IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ FM SC FM Hajduczenia, Marek	P 1 Charter Cor	L 11 nmunicatio	# 1		CI 00 SC Powell. Bill	0	Р 1 Nokia	L17	# 119
Comment Type ER Match new PAR til SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A			PAR			Comment Status A D, and project objectives of our Draft D1.3 to drop		PAR d to remove 100G, it's
Change "Physical and 100 Gb/s Pas Management Para	Layer Specifications and Manag sive Optical Networks" to "Phys meters for 25 Gb/s and 50 Gb/s ved by TF in September 2018 on page 19	ical Layer Specific	cations and		SuggestedRemen Change the o Draft Standar Amendment: Physical Laye	ourrent dra d for Ethe	ernet cations and		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						ers for 25 Gb/s, Passive Optical		
C/ FM SC FM Hajduczenia, Marek	P 8 Charter Cor	L 13 nmunicatio	# <u>3</u>		to: Draft Standaı Amendment:	d for Eth	ernet		
Comment Type E Update the name	Comment Status A of the TF accordingly			PAR	Physical Laye	Paramet	ers for 25 Gb/s and		
SuggestedRemedy Change "100G-EP	ON Task Force" to "25&50G-EI	PON Task Force"			Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C CIPLE.				See commen	ıt #1			
	CIPLE. ON Task Force" to "Nx25G-EP	ON Task Force"			See commen	it #1			

Proposed Responses

C/ 00 SC 0

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 00 SC 0 Powell, Bill	Р 19 Nokia	L11	# 120		C/ 31A Hajduczenia	SC 31A a, Marek	P 23 Charter	L1 Communicatio		# 4	
	Comment Status A D, and project objectives hav e of our Draft D1.3 to drop 10			PAR S	Comment 7 Missing Suggested	SYNC_PATT	Comment Status	A			
uggestedRemedy Change the current dra Draft Standard for Ethe Amendment: Physical Layer Specifi Management Paramet 50 Gb/s, and 100 Gb/s Networks	ernet cations and ters for 25 Gb/s,				Insert a 00-18 unprote	new entry in T SYNC_PATTE cted area (SP) the reserved	Table 31A-1 with value (RN 144.3.4.7 Used H to all ONUs on the give row designation from "0 <i>Response Status</i> (by OLT to anno en PON Yes 0-18 through 0	ounce elemen		
to: Draft Standard for Ethe Amendment: Physical Layer Specifi Management Paramet 50 Gb/s Passive Optic	cations and ters for 25 Gb/s and				Cl 31A Kramer, Gle Comment 7		P23 Broadc Comment Status		5	# 91	
ACCEPT. See comment #1	Response Status C P89 Charter Com	L	# 28		MAC C Specifie Value/C transmi	e: 00-18. ontrol function: ed in: 144.3.4.7 Comment: Notif ssions as indic	SYNC_PATTERN. 7. iy the recipient of patter ated by the parameters			ng of	
		municatio				amp: Yes.		-			
of a FEC codeword in	Comment Status D /_EQ_SZ does not seem to b Eqs: 257 EQs is 18504 bits a 952,14392) FEC we use			ze		T IN PRINCIP mment #4	Response Status (LE.	J			
	size (16952) is not divisible by t what this variable is expecte				Cl 141 Johnson, Jo Comment 7		P 38 Broadc Comment Status		4	# 93	
roposed Response	Response Status W						/1 and DS0/1 are not de		141-7.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT					Suggested	Ū					
Change size of FEC_C	CW_EQ_SZ to <tbd> and m</tbd>				Add foo a. Dow	otnotes to the D Instream wave	Downstream Wavelengt lengths are defined in T gths are defined in Tab	able 141-11.	eam Waveler	ngth headers (I):
AI for Mark and Glen to	o propose revision to the defi	nition of this vari	able.		Response ACCEF		Response Status				
	ed ER/editorial required GR					Z/withdrawn		C/ 141 SC 141.2.7		Page 2 of 4 11/13/2018	

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC 141.2.7.1 P39 L34 # 182 Remein, Duane Huawei	C/ 141 SC 141.3.1.4 P41 L29 # 184 Remein, Duane Huawei
Comment Type T Comment Status A The footnote to tables 141-8 and 141-9 is incorrect "All OLT and ONU PMDs support the same coexistence mode, either X or G" SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "Paired OLT and ONU PMDs support the same coexistence mode, either X or G" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Status C C C C	Comment Type T Comment Status A 142.3 describes the receive PCS which does not turn any laser on or off. SuggestedRemedy Strike "and 142.3" While you're here fixe the xref {142.x.x.x} to 142.2.5.4.3 (in D1.3). Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment type changed to T.
In Table 141–8 and Table 141–9, change All OLT and ONU PMDs support the same coexistence mode, either X or G to On an ODN, OLT and ONU PMDs support the same coexistence mode, either X or G	C/ 141 SC 141.3.2 P41 L 52 # 185 Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status A Given that each TP#[i] represents 2 TPs I believe there are more than "eight reference
2/ 141 SC 141.3.1.3 P41 L22 # [183] emein, Duane Huawei comment Type E Comment Status R	points shown in Figure 141–2" SuggestedRemedy Strike "eight" (Engineers are typically able to count on their own) Response Response Status C
Redundant statement in the same sentence "to the PMA defined in 142.4 to the PMA defined in 142.4" SuggestedRemedy Strike the 2nd instance of "to the PMA defined in 142.4" Response Response Status C REJECT.	ACCEPT. C/ 141 SC 141.3.4 P43 L6 # 186 Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status A Earlier PMD_UNITDATA[i].indication is defined as a primitive, we should be consistent. Same issue line 14.
After the strike the statement does not make sense. There are two different rates at which the PMA may operate.	SuggestedRemedy Change "message" to "primitive" Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.3.4

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 141	SC 141.3.5.1	P 43	L16	# 187	C/ 141	SC 141.3.6	P 43	L 46	# 81
Remein, Dua	ine	Huawei			Kramer, Gle	n	Broadcom		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A			Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status A		
duplicatio	on.	rly duplicated in 141.3.5.1 an					t #443 from Spokane: "Al for (CT consistently in Clause 141		a contribution to add
		AL_DETECT parameter shall ble 141–10 for Nx25G–EPO		ccording to the	SuggestedR	emedy			
"The valu	ue of the SIGNA	AL_DETECT parameter shall ble 141–10 for PMDs defined	be generated a		associa	ed with differe	Iready treated consistently in nt channels are distinguished e, i.e., PMD_SIGNAL[i].indica	by indexing the	associated
SuggestedRe	emedy						e is simply a boolean that take	· –	,
141.3.5.3 the cond	3: "The value of itions defined ir	in 141.3.5.1 & 141.3.5.2 and the SIGNAL_DETECT para Table 141–10 for Nx25G–E 1.3.5.2 should then be combi	meter shall be g PON PMDs."	enerated according to			D_SIGNAL.request(tx_enable est(tx_enable) - 2 locations	e) should have '	'[i]" as well, e.g.,
Strike the 141.3.5.3	3: "The value of	Response Status C E. in 141.3.5.1 & 141.3.5.2 and the SIGNAL_DETECT para the conditions defined in Tab	meter for Nx25G		want to ONU an PMD PMD and for	show two arrow d the OLT. The _SIGNAL[0].ind _SIGNAL[1].ind x enable arrow		two arrows for	tx_enable for every
		1.3.5.2 should then be combi		para.	PMD	SIGNAL[1].re	quest(tx_enable) quest(tx_enable) e figure too busy. So, I would	just leave it as	is.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In section 141.3.6, PMD_SIGNAL.request(tx_enable) should have "[i]" as well, e.g., PMD_SIGNAL[i].request(tx_enable) - 2 locations

C/ 141 SC 141.3.6

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

141 SC 141.5.1 P44 L39 # 5	C/ 141 S	SC 141.5.1	P45	i	L 1	# 94
jduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio	Johnson, John	n	Broad	com		
mment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type	be T	Comment Status	Α		
Hardly any need for 141.5.1 and 141.5.2, given that there is no text in there right now.						y the fiber plant, which
ggestedRemedy	is the sam Nx25G-EF		ON. The same value	es for max OR	RL toleranc	e should be used for
Transmitter specification subclause in 10G-EPON (see 75.4.1) lists normative parameters from PMD tables and tie them with the measurement methods. Our draft has none of that	SuggestedRer	medy				
right now. There is also description of the relationship between OMA, extinction ratio, and	Replace T	TBD values fo	or Optical return loss t	olerance (max	x) in Table	s 141-13, 14, 17 and
average power, which I believe we do not use (and do not need to specify).	18 with a v	value of 15d	3.			
Receiver specification subclause in 10G-EPON (see 75.4.2) lists normative parameters from PMD tables and tie them with the measurement methods.	Response		Response Status	С		
Suggest to copy text from 141.6.2 to 141.5.2, with necessary updates.	ACCEPT.					
Text for 141.6.1 and 141.5.1 should be copied from 10G-EPON (Clause 75, specifically 75.4.1) as applicable	C/ 141 S	SC 141.5.1	P45	;	L1	# 95
esponse Response Status C	Johnson, John	n	Broad	com		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type	be T	Comment Status	Α		
See comment #188	Nx25G-EF	PON. The sa			· · ·	ill be widely used for ower of OFF transmitter
141 SC 141.5.1 P44 L40 # 188			ance should be used.			
mein, Duane Huawei	SuggestedRer			Tables 444	10 1 1 1	
mein, Duane Huawei mment Type TR Comment Status A		TBD values fo	or RIN15OMA (max) ir	Tables 141-	13 and 14	1-14 with a value of -
	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T	ΓBD values fo z. ΓBD values fo	or Average launch pov	ver of OFF tra		1-14 with a value of - each channel (max) in
omment Type TR Comment Status A	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14	FBD values fo z. FBD values fo 1-13 and 14	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm.	insmitter, e	each channel (max) in
mment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14	FBD values fo z. FBD values fo 1-13 and 14 FBD values fo	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm.	insmitter, e	
Imment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text Image: Status Image: Statu	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14 Replace T	FBD values fo z. FBD values fo 1-13 and 14 FBD values fo	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm. nce (max) in ⊺	insmitter, e	each channel (max) in
Imment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text Image: Section with no text Image: Section with no text InggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-14.	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14 Replace T value of -1	FBD values fo z. FBD values fo 1-13 and 14 FBD values fo 10 dB.	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3 or Transmitter reflecta	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm. nce (max) in ⊺	insmitter, e	each channel (max) in
Image: Section with no text Comment Status A Section with no text Section with no text Section with no text Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-14. esponse Response Status C	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14 Replace T value of -1 <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.	FBD values for z. FBD values for 11-13 and 14 FBD values for 10 dB.	or Average launch pov I-14 with a value of -3 or Transmitter reflecta <i>Response Status</i>	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm. nce (max) in ⊺ C	nsmitter, e Tables 141	each channel (max) in -13 and 141-14 with a
mment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-14.	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14 Replace T value of -1 <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. C/ 141	TBD values for z. TBD values for 11-13 and 147 TBD values for 10 dB. SC 141.5.1	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3 or Transmitter reflecta <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 45	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm. nce (max) in ⊺ C	insmitter, e	each channel (max) in
Imment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text Section with no text Section with no text rggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-14. esponse Response Status C	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14 Replace T value of -1 <i>Response</i> <u>ACCEPT.</u> <i>C</i> / 141	TBD values for z. TBD values for 1-13 and 14' TBD values for 10 dB. SC 141.5.1 n	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3 or Transmitter reflecta <i>Response Status</i> <i>P4</i>	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm. nce (max) in 7 C 5 com	nsmitter, e Tables 141	each channel (max) in -13 and 141-14 with a
mment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text ggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-14. sponse Response Status C	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14 Replace T value of -1 <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>C</i> / 141 S Johnson, John <i>Comment Typ</i>	TBD values for z. TBD values for 1-13 and 14 TBD values for 10 dB. SC 141.5.1 n SC 141.5.1	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3 or Transmitter reflecta <i>Response Status</i> <i>P4</i> Broad <i>Comment Status</i>	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm. nce (max) in 7 C c com	nsmitter, e Tables 141 <u>L1</u>	each channel (max) in -13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u>
Imment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text Section with no text Section with no text rggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-14. esponse Response Status C	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14 Replace T value of -1 <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 141 S Johnson, John <i>Comment Type</i> The same for Nx25G	TBD values fo z. TBD values fo 1-13 and 14' TBD values fo 10 dB. SC 141.5.1 n SC 141.5.1 n OP T e OLT transm S-EPON. The	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3 or Transmitter reflecta <i>Response Status</i> <i>P4t</i> Broad <i>Comment Status</i> itter technology used e same values for Trai	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm. nce (max) in 7 C 5 com A for 100GBAS nsmitter eye n	Tables 141 Lables 141 L1 E-LR4 (EM	each channel (max) in I-13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u> //L) will be widely used
Imment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text Section with no text Section with no text rggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-14. esponse Response Status C	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14 Replace T value of -1 <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 141 S Johnson, John <i>Comment Typ</i> The same for Nx25G Note that t	TBD values fo z. TBD values fo 1-13 and 14 TBD values fo 10 dB. SC 141.5.1 n SC 141.5.1 n → OLT transmo S-EPON. The this same ey	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3 or Transmitter reflecta <i>Response Status</i> <i>P4t</i> Broad <i>Comment Status</i> itter technology used	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm. nce (max) in 7 C 5 com A for 100GBAS nsmitter eye n	Tables 141 Lables 141 L1 E-LR4 (EM	each channel (max) in I-13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u> //L) will be widely used
Imment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text Section with no text Section with no text rggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-14. esponse Response Status C	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14 Replace T value of -1 <i>Response</i> <i>ACCEPT.</i> <i>C/</i> 141 S Johnson, John <i>Comment Type</i> The same for Nx25G Note that t	TBD values for z. TBD values for TBD	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3 or Transmitter reflecta <i>Response Status</i> <i>P4</i> Broad <i>Comment Status</i> witter technology used e same values for Tran e mask is also used for	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm. nce (max) in 1 C 5 com A for 100GBAS nsmitter eye n or 10G-EPON	Tables 141 Lables 141 L1 E-LR4 (EN nask defin I.	each channel (max) in I-13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u> //L) will be widely used ition should be used.
Imment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text Section with no text Section with no text rggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-14. esponse Response Status C	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14' Replace T value of -1 <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 141 S Johnson, John <i>Comment Typ</i> The same for Nx25G Note that t <i>SuggestedRer</i> Replace T	TBD values for Z. TBD values for PBD values for TBD values for TBD values for TO dB. SC 141.5.1 n SC 141.5.1 n S-EPON. The this same ey medy TBD values for	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3 or Transmitter reflecta <i>Response Status</i> <i>P4t</i> Broad <i>Comment Status</i> itter technology used e same values for Trans re mask is also used for or Transmitter eye ma	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm. nce (max) in ⁻ C C for 100GBAS nor 100GBAS nor 100GBAS nor 10G-EPON sk definition in	Tables 141 Lables 141 L1 E-LR4 (EM mask defin I. n Tables 1	each channel (max) in I-13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u> //L) will be widely used
Imment Type TR Comment Status A Section with no text Section with no text Section with no text rggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-13. A high power class Nx25G-EPON OLT PMD transmitter shall comply with the parameters shown in Table 141-14. esponse Response Status C	Replace T 128 dB/Hz Replace T Tables 14' Replace T value of -1 <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 141 S Johnson, John <i>Comment Typ</i> The same for Nx25G Note that t <i>SuggestedRer</i> Replace T	TBD values for Z. TBD values for PBD values for TBD values for TBD values for TO dB. SC 141.5.1 n SC 141.5.1 n S-EPON. The this same ey medy TBD values for	or Average launch pov 1-14 with a value of -3 or Transmitter reflecta <i>Response Status</i> <i>P4t</i> Broad <i>Comment Status</i> itter technology used e same values for Trans re mask is also used for or Transmitter eye ma	ver of OFF tra 9 dBm. nce (max) in 7 C 5 com A for 100GBAS nsmitter eye n for 10G-EPON sk definition ir I. Add a footi	Tables 141 Lables 141 L1 E-LR4 (EM mask defin I. n Tables 1	each channel (max) in -13 and 141-14 with a # <u>96</u> /L) will be widely used ition should be used. 41-13 and 141-14 with

11/13/2018 7:57:16 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC 141.5.1	P 46	L1	# 97	C/ 141 SC 141.5.2	P 47	L1	# 98
Johnson, John	Broadcom			Johnson, John	Broadcom		
Comment Type T Comme	ent Status A			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
The TF agreed at the May 2018 rr (OMA), each channel (max). Max power, each channel (max). Refe	kimum TX output po	ower is defined b	by Average launch	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r technology will be used f me value of receiver reflec		
,			7 Ior background.	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Remove line for Optical Modulatio and 141-18.	on Amplitude (OMA), each channel	(max) in Table 141-14	value of -12 dB.	Receiver reflectance (max)) in Tables 141-1	5 and 141-16 with a
	e Ctature				Response Status C		
Response Response Response	se Status C			ACCEPT.			
				C/ 141 SC 141.5.2	P 47	L11	# 7
C/ 141 SC 141.5.1	P 46	L 30	# 6	Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Com	municatio	
lajduczenia, Marek	Charter Comm	nunicatio		Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
Comment Type T Comme Missing parameters in Table 141-	ent Status A -14			Given that 10G upstream gain) and different line co no additional mapping / a	PMD definition (OLT Rx) de, can parameters define	ed in Clause 75 b	be reused directly, with
SuggestedRemedy				EPON, it seems numbers			
Replace empty entries in Table 14	41–14 with {TBD}			reference		, ··· , ··· ;	
Replace empty entries in Table 14	41–14 with {TBD} se Status C					,	
Replace empty entries in Table 14				reference		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Replace empty entries in Table 14 Response Response	se Status C	if there are any e	empty entries after this	reference SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Response Status C		
Replace empty entries in Table 14 Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table 14 meeting. Cl 141 SC 141.5.2	se Status C	if there are any e	empty entries after this # [<u>189</u>	reference SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response	Response Status C Imn using 10G-EPON para text: "Individual 10G-EPO	ameters in Table N PMD parame	e 141–15 and Table ters are reused without
Replace empty entries in Table 14 Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table 14 meeting. C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR	se Status C 41–14 with {TBD}, i			reference SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a footnote to the colu 141–16 with the following change at a higher pre-Fe	Response Status C Imn using 10G-EPON para text: "Individual 10G-EPO EC bit error ratio shown in	ameters in Table N PMD parame	e 141–15 and Table ters are reused without 6]"
Replace empty entries in Table 14 Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table 14 meeting. C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Section with no text	se Status C 41–14 with {TBD}, i <i>P</i> 44 Huawei			reference SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a footnote to the colu 141–16 with the following change at a higher pre-FE C/ 141 SC 141.5.2	Response Status C Imn using 10G-EPON para text: "Individual 10G-EPO	ameters in Table N PMD parame Table 141–[15/1	e 141–15 and Table ters are reused without
Replace empty entries in Table 14 Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table 14 meeting. C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Section with no text SuggestedRemedy	se Status C 41–14 with {TBD}, i P44 Huawei ent Status A	L 44	# <u>189</u>	reference SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a footnote to the colu 141–16 with the following change at a higher pre-FE C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Johnson, John	Response Status C Imn using 10G-EPON para text: "Individual 10G-EPO EC bit error ratio shown in P48 Broadcom	ameters in Table N PMD parame Table 141–[15/1	e 141–15 and Table ters are reused without 6]"
Replace empty entries in Table 14 Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table 14 meeting. C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Section with no text	se Status C 41–14 with {TBD}, i P44 Huawei ent Status A 25G-EPON OLT PM 5. A high power cla	L44 ID receiver shall lass Nx25G-EPC	# 189	reference SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a footnote to the colu 141–16 with the following change at a higher pre-FE Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Johnson, John Comment Type T The TF agreed at the May	Response Status C umn using 10G-EPON para text: "Individual 10G-EPO EC bit error ratio shown in P48 Broadcom Comment Status A y 2018 meeting to not sper but power is defined by Av	ameters in Table N PMD parame Table 141–[15/1 <i>L</i> 1 cify Receive pov	e 141–15 and Table ters are reused without [6]" # <u>99</u> ver, each channel (OMA
Replace empty entries in Table 14 Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table 14 meeting. C/ 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Section with no text SuggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx2 parameters shown in Table 141-1 shall comply with the parameters Table references should be live.	se Status C 41–14 with {TBD}, i P44 Huawei ent Status A 25G-EPON OLT PM 5. A high power cla	L44 ID receiver shall lass Nx25G-EPC	# 189	reference SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a footnote to the colu 141–16 with the following change at a higher pre-FE Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Johnson, John Comment Type T The TF agreed at the May (max). Maximum RX outp	Response Status C umn using 10G-EPON para text: "Individual 10G-EPO EC bit error ratio shown in P48 Broadcom Comment Status A y 2018 meeting to not sper but power is defined by Av	ameters in Table N PMD parame Table 141–[15/1 <i>L</i> 1 cify Receive pov	e 141–15 and Table ters are reused without [6]" # <u>99</u> ver, each channel (OMA
Replace empty entries in Table 14 Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace empty entries in Table 14 meeting. Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Section with no text SuggestedRemedy Add: "A medium power class Nx2 parameters shown in Table 141-1 shall comply with the parameters Table references should be live.	se Status C 41–14 with {TBD}, i P44 Huawei ent Status A 5. A high power cla shown in Table 147	L44 ID receiver shall lass Nx25G-EPC	# 189	reference SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a footnote to the colu 141–16 with the following change at a higher pre-FE Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 Johnson, John Comment Type T The TF agreed at the May (max). Maximum RX outp (max). Refer to johnson_	Response Status C Imm using 10G-EPON para text: "Individual 10G-EPO EC bit error ratio shown in P48 Broadcom Comment Status A y 2018 meeting to not sper but power is defined by Av 3ca_1a_0518, slide 17 for	ameters in Table N PMD parame Table 141–[15/1 <i>L</i> 1 cify Receive pov rerage receive pov background.	e 141–15 and Table ters are reused without [6]" # <u>99</u> ver, each channel (OMA ower, each channel

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/141Page 6 of 42COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawnSC141.5.211/13/2018 7:57:17 PMSORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC 141.6.1 Remein, Duane	Р 49 Нuawei	L 40	# 190	C/ 141 SC 141.6.1 Remein, Duane	P 51 Huawei	<i>L</i> 1	# 192
Comment Type TR Section with no text	Comment Status A			Comment Type E Footnotes for Table 1	Comment Status A 141-17 appear on next page	without a table co	ntinuation header.
parameters shown in T	r class Nx25G-EPON ONU P Fable 141-17. A high power c ly with the parameters shown ld be live. <i>Response Status</i> C	lass Nx25G-EP	ON ONU PMD	creates a continuatio the table. <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIF		e footnotes appear	
C/ 141 SC 141.6.1	P50	L1	# 101		f editor to investigate options		"
Johnson, John	Broadcom			C/ 141 SC 141.6.2		L 2	# 194
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Remein, Duane	Huawei Comment Status D		
•	or Transmitter eye mask defin 1.45, 0.34, 0.38, 0.4} UI. Add <i>Response Status</i> C			stressed sensitivity, r PMDs shall meet the lause 141 ONU PMD SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The sign reflectance, and sign	9 and 20: "The signaling spe reflectance, and signal detect specifications defined in T a bs, per measurement techniq naling speed, operating wave al detect for receivers formir	t for receivers form able 141–19 and T ues defined in 141 elength, overload, s ng part of the ONU	ning part of the ONU able 141–20 for C I.7." stressed sensitivity, PMDs shall meet the
C/ 141 SC 141.6.1	P50	L1	# 100		d in Table 141–19 or Table 1 ques defined in 141.7.	41-20 for Nx25G-	EPON ONU PMDs, per
Johnson, John	F 30 Broadcom	<i>L</i> I	# 100	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			PROPOSED ACCEP	•		
The same ONU transm Nx25G-EPON. The sa	nitter technology used for 100 ame values for RIN15OMA, A			Al for Duane to align			
and Transmitter reflect	tance should be used.			C/ 141 SC 141.6.2	P53	L 7	# 8
SuggestedRemedy				Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Co	mmunicatio	
128 dB/Hz.	or RIN15OMA (max) in Tables or Average launch power of O			Comment Type TR Damage threshold is	Comment Status A not defined in Table 141-11		
	1-18 with a value of -45 dBm. or Transmitter reflectance (ma		-17 and 141-18 with a		om Table 141-11 to "Table 14	41–19 or Table 14	1–20" (2 locations on
Response	Response Status C			page 53)			
ACCEPT.				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
				i/general written C/closed Z/withdrawn		141 141.6.2	Page 7 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC 141.6.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 53 Charter Comr	L 20	# 9	C/ 141 Remein, Du	SC 141.7.4	P 55 Huawei	L 34	# 196
•	Comment Status A	municatio		,		Comment Status D		
Comment Type TR C No 50GBASE-PQG-U2 in T					hrase "any valio	d encoded 256B/257B data s should be explicit.	stream" meant to	imply a scrambled da
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedF		should be explicit.		
It is defined in Table 141–17	7, and should be include	ed in Table 141-	19 as well	••	•	256B/257B encoded and scr	ambled data stre	am (see 1/2 2) "
lesponse Re	esponse Status C			•				am (300 142.2).
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Proposed R	,	Response Status Z		
Add 50GBASE-PQG-U2 to	Table 141-19			REJEC ⁻				
/ 141 SC 141.7	P 55	L3	# 105	This cor	mment was WI	THDRAWN by the comment	ter.	
ohnson, John	Broadcom	23	# 105	Looking	g at .3av, no refe	erence to scrambling was be	eing made.	
omment Type T C	Comment Status A			C/ 141	SC 141.7.6	P 55	L 43	# 103
TBD Corner frequencies she			eceivers (see 75.7) and	Johnson, Jo	ohn	Broadcom		
on 100GBASE-LR4 (see 88	.8.5.3) for 25G and 50G	Freceivers.		Comment T	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Status A		
				OMA te	est procedure is	required.		
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec	commended that	jitter contributions at	SuggestedF	Remedy			
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e.,	10 MHz for 25.7	78125 GBd receiver and	SuggestedF	Remedy	required. edure as defined in 88.8.4.		
"When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e., eiver) are filtered at the	10 MHz for 25.7	78125 GBd receiver and	SuggestedR Use the Response	Remedy e OMA test proc	edure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C		
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e.,	10 MHz for 25.7	78125 GBd receiver and	SuggestedR Use the Response	Remedy	edure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C		
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec esponse Re ACCEPT.	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e., eiver) are filtered at the esponse Status C	10 MHz for 25.7 measurement u	78125 GBd receiver and nit."	SuggestedR Use the Response ACCEP	Remedy OMA test proc PT IN PRINCIPL	edure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C	matting.	
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec esponse Re ACCEPT.	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e., eiver) are filtered at the	10 MHz for 25.7	78125 GBd receiver and	SuggestedR Use the Response ACCEP	Remedy OMA test proc PT IN PRINCIPL	edure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C E.	matting.	# 104
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec esponse Re ACCEPT. 141 SC 141.7 emein, Duane	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e., eiver) are filtered at the esponse Status C P55 Huawei	10 MHz for 25.7 measurement u	78125 GBd receiver and nit."	SuggestedF Use the Response ACCEP Replace	Remedy OMA test proc PT IN PRINCIPL e TBD with "See SC 141.7.7	edure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. e 88.8.4" and use proper for		# [104
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec esponse Re ACCEPT. 141 SC 141.7 emein, Duane comment Type T C	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e., eiver) are filtered at the esponse Status C P55 Huawei Comment Status A	10 MHz for 25.7 measurement u	78125 GBd receiver and nit." # [<u>195</u>]	SuggestedR Use the Response ACCEP Replace C/ 141	Remedy OMA test proc PT IN PRINCIPL e TBD with "See SC 141.7.7 phn	edure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. e 88.8.4" and use proper form <i>P</i> 55		# 104
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec esponse Re ACCEPT. 141 SC 141.7 emein, Duane comment Type T C This sentence seems out of recommended that jitter cor	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e., eiver) are filtered at the esponse Status C P55 Huawei Comment Status A i place "When measuring tributions at frequencies	10 MHz for 25.7 measurement u <i>L</i> 3 g jitter at TP1[i]	78125 GBd receiver and nit." # [<u>195</u>] and TP5[i], it is	SuggestedF Use the Response ACCEP Replace Cl 141 Johnson, Jo Comment T	Remedy OMA test proc PT IN PRINCIPL e TBD with "See SC 141.7.7 phn	edure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C E. e 88.8.4" and use proper for <i>P</i> 55 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A		# <mark>104</mark>
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec Response Re ACCEPT. 2/ 141 SC 141.7 temein, Duane Comment Type T C This sentence seems out of recommended that jitter cor (i.e., {TBD}) are filtered at th	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e., eiver) are filtered at the esponse Status C P55 Huawei Comment Status A i place "When measuring tributions at frequencies	10 MHz for 25.7 measurement u <i>L</i> 3 g jitter at TP1[i]	78125 GBd receiver and nit." # [<u>195</u>] and TP5[i], it is	SuggestedF Use the Response ACCEP Replace Cl 141 Johnson, Jo Comment T	Remedy COMA test proc PT IN PRINCIPL TBD with "See SC 141.7.7 Schn Type T MA test procedu	edure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C E. e 88.8.4" and use proper for <i>P</i> 55 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A		# [<u>104</u>
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec esponse Re ACCEPT. 7 141 SC 141.7 emein, Duane omment Type T C This sentence seems out of recommended that jitter cor (i.e., {TBD}) are filtered at th uggestedRemedy	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e., eiver) are filtered at the esponse Status C P55 Huawei Comment Status A i place "When measuring tributions at frequencies he measurement unit."	10 MHz for 25.7 measurement u <i>L</i> 3 g jitter at TP1[i]	78125 GBd receiver and nit." # [<u>195</u>] and TP5[i], it is	SuggestedF Use the Response ACCEP Cl 141 Johnson, Jo Comment T RIN_OM SuggestedF Use the	Remedy COMA test proc PT IN PRINCIPL e TBD with "See SC 141.7.7 ohn Type T MA test procedu Remedy PRIN20OMA test	edure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C E. e 88.8.4" and use proper for <i>P</i> 55 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A	L 47	L
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec esponse Re ACCEPT. 7 141 SC 141.7 emein, Duane omment Type T C This sentence seems out of recommended that jitter cor (i.e., {TBD}) are filtered at th	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e., eiver) are filtered at the esponse Status C P55 Huawei Comment Status A i place "When measuring tributions at frequencies he measurement unit."	10 MHz for 25.7 measurement u <i>L</i> 3 g jitter at TP1[i]	78125 GBd receiver and nit." # [<u>195</u>] and TP5[i], it is	SuggestedF Use the Response ACCEP Cl 141 Johnson, Jo Comment T RIN_OM SuggestedF Use the	Remedy COMA test proc PT IN PRINCIPL TBD with "See SC 141.7.7 ohn Type T MA test procedu Remedy	edure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C E. e 88.8.4" and use proper for <i>P</i> 55 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A ure is required.	L 47	L
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec esponse Re ACCEPT. 141 SC 141.7 emein, Duane omment Type T C This sentence seems out of recommended that jitter cor (i.e., {TBD}) are filtered at the uggestedRemedy Move to 141.7.12 where it is esponse Re	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e., eiver) are filtered at the esponse Status C P55 Huawei Comment Status A i place "When measuring tributions at frequencies he measurement unit."	10 MHz for 25.7 measurement u <i>L</i> 3 g jitter at TP1[i]	78125 GBd receiver and nit." # [<u>195</u>] and TP5[i], it is	SuggestedF Use the Response ACCEP Cl 141 Johnson, Jo Comment T RIN_ON SuggestedF Use the return lo Response	Remedy Compared of the set of th	eedure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C E. e 88.8.4" and use proper form <i>P</i> 55 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A ure is required. st procedure as definied in 8 <i>Response Status</i> C	L 47	L
Change first sentence to rea "When measuring jitter at T frequencies below receiver 4 MHz for 10.3125 GBd rec esponse Re ACCEPT. / 141 SC 141.7 emein, Duane omment Type T C This sentence seems out of recommended that jitter cor (i.e., {TBD}) are filtered at th uggestedRemedy Move to 141.7.12 where it is	P1[i] and TP5[i], it is rec corner frequencies (i.e., eiver) are filtered at the esponse Status C P55 Huawei Comment Status A i place "When measuring htributions at frequencies he measurement unit."	10 MHz for 25.7 measurement u <i>L</i> 3 g jitter at TP1[i]	78125 GBd receiver and nit." # [<u>195</u>] and TP5[i], it is	SuggestedF Use the Response ACCEP Cl 141 Johnson, Jo Comment T RIN_ON SuggestedF Use the return lo Response	Remedy COMA test proc PT IN PRINCIPL e TBD with "See SC 141.7.7 ohn Type T MA test procedu Remedy PRIN20OMA test	eedure as defined in 88.8.4. <i>Response Status</i> C E. e 88.8.4" and use proper form <i>P</i> 55 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A ure is required. st procedure as definied in 8 <i>Response Status</i> C	L 47	L

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 141 SC 141.7.7 Page 8 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 141 SC 141.7	.8 P56	L 3	# 106	C/ 141 SC 141.7.14.1	P 59	L15	# 201
Johnson, John	Broadco	om		Remein, Duane	Huawei		
Comment Type T	Comment Status	A		Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
	e mask references should SE-LR for 25Bd ONU TX.	be based on 100GB	ASE-LR4 for 25GBd OLT	"Tx_Enable" should be "	Tx_Enable[i]"		
	SE-LR TOF 25B0 UNU TX.			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Change the senten	as to read.			per comment			
"The required trans	mitter pulse shape charac			Response	Response Status C		
the transmitter eye method shall be ac	diagram as shown in Figur	e 86-4 for PQ type F	PMDs, and the test	ACCEPT.			
Response	Response Status (2		C/ 141 SC 141.9	P61	L 28	# 159
ACCEPT IN PRINC	,			Ferretti, Vince	Corning		
	and a manuf			Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
	ce to read: mitter pulse shape charac he transmitter eye diagram			Re-write of of section 14 informative fiber and cal	1.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 1 ble charactertistics	41.9.3 to define	normative and
shall be according				SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 141 SC 141.7	.13.1 P57	L 25	# 197	Normative reference for	dispersion removed from 1	41.9 paragraph.	
Remein, Duane	Huawei	*		Response	Response Status C		
Remein, Duane	Tuawer				•		
	Comment Status	A Contraction of the second seco		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE			
Comment Type T		•	data during Ton to Tcdr.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	E	ce to G.652-201	6.
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we	Comment Status	•	data during Ton to Tcdr.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Ferretti_3ca_1a_11	12.pdf, use "dated" referen		
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to "	Comment Status	arding the Upstream Move the Toff dimer	J.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	E	ce to G.652-201	6. # [<u>160</u>
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to " align with Ton and	Comment Status can be more accurate reg Synchronization Pattern".	arding the Upstream Move the Toff dimer gnal base-line.	J.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Ferretti_3ca_1a_11 Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER	12.pdf, use "dated" referen P 61 Corning Comment Status A	L 42	# 160
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to "	Comment Status can be more accurate rega Synchronization Pattern". Tcdr dimensions not the si	arding the Upstream Move the Toff dimer gnal base-line.	J.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Ferretti_3ca_1a_11 Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER	12.pdf, use "dated" referen P61 Corning <i>Comment Status</i> A 1.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 1	L 42	# 160
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to " align with Ton and Response ACCEPT.	Comment Status can be more accurate reg Synchronization Pattern". Tcdr dimensions not the si Response Status	arding the Upstream Move the Toff dimer gnal base-line.	J.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Ferretti_3ca_1a_11 Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section 14	12.pdf, use "dated" referen P61 Corning <i>Comment Status</i> A 1.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 1	L 42	# 160
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to " align with Ton and Response ACCEPT. Cl 141 SC 141.7	Comment Status can be more accurate reg Synchronization Pattern". Tcdr dimensions not the si Response Status	Arding the Upstream Move the Toff dimer gnal base-line.	nsion line down slightly to	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Ferretti_3ca_1a_11 Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section 14 informative fiber and cat SuggestedRemedy	12.pdf, use "dated" referen P61 Corning <i>Comment Status</i> A 1.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 1	L 42 41.9.3 to define	# 160
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to " align with Ton and Response ACCEPT. CI 141 SC 141.7 Remein, Duane	Comment Status can be more accurate reg. Synchronization Pattern". Tcdr dimensions not the si <i>Response Status</i> (.13.2 <i>P</i> 58	Aarding the Upstream Move the Toff dimer gnal base-line.	nsion line down slightly to	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Ferretti_3ca_1a_11 Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section 14 informative fiber and cat SuggestedRemedy	12.pdf, use "dated" referen P61 Corning <i>Comment Status</i> A 1.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 1 ble charactertistics	L 42 41.9.3 to define	# 160
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to " align with Ton and Response ACCEPT. CI 141 SC 141.7 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Figure 141-4 appea	Comment Status can be more accurate reg Synchronization Pattern". Tcdr dimensions not the si <i>Response Status</i> .13.2 P58 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> ars to redefined TP4[i] and	Move the Toff dimer gnal base-line.	nsion line down slightly to # <u>198</u> lobal) turns on all	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Ferretti_3ca_1a_11 Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section 14 informative fiber and cat SuggestedRemedy Updated table reference	12.pdf, use "dated" referen P61 Corning Comment Status A 1.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 1 ble charactertistics from Table 141.21 to Tabl Response Status C	L 42 41.9.3 to define	# 160
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to " align with Ton and Response ACCEPT. Cl 141 SC 141.7 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Figure 141-4 appea channels at the sar	Comment Status can be more accurate regions Synchronization Pattern". Tcdr dimensions not the sing Response Status .13.2 P58 Huaweing Comment Status Huaweing Comment Status Huaweing Huaweing Comment Status Huaweing Comment Status Huaweing Huawe	Move the Toff dimer gnal base-line.	nsion line down slightly to # <u>198</u> lobal) turns on all	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Ferretti_3ca_1a_11 Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section 14 informative fiber and cat SuggestedRemedy Updated table reference Response	12.pdf, use "dated" referen P61 Corning Comment Status A 1.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 1 ble charactertistics from Table 141.21 to Tabl Response Status C	L 42 41.9.3 to define	# 160
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to " align with Ton and Response ACCEPT. Cl 141 SC 141.7 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Figure 141-4 appea channels at the sar SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status can be more accurate reg. Synchronization Pattern". Tcdr dimensions not the si <i>Response Status</i> .13.2 P58 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> ars to redefined TP4[i] and ne time so measurement of	Move the Toff dimer gnal base-line.	nsion line down slightly to # <u>198</u> lobal) turns on all	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Ferretti_3ca_1a_11 Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section 14 informative fiber and cat SuggestedRemedy Updated table reference Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	12.pdf, use "dated" referen P61 Corning Comment Status A 1.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 1 ble charactertistics from Table 141.21 to Tabl Response Status C	L 42 41.9.3 to define	# 160
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to " align with Ton and Response ACCEPT. CI 141 SC 141.7 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Figure 141-4 appea channels at the sar SuggestedRemedy Change "Tx_Enable Remove TP4[i], ME	Comment Status can be more accurate reg. Synchronization Pattern". Tcdr dimensions not the si <i>Response Status</i> .13.2 P58 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> ars to redefined TP4[i] and ne time so measurement of	Arding the Upstream Move the Toff dimer gnal base-line. <i>L</i> 5 Given Tx_Enable (g of individual channels	asion line down slightly to # <u>198</u> lobal) turns on all s is impossible as shown.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Ferretti_3ca_1a_11 Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section 14 informative fiber and cat SuggestedRemedy Updated table reference Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	12.pdf, use "dated" referen P61 Corning Comment Status A 1.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 1 ble charactertistics from Table 141.21 to Tabl Response Status C	L 42 41.9.3 to define	# 160
Comment Type T In Figure 141-3 we SuggestedRemedy Change "Idles" to " align with Ton and Response ACCEPT. Cl 141 SC 141.7 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Figure 141-4 appea channels at the sar SuggestedRemedy Change "Tx_Enable Remove TP4[i], ME	Comment Status can be more accurate reg Synchronization Pattern". Tcdr dimensions not the si <i>Response Status</i> .13.2 P58 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> ars to redefined TP4[i] and, ne time so measurement co e" to "Tx_Enable[i]" Di to the right (it is not part	Arding the Upstream Move the Toff dimer gnal base-line. <i>L</i> 5 A given Tx_Enable (g of individual channels of the system, TP3 i 's").	asion line down slightly to # <u>198</u> lobal) turns on all s is impossible as shown.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Ferretti_3ca_1a_11 Cl 141 SC 141.91 Ferretti, Vince Comment Type ER Re-write of of section 14 informative fiber and cat SuggestedRemedy Updated table reference Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	12.pdf, use "dated" referen P61 Corning Comment Status A 1.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 1 ble charactertistics from Table 141.21 to Tabl Response Status C	L 42 41.9.3 to define	# 160

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 141 SC 141.91 Page 9 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

V 141 SC 141.92 P 61 L 47 # 161 erretti, Vince Corning	C/ 142 SC 142.1.3.1 P68 L50 # 85 Kramer, Glen Broadcom
omment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Re-write of of section 141.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 141.9.3 to define normative and informative fiber and cable charactertistics	"a concatenation of x bits of SP1 (x is between 1 and 257) and (257-x) bits of SP2" This text is poorly formed, as the first parenthetical expression meant to be an explanatio of x and the second parenthetical expression meant to represent a number.
uggestedRemedy	
Added normative and informative information fiber and cable dispersion uincluding informative table with nominal wavelengths of UW and DW channels	SuggestedRemedy Replace the text with this: " "concatenation of x bits of SP1 and y bits of SP2, where x is
esponse Response Status C	between 1 and 257, and $x + y = 257^{\circ}$ (Show x and y in italics)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT.
See comment #159	C/ 142 SC 142.2 P69 L34 # 45
141 SC 141.93 P62 L1 # 162	Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio
erretti, Vince Corning	Comment Type T Comment Status A
omment Type TR Comment Status A	Figure 142-5 is missing
Re-write of of section 141.9, 141.9.1, 141.9.2 and 141.9.3 to define normative and	SuggestedRemedy
informative fiber and cable charactertistics	Mark it as TBD at this time.
uggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C
Removed Table 141.20 as it should have been in section 141.92. Removed references to splitter and fiber specifications as they are not needed	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
sponse Response Status C	
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	See comment #77
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	See comment #77 C/ 142 SC 142.2 P70 L1 # 77
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Cl 142 SC 142.2 P70 L1 # 77 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #159 142 SC 142.1.3 P66 L52 # 107	CI 142 SC 142.2 P70 L1 # 77 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A Transmit bit order (Figure 142-5) is missing
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #159 142 SC 142.1.3 P66 L52 # 107 aubach, Mark Broadcom	Cl 142 SC 142.2 P70 L1 # 77 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A Transmit bit order (Figure 142-5) is missing SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #159 142 SC 142.1.3 P66 L52 # 107 aubach, Mark Broadcom comment Type T Comment Status A	Cl 142 SC 142.2 P70 L1 # 77 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A Transmit bit order (Figure 142-5) is missing SuggestedRemedy Insert figure 142-5 as shown in kramer_3ca_4_1118.pdf
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #159 142 SC 142.1.3 P66 L52 # 107 aubach, Mark Broadcom <i>formment Type</i> T Comment Status A "Figure 142-1" is not introduced in any preceding text.	Cl 142 SC 142.2 P70 L1 # 77 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A Transmit bit order (Figure 142-5) is missing SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #159 142 SC 142.1.3 P66 L52 # 107 aubach, Mark Broadcom comment Type T Comment Status A "Figure 142-1" is not introduced in any preceding text. uggestedRemedy Editor's choice to add a sentence in the appropriate preceding clause on Page 65 prior to	Cl 142 SC 142.2 P70 L1 # 77 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A Transmit bit order (Figure 142-5) is missing SuggestedRemedy Insert figure 142-5 as shown in kramer_3ca_4_1118.pdf Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 142 SC 142.2 Page 10 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

Laubach, Mark	P 70 Broadcom	L 2	# 108	Cl 142 SC 142.2.2 P70 L38 # 82 Kramer, Glen Broadcom
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type T Comment Status A
There is space for the or shown, it is blank and r	drawing for Figure 142-5 "Tra	nsmit bit orderin	g", but nothing is	Scrambler defined in C49 only scrambles 64-bit blocks of data, not the 66 bits. (The sync headers are not scrambled). Also, we don't say anything about the scrambler synchronization for each upstream burst.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
Provide the figure if ava Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL See comment #77	ailable or an Editor's note mei <i>Response Status</i> C E.	ntioning the inte	ntional absence.	 Replace "Each 66-bit block is scrambled using the scrambling function defined in 49.2.6." with "The payload of each 66-bit block is scrambled using the scrambling function defined in 49.2.6." Add new paragraph following the above sentence:
C/ 142 SC 142.2.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 69 Charter Comm	L 44 nunicatio	# 47	"In the ONU, at the beginning of each burst, the scrambler is initialized with the unscrambled value of IBI_EQ (see 143.3.3.3)." 3) Add a new paragraph at the end of section 142.3.3 Descrambler:
Comment Type TR This subclause has the	Comment Status A total of 3 sentences			"In the OLT, at the beginning of each burst, the descrambler is initialized with the unscrambled value of IBI_EQ (see 143.3.3.3)." Response Response Status C
SuggestedRemedy				ACCEPT.
Change first two senter	nces to read as follows			
	les a 72-bit tx_raw vector into block type fields in Figure 49- 5, and 0x4B.			Cl 142 SC 142.2.4 P70 L52 # 132 Powell, Bill Nokia Nokia Nokia 132 Comment Type ER Comment Status R 132
There are no other exc	eptions listed in this subclaus	e		sentence: using LDPC(16952,14392) FEC, defined
Response	Response Status C	•		SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL				there is no reason to introduce specific LDPC-related notation here; propose to rewrite: using the FEC Encoder specified in 142.2.4.1.
	e "The PCS bit transmission c ion order is illustrated in Figu		n Figure 142–5." to	Response Response Status C REJECT.
C/ 142 SC 142.2.1.1	P 70	L1	# 170	This is the only location where LDPC codeword size is defined in a simple manner
Vey, Jun Shan	ZTE TX			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			
Figure 142-5 is missing				
51				
Figure 142-5 is missing SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C E.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4 Page 11 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142	SC 142.2.4		P 70	L 52	# 135	C/ 142	SC	142.2.4	P 70	L 53	# 134
owell, Bill		I	Nokia			Powell,	Bill		Nokia		
comment T	ype TR	Comment S	tatus R			Comme	nt Type	TR	Comment Status D		
be signi	ficantly improv	e a lot of issues ved by first descr g, and interleaving	ibing the full F	EC matrix, and	ection, and that it could then describing				s apply to various instances generally used to describe	0	
uggestedF	•	, and monoarm	gg	20400000					nto a sequence that is inter		
interest	ed parties) if w	olunteer to re-wr ve could get the s S Word docx, RT	source text file	e for this section	tion with other as it currently exists (o	r			rleaver" and "de-interleaver		
					d parties before our re	whe	re:	Ū	vork" and "reverse omega n	etwork are also	used in these sections
write.			notation oni		a parties before our re	and	U		ponds to de-interleaver	er"	
formats					e text in one of these n to Sections 142.2.4.:	We	think tha	t it would b	e clearer to use interleaver he omega network and reve	and de-interleave	5
esponse		Response St	tatus C			Suggesi	edReme	dy			
REJEC [®] No char	T. nges required a	at this time.				Cha - Ch	ange "in	nstances in terleaver" t	142.2.4.x sections as follow o "de-interleaver" sr" to "interleaver"	vs:	
142 ajduczenia omment T		(Comment S	P 70 Charter Comr	L 52 municatio	# 49	- Ch	ange "or	mega netwo	ork" to "de-interleaver" ga network" to "interleaver"		
				e of LDPC(16952	2,14392) FEC encodin	g. Propose	d Respo	onse	Response Status Z		
IggestedF	Remedv					REJ	ECT.				
Add a n Change	ew Annex 142 "gives an exa	A with the title "E mple of {TBD} FI FEC encoding"			6952,14392) FEC" ample of	This	comme	nt was WIT	HDRAWN by the comment	er.	
Is conte		142.2.4.5 Examp	ole of initial co	ontrol seed seque	ence intended to be	C/ 142 Powell,		142.2.4	Р 70 Nokia	L 53	# 133
esponse ACCEP	T IN PRINCIP	Response St LE.	tatus C			Comme refe		ER non-existin	Comment Status A g section: 142.2.2.5.1		
Add a n interlea		A with the title "E	Encoding exa	nple for LDPC(1	6952,14392) FEC and			edy or remove	reference		
		mple of {TBD} Fl FEC encoding an			ample of	Respon	se		Response Status C		
Move co	ontent from 14	2.2.4.5 into new	Annex.			ACC	EPT IN	PRINCIPL	E.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 142	Page 12 of 42
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed	Z/withdrawn SC 142.2.4	11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1	P 71 Nokia	L 2	# 136	C/ 142 SC Powell, Bill	142.2.4.1	P 71 Nokia	L 3	# 137
<i>Comment Type</i> ER sentence: produced	Comment Status R			Comment Type	ER to channel	Comment Status A encoding is		
uggestedRemedy rewrite: generated b	y the FEC Encoder			SuggestedRemed rewrite: to the		der is		
esponse REJECT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
Not clear what the prop	oosed change achieves.				142.2.4.1	P 71	L 5	# 139
/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1	P 71	L 3	# 138	Powell, Bill		Nokia		
owell, Bill	Nokia			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		
omment Type TR	Comment Status R			sentence:	where M is	the number of parity-check	bits.	
	voluted; it would make most s			SuggestedRemed	ły			
	ecified by an mxn shift-matrix word length: N* = nZ and the			rewrite: wh	ere M is th	e number of "transmitted" p	arity-check bits.	
	I to specify $k = n-m$, and $K^* =$			Response		Response Status C		
	ne definition of the code and i			REJECT.				
	, where K <= K_max <= K*, a nd not transmitted - this way,			Unclear of wh	at the purp	ose of "transmitted" is and	what the change	e achieves.
	encoder. The first M = M* - 5 naining parity-check bits do n				142.2.4.1	P 71		# 143
need a puncturing mod	lule in the encoder). Using thi			Powell. Bill	142.2.4.1	Nokia	214	# [143
parameters P and S.	, <u>-</u>			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		
							but can this be a	
uggestedRemedy				a maximum n	umper of li	formation bits is specified		anv number or is it a
Proposal: specify the fu	ull-length LDPC code in 142.2					nformation bits is specified, ould one also specify a min		
Proposal: specify the fu puncturing and shorten	ing here. Move this to 142.2.4				16, …? Sh			
	ing here. Move this to 142.2.4			multiple of 8, SuggestedRemed	16, …? Sh <i>l</i> y		imum number of	f information bits?
Proposal: specify the fu puncturing and shorten is generally better than	ing here. Move this to 142.2. on p. 71, lines 3-25.			multiple of 8, SuggestedRemed	16, …? Sh <i>l</i> y	ould one also specify a min	imum number of	f information bits?
Proposal: specify the fu puncturing and shorten is generally better than esponse REJECT.	ing here. Move this to 142.2. on p. 71, lines 3-25.	4.3. The descript	ion on p. 75, lines 5-18	multiple of 8, <i>SuggestedRemec</i> discussion an	16, …? Sh <i>l</i> y	ould one also specify a min	imum number of	f information bits?

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 Powell, Bill	P 71 Nokia	L18	# 144	Cl 142 SC 142.2. Powell, Bill		P 74 Jokia	L 48	# 148
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D			Comment Type TR	Comment St			
21	er of parity-check bits after p	uncturing M (M =	= 3072 - 512 = 2560) [.]	Fig. 142-7 - the labe			If the systematic	c part of this
SuggestedRemedy	of pullty offeet bits after p	unotuning, in (in	0012 012 2000),	"codeword" represer	nts the input to the	encoder, ther	n the label "trans	smitted user bits" is
,	already been defined on p. 7	71. line 5 [.] it may r	not be necessary to	inaccurate, as the e "Transmitted Parity				
	the number of transmitte			transmission. At the				
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			SuggestedRemedy				
REJECT.								a second/third figure,
	HDRAWN by the commenter				lockwise interleavin nts; (de)interleaving	g; encoding, a of the parity	i.e., determination	onts; implicit zero- on of the first 10 256-bi smission of the K user
C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1	P71	L 20	# 145	Response	Response Sta	atus C		
Powell, Bill	Nokia			REJECT.				
Comment Type E sentence: shortening	Comment Status R			A specific solution /	set of changes wou	uld be welcom	ne, please.	
SuggestedRemedy Will provide suggested	change before meeting			Cl 142 SC 142.2. Powell, Bill		P 72 Iokia	L 21	# 147
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Comment Type TR right column shifts	Comment St	atus D		
No change at this time.				SuggestedRemedy				
C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1	P 71	L 24	# 146					ermutation. The matrix it of B (repeated shifts).
Powell, Bill	Nokia			Proposed Response	Response Sta	atus Z		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			REJECT.				
21	supports highest code rate l	Rmax = Kmax/Nn	nax = 0.849.	This comment was	WITHDRAWN by th	ne commente	r.	
sentence: The encoder								
sentence: The encoder SuggestedRemedy								
sentence: The encoder SuggestedRemedy please note the differen	ce in the maximum rate; pro ate up to Rmax = Kmax/Nm							
sentence: The encoder SuggestedRemedy please note the differen								

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.2 Page 14 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.	3 P 75	L15	# 149	C/ 142 SC	C 142.2.4.4	P 75	L 45	# 152
Powell, Bill	Nokia			Powell, Bill		Nokia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		
seem to be necessary puncture these. There	bits are sent to the punctu to compute the P 256-bit par is no option for a different pu to include a puncturing block	ity-check bit seq incturing rate, an	uence and then to	make more	sense to sta using an 8-s	f 12 local interleavers not te that the first 10 256-bit pa tage 256x256 reversed omeg	rity-check bit se	egments are de-
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRem	ədy			
Remove puncturing bl Proposed Response						56-bit parity-check bit segme omega network, where each		0
REJECT.	Response Status Z			Response		Response Status C		
REJECT.				ACCEPT IN				
This comment was W	THDRAWN by the commenter	er.		Liss des fall				
C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.	4 P 75	L37	# 150	Use the follo	owing text			
Powell, Bill	Nokia	-••				y-check bit segments are de a network, where each segm		0 0 0
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R				0	a network, where each segn		
	leaver/interleaver is a module				C 142.2.4.4	P 75	L 45	# 151
	d, and a "fixed/pre-defined" cy to imply that a massively par			Powell, Bill		Nokia		
inputs.	to imply that a massively par		needed with 57 256	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy						interleaver given that Fig nake sense to first discuss the sense to fi		
It seems more straigh	tforward to present one de-int	erleaver unit and	then associate the				le panty-check	Dit inteneaver
seeds with the segme	nt indices.			SuggestedRem	•	- I. I. M. J. S. Marsler and a		
Response	Response Status C					eck bit de-interleaver		
REJECT.				Proposed Responder	onse	Response Status Z		
A specific solution / se	et of changes would be welco	me, please.		This comme	nt was WIT	HDRAWN by the commenter		

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 Page 15 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

7 142 SC 142	.2.4.4	P 75	L 50	# 153	C/ 142	SC ·	142.2.4.4	P 76	L 30	# 155
owell, Bill		Nokia			Powell, Bill			Nokia		
Comment Type T	R Comm	nent Status R			Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status R		
stated that the or output from the r output at the both data can be fed t	nega network au ght - the suppor om; all in all this o the right side t	rting figure shows t s is a very vague s to obtain the invers	that data is input that data is input a pecification. Also se at the left side	from the left side and at the top and that it is the statement that the is true in the sense of	intercor as a pa	nnectio rallel-s portant	ons in the e witch follow t to note th	ut it does not contain releva ight interconnection blocks wed be an interconnection b at the parallel-switch is cont	are identical (or lock, that is rep	ne may as well draw thi eated eight times. It is
AND and OR gat			is using hardware	e; it is hard to operate	Suggested	Remed	'y			
SuggestedRemedy					No spe	cific fix	proposed	at this time		
,		re-write of section	142 2 4		Response			Response Status C		
	• •		142.2.4		REJEC	Т.				
Response	Respor	nse Status C								
REJECT.					A speci	fic solu	ution / set c	of changes would be welcon	ne, please.	
A specific solution	n / set of chang	es would be welco	me, please.		<i>Cl</i> 142 Powell. Bill	SC ·	142.2.4.4	Р 76 Nokia	L 46	# 156
7 142 SC 142	.2.4.4	P 76	L10	# 154	,	_	_			
owell, Bill		Nokia			Comment T		T	Comment Status R		
Comment Type T Fig. 142-9 - there	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nent Status A Iraw two interleave	rs that are then re	emoved. Also, for a		t the s		o data inputs, two data outp uch, and introduce notation		
				nterleaved" prior to	Suggested	Remed	ly			
Deinterleaver.	e figure caption	is also misleading,	, as this is the Pa	rity-Check Bit	No spe	cific fig	ure change	e proposed at this time		
SuggestedRemedy					Response			Response Status C		
Remove two cros	sed out interlea	ivers			REJEC	т.				
Response	Respor	nse Status C			A speci	fic solu	ution / set c	of changes would be welcon	ne, please.	
ACCEPT.	, (0300)									
ACCEFT.										

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 Page 16 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4	4 P 76	L 52	# 53	C/ 142 SC 142.2.5	P 81	L10	# 55
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Co	mmunicatio		Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Com	municatio	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
switch is programmed swap of the input bits	ear Figure 142–11 is supposed to be 1, then this switch per , otherwise, the input will be clear which one is the 0 and	erforms a pass-through as sh	nown in Figure	transcoded and scramble more coherent	f bit 257 being one implies ed." could be included whe		
SuggestedRemedy			C C	SuggestedRemedy	" to "(bit 257 is one, indicat	ing that this 257 l	hit block boo boon
	is needed, or skip the refere	nce to the said swit	tch altogether.	transcoded and scramble		ing that this 257-i	DIL DIOCK HAS DEEN
Response	Response Status C			Proposed Response	Response Status Z		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	•			REJECT.			
Remove Figure 142-1	1 and statement "as shown	in Figure 142–11"		This comment was WITH	HDRAWN by the comment	er.	
C/ 142 SC 142.2.4	5 <i>P</i> 77 Nokia	L 2	# 157	C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.1 Remein, Duane	P 81 Huawei	L 48	# 204
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A						
Sentence: and i - (the permutation, an ir	Comment Status A),, 127 - the regular num idex starting at 0 can be use			Comment Type T	Comment Status D r"? This term is undefined.		revisi
Sentence: and i - 0 the permutation, an ir also start at 1.),, 127 - the regular num			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		revisi
Sentence: and i - 0 the permutation, an ir also start at 1. SuggestedRemedy), …, 127 - the regular num ndex starting at 0 can be use			<i>Comment Type</i> T What is a "FEC Delimite	Comment Status D r"? This term is undefined.		revisi
Sentence: and i - 0 the permutation, an ir also start at 1. SuggestedRemedy Rewrite: and i = 0,	0, …, 127 - the regular num ndex starting at 0 can be use …, 127.			Comment Type T What is a "FEC Delimite SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D r"? This term is undefined.		revisi
Sentence: and i - 0 the permutation, an ir also start at 1. SuggestedRemedy), …, 127 - the regular num ndex starting at 0 can be use			Comment Type T What is a "FEC Delimite SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC_CW_D Proposed Response	Comment Status D r"? This term is undefined. ELIM" Response Status O		
Sentence: and i - 0 the permutation, an ir also start at 1. SuggestedRemedy Rewrite: and i = 0, Response	0,, 127 - the regular num ndex starting at 0 can be use , 127. <i>Response Status</i> C			Comment Type T What is a "FEC Delimite SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC_CW_D Proposed Response FEC Parity is an object,	Comment Status D r"? This term is undefined. ELIM" Response Status O FEC delimiter is an object s the value of FEC delimite		M is a constant value
Sentence: and i - 0 the permutation, an ir also start at 1. SuggestedRemedy Rewrite: and i = 0, Response ACCEPT. Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.	o,, 127 - the regular num ndex starting at 0 can be use , 127. <i>Response Status</i> C 5 <i>P</i> 77	eful, but it is not diff	icult to let this index	Comment Type T What is a "FEC Delimite SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC_CW_D Proposed Response FEC Parity is an object, of 0x3CA that represents stream. It makes no sen	Comment Status D r"? This term is undefined. ELIM" Response Status O FEC delimiter is an object s the value of FEC delimite	r. You cannot inse	M is a constant value
Sentence: and i - 0 the permutation, an ir also start at 1. SuggestedRemedy Rewrite: and i = 0, Response ACCEPT. Cl 142 SC 142.2.4. Powell, Bill Comment Type T The description of the the permutation is the function of the stage	o,, 127 - the regular num ndex starting at 0 can be use , 127. <i>Response Status</i> C 5 <i>P</i> 77 Nokia	L6	# 158	Comment Type T What is a "FEC Delimite SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC_CW_D Proposed Response FEC Parity is an object, of 0x3CA that represents stream. It makes no sen	Comment Status D r"? This term is undefined. ELIM" Response Status O FEC delimiter is an object s the value of FEC delimite se at all.	r. You cannot inse	M is a constant value
Sentence: and i - 0 the permutation, an ir also start at 1. SuggestedRemedy Rewrite: and i = 0, Response ACCEPT. Cl 142 SC 142.2.4. Powell, Bill Comment Type T The description of the the permutation is the function of the stage	b),, 127 - the regular num index starting at 0 can be use , 127. <i>Response Status</i> C 5 <i>P</i> 77 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> R e permutation is overly comp e same for all eight stages, i parameter k. Note also the p	L6	# 158	Comment Type T What is a "FEC Delimite SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC_CW_D Proposed Response FEC Parity is an object, of 0x3CA that represents stream. It makes no sen	Comment Status D r"? This term is undefined. ELIM" Response Status O FEC delimiter is an object s the value of FEC delimite se at all.	r. You cannot inse	M is a constant value
Sentence: and i - 0 the permutation, an ir also start at 1. SuggestedRemedy Rewrite: and i = 0, Response ACCEPT. Cl 142 SC 142.2.4. Powell, Bill Comment Type T The description of the the permutation is the function of the stage zeroed bits), and k, re	 b),, 127 - the regular num index starting at 0 can be used index starting at 0 ca	L6	# 158	Comment Type T What is a "FEC Delimite SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC_CW_D Proposed Response FEC Parity is an object, of 0x3CA that represents stream. It makes no sen	Comment Status D r"? This term is undefined. ELIM" Response Status O FEC delimiter is an object s the value of FEC delimite se at all.	r. You cannot inse	M is a constant value
Sentence: and i - 0 the permutation, an ir also start at 1. SuggestedRemedy Rewrite: and i = 0, Response ACCEPT. Cl 142 SC 142.2.4. Powell, Bill Comment Type T The description of the the permutation is the function of the stage zeroed bits), and k, re SuggestedRemedy	 b),, 127 - the regular num index starting at 0 can be used index starting at 0 ca	L6	# 158	Comment Type T What is a "FEC Delimite SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC_CW_D Proposed Response FEC Parity is an object, of 0x3CA that represents stream. It makes no sen	Comment Status D r"? This term is undefined. ELIM" Response Status O FEC delimiter is an object s the value of FEC delimite se at all.	r. You cannot inse	M is a constant value

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.1 Page 17 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.2		L10	# 58	C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.2		L 52	# 18
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Com	municatio		Hajduczeni	a, Marek	Charter Com	municatio	
	Comment Status A nd similar do not exist anymo	ore			t payload vector	Comment Status A - block versus vector - in so r - as vectors. Is there any c	,	
SuggestedRemedy						the field, structure, etc. that		
<i>y</i> ; (1	le 144-8) is meant here? eatCount, SP2_RepeatCount	and SP3 Renea	tCount" to "Count value	Suggested	Remedy			
o = 1	3" - use proper formatting	and of 5_Repea		Readir	g through variou	s locations in the draft, it se	ems block and ve	ector are used
Response	Response Status C				anagbly and we on the draft	could collapse terminology t	o "block" only, wl	hich is more common
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.			Response		Response Status C		
Diego was not implem	nould all be replaced with SP ented completely (- Repeat C th + propagate through) 2 P82 Huawei					 I2 instances) in all instance 	s of "vector" (75	instances) for
Comment Type T	Comment Status A							
Oops! Cmt #459 was	misguided. Apologies to the	e Editor.						
SuggestedRemedy								
Change : "This FIFO holds eithe greater." to: "This FIFO holds SP_	er SP_LENGTH or FEC_PAR LENGTH elements."	ITY_SIZE eleme	nts, whichever is					
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT.								

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.2 Page 18 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.2	P83	L 8	# 86	C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.	2 P83	L 41	# 60
Kramer, C	-	Broadcom	-		Hajduczen		Charter Com		
Commen	t Type T Co.	mment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Weo	ition of SP_LENGTH has only use all caps for cons	tants and buffer name			Given XGMII		so option for running 10Gb/s	in upstream, MII	can be of 25GMII or
proba SP2	ably should be called Spl RepeatCount and SP3_	Length. Also, we do no RepeatCount anymore	ot use field nam	es SP1_RepeatCount,	Suggested	lRemedy			
	edRemedy						s from the 25GMII" to "Input		
	the following definition:					r change is need	ded in NextTxVector where 2	5GMII is listed ex	plicitly.
0001	ine renewing deminieri.				Response		Response Status C		
SpLe	ngth E: integer				ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
The S by the	SpLength variable repres e most recent settings of	f SP1Length, SP2Leng					s from the 25GMII" to "Input ded in NextTxVector where 2		
ONU	(see 144.3.4.4 and 144.	3.4.6).			C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.	3 P84	L10	# 15
Response		ponse Status C			Hajduczen		Charter Com	municatio	
ACCI	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
Use t	he following definition:				FIFO i	mplementations	are typically described using	g push and pop o	perations, i.e., push
SpLe	nath						e end of the FIFO, while pop		
	E: unsigned integer					e had to come t ethods?	ip with "Append" and "GetHe	ad methods inst	ead of using push a
	SpLength variable repres				Suggested	lRemedv			
	e sum of the most recent sioned in an ONU (see 1			and SP3Length		e .Append to .P	Push		
	·				Chang	e .GetHead to .	Рор		
	eed to use signed intege to match new SpLength		ected to be a ne	egative value. Update	Proposed	Response	Response Status Z		
	1 9		• • •		PROP	OSED REJECT			
C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.2	P83	L14	# 83	This c	omment was W	ITHDRAWN by the comment	er.	
Kramer, (Broadcom					,		
Commen	<i>, , , , , , , , , ,</i>	mment Status A							
	dex used in different plac sents the intex of a sync								
repre	sents index of an individ	ual sync pattern 257b	block and can r	ange from 0 to a few					
	red. While not a technica	al error, it just makes a	confusing spec	.					
	edRemedy								
in C1 C142		of "SpIndex" with "Sp	Seq" for SP sec	quence. Keep SpIndex in					
Response	e Res	ponse Status C							
ACCI	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.								
In C1	44, replace all instances	of "SpIndex" with "Sp	Seq"						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3 Page 19 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2	2. 5.3 P	84	_43	# 16	C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.4	4.1	P 84	L 52	# 13
Hajduczenia, Marek	Cha	rter Communica	tio		Hajduczenia	a, Marek		Charter Comr	nunicatio	
Comment Type T	Comment Statu	s A			Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment	Status A		
256B/257B transco	n definition is not technic oding", but rather perforr 6B/257B-encoded block	ms transcording				ot need to be m			not mentioned rest are spliced toge	eally anywhere, so it ether, it is all that
SuggestedRemedy					Suggestedl	Remedy				
To	rms 64B/66B to 256B/2				Consid				a single 72-bit veo nce in 142.2.1 is r	ctor" eally needed - seems
This function trans- block	codes four 64B/66B-end	coded blocks int	o a single 256	B/257B-encoded	Response		Response S	Status C		
Response	Response Status	s C			ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			
ACCEPT.					•	e the first instar formatting.	ice of "tx_raw v	vector" to "tx_ra	aw vector (see 49	0.2.13.2.2)" and use
C/ 142 SC 142.2			_44	# 17	C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.	11	P 84	L54	# 67
łajduczenia, Marek	Cha	rter Communica	tio		Hajduczenia			Charter Comr	• •	# 01
Comment Type T	Comment Statu	s A			•		Comment		indinioatio	
	on: takes an array of for		-bit blocks - th	e function does not	Comment 7	51			P/257P block"2	64B/66B describes
verify whether bloc SuggestedRemedy	ks are scrambled or not	I.			encodir		a line code, no			t or 66-bit long, not
Change		alua			Suggestedl	Remedy				
takes an array of it	our scrambled 66-bit blo	ICKS			Change	e all instances of	of "256B/257B	block" to "256E	3/257B-encoded I	block" (3 instances, it
takes four 64B/66E	3-encoded blocks					to the size and			_	
Response	Response Status	6 C							B-encoded block B/257B-encoded	" (4 instances) block" (1 instance)
ACCEPT.					Proposed F	Response	Response S	Status Z		
					REJEC	T.				
					This co	mment was W		the commont	or.	

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.1	P 84	L 54	# 14	C/ 142 SC 14	2.2.5.4.1	P 86	L 32	# 66
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Com	municatio		Hajduczenia, Marek		Charter Com	municatio	
Comment Type TR Comm	nent Status A			Comment Type 1	R Commer	nt Status A		
"at the end of a transmission" - u Upstream burst?	nclear what transr	nission is being r	referred to in here?	TxInput<256:0>,	with 257 bit indica	ting whether dat	a is scrambled or	ded and placed into not. However,
SuggestedRemedy					s then scrambled a) and then nothing			
Please clarify whether an upstrea altogether else	am transmission sl	lot is meant here	, or something	operations are p	erformed on TxInpl e it is FEC encoded	ut vector. Is the	transcoded vector	expected to be
Response Respon	nse Status C			imply: "Four 64B	66B blocks are ac	cumulated, scra		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					k and copied to the erations, though (fire		en transcoding) is	questionable,
Change				though - transco of bit stream ran	ding maps between domization after w	n well known see	quences, while scr does not make m	ambling adds a level nuch sense IMO. I
at the end of a transmission					e should be first tra ien scrambled, and			nto a single 256 bit
to				SuggestedRemedy				
at the end of an upstream burst				in Figure 142–13	3, in state PROCES	S_DATA, chang	ge the following op	perations
C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.1	P86	L11	# 64		<= Transcode(XBI <= Scramble(XBUF			
lajduczenia, Marek	Charter Com	municatio		to model				
Comment Type T Comm	nent Status A			to read				
Likely wrong name of the block: (72-bit) from xGMII and only enc ENCODE() function. Note also definitions of variables	ode them after tha	t, in ACCUMULA	TOR state, using	TxInput<256:0>	<= Transcode(XBU <= Scramble(XBU ical order of assign	FFER[3:0])	Input vector, i.e.,	first we transcode and
state these are 72-bit vectors.					UFFER with the re write the resulting (•		•
SuggestedRemedy Change WAIT_FOR_66B to WA	IT_FOR_72B state	e name, since is	reflects more correctly		e following states.	scialitibleu) valu		
what happens here				Change				
Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	nse Status C				locks are accumula k and copied to the	,	, and transcoded i	nto a single
Change WAIT_FOR_66B to WA	IT_FOR_VECTOR	state name		to	-			
					locks are accumula k and copied to the		d, and scrambled i	nto a single
				Response	Response	e Status C		
				ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.			
				Comment #544 PROCESS_DAT	from Spokane was ʿA, change	not implemente	d properly. In Fig	142-13, in State
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/edi	•	• •		6		C/ 1/	42	Page 21 of 42

TTTE. Treteoninioal requirea Errealional requirea Orregene		Si 142	T ugo ZT OT HZ
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 142.2.5.4.1	11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

TxInput<256:0> <= Transcode(XBUFFER[3:0]) XBUFFER[3:0] <= Scramble(XBUFFER[3:0])	<i>Cl</i> 142 SC 142.2.5.4.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 85 Charter Communica	L25 # 21
to	<i><i><i>N</i></i></i>	<i>comment Status</i> R ch transition of the CLK_OUT	to True"
XBUFFER[3:0] <= Scramble(XBUFFER[3:0]) TxInput<256:0> <= Transcode(XBUFFER[3:0])	SuggestedRemedy Simplify to "On each CLK_C		
C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.2 P85 L16 # 19 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Charter Communicatio Hatta Science Hatta Sciene Hatta Science Hat		esponse Status C	
Comment Type T Comment Status D revisit There is no such thing as "FEC parity codeword" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC parity" or "FEC codeword parity" - there are two instances in the draft where this term exists	CLK_OUT is defined as clear trigger is. Even if in the intro	duction text, we want to treat	so we need to be clear on what the CLK_OUT as a clock, we still falling edge, or both edges (like
Proposed Response Response Status O			
In 142.2.5.4.2, change "FEC parity codeword" to "PARITY_STAGING_BUFFER" In 142.2.5.4.3, remove "indicating a FEC parity codeword needs to be inserted in the data stream,", and change "257-bits of the parity" to 257-bits"			
C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.3 P85 L 25 # 20 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Charter Communicatio Charter Communicatio Charter Communicatio			
Comment Type T Comment Status A Unnecessary detail in the summary "from the TX_FIFO or FEC Encoder"			
SuggestedRemedy Strike			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.			

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.3 Page 22 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142	50	142.2.5.4.3	P85	L 2 7	# 24	towa
Hajduczer			Charter Com		# 24	PMA
Comment		т	Comment Status D			revisit
the wh needs If the r beginr	nole pur only to retrieve	pose of the s outline the o d 258-bit blo a transmissio	uch like blow by blow rea SD to begin with: people l operation, and not read or ck is equal to SP[0] and T on, SIGNAL.request is set to	know how to read ut the SD as it ope Fransmitting is Fal	conditions and the erates: lse, indicating the	text Commen PMA 15, a using some
be turnec 258-bi	l on, an it block	d the lower 2 is	257-bits of the 258-bit bloo	ck are sent to the	PMA. If the retrieve	ed Suggest I dor
PMA_ reques bits of	SIGNA st is set the	L. to False ind	icating that the laser need	ds to be turned of	f, and the lower 25	PMA
indica parity	ting a F	EC ord needs to	he PMA. If the retrieved 2 be inserted in the data st		_ /	
STAG data, t	ING_B	UFFER and ser	sent to the PMA. In all oth			C/ 142 Hajducze
Suggested	dRemed	dy				Commer Wroi
Chang	ge to rea	ad:				Suggeste
transn the ref	nissing, trieved 2	laser is turn 258-bit block	ck indicates the start of th ed off and data is being s indicates the end of the turned off and end of the	ent towards the P burst and the ONI	MA for transmissic J is currently	on. If impa

transmissing, the laser is turned off and end of the burst delimiter is sent towards the PMA for transmission. If the retrieved 258-bit block indicates the FEC parity placeholder, the calculated FEC parity is sent towards the PMA for transmission, irrespective of the actual

state of the laser. Otherwise, data from the TX_FIFO is sent towards the PMA for

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Change to read:

transmission.

If the retrieved 258-bit block indicates the start of the burst and the ONU is currently not transmitting, laser is turned >>[off]->[on]<< and data is being sent towards the PMA for transmission. If the retrieved 258-bit block indicates the end of the burst and the ONU is currently transmitting, the laser is turned off and end of the burst delimiter is sent towards the PMA for transmission. If the retrieved 258-bit block indicates the FEC parity placeholder, the calculated FEC parity and 10 bits of burst delimiter bit pattern are sent

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

vards the PMA for transmission. Otherwise, data from the TX FIFO is sent towards the A for transmission.

C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.4.3	P 85	L 28	# 110
Laubach, M	/lark	Broadcom		

ent Type T Comment Status A

A_SIGNAL.request is used in this paragraph. However, when defined on page 91, line a PMA_SIGNAL[i].request form is used. We should consider being consistent with ng the '[i]' form in this clause. Also, the use of '[i]' should be defined/explained newhere, similar to PMD primitives on Page 40, line 37, clause 141.31. Not sure what to inside SD Figure 142-15, page 88, line 22.

stedRemedy

on't have proposed text at this time. If not cleaned up by other comment(s), suggest ling an Editor's note somewhere that the mentions the need for consistency, etc. for the A * primitives.

-	D	-
Response	Response Status	С

CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

e comment #24

C/ 142	SC 142.2.5.4.3	B P88	L11	# 22
Hajduczenia	, Marek	Charter Comr	nunicatio	
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status A		

ong state name: WAIT_FOR_257B

stedRemedy

ange to WAIT_FOR_CLK to avoid encoding block size in state names - it does not pact state diagram operation

Response Status C ise

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to WAIT_FOR_BLOCK

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.4.3 Page 23 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 86 Charter Communica	L 45 atio	# 23	C/ 142 Kramer. Gler	SC 142.3.5	.2	P 90 Broadcom	L12	# 88
•	ent Status R			Comment Ty		Commont	Status A		
Note to Editor: text and figures ext								ca use names in	all caps.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe					
It is not clear what text and what fi state diagrams, definitions, and ad what is really intended to be olace Response Response	companying text. The c			Add the t RX_BUF TYPE: A	ollowing de FER rray of 10 bi BUFFER is			most recently rec	ceived from the PMA
REJECT.				Change	ry buffer to		roughoyut the d	roft	
AI for Mark and Bill to cover FEC	Decoder during the rew	rite the FEC sec	ction.	Response		Response	0,	nan.	
C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P89 Charter Communica	L18	# 26	•	IN PRINCI				
	ent Status D			Per com name sty		th proper capita	lization for varia	ble, i.e., RxBuffe	er + update other buffer
SuggestedRemedy Change definition to read: "See 14		•		Cl 142 Kramer, Gler	SC 142.3.5	.2	P 90 Broadcom	L14	# 80
5	se Status W	e		Comment Ty Unused			Status A	ames	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				SuggestedRe	emedy				
Copy definition from 142.3.5.1 to flink live.	142.2.5.1. ln 142.3.5.1,	use "See 142.2	.5.1." and make	2) Delete	definition o	f FecDecodeFa f fecDecodeSuc	ceed		
AI for Duane and Mark to look at t	his for 11/14.							FecDecodeFailu with FecDecodeS	
C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 89 Charter Communica	L 30	# 27	Response ACCEPT		Response	Status C		
	ent Status A								
SuggestedRemedy Strike the editorial note									
	se Status C								
Change FEC_CW_SIZE in SD to	FEC_CW_SZ.								

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.2 Page 24 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.4.1 P92 L18 # 111	Cl 142 SC 142.4 P93 L 39 # 112
Laubach, Mark Broadcom	Laubach, Mark Broadcom
Comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
There is space for the drawing for Figure 142-18 "OLT Synchronizer state diagram", but nothing is shown, it is blank and no editor's note. Also shouldn't the "S" be lower case?	On the transmit side, the EBD is sent outside (after) the FEC codeword and not processed by the FEC encoder. On the receive side, the EBD must not be processed by
SuggestedRemedy	FEC_Decode(). An alteration of the state transitions is needed in this SD.
Provide the figure if available or an Editor's note mentioning the intentional absence	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W	Change the title of box "CHECK_EBD" to "FEC_DECODE". Move the END_OF_BURST box to the left and extend the left side of the CHECK IDLE box to the left. Move the arrow
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	labled "PMAUDI[i] = EBD" to the left and extend the top so that it is now connecting
Mark text and figure in RED to attract attentiion, Editor does not have a figure to place at this time. I believe Duane was supposed to contribute these missing pieces.	CHECK_IDLE with END_OF_BURST. Change the conditions from "PMAUDI[i] = EBD" to "RxClk * !RxIdle * PMAUDI[i] = EBD". Change the label on the arrow from CHECK_IDLE to FEC_DECODE from "RxClk * !RxIdle" to "else". Change the remaining "else" under "FEC_DECODE" to "UCT".
*** See remein_3ca_3_1118.pdf for the appropriate figure	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Change the title of box "CHECK_EBD" to "FEC_DECODE".
	Move the END_OF_BURST box to the left and extend the left side of the CHECK_IDLE box to the left.
	Move the arrow labled "PMAUDI[i] = EBD" to the left and extend the top so that it is now connecting CHECK_IDLE with END_OF_BURST.
	Change the conditions from "PMAUDI[i] = EBD" to "RxClk * !RxIdle * PMAUDI[i] = EBD".
	Change the label on the arrow from CHECK_IDLE to FEC_DECODE from "RxClk * !RxIdle" to "RxClk * !RxIdle * PMAUDI[i] != EBD * PMAUDI[i] != SBD".
	Change the remaining "else" under "FEC_DECODE" to "UCT".
	AI: need still to cover the case of failed EBD detection (Mark?) - may also put an editorial note under the figure.

C/ 142 SC 142.4

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 Powell, Bill	SC 143.2.5	Р 103 Nokia	L11	# 121
Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status R		MCRS
Figure 1	43-6 still shows	s four 25 Gb/s channels designannel bonding, with peak ag		UC1, UC2, and UC-3 in
SuggestedF	Remedy			
		2 and UC3 from the diagram, e two 25 Gb/s channels in		UC0 & UCn, defining
Response		Response Status C		
REJEC ⁻	Т.			
	are examples in ocated in 143.4	a generic section of MCRS c	escription. All N	x25G-EPON specific
presenta channel requiren 2."	ations there wa independent (i nent that for P8	ittsburgh meeting: "During the s a general consensus to ma e., upper number of channel 02.3ca PHYs, the number of	ke the entire MP s unspecified) ar channels shall b	RS specification nd make a formal be equal to either 1 or
C/ 143	SC 143.2.5	P103	L11	# 115
Laubach, Ma	ark	Broadcom		
Comment T		Comment Status R		MCRS
and text	need to catch	n 100 to 50 Gb/s and chanels up with this. Page 103, Figu age 105, Line 3 Figure 143-8,	e 143-6, Page 1	03 Line 42, Page 104
SuggestedF	Remedy			
		nent round suggest adding ar on) indicating that this work r		
Response		Response Status C		
REJEC	Т.			
	are examples in ocated in 143.4	a generic section of MCRS of	escription. All N	x25G-EPON specific
presenta channel	ations there wa independent (i	Itsburgh meeting: "During the s a general consensus to ma e., upper number of channel 02.3ca PHYs, the number of	ke the entire MP s unspecified) ar	RS specification nd make a formal

C/ 143	SC 143.	2.5 P10	03 L41	# <u>122</u>
Powell, Bill		Nokia		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status	Α	MCRS
The para	agraph bel	ow figure 143-6 still refers	s to	

"four chanels with instantaneous transmission rate of 25, 50, 75, or 100 Gb/s..."

SuggestedRemedy

Change last sentence in this paragraph to read:

"For example, a MAC instance connected to an MCRS with two channels of 25 Gb/s each can achieve an instantaneous transmission rate of 25 or 50 Gb/s by varying, in real time, the number of channels that are bonded to send data from a single LLID."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

These are examples in a generic section of MCRS description. All Nx25G-EPON specific stuff is located in 143.4

Per TF minutes from Pittsburgh meeting: "During the discussion following the above two presentations there was a general consensus to make the entire MPRS specification channel independent (i.e., upper number of channels unspecified) and make a formal requirement that for P802.3ca PHYs, the number of channels shall be equal to either 1 or 2."

In Figure 143–18, remove "..." at the PHY level.

C/ 143	SC 143.2.5.1	P103	L 47	# 171
Wey, Jun Sł	han	ZTE TX		

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

This clause gives an example of dynamic channel bonding using the partially overlapping envelopes scenario in Fig 143-6. It would be helpful to readers if this fact is mentioned.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the sentence:

"The dynamic channel bonding is achieved by interleaving data belonging to a single LLID (i.e., data from a single MAC instance) over multiple envelopes on multiple MCRS channels, as illustrated in Figure 143–7."

To the following:

"The dynamic channel bonding is achieved by interleaving data belonging to a single LLID (i.e., data from a single MAC instance) over multiple envelopes on multiple MCRS channels. Figure 143–7 illustrates a dynamic channel bonding example based on the partially overlapping envelopes scenario in Figure 143-6."

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 143	Page 26 of 42
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 143.2.5.1	11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 1	43.3.2	P 110	L 54	# 116	C/ 143	SC 143.3.3	P 114	L 30	# 118
_aubach, Mark		Broadcom			Laubach, M	ark	Broadcom	1	
Comment Type	TR Co	mment Status D			Comment 7	ype T	Comment Status A		
112 line 17, ye		d here in the text, but a vn in Table 143-4, 143- vh is K2			122 line	30, Clause 143	" needs to be changed to .3.4.	o the appropriate fig	ure title. Same for Page
SuggestedRemed					Suggested	-			
		s 46 and 47 Table 143-	3 to define bit 4	6 as F and bit 47 as K		me of submitting	g this comment, I don't k	now what the figure	title should be.
Change "Rese	erved" to the 80		nis is being used	outside the standard".	Response ACCEF	T IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
Proposed Respon	se Res	ponse Status W			Use "M	CRS transmit fu	nctional block diagram" f	or Figure 143-12	
• •	ACCEPT IN PR	,			Use "M	CRS receive fun	ctional block diagram" fo	or Figure 143-15	
0	·	s 46 and 47 Table 143-			C/ 143 Remein, Du	SC 143.3.3.4 ane	P 116 Huawei	L 22	# 207
AI for Mark to	come up with the	ne .3-approved languge	e for allocation of	f bits for external use.	Comment T	vpe E	Comment Status R		
C/ 143 SC 1	43.3.2.1	P 112	L 40	# 117		grammar			
aubach, Mark		Broadcom			Suggested	•			
For consistend Type" doesn't	cy, the terms he	mment Status A ere should match the te ontrol Code" as defined			change "All or s	ome number of	lower bits of EnvPam" to EnvPam lower bits"):	
		ency.			Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemed	y e for consistenc	M			REJEC	Т.			
		-			Text rea	ads fine as it is.			
Response ACCEPT IN P		ponse Status C			C/ 143	SC 143.3.3.5	P117	L 37	# 78
ACCEPTINE	RINCIPLE.				Kramer, Gle		Broadcom		# 78
		"Start Control Code" +	change "R" to "	reserved" in Table	,			•	
143–4, Table 143–5, and Table 143–6				channe	n definition of Er	Comment Status A nvStartHeader() is incorri wer of 2 and introduced			
					Suggested	Remedy			
					change	d code in red.	nown in kramer_3ca_5_1 "int" and add a return typ	·	
					"EQ En	vContHeader(in	it col)"		
					Response ACCEF	т.	Response Status C		
	•			T/technical E/editorial G SE STATUS: O/open W/v	0	7/withdrown		143 2143.3.3.5	Page 27 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 143.3.3 Kramer, Glen	3.5 P118 Broadcom	L 6	# 79	C/ 143 S Hajduczenia, M	C 143.4.1.3.2 larek	P 130 Charter Comr	L 30 nunicatio	# 30
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type	e T	Comment Status A		
instead of wCol to be	GetFillerEQ, only code is provide e consistent with other functions			This is not representat		sented by 1-bit integers - a	an integer requir	es 1 bit for sign
is missing too.				SuggestedRem	nedv			
SuggestedRemedy Add function definition	on and modify the function code	as shown in k	amer_3ca_6_1118.pdf.	Strike "If th by 1-bit inte		s implemented, the varial	bles rRow and w	Row are represented
Response	Response Status C			Response	F	Response Status C		
ACCEPT.				•	N PRINCIPLE.			
C/ 143 SC 143.4. Hajduczenia, Marek	1.3.1 P130 Charter Comm	L 13 nunicatio	# 29		mization is imple	emented, the variables rR	ow and wRow a	re represented by 1-bit
•				integers."				
Comment Type T Definitions need sor	Comment Status A ne back reference to where the g	given values a	e first defined	to "If this optin unsigned ir		emented, the variables rR	ow and wRow a	re represented by 1-bit
SuggestedRemedy				unsigned in	itegers.			
Insert the following t	ovt under 1/2 / 1 2							
5	nstants, variables, and functions	, see 143.3.3 (trasmit direction) and					
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.							
Use the following tex	xt							
For definitions of con 143.3.4 (receive dire	nstants, variables, and functions action).	, see 143.3.3 (transmit direction) and					

C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.3.2

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 143 SC 143.4.1.3.3 P130 L35 # 31	C/ 143 SC 143.4.4.3 P131 L11 # 32					
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio	Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio					
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A					
There is very little value on specyfing the ENV_RX values in such an unclear manner. We should specify the maximum value and leave any optimizations for implementers to figure	Given that 25GMII and XGMII have the same width and operate only on different clock rates, there is no need to adjust MCRS operation for 10Gb/s					
out	SuggestedRemedy					
SuggestedRemedy	Strike 143.4.4.3 and associated subclauses					
Strike 143.4.1.3.3, use the maximum value specified in 143.3.4.3 (64)	Response Response Status C					
Response Response Status C	ACCEPT.					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 144 SC 144.1.4.1 P138 L1 # 172					
Strike 143.4.1.3.3, use the maximum value specified in 143.3.4.3 (64).	Wey, Jun Shan ZTE TX					
In 143.3.4.3, in ENV_RX,	Comment Type TR Comment Status D					
change "The maximum number of rows is 64, as determined by the size of EPAM field in Envelope	In the Layered diagram, there's OAM function between MAC Client and MPMC. It seems the OAM function should also be shown in Fig 144-4, but it's not.					
Header (see 143.3.2). For some applications, fewer rows may be sufficient (see application- specific ENV_RX definition in 143.3.3.2)."	SuggestedRemedy					
to	Discuss and clarify					
"The number of rows is 64, as determined by the size of EPAM field in Envelope Header (see 143.3.2)."	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.					
On page 116/22, change						
All or some number of lower bits of EnvPam are also used as the row index for the	OAM is already covered in Clause 56.					
ENV_RX buffer into which the received data is to be written (see 143.3.4).	Cl 144 SC 144.1.4.1 P138 L34 # 76					
То	Kramer, Glen Broadcom					
10	Comment Type T Comment Status D					
EnvPam is also used as the row index for the ENV_RX buffer into which the received data is to be written (see 143.3.4).	When we define primitive abbreviations MCSI/MCSR, MCII/MCIR, and MADI/MADR, we need to be more precise with the arguments. We only use operand_list in our state					
C/ 143 SC 143.4.4.1 P131 L7 # 33	diagrams, while the base definitions of MA_DATA and MA_CONTROL include additional arguments.					
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio	SuggestedRemedy					
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Expand the definitions of MCSI/MCSR, MCII/MCIR, and MADI/MADR to include the list of					
Given that 25GMII and XGMII operate using the same set of primitives, everything we need is alreday covered in 143.3.1.1, specifically in Table 143–1 and Table 143–2	arguments and add cross-references to the base definitions of MA_DATA in clause 4 and MA_CONTROL in Clause 32. Use the text as shown in kramer_3ca_3_1118.pdf.					
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W					
Strike 143.4.4.1 and 143.4.4.2	PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
Response Response Status C						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144 SC 144.1.4.1 Page 29 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:17 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

	144.2	P 140	L 8	# 173	C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.	-	L 32	# 209
Vey, Jun Shan		ZTE TX			Remein, Duane	Huawei		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		
REPORT Gen shown in Figu		Reception Process functional 3 or 144-4.	block is describe	ed in the text, but not	EQT is used but neve	er defined.		
uggestedRemed	lv				WAKE UP FOLKS !: t	his definition points out the fac	ce that EQT chan	ges based on xMII rate
Discuss and c					SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Respon PROPOSED / Remove item	ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ge 140						
					Proposed Response	Response Status W		
					PROPOSED ACCEP	1		
					Add new definitions ir	n 1.4xxx (editor to find the righ	t place), as follow	/S
					Multipoint MAC Contr	surement of time for time-rela ol. Each EQT is equal to the t nd the PCS across 25GMII, a	ime required to tr	ansmit one EQ
					EQ: The unit of meas transfers, i.e., 72 bits.	urement of volume of informa	tion. Each EQ is	equal to two 25GMII
					Add EQT into abbrevi	ations in 1.5		
					that EQT is ALWAYS the OLT is lined to the clock. All times (times expressed in EQT. Th	pecifically to represent EQ tin 2.56 ns (see comment #378 e 25Gb/s TX clock and in the 0 stamp, startTime, laserOn/Off here is nothing that ever needs te-dependent breaks most sta	from San Diego). ONU it is locked t times) are linked s to be expressed	LocalTime counter in o 25Gb/s receive to this clock, so are in time units of 6.4 ns
					Rather than add EQT better to define it as a	/EQ as a constant and embed a new unit.	ld somewhere in	Clause 144/143, it is

C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.1

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

	C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.6 P142 L35 # 214
emein, Duane Huawei	Remein, Duane Huawei
comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
This definition is for RTTdelta not RTT.	What does "MCIR[PLD] refer to? Presumably only MCIRs arriving on the PLID but this is
SuggestedRemedy	never explained. Furthermore per Fig 144-3 the Control Multiplexer is fed from the ONU Registration Process, how can the Reg-Reg happen before the PLID had been assigned in
Change:	Discovery? In INSERT_TIMESTAMP is a malformed assignment action "Timestamp =
"The RTT value" to:	LocalTime + RTT[PLID]" but RTT is not available to the ONU which is required to
"The RTTdelta value"	implement the SD so I'm left wondering how this can occur? Lastly 144.2 claims to be
Proposed Response Response Status W	"Protocol-independent", and PLID is only associated with MPCP.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy
Der comment i apply italies to variable nome	Remove "[PLID]" in exit from WAIT_FOR_MPCPDU.
Per comment + apply italics to variable name.	Change the definition of RTT on pg 141 from: "RTT
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.3 P141 L29 # 211	TYPE: 24-bit unsigned integer
Remein, Duane Huawei	This variable holds the measured Round Trip Time to the ONU. The RTT value is
omment Type T Comment Status D	represented in units of EQT." to: "RTT[]
Given that timestampDrift does not appear in the indirectly referenced SD we seem to be	TYPE: 24-bit unsigned integer
sending the reader on a wild goose chase; "(see ONU Registration state diagram in	In the OLT this variable holds the measured Round Trip Time to the ONU (in units of
144.3.5.8)". A better reference is needed.	EQT) and is referenced via the PLID. In the ONU this variable is always set to zero."
uggestedRemedy	Globally replace (case sensitive, whole word) "RTT" with "RTT[PLID]"
Change:	Proposed Response Response Status W
"(see ONU Registration state diagram in 144.3.5.8)" to "(see DeregistrationTrigger in 144.3.5.3, Figure 144–22, and Figure 144-23)"	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Proposed Response Response Status W	Since the comment touches on multiple items, a few detailed explanations are in order
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	*** What does "MCIR[PLD] refer to? Presumably only MCIRs arriving on the PLID but this
PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # [212]	is never explained.
	is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple
144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 emein, Duane Huawei	is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 temein, Duane Huawei	is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple
Cl 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 emein, Duane Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status D The variable "operand_list" has multiple indirect definitions and is thus ambiguous.	is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 Remein, Duane Huawei H	is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get PLID instance information from MCIR[PLID]() primitive. We use the same approach in
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 temein, Duane Huawei Huawei Huawei Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status D The variable "operand_list" has multiple indirect definitions and is thus ambiguous. SuggestedRemedy Provide a concise definition in 144.2.1.3 for this context such as: Huawei	is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get PLID instance information from MCIR[PLID]() primitive. We use the same approach in many places. For example, in C143, MCRS Input SD: MCRS_CTRL[wCol].Request() – is
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 Remein, Duane Huawei H	is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get PLID instance information from MCIR[PLID]() primitive. We use the same approach in many places. For example, in C143, MCRS Input SD: MCRS_CTRL[wCol].Request() – is a request received for channel "wCol".
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 emein, Duane Huawei comment Type T Comment Status D The variable "operand_list" has multiple indirect definitions and is thus ambiguous. SuggestedRemedy Provide a concise definition in 144.2.1.3 for this context such as: "operand_list" A set of parameters carried in the payload of an MPCPDU." Add xRef in 144.3.5.3 and 144.3.6.3	is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get PLID instance information from MCIR[PLID]() primitive. We use the same approach in many places. For example, in C143, MCRS Input SD: MCRS_CTRL[wCol].Request() – is a request received for channel "wCol". **** Furthermore per Fig 144-3 the Control Multiplexer is fed from the ONU Registration
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 Lemein, Duane Huawei Huawei Provide a concise definition in 144.2.1.3 for this context such as: "operand_list A set of parameters carried in the payload of an MPCPDU." Add xRef in 144.3.5.3 and 144.3.6.3 Proposed Response Response Status W	is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get PLID instance information from MCIR[PLID]() primitive. We use the same approach in many places. For example, in C143, MCRS Input SD: MCRS_CTRL[wCol].Request() – is a request received for channel "wCol".
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 Remein, Duane Huawei Huawei Huawei # 212 Comment Type T Comment Status D The variable "operand_list" has multiple indirect definitions and is thus ambiguous. SuggestedRemedy Provide a concise definition in 144.2.1.3 for this context such as: "operand_list" A set of parameters carried in the payload of an MPCPDU." Add xRef in 144.3.5.3 and 144.3.6.3 Add xRef in 144.3.5.3 Add xRef in 144.3.5.3	is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get PLID instance information from MCIR[PLID]() primitive. We use the same approach in many places. For example, in C143, MCRS Input SD: MCRS_CTRL[wCol].Request() – is a request received for channel "wCol". **** Furthermore per Fig 144-3 the Control Multiplexer is fed from the ONU Registration Process, how can the Reg-Req happen before the PLID had been assigned in Discovery?
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 Lemein, Duane Huawei Huawei Provide a concise definition in 144.2.1.3 for this context such as: "operand_list A set of parameters carried in the payload of an MPCPDU." Add xRef in 144.3.5.3 and 144.3.6.3 Proposed Response Response Status W	is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get PLID instance information from MCIR[PLID]() primitive. We use the same approach in many places. For example, in C143, MCRS Input SD: MCRS_CTRL[wCol].Request() – is a request received for channel "wCol". **** Furthermore per Fig 144-3 the Control Multiplexer is fed from the ONU Registration
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 temein, Duane Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status D The variable "operand_list" has multiple indirect definitions and is thus ambiguous. SuggestedRemedy Provide a concise definition in 144.2.1.3 for this context such as: "operand_list" A set of parameters carried in the payload of an MPCPDU." Add xRef in 144.3.5.3 and 144.3.6.3 W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. W	 is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get PLID instance information from MCIR[PLID]() primitive. We use the same approach in many places. For example, in C143, MCRS Input SD: MCRS_CTRL[wCol].Request() – is a request received for channel "wCol". *** Furthermore per Fig 144-3 the Control Multiplexer is fed from the ONU Registration Process, how can the Reg-Req happen before the PLID had been assigned in Discovery? Figure 144-3 describes OLT block diagram. Figure 144-4 describes the ONU. Before the ONU completed its discovery, it operates with DISC_PLID, which from Control Multiplexor SD point of view is just another instance of an interface to a higher layer block. This fact will
1 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 emein, Duane Huawei omment Type T Comment Status D The variable "operand_list" has multiple indirect definitions and is thus ambiguous. uggestedRemedy Provide a concise definition in 144.2.1.3 for this context such as: "operand_list" A set of parameters carried in the payload of an MPCPDU." Add xRef in 144.3.5.3 and 144.3.6.3 W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. W	 is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get PLID instance information from MCIR[PLID]() primitive. We use the same approach in many places. For example, in C143, MCRS Input SD: MCRS_CTRL[wCol].Request() – is a request received for channel "wCol". *** Furthermore per Fig 144-3 the Control Multiplexer is fed from the ONU Registration Process, how can the Reg-Req happen before the PLID had been assigned in Discovery? Figure 144-3 describes OLT block diagram. Figure 144-4 describes the ONU. Before the ONU completed its discovery, it operates with DISC_PLID, which from Control Multiplexor
C/ 144 SC 144.2.1.5 P142 L12 # 212 Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status D The variable "operand_list" has multiple indirect definitions and is thus ambiguous. SuggestedRemedy Provide a concise definition in 144.2.1.3 for this context such as: "operand_list" A set of parameters carried in the payload of an MPCPDU." Add xRef in 144.3.5.3 and 144.3.6.3 W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. W	 is never explained. That assumption is not correct. In the OLT, Control Multiplexor interfaces with multiple instances of GATE Generation or Registration Completion processes. As the Control Multiplexor gets a request for a specific MPCPDU transmission, it needs to perform certain action that is dependent on which exact instance the request arrived from. For example, it needs to increase the advertised timestamp by the instance-specific RTT value. So, we get PLID instance information from MCIR[PLID]() primitive. We use the same approach in many places. For example, in C143, MCRS Input SD: MCRS_CTRL[wCol].Request() – is a request received for channel "wCol". *** Furthermore per Fig 144-3 the Control Multiplexer is fed from the ONU Registration Process, how can the Reg-Req happen before the PLID had been assigned in Discovery? Figure 144-3 describes OLT block diagram. Figure 144-4 describes the ONU. Before the ONU completed its discovery, it operates with DISC_PLID, which from Control Multiplexor SD point of view is just another instance of an interface to a higher layer block. This fact will be addressed by adding an explicit statement to the definition of RTT, indicating that at the

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

OLT, RTT[DISC_PLID] is always zero.

*** In INSERT_TIMESTAMP is a malformed assignment action "Timestamp = LocalTime + RTT[PLID]" but RTT is not available to the ONU which is required to implement the SD so I'm left wondering how this can occur?

Nothing is malformed in this action. In the ONU, RTT[PLID] is always zero. This fact will be addressed by adding an explicit statement to the definition of RTT, indicating that at the ONU, RTT[PLID] is always zero.

*** Lastly 144.2 claims to be "Protocol-independent", and PLID is only associated with MPCP.

Replace "[PLID]" with "[LLID]", so we can process requests from either PLID-related interfaces (MPCP discovery, MPCP granting) or MLID-related interfaces (CCP). Our MAC Control never sees any data frames.

C/ 144	SC 144.3.1.1	P143	L 7	# 92	
Kramer.	Glen	Broadcom			

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The section on ranging and time synchronization is empty. A new text is provided. Also, there needs to be a section related to time synchronization in C143 MCRS.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Use text in kramer_3ca_2_1118.pdf for subclause 144.3.1.1 (note the changed title) 2) Include a new sub-clause "143.2.6 MCRS Time synchronization" as shown in kramer_3ca_1_1118.pdf

Proposed Response	Response Status	w	
r roposcu ricsponse	nesponse sialus	vv	

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 144	SC 144.3.2.2	P143	L 30	# 215
Remein, [Duane	Huawei		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

We clearly state that PLIDs are unique but don't for MLIDs, which also must be unique.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "a single MLID value" to: "a single unique PLID value"

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "a single MLID value" to: "a single unique MLID value"

C/ 144	SC 1	44.3.2.4		P1	43	L 51	# 216
Remein, D	Juane			Huav	vei		
•	-	_	~		_		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

It should be clear that multicast ULIDs are excluded from GLID grants.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "or a ULID value" to: "or a unicast ULID value" Change on line 52: "PLID, MLID, or ULID," to: "PLID, MLID, or unicast ULID,"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

There is no separate class of multicast ULIDs. All ULIDs are provisioned in ONUs by NMS. If NMS provisions the same ULID value in several ONUs, then this ULID becomes multicast ULID in the downstream. An ONU would never know if any particular ULID is assigned to it exclusively or not. However, in the upstream, the OLT may grant each such ULID separately, because GATEs always come under unique PLID envelopes, so only one ONU would response to an envelope allocation that has multicast ULID. So, our architecture is flexible and no special restrictions are needed, as noting breaks. If anyone doesn't want to grant unicast IULIDs, then they just should not.

It is not clear why such an exclusion would be made. Please present your case at the meeting.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.2.4 Page 32 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:18 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.3 Remein, Duane	P 144 Huawei	L 8	# 217	C/ 144 SC 14 Kramer, Glen	4.3.4	P 145 Broadcom	L 13	# 90
				,				
Comment Type T This definition of LLID MCRS_CTRL.request	Comment Status D = 0x0000 should be broader t primitives	hat just GATE a	nd	"Octets within ea		Comment Status D are transmitted from least si	•	C C
SuggestedRemedy				Specifying the o in 802.3:	octet orde	r this way was a mistake. It	goes against th	ne existing requirements
Change: "A reserved PLID value ESC_PLID is also use burst." to: "A reserved LLID value includes an LLID. In p empty EnvAlloc[n] field field. The ESC_PLID is upstream burst."	31B.2 pause_tin 57B.1 OAMPDL most significant significant octets SuggestedRemedy Replace "Octets	ne: "The Js: "When octet is t s."	field is transmitted and recei field is transmitted most sigr n consecutive octets are use ransmitted first, followed by ach field are transmitted fror	nificant octet fir ed to represent successively le	st," a numerical value, the ess			
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT	Response Status W					ts are used to represent a ni followed by successively les		
	nuch more confusing. Please tate it "should be broader". It i			Proposed Response PROPOSED AC		Response Status W	-	
C/ 144 SC 144.3.4 Remein, Duane	P 144 Huawei	L 45	# 218					
Comment Type ER The outline of 144.3.4	Comment Status D does not match that agree in	cmt # 548.						
SuggestedRemedy Follow the outline per t	the comment (i.e., kramer_3c	a_3_0918)						
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT	Response Status W							
Current outline follows	Opcode value allocated to ea	ch and every m	essage.					

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 144	SC 144.3.4.1	P145	L 50	# 221
Remein, Du	lane	Huawei		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Items a - d.4 are already part of a requirement; "The GATE MPCPDU is an instantiation of the Generic MPCPDU and shall be as shown in Figure 144–8 with details defined as follows:" what is the point of a requirement within a requirement? "When multiple channels are assigned in a single GATE MPCPDU, the transmission on each channel shall start at Grant Start Time and shall have the length as necessary ..."

SuggestedRemedy

change:

"When multiple channels are assigned in a single GATE MPCPDU, the transmission on each channel shall start at Grant Start Time and shall have the length as necessary ..." to: " All channels assigned in a single GATE MPCPDU have the same Grant Start Time and length as necessary ..."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"When multiple channels are assigned in a single GATE MPCPDU, the transmission on each channel shall start at Grant Start Time and shall have the length as necessary ..." to:

"When multiple channels are assigned in a single GATE MPCPDU, the transmission on each channel shall start at grant start time and have the length as necessary ..."

Channels don't have Start times and lengths. Only transmissions on each channel can be characterized by start times and transmission lengths.

C/ 144	SC 144.3.4.1	P146	L 27	# 222
Remein, D	uane	Huawei		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

I can find no mention of the active state of this flag.

There is also a small ambiguity here. If a frame has already been fragmented, and the grant is not large enough to transmit the entire remaining fragment, and the Fragment flag is set to prohibit fragmentation, what should the ONU do? I submit that it should transmit as much of the remaining fragment as possible as the buffer on the receive side has already been allocated so there is no need to avoid transmitting the fragment.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"This flag informs the ONU whether it is allowed to fragment new frames transmitted on the given LLID." to:

"When set to 1 this flag informs the ONU it is allowed to fragment new frames transmitted on the given LLID. When "set to 0 transmission of new fragments are prohibited." Add at the end of the last sentence: " even if the EnvLength is not sufficient to contain the entire remaining fragment"

(EnvLength s/b in italics)

While mucking about here ensure that "Fragmentation" does not split the line.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change bullet 3) to read as follows

Fragmentation (F): When set to 1, this flag informs the ONU it is allowed to fragment new frames transmitted on the given LLID. If a frame fragment remains queued in this LLID since previous envelope transmission, this fragment is transmitted first, regardless of the value of the Fragmentation flag.

Use proper variable format (italics).

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.1 Page 34 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:18 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

144 SC 144.3.4.3 P148 L54 # 223 emein, Duane Huawei	C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.3 P149 L23 # 225 Remein, Duane Huawei					
omment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status D					
Optional indication in a requirement ("should" under a "shall"):	If Laser On/Off Time is really a time then this should be in EQT not EQ.					
"The OLT should not grant"	SuggestedRemedy					
uggestedRemedy	Change in 2 places:					
Change: "The OLT should not grant" to: "The OLT does not grant"	"in the units of 1 EQ" to: "in the units of EQT"					
oposed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status W					
PROPOSED REJECT.	PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
	C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.4 P151 L2 # 228					
The first "shall" is the message format requirement. The second "should" is behavior requirement. Without the second "should" there will be no normative requirement for the	Remein, Duane Huawei					
OLT's behavior. We used exactly the same approach in .3av.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D					
144 SC 144.3.4.3 P149 L3 # 224	Optional indication in a requirement ("should" under a "shall"): "The OLT should not grant …"					
emein, Duane Huawei	SuggestedRemedy					
omment Type T Comment Status D	Change:					
We have two closely related tables that define "Discovery Information Fields"; Table 144–4 & Table 144–7. This becomes especially confusing when reading 144.3.5 which refers to	"The OLT should not grant" to: "The OLT does not grant"					
both fields in the opening three paras. It would be clearer for the reader if these fields used different names.	Proposed Response Response Status W					
	PROPOSED REJECT.					
In 144.3.4.3 REGISTER_REQ description change "Discovery Information" to "Register	The first "shall" is the message format requirement. The second "should" is behavior					
Request Information". In the 2nd & 3rd para of 144.3.5 Discovery Process change "Discovery Information" to "Register Request Information".	requirement. Without the second "should" there will be no normative requirement for th OLT's behavior. We used exactly the same approach in .3av.					
Redister Reduest Information".	C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.4 P151 L4 # 229					
In Figure 144–15—Discovery handshake message exchange change "content = Pending Envelopes + Discovery Information +" to	Remein, Duane Huawei					
In Figure 144–15—Discovery handshake message exchange change "content = Pending Envelopes + Discovery Information +" to "content = Pending Envelopes + Register Request Information +"						
In Figure 144–15—Discovery handshake message exchange change "content = Pending Envelopes + Discovery Information +" to "content = Pending Envelopes + Register Request Information +" posed Response Response Status W	Remein, Duane Huawei					
In Figure 144–15—Discovery handshake message exchange change "content = Pending Envelopes + Discovery Information +" to "content = Pending Envelopes + Register Request Information +"	Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status					
In Figure 144–15—Discovery handshake message exchange change "content = Pending Envelopes + Discovery Information +" to "content = Pending Envelopes + Register Request Information +" oposed Response Response Status W	Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status D Wording "This is an 16-bit field, value-encoded to indicate the number of times"					
In Figure 144–15—Discovery handshake message exchange change "content = Pending Envelopes + Discovery Information +" to "content = Pending Envelopes + Register Request Information +" roposed Response Response Status W	Remein, Duane Huawei <i>Comment Type</i> E <i>Comment Status</i> D Wording "This is an 16-bit field, value-encoded to indicate the number of times" <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>					

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.4

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144	SC 144.3.4.6	P 153	L 9	# 234	C/ 144	SC 144	.3.4.7	P 154	L 39	# 237
Remein, D	Duane	Huawei			Remein, E	Duane		Huawei		
	does this sentenc Discovery Informa	Comment Status D e mean; "Discovery Informat ation flag field."?	ion field presen	ts the internal structure	"Patte	a case of	crossed s is a 16	-bit field, with individual bits	defined per Sp	Info field value"
Chan	-				Suggeste	dRemedy				
field." "Table	to:	field presents the internal str the internal structure of the D <i>Response Status</i> W		, ,	Chan "defin		nfo field		11	
-	POSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. title of Table 144-7 to read "	Discovery Infor	nation field"	'	Response POSED AC		Response Status W PRINCIPLE.		
 C/ 144	SC 144.3.4.7	P154	L 36		See c	omment #4	0.			
Remein, D	Duane	Huawei	L30	# 236	<i>C</i> / 144 Remein, I	SC 144 Duane	.3.4.7	P 154 Huawei	L 48	# 265
<i>Comment</i> The S	51	Comment Status D MPCPDU should be required			Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status D		
Suaaesteo	dRemedy				Table	144-8 sho	uld make	e it clear that Count must be	the same for e	each MPCPDU in a set.
Chan					Suggeste	dRemedy				
"Gene	eric MPCPDU, and	l is further defined as follows shall be as shown in Figure		ails defined as follows:"	Add to 3."	o "Indicates	the nun	nber of Sync Pattern elemen	nts in a burst. T	The valid values are 2 of
•	Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Patter	m (SP1, SF	2 and o	me for all SYNC_PATTERN ptionally SP3)." is taken on subscripting SF		0 0 /
					Proposed	Response		Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
					PROF	POSED RE	JECT.			
					MPCF	PDU is just	a data u			, has no notion of past or fu

MPCPDU is just a data unit and as such, has no notion of past or future messages and their values. The target behavior is already covered in Figure 144-21, line 15, and no new requirements in text are needed.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.7 Page 36 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:18 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

/ 144 SC 144.3.4.7 emein, Duane	P 155 Huawei	L 12	# 266	C/ 144 SC 144.3 Remein, Duane	6.5 <i>P</i> 156 Huawei	L 8	# 267
	nent Status D			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
It would make more sense to have		an to hits <255.0	> and use bit 15 of	Fix xRef. 77.3.6.1			
PatternInfo (or SpInfo as the cas							
Admittedly this is a somewhat tri	wiel obenge for HM	but in more strai	ight forward imba	SuggestedRemedy	44–7 (included in remein 3ca	1 1118 ndf)	
		but is more strai	igni forward inno.	C C			
ggestedRemedy In Table 144–8 change bit 15 de	finition to "Value bi	it 256" and "Carri	os the last (index 256)	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
bit of the Sync Pattern value."	inition to value, bi	it 250 and Cam	es the last (index 250)	FROFUSED ACC	EFT IN FRINCIFLE.		
Change "c)" to read "Value: This				See comment #38			
255 of the Sync Pattern element Pattern is carried in the SpInfo fie				C/ 144 SC 144.3	9.5 <i>P</i> 156	L10	# 268
is shown in Sync Pattern placem	nent in T able 144–9	Э.		Remein, Duane	Huawei		
Change indexes in Table 144-9 a	6,7	7:0>, SP<247:240	0>, and SP<255:248>.	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		
	nse Status W			Two data rates are	only supported in the downste	am direction.	
PROPOSED REJECT.				SuggestedRemedy			
Current bit allocation is precisely	ontimized for UN/ i	implementation -	This was discussed in				
			This was discussed in	Change:			
detail when SYNC_PATTERN wa			This was discussed in	Change: "the given transmis			
detail when SYNC_PATTERN wa			# 38	"the given transmis "the downstream d	irection"		
detail when SYNC_PATTERN was 144 SC 144.3.5	as first presented to	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir	irection" n_3ca_1_1118.pdf)		
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with a SC 144.3.5 duczenia, Marek	P156	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir Proposed Response	irection" 1_3ca_1_1118.pdf) Response Status W		
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with a sc 144.3.5 duczenia, Marek	P 156 Charter Comm nent Status D	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJE	irection" n_3ca_1_1118.pdf) <i>Response Status</i> W ECT.		
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with 144 SC 144.3.5 jduczenia, Marek mment Type E Comm Missing reference updates in line	P 156 Charter Comm nent Status D	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJE Incorrect. The text	irection" n_3ca_1_1118.pdf) <i>Response Status</i> W ECT. speaks of lines rate values pos		
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with 144 SC 144.3.5 jduczenia, Marek mment Type E Comm Missing reference updates in line	P 156 Charter Comm nent Status D	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJE Incorrect. The text during the discove	irection" n_3ca_1_1118.pdf) <i>Response Status</i> W ECT.		
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with 144 SC 144.3.5 jduczenia, Marek mment Type E Comm Missing reference updates in line ggestedRemedy	P 156 Charter Comm nent Status D	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJE Incorrect. The text during the discove two line rates poss	irection" _3ca_1_1118.pdf) <i>Response Status</i> W ECT. speaks of lines rate values pos y window. OLT may pre-tune to ible in the upstream.	o 25Gb/s RX or 10)Gb/s RX TIA/LA, so
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with 144 SC 144.3.5 duczenia, Marek mment Type E Comm Missing reference updates in line ggestedRemedy Replace 77.3.6.1 with 144.3.4.6 Replace 77.3.6.3 with 144.3.4.3	P 156 Charter Comm nent Status D	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJE Incorrect. The text during the discove two line rates poss	irection" a_3ca_1_1118.pdf) <i>Response Status</i> W ECT. speaks of lines rate values pos y window. OLT may pre-tune to ible in the upstream. 3.5 P156		
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with 144 SC 144.3.5 duczenia, Marek <i>nment Type</i> E Comm Missing reference updates in line <i>ogestedRemedy</i> Replace 77.3.6.1 with 144.3.4.6 Replace 77.3.6.3 with 144.3.4.3	P 156 Charter Comm nent Status D es 8 and 23	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJE Incorrect. The text during the discove two line rates poss <i>Cl</i> 144 SC 144.3 Remein, Duane	irection" a_3ca_1_1118.pdf) Response Status W ECT. speaks of lines rate values pos ry window. OLT may pre-tune to ible in the upstream. 3.5 P156 Huawei	o 25Gb/s RX or 10	OGb/s RX TIA/LA, so
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with 144 SC 144.3.5 duczenia, Marek mment Type E Comm Missing reference updates in line ggestedRemedy Replace 77.3.6.1 with 144.3.4.6 Replace 77.3.6.3 with 144.3.4.3 posed Response Response	P 156 Charter Comm nent Status D es 8 and 23	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJE Incorrect. The text during the discove two line rates poss <i>Cl</i> 144 SC 144.3 Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> E	irection" a_3ca_1_1118.pdf) <i>Response Status</i> W ECT. speaks of lines rate values pos y window. OLT may pre-tune to ible in the upstream. 3.5 P156	o 25Gb/s RX or 10	OGb/s RX TIA/LA, so
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with 144 SC 144.3.5 duczenia, Marek mment Type E Comm Missing reference updates in line ggestedRemedy Replace 77.3.6.1 with 144.3.4.6 Replace 77.3.6.3 with 144.3.4.3 pposed Response Response	P 156 Charter Comm nent Status D es 8 and 23	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJE Incorrect. The text during the discove two line rates poss <i>Cl</i> 144 SC 144.3 Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> E Fix xRef. 77.3.6.3	irection" a_3ca_1_1118.pdf) Response Status W ECT. speaks of lines rate values pos ry window. OLT may pre-tune to ible in the upstream. 3.5 P156 Huawei	o 25Gb/s RX or 10)Gb/s RX TIA/LA, so
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with 144 SC 144.3.5 jduczenia, Marek mment Type E Comm Missing reference updates in line ggestedRemedy Replace 77.3.6.1 with 144.3.4.6 Replace 77.3.6.3 with 144.3.4.3 oposed Response Response	P 156 Charter Comm nent Status D es 8 and 23	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream of (included in remeir <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJE Incorrect. The text during the discove two line rates poss <i>Cl</i> 144 <i>SC</i> 144.3 Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> E Fix xRef. 77.3.6.3 <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	irection" a_3ca_1_1118.pdf) Response Status W ECT. speaks of lines rate values pos ry window. OLT may pre-tune to ible in the upstream. 3.5 P156 Huawei Comment Status D	o 25Gb/s RX or 10)Gb/s RX TIA/LA, sc
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with 144 SC 144.3.5 ijduczenia, Marek omment Type E Comm Missing reference updates in line oggestedRemedy Replace 77.3.6.1 with 144.3.4.6 Replace 77.3.6.3 with 144.3.4.3 oposed Response Response	P 156 Charter Comm nent Status D es 8 and 23	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJE Incorrect. The text during the discove two line rates poss <i>Cl</i> 144 <i>SC</i> 144.3 Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> E Fix xRef. 77.3.6.3 <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change to Table 1	irection" a_3ca_1_1118.pdf) <i>Response Status</i> W ECT. speaks of lines rate values pos y window. OLT may pre-tune to ible in the upstream. 5.5 <i>P</i> 156 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> D 44–4.	o 25Gb/s RX or 10)Gb/s RX TIA/LA, sc
detail when SYNC_PATTERN with 144 SC 144.3.5 jduczenia, Marek mment Type E Comm Missing reference updates in line ggestedRemedy Replace 77.3.6.1 with 144.3.4.6 Replace 77.3.6.3 with 144.3.4.3 oposed Response Response	P 156 Charter Comm nent Status D es 8 and 23	b the group.		"the given transmis "the downstream d (included in remeir <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED REJE Incorrect. The text during the discove two line rates poss <i>Cl</i> 144 SC 144.3 Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> E Fix xRef. 77.3.6.3 <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change to Table 1 <i>Proposed Response</i>	irection" a_3ca_1_1118.pdf) Response Status W ECT. speaks of lines rate values pos ry window. OLT may pre-tune to ible in the upstream. 3.5 P156 Huawei Comment Status D	o 25Gb/s RX or 10)Gb/s RX TIA/LA, so

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5 Remein, Duane	P 156 Huawei	L 29	# 270	Cl 144 SC 144.3.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 157 Charter Comr	L 49 nunicatio	# 39
Comment Type TR Time should be in time Time and Laser Off Tir SuggestedRemedy Change "1 EQ" to "EQ Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D e units not bits "Laser On me fields, where both values a TT". Response Status W	·	the units of 1 EQ"	Comment Type T Note uses wrong field n SuggestedRemedy Change SpCount to Co Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Change SpCount to <i></i>	Comment Status D ame: SPCount is no more unt (see Table 144–8) Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. Count		
Strike ", where both va	lues are expressed in the uni	ts of 1 EQ"		<i>Cl</i> 144 SC 144.3.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 158 Charter Comr	L 27 nunicatio	# 41
Cl 144 SC 144.3.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T No such field: SpInfo SuggestedRemedy Change all instances to Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W	L 9 nunicatio	# <u>40</u>	Comment Type TR Figures 144-16,-17,-18, specified in a more con SuggestedRemedy Remove the figures Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W	nore, given that	individual interfaces a
C/ 144 SC 144.3.5 Remein, Duane	P 157 Huawei	L 32	# 273				
Comment Type T In REGISTER messag	Comment Status D	e footnote 3.					
SuggestedRemedy per comment	-						
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W						
SP3Length is not an op is set to 0.	ptional field - it is always pres	ent, but if only tw	vo zones are present, it				

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144	SC 144.3.5.	1 <i>P</i> 160	L33	# 275
Remein, D		Huawei	200	# 213
Comment		Comment Status D		EQT
DISCO	OVERY_MARG	IN measured in EQTs is stated rating at 25G for a 10G ONU i		However this is only
Suggestea	lRemedy			
DISCO EQT.	OVERY_MARG Another would indicate the tir	proaches to fixing this. One we IN in ns and convert to EQT in be to leave this as a constant ne difference depending on th	the SD by doing with a value of 8	g an integer division by 80,078 and change the
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED REJECT	Г.		
C/ 144	SC 144.3.5.		L 41	# 240
Remein, D	uane	Huawei		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
		This variable indicates the ONI CPDU is to be transmitted." N		
Suggestea	lRemedy			
transm "This v	variable indicate	es the ONU local time at which es the LocalTime at which the CPDU."	_	
Proposed				
	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	Response OSED REJEC	•		

C/ 144	SC 144.3.5.4	P 162	L 9	# 243
Remein, D	Juane	Huawei		
	<i>Type</i> T cation "e) The FEC	Comment Status D Parity overhead"		
Suggested Add "	Remedy	_CW_DELIM."		
,	Response POSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.		
	—	onstant that has a value 0x ake much sense ("FEC Par	()/	0

Use the following updated statement: "The FEC Parity overhead, including 10 bits of FEC codeword delimiter"

C/ 144 S	C 144.3.5.6	P 163	L 3	# 247
Remein, Duane	9	Huawei		
Comment Type	· T	Comment Status D		

"instance the Discovery Initiation" should be "instance of the OLT Discovery Initiation"

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Proposed Response	Response Status	W	
-------------------	-----------------	---	--

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "shall implement a single instance the Discovery Initiation" to "shall implement a single instance of the Discovery Initiation"

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.6 Page 39 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:18 PM

commenter, but proposes a different solution (???)

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

· · ·									
C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.7 Remein, Duane	<i>P</i> 164 Huawei	L 3	# 249	<i>Cl</i> 144 Remein, D		4.3.5.8	P 165 Huawei	L 22	# 254
Comment Type T These two requirement	Comment Status D			<i>Comment</i> Exit cr	<i>Type</i> riteria fror		Comment Status D DISC_TO_CLIENT assume and any time the ONU ne		
Completion state diagr Completion state diagr "The Discovery Proces	is in the OLT shall implement am shown in Figure 144–22. am shall be associated with the oLT shall implement am shown in Figure 144–22 egistered."	Each instance o the unicast PLID t multiple instanc	f the Registration being registered." to: es of the Registration	Suggested Chang "Local "RegS replac Move	dRemedy ge: ITime = R Start: <= L sed with R the defini	eqStart: to ocalTime egStart po	o: + MPCP_PROCESS_DLY er another cmt) PCP_PROCESS_DLY to 1/	(" (Note this ass	umes ReqStart is
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Respons	Э	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT	•			PROP	POSED R	EJECT.			
C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.8 Remein, Duane	9 P 165 Huawei	L 22	# 255	Currer	nt state di	agram op	erates as intended.		
Comment Type TR Exit criteria from PASS SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D S_DISC_TO_CLIENT reading ≥ RegStart" (i.e., use greated			PASS the Cli when MsgRe	_DISC_T ient in tim ONU nee egisterRe	O_CLIEN to partion to send q from the	s passed to the MAC Contri T state. Two things may have cipate in this discovery atte the REGISTER_REQ MPG e client. In the first case, w e attempt and go back to v	appen: (1) we ge empt, or (2) local ⁻ CPDU, but the Sl re proceed with th	t MsgRegisterReq from Time reached the time D did not get the ne discovery. In the
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			MsgRe	egisterRe	q, so if th	se all available time until th is time is larger than MPCI o artificially restrict ONU to	P_PRÓCESS_DI	
such as generating RE the ONU to generate R MPCP_PROCESSING requirement more strin to react to the messag generated in software a	SING_DLY is the time that the PORT after receiving a GAT EGISTER_REQ in such a w _DLY time left to spare. Tha gent by MPCP_PROCESSIN e received. Note that the RE and requires a lot of internal essing Discovery Information n this discovery).	E. The comment ay that the ONU t is, it makes ON IG_DLY, decreas GISTER_REQ m processing (such	er's suggestion is for still has U processing sing the time ONU has essage is typically as reading its RSSI in	CI 144 Remein, D Comment Undefi Suggested	SC 14 Duane Type ined varia	I4.3.5.8 TR Ible ReqS	P165 Huawei Comment Status D tart appears 4x. hich is well defined.	L22	# <u>253</u>
See also comment #25	54, which points to the same	location, comes	from the same	Proposed PROP	Respons		Response Status W		

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.8

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

C/ 144	SC 144.3.5.8	P165	L 25	# 257
Remein, Du	uane	Huawei		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Issuing a new Sync Pattern MPCPDU prior to completion of a previously issued Discovery Windw (including response time of OLT to Register Req from an ONU) will cause a registration attempt by ONUs that have not received the Register message to be aborted (see exit from ISSUE_REGISTER_REQ in Fig 144-23). This should be noted in the description of the Discovery and Sync Pattern messages. Furthermore the Discovery Process really begins with the Sync Pattern MPCPDU not the DISCOVERY MPCPDU as in previous generations. This information should come early in 144.3.5 and not as a after thought at the end.

SuggestedRemedy

See remein_3a_1_1118.pdf (also available in MS Word). Note SP1, SP2, and SP3 are not subscripted in this file.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The state diagram operates as designed.

C/ 144	SC 144.3.6	6.1 P165	L 47	# 259
Remein, D	Duane	Huawei		
Comment Type TR		Comment Status D		EQTs

MPCP_PROCESS_DLY measured in EQTs is stated to be 16.384 us. However this is only true if the ONU is operating at 25G for a 10G ONU it will be closer to 41 us.

SuggestedRemedy

There are several approaches to fixing this. One would be to define

MPCP_PROCESS_DLY in ns and conver to EQT in the SD by doing an interger division by EQT. Another would be to leave this as a constant with a value of 6,400 and change the note to indicate the time difference depending on the ONU rate. Other solutions could be suggested.

```
Proposed Response Response Status W
```

PROPOSED REJECT.

We introduced EQT specifically to represent EQ time at 25Gb/s rate. We decided that EQT is >>ALWAYS<< 2.56 ns long (see comment #378 from San Diego meeting. LocalTime counter in the OLT is lined to the 25Gb/s TX clock and in the ONU it is locked to 25Gb/s receive clock. All times (timestamp, startTime, laserOn/Off times) are linked to this clock, so are expressed in EQT. There is nothing that ever needs to be expressed in time units of 6.4 ns. Saying that EQT is rate-dependent breaks most state diagrams in C144.

C/ 144	SC 144.3.6.1	P 166	L 8	# 260
Remein, D	uane	Huawei		
Comment Clarific	51	Comment Status D Parity overhead (see <tbe< td=""><td>D???>)"</td><td></td></tbe<>	D???>)"	
Suggested Replac	,)" with "including FEC_CW	_DELIM."	
,	Response OSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.		
	_	nstant that has a value 0x3 ke much sense ("FEC Parit	· · ·	0
	e following update ord delimiter"	d statement: "The FEC Par	ity overhead, ir	ncluding 10 bits of FEC

C/ 144	SC	144.3.6.1	P 166	L 47	# 262
Remein, D	Duane		Huawei		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		EQTs

GATE_TIMEOUT measured in EQTs is stated to be 50 ms. However this is only true if the ONU is operating at 25G for a 10G ONU it will be closer to 125 ms.

SuggestedRemedy

There are several approaches to fixing this. One would be to define GATE_TIMEOUT in ns and conver to EQT in the SD by doing an interger division by EQT. Another would be to leave this as a constant with a value of 19,531,250 and change the note to indicate the time difference depending on the ONU rate. Other solutions could be suggested.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

We introduced EQT specifically to represent EQ time at 25Gb/s rate. We decided that EQT is >>ALWAYS<< 2.56 ns long (see comment #378 from San Diego meeting. LocalTime counter in the OLT is lined to the 25Gb/s TX clock and in the ONU it is locked to 25Gb/s receive clock. All times (timestamp, startTime, laserOn/Off times) are linked to this clock, so are expressed in EQT. There is nothing that ever needs to be expressed in time units of 6.4 ns. Saying that EQT is rate-dependent breaks most state diagrams in C144.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.1 Page 41 of 42 11/13/2018 7:57:18 PM

IEEE P802.3ca D1.3 25/50G-EPON Task Force 4th Task Force review comments

	SC 144.3.6.3	P 167	L 3	# 89	C/ 144	SC 144.4	P171	L 53	# 68
Kramer, Glen		Broadcom			Hajduczen		Charter Com	municatio	
Comment Typ		Comment Status D	adavil but thara	ia na atandalana	Comment	51	Comment Status D	auhalauaa	
		and 144-27 use EnvList[Chlr ariable is a subfield of MsgE		is no standalone		•	.4 Channel Control Protocol	subclause	
		-			Suggested			dite and a second second	
GrantStar manner a	rtTime, EnvSta and it is confusi	tructures that have start time rtTime, StartTime. These na ng to have different field nar tor actually carries a group o	ames are not us nes to represen	ed in a consistent t the same concept.	behav contrik	oral assumption oution from Glen ne change of exi	iduczenia_3ca_2_1118.pdf, v is, etc. included in hajduczer and myself. isting ChStatus variable to C	nia_3ca_1_1118.p	odf. This is a joint
name wo	uld be MsgEnv	Group.			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
SuggestedRe	emedy				•	OSED ACCEPT	•		
2) In SDs EnvList[N 3) Use St	s 144-26 and 14 /IsgEnvDescrip	44-27, replace MsgEnvDesc 44-27, replace EnvList[Chlnc tor.Chlndex] (3 locations tot fields that carry start times, f.	dex] with al)						
The exact	t list of change	s is shown in kramer_3ca_7	_11_18.pdf						
Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W							
PROPOS	SED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.							
2) In SDs EnvList[N 3) Use St	s 144-26 and 14 //sgEnvGroup.0	44-27, replace MsgEnvDesc 44-27, replace EnvList[ChIno ChIndex] (3 locations total) fields that carry start times, f.	dex] with						
C/ 144	SC 144.3.6.3	P167	L19	# 263					
emein, Duar	ne	Huawei							
Comment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status D							
EnvList[cl True for Is	ch] list has any SEmpty if the F does not appea	ackwards "EnvList[ch].IsEmp envelopes descriptors, other FIFO is not empty? ar to be consistent with it's u	wise, false is re	turned;" Why return					
SuggestedRe	emedy								
Change to	o: "EnvList[ch]	IsEmpty(): this function retu ors, otherwise, false is return		st[ch] list does not have					
Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W							
PROPOS	SED ACCEPT.								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 144 SC 144.4