Proposed Responses er Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Network

11

C/ 141 SC 141.1.2 P23 L22

Hajduczenia, Marek

Charter Communicatio

L3

Hajduczenia, Marek

Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T

Comment Status A

Low power budget (<=20dB) is not parr of current objectives

SuggestedRemedy

Remove first dashed list item, i.e., "Low power budget class supports ..."

Remove entry for low power budget from Table 141-1

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Remove PR10 *only* !!! Low power budget is NOT mentioned in the objectives but the group agrees to remove it.

Motion #3

Power budget equivalent to PR10 (20dB) shall not be in scope for IEEE P802.3ca

Moved by: Marek Hajduczenia Seconded by: Glen Kramer

In favor: 24 Against: 2 Abstain: 16

Technical motion (>=75%), motion passes

C/ 141

SC 141.3.5.2

P29

L31

12

Hajduczenia, Marek

Charter Communicatio

Comment Type E

Comment Status A

Given that the architecture and reference points will most likely change, due to support for multiple wavelengths, an editorial note should be added

SuggestedRemedy

Insert editorial note to Figure 141-2: "To be updated once decision on referece points is

made"

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.3.7.1 P73

10

Comment Type T

Comment Status A

No information on number of grants carried by GATE MPCPDU when granting transmission

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following text at the end of line 3: "Up to seven grants can be included in a single GATE MPCPDU. The number of grants can also be set to zero for using the GATE MPCPDU as an MPCP keep alive from the OLT to the ONU."

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use the following text: "Up to seven grants can be included in a single GATE MPCPDU. If the number of grants in the GATE MPCPDU is zero, such a GATE MPCPDU is used as an MPCP keep alive from the OLT to the ONU."

Proposed Responses ar Specifications and Management Parameters for 25Gb/s, 50Gb/s, and 100Gb/s Passive Optical Network

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The GATE MPCPDU format (grant length/time) is a bit clearer in 802.3av versus what we have right now in the draft, specifically, the numbering of individual grants in 802.3av did not require additional external [Grant Item #n] designators

SuggestedRemedy

Implement the following editorial changes in Figure 144-4:

- remove square brackets with [Grant Item #n] text
- replace "LLID" with "LLID #n", where #n varies from 1 to 7 in each entry in the GATE MPCPDU
- replace "Grant Length" with "Grant #n Length", , where #n varies from 1 to 7 in each entry in the GATE MPCPDU
- replace the size of LLID #2 through LLID #7 from "3" to "0/3" to indicate it is optional character
- replace the size of Grant #2 Length through Grant #7 Length from "4" to "0/4" to indicate it is optional character

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the following editorial changes in Figure 144-4:

- remove square brackets with [Grant Item #n] text
- replace "LLID" with "LLID #n", where #n varies from 1 to 7 in each entry in the GATE MPCPDU
- replace "Grant Length" with "Grant #n Length", where #n varies from 1 to 7 in each entry in the GATE MPCPDU
- replace the size of LLID #2 through LLID #7 from "3" to "0/2" to indicate it is optional character (fixes also the size issue in draft D0.3)
- replace the size of Grant #2 Length through Grant #7 Length from "4" to "0/4" to indicate it is optional character

Also, remove the red comment in Figure 144-4. Move F field (Fragmentation Flag) to MSB position within each Grant Length field.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.7.1 P74 L4 # 8

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Missing content for bullets b, c, d, e

SuggestedRemedy

Adopt description for individual bullets per hajduczenia_3ca_1_0517.pdf

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Adopt description for individual bullets per hajduczenia_3ca_1a_0517.pdf

Cl 144 SC 144.3.7.2 P73 L1 # 7

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Missing description of REPORT MPCPDU, as agreed and included in http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2016/09/kramer_3ca_1b_0916.pdf, page 7

SuggestedRemedy

Add structure of REPORT MPCPDU per

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ca/public/meeting_archive/2016/09/kramer_3ca_1b_0916.pdf, page 7

. 6

Response Status C

ACCEPT.