



# Outline



- Lots of effort has been expanded to work on the next Generation Ethernet
- Several Presentations highlighted the issues the SG is facing
- This proposal try to find a middle ground



# 40GE



- For 40GE
  - Address finer granularity
  - Fix LAG issues
  - Align with HOST update cycle
  - Leverage cost reduction as 10G volume goes up
  - Reduce cost for Host
  - Provide a good alternative to FC & IB in the data center and storage
- Against 40GE
  - Switches will bear the cost
  - Distinction from 4x10G LAG
  - Does not follow the 10x Bandwidth increase
  - Confusion in the Market
  - Segmentation of the Market (4x10G LAG, 40GE, 100GE)
  - Cost improvement vsus 10G does not provide enough advantage to justify the development/standard effort



# 40GE - another look



- 40GE is “lane bonding” for 10GE
  - Using 10G PMD “It is the essence of the proposal”
  - There is no justification or plan to have a different PMD (i.e. serial PMD, SMF are neither planned nor applicable)
- Start an Ethernet lane bonding project ?
  - Keep it simple
  - 802.3ah is precedent (see frazier\_01\_1106.pdf)
  - Work on ways to fix APL deficiencies (e.g. too much overhead, variable overhead, etc)
    - Such alternatives exist (I can submit a presentation if this proposal is acceptable for the group)
  - As a benefit it would be applicable to 10G and 100G (in the future) as well



# Proposal



- Keep PAR A as is
- Have a PAR B “High Speed Ethernet Link Bundling”:
  - Support 10G (optionally 100G)
  - Re-use existing PHYs
  - A Bundle 4x with the same PMD type
    - Optionally support x8, x12, x16 (But keep alternatives to a minimum)
  - Transparent to the PCS layer
  - Fixes all the Latency & Performance issue inherent to LAG
  - Addresses different Needs than LAG
  - Because of the Nature of Bonding (It will use up a small overhead) can accommodate OTN compatibility