	P 13	L13	# 3	C/ 45	SC 45	.2.1.129	P 50	L 50	# 82
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	sign Systems		Healey, A	dam		Broadcom In	с.	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	г	Comment Status X		
IEEE Std 802.3cm-20	020 and 802.3cq-2002 have no	w been approved	Ł				equalization register definit		
SuggestedRemedy							GAUI-n but 100GAUI-1, 20 fferent tap counts and coe		
Change 802.3cm-20)	XX to 802.3cm-2020 and 802.3	cq-20XX to 802.3	3cq-2020 throughout			J nave u	nereni iap counts and coe	incient step size:	5.
the draft				Suggestee		andmont	to 45.2.1.129 through 45.2	1 132 seems to	he to indicate these
Proposed Response	Response Status O			regist the Ar	ers are spe nnex 120F	ecific to 1 taps cou	00GAUI-n (n > 1), 200GA	UI-n (n > 2) and and control sche	400GAUI-n (n > 4) un eme are finalized. At
C/ 1 SC 1.1.3.2	P 30	L 49	# 1	contro		IIS likely a	a different set of registers		TIOLATINEX 120F
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	sign Systems		Proposed	Response	è	Response Status 0		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X								
"Three" should be un	Iderlined			C/ 69	SC 69		P62	L13	# 4
SuggestedRemedy						.1.1	-		# 4
Underline the word "T	Three"			Marris, Ar		_		sign Systems	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment		E o or 2000	Comment Status X Gb/s or 400Gb/s providing	doog pot rood r	aht
	,					e 01 2000		uues nut reau n	gn
				Suggestee		ion interf	ana ar at 200Ch/a ar 400C	h /o providina"	
C/ 1 SC 1.5	P32	L8	# 2		-		ace or at 200Gb/s or 400G	b/s providing	
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	lign Systems		Proposed	Response	9	Response Status O		
,	Comment Status X								
Comment Type T	10 0 0 11 10 10 10 10 1				00.00	~ ~	P65	L31	# 5
Comment Type T Should the MDI spec	cifications listed in 162.12 be inces)?	ciuded in 1.5 (Abi	breviations) or 1.3	C/ 69	SC 69	.2.3	F 03	231	" 5
Comment Type T Should the MDI spec (Normative reference		Cluded in 1.5 (Abi	dreviations) or 1.3	C/ 69 Marris, Ar		.2.3		sign Systems	"
Comment Type T Should the MDI spec (Normative reference SuggestedRemedy	es)?	· ·	,		thur				" 5
Comment Type T Should the MDI spec (Normative reference SuggestedRemedy Add SFP, DSFP, QS		· ·	,	Marris, Ar Comment	thur <i>Type</i>	г	Cadence De		
Comment Type T Should the MDI spec (Normative reference SuggestedRemedy Add SFP, DSFP, QS	es)? FP and OSFP to "1.5 Abbrevia	· ·	,	Marris, Ar Comment	thur <i>Type</i> 1 / Inverse F	г	Cadence Des Comment Status X		
Comment Type T Should the MDI spec (Normative reference SuggestedRemedy Add SFP, DSFP, QS for DSFP and OSFP	es)? FP and OSFP to "1.5 Abbrevia to "1.3 Normative references"	· ·	,	Marris, Ar Comment Surely Suggested Chang optior	thur <i>Type</i> / Inverse F <i>dRemedy</i> ge M to O nal. Also m	F RS-FEC is for Claus ake the r	Cadence Des Comment Status X	sign Systems 0-3 which has In	verse RS-FEC as

C/ 69 SC 69.2.3

CI 73 SC 7	73.6.4	P 68	L 26	# 55	CI 73	SC 73.6.5.a		P 69	L 29	# 47
Brown, Matt		Huawei Techno	logies Canada		Brown, Ma	att		Huawei Tech	nologies Canad	a
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment	t Status X		
would be help	oful to show	erly descriptive given that all in w the previous unchanged row.		wn in the table. But it	PHYs		per lane. Also		n is not for 100G on in titles excep	PHYs, rather it is for ot for first letter,
SuggestedRemed	•		<i>.</i> .		Suggested	· · ·	nouno.			
and revising th	the reserve , add one	n delete "by adding the followin ed row". row with ellipse at the begin an	0			je title to "FEC i		100GBASE-P Pl Status 0	HYs using RS-F	EC-Int
Proposed Respon	nse	Response Status 0								
					CI 73	SC 73.6.5.a		P 69	L31	# 48
CI 73 SC 7	73.6.5	P 69	L 22	# 56	Brown, Ma	att		Huawei Tech	nologies Canad	a
Brown, Matt		Huawei Techno	logies Canada		Comment	Туре Т	Comment	t Status X		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status X	logico callada							n to the default Clause
21	=							, it's a choice of	f sublayer to invo	oke. What if neither
				ha RS-FF(C-Int						
should be prov		eing deleted? Instead, further of	descriptions for t	he RS-FEC-Int	•	sts RS-FEC-Int?	?			
should be prov	ovided.		descriptions for t	he RS-FEC-Int	Suggested	Remedy			1 (a.a. 0)aura 10	
should be prov SuggestedRemed Show the para	ovided. dy agraph wit where RS-l	thout strikethrough and add the FEC-Int (See Clause 161) is ar	e following senter	nce: "F4 is used by	Suggested "For 10 default be use	IRemedy 00GBASE-P PH t RS-FEC (see	HYs which sup Clause 91) the ′ requests RS·	F4 field is use FEC-Int operati		
should be prov SuggestedRemed Show the para 100G PHYs w (See Clause 9	ovided. dy agraph wit where RS-' 91)."	thout strikethrough and add the	e following senter	nce: "F4 is used by	Suggested "For 10 default be use	IRemedy 00GBASE-P PH t RS-FEC (see ed. If either PHY ed, otherwise R	HYs which sup Clause 91) the ′ requests RS- S-FEC sublaye	F4 field is use FEC-Int operati	d to negotiate wh	nich FEC sublayer is to
should be prov SuggestedRemed Show the para 100G PHYs w (See Clause 9 Proposed Respon	ovided. dy agraph wit where RS-' 91)."	thout strikethrough and add the FEC-Int (See Clause 161) is ar	e following senter	nce: "F4 is used by	Suggested "For 1(default be use enable	IRemedy 00GBASE-P PH t RS-FEC (see ed. If either PHY ed, otherwise R	HYs which sup Clause 91) the ′ requests RS- S-FEC sublaye	F4 field is used FEC-Int operation FEC is enabled."	d to negotiate wh	nich FEC sublayer is to
should be prov uggestedRemed Show the para 100G PHYs w (See Clause 9 proposed Respon	ovided. agraph wit where RS-1 91)." nse	thout strikethrough and add the FEC-Int (See Clause 161) is ar <i>Response Status</i> 0	e following senter n alternative to th <i>L</i> 27	nce: "F4 is used by ne default RS-FEC	Suggested "For 10 default be use enable Proposed i	IRemedy 00GBASE-P PH t RS-FEC (see ed. If either PHY ed, otherwise RS Response SC 73.7.6	HYs which sup Clause 91) the ′ requests RS- S-FEC sublaye	F4 field is used FEC-Int operati er is enabled." Status O P70	d to negotiate white white the second s	hich FEC sublayer is to C-Int sublayer is # 49
should be prov SuggestedRemed Show the para 100G PHYs w (See Clause 9 Proposed Respon 27 73 SC 7 Brown, Matt	ovided. agraph wit where RS-1 91)." nse	thout strikethrough and add the FEC-Int (See Clause 161) is ar <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 69	e following senter n alternative to th <i>L</i> 27	nce: "F4 is used by ne default RS-FEC	Suggested "For 10 default be use enable Proposed I	IRemedy 00GBASE-P PH t RS-FEC (see ed. If either PHY ed, otherwise R Response SC 73.7.6	HYs which sup Clause 91) the ′ requests RS- S-FEC sublaye <i>Response</i>	F4 field is used FEC-Int operati er is enabled." Status O P70	d to negotiate which then RS-FEC	hich FEC sublayer is to C-Int sublayer is # 49
should be prov SuggestedRemed Show the para 100G PHYs w (See Clause 9 Proposed Respon 773 SC 7 Brown, Matt	ovided. dy agraph wit where RS-l 91)." nse 73.6.5.a	thout strikethrough and add the FEC-Int (See Clause 161) is ar <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 69 Huawei Techno	e following senter n alternative to th <i>L</i> 27	nce: "F4 is used by ne default RS-FEC	Suggested "For 10 default be use enable Proposed to Cl 73 Brown, Ma Comment	IRemedy 00GBASE-P PH t RS-FEC (see ed. If either PHY ed, otherwise R Response SC 73.7.6 att Type E	HYs which sup Clause 91) the 7 requests RS- S-FEC sublaye <i>Response</i>	F4 field is used FEC-Int operation FEC-Int operation Status O P70 Huawei Tech t Status X	d to negotiate which then RS-FEC	hich FEC sublayer is to C-Int sublayer is # 49
should be prov suggestedRemed Show the para 100G PHYs w (See Clause 9 Proposed Respon 773 SC 7 Brown, Matt Comment Type formatting suggestedRemed	ovided. dy agraph wit where RS-I 91)." 73.6.5.a E E	thout strikethrough and add the FEC-Int (See Clause 161) is ar <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 69 Huawei Techno <i>Comment Status</i> X	e following senter n alternative to th <i>L</i> 27	nce: "F4 is used by ne default RS-FEC	Suggested "For 11 default be use enable Proposed f Cl 73 Brown, Ma Comment All of t	IRemedy 00GBASE-P PH t RS-FEC (see ed. If either PHY ed, otherwise RS Response SC 73.7.6 att Type E he changes des us are unneces	HYs which sup Clause 91) the ' requests RS- S-FEC sublaye <i>Response</i> <i>Comment</i> scribed in the e	F4 field is used FEC-Int operation FEC-Int operation Status O P70 Huawei Tech t Status X editing instruction	d to negotiate wh ion then RS-FEC L6 anologies Canada	hich FEC sublayer is to C-Int sublayer is # [<u>49</u> a om amendment marku
should be prov SuggestedRemed Show the para 100G PHYs w (See Clause 9 Proposed Respon Cl 73 SC 7 Brown, Matt Comment Type formatting SuggestedRemed Use proper ed	ovided. dy agraph wit where RS-1 91)." nse 73.6.5.a E dy diting instr	thout strikethrough and add the FEC-Int (See Clause 161) is an <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 69 Huawei Techno <i>Comment Status</i> X uction format (bold + italic).	e following senter n alternative to th <i>L</i> 27	nce: "F4 is used by ne default RS-FEC	Suggested "For 11 default be use enable Proposed f Cl 73 Brown, Ma Comment All of t and the	IRemedy 00GBASE-P PH t RS-FEC (see ed. If either PHY ed, otherwise RS Response SC 73.7.6 att Type E he changes des us are unneces	HYs which sup Clause 91) the ' requests RS- S-FEC sublaye <i>Response</i> <i>Comment</i> scribed in the e	F4 field is used FEC-Int operation FEC-Int operation Status O P70 Huawei Tech t Status X editing instruction	d to negotiate wh ion then RS-FEC L6 anologies Canada	hich FEC sublayer is to C-Int sublayer is # 49 a om amendment marku
should be prov SuggestedRemed Show the para 100G PHYs w (See Clause 9 Proposed Respon Cl 73 SC 7 Brown, Matt Comment Type formatting SuggestedRemed	ovided. dy agraph wit where RS-1 91)." nse 73.6.5.a E dy diting instr	thout strikethrough and add the FEC-Int (See Clause 161) is ar <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 69 Huawei Techno <i>Comment Status</i> X	e following senter n alternative to th <i>L</i> 27	nce: "F4 is used by ne default RS-FEC	Suggested "For 10 default be use enable Proposed I Cl 73 Brown, Ma Comment All of t and thi shown Suggested Chang and IE	IRemedy 00GBASE-P PH t RS-FEC (see ed. If either PHY ed, otherwise RS Response SC 73.7.6 att Type E he changes des us are unneces I. IRemedy ge editing instruction EE Std 802.3cc	HYs which sup Clause 91) the ' requests RS- S-FEC sublaye <i>Response</i> <i>Comment</i> scribed in the e sary. The char ction to: "Char d-2018) as follo	F4 field is used FEC-Int operation FEC-Int operation FEC-Int operation Status O P70 Huawei Tech t Status X editing instruction nges to the prior ope Table73–5 (L 6 <i>L</i> 6 nologies Canado on are obvious fr rity numbers in a	hich FEC sublayer is to C-Int sublayer is # 49

C/ 73	Page 2 of 40
SC 73.7.6	2020-02-27 11:49:38 A

	P 75	L 6	# 20	C/ 120	SC 120.5.7.2	2 P 99	L 46	# 61
Trowbridge, Steve	Nokia			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment 7	уре Т	Comment Status X		
	E Std 802.3-2018 section 6, pa al Layers as either 100GBASE- atted.			incorre	ct cross-referen			
KR4/CR4/CR10 PHY (100GBASE-R coppe created with 100GBA	two parts. The first part (Table a types and be re-titled as "Nom r)", since these are the PAM2 I SE-KR1/KR2/KP4/CR1/CR2 an n (100GBASE-P copper)". This Response Status 0	enclature and c PHY types. New nd should be en	lause correlation / Table 80-3a should be titled "Nomenclature	referen should Suggestedl Change "precoo	ce, but the text refer to the PM Remedy from ler_tx_out_enal	the January meeting it becar is misleading. Instead of refe D control state diagram, whic oble_i and precoder_rx_in_ena on lane i (see 136.8.11.7.5)"	erring to the PMD th is where the cr	control function, it oss-reference points
PHY. If these are uti as Optional. SuggestedRemedy	P75 Broadcom Comment Status X CUAI-4 and CAUI-10 as Option lized, don't they use a Cl83 PM for Cl 83 for 100GBASE-KR1 a Response Status 0	A? So shouldn	't Cl83 be also marked	LINK_F Proposed F Cl 120F Ben Artsi, I Comment 7 C2C ap	EADY state of Response SC 120F.1 Liav Type T	ble_i and precoder_rx_in_ena the PMD control state diagra <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 201 Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> X te external DC blocking cap of he Tx side	um on lane i (see	136.8.11.7.5)" # <u>34</u>
				Suggestedl	-			
Cl 93A SC 93A.1.6 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type T	Credo Semico Comment Status X gma_DFE^2 in equation (93A-3		# 12	and TP commo	5, it is the resp n-mode and ch on the verificat	r to the 802.3bj: Should the c onsibility of implementors to o annel specifications required on of transmitter and receive <i>Response Status</i> O	consider any nece for interoperabili	essary modifications to
correct, because this	value must be calculated for each							
SuggestedRemedy Change b'(k) to b'(n+	k).							
In the second senten "for each potential ba	ce of step b on line 15, change ink location n".	"for each poten	tial bank location" to					

C/ 120F SC 120F.1

C/ 120F SC 120F.1	P 202	L 31	# 59	C/ 120F SC	C 120F.3.1	P 203	L 30	# 70
Ran, Adee	Intel			Mellitz, Richard		Samtec		
Comment Type T Col	mment Status X			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
"If implemented, the transmitt may be used to identify an ap		ick mechanism de	escribed in 120D.3.2.3	C2C, KR, a in table 163		ces may be the same ports or	n chips. Align Av	v, Afe, and Ane with V
As presented in ran_3ck_adh	oc 02 021920 that m	echanism suppor	ts the equalizer that	SuggestedRem	edy			
was specified in the original C	AUI-4 C2M (Annex 83	BD), which has on	y 3 taps with 5%	Replace wit	h Vfmin=0.4	413		
coefficient resolution. The PA 802.3cd have kept this structuresolution. Even if pre-cursor	ure. However, we now tap c(-3) is removed a	have a 5-tap equa	alizer with a finer	Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status O		
be identical to the FFE in Ann	iex 83D.			C/ 120F SC	C 120F.3.1	P 203	L 32	# 144
Therefore, re-using this methodefined. Possible solutions in				Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
management variables and re				Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy A presentation with possible s	solutions is planned.			worthwhile	or "20 dB" (s only minor value for "28 dB" channels, yet it adds complex be done with simpler silicon,	ity to the silicon	
Proposed Response Res	ponse Status O			SuggestedRem	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
, , ,				Remove the		ursor.		
C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1 Ben Artsi, Liav	P 201 Marvell	L10	# 35	Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status 0		
	mment Status X			C/ 120F SC	C 120F.3.1	P 203	L38	# 151
TP0a has been shown to be e	extremely difficult to be	e used as a point t	o measure Specified	Dudek, Mike		Marvell	-00	
Tx compliance parameters.				Comment Type	т	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				Footnote b	to table 163	3-5 which updates the linear fit hip to chip as well as backplan		measuring SNDR
Measurement will still be done		ating each of the 1	Tx parameters from	SuggestedRem	edy			
Measurement will still be done be defined to specify method		0						
Measurement will still be done be defined to specify method TP0 to TP0a. A presentation		0		Add the sar	ne footnote	to the SNDR row in Table 12	0F-1.	

C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1

C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1.3	P 205	L 48	# 77	C/ 120f	SC 120f.4.1	P 208	L 40	# 157
Healey, Adar	n	Broadcom Inc			Li, Mike		Intel		
Comment Typ	pe T	Comment Status X			Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status X		
		ent is not that useful for chip			Tr TBD	I			
•		ion and configuration) for wh	lat should be a	"lightweight" interface.	Suggestedl	Remedy			
	,				Change	e it to Tr =6.5 ps	, which is consistent with CE	EI-112G-PAM4-N	/IR
	c(-3) tap for n00				Proposed F	Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O							
/ 120F	SC 120F.3.2.3	P 206	L 48	# 78	C/ 120F	SC 120F.4.1	P 209	L 52	# 69
				# 78	Mellitz, Ric	hard	Samtec		
Healey, Adar		Broadcom Inc			Comment 7	51	Comment Status X		
Comment Typ		Comment Status X the return loss of the test se	tup to bayo "too	t fixturo" arado			ces may be the same ports	on chips. Align A	v, Afe, and Ane with
performa			iup to nave les	si inture grade	table 10				
, SuggestedRe	emedy				Suggested		Av. 0.0440 At- 0.440 A	0.608	
00	,	ation (TBD)" to "Equation (16	3-2)" (Test fixtu	ire reference return	•		Av=0.0413,Afe=0.413,Ane=	5008	
III ILEIII D									
loss limit)					Proposed F	Response	Response Status 0		
loss limit)).	Response Status O			Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
loss limit)).				Proposed F Cl 120F	Response SC 120F.4.1	Response Status O	L 52	# 132
loss limit) Proposed Re).		L 22	# 36	·	•	• -		# 132
loss limit) Proposed Re). sponse SC 120F.3.2.4	Response Status O			C/ 120F	SC 120F.4.1	P 209		# [132
loss limit) Proposed Re). sponse SC 1 20F.3.2.4 av	Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 120F Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment</i> 7	SC 120F.4.1	P 209 Ghiasi Quan		# [132
loss limit) Proposed Re C/ 120F Ben Artsi, Lia Comment Typ Reciever). sponse SC 120F.3.2.4 av pe T jitter tolerance	Response Status O P 207 Marvell Comment Status X test is specified at specific fr	L 22	# <u>36</u> with no specified	<i>Cl</i> 120F Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment</i> 7	SC 120F.4.1 Type TR hitter differential	P 209 Ghiasi Quan Comment Status X		# <u>132</u>
loss limit) Proposed Re 27 120F Ben Artsi, Lia Comment Typ Reciever extrapola	SC 120F.3.2.4 av jitter tolerance free tion between free	Response Status O P 207 Marvell Comment Status X test is specified at specific fr equency points. More specifi	L 22 equency points caly, 5UI at 40F	# <u>36</u> with no specified KHz, 0.15UI at	Cl 120F Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Transm Suggestedl Replac	SC 120F.4.1 <i>Type</i> TR hitter differential <i>Remedy</i> e Av with 0.413	P 209 Ghiasi Quan <i>Comment Status</i> X peak output is TBD V		# [<u>132</u>
loss limit) Proposed Re 7 120F Sen Artsi, Lia Comment Typ Reciever extrapola 1.33MHz	SC 120F.3.2.4 SC 120F.3.2.4 av be T jitter tolerance fre 0.05UI at 4-40M	Response Status O P 207 Marvell Comment Status X test is specified at specific fr equency points. More specifi MHz. Tx is measured when a	L 22 equency points caly, 5UI at 40k applying high pa	# <u>36</u> with no specified KHz, 0.15UI at ass filter on the jitter	Cl 120F Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Transm Suggested Replac Replac	SC 120F.4.1 Type TR nitter differential Remedy e Av with 0.413 e Afe with 0.413	P 209 Ghiasi Quan <i>Comment Status</i> X peak output is TBD V V		# [<u>132</u>
loss limit) Proposed Re 2/ 120F Ben Artsi, Lia Comment Tyj Reciever extrapola 1.33MHz filtering o with the T	SC 120F.3.2.4 SC 120F.3.2.4 AV DE T jitter tolerance f tion between fre 0.05UI at 4-400 but much of the I ITX specifications	Response Status O P 207 Marvell Comment Status X test is specified at specific fr equency points. More specifi MHz. Tx is measured when a low frequency jitter of a trans s and have much more than	<i>L</i> 22 equency points caly, 5UI at 40k applying high pa smitter. A transr 0.15UI of jitter	# 36 with no specified KHz, 0.15UI at tss filter on the jitter mitter may still comply at frequecies which	Cl 120F Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Transm Suggestedi Replac Replac Replac	SC 120F.4.1 <i>Type</i> TR hitter differential Remedy e Av with 0.413 e Afe with 0.413 e Ane with 0.600	P 209 Ghiasi Quan <i>Comment Status</i> X peak output is TBD V V 3 V		# [<u>132</u>
loss limit) proposed Re in Artsi, Lia comment Ty Reciever extrapola 1.33MHz filtering o with the T reside ard	SC 120F.3.2.4 SC 120F.3.4 SC 120F.	Response Status O P 207 Marvell Comment Status X test is specified at specific fr equency points. More specifi MHz. Tx is measured when a low frequency jitter of a trans s and have much more than ders of Hz. Since there is no	<i>L</i> 22 equency points caly, 5UI at 40 applying high pa smitter. A transr 0.15UI of jitter o Rx jitter tolera	# 36 with no specified (Hz, 0.15UI at ass filter on the jitter nitter may still comply at frequecies which nce requirement at	Cl 120F Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Transm Suggested Replac Replac	SC 120F.4.1 <i>Type</i> TR hitter differential Remedy e Av with 0.413 e Afe with 0.413 e Ane with 0.600	P 209 Ghiasi Quan <i>Comment Status</i> X peak output is TBD V V		# [<u>132</u>
loss limit) roposed Re 7 120F ten Artsi, Lia comment Tyy Reciever extrapola 1.33MHz filtering o with the T reside ard these free be compl	SC 120F.3.2.4 av be T jitter tolerance fr 0.05UI at 4-40N vut much of the I TX specifications ound a few hand quencies: A trar iant. The Rx ma	Response Status O P 207 Marvell Comment Status X test is specified at specific fr equency points. More specifi MHz. Tx is measured when a low frequency jitter of a trans s and have much more than ders of Hz. Since there is no smitter may have relatively ay not be able to tolerate this	L 22 equency points caly, 5UI at 40 applying high pa smitter. A transr 0.15UI of jitter o Rx jitter tolera high jitter at low	# 36 with no specified KHz, 0.15UI at ass filter on the jitter nitter may still comply at frequecies which nce requirement at v frequencies and still ng compliant as well.	Cl 120F Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Transm Suggestedi Replac Replac Replac	SC 120F.4.1 <i>Type</i> TR hitter differential Remedy e Av with 0.413 e Afe with 0.413 e Ane with 0.600	P 209 Ghiasi Quan <i>Comment Status</i> X peak output is TBD V V 3 V		# <u>132</u>
loss limit) roposed Re / 120F en Artsi, Lia omment Tyj Reciever extrapola 1.33MHz filtering o with the T reside ard these free be compl	SC 120F.3.2.4 av be T jitter tolerance fr 0.05UI at 4-40N vut much of the I TX specifications ound a few hand quencies: A trar iant. The Rx ma	Response Status O P 207 Marvell Comment Status X test is specified at specific fr equency points. More specifi MHz. Tx is measured when a low frequency jitter of a trans s and have much more than ders of Hz. Since there is no smitter may have relatively	L 22 equency points caly, 5UI at 40 applying high pa smitter. A transr 0.15UI of jitter o Rx jitter tolera high jitter at low	# 36 with no specified KHz, 0.15UI at ass filter on the jitter nitter may still comply at frequecies which nce requirement at v frequencies and still ng compliant as well.	Cl 120F Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Transm Suggestedi Replac Replac Replac	SC 120F.4.1 <i>Type</i> TR hitter differential Remedy e Av with 0.413 e Afe with 0.413 e Ane with 0.600	P 209 Ghiasi Quan <i>Comment Status</i> X peak output is TBD V V 3 V		# [<u>132</u>
loss limit) Proposed Re C/ 120F Ben Artsi, Lia Comment Tyj Reciever extrapola 1.33MHz filtering o with the T reside ard these free be compl The inter	SC 120F.3.2.4 SC 120F.3.2.4 AV DE T jitter tolerance fr 0.05UI at 4-400 but much of the I TX specifications ound a few hand quencies: A trar iant. The Rx ma operability betw	Response Status O P 207 Marvell Comment Status X test is specified at specific fr equency points. More specifi MHz. Tx is measured when a low frequency jitter of a trans s and have much more than ders of Hz. Since there is no smitter may have relatively ay not be able to tolerate this	L 22 equency points caly, 5UI at 40 applying high pa smitter. A transr 0.15UI of jitter o Rx jitter tolera high jitter at low	# 36 with no specified KHz, 0.15UI at ass filter on the jitter nitter may still comply at frequecies which nce requirement at v frequencies and still ng compliant as well.	Cl 120F Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Transm Suggestedi Replac Replac Replac	SC 120F.4.1 <i>Type</i> TR hitter differential Remedy e Av with 0.413 e Afe with 0.413 e Ane with 0.600	P 209 Ghiasi Quan <i>Comment Status</i> X peak output is TBD V V 3 V		# <u>132</u>
loss limit) Proposed Re C/ 120F Ben Artsi, Lia Comment Tyj Reciever extrapola 1.33MHz filtering o with the T reside ard these free be compl The interne Suggested Re Add a se specified	SC 120F.3.2.4 SC 120F.3.2.4 AV DE T jitter tolerance f tion between fre 0.05UI at 4-400 but much of the I TX specifications ound a few hand quencies: A trar iant. The Rx ma operability betw emedy ntence that the in table 163-9 v	Response Status O P 207 Marvell Comment Status X test is specified at specific fr equency points. More specifi MHz. Tx is measured when a low frequency jitter of a trans s and have much more than ders of Hz. Since there is no smitter may have relatively ay not be able to tolerate this	<i>L</i> 22 equency points caly, 5UI at 404 applying high pa smitter. A transr 0.15UI of jitter o Rx jitter tolera high jitter at low i jitter while beir Rx is questional	# 36 with no specified KHz, 0.15UI at ass filter on the jitter mitter may still comply at frequecies which nce requirement at / frequencies and still og compliant as well. ble.	Cl 120F Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Transm Suggestedi Replac Replac Replac	SC 120F.4.1 <i>Type</i> TR hitter differential Remedy e Av with 0.413 e Afe with 0.413 e Ane with 0.600	P 209 Ghiasi Quan <i>Comment Status</i> X peak output is TBD V V 3 V		# <u>132</u>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120F SC 120F.4.1 Page 5 of 40 2020-02-27 11:49:38 A

C/ 120F SC 120F	.4.1 P 210	L11	# 133	C/ 120F SC	C 120F.4.1	P 210	L11	# 16
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quar	ntum/Inphi		Sun, Junqing		Credo Semico	onductor	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
DFE tap length mis	ssing			Simulations	show 5 tap	DFE is sufficient to cover co	ntributed chann	els. Nb=5 will be a
SuggestedRemedy				good startin	ng point. Sin	nulation results will be provide	ed.	
	5 or alternatively with 3 fixed+2 of channels and packages, for s 20.pdf			SuggestedRem set Nb=5. Proposed Resp		Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			r roposeu nesp	0/130			
				C/ 120F SC	C 120F.4.1	P 210	L13	# 134
C/ 120F SC 120F	.4.1 P210	L 11	# 147	Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quanti	um/Inphi	
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Bmax value	s are TBDs			
The COC shares I	Pute benchmark and	NA						
	s only a little harder than the C2 w power silicon will be peeded i			SuggestedRem	edy			
could be used. Lo	s only a little harder than the C2 w power silicon will be needed i			00		ax=0.5 and B[2-5]max=0.1 g	hiasi_3ck_02_0	320.pdf
could be used. Lo SuggestedRemedy		f this application is	s to be viable.	00	D with B1m	ax=0.5 and B[2-5]max=0.1 g <i>Response Status</i> 0	hiasi_3ck_02_0	320.pdf
could be used. Lo S <i>uggestedRemedy</i> 4 taps, or 5 as Ali p	w power silicon will be needed i	f this application is	s to be viable.	Replace TB	D with B1m		hiasi_3ck_02_0	320.pdf
could be used. Lo S <i>uggestedRemedy</i> 4 taps, or 5 as Ali p	w power silicon will be needed i proposed. See my C2M comme	f this application is	s to be viable.	Replace TE Proposed Resp Cl 120f St	D with B1m		hiasi_3ck_02_0	320.pdf # <u>159</u>
could be used. Lo SuggestedRemedy 4 taps, or 5 as Ali p Proposed Response	w power silicon will be needed i proposed. See my C2M comme <i>Response Status</i> O	f this application is	s to be viable.	Replace TB Proposed Resp	BD with B1m onse	Response Status O		
could be used. Lo SuggestedRemedy 4 taps, or 5 as Ali p Proposed Response Cl 120f SC 120f.	w power silicon will be needed i proposed. See my C2M comme <i>Response Status</i> O	f this application is	s to be viable. tap weight limits.	Replace TE Proposed Resp Cl 120f So Li, Mike Comment Type	D with B1m onse C 120f.4.1	Response Status O		
could be used. Lo SuggestedRemedy 4 taps, or 5 as Ali p Proposed Response Cl 120f SC 120f. Li, Mike	w power silicon will be needed i proposed. See my C2M comme <i>Response Status</i> O 4.1 <i>P</i> 210 Intel	f this application is	s to be viable. tap weight limits.	Replace TE Proposed Resp Cl 120f St Li, Mike	D with B1m onse C 120f.4.1	Response Status O P210 Intel		
could be used. Lo SuggestedRemedy 4 taps, or 5 as Ali p Proposed Response Cl 120f SC 120f. Li, Mike	w power silicon will be needed i proposed. See my C2M comme <i>Response Status</i> O 4.1 <i>P</i> 210 Intel	f this application is	s to be viable. tap weight limits.	Replace TE Proposed Resp Cl 120f So Li, Mike Comment Type	BD with B1m onse C 120f.4.1 TR	Response Status O P210 Intel		
could be used. Lo SuggestedRemedy 4 taps, or 5 as Ali p Proposed Response Cl 120f SC 120f. Li, Mike Comment Type TR Nb TBD	w power silicon will be needed i proposed. See my C2M comme <i>Response Status</i> O 4.1 <i>P</i> 210 Intel	f this application is	s to be viable. tap weight limits.	Replace TE Proposed Resp Cl 120f So Li, Mike Comment Type bmax TBD SuggestedRem	BD with B1m onse C 120f.4.1 TR edy	Response Status O P210 Intel	L13	# [<u>159</u>
could be used. Lo SuggestedRemedy 4 taps, or 5 as Ali p Proposed Response Cl 120f SC 120f. Li, Mike Comment Type TR Nb TBD SuggestedRemedy	w power silicon will be needed i proposed. See my C2M comme <i>Response Status</i> O 4.1 <i>P</i> 210 Intel	f this application is ents for proposed f	s to be viable. tap weight limits.	Replace TE Proposed Resp Cl 120f So Li, Mike Comment Type bmax TBD SuggestedRem	C 120f.4.1 TR edy b bmax = 0.4	Response Status O P210 Intel Comment Status X	L13	# [<u>159</u>

C/ 120f SC 120f.4.1

C/ 120F SC 120F.4.1	P 210	L14	# 17	C/ 120f SC 120f.4.2	P 211	L 26	# 90
Sun, Junqing	Credo Semicor	nductor		Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	tum/Inphi	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	(1)=0.85. bmax(2:5)=0.2 are s	sufficient to cove	er contributed	ERL is TBD			
channels. Simulation res	sults will be provided.			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				ERL(min)=14.5 dB			
set bmax(1)=0.85 and b	max(2:4)=0.2.			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
				C/ 120f SC 120f3.2	.3 P 207	L 5	# 156
C/ 120F SC 120F.4.1	P 210	L18	# 146	Li. Mike	Intel	20	" 150
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Np TBD			
	al density of 8.2e-9 V2⁄/GHz i						
and was chosen to make this 20 dB spec.	e 28 dB backplane channels p	pass COM. It is	s not appropriate for	SuggestedRemedy	h of TX pre-taps + RX DFE ta	ncumain tan)	
SuggestedRemedy				o (o		ps+main tap)	
,	e as 50GBASE-CR but lower	r than proposed	for C2M (4 10-8)	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
(For info, 50G/lane C2C		than proposed	101 02101 (4.10 0).	. <u></u>			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ 120G SC 120G	P 221	L 20	# 153
				Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
		1.04	# 405	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	P 210	L 21	# 135	The referenced section	on for the eye measurements i	s not correct as 1	20E.4.2 uses the
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantur		# 135	The referenced section wrong reference equations	on for the eye measurements i	s not correct as 1	20E.4.2 uses the
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	Ghiasi Quantur Comment Status X	m/Inphi		The referenced section wrong reference equal SuggestedRemedy	on for the eye measurements i lizer.	s not correct as 1	20E.4.2 uses the
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	Ghiasi Quantur	m/Inphi		The referenced section wrong reference equations	on for the eye measurements i lizer.	s not correct as 1	20E.4.2 uses the
Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment Type</i> TR To keep C2C power low <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	Ghiasi Quantur Comment Status X	m/Inphi		The referenced section wrong reference equal SuggestedRemedy	on for the eye measurements i lizer.	s not correct as 1	20E.4.2 uses the

C/ **120G** SC **120G**

CI 120G SC 120G	P 221	L 20	# 154	C/ 120G SC 120G.1	P 217	L 29	# 81
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Healey, Adam	Broadcom In	с.	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
	ure has a TBD value, and the			The caption of Figure	120G-2 is cites the wrong free	quency.	
choices in the propose	methodology table 120G.4.2.	I will have a pr	esentation to justify the	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy	ou onlanger				C2M insertion loss budget at 2	25.56 GHz" to "1	00GAUI-1 C2M
Change the values in One sided spectral no b1max = 0.4 b2-bn max=0.15	table 120G-9 from TBD to ise 5e-8			insertion loss budget a Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Change the VEC in ta	ble 120G-1 to 7.5dB.			C/ 120G SC 120G.1	P 217	L 29	# 83
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Heck, Howard	Intel		
				Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
CL 4200 SC 4200 4	Date	1.42	# 04	"25.56 GHz" is incorre	ect.		
C/ 120G SC 120G.1	P216	L 43	# 84	SuggestedRemedy			
Heck, Howard	Intel			Change to "26.56 GH	<u>z</u> "		
Comment Type E In figure 120G.1, I thir	Comment Status X hk "100GBASE-P" should be "	100GBASE-R"		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy							
Change to "100GBAS	E-R"			C/ 120G SC 120G.1	P 218	L 48	# 71
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Mellitz, Richard	Samtec		
	· -			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Cl 120G SC 120G.1	P 217	L 20	# 91	The equation is only r	eccomended. The way 120G- that it is required for performa		fore the graph is
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi		SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Add section titled 120	G.1.1 Informative IL		
Figure 120G-2 covers 200 and 400 GbE.	100 GbE, then two additional	figures 120G-3,	and 120G-4 to cover	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy							
0	be combined where the box re per of connecting line could re		, 200GAUIU-2, and				
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
	•						

C/ 120G SC 120G.1

C/ 120G SC 120G.1	P 218	L 48	# 72	C/ 120g SC 120g.2	P 220	L 32	# 94
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec			Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
	eccomended. The way 120G-		ore the graph is	Component not neces	sary		
	t that it is required for performa	ance.		SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Remove component a	fter module		
Add section titled 120 and 30	G.1.2 Informative COM based	on sun_3ck_01	a_0120.pdf slide 29	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
				C/ 120G SC 120G.3.	I P221	L1	# 10072
C/ 120G SC 120G.1.	1 P 219	L 26	# 92	Wu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek		
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi		Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	·		[Comment resubmitte	d from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G	.3.1 - Pg 213 - Ir	า 34]
••							
The bit error ratio (BE	R) not clear if this is pre or pos	st .		There are a lot of TBD	values in Table 120G-1 - Ho	st output charac	teristics at TP1a. I
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	R) not clear if this is pre or pos	st .			values in Table 120G-1 - Ho tion, wu_3ck_02_0120, to add		
SuggestedRemedy	R) not clear if this is pre or positive ratio (BER) provided that the o		re sufficiently random	prepared one contribu	tion, wu_3ck_02_0120, to add	dress how to set	tle down on these.
SuggestedRemedy The pre-FEC bit error	,		re sufficiently random	prepared one contribu		dress how to set	tle down on these.
SuggestedRemedy The pre-FEC bit error when processed	ratio (BER) provided that the		re sufficiently random	prepared one contribu SuggestedRemedy Proposed to change v	tion, wu_3ck_02_0120, to add	dress how to set	tle down on these.
SuggestedRemedy The pre-FEC bit error when processed Proposed Response	ratio (BER) provided that the		re sufficiently random	prepared one contribu SuggestedRemedy Proposed to change v wu_3ck_02_0120. Proposed Response	tion, wu_3ck_02_0120, to add alues in Table 120G-1 accord <i>Response Status</i> 0	dress how to set	tle down on these. bution,
SuggestedRemedy The pre-FEC bit error when processed Proposed Response Cl 120g SC 120g.2	ratio (BER) provided that the or Response Status O	error statistics ar		prepared one contribu SuggestedRemedy Proposed to change v wu_3ck_02_0120.	tion, wu_3ck_02_0120, to add alues in Table 120G-1 accord <i>Response Status</i> 0 I P221	dress how to set ling to the contril <i>L</i> 18	tle down on these.
SuggestedRemedy The pre-FEC bit error when processed Proposed Response Cl 120g SC 120g.2 Ghiasi, Ali	ratio (BER) provided that the or <i>Response Status</i> O	error statistics ar		prepared one contribu SuggestedRemedy Proposed to change v wu_3ck_02_0120. Proposed Response	tion, wu_3ck_02_0120, to add alues in Table 120G-1 accord <i>Response Status</i> 0	dress how to set ling to the contril <i>L</i> 18	tle down on these. bution,
SuggestedRemedy The pre-FEC bit error when processed Proposed Response C/ 120g SC 120g.2 Ghiasi, Ali	ratio (BER) provided that the o Response Status O P 220 Ghiasi Quant Comment Status X	error statistics ar		prepared one contribu SuggestedRemedy Proposed to change v wu_3ck_02_0120. Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.3. Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	tion, wu_3ck_02_0120, to add alues in Table 120G-1 accord <i>Response Status</i> 0 I P221	dress how to set ling to the contril <i>L</i> 18	tle down on these. bution,
SuggestedRemedy The pre-FEC bit error when processed Proposed Response Cl 120g SC 120g.2 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type E Component not neces	ratio (BER) provided that the o Response Status O P 220 Ghiasi Quant Comment Status X	error statistics ar		prepared one contribu SuggestedRemedy Proposed to change v wu_3ck_02_0120. Proposed Response C/ 120G SC 120G.3. Ghiasi, Ali	tion, wu_3ck_02_0120, to add alues in Table 120G-1 accord <i>Response Status</i> 0 I <i>P</i> 221 Ghiasi Quant	dress how to set ling to the contril <i>L</i> 18	tle down on these. bution,
SuggestedRemedy The pre-FEC bit error when processed Proposed Response Cl 120g SC 120g.2 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type E Component not neces	ratio (BER) provided that the o Response Status O P 220 Ghiasi Quanto Comment Status X ssary	error statistics ar		prepared one contribu SuggestedRemedy Proposed to change v wu_3ck_02_0120. Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.3. Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	tion, wu_3ck_02_0120, to add alues in Table 120G-1 accord <i>Response Status</i> 0 I <i>P</i> 221 Ghiasi Quant	dress how to set ling to the contril <i>L</i> 18	tle down on these. bution,
SuggestedRemedy The pre-FEC bit error when processed Proposed Response Cl 120g SC 120g.2 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type E Component not neces SuggestedRemedy	ratio (BER) provided that the o Response Status O P 220 Ghiasi Quanto Comment Status X ssary	error statistics ar		prepared one contribu SuggestedRemedy Proposed to change w wu_3ck_02_0120. Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.3. Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR ESMW is TBD SuggestedRemedy	tion, wu_3ck_02_0120, to add alues in Table 120G-1 accord <i>Response Status</i> 0 I <i>P</i> 221 Ghiasi Quant	dress how to set ling to the contril <i>L</i> 18 tum/Inphi	tle down on these. bution,

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.	1 P 221	L 20	# 11	C/ 120G SC 120G.3	.1 P221	L 21	# 96
Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semic	onductor		Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi	
comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
As we discussed in a	hoc in hidaka_3ck_adhoc_0	I_021920, I reco	mmend max 9dB VEC	Vertical eye closure is	s TBD		
	of eta_0 = $4.1E-8V^2/GHz$.			SuggestedRemedy			
•	ion, EH (min) and bmax(n) we	re also provided			and see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320)	
SuggestedRemedy					-		
	as follows: ertical eye closure (max) from ye height, differential (min) fro			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
0				C/ 120G SC 120G.3	.1 P221	L 23	# 118
Change Table 120G-9				Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi	
	ta_0 from TBD V^2/GHz to 4. _max(1) from TBD to 0.5.	1E-0V/2/GHZ.		Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	·	
	$_{max(2)}$ from TBD to 0.15.			ERL is TBD			
Change the value of b	_max(3) from TBD to 0.1.						
Change the value of b	_max(4) from TBD to 0.05.			SuggestedRemedy			
Altornativoly, if a lowe	r value of b_max(1) is preferre	d the following	is also OK	ERL=10.5 dB, see gr	niasi_3ck_03_0320		
Change Table 120G-2		a, the following		Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Change the value of v	ertical eye closure (max) from						
Change the value of e	ye height, differential (min) fro	om 15 mV to 13.	5mV.				
Change Table 120C () og follover			C/ 120G SC 120G.3	.1 P221	L 28	# 127
Change Table 120G-9 Change the value of e	ta_0 from TBD V^2/GHz to 4.	1E-8V^2/GHz		Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi	
	$_{max}(1)$ from TBD to 0.3.			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	_max(2) from TBD to 0.2.			21	eeds common mode return los	ss	
	_max(3) from TBD to 0.1.			•			
-	_max(4) from TBD to 0.05.			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			RLCC=12-9*f dB, from RLCC=3 dB 1 to 53 (See ghiasi_3ck_03_(GHz		
/ 120G SC 120G.3.	1 P 221	L 20	# 10056	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Dudek, Mike	Marvell						
comment Type T	Comment Status D		VEC				
51	d from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.	3.1 - Pg 213 - In	-				
The vertical eye heigh	t is TBD						
uggestedRemedy							
	sed in Dudek_3ck_01_1119(7.5dB). A prese	ntation will be made				
Adopt the value propo providing more inform	au011.						
	Response Status 0						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1

Cl 120G	SC	120G.3.1.3	P 222	L 33	# 10059
Dudek, Mik	e		Marvell		
Comment 1	Гуре	Е	Comment Status D		C2M ERL
[Comm	ent re	esubmitted fr	rom Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G	.3.1.3 - Pg 215 -	ln 25]
			at output effective return los and the module input test.	s is referenced b	by the Module output
Suggestedl	Reme	dy			
this sec	ction t	o include the	ons for the module output other points. I think it mathe the HCB) and one for the N	ay be better to ha	ive two sections one
Proposed F	Respo	nse	Response Status O		
C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.1.3	P 222	L 36	# 10071
Wu, Mau-L	in		MediaTek		
Comment 1	Tvpe	т	Comment Status D		ERL
In the p twice th becaus	baragi ne del se the ERL,	aph of "Hos ay associate section of 1 Module inpu	om Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G t output effective return los d with the TP1a test fixture 20G.3.1.3 is used not only t ERL, and Host input ERL	s", the sentence being used" is N for Host output E	of "The value of T_fx is NOT appropriate IRL, but also Module
Suggestedl	Reme	dy			
being u	ised"		ue of T_fx is twice the delanged as "The value of T_fx used."		
Proposed F	Respo	nse	Response Status O		

C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.1.3	P 2	22	L 37	# 10057
Dudek, Mik	е		Marv	ell		
Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status	D		C2M ERL
[Comm	ent re	submitted fr	om Draft 1.0. Subo	:l. 12()G.3.1.3 - Pg 215 - In	29]
The tes that is r			ould be clarified so	that t	he connector is not in	cluded in the delay
SuggestedF	Remed	ły				
			the TP1a test fixtu st fixture MDI conn		from the measureme	ent point TP1a to the
Proposed R	Respor	ise	Response Status	0		
C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.1.3	P 2	22	L37	# 19
Sun, Junqir	ng		Cred	o Sen	niconductor	
Comment T Nb is de		TR in Table 12	Comment Status 0G-9	х		
SuggestedF Chang		<i>ly</i> Table 120G	-9"			
Proposed R	Respor	ise	Response Status	0		
C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.1.3	P 2	23	L12	# 120
Ghiasi, Ali			Ghias	si Qua	antum/Inphi	
Comment T ERL is		TR	Comment Status	Х		
SuggestedF ERL=1			_3ck_03_0320			
Proposed R	Respor	ise	Response Status	0		

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.3 Page 11 of 40 2020-02-27 11:49:38 A

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 28	# 10191	C/ 120G SC	120G.3.2	P 224	L 37	# 60
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quante	um/Inphi		Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type	T Com	nment Status X		
[Comment resubmitted	from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.	3.2 - Pg 217 - In 28	3]			on are important in P		
Need improve test met	thdology for moulde ouptut co	mpliance				ne nost input may pro		ation and detection of ming harder to
uggestedRemedy						width requirements for		5
See ghiasi_3ck_03_01	20			The current	nodule output spe	cifications have limit	ed information ab	oout output swing and
roposed Response	Response Status O			ISI (only imp	licitly through far-e	end eye height and fa	r-end precursor I	SI ratio, which are
, ,	•••••							e Tx setting. With the g will be usable for all
	Dest			hosts.				
120G SC 120G.3.2		L 36	# 10192	Actual modu	les even in 50G b	ave some control of e	auglization and	swing There are
hiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quanti	um/Inphi	0014			equired for actual op		swing. There are
omment Type TR	Comment Status D from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.	2.2 Da 217 lo 20	C2M eye opening	If we ignore	hic conchility in th	e specifications, som	o bosts may not	ha able to operate
	Thom Dian 1.0. Subci. 1209.	5.2 - Fy 217 - 111 20	ור					module compliance
Module output EH is T	BDs and need values			specs are us	eless and measur	ing them is a waste of	of time.	
uggestedRemedy				The standard	l should at least m	nention the module's	Tx control capab	ilities (with reference
See ghiasi_3ck_03_01 Near end TP4 EH = 5						rably define requirem		
Far end TP5-L1 EH = 3				variables and capabilities.	d control registers.	It will be beneficial if	the 1x specifica	tions include these
Far end TP5-L2 EH= 2	20 mV			SuggestedReme	dv			
					on is planned with	further details.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
	Response Status O					onse Status O		
roposed Response		L 36	# 10193	Proposed Respo		onse Status O		
roposed Response			# 10193	Proposed Respo	nse Resp		1.50	# 40444
oposed Response 120G SC 120G.3.2 hiasi, Ali	P 224		# 10193 <i>C2M vec</i>	Proposed Respo Cl 120G SC		P224	L 50	# [10144
roposed Response 120G SC 120G.3.2 hiasi, Ali comment Type TR	P 224 Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi	C2M vec	Proposed Respo Cl 120G SC Dawe, Piers	nse Resp 120G.3.2	P 224 Mellanox	L 50	# 10144
roposed Response 120G SC 120G.3.2 hiasi, Ali <i>comment Type</i> TR [Comment resubmitted]	P 224 Ghiasi Quantu <i>Comment Status</i> D from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.	um/Inphi	C2M vec	Proposed Respo Cl 120G SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type	nse Resp 120G.3.2 TR Con	P 224 Mellanox ament Status D		
roposed Response / 120G SC 120G.3.2 :hiasi, Ali omment Type TR [Comment resubmitted Module output VEC is	P 224 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D	um/Inphi	C2M vec	Proposed Respo Cl 120G SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type [Comment re	nse Resp 120G.3.2 TR Con esubmitted from D	P 224 Mellanox ament Status D raft 1.0. Subcl. 120G	.3.2 - Pg 217 - In	50]
roposed Response 120G SC 120G.3.2 hiasi, Ali <i>comment Type</i> TR [Comment resubmitted Module output VEC is <i>uggestedRemedy</i> See ghiasi_3ck_03_01	P 224 Ghiasi Quantu <i>Comment Status</i> D from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G. TBDs and need values 20 and	um/Inphi	C2M vec	Proposed Respo Cl 120G SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type [Comment re Far-end pre-	nse Resp 120G.3.2 TR Con esubmitted from D cursor ISI ratio ha	P 224 Mellanox ament Status D	.3.2 - Pg 217 - In nd doesn't fit wel	50]
Toposed Response 120G SC 120G.3.2 hiasi, Ali <i>comment Type</i> TR [Comment resubmitted Module output VEC is <i>uggestedRemedy</i>	P 224 Ghiasi Quantu <i>Comment Status</i> D I from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G. TBDs and need values 20 and 7.0 dB	um/Inphi	C2M vec	Proposed Respo Cl 120G SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type [Comment re Far-end pre-	nse Resp 120G.3.2 TR Con esubmitted from D cursor ISI ratio ha er to choose the re	P 224 Mellanox Inment Status D raft 1.0. Subcl. 120G s not been justified a	.3.2 - Pg 217 - In nd doesn't fit wel	50]
roposed Response / 120G SC 120G.3.2 shiasi, Ali omment Type TR [Comment resubmitted Module output VEC is uggestedRemedy See ghiasi_3ck_03_01 Near end TP4 VEC =	P 224 Ghiasi Quantu <i>Comment Status</i> D from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G. TBDs and need values 20 and 7.0 dB = 7.5 dB	um/Inphi	C2M vec	Proposed Respo Cl 120G SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type [Comment re Far-end pre- specs. Bette SuggestedReme	nse Resp 120G.3.2 TR Con esubmitted from D cursor ISI ratio ha er to choose the re dy	P 224 Mellanox Inment Status D raft 1.0. Subcl. 120G s not been justified a	.3.2 - Pg 217 - In nd doesn't fit wel limits wisely.	50]

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 Page 12 of 40 2020-02-27 11:49:38 A

CI 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 52	# 125	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3	P 226	L 43	# 122
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quante	um/Inphi	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
RLCD return loss can b	e improved			ERL is TBD			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	B, from 10 MHz to 12.89 GHz	<u>.</u>		ERL=10.5 dB, see ghiasi_	_3ck_03_0320		
RLCD=15 dB 12.89 to 5 See ghiasi_3ck_03_032				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
				C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3	P 226	L 60	# 10060
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 52	# 126	Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	ım/lnnhi		Comment Type E	Comment Status D		C2M ERI
Comment Type TR Module ouptut also nee	Comment Status X	5		-	om Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G. able 120G-4 is directly to 1	0	•
SuggestedRemedy				section 120G.3.3.1 (but it	points directly to 120G.3.1	.3 see other con	nment)
RLCC=12-9*f dB, from	10 MHz to 1 GHz			SuggestedRemedy			
RLCC=3 dB 1 to 53 GH				Either delete section 1200	G.3.3.1 or change the refer	ence in table 12	0G-4 to 120G.3.3.1
See ghiasi_3ck_03_032				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
01 4000 00 4000 0 0		/ 50	# 404	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2	P 227	L15	# 101
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 53	# 121	Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi	
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	im/Inphi		Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type TR ERL is TBD	Comment Status X			Farend ESMW is TBD			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
ERL=11.5 dB, see ghias	si 3ck 03 0320			Replace TBD with 0.175 l	JI see ghiasi_3ck_01_032	0	
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.	2 P 227	L 16	# 102	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2.1	P 227	L 52	# 109
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu		π 102	Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant		# [109
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	nı/inpin			ent Status X	un/mpn	
Farend EW is TBD				Table reference is TBD			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Replace TBD with 0.17	5 UI see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320)		Replace TBD with table 120F-1			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response	se Status O		
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.	2 P 227	L 20	# 10194	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2.1	P 228	L 39	# 10063
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	m/Inphi		Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		C2M eye opening	Comment Type T Comme	ent Status D		
[Comment resubmitted	from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.3	3.3.2 - Pg 220 -	ln 6]	[Comment resubmitted from Draft	1.0. Subcl. 120G.	3.3.2.1 - Pg 221	- ln 39]
Far end eye height is T	BD			The draft is missing the information	on for how to set up	p the stressed re	ceiver input signal.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Replace TBD with 50 m	١V			Insert the following (modified from output levels are adjusted (withou			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			specification as shown in Table 1: eye width for the smallest eye giv maximizes the product of eye heir	20G-4) to result in en in Table 120G-	the eye height fo	r all three eyes and
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.	2.1 P 227	L 52	# 108	The far-end pre-cursor ISI ratio is		he method define	d in 120E.3.2.1.2 and
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	m/Inphi		it shall meet the specification in Table 120G-3. Pre	omphasis capabi	lity is likely to be	required in the pattor
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			generator to		inty is likely to be	required in the pattern
Table reference is TBD				meet this requirement". However			
SuggestedRemedy				is the best criteria or whether it we eve height and eve width" with "th			
Replace TBD with table	120F-1			, , ,	se Status O		, ,
				,			

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2.1

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4	P 229	L 15	# 124	Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1 P229 L36 # 10195
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Comment Status D C2M eye openin
RLCD return loss can b	e improved			[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.3.4.1 - Pg 222 - In 32]
SuggestedRemedy				Module stress input eye height is TBD
RLCD=30-30*f/25.78 dl RLCD=15 dB 12.89 to See ghiasi_3ck_03_03		2		SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with 15 mV @ nominal VEC of 8.5 dB
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Add 2nd test condition 30 mV @ nominal VEC of 11 dB
				Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4	P 229	L 43	# 123	
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1 P229 L40 # 104
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
ERL is TBD				Comment Type TR Comment Status X ESMW is TBD
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
ERL=11.5 dB, see ghia	isi_3ck_03_0320			Replace TBD with 0.12 UI see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320
Proposed Response	Response Status O			
				Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.	.1 P 222	L 2	# 119	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1 P229 L46 # 105
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
RLCD return loss can b	be improved			Comment Type TR Comment Status X Eye height is TBD
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
RLCD=15 dB 12.89 to \$		2		Replae TBD with 15 mV see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320
See ghiasi_3ck_03_03				Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response	Response Status O			

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1 P229 L47 #	6 C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P231 L11	# 10061
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	Dudek, Mike Marvell	
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status D	C2M eye opening
Eye width is TBD	[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.3.4.1.1 - P	^p g 224 - ln 12]
SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with 0.12 UI see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320	The sections referenced for measuring Eye height and VEC do reference receiver and section 4.2 has more details about how	
Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy	
	Change "Eye height and VEC are then measured at TP1a bas methodology given in 120E.4.2 and vertical eye closure is mea 1905 4.2 "to Eve height and VEC are then measured at TP1a	asured according to
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P230 L52 #		a as described in 1206.4.2
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Proposed Response Response Status O	
Table reference is TBD	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P231 L16	# 111
SuggestedRemedy	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	
Replace TBD with table 120F-1		
•	Comment Type TR Comment Status X	
Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type TR Comment Status X CTLE setting for max loss is TBD	
•		
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 9 #	CTLE setting for max loss is TBD SuggestedRemedy	includes min g_DC and
Proposed Response Response Status O	CTLE setting for max loss is TBD SuggestedRemedy add table of supported CTLE per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 where i	includes min g_DC and
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 9 # Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X Ioss at TP1a is TBD plus two more TBDs on the same line	CTLE setting for max loss is TBD SuggestedRemedy add table of supported CTLE per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 where i g_DC_HP, min g_DC=10 dB and min g_DC_HP=2 dB	
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 9 # Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X Ioss at TP1a is TBD plus two more TBDs on the same line	CTLE setting for max loss is TBD SuggestedRemedy add table of supported CTLE per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 where i g_DC_HP, min g_DC=10 dB and min g_DC_HP=2 dB Proposed Response Response Status O	
Proposed Response Response Status O CI 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 9 # Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X Ioss at TP1a is TBD plus two more TBDs on the same line SuggestedRemedy	CTLE setting for max loss is TBD SuggestedRemedy add table of supported CTLE per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 where i g_DC_HP, min g_DC=10 dB and min g_DC_HP=2 dB Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P231 L22	
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 9 # Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X loss at TP1a is TBD plus two more TBDs on the same line SuggestedRemedy TP1a is 19.2 dB. The 19.2 dB loss represents 16 dB channels loss	CTLE setting for max loss is TBD SuggestedRemedy add table of supported CTLE per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 where i g_DC_HP, min g_DC=10 dB and min g_DC_HP=2 dB Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 22 Dudek, Mike Marvell	# <u>10062</u> C2M VEC
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 9 # Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X loss at TP1a is TBD plus two more TBDs on the same line SuggestedRemedy TP1a is 19.2 dB. The 19.2 dB loss represents 16 dB channels loss	CTLE setting for max loss is TBD SuggestedRemedy add table of supported CTLE per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 where i g_DC_HP, min g_DC=10 dB and min g_DC_HP=2 dB Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 22 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type T Comment Status D	# <u>10062</u> <i>C2M VEC</i> Pg 224 - ln 22]
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 9 # Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X loss at TP1a is TBD plus two more TBDs on the same line SuggestedRemedy TP1a is 19.2 dB. The 19.2 dB loss represents 16 dB channels loss	CTLE setting for max loss is TBD SuggestedRemedy add table of supported CTLE per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 where i g_DC_HP, min g_DC=10 dB and min g_DC_HP=2 dB Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 22 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type T Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.3.4.1.1 - P Multiple presentations have shown that the VEC at TP1a is mo	# <u>10062</u> <i>C2M VEC</i> Pg 224 - ln 22]
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 9 # Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X loss at TP1a is TBD plus two more TBDs on the same line SuggestedRemedy TP1a is 19.2 dB. The 19.2 dB loss represents 16 dB channels loss	CTLE setting for max loss is TBD SuggestedRemedy add table of supported CTLE per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 where i g_DC_HP, min g_DC=10 dB and min g_DC_HP=2 dB Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 231 L 22 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type T Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.3.4.1.1 - P Multiple presentations have shown that the VEC at TP1a is more performance than just the eye opening.	# <u>10062</u> <i>C2M VEC</i> Pg 224 - In 22] ore critical for end to end Nove the sentence on line 22 shasis that it applies to both

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1	P231 L23	# 112	C/ 120G SC 120G.4.	2 P 232	L 9	# 116
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	tum/Inphi	
Comment Type TR Comment S	Status X		Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
CTLE setting for min loss is TBD			TP4 need its own refe	rence receiver table		
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy			
add table of supported CTLE per ghia g_DC_HP, min g_DC=4 dB and min g		des min g_DC and		at references table of gDC/gD icent b1max=0.15, b[2-4]max		
Proposed Response Response S	Status O		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2	P232 L3	# 10273	C/ 120G SC 120G.4.	2 P 232	L15	# 10158
Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semiconductor		Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		
Comment Type TR Comment S	Status D	C2M VEC	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		
[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0). Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - Ir	n 28]	[Comment resubmitte	d from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G	.4.2 - Pg 225 - In	40]
proposed in sun_3ck_02_1119.pdf (p	age 3) is a better alternative, t	because it takes	Where are the limits for			
Replace "Vertical eye closure (max)" (max)".	cator of channel insertion loss in Table 120G-1 with "Effective		Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.4.	Response Status O 2 P232	L15	# [143
account of EH (eye height) as an indi SuggestedRemedy Replace "Vertical eye closure (max)"	cator of channel insertion loss in Table 120G-1 with "Effective vertical eye closure.	a. re vertical eye closure	Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.4. Dawe, Piers	Response Status O 2 P 232 Mellanox	L15	# [143
account of EH (eye height) as an indi SuggestedRemedy Replace "Vertical eye closure (max)" (max)". Add a sub section to define effective A presentation of a detail proposal will	cator of channel insertion loss in Table 120G-1 with "Effective vertical eye closure. Il be given at the January mee	a. re vertical eye closure	Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR The allowed CTLE se	Response Status O 2 P232	ot the same as fo	r TP1a and TP4 far
account of EH (eye height) as an indi- SuggestedRemedy Replace "Vertical eye closure (max)" (max)". Add a sub section to define effective A presentation of a detail proposal wil Proposed Response Response S	cator of channel insertion loss in Table 120G-1 with "Effective vertical eye closure. Il be given at the January mee	a. re vertical eye closure	Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR The allowed CTLE se	Response Status O 2 P 232 Mellanox Comment Status X ttings for TP4 near end are no	ot the same as fo	r TP1a and TP4 far
account of EH (eye height) as an india SuggestedRemedy Replace "Vertical eye closure (max)" (max)". Add a sub section to define effective A presentation of a detail proposal will Proposed Response Response S Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2	cator of channel insertion loss in Table 120G-1 with "Effective vertical eye closure. Il be given at the January mee Status O	:. re vertical eye closure eting.	Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR The allowed CTLE se end, and as Ali and H SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O 2 P 232 Mellanox Comment Status X ttings for TP4 near end are no	ot the same as fo simple min/max	r TP1a and TP4 far
account of EH (eye height) as an indi SuggestedRemedy Replace "Vertical eye closure (max)" (max)". Add a sub section to define effective A presentation of a detail proposal will Proposed Response Response S	cator of channel insertion loss in Table 120G-1 with "Effective vertical eye closure. Il be given at the January mee Status O P 232 L 9 Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Status X	:. re vertical eye closure eting.	Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR The allowed CTLE se end, and as Ali and H SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P 232 Mellanox <i>Comment Status</i> X ttings for TP4 near end are no have proposed, should not be	ot the same as fo simple min/max	r TP1a and TP4 far
account of EH (eye height) as an india SuggestedRemedy Replace "Vertical eye closure (max)" (max)". Add a sub section to define effective of A presentation of a detail proposal will Proposed Response Response S Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Comment S TP5 need its own reference receiver to	cator of channel insertion loss in Table 120G-1 with "Effective vertical eye closure. Il be given at the January mee Status O P 232 L 9 Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Status X	:. re vertical eye closure eting.	Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR The allowed CTLE se end, and as Ali and H SuggestedRemedy Replace with tables fr	Response Status O P 232 Mellanox Comment Status X ttings for TP4 near end are no have proposed, should not be om Ali or me. Also see D1.0	ot the same as fo simple min/max	r TP1a and TP4 far
account of EH (eye height) as an india SuggestedRemedy Replace "Vertical eye closure (max)" (max)". Add a sub section to define effective A presentation of a detail proposal will Proposed Response Response S Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Comment S	cator of channel insertion loss in Table 120G-1 with "Effectiv vertical eye closure. Il be given at the January mee Status O P232 L9 Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Status X table ble of gDC/gDC2 for TP4. In t	the new table	Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR The allowed CTLE se end, and as Ali and H SuggestedRemedy Replace with tables fr	Response Status O P 232 Mellanox Comment Status X ttings for TP4 near end are no have proposed, should not be om Ali or me. Also see D1.0	ot the same as fo simple min/max	r TP1a and TP4 far
account of EH (eye height) as an india SuggestedRemedy Replace "Vertical eye closure (max)" (max)". Add a sub section to define effective of A presentation of a detail proposal will Proposed Response Response S Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Comment S TP5 need its own reference receiver to SuggestedRemedy Create a new table that references tal	cator of channel insertion loss in Table 120G-1 with "Effective vertical eye closure. Il be given at the January mee Status O P232 L9 Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Status X table ble of gDC/gDC2 for TP4. In t 3, b[2-4]max=0.08 and n0=8.3	the new table	Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR The allowed CTLE se end, and as Ali and H SuggestedRemedy Replace with tables fr	Response Status O P 232 Mellanox Comment Status X ttings for TP4 near end are no have proposed, should not be om Ali or me. Also see D1.0	ot the same as fo simple min/max	r TP1a and TP4 far
account of EH (eye height) as an india SuggestedRemedy Replace "Vertical eye closure (max)" (max)". Add a sub section to define effective of A presentation of a detail proposal will Proposed Response Response S Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Comment S TP5 need its own reference receiver to SuggestedRemedy Create a new table that references tal DFE normalized coefficent b1max=0.	cator of channel insertion loss in Table 120G-1 with "Effective vertical eye closure. Il be given at the January mee Status O P232 L9 Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Status X table ble of gDC/gDC2 for TP4. In t 3, b[2-4]max=0.08 and n0=8.3	the new table	Proposed Response Cl 120G SC 120G.4. Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR The allowed CTLE se end, and as Ali and H SuggestedRemedy Replace with tables fr	Response Status O P 232 Mellanox Comment Status X ttings for TP4 near end are no have proposed, should not be om Ali or me. Also see D1.0	ot the same as fo simple min/max	r TP1a and TP4 far

C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2

CI 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L15 # 10197	C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L19 # 10143
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L 19 # 10143 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type T Comment Status D
[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 40]	[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - In 46]
gDC max gian of 14 dB is unecessary with a DFE receiver and channel <=16 dB	Are 1 dB steps for gDC2 fine enough?
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
12 dB would be more than adequete and with further study we can even further reduce	the Change to 1/2 dB?
gDC.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	
	C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L19 # 10199
C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L 15 # 114	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Is not necessary to allow all combination of gDC and gDC2	[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 40]
	To speed up testing and eliminating weired cases one should gDC/gDC2 combinations
SuggestedBernedy	To opeda up todang and diminiating nered bacob one bread gb o/gb oz combinatione
	SuggestedPamady
SuggestedRemedy Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per objaci. 3ck. 01, 0320	SuggestedRemedy See objection of allowed CTLE combinations
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations.
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320	
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations.
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L 19 # 10157	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations. Proposed Response Response Status O
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 # 10157 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 30 # 140
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 # 10157 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 30 # 140 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status X The C2M normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limits need to be chosen carefully so t
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 # 10157 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - In 44]	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 30 # 140 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status X The C2M normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limits need to be chosen carefully so t the reference receiver is not better than, or grossly different to, a range of real receiver
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 # 10157 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - In 44] This allows combinations such as gDC=-3, gDC2=-3 that should not happen, receivers don't need to design for, and waste time in the "for each valid combination of gDC and	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 30 # 140 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status X The C2M normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limits need to be chosen carefully so t the reference receiver is not better than, or grossly different to, a range of real receiver implementations. Optical modules probably won't use this classic DFE. This requires
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 # 10157 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - In 44] This allows combinations such as gDC=-3, gDC2=-3 that should not happen, receivers	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 30 # 140 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status X The C2M normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limits need to be chosen carefully so t the reference receiver is not better than, or grossly different to, a range of real receiver implementations. Optical modules probably won't use this classic DFE. This requires
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 10157 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - In 44] This allows combinations such as gDC=-3, gDC2=-3 that should not happen, receivers don't need to design for, and waste time in the "for each valid combination of gDC and gDC2" measurement procedure. SuggestedRemedy	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 30 # 140 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status X The C2M normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limits need to be chosen carefully so t the reference receiver is not better than, or grossly different to, a range of real receiver implementations. Optical modules probably won't use this classic DFE. This requires separate max and min tap limits. See hidaka_3ck_adhoc_01_021920 for example tap
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 10157 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - In 44] This allows combinations such as gDC=-3, gDC2=-3 that should not happen, receivers don't need to design for, and waste time in the "for each valid combination of gDC and gDC2" measurement procedure. SuggestedRemedy Limit the combinations:	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 30 # 140 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status X The C2M normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limits need to be chosen carefully so t the reference receiver is not better than, or grossly different to, a range of real receiver implementations. Optical modules probably won't use this classic DFE. This requires separate max and min tap limits. See hidaka_3ck_adhoc_01_021920 for example tap weights found. SuggestedRemedy Tap 1 min 0.15 max 0.45
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 10157 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - In 44] This allows combinations such as gDC=-3, gDC2=-3 that should not happen, receivers don't need to design for, and waste time in the "for each valid combination of gDC and gDC2" measurement procedure. SuggestedRemedy	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 30 # 140 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status X The C2M normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limits need to be chosen carefully so t the reference receiver is not better than, or grossly different to, a range of real receiver implementations. Optical modules probably won't use this classic DFE. This requires separate max and min tap limits. See hidaka_3ck_adhoc_01_021920 for example tap weights found. SuggestedRemedy Tap 1 min 0.15 max 0.45 Tap 2 min -0.1 max 0.1
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 # 10157 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - In 44] This allows combinations such as gDC=-3, gDC2=-3 that should not happen, receivers don't need to design for, and waste time in the "for each valid combination of gDC and gDC2" measurement procedure. SuggestedRemedy Limit the combinations: gDC gDC 0 or 1 3 to 14 2 6 to 14	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 30 # 140 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status X The C2M normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limits need to be chosen carefully so t the reference receiver is not better than, or grossly different to, a range of real receiver implementations. Optical modules probably won't use this classic DFE. This requires separate max and min tap limits. See hidaka_3ck_adhoc_01_021920 for example tap weights found. SuggestedRemedy Tap 1 min 0.15 max 0.45 Tap 2 min -0.1 max 0.1 Taps 3, 4 min -0.05 max 0.05 Adjust names of limits and 93A.1 to support separate max and min limits; see another
Move gDC and gDC2 into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 # 10157 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - In 44] This allows combinations such as gDC=-3, gDC2=-3 that should not happen, receivers don't need to design for, and waste time in the "for each valid combination of gDC and gDC2" measurement procedure. SuggestedRemedy Limit the combinations: gDC gDC 0 or 1 3 to 14	See ghiasi_3ck_03_0120 for table of allowed CTLE combinations. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L30 # 140 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status X The C2M normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limits need to be chosen carefully so t the reference receiver is not better than, or grossly different to, a range of real receiver implementations. Optical modules probably won't use this classic DFE. This requires separate max and min tap limits. See hidaka_3ck_adhoc_01_021920 for example tap weights found. SuggestedRemedy Tap 1 min 0.15 max 0.45 Tap 2 min -0.1 max 0.1 Taps 3, 4 min -0.05 max 0.05

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl	120G	
SC	120G.4.2	

Page 18 of 40 2020-02-27 11:49:38 A

C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L 30 # 113	Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L 32 # 149
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
DFE tap weights are TBD	For the one-sided noise spectral density, currently TBD V^2/GHz, the middle option in
SuggestedRemedy	hidaka_3ck_adhoc_01_021920 looks promising. However, expressing this as a noise sepctral density may be more clumsy and complicated than necessary.
Replace bmax(1)=0.3 and bmax[2-4]=0.1, see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 supporting presentation	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	Use 4.1e-8 for now.
	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L 31 # 10145	
Dawe, Piers Mellanox	C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L32 # 115
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 10]	Comment Type TR Comment Status X One sided noise spectral density is TBD
We need minimum limits for the C2M normalized DFE coefficient magnitudes. We saw for backplane that the minimum limits should be very different to the maximum limits.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Replae TBD with 8.2e-9 V^2/GHz
Add bmin limits.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	
	C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L 33 # 141
C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L 32 # 10155	Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Dawe, Piers Mellanox	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
Comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 11]	Need a way to account for the additional reflections that are plaguing our short-channel analyses, but trying to put capacitors on the software transmission line in the scope seem impractical.
In the same way that COM has eta0, this measurement should have a standardised	SuggestedRemedy
"added" noise to represent noise that a product might have but the measurement doesn't, so that the reference receiver is not better than a range of real receiver implementations.	Add a second noise items in the measurement, a set ratio to sum(AVupp + AVmid + AVlow). To be RSSd with the measured, equalised signal.
This can be a constant in mV or V/2/GHz. Further, it needs a second noise term to account for reflections that a product might have but the measurement doesn't. This is proportional to the signal, so can be a set ratio to sum(AVupp + AVmid + AVlow).	Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy	
Include two noise items in the measurement, one a constant in mV or V^2/GHz, the other a set ratio to sum(AVupp + AVmid + AVlow). To be RSSd with the measured, equalised signal. Allow RSSing out the scope noise (as done in TDECQ) if it's significant.	

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2

C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L 33	# 10274	C/ 120G	SC 120G.4.2		P 232	L 37	# 137
Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor		Dawe, Piers			Mellanox		
Comment Type TR Comment Status D		Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment S	Status X		
[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 28] In the performance study at TP1a in sun_3ck_02_1119.pdf, eta_0 noise of V^2/GHz was added at the CTLE input. However, eta_0 noise is not added		because	it throws away	, the noise and		nal. This metho	d in 162.9.3.1.1", d could be used to find
recever described in 120G.4.2. If we do not add the eta_0 noise in the refer	erence receiver in	SuggestedRe	emedy				
the scope, measurd eye opening will be larger than the performance study.hole in the specification.An easy fix is to add eta_0 noise in the reference receiver.		by applyi observat	ng the effect o ion filter. Actu	of the DFE" is o ally, there is o	captured acordi	ing to 120E but vent, and the mea	
Another option is to re-do the performance study without eta_0 noise in the receiver in order to estimate the performance accurately, but it will take tim	ne. I recommend	Proposed Re	esponse	Response S	Status O		
to add eta_0 noise in the reference receiver for now. We can remove it late re-doing the performance study without eta_0 noise in the reference receive		C/ 120G	SC 120G.4.2		P 232	L 38	# 13
		Hidaka, Yası	OL		Credo Semico	onductor	
		Hidaka, Yası Comment Ty		Comment S		onductor	
Add eta_0 noise of 8.20E-9 V^2/GHz to table 120G-9. Add a step to add eta_0 noise after step b in page 226. Here, eta_0 noise is a gaussian noise consistent with the third term of (93A	A-41).	Comment Ty It is writte plural pa has a sir	<i>pe</i> T en as "associa rameters. How	ted parameters vever, the receipt f_r. A reference	S <i>tatus</i> X s in Table 1200 iver noise filter	G-9" as if the rec H_r(f) defined b	ceiver noise filter had by equation (93A-20) parameter symbol f_r is
SuggestedRemedy Add eta_0 noise of 8.20E-9 V^2/GHz to table 120G-9. Add a step to add eta_0 noise after step b in page 226. Here, eta_0 noise is a gaussian noise consistent with the third term of (93A	A-41).	Comment Ty It is writte plural pa has a sir	pe T en as "associa rameters. How igle parameter ended for clarif	ted parameters vever, the receipt f_r. A reference	S <i>tatus</i> X s in Table 1200 iver noise filter	G-9" as if the rec H_r(f) defined b	y equation (93A-20)
SuggestedRemedy Add eta_0 noise of 8.20E-9 V^2/GHz to table 120G-9. Add a step to add eta_0 noise after step b in page 226. Here, eta_0 noise is a gaussian noise consistent with the third term of (93A Proposed Response Response Status C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L 36	A-41). # [<u>10156</u>]	Comment Ty It is writte plural pa has a sir recomme SuggestedRe	pe T en as "associa rameters. How igle parameter ended for clarif emedy "associated pa	ted parameters vever, the receipt f_r. A reference fication.	Status X s in Table 1200 iver noise filter ce by a singula	G-9" as if the rec H_r(f) defined b r noun with the p	y equation (93A-20)
Add eta_0 noise of 8.20E-9 V^2/GHz to table 120G-9. Add a step to add eta_0 noise after step b in page 226. Here, eta_0 noise is a gaussian noise consistent with the third term of (93A proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P232 L 36 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	·	Comment Ty It is writtu plural pa has a sir recomme SuggestedRo Change	pe T en as "associa rameters. How igle parameter ended for clarif emedy "associated pa	ted parameters vever, the receipt f_r. A reference fication.	Status X s in Table 1200 iver noise filter ce by a singula able 120G-9" to	G-9" as if the rec H_r(f) defined b r noun with the p	oy equation (93A-20) parameter symbol f_r is
Add eta_0 noise of 8.20E-9 V^2/GHz to table 120G-9. Add a step to add eta_0 noise after step b in page 226. Here, eta_0 noise is a gaussian noise consistent with the third term of (93A broposed Response Response Status O To 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 36 Dawe, Piers Mellanox comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 13]	# 10156	Comment Ty It is writtu plural pa has a sir recomme SuggestedRe Change 120G-9".	pe T en as "associa rameters. How igle parameter ended for clarif emedy "associated pa	ted parameters vever, the recei f_r. A reference fication. arameters in Ta <i>Response</i> S	Status X s in Table 1200 iver noise filter ce by a singula able 120G-9" to	G-9" as if the rec H_r(f) defined b r noun with the p	oy equation (93A-20) parameter symbol f_r is
SuggestedRemedy Add eta_0 noise of 8.20E-9 V^2/GHz to table 120G-9. Add a step to add eta_0 noise after step b in page 226. Here, eta_0 noise is a gaussian noise consistent with the third term of (93A Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 36 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 13] This recipe is a weird combination of the existing C2M measurement method, for channels not signals,	# <u>10156</u>	Comment Ty It is writti plural pa has a sir recomme SuggestedRe Change 120G-9". Proposed Re	pe T en as "associa rameters. How igle parameter ended for clarif emedy "associated pa esponse	ted parameters vever, the recei f_r. A reference fication. arameters in Ta <i>Response</i> S	Status X s in Table 1200 iver noise filter ce by a singular able 120G-9" to Status O	G-9" as if the red H_r(f) defined b r noun with the p r associated pa	by equation (93A-20) parameter symbol f_r is rameter f_r in Table
Add eta_0 noise of 8.20E-9 V^2/GHz to table 120G-9. Add a step to add eta_0 noise after step b in page 226. Here, eta_0 noise is a gaussian noise consistent with the third term of (93A Proposed Response Response Status O F1 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 36 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 13] This recipe is a weird combination of the existing C2M measurement method which is a simulation not a measurement method, for channels not signals, backplanes with transmitter training not low power C2M.	# <u>10156</u>	Comment Ty It is writtu plural pa has a sir recomme SuggestedRo Change 120G-9". Proposed Ro Cl 120G	pe T en as "associa rameters. How igle parameter ended for clarif emedy "associated pa esponse SC 120G.4.2	ted parameters vever, the recei f_r. A reference fication. arameters in Ta <i>Response</i> S	Status X s in Table 1200 iver noise filter ce by a singular able 120G-9" to Status O P232 Mellanox	G-9" as if the red H_r(f) defined b r noun with the p r associated pa	by equation (93A-20) parameter symbol f_r is rameter f_r in Table
Add eta_0 noise of 8.20E-9 V^2/GHz to table 120G-9. Add a step to add eta_0 noise after step b in page 226. Here, eta_0 noise is a gaussian noise consistent with the third term of (93A troposed Response Response Status O 120 SC 120G.4.2 P232 L36 Dawe, Piers Mellanox comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 13] This recipe is a weird combination of the existing C2M measurement methor which is a simulation not a measurement method, for channels not signals, backplanes with transmitter training not low power C2M. uggestedRemedy	# 10156	Comment Ty It is writtu plural pa has a sir recomme SuggestedRe Change 120G-9". Proposed Re Cl 120G Dawe, Piers Comment Ty	pe T en as "associa rameters. How igle parameter ended for clarif emedy "associated pa asponse SC 120G.4.2 pe TR	ted parameters vever, the recei f_r. A reference fication. arameters in Ta <i>Response</i> S	Status X s in Table 1200 iver noise filter ce by a singular able 120G-9" to Status O P232 Mellanox Status X	G-9" as if the red H_r(f) defined b r noun with the p r associated pa	by equation (93A-20) parameter symbol f_r is rameter f_r in Table
SuggestedRemedy Add eta_0 noise of 8.20E-9 V^2/GHz to table 120G-9. Add a step to add eta_0 noise after step b in page 226. Here, eta_0 noise is a gaussian noise consistent with the third term of (93A Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 36 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 13] This recipe is a weird combination of the existing C2M measurement method, for channels not signals, backplanes with transmitter training not low power C2M. SuggestedRemedy Unless someone can show that it works, change to the CTLE/FFE method	# 10156	Comment Ty It is writtu plural pa has a sir recomme SuggestedRe Change 120G-9". Proposed Re Cl 120G Dawe, Piers Comment Ty	pe T en as "associa rameters. How igle parameter ended for clarif emedy "associated pa asponse SC 120G.4.2 pe TR ccount for sco	ted parameters vever, the recei f_r. A reference fication. arameters in Ta <i>Response S</i>	Status X s in Table 1200 iver noise filter ce by a singular able 120G-9" to Status O P232 Mellanox Status X	G-9" as if the red H_r(f) defined b r noun with the p r associated pa	by equation (93A-20) parameter symbol f_r is rameter f_r in Table
SuggestedRemedy Add eta_0 noise of 8.20E-9 V^2/GHz to table 120G-9. Add a step to add eta_0 noise after step b in page 226. Here, eta_0 noise is a gaussian noise consistent with the third term of (93A Proposed Response Response Status C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2 Page L 36 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 13] This recipe is a weird combination of the existing C2M measurement method, for channels not signals, backplanes with transmitter training not low power C2M. SuggestedRemedy	# 10156	Comment Ty It is writtu plural pa has a sir recomme SuggestedRe Change 120G-9". Proposed Re Cl 120G Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Should a SuggestedRe	pe T en as "associa rameters. How igle parameter ended for clarif emedy "associated pa asponse SC 120G.4.2 pe TR ccount for sco emedy	ted parameters vever, the receir f_r. A reference fication. arameters in Ta <i>Response</i> S <i>Comment</i> S ope noise as TE	Status X s in Table 1200 iver noise filter ce by a singular able 120G-9" to Status O P232 Mellanox Status X DECQ does.	G-9" as if the red H_r(f) defined b r noun with the p r associated pa	y equation (93A-20) parameter symbol f_r is rameter f_r in Table # <u>142</u>

C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2

C/ 120G SC 120G.	4.2 P 232	L 45	# 10166	C/ 120G SC 120G.	4.2 P 233	L 6	# 10066
Li, Mike	Intel			Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
[Comment resubmit	ted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G	.4.2 - Pg 226 - In	24]	[Comment resubmit	ted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 1200	6.4.2 - Pg 226 - Ir	33]
	not appripriate as UI becomes	half in second.		The paragraph desc is difficult to follow.	ribing what the measured value	es of Eye height,	Eye width and VEC are
SuggestedRemedy "Np equal to 200" to	"No equal to 400"			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			vertical eye closure	his paragraph with "The measu are the values obtained with th ight above the target value and	e combination of	gDC and gDC2 that
C/ 120G SC 120G.	-	L 45	# 10165	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Li, Mike	Intel						
Comment Type TR [Comment resubmit	Comment Status D ted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G	.4.2 - Pa 226 - In	24]	C/ 120G SC 120G3	.2 P 224	L 44	# 97
		-	1	Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quar	itum/Inphi	
"Dp equal to 3" is no	ot right as there are 3 pre-taps f	or the host		Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	·	
SuggestedRemedy				Near end ESMW is	TBD		
change "Dp equal to	o 3" to ""Dp equal to 4".			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			,	.175 UI see ghiasi_3ck_01_03	20	
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 120G SC 120G.4	4.2 P 233	L 6	# 10167		•		
Li, Mike	Intel			C/ 120G SC 120G3	.2 P 224	L 44	# 100
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D						# 100
[Comment resubmit	ted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G	.4.2 - Pg 226 - In	33]	Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status X	itum/inpni	
"Within the set of co	mbinations of gDC and gDC2 v	vith eve height m	eting the target	Comment Type TR Far-end eye height i			
requirement, for the	5 5	nin eye neight m	setting the target		3 100		
5	llest vertical eye closure, the ey	e height, eye wid	th, and vertical eye	SuggestedRemedy	0 m// soo abiasi 3ck 01 0220		
	ed values.", VEC alone will not			Proposed Response	0 mV see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 Response Status 0	1	
whole sentences is	nbination of VEC and EH, which not good.	IS EVEC. Furthe	r, the clarity of the				
SuggestedRemedy	J						
	entence to: "Within the set of co	mbinations of gD	C and gDC2, the eye				
	nd vertical eye closure, resulting the measured values."	in the smallest o	effective vertical eye				
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
· ·							
		, ,			e t		
	uired ER/editorial required GR				C/ 1	20G	Page 21 of 40

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120G SC 120G3.2 Page 21 of 40 2020-02-27 11:49:38 A

C/ 120G SC 120G3.2	P 224	L 46	# 98	C/ 152 SC 152	P110	L1	# 50
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi		Brown, Matt	Huawei Techi	nologies Canada	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Cor	mment Status X	-	
Near-end eye height is 7	TBD			Clause 152 was updated in 80	02.3ct Draft 1.2 such th	nat the Inverse Fl	EC is generic and no
SuggestedRemedy				amendments are required.			
Replae TBD with 50 mV	see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Delete Clause 152.			
	·			Proposed Response Res	ponse Status O		
C/ 120G SC 120G3.2	P 224	L 47	# 99			1.0	"
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi		C/ 161 SC 161.5.2.6	P114	L 3	# 23
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom		
Far end ESMW is TBD					mment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				In a) and c) the first sentence should be the same	It is "if" while the seco	nd sentence "If" I	s in. Seems like the
Replace TBD with 0.175	UI see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320)		SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Change them to all be "if"			
				0	ponse Status O		
CI 120G SC 120G3.3.2	P 227	L19	# 103				
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi		C/ 161 SC 161.5.2.6	P114	L7	# 24
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom		
Far-end eye height is TE	BD				mment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				Missing coma after the x <= 3			
Replace TBD with 20 m	V see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Add the coma			
				Proposed Response Res	ponse Status O		
C/ 135A SC 135A.2	P 238	L 12	# 29				
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom						
Comment Type E	Comment Status X						
••	nt be bold while MMD8 and N	/MD1 are not					
SuggestedRemedy							
Fix the font for MMD 9							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 161 SC 161.5.2.6

C/ 161	SC 161.5.2.6	P11	5 L 39	# 88
Nicholl, Sh	nawn	Xilinx		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	х	
	161-4 contains the sub-clau		while the term "ar	m_txmapped" is used
Suggested	Remedy			
Propos locatio		re 161-4 to change "a	am_mapped" to "a	m_txmapped" in two
Proposed	Response	Response Status	0	
C/ 161	SC 161.5.4.3	P12	2 L 122	# 89
Nicholl, Sh	nawn	Xilinx		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	х	
Figure	161-6 incorrectly	contains "pcs_enabl	e_skew" in the DE	SKEW state.
Suggested	Remedy			
	se to update the I nable_deskew".	DESKEW state of Fig	ure 161-6 to chan	ge "pcs_enable_skew" to
Proposed	Response	Response Status	o	
C/ 161	SC 161.6	P 12	3 L 3	# 42
Gustlin, M	ark	Cisco	Systems	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	х	
		are very useful for ur m counters for the R		erformance of an interface.
Suggestea	Remedy			
		t MDIO function map rough RS-FEC symb		registers: RS-FEC symbol vord 15 (a total of 15

error per codeword 1 through RS-FEC symbol error per codeword 15 (a total of 15 registers). 32b each. Each counter counts the number of codewords that contain that specific number of errors. Also add an RS-FEC codeword counter that counts all of the codewords that are received (errored or not), also 32 bits. Note that each of these counters counts all codewords, we do no break these out by interleaved instance.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 161	SC 161.6		P 123	L 25	# 21	
Slavick, Je	ff		Broadcom			
		-				

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

PHY stackup is based upon the given PHY type. When layers within that stackup is optional to implement then the existence of that layer in the stackup maybe there or not. When the layer is mandatory to implement the layer is always there. If a layer is optional to use then a method to bypass it's function is provided for the cases when it's implemented but functionality is being skipped. Cl74 (74.8.2), Cl108 (108.6.3), Cl73 (73.6.10) all provide methods to "bypass" the functionality of the clause when not in use. Cl91 and Cl161 don't have this bypass function in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 161-1 add mapping to register 1.200.5 as RS_FEC_Int_enable. Add sub-clause describing this bit as "161.6,.14 RS_FEC_Int_enable

The RS-FEC-Int sublayer shall have the capability to enable or disable the FEC function. An MDIO interface or an equivalent management interface shall be provided to access the variable RS_FEC_Int_Enable for the RS-FEC-Int sublayer. When RS_FEC_Int_Enable variable is set to a one, the RS-FEC-Int sublayer performs the transmit function as specified in 161.5.2 and the receive function as specified in 161.5.3. When the variable is set to zero, the transmit and receive functions are disabled, and the RS-FEC-Int sublayer is bypassed, effectively connecting its service interface to the service interface of its underlying sublayer. This variable is mapped to the bit defined in 45.2.1.110.aa." In Table 45-88 assign bit 6 to be RS-FEC Enable with 1-RS-FEC is enabled, 0 - RS-FEC is disabled. R/W

Description for this bit "Bit 1.200.6 enables the Reed-Solomon FEC described in Clause 91 for PHYs that include both Clause 161 and Clause 91.

Bring in Table 91-2 from 802.3cd-2018 and add a row for RS-FEC Enable, RS FEC enable, 1.200.6, RS FEC enable

Add new sub-clause to describe the FEC_enable variable as "91.6.2a RS_FEC_enable For PHYs supporting RS-FEC-Int operation this sublayer shall have the capability to enable or disable its FEC function. An MDIO interface or an equivalent management interface shall be provided to access the variable RS_FEC_Enable for the RS-FEC sublayer. When RS_FEC_Enable variable is set to zero, the RS-FEC sublayer performs the transmit function as specified in 91.5.2 and the receive function as specified in 91.5.3. When the variable is set to a one, the transmit and receive functions are disabled, and the RS-FEC sublayer is bypassed, effectively connecting its service interface to the service interface of its underlying sublayer. This variable is mapped to the bit defined in 45.2.1.110.xx."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/generation	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

C/ 161 SC 161.6 Page 23 of 40 2020-02-27 11:49:39 A

CI 162 SC 162.2	P134	L 10	# 6	C/ 162	SC 162.7	P137	L 24	# 25
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Desi	gn Systems		Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
Make Clause 119 a c	cross reference					nch of new entries that don't ma	p to anything	g. Some of the existing
SuggestedRemedy					are wrong a	as well		
Add cross reference	to Clause 119			SuggestedRe				
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			7 rows fro	m Table 162 -6. Replac	 Rename Table 162-5 to "MDI 2-6 to Table 162-5, inserting bef e the rows after Seed 0 in Table 	ore Restart t	raining row. Delete
C/ 162 SC 162.7	P137	L 6	# 7	Receiver		BASE-R PMD status 1		
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Desi	gn Systems		Frame loc Start-up p	<pre>k # rotocol statu</pre>	BASE-R PMD status 1. IS # BASE-R PMD status		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Training fa		BASE-R PMD status 1.		
referenced in Clause SuggestedRemedy	and status variables in Tables 16 162. Table 162-5 and 162-6 that refer <i>Response Status</i> 0			Rx frame Initial con Coefficien Coefficien Receiver Initial con Coefficien	n and preco lock # dition reques t select # t request # ready # dition status t status # n and preco	LP status # 1.(1220 st # LP control # 1.(111 LP control # 1.(112 LP control # 1.(112 LD status # 1.(142 # LD status # 1.(142 LD status # 1.(142	1.(1220+#).1)+#).9 rer 20+#).13:12 0+#).4:2 cc 20+#).1:0 c 0+#).15 lc 0+#).8 ic 0+#).2:0 c	oef_sel coef_req ocal_rx_ready c_sts
					SC 162.8.11	, -	L 23	# 18
				Sun, Junging		Credo Semico	nductor	
				Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
				51	_timer nees	to be extended for 100G due to	high comple	exity. 15 seconds has
				SuggestedRe	medy			
				00	,	qual to 15 seconds. 10s is the s	econd choice	e.

C/ 162 SC 162.8.11

C/ 162	SC 162.8.11	P 145	L 34	# 10247
Ran, Adee		Intel		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.8.11 - Pg 138 - In 32]

The PMD control function as currently specified is only effective during start up.

Operation across a wide range of temperatures in some environments may cause slow changes in channel and device characteristics that may require occasional changes of the Tx equalization, preferably without link flaps. It would be good to enable doing it while the link is up.

In Data mode, the startup (training) protocol is inactive. We can specify that when mr_training_en set to 0, instead of exchanging the control and status fields through the protocol, these fields will be written to and read from management registers if MDIO is implemented. Management can relay the control and status fields to/from the link partner through higher level messaging (such as LLDP).

A detailed proposal is planned, but the requested addition in the PMD clauses is a subclause for behavior of the PMD control function when training is false (data mode).

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following paragraphs:

When the training variable is set to false (see 136.8.11.7.1), the PMD control function may optiionally continue using Equalization control as defined 136.8.11.4 in the SEND_DATA state, using MDIO registers or alternative methods to exchange control and status fields with the link partner instead of the training frame specified in 136.8.11.1.

NOTE--When training is false, any update to variables corresponding to a change of the Modulation and precoding request bits or the Initial condition request bits, or to setting the Coefficient request bits to "No equalization", can be disruptive to a network.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P140	L 8	# 62
Ran, Adee		Intel		
Common 1 7		Comment Clathin N		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The maximum step size for c(1) is 0.05, while for all other coefficient it is 0.02.

Having a larger size for c(1) than for c(0) in the transmitter can create unexpected complexities to an optimization algorithm in the receiver (which has no way to tell if the sizes are equal or not). Training algorithms can be made simpler if the steps are nominally equal for all coefficients, so that decrements/increments in c(1) have the same effect on signal swing as other coefficients.

From the transmitter's point of view, there is little benefit, if at all, from having c(1) with a larger step size than all others.

Note that this commend is specific to the Tx electrical specifications. The COM search grid does not necessarily have to change (especially since c(1) is usually set to 0 in COM).

A presentation with further explanations is planned.

SuggestedRemedy

Change step size limits for c(1) to align with all other coefficients.

Add a recommendation that implementations should have the same nominal step size for all coefficients, with editorial license.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 162 SC 162.9.3

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P140	L10	# 63	C/ 162	SC 16	2.9.3	P146	L 27	# 10003
Ran, Adee	9	Intel			Mellitz, Ri	chard		Samtec		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status D		ERL
compl		e of 2% for a PAM4 equalizer ased transmitter implementa specs.						from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.9.	-	27]
6/0.02 values	5=240 possible v . This means an	2.5% specification in 802.3cd values, while with a 2% step s additional bit should be used nalog circuits should enable r	ize it is requires in the logic impl	6/0.02=300 possible ementing the FFE and			U	RL(min) to 11 dB as sugges Response Status O	ted on slide 5 c	of mellitz_3ck_04_1119.
this sn	nall change in re	power consumption of the FFI solution, with a non-naive des ng to be consumed regardless	ign, is about 0.3	-0.4 pJ/bit. This	<i>Cl</i> 162 Healey, A	SC 162	2.9.3	P 147 Broadcom Inc	L 9	# [74
from ti little de will jus	his finer resolutio ependence on the st waste power.	k_adhoc_01_021920, COM s n is negligible. It is expected e step size. Therefore, requiri	that real life perf	ormance will also have	Suggeste	naximum st dRemedy	tep size	Comment Status X e for the transmitter equalizer step size to 0.025 for all coef		unnecessarily small.
Suggested	-		- (0) (- 0 005		Proposed	Response		Response Status O		
	, , ,	ues for c(-3), c(-2), c(-1), and	c(0) to 0.025.		, reposed	ricoponico				
Proposed	Response	Response Status O								
C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P146	L 19	# 73						
Healey, A	dam	Broadcom Inc	-							
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X								
referei Increm	nce clocks with a	y tolerance on the signaling r t least half of this tolerance a ents to receiver performance nce.	re available at si	milar costs.						
Suggested	Remedy									
	e the frequency 1, 120G-3. 120G	tolerance to +/-50 ppm in Tab -4, and 120G-7.	les 162-8, 162-1	1, 163-5, 120F-1,						
Proposed	Response	Response Status O								

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P147	L 10	# 10249		C/ 162	SC	162.9.3	P147	L 24	# 10252
Ran, Adee	•	Intel			_	Ran, Adee			Intel		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D				Comment	Гуре	т	Comment Status D		
[Comr	nent resubmitted	from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.9.3	3 - Pg 140 - In 1	0]		[Comm	ent re	submitted	from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.9.	3 - Pg 140 - In 2	24]
comple		e of 2% for a PAM4 equalizer ased transmitter implementat			d			even-odd a half-rate	jitter is specified here. This is e clock.	s mainly require	d for transmitters which
6/0.02 values	5=240 possible v . This means an	2.5% specification in 802.3cd alues, while with a 2% step s additional bit should be used nalog circuits should enable n	ze it is requires in the logic imp	6/0.02=300 possible lementing the FFE an	d	rate. T quarter With q	nis is a r-rate c uarter-i	high freq locking (1 rate signa	ng, a >26.3 GHz clock is need uency for current CMOS proc 3.3 GHz clock) should be cor ling, even if the even-odd jitte	esses and impl nsidered. er (mismatches	ementations with between phases 0:2
this sn	nall change in res	ower consumption of the FFE solution, with a non-naive des ig to be consumed regardless	ign, is about 0.3	3-0.4 pJ/bit. This		betwee measu	n phas rement	ses 0:1 an ts do not c	ntrolled to meet the specificat d between 2:3) can be large, cover this impairment. ature jitter so a similar portior	and the current	
		ner resolution has not been ar blementation burden and pow				New s	oecifica	ation for qu	uadrature jitter will be provide	d in future conti	ibutions. I assume it
Suggested	Remedy)J measurment with slight mo can be left as TBD.	difications. For	the time being the
	e the (max.) valu output values).	ues for c(-3) to c(0) to 0.024 (v	which can be me	et with a DAC capable		Suggested	Remec	dy			
Proposed	Response	Response Status O				Add a	ine for	"Quadrat	ure jitter, Pk-Pk", with subcla	use reference T	BD, and value 0.019 UI
,	,					Proposed I	Respor	nse	Response Status O		
C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P147	L 20	# 65							
Mellitz, Rid	chard	Samtec									
	needs be 0.5 dB	Comment Status X less than SNR_Tx to accour ut proper presented data.	t for measurem	ents. Straw poll on th	s						
Suggested Replac	<i>Remedy</i> ce SNDR 32.2 dE	3 with 31.5 dB									
Proposed	Response	Response Status O									

C/ 162 SC 162.9.3

Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status X For testing the range of c(1) and c(-1) ou lump that both c(0) and the tap are at their respective minimum values, c(-2) where c(0) is at it's minimum. C 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 43 # 26 Stavick, Jeff Sore status A	C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1	P 148	L 1	# 57	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	.1.5	P 150	L 34	# 51
The COM parameter b_max(n) for n=2 is 0.3. This resulted from observations that for some channels there is a large 2nd postcursor after the linear equalization performed in the COM results. A combination of CTLE, FFE in the receiver, and possibly the Tx equalization (a combination of CTLE, FFE in the receiver, and possibly the Tx equalization to in brande this 18). There are 3 taps so 'both' should be deleted. If linear equalization. Graphic Combination of CTLE, FFE in the receiver, and possibly the Tx equalization (a combination of CTLE, FFE in the receiver, and possibly the Tx equalization to in brande this 18). There are 3 taps so 'both' should be deleted. If linear equalization is required for the 2nd postcursor then it may be beneficial to make it available in the transmitter by adding 0(+2). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter by adding 0(+2). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter by adding 0(+2). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter by adding 0(+2). Implementation of another tap in the simple (mpact on power etc. is low). Receivers may chose whether to use in tertual implementations of another tap may just increase run time and is not expected to change the results. Noveever, (ct) () (and the top are et 1) for most channels, so that this at its minimum values, to (-3) having received sufficient 'decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, and (-3) having received sufficient 'decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, and (-3) having received sufficient 'decrement 'requests so that it is at its minimum values, c(-3) having received sufficient 'decrement'requests so that it is at its minimum values, c(-3) having received sufficient 'decrement'requests so that it is at its minimum values, c(-3) having received sufficient 'decrement'requests so that it is at its minimum values, c(-3) having received sufficien	Ran, Adee	Intel			Brown, Ma	att		Huawei Tech	nologies Canada	1 <u></u>
channels there is a large 2nd postcursor after the linear equalization performed in the COM SuggestedRemedy calculation. Auser of the 2nd postcursor then it may be beneficial to make it available in the transmitter by adding ct-2). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter by adding ct-2). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter by adding ct-2). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter by adding ct-2). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter by adding ct-2). Implementation of another tap may chose whether to use internal equalization or utilize the training protocol to control ct-2). Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 43 # 26 Note that this additional coefficient does not necessarily need to have an equivalent in COM, it is observed that in COM results, even c(+1) is left at 0 for most channels, so the results. However, c(+1) (and the proposed ct-2), can be used in actual implementations where the R may have different structure than the COM reference. Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 SuggestedRemedy A presentation is planned with further details. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 P 150 L 33 # 27 P 150 L 47 # §2 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status X O Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # §2 P 150 L 47 # §2 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom For sets or equal to -0.06.* Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X There are 3 taps being set to zero now, however both refers to just 2.	Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comme	ent Status X		
calculation. However, it is likely that many real implementations will not implement a 2nd DFE tap and instead use linear equalization (a combination of CTLE, FFE in the receiver, and possibly the Tx equalizer (i+1) too) to handle this ISI. Change 'both set to zero'. Change 'both set to zero'. If linear equalization is required for the 2nd postcursor then it may be beneficial to make it available in the transmitter by adding (r42). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter by adding (r42). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter by adding (r42). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter to use internal equalization or utilize the training protocol to control c(+2). If 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 43 # 26 Note that this additional coefficient does not necessarily need to have an equivalent in cOM results, even (c+1) is fat at 0 for most channels, so the addition of another tap may just increase run time and is not expected to change the results. However, c(+1) (and the proposed c(+2)) can be used in actual implementations where the Rx may have different structure than the COM reletence. SuggestedRemedy A presentation is planned with further details. Proposed Response Response Status O Cif 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Status X There are 3 taps being set to zero now, however both refers to just 2. Proposed Response Response Status O Cif 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>There</td> <td>are 3 taps so "</td> <td>both" should</td> <td>be deleted.</td> <td></td> <td></td>					There	are 3 taps so "	both" should	be deleted.		
However, it is likely that many real implementations will not implement a 2nd DFE tap and instead use linear equalization (a combination of CTLE, FFE in the receiver, and possibly the Tx equalizer (r+1) too) to handle this ISI. If a combination of CTLE, FFE in the receiver, and possibly the Tx equalization is required for the 2nd postcursor then it may be beneficial to make it available in the transmitter is simple (impact on power etc. is low). Receivers may chose whether to use internal equalization or tilize the training protocol to control (r+2). If a combination of another tap in the transmitter is simple (impact on power etc. is low). Receivers may chose whether to use internal equalization or tilize the training protocol to control (r+2). If a combination of another tap are at "their" Note that this additional coefficient does not necessarily need to have an equivalent in COM; it is observed that in COM results, even c(+1) is left at 0 for most channels, so the results. However, (+1) (and the proposed (r+2)) can be used in actual implementations where the Rx may have different structure than the COM reference. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy A presentation is planned with further details. Proposed Response Response Status O C1 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 SuggestedRemedy </td <td></td> <td>je 2nd postcursor after the li</td> <td>near equalization</td> <td>n performed in the COM</td> <td>Suggested</td> <td>IRemedy</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		je 2nd postcursor after the li	near equalization	n performed in the COM	Suggested	IRemedy				
instead use linear equalization (a combination of CTLE, FFE in the receiver, and possibly the Tx equalizer (+1) too) to handle this ISI. If linear equalization is required for the 2nd postcursor then it may be beneficial to make it available in the transmitter by adding c(+2). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter is simple (impact on power etc. is low). Receivers may chose whether to use internal equalization or utilize the training protocol to control (+2). If 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 43 # 26 Note that this additional coefficient does not necessarily need to have an equivalent in COM; it is observed that in COM results, even (+1) is left at 0 for most channels, so the addition of another tap may just increase run time and is not expected to change the results. However, (+1) (and the proposed (+2)) can be used in actual implementations where the RX may have different structure than the COM reference. SuggestedRemedy A presentation is planned with further details. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom SuggestedRemedy C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom	calculation.				Chang	e "both set to a	zero" to "set	to zero".		
available in the transmitter by adding c(+2). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter is simple (impact on power etc. is low). Receivers may chose whether to use internal equalization or utilize the training protocol to control c(+2). Note that this additional coefficient does not necessarily need to have an equivalent in COM; it is observed that in COM results, even c(+1) is left at 0 for most channels, so the addition of another tap may just increase run time and is not expected to change the results. However, c(+1) (and the proposed (-2)) can be used in actual implementations where the Rx may have different structure than the COM reference. Slavick, Jeff Broadcom SuggestedRemedy A presentation is planned with further details. O SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom "With c(-2), c(-1) and c(1) set to zero, c(0) having received sufficient "decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, ac(-3) having received sufficient "decrement requests so that it exis at their minimum value, c(-3) shall be less than or equal to -0.06." C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type Response Status X C Charter Type ER Comment Status X C C 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Br	instead use linear equa	alization (a combination of C	will not implem TLE, FFE in the	ent a 2nd DFE tap and receiver, and possibly	Proposed	Response	Respon	se Status O		
available in the transmitter by adding c(+2). Implementation of another tap in the transmitter is simple (impact on power etc. is low). Receivers may chose whether to use internal equalization or utilize the transmit power (c+1) is loft at 0 for most channels, so the additional coefficient does not necessarily need to have an equivalent in COM; it is observed that in COM results, even c(+1) is left at 0 for most channels, so the addition of another tap may just increase run time and is not expected to change the results. However, c(+1) (and the proposed (r-2)) can be used in actual implementations where the Rx may have different structure than the COM reference. Stavick, Jeff Broadcom SuggestedRemedy A presentation is planned with further details. O SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 SuggestedRemedy Delete the "both" after c(-1) Not needed to separate two distinct phrases. SuggestedRemedy C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Ty	If linear equalization is	required for the 2nd postcur	sor then it may b	e beneficial to make it	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	.1.5	P150	L 43	# 26
internal equalization or utilize the training protocol to control c(+2).Note that this additional coefficient does not necessarily need to have an equivalent in COM; it is observed that in COM results, even c(+1) is left at 0 for most channels, so the addition of another targe may just increases run time and is not expected to change the results. However, c(+1) (and the proposed c(+2)) can be used in actual implementations where the Rx may have different structure than the COM reference.Comment Type EComment (-3) having received sufficient "decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, and c(-3) having received sufficient "decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, and c(-3) having received sufficient "decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, and c(-3) having received sufficient "decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, and c(-3) having received sufficient "decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, and c(-3) having received sufficient "decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, and c(-3) having received sufficient "decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, and c(-3) having received sufficient "decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, and c(-3) having received sufficient "decrement "decrement" requests so that it is at its minimum value, c(-3) shall be less than or equal to -0.06 ."C/ 162SC 162.9.3.1.5P 150L 33# 27Slavick, Jeff BroadcomBroadcomComment TypeEComment Status XSuggestedRemedy Delete the "both" after c(-1)Comment Status XDelete the "both" after c(-1)Unnecessary comma. Not needed to separate two distinct phrases.SuggestedRemedySuggestedRemedy	available in the transm	itter by adding c(+2). Implen	nentation of ano	ther tap in the	Slavick, Je	eff		Broadcom		
Note that this additional coefficient does not necessarily need to have an equivalent in COM; it is observed that in COM results, even c(+1) is left at 0 for most channels, so the addition of another tap may just increase run time and is not expected to change the results. However, c(+1) (and the proposed c(+2)) can be used in actual implementations where the Rx may have different structure than the COM reference. For testing the range of c(1) and c(-1) you lump that both c(0) and the tap are at "their" minimum values, but with c(-3) you use the form used for c(-2) where c(0) is at it's minimum. SuggestedRemedy A presentation is planned with further details. Composed Response Response Status O C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Vith c(-2), c(-1) and c(1) set to zero and both c(0) and c(-3) having received sufficient "decrement" requests so that their respective minimum values, c(-3) shall be less than or equal to -0.06." C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 SuggestedRemedy Delete the "both" after c(-1) C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Type				chose whether to use	Comment	Туре Е	Comme	ent Status X		
results. However, c(+1) (and the proposed c(+2)) can be used in actual implementations where the Rx may have different structure than the COM reference. SuggestedRemedy A presentation is planned with further details. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status X There are 3 taps being set to zero now, however both refers to just 2. SuggestedRemedy Delete the "both" after c(-1) Proposed Response Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Delete the "both" after c(-1) Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Delete the "both" after c(-1) Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Delete the "both" after c(-1) Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy	COM; it is observed the	at in COM results, even c(+1) is left at 0 for n	nost channels, so the	minim and c(um values, but -2) is at it's mir	with c(-3) yo			
SuggestedRemedy A presentation is planned with further details. requests so that it is at its minimum value, and c(-3) having received sufficient "decrement requests so that it is at its minimum value, c(-3) shall be less than or equal to -0.06." C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status X O Comment Type ER Comment Status X Ci 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Ci 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Slavick Aption the ere 3 taps being set to zero now, however both refers to just 2. SuggestedRemedy Ci 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type E Comment Status X Unnecessary comma. Not needed to separate two distinct phrases. SuggestedRemedy Delete the "both" after c(-1) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status	results. However, c(+1) (and the proposed c(+2)) ca	an be used in ac	tual implementations	00					
SuggestedRemedy A presentation is planned with further details. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P150 L 33 Image: Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P150 L 33 Image: Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P150 L 33 Image: Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P150 L 43 Image: Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P150 L 47 Image: Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1	where the Rx may hav	e different structure than the	COM reference.							
Proposed Response Response Status O "With c(-2), c(-1) and c(1) set to zero and both c(0) and c(-3) having received sufficient "Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type ER Comment Status X P 150 L 47 # 52 There are 3 taps being set to zero now, however both refers to just 2. SuggestedRemedy Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type E Comment Status X Delete the "both" after c(-1) Vinnecessary comma. Not needed to separate two distinct phrases. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy										
Proposed Response Response Status 0 "decrement" requests so that they are at their respective minimum values, c(-3) shall be less than or equal to -0.06." C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 33 # 27 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 SuggestedRemedy Delete the "both" after c(-1) Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy	A presentation is planr	ed with further details.				$(2) \circ (1) \circ (1)$	d o(1) oot to	Toro and both a(0)	and a(2) having	reactived cufficient
Scholz as the last of t	Proposed Response	Response Status O			"decre	ment" requests	so that they			
Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status X C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 There are 3 taps being set to zero now, however both refers to just 2. Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Delete the "both" after c(-1) Unnecessary comma. Not needed to separate two distinct phrases. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy	C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1	.5 P150	L 33	# 27	Proposed	Response	Respon	se Status O		
Comment Type ER Comment Status X C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 150 L 47 # 52 There are 3 taps being set to zero now, however both refers to just 2. Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Unnecessary comma. Not needed to separate two distinct phrases. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy										
There are 3 taps being set to zero now, however both refers to just 2. Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Delete the "both" after c(-1) Unnecessary comma. Not needed to separate two distinct phrases. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy	,				C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	.1.5	P150	L 47	# 52
SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Delete the "both" after c(-1) Unnecessary comma. Not needed to separate two distinct phrases. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy	There are 3 taps being	set to zero now, however bo	oth refers to just	2.	Brown, Ma	att	-	Huawei Tech	nologies Canada	
Delete the "both" after c(-1) Unnecessary comma. Not needed to separate two distinct phrases. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy						Comme			-
	Delete the "both" after	c(-1)			Unnec	essary comma	. Not neede	d to separate two o	distinct phrases.	
	Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suaaestea	Remedv			·	
					00		by" to "162.	.8.11 or by".		
Proposed Response Response Status O					0		-	-		

C/ 162 SC 162.9.	3.4 <i>P</i> 151	L 21	# 10009	C/ 162	SC 16	32 9 4	P152	L14	# 10010
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec	- 21	# [10003	Mellitz, Ric			Samtec	- 17	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		ERL	Comment		TR	Comment Status D		E
21	ted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.9	9.3.4 - Pg 144 - In					from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.9.4	4 - Pg 145 - In	_
The relation betwee	n Pmax/Vf and ERL has not be	en established fo	r this data rate		f 11 dB se _3ck_04_		capture most of posted chan	nel data as sug	ggested in slide 5
Change line 36 to E N, and N_bx to 2.4 (RL >= 11 dB. Change TBD par GHz, 0.3, 1000 UI, and 12 UI r		_ / _ /	Suggested Chang	<i>Remedy</i> e ERL mi		dB		
mellitz_3ck_04_111 Proposed Response	9. Response Status O			Proposed	Response	е	Response Status O		
				C/ 162	SC 16	62.9.4	P152	L15	# 129
7 162 SC 162.9.		L 26	# 128	Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	m/Inphi	
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi		Comment	Туре -	TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			ERL is	TBD				
SuggestedRemedy	Bx, N, Rho are TBDs			Suggested ERL=1	,		si_3ck_03_0320		
Nbx=12, ERL =11 d See ghiasi_3ck_03_	B, Bx=2.3047e9, Bx=0.19, and 0320	N=300		Proposed	Response	е	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
				C/ 162	SC 16	62.9.4	P 152	L16	# 130
C/ 162 SC 162.9.	4 P 151	L 44	# 8	Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	m/Inphi	
Marris, Arthur	Cadence De	sign Systems		Comment	Туре -	TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			ERL is	TBD				
Make 162A.3 a cros	s reference			Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy	to 162A.3			RLCD	=30-30*f/2 =15 dB 12 niasi_3ck_	2.89 to 5			
Add cross reference									

C/ 162 SC 162.9.4

C/ 162 SC 162.9.4	4.3 P 152	L 38	# 37	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.5	P156	L14	# 10011
Ben Artsi, Liav	Marvell			Mellitz, Ric	chard	Samtec		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		ERL
Receiver characteris at the reciever input	stics lacks the definition of capa	ability to tollerate c	ommon mode noise	[Comn	nent resubmitted	from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.9.	4.5 - Pg 148 - Ir	n 48]
SuggestedRemedy					f 11 dB seems to _3ck_04_1119	capture most of posted char	nnel data as sug	ggested in slide 5
Add the required cap TBD at least for now	pability of Rx common mode br	oadband noise tol	erance and set it at	Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Chang	e to "Receiver E	RL at TP3 shall be greater th	an or equal to 1	1dB"
				Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 162 SC 162.9.4	4.3 <i>P</i> 153	L 28	# 53					
Brown, Matt	Huawei Tech	nologies Canada		C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.5	P156	L15	# 131
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quante	um/Inphi	
Editor's note has exp	pired.			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				ERL is	TBD			
Delete editor's note.				Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			ERL=1	1.0 dB, see ghia	si_3ck_03_0320		
- F	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 162 SC 162.9.4	4.3.3 P154	L1	# 9	<u></u>	00 /00 //0	D./.==		"
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	sign Systems		C/ 162	SC 162.11.2	P 157	L11	# 10079
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Palkert, To		Molex		
Define the acronyms	s SCHS, CTSP, HOSP, CASP			Comment		Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy				Comm	ent resubmitted	from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.11	.2 - Pg 150 - In	3]
Explain these acrony	yms here or in 1.5					mode return loss, Differentia		
Proposed Response	Response Status O					mon-mode return loss are no ly characteristics.	t required if ER	L and COM are used to
				Suggested	Remedy			
				loss ar		ommon-mode return loss, Diff le to common-mode return lo y)		
				Proposed	Response	Response Status O		

C/ 162 SC 162.11.2

C/ 162 SC 162.11.2	P 157	L 15	# 10276		C/ 162	SC 162.	11.3	P 157	L 43	# 10012
DiMinico, Christopher	MC Communi	ications			Mellitz, Ric	hard		Samtec		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Late	Comment 7	Type TR		Comment Status D		E
[Comment resubmitte	d from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.1	1.2 - Pg 150 - In	6]		[Comm	ent resubm	itted fr	om Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.11	.3 - Pg 150 - In	39]
Comment#2						13.5 dB se _3ck_04_11		capture most of posted cha	annel data as s	uggested in slide 3
	ation for 802.3cd assumed line IL assumptions for Max/Min C				Suggested	,	Cable	assembly ERL at TP1 and a	at TP4 shall be	areater than or equal t
GHz 162.11.2 11.09 d	assembly characteristics sum B] ion loss budget values at 26.5			6.56	13.5 dE parame	B for cable a eters in table	assemt e 162-1	oblies that have a COM less 14 beta_x, rho_x, N, and N sted on slide 4 of mellitz_3	than 4 dB. Also _bx to 2.4 GHz,	change TBD
SuggestedRemedy					Proposed F	Response		Response Status O		
See diminico_3ck_2_	0220.pdf.									
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				C/ 162	SC 162.	11.7	P 158	L 26	# 66
					Mellitz, Ric	hard		Samtec		
7 162 SC 162.11.3	P157	L11	# 10013		Comment 7	Type TR		Comment Status X		
/lellitz, Richard	Samtec				Tr shou	uld be scale	d from	50G BaseKR because othe	er timing param	eter were scaled.
Comment Type TR [Comment resubmitte	Comment Status D d from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.1	1.3 - Pg 150 - In	8]	ERL	Suggestedi Replac	<i>Remedy</i> e TBD for T	r with 6	6.01e-3 ns		
ERL of 13.5 dB seems mellitz_3ck_04_1119	s to capture most of posted ch	annel data as si	uggested in slide 3		Proposed F	Response		Response Status O		
uggestedRemedy Change Minimum cab	le assembly ERL to 13.5 dB ir	1 table 162-13			C/ 162	SC 162.	11.7	P 158	L 38	# 54
Proposed Response	Response Status O				Brown, Mat Comment 7 Editor's		longer	Comment Status X	ologies Canada	a
					Suggestedi Delete	Remedy editor's not	e.			
					Proposed F	Response		Response Status O		

C/ 162 SC 162.11.7

C/ 162 SC 162.11.7	P 159	L 21	# 75	C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 160	L11	# 136
Healey, Adam	Broadcom Inc			Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status X		
	zer coefficient ranges are unne					1_0919 shows that the DFE ta		
•	ossibility that an exepected ch	annei will meet	the COM requirements.			trongly negative, yet the draft channels that a real receiver n		
SuggestedRemedy	t rongoo to the minimum requi	ad to our port r	accorde channels			lide 7 shows the first tap also		
	t ranges to the minimum requing reconsideration. Make similation					mum tap limits.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O	-		SuggestedRe				
, ,				Add mini Tap 1: m	mum tap weig in +0.3	ht limits:		
C 162 SC 162.11.7	D400	10	# 40044	Tap 2: m	in +0.05			
		L 6	# 10014	Rememb	ering that a ta	p weight limit isn't a hard pas or one or two small excursion	s-fail limit; chan s) increase in C	nels can go outside it OM for the excess ISI
Aellitz, Richard	Samtec			noise tha	t they cause;	and that cable channels are s	moother than ba	ackplane channels bu
comment Type TR	Comment Status D		0.01		higher loss:			
[Comment resubmitte	d from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.11	.7 - Pg 152 - In	33]			3 (tighter than for KR). nalized DFE coefficient magni	itude limit"s into	"Normalized DFF
To move forwards a v	alue for SNR_Tx needs to be o	hosen		coefficier	nt limit"s.	-		
SuggestedRemedy				Update c	efinition of CC	DM in 93A.1.		
	dB as in slide 8 of mellitz_3ck_	_03_1119, slide	9 of lim_3ck_01_1119	Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P160	L18	# 10151
				Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
X 162 SC 162.11.7	P 160	L 6	# 64	Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status D		
lellitz, Richard	Samtec			[Comme	nt resubmitted	from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.11	l.7 - Pg 152 - In	45]
	Comment Status X ount for host board crosstalk a	s suggested in	mellitz_3ck_03b_1119			n to fit data on backplane cha noother. Very short low loss c		
and lim_3ck_01_1119	.pdf			SuggestedRe	emedy			
				Change	40 to an appro	priate number, e.g. 24.		
,								
SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD for SNR	_Tx with 32 dB			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O		

C/ 162 SC 162.11.7

C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 160	L 18	# 148	C/ 162	SC 162.11.	7.1 <i>P</i> 160	L 42	# 41
awe, Pie	rs	Mellanox			Ben Artsi,	Liav	Marvell		
omment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
	ays "DFE floating g taps in this dra) tap span 40 UI" which is no t is 40-12 = 28.	t what was inten	ded. The span of the			ude PCB" section lacks the ap	propriate trace lo	oss representation
iaaesteo	IRemedy				Suggested	,			
	-	e number. Adjust 93A.1 if ap	propriate.				acitive discontinuities to sectio entation as described in benar		
0	Response	Response Status O			param	neters should be	updated accordingly, thus se benartsi_3ck_01a_0919.pdf		
					Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
162	SC 162.11.7	P 180	L 45	# 160					
	en Reddy	Cisco			C/ 162	SC 162.11.	7.1 <i>P</i> 160	L 48	# 10016
mment	51	Comment Status X			Mellitz, Ri	chard	Samtec		
		ot-sum-of-squares limit 0.02,	which is change	ed from from adopted	Comment		Comment Status D		
	ne value of 0.03.	ated to avoid test programs to	o create unrelas	tic channel and		51	d from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.1	1.7.1 - Pa 153 - I	In 281
		such a channel This is not int			Įoonn				
		ed by looking KR and CR char		1 0	Fill in 2	Zp TBD's with c	lata from slide 8 of benartsi_3	ck_01a_0719.	
	nation. Constrain	g further only fails some of th	e channels inclu	iding Task Force	Suggested	dRemedy			
	•						equation (93A-13) and Equatio		
	Remedy		line in sta this say				eter values given in {new table		
0	•	ed base line value of 0.03 or e	eliminate this cor	nstatint altogether	-	•	tion loss of 4.33 dB at 26.56 G	Hz on each PCI	В
oposed	Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
162	SC 162.11.7.	1 <i>P</i> 160	L 42	# 40	C/ 162	SC 162.11.	7.1 <i>P</i> 160	L 48	# 10017
n Artsi,	Liav	Marvell			Mellitz, Ri	chard	Samtec		
mment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		
Cable		le PCB" section lacks the rep presented in benartsi_3ck_0			[Comr	ment resubmitte	d from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.1	1.7.1 - Pg 153 - I	ln 28]
		p. coolco in bonanco_ook_o			add {n	new table for 93	A transmission line with data f	rom slide 8 of be	nartej 3ek 01a 071
discon	IRomody				(··				
discon ggestea	Remedy	7.1 to accommodate the "inc	ude PCP" rooro	contation as described	Suggested				
discon <i>Iggested</i> Update	e section 162.11	7.1 to accommodate the "inc 919.pdf slide #6 e.g. add two			Suggested	dRemedy	3.8206e-04 9.5909e-05]; tau		

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

Cl	162
SC	162.11.7.1

C/ 162 SC 162.11.7.	1.2 <i>P</i> 161	L19	# 10018	C/ 162B S	C 162B.1.3	5	P 245	L 25	# 10277
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec			DiMinico, Chris	stopher		MC Communic	cations	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type	e TR	Comment	t Status D		La
[Comment resubmitted	from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.11	1.7.1.2 - Pg 153	- ln 51]	[Comment	resubmitted	d from Draft 1.	.0. Subcl. 162B.1	.3 - Pg 235 - In	24]
Fill in TBD's with data fi	rom slide 8 of benartsi_3ck_0	01a_0719.		Annex 162	B 162B.1.3	Mated test fix	tures		
SuggestedRemedy				Provide val			ontial insortion lo	es Equation (16	62B–3) and Equation
use same data as for si	ignal path			(162B–5).	Mateu test	Intuies unien			
Proposed Response	Response Status O				Mated test				oss Equation (162B–9) eturn loss Equation
V 162A SC 162A.5	P 241	L13	# 138	SuggestedRem	nedy				
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			See diminio	co_3ck_1_0)220.pdf.			
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Proposed Resp	oonse	Response	Status O		
				C/ 162B S	C 162B.1.3		P 249	L27	# 43
Show TP5 further right the end of the MCB, an	than TP4, and TP0 to the left d TP2 and the end of the HC <i>Response Status</i> 0		e MCB. Align TP1 and	Zambell, Andre Comment Type Should we	ew e T still be sayi	Comment	Luxshare-ICT		
SuggestedRemedy Show TP5 further right the end of the MCB, an Proposed Response	than TP4, and TP0 to the left d TP2 and the end of the HC <i>Response Status</i> O	:В.		Comment Type Should we SuggestedRem	ew T still be sayi nedy FP28 with e	Comment ng SFP28? ither SFP112	Luxshare-ICT Status X		2.D) or Single-lane
SuggestedRemedy Show TP5 further right the end of the MCB, an Proposed Response	than TP4, and TP0 to the left d TP2 and the end of the HC <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 241		e MCB. Align TP1 and # <u>145</u>	Comment Type Should we SuggestedRem Replace SF	ew T still be sayi <i>nedy</i> FP28 with e 162B-3 & 1	Comment ng SFP28? ither SFP112	Luxshare-ICT t <i>Status</i> X (like it's stated in		-
SuggestedRemedy Show TP5 further right the end of the MCB, an Proposed Response C/ 162A SC 162A.5 Dawe, Piers	than TP4, and TP0 to the left d TP2 and the end of the HC <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 241 Mellanox	:В.		Comment Type Should we SuggestedRem Replace SF (like tables	ew T still be sayi <i>nedy</i> FP28 with e 162B-3 & 1	Comment ng SFP28? ither SFP112 I62B-4).	Luxshare-ICT t <i>Status</i> X (like it's stated in		-
SuggestedRemedy Show TP5 further right the end of the MCB, an Proposed Response C/ 162A SC 162A.5 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	than TP4, and TP0 to the left d TP2 and the end of the HC <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 241 Mellanox <i>Comment Status</i> X	εΒ. L 45	# 145	Comment Type Should we SuggestedRem Replace SF (like tables Proposed Resp	ew T still be sayi <i>nedy</i> FP28 with e 162B-3 & 1 <i>ponse</i>	Comment ng SFP28? ither SFP112 I62B-4). Response	Luxshare-ICT <i>t Status</i> X (like it's stated in <i>Status</i> O	n 162.12 and 16	2.D) or Single-lane
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Show TP5 further right the end of the MCB, an <i>Proposed Response</i> 16 162A SC 162A.5 Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> T I wonder if there is an ir	than TP4, and TP0 to the left d TP2 and the end of the HC <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 241 Mellanox	E. L 45 Imbers in Table 1	# 145 62A-1 and those in	Comment Type Should we SuggestedRem Replace SF (like tables Proposed Resp	ew T still be sayi <i>nedy</i> FP28 with e 162B-3 & 1	Comment ng SFP28? ither SFP112 I62B-4). Response	Luxshare-ICT <i>Status</i> X (like it's stated in <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 249		
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Show TP5 further right the end of the MCB, an <i>Proposed Response</i> 162A SC 162A.5 Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> T I wonder if there is an ir Figure 162A-2. The 0.2	than TP4, and TP0 to the left d TP2 and the end of the HC <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 241 Mellanox <i>Comment Status</i> X nconsistency between the nu	E. L 45 Imbers in Table 1	# 145 62A-1 and those in	Comment Type Should we SuggestedRem Replace SF (like tables Proposed Resp Cl 162B St Dudek, Mike	e T still be sayi nedy FP28 with e 162B-3 & 1 ponse C 162B.1.3	Comment ng SFP28? ither SFP112 I62B-4). Response 3.6	Luxshare-ICT <i>Status</i> X (like it's stated in <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 249 Marvell	n 162.12 and 16	2.D) or Single-lane
uggestedRemedy Show TP5 further right the end of the MCB, an roposed Response 7 162A SC 162A.5 Dawe, Piers comment Type T I wonder if there is an ir Figure 162A-2. The 0.2	than TP4, and TP0 to the left d TP2 and the end of the HC <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 241 Mellanox <i>Comment Status</i> X nconsistency between the nu	E. L 45 Imbers in Table 1	# 145 62A-1 and those in	Comment Type Should we SuggestedRem Replace SF (like tables Proposed Resp Cl 162B St Dudek, Mike Comment Type	e T still be sayi hedy FP28 with e 162B-3 & 1 bonse C 162B.1.3	Comment ng SFP28? ither SFP112 I62B-4). Response 3.6 Comment	Luxshare-ICT <i>t Status</i> X (like it's stated in <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 249 Marvell <i>t Status</i> X	162.12 and 16	2.D) or Single-lane # 152
AuggestedRemedy Show TP5 further right the end of the MCB, an Proposed Response A 162A SC 162A.5 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T I wonder if there is an ir Figure 162A-2. The 0.2 AuggestedRemedy	than TP4, and TP0 to the left d TP2 and the end of the HC <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 241 Mellanox <i>Comment Status</i> X nconsistency between the nu	E. L 45 Imbers in Table 1	# 145 62A-1 and those in	Comment Type Should we SuggestedRem Replace SF (like tables Proposed Resp Cl 162B St Dudek, Mike Comment Type This section	w T still be sayi nedy FP28 with e 162B-3 & 1 ponse C 162B.1.3 e T n is describ	Comment ng SFP28? ither SFP112 I62B-4). Response 3.6 Comment ing the test fix	Luxshare-ICT <i>Status</i> X (like it's stated in <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 249 Marvell	162.12 and 16 <i>L</i> 27 se which are ca	2.D) or Single-lane # 152
SuggestedRemedy Show TP5 further right the end of the MCB, an Proposed Response Cl 162A SC 162A.5 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T I wonder if there is an ir Figure 162A-2. The 0.2 SuggestedRemedy	than TP4, and TP0 to the left d TP2 and the end of the HC <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 241 Mellanox <i>Comment Status</i> X nconsistency between the nu 2 dB "MCB via allowance" con	E. L 45 Imbers in Table 1	# 145 62A-1 and those in	Comment Type Should we SuggestedRem Replace SF (like tables Proposed Resp Cl 162B St Dudek, Mike Comment Type This section	 T still be sayi FP28 with e 162B-3 & 1 bonse C 162B.1.3 T n is describ chich have of 	Comment ng SFP28? ither SFP112 I62B-4). Response 3.6 Comment ing the test fix	Luxshare-ICT <i>t Status</i> X (like it's stated in <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 249 Marvell <i>t Status</i> X ktures for 112G us	162.12 and 16 <i>L</i> 27 se which are ca	2.D) or Single-lane # 152
SuggestedRemedy Show TP5 further right the end of the MCB, an Proposed Response Cl 162A SC 162A.5 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T I wonder if there is an ir	than TP4, and TP0 to the left d TP2 and the end of the HC <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 241 Mellanox <i>Comment Status</i> X nconsistency between the nu 2 dB "MCB via allowance" con	E. L 45 Imbers in Table 1	# 145 62A-1 and those in	Comment Type Should we SuggestedRem Replace SF (like tables Proposed Resp Cl 162B St Dudek, Mike Comment Type This section 162C.2.1 w SuggestedRem	 T still be sayi FP28 with e 162B-3 & 1 bonse C 162B.1.3 T n is describ which have one dy 	Comment ng SFP28? ither SFP112 I62B-4). <i>Response</i> 3.6 <i>Comment</i> ing the test fix different speci	Luxshare-ICT <i>t Status</i> X (like it's stated in <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 249 Marvell <i>t Status</i> X ktures for 112G us	162.12 and 16 <i>L</i> 27 se which are ca	2.D) or Single-lane # 152

C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.6

ambell, Andrew Luxshare-ICT omment Type T Comment Status X Should we still be saying SFP28? uggestedRemedy	Kocsis, Sam Amphenol <i>Comment Type</i> ER <i>Comment Status</i> X Figure 162C-12 missing image	
Should we still be saying SFP28?		
	SuggestedRemedy	
Replace SFP28 with either SFP112 (like it's stated in 162.12 and 162.D) or Single-lane (like tables 162B-3 & 162B-4).	Include "receptacle" image referenced in kocsis_3ck_adhoc_01_030420	
roposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O	
/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.6 P249 L43 # 45		# 85
ambell, Andrew Luxshare-ICT	Kocsis, Sam Amphenol	
omment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Figure 162C-12 description says "OSFP"	
Should we still be saying SFP28?		
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Replace "OSFP" with "DSFP"	
Replace SFP28 with either SFP112 (like it's stated in 162.12 and 162.D) or Single-lane (like tables 162B-3 & 162B-4).	Proposed Response Response Status O	
roposed Response Response Status O		
	CI 162D SC 162D P306 L1 #	[#] 150
/ 162C SC 162C.2.6 P262 L15 # 86	Dudek, Mike Marvell	
ocsis, Sam Amphenol	Comment Type T Comment Status X	
omment Type ER Comment Status X Figure 162C-11 missing image	This section is informative and will be rather similar to 136D duplicating lots with technically obvious changes.	of information
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy	
Include "plug" image referenced in kocsis_3ck_adhoc_01_030420	Consider deleting this section	
roposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O	
	C/ 163 SC 163.7 P173 L54 #	# 10
	Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems	
	Comment Type E Comment Status X	
	Make 162.7 a proper cross reference	
	SuggestedRemedy	
	Convert 162.7 to a cross reference	
	Proposed Response Response Status O	

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 163
 Page 35 of 40

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
 SC
 163
 2020-02-27 11:49:39 A

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 163
 2020-02-27 11:49:39 A

C/ 163 SC 163.9.1	P 175	L 26	# 30	C/ 163 SC	2 163.9.1	P 175	L 35	# 58
3en Artsi, Liav	Marvell			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type	T Co	mment Status X		
TP0a has been show Tx compliance param	n to be extremely difficult to be neters.	used as a point	to measure Specified	channels in	some applications	ary 2020 meeting ther (mainly backplane ar	nd C2C) when the	e two link partners
SuggestedRemedy						ng AC coupling capaci ty, and reduce costs, a		
				requirement Current char	nnel specs refer b	ack to 93.9.4 where it nd TP5, but in that cas	is stated that AC	coupling capacitors
Proposed Response Response Status O	modification the burden c	s for interoperabil	ity (without stating the	modifications ex				
				common mo	ode voltage up to	r specifications in 120 1.9 V, which is detrime ue is also not useful fo	ental for DC coup	ling with modern
				reasonable a	and useful range.	d by limiting the Tx cor If this is done, the exis els (although receiver	sting specs may	
				coupling; CF		R and C2C specificati AC coupling in the cab ion.		
				SuggestedReme	edy			
						ics tables of Clause 16 between 0.2 and 0.8 v		0F, Change the Tx
						neficial for the AC cou complement the sug		•
				Proposed Respo	onse Res	ponse Status O		
				C/ 163 SC	2 163.9.1	P175	L 44	# 68
				Mellitz, Richard		Samtec		
				Comment Type Vfmin should		mment Status X COM table 163-10 sin	ce Np=200	
				SuggestedReme	edy		·	
				Replace 0.4				
				Proposed Respo	onse Res	ponse Status O		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 163.9.1	2020-02-27 11:49:39 A
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 163	SC 163.	9.1	P 176	L 8	# 76
Healey, Ad	am		Broadcom I	nc.	
Comment	Гуре Т	Co	mment Status X		
			(1) (0.05) does not ag BASE-CRn. There is r		e value specifed in y should be different.
Suggested Align th		nt step size	e requirements betwee	en Tables 162-8,	163-5, and 120F-1.
Proposed I	Response	Res	sponse Status O		
C/ 163	SC 163.	9.1.1	P176	L 27	# 10069
Wu, Mau-L	.in		MediaTek		
Comment T	Гуре Т	Co	mment Status D		ERL
[Comm	ont resubr	nitted from I	Draft 1.0. Subcl. 163.	9 2 1 - Pa 171 - h	n 51
method	dology shall	be applied	E floating tap as prop to CR TX, CR RX, K		01_0120. The same RL calculations in the
162.9.3 163.9.2	2.1 Transmi	itter effectiv itter ERL	ve return loss (ERL) 1	62.9.4.5 Receive	r ERL
163.9.3	B Receiver	characterist	lics		
Proposed I	Response	Res	sponse Status O		
C/ 163	SC 163.	9.1.1	P 176	L 27	# 80
Healey, Ad	am		Broadcom I	nc.	
Comment 7	Гуре Т	Co	mment Status X		
does n	ot adequate	ely constrai	01a_0120, effective re n the re-reflection inte and the method/para	erference seen by	
Suggested	Remedy				
			thod to achieve bette		

Modify parameters and/or method to achieve better correlation to re-reflection interference and set the limit accordingly. Similar change would apply to Annex 120F.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 163	SC 1	63.9.1.1	P176	L 30	# 10020
Mellitz, Ri	chard		Samtec		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		ERL
[Comr	ment resu	ubmitted f	rom Draft 1.0. Subcl. 163.9.	2.1 - Pg 171 - In	5]
			n not correlate well to COM i better choice	in mellitz_3ck_a	dhoc_02_100219.
Suggested	dRemedy				
Chang	ge "Nbx is	s set to th	e value of Nb in Table 163-1	0" to "Nbx is se	t to 24 UI"
Proposed	Respons	е	Response Status 0		
C/ 163	SC 1	63.9.1.1	P176	L 34	# 10021
Mellitz, Ri	chard		Samtec		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		ERL
[Comr	nent resu	ubmitted f	rom Draft 1.0. Subcl. 163.9.	2.1 - Pg 171 - In	10]
			ped for a different data rate a proposed in mellitz_3ck_01_		ackage assumption.
Suggested	dRemedy	,			

In Table 163-3 set: beta_x=2.4 GHz , rho_x=.3

Proposed Response	Response Status	0
-------------------	-----------------	---

C/ 163	SC 163.9.1.2	P 176	L 47	# 31
Ben Artsi,	Liav	Marvell		
Comment	Type T	Comment Status X		

A reference TP0 - TP0a test fixture is specified. It is also indicated that the difference between the test fixture and the actual implementation is to be taken into account in the measurement. It is not stated how to do this adjustment.

SuggestedRemedy

Specify an achievable range for the TP0 - TP0a test fixture: Loss @ \sim 26GHz <6dB ; ILD ; ERL? A presentation is to be provided with the actual suggestion

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

C/ 163	Page 37 of 40
SC 163.9.1.2	2020-02-27 11:49:39 A

	P 176	L 53	# 14	C/ 163 SC 16	3.9.2.2	P 179	L 21	# 32
Sun, Junqing	Credo Semico	onductor		Ben Artsi, Liav		Marvell		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type	T Comi	ment Status X		
0.01dB is found to be a SuggestedRemedy Change 0.01dB to 0.1dl				tolerance test. T	hus, there is no		loss and behavior	the interference so tightly as done or ny!) Rx lanes to TP5a
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Recommend inc	creasing loss lim	nits to 4dB at 26.560	GHz	
C/ 163 SC 163.9.2	P178	L 45	# 38	Proposed Response	e Respo	onse Status O		
Ben Artsi, Liav Comment Type T	Marvell Comment Status X			C/ 163 SC 16	3.9.2.2	P179	L 22	# 15
at the reciever input SuggestedRemedy	s lacks the definition of capal ility of Rx common mode bro			Sun, Junqing Comment Type 0.01dB is found SuggestedRemedy Change 0.01dB	to be a typo.	Credo Semic nent Status X slause 93.8.2.1.	conductor	
Proposed Response	Response Status O	L 52	# 10022	Proposed Response	e Respo	onse Status O		

C/ 163 SC 163.9.2.3

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.4	P 180	L 47	# 33	C/ 163	SC 163.10	P 181	L 29	# 155
Ben Artsi,	Liav	Marvell			Li, Mike		Intel		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
		e test is specified at specific f			Tr TBD)			
extrap	olation between f	requency points. More speci MHz. Tx is measured when	ficaly, 5UI at 40k applying high pa	Hz, 0.15UI at ss filter on the iitter	Suggested	Remedy			
filterin	g out much of the	low frequency jitter of a tran	smitter. A transr	nitter may still comply	Chang	e it to Tr =6.5 p	s, which is consistent with CE	I-112G-PAM4-LI	R
reside these	around a few har frequencies: A tra	ns and have much more than nders of Hz. Since there is n ansmitter may have relatively hay not be able to tolerate thi	o Rx jitter tolera	nce requirement at frequencies and still	Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
		ween these specified Tx and			C/ 163	SC 163.10	P 183	L13	# 139
Suggested	Remedy				Dawe, Pier	rs	Mellanox		
		e reciever is expected to mee while jitter tolerance require			Comment		Comment Status X		
,	onsecutive specifi <i>Response</i>	ed frequency points. <i>Response Status</i> O			positivo untypic kasapi	e, and no taps cal/hypothetical _3ck_01_1119	01_0919 shows that the DFE t strongly negative, yet the draft channels that a real receiver slide 7 shows the first tap also nimum tap limits.	would allow suc need not, and ma	:h
/ 163	SC 163.10	P 181	L 26	# 39	Suggested	Remedy			
Ben Artsi,	Liav	Marvell				inimum tap we	ght limits:		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X				min +0.3 min +0.05			
	ential to common el characteristics	mode conversion loss is not	defined for a TP	0 to TP5 interconnect	Remer but pay	mbering that a y a (very small,	ap weight limit isn't a hard pas for one or two small excursior		
Suggested		<i></i>			All othe		04 (looser than for CR).		
		ntial to common mode conve apability defined in 162.11.5 v				ne existing "Nor ient limit"s.	malized DFE coefficient magr	nitude limit"s into	"Normalized DFE
	Response	Response Status O				e definition of C	OM in 93A.1.		
-1					Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
/ 163	SC 163.10	P 181	L 28	# 67					
Mellitz, Rid	chard	Samtec							
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X							
Tr sho	ould be scaled from	m 50G BaseKR because oth	er timing parame	eter were scaled.					
Suggested	Remedy								
Replac	ce TBD for Tr with	n 6.01e-3 ns							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 163 SC 163.10 Page 39 of 40 2020-02-27 11:49:39 A

C/ 163	SC 1	63.10.2	Р	184	L 24	# 10024
Mellitz, Ric	hard		San	ntec		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Statu	s D		ERL
[Comm	nent res	ubmitted f	rom Draft 1.0. Sub	ocl. 16	3.10.2 - Pg 177 - In ′	13]
			oped for a differen proposed in mellitz			backage assumption.
Suggested In Tabl	-	•	a x=2.4 GHz , rho	x=.1	9	
Proposed I			Response Status	_		
C/ 163	SC 1	63.13.4.2	D	188	L 26	# 28
0 103	50 1	100.10.4.2	1	100	L 20	# 20
Slavick, Je		00.10.4.2		adcom		# 20
Slavick, Je	eff	TR		adcom		# 20
Slavick, Je Comment	eff Type	TR	Broa	adcom s X		# 20
Slavick, Je Comment T Refere	eff <i>Type</i> nces in	TR 162 go to	Broa Comment Statu	adcom s X		# 20
Slavick, Je Comment T Refere Suggested Chang	eff Type nces in Remedy e:	TR 162 go to y	Broa Comment Statu. 136 when possibl	adcom s X		# 20
Slavick, Je Comment Refere Suggested Chang PC3 to	eff Type nces in Remedy e: o refer to	TR 162 go to 9 136.8.11.	Broa Comment Statu 136 when possibl	adcom s X		# 20
Slavick, Je Comment Refere Suggested Chang PC3 to PC5 to	eff Type nces in Remedy e: o refer to o refer to	TR 162 go to y 136.8.11. 136.8.11.	Broa Comment Statu. 136 when possibl 1.3 3.3	adcom s X		# 20
Slavick, Je Comment T Refere Suggested Chang PC3 to PC5 to PC6 to	eff Type nces in Remedy e: o refer to o refer to o refer to	TR 162 go to y 136.8.11. 136.8.11. 136.8.11.	Broa Comment Statu 136 when possibl 1.3 3.3 4.1	adcom s X		# 20
Slavick, Je Comment T Refere Suggested Chang PC3 to PC5 to PC6 to PC7 to	eff <i>Type</i> nces in <i>Remedy</i> e: refer to refer to refer to refer to	TR 162 go to y 136.8.11. 136.8.11.	Broa Comment Statu 136 when possibl 1.3 3.3 4.1 6	adcom s X		# 20
Slavick, Je Comment Refere Suggested Chang PC3 to PC5 to PC6 to PC7 to PC8 to	aff Type nces in Remedy e: o refer to o refer to o refer to o refer to o refer to	TR 162 go to y 136.8.11. 136.8.11. 136.8.11. 136.8.11.	Broa Comment Statu 136 when possibl 1.3 3.3 4.1 6 7.5	adcom s X		# 20