replace paragragph with:				Suggested Per co	dRemedy omment			
The EEE operational mode supports Mb/s, and 10 Gb/s. The following Ph			100 Mb/s, 1000	Response ACCE	9 EPT IN PRINCII	Response Status C PLE.		
100BASE-TX 1000BASE-T 10GBASE-T 1000BASE-KX 10GBASE-KX4				Chang "The t	ge last sentence transition time in	e adjusted for best gramatical fit e in second paragraph of page n to and out of the lower power layer protocols and applications	to read: mode is kept sr	nall enough to be
10GBASE-KR Response Response S ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Suggested remedy will be followed b achieve the same objective		d a table - an inli	ne list should	Cl 78 Grow, Rob <i>Comment</i> signal		P 226 Intel Comment Status A	L 17	# 210
Cl 78 SC 1 Bennett, Michael Comment Type E Comment Please define the acronym LPI after as was done for Eergy Efficient Ether	the first instance o		# <u>63</u>	other Response ACCE	ge to: two PHY descriptions of PT IN PRINCI	types, also change line 19 sign PHY types as signaling scheme <i>Response Status</i> C PLE. nment #64 which rewrites the sa	es or signaling s	systems accordingly.
SuggestedRemedy Insert (LPI) between Low Power Idle				<i>Cl</i> 78 Estes, Dav	SC 78.1 ve	<i>P</i> 226 UNH - IOL	L 32	# 145
In the next sentence, replace Low Pc Response Response & ACCEPT.				Suggested	ge "and selection dRemedy	Comment Status A on best set of parameters" to "an on best set of parameters" to "an		
				Response	- -	Response Status C		

C/ 78 SC 78.1

Cl 78 SC 78.1.1 P 226 L 38 # 301 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation 301 Comment Type T Comment Status A "Similarly, it informs the LPI" - what is this 'it' in this context? SuggestedRemedy Please clarify the meaning Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "it" is "Low Power Idle signaling". Rewrite sentence to read: "The low power idle signaling also informs the LPI client that the link partner has sent such an indication." Cl 78 SC 78.1.1.2 P 227 L 35 # 298
"Similarly, it informs the LPI" - what is this 'it' in this context? SuggestedRemedy Please clarify the meaning Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "it" is "Low Power Idle signaling". Rewrite sentence to read: "The low power idle signaling also informs the LPI client that the link partner has sent such an indication."
"The low power idle signaling also informs the LPI client that the link partner has sent such an indication."
Cl 78 SC 78.1.1.2 P 227 L 35 # 298
Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status A "Idle on the RS" > "Idle through the RS". RS is not visible to the client on the other side of the link, so you can signal through it but not on it SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C A
Cl 78 SC 78.1.2.1 P 228 L 47 # 203 Grow, Robert Intel Comment Type TR Comment Status A When generated is too generic. SuggestedRemedy The primitive is generated because of a change from something (xMII normal Idle to assert low power idle) and vise versa. Response Response Response Status C

C/ 78 SC 78.1.2.1 Page 111 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:27 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 78 SC 78.	.1.2.1.1	P 228	L 12	# 212	Cl 78	SC 78.1.2.1.		L 18	# 197
Grow, Robert		Intel			Grow, Rob	ert	Intel		
Comment Type E	E Co	mment Status A			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		
Primitive and val	lue are separ	rated by a space.					als, and as I recall, timin		be placed on the
SuggestedRemedy					•		ayers causing generation	of a primitive.	
LP_IDLE.reques	t (LPI_REQL	JEST), also similar on lin	e 39.		Suggested	,			
Response	Res	sponse Status C			Needs	thought and pro	per specification on the	timing in multiple pla	ces in the standard.
ACCEPT.							d deassert functions) rel		
CI 78 SC 78.	1211	P 228	L5	# 211			age that reflects continu		nitive value between
Grow, Robert		Intel	20		Response	,,,	Response Status U		
Comment Type E	- Co	mment Status A			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
Anthropomorphis		. Not the only occurance	.		Chang	e the two senter	nces on lines 17 and 18,	page 228 from:	
SuggestedRemedy to indicate to th	he PHY to sta	art or stop Rewrite oth	er uses of wish	es.			Il not be set to ASSERT 28.2.6.1.1). LP_IDLE.red		
Response ACCEPT.	Res	sponse Status C					nange of link_status to C		
AUGENT.					to:				
C/ 78 SC 78. Hajduczenia, Marek	.1.2.1.2	P 228 ZTE Corporatio	L 16 n	# 327			f this primitive is undefir SSERT within 1 second		
Comment Type E Smaller font in "2		mment Status A	the rest of the	text					
SuggestedRemedy Per comment									
Response ACCEPT.	Res	sponse Status C							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 78 SC 78.1.2.1.2

78	SC 78.1.2.1.4		L 26	# 202	C/ 78	SC 78		P 229	L 33	# 297
row, Robe		Intel			Hajduczer			ZTE Corporation	n	
omment T		Comment Status A			Comment	51	-	Comment Status R		
		een an RS and its link partne PHY has no option to signa			"found	l in the res	spective	e RS clauses." - which RS clau	ses?	
appropr	iate, but it seem	s inconsistent with MII text	describing the xM	III signals. The effect	Suggestee					
of the p	rimitive is to gen	erate signals on the MII and	d that isn't specifi	ed here, but should be.				RS clauses in here. Perhaps es as well, and then just refere		
uggestedF					Response		5 claus	Response Status C	ence mem per	
addition represe	al requirements nted. Add gene	onsistent in what layer is sig on conveying the LPI reque ric text that covers the three	est in lower subla MII types how	yers is properly the assert or deassert	REJE	CT.		,		
is signa	led, can probabl	y be generic using the MII c	lefinition of asser	t low power idle.				g clauses is a bad idea becaus ce new clauses will require an		
esponse		Response Status U			text.		milouut	se new clauses will require an		ecessary update to this
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE	Ξ.			C/ 78	SC 78	1.3.1	P 229	L 43	# 103
		to signal the request so the		ropriate however editor	Chalupsky			Intel Corp.		
will look	into adding clar	ifying text as in the suggest	ed remedy.		Comment	Type E	F	Comment Status A		
Editor to	o check if that th	is is clear in the xMII clause	s.			nar: "starts				
78	SC 78.1.3	P 229	L 3	# 296	Suggestee	dRemedy				
ajduczenia	a, Marek	ZTE Corpora	tion		replac	e "starts to	o asser	ts" with "starts to assert"		
omment T	уре Т	Comment Status A			Response	I.		Response Status C		
		ependent interface is depen			ACCE	PT.				
		s shown as xMII in the diag			CI 78	SC 78	131	P 229	L 44	# 319
EEE.".					Hajduczer		-	ZTE Corporatio		" 313
uggestedF	Remedy				Comment		TR	Comment Status R		
Per com	nment							rts to transmits the 'assert low	power idle' en	coding on the xMII." - it
esponse		Response Status C			would	be much i	more co	prrect for the LPI client to trans	mit such data	through the RS rather
ACCEP	т.							erated locally in the RS. LPI as nable local generation of contr		
					Suggestee	dRemedy				
								function of generating 'assert S per comment.	low power idle	encoding on xMII from
					Response			Response Status W		
					REJE	CT.				
					Propo	ses a chai	nge to a	an architecture that has alread	y been approv	ed by the task force.

C/ 78 SC 78.1.3.1

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

C/ 78 SC 78.1.3.1 Chalupsky, David	P 229 Intel Corp.	L 49	# 104	C/ 78 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	2 78.1.3.3 arek	P 230 ZTE Corporati	L 21 on	# 289
Comment Type E grammar: "starts to tran	Comment Status A smits"				nd in the res	<i>Comment Status</i> R spective PHY." - which is? It w by EEE in this place.	vould be very go	od to have reference
SuggestedRemedy replace "starts to transn	nits" with "starts to transmit"			SuggestedRem	-			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Per comme <i>Response</i> REJECT.	iii.	Response Status C		
C/ 78 SC 78.1.3.2	P 230 Hewlett Packard	L 16	# 335	See respon	se to #297.			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			C/ 78 So Bennett, Michae	78.1.3.3	<i>P</i> 230 LBNL	L 21	# 65
	ays that the LPI detect function say that it resumes normal op			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A is missing from the end of th	e sentence.	
SuggestedRemedy Add the following to the	last sentence:			SuggestedRem Change the	ədy	J.		
Response	tion resumes normal decode o Response Status C	operation.		Ũ	pecification	of PHY LPI operation can be	e found in the rea	spective PHY clause
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				Response		Response Status C		
Adopt suggested remed	у.			ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPL	E.		
In addition, change: "continues to indicated i	dio"			Change the	last senten	ce to:		
to: "continues to indicate id				The specific Table 78-1)		Y LPI operation can be found	l in the respectiv	e PHY clause (see
Z 78 SC 78.1.3.2 lajduczenia, Marek	P 230 ZTE Corporatio	L 7	# 288					
Comment Type T "service interface as no	Comment Status A	ace under nor	mal conditions".					
SuggestedRemedy Search for any other sin	nilar references of this term an	d scrub the dra	aft.					
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status C							
"service interface as un	der normal conditions"							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 78 SC 78.1.3.3 Page 114 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:27 PM

Responses on D2.0		IEEE I	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	y Efficient E	thernet comr	nents			September 2009
C/ 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1	P 230	L 26	# 290	C/ 78	SC 78.1.3.3	.1 P2	30	L 30	# 292
ajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corporation	on		Hajduczer	nia, Marek	ZTE	Corporatior	ו	
	Comment Status A			Comment	51	Comment Status			
Clarify what the meaning of since it has no clear meanin instead ggestedRemedy				capita confu in this	lization, and pot sion, please con	re many different mod entially with the same sider adding a section provide reference to th	/ meaning / which des	or simialr. To cribes all the	avoid reader modes which you use
Per comment	esponse Status C			Suggestee Per co	dRemedy omment				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response		Response Status	c		
Edit the sentence to read:				REJE			U		
"At the start of the 'assert lo to the link partner to indicat				Figure	e 78-3 and the a	ccompanying text des	cribe the ba	asic modes a	t a high level.
78 SC 78.1.3.3.1	P 230	L 30	# 291	Not cl	ear what specifi	c change is being req	uested by th	he Commente	or.
ajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corporation	n		CI 78	SC 78.1.3.3	.1 P2	30	L 34	# 294
<i><i><i></i></i></i>	Comment Status A			Hajduczer	nia, Marek		Corporation	1	
"PHY enters a quiet mode a mode after transmission of t See also the comment on th	the sleep signal."	mission." > "PH	Y enters the quiet		51	Comment Status does this mean? Does		that the trans	smission is suspended?
uggestedRemedy Per comment				Suggestee					
	esponse Status C			Per co	omment				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response ACCE		Response Status	С		
"PHY enters a quiet mode a mode after sleep is signalle		mission." > "PH	Y enters the quiet	"Can	go quiet" shall b	e replaced by "can go	into quiet r	node"	
				<i>CI 78 Hajduczer</i>	SC 78.1.3.3 nia, Marek		30 Corporatior	L 34	# 293
				<i>Comment</i> "recei	51	Comment Status smits sleep' - probabl		nal' or someth	ning alike?
				Suggestee					-
				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status LE.	С		
						eep" by "signals sleep ep" by "receives a sle			
YPE: TR/technical required El OMMENT STATUS: D/dispatc ORT ORDER: Clause, Subcl	hed A/accepted R/reject				d U/unsatisfied	Z/withdrawn	CI 78 SC 78.1.	.3.3.1	Page 115 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:2

Responses on D2	.0		IEEE P80	2.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient E	thernet comm	nents			September 2009
C/ 78 SC 78.1.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	-	230 Corporation	L 35	# 295	<i>Cl</i> 78 Hajduczer	SC 78.1.3.3. ia, Marek	_	231 Corporation	L 18	# 326
what is really meant there is power savin SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response REJECT. The commentor's in	Comment Status ngs can be achieved ev in here. Does that mea g potential? If so, this n <i>Response Status</i> terpretation is correct. N	ven if the PHY in that the link o leeds to be clar C Not sure why fu	can be maintain rified. rther clarificatio	ed active and still n is needed.	"trigge signal "link p "link p Idle m "While ##cea "recov link su Suggested	arther. This signa arther. This signa arther##, which i ode." the Link partner sed## transmiss ery time the link pports nominal o	Comment Statu ction 78.1.3.3.2. Cl tion of sleep signal als that the link part ndicates## that the has ceased transn ion##,## the local"	ns A nanges indic ' > "triggered ner is about link partner nission the lo perational da	ated with ## cl d by the recept to enter Low F is about to ent ocal" > "##Whe	haracters ion of ##the## sleep Power Idle mode." > Power ##the## Low Power en## the Link partner covery time##,## the
Cl 78 SC 78.1.3 Hajduczenia, Marek		231 Corporation	L 14	# 286	Response ACCE		Response Statu	s C		
	Comment Status lost or corrupted during quirement or just an opt	g the transition	to or from the L	ow Power Idle		<i>Type</i> ER ubclause title, the	F Inte <i>Comment Statu</i> bugh some of the P all part of one stand	rs A HY types ma		
Response REJECT. It is exactly as state	Response Status d, a requirement and no				Suggested 78.1.4	Remedy Supported PHY 78-1 Specifica		icient Etherr		

C/ 78 SC 78.1.4

Responses on D2.0		IEEE P	802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient E	thernet cor	nments			September 2009
C/ 78 SC 78.1.4	P 231	L 31	# 10	CI 78	SC 78.1.4	P	231	L 33	# 107
nslow, Pete	Nortel Networks	;		Chalupsky	y, David	Intel	Corp.		
	Comment Status A EEE to other standards" but the nt to 802.3, so "other standard			802.3	tatement "EE	Comment Status E defines a Low Power Insistent with the remai	Idle mode	•	5
The title of Table 78-1 "F inappropriate	Relation between EEE PHY's a	and IEEE protoc	ols" is similarly	Suggeste	-	dla" from line 22			
uggestedRemedy Change subclause title t	o "EEE PHY types" -1 to "EEE PHY types and ass		"	Response		dle" from line 33. Response Status	G C		
esponse	Response Status C	ocialed clauses			trike "idle" from				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See response to comme				C/ 78 Bennett, M	SC 78.1. 4 ⁄lichael	P LBN	231 IL	L 36	# 66
78 SC 78.1.4 ajduczenia, Marek	P 231 ZTE Corporation	L 31	# 287	<i>Comment</i> the ap		Comment Status		ere	
specifications. PHYs in or "PHYs supporting EE	e located at the very beginning Table 78-1 should be collective E" or imilar. in the draft to be of much use	ely referred to a		remov Response ACCE		he Response Status	c C		
uggestedRemedy Per comment				C/ 78 Ofelt, Dav	SC 78.2		232 per Networ	L 0	# 371
esponse REJECT. Position seems consiste	Response Status C	other clauses.		Comment Figure sectio	<i>Type</i> T 78-3 nicely of	Comment Status	s A rs Ts, Tq, a	and Tr. The oth	her paremeters in _tx and Tphy_shrink_rx
				S <i>uggeste</i> Add a Tphy_		explanation that gives s	ome intuitic	on on what Tph	y_shrink_tx and
				Response ACCE	e Pt in princ	Response Status	G C		
					n figure from: www.ieee802	org/3/az/public/jan09/la	aw_1_0109	_V3_0.pdf slid	e 8
					iny updates to out online	names/terms that may	have been	n made since th	e above presentation
YPE: TR/technical requirec COMMENT STATUS: D/disp SORT ORDER: Clause, So	ER/editorial required GR/ge batched A/accepted R/rejecte ubclause, page, line	neral required ⁻ d RESPONS	Г/technical E/editorial G/g E STATUS: O/open W/wr	eneral itten C/close	d U/unsatisf	ed Z/withdrawn	CI 78 SC 78.2	2	Page 117 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:27

C/ 78 SC 78.2 P 232 L 23 # 284 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation	C/ 78 SC 78.2 P 232 L 26 # 105 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp.
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status A
What is a "Tx system"? Additionally, the use of 'tx system' is not consistent. Some is all small caps, sometimes it is capitalized. Scrub the draft	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment	SuggestedRemedy replace "time Rx" with "time the Rx"
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
"Tx system" is an abbreviation for "transmitting system".	See response to comment #285
Capitalization will be scrubbed	C/ 78 SC 78.2 P 232 L 29 # 325 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
Also see response to comment #285	Comment Type E Comment Status A
CI 78 SC 78.2 P 232 L 26 # 285	"for the supported PHY's." - probably "for the supported PHY's."
Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Per comment
"It is the shortest period of time Rx system is provided between" - clarify the senter Probably commas are missing here to clarify which part of the sentence is relative	
Suggested Remedy	ACCEPT.
Per comment	CI 78 SC 78.2 P 232 L 3 # 283
Response Response Status C	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Change definition of Tw_sys_rx to: Parameter employed by the system which corresponds to its requirements. It is the minimum time required by the system between a request to wake and its r to receive data.	diness What is this 'sleep signal'? Replace the statement "Duration PHY" with "Time during which PHY" in lines 3 and 4. What is "xxMII" - this term is neither defined anywhere nor even used consistently since many places there is a term 'xMII' used instead. Decide on which term is to be used and then scrub the draft.
Make a similar change to Tw_sys_tx.	SuggestedRemedy Per comment
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Replace "transmits sleep signal" by "signals sleep"
	No need to change "duration"
	Replace "xxMII" wth "xMII"

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/v	written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	CI 78
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			SC 78.2

Page 118 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:27 PM

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient E	Ethernet com	ments		September 200
C/ 78 SC 78.2 Chalupsky, David	P 232 Intel Corp.	L 46	# 108	<i>Cl</i> 78 Estes, Da	SC 78.3	Р 233 UNH - IOL	L 5	# 146
	Comment Status A for 10GBASE-T: The max value		he min value. I can't	Comment EEE		Comment Status R in only one direction for 1000B/	ASE-T	
uggestedRemedy	ues, but these appear to be in e			Suggeste	-			
Correct Tq max & min	for 10GBASE-T.					oported by both link partners fo used independently in either di		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C			both indep	link partners for pendently in eithe	the negotiated PHY type then the or direction, with the exception of EEE at the same time"	he EEE function	n may be used
See response to #501.				Response	e	Response Status C		
78 SC 78.2	P 232	L 47	# 501	REJE	ECT.			
aich, Dimitry	Teranetics	- +1	# 501			T PHY does not support one d		
	Comment Status A Curtis Donahue (UNH IOL)			other	direction. This r	n, it allows one direction to sign neans that the system on one e t the PHY may not be in LPI mo	end can shut off	some of its receive
then Tq(max) parameter	le 78-2. For 10GBASE-T mode er. In this mode both Tq(min) a 20nsec*(128-4) = 39680nsec).	nd Tq(max) tak	e same value,	<i>Cl</i> 78 Anslow, F		P 234 Nortel Network	L 10 ks	# 13
uggestedRemedy In 10GBASE-T row cha	ange Tq(min) to 39.68usec				Sbps" should be	Comment Status A "10 Gb/s" see pols/editorial/requirements/word	ls.html	
Response	Response Status C				dRemedy	·		
ACCEPT.				Chan	ge "10 Gbps" to	"10 Gb/s"		
C/ 78 SC 78.3	P 233 Nortel Network	L 12	# 11	Response ACCI		Response Status C		
<i>comment Type</i> E why is most of the page	Comment Status A e blank?			<i>CI 78</i> Koenen, I	SC 78.4 David	P 234 Hewlett Packa	L 13 ard	# 338
<i>uggestedRemedy</i> Move 78.4 to start on p	bage 233			Comment The E		Comment Status A not define in 78.4.1. Bad refer	ence	
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C .E.			00	edRemedy eve the reference	e you want here is 79.3a where	it defines the E	EEE TLV.
Will be done later. It is being edited by a differ	blank now because 78.4 is in a ent editor.	a separate file fi	rom 78.1-3 as it is	Response ACCI		Response Status W		

C/ 78 SC 78.4 Page 119 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:27 PM

Responses				P802.3az D2.0 Energy					•
CI 78 S Hajduczenia, M	C 78.4 Iarek	P 234 ZTE Corporatio	L 20 on	# 282	<i>CI</i> 78 Hajduczer	SC 78.4.3 nia, Marek	P 240 ZTE Corporat	L 32 ion	# 279
	nomenclature was e	nment Status A edited to align" with P80)2.3bc? Does th	is note need to be	<i>Comment</i> The te	51	Comment Status A agrams above" - which or	nes precisely?	
here at all? SuggestedRem Clarify or re	nedy					eferences to which st	ate diagrams are referred	to	
Response ACCEPT IN	Resp N PRINCIPLE.	oonse Status C			Response ACCE	F EPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C		
Delete edite	or's note				Chang	ge "The state diagrar	ns describe the behavior a	above"	
CI 78 S	C 78.4	P 234	L 9	# 281	to				
Hajduczenia, M	larek	ZTE Corporation	on		"The s	state diagrams in Fig	ure 78-4 and Figure 78-5	describe the beh	navior in this subclause"
Comment Type What is exa		nment Status A is this the 'MAC rate' or	r a 'PHY rate'?		<i>CI</i> 78 Hajduczer	SC 78.4.3.1 nia, Marek	P 240 ZTE Corporat	L 36 ion	# 323
SuggestedRem	nedy				Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		
Change "TI link rates e than 10 Gb to "The Data l equal to or	N PRINCIPLE. he Data Link Layer qual to or greater ps and may be imp Link Layer capabilit greater	conse Status C capabilities shall be im lemented for all other d	levices." ed for devices w		"Durir link" "If the Tw_sy LOCA missir "Othe "recei "is les Suggester	ng normal operation t transmitting link part ys, the local_system_ L CHANGE state wh ng a comma or two. rwise it returns" to "C ving link partner it" to ser than either" - pro dRemedy	ue" to "if the presently add he transmitting link" to "Do iner wants to initiate a cha change is asserted and the lere NEW_TX_VALUE is of therwise, it returns" "receiving link partner, it bably "is smaller than eith	uring normal ope ange to the prese he transmitting li computed" - this	ently resolved value of nk partner enters the
	. , ,	lemented for all other d			•	omment			
C/ 78 S Iajduczenia, M	C 78.4.2.3 Iarek	P 235 ZTE Corporatio	L 31	# 324	Response ACCE	PF PT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C		
Comment Type certain wor names of re	e E Con rds in in 78.4.2.3 are egister attributes nedy	adjust to the overall for	ldpXdot3LocTx ⁻	TwSys and other	- "if pr - "Dur transr - "Oth - "rece	ing normal operation nitting link" erwise it returns" to " eiving link partner it"	es to section 78.4.3.1 alue" to "if the presently ac the transmitting link" to "I Otherwise, it returns" to "receiving link partner, i obably "is smaller than eit	During normal op	peration, the
SuggestedRem Check teh s Response	Resi	oonse Status C				•	•		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 120 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:27 PM

SC 78.4.3.1

Responses on D2.0)	IEEE P8	802.3az D2.0 Energy	efficient E	thernet co	omments			September 2009
C/ 78 SC 78.4.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 240 ZTE Corporation	L 46	# 280	<i>Cl</i> 78 Hajduczel	SC 78.5 nia, Marek		P 242 ZTE Corporat	L 3 tion	# 321
SuggestedRemedy Please clarify Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Comment Status A r machine"? Do you mean a specif Response Status C PLE.	ic state machin	9?	"In ful the w mode or 'at is sup "prop there "mode	I duplex mod ord 'mode' ar ', 'lower pow mode' sin berceded by a agation delay is only one d e, PHY devic	on page 242 le" to "In a full du nd make sure tha er mode' etc is co ce it is not used a correct preposit s through the ne lelay through the e" to "mode, a Pl	at the use of 'a' / ' consistent.). Additi consistently. Also tion i.e. either 'the etwork" to "propage network rather th	the' before state ionally decide wi o make sure that e' or 'a'. gation delay thro nan multiple dela o, scrub the draft	for the occurences of ment like 'full duplex nether it is 'in mode' the 'Lower Power Idle' ugh the network" - ays. for the term "PHY
to "If the transmitting I C/ 78 SC 78.4.3.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 241 ZTE Corporation	L 8	# 322	"for d for the "norm consi:	ata transmiss e term "reque nal idle code" stent through	sion request" to " est" and make su	for a data transm ire that 'a' / 'the' is code"? Capitaliz	ission request" s used consister	5
SuggestedRemedy Per comma	Comment Status A een 'operation' and 'the receiving'			Suggeste	dRemedy omment		e Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 78 D'Ambros	SC 78.5		P 242 Force10 Netv	L 31 vorks	# 116
				could S <i>uggeste</i>	irst column is cause confu dRemedy	labeled PHY typ sion.			with the PHY name
				same Response	PHY type.	Response	e Status W		
				Follow suggested remedy. In addition, on Page 242, line 23, change the sentence to read: "Case-1 of the 10GBASE-KR PHY applies to PHYs without FEC. Case-2 of the 10GBAS					
				KR P	HY applies to	PHYs with FEC			

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 78

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 C/
 78

 SORT ORDER:
 Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 78.5

Page 121 of 125 9/28/2009 3:34:27 PM

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

September 2009

C/ 79 SC 79 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 243 ZTE Corporation	L 1	# 320	<i>Cl</i> 79 Hajduczer	SC 79 . nia, Marek	.3.1.2	P 244 ZTE Corporatior	L 21	# 277
Comment Type E Missing space betweer	Comment Status A n "79" and "IEEE 802.3"				eiving link	partner	Comment Status A may inform of the transmitter	of what" shou	uld be rewritten, e.g. "A
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Suggested	• ·	rtner m	ay inform the transmitter of "		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE			Response Status C		
Cl 79 SC 79 Anslow, Pete	P 243 Nortel Networks	L 1	# 14	<i>Cl</i> 79 Anslow, P	SC 79	.3.a	P 243 Nortel Networks	L 25	# 15
Comment Type E The format of the claus SuggestedRemedy fix the format	Comment Status A se title is incorrect (no dot or space	e before "IEEE	See 320 ")	Comment	<i>Type</i> E eadings in	= 79.3.a	Comment Status A are inconsistent:		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			79.3.1 79.3.1 79.3.1 79.3.1	.1 .2				
OBE #320				Suggested Fix the	dRemedy e format				
Cl 79 SC 79.3.1.1 Koenen, David Comment Type E	P 244 Hewlett Packard Comment Status A	L 13	# 336	Response ACCE	PT IN PRI	INCIPLI	Response Status C E.		
Pronoun 'it' ambiguous in microseconds) that t	s in sentence "Receive Tw_sys (2 the receiving link partner is reque nsmitting data following the Low F	sting the transr		Chang 79.3.a 79.3.a 79.3.1	.1				
the receiving link partn	w_sys (2 octets wide) is the time er is requesting the transmitting I ving the Low Power Idle.			79.3.1 79.3.1 to	.2 .3				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL		(overegoed in -	siorage condo) that	79.3.a 79.3.a 79.3.a 79.3.a 79.3.a	i.1 i.2 i.3				
the receiving link partn	w_sys (2 octets wide) is the time er is requesting the transmitting I following the Low Power Idle.	ink partner to w	ait before starting	79.3.a	1.4				

C/ **79** SC **79.3.a**

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient Et	hernet com	nents		September 2009
C/ 79 SC 79.3.a Hajduczenia, Marek	P 243 ZTE Corporation	L 26	# 278	<i>Cl</i> 99 Ganga, Ilar	SC	P 1 Intel	L 5 1	# 175
	Comment Status A o perform the EEE Data Link La o you mean 'exchange' informat					Comment Status A add email id for IEEE Standard	ds Activities Dep	partment
SuggestedRemedy Please rewrite consister	ntly			Suggested Add er	-	E Standards Activities Departi	ment (stds.ipr@	ieee.org).
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C E.			Response ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C LE.		
Will change:				See re	sponse to com	ment #213		
	o perform the EEE Data Link La	yer capabiliti	es"	<i>Cl</i> 99 Grow, Rob	SC ert	P 15 Intel	L 7	# 204
To: "The EEE TLV is used t	o exchange information about th	e EEE Data	Link Layer capabilities"	Comment		Comment Status A n fact inaccurate (there are for		
Cl 79 SC 79.3.a.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "(" missing SuggestedRemedy change "2 octets wide)" Response	P 243 Nortel Networks Comment Status A to "(2 octets wide)" Response Status C	<i>L</i> 1	# <u>16</u>	paragr amend	e with current aphs are accep lment, the first ed in the editin	NOTE as found on page 35 (table, though if any base text is paragraph needs to be update g instructions, base text comes <i>Response Status</i> C	needs to referen d to indicate that	nce another t unless otherwise
ACCEPT.				Check	formatting of te	ext copied from style manual.		
C/ 79 SC 79.3.a.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 244 ZTE Corporation	L 3	# 265					
Comment Type E Missing opening parentl Tw_sys (2 octets wide)	Comment Status A hesis in "Transmit Tw_sys 2 octe	ets wide)" - s	hould be "Transmit					
SuggestedRemedy Per comment								
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							
See comment #16								

C/ **99** SC

IEEE P802.3az D2.0 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

Intel <i>Comment Status</i> A 802.3ba and 802.3-2008/Cor1 amendments/corrigendum to t 200X cludes changes to IEEE Std 8 rs the IEEE 802.3 Organizatio d 802.1AB Station and Media 8T/Cor 1-200X orrects the PAUSE reaction tir 20XX cludes changes to IEEE Std 8 ex 83A through Annex 83C, At s IEEE 802.3 Media Access C management parameters for th Gb/s.	the list in order: 02.3-2008 and add nally Specific TLV Access Control C ning delay value for 02.3-2008 and add nnex 85A and Anr Control (MAC) para	s that were orginally onnectivity Discovery to or the 10GBASE-T PHY ds Clause 80 through nex 86A. This ameters, physical layer
802.3ba and 802.3-2008/Cor1 amendments/corrigendum to t 200X cludes changes to IEEE Std 8 rs the IEEE 802.3 Organizatio d 802.1AB Station and Media 8T/Cor 1-200X prects the PAUSE reaction tir 20XX cludes changes to IEEE Std 8 ex 83A through Annex 83C, As s IEEE 802.3 Media Access C nanagement parameters for th	the list in order: 02.3-2008 and add nally Specific TLV Access Control C ning delay value for 02.3-2008 and add nnex 85A and Anr Control (MAC) para	s that were orginally onnectivity Discovery to or the 10GBASE-T PHY ds Clause 80 through nex 86A. This ameters, physical layer
200X cludes changes to IEEE Std 8 rs the IEEE 802.3 Organizatio d 802.1AB Station and Media 8T/Cor 1-200X brrects the PAUSE reaction tir -20XX cludes changes to IEEE Std 8 ex 83A through Annex 83C, Ai s IEEE 802.3 Media Access C nanagement parameters for th	02.3-2008 and add nally Specific TLV Access Control C ning delay value fo 02.3-2008 and add nnex 85A and Anr Control (MAC) para	s that were orginally onnectivity Discovery to or the 10GBASE-T PHY ds Clause 80 through nex 86A. This ameters, physical layer
cludes changes to IEEE Std 8 ex 83A through Annex 83C, A s IEEE 802.3 Media Access C nanagement parameters for th	nnex 85A and Anr Control (MAC) para	nex 86A. This ameters, physical layer
Response Status C		
IPLE.		
mment #213		
P 5 Intel Comment Status A e URL:	L 48	# 178
per link as follows: e.org/reading/ieee/interp/index	.html	
Response Status C IPLE.		
	ndments	
eee NC ride	eee.org/reading/ieee/interp/inde> Response Status C NCIPLE.	eee.org/reading/ieee/interp/index.html <i>Response Status</i> C NCIPLE. ride the right frontmatter for amendments

IIFE.	I N/LECHING	allequileu LR/eu	ional require	u Grvyener	arreguireu 1/1		a G/genera	21			
COMM	ENT STAT	TUS: D/dispatched	A/accepted	R/rejected	RESPONSE	STATUS: O/open	W/written	C/closed	U/unsatisfied	Z/withdrawn	
SORT	ORDER:	Clause, Subclause	e, page, line	•							

SC

Responses on D2.0		IEEE	P802.3az D2.0 Energy	Efficient Ethe	rnet com	nents		September 2009
C/ 99 SC 99 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 5 ZTE Corporatio	L 23	# 251	<i>Cl</i> 99 Ganga, Ilango	SC ToC	P 14 Intel	L 47	# 180
	Comment Status A es 75 through 77 with Annexes and Annex 91A" as written in				manual, the e with anne:	Comment Status A ToC entries for Annexes shoul x titles	d indicate if the	<i>toc</i> annex is normative or
SuggestedRemedy Per comment.				Update th	e list with th	e following (see base documer	t for reference):	
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI				Annex 28 Annex 73	C (normative A (normative	 a) IEEE 802.3 Selector base page b) Next page Message Code fides b) Next page message code fides c) Next page message code fides c) FEC block encoding example 	eld definitions Id definitions	
WG chair will provide th comment #213	ne right frontmatter to use for a	amendments. S	ee response to	Response		Response Status C		
Cl 99 SC ToC Ganga, llango Comment Type E	P 12 Intel Comment Status A	L 1	# 179 toc	ACCEPT				
Add Title to Table of co SuggestedRemedy Add title: "Contents" to								
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							
Cl 99 SC TOC D'Ambrosia, John	P13 Force10 Netwo	L 15 orks	# 112					
Comment Type E Unnecessary carriage r	Comment Status R return for entry for Clause 36		toc					
SuggestedRemedy remove carriage return	between Independent and Int	erface						
Response REJECT.	Response Status C							

This is a machine generated file that gets regenerated every draft. This will get fixed by IEEE professional editorial staff prior to publication.

C/ 99 SC **ToC**