C/ 162C SC 1	62C.1	P 259	L 11	# 1	C/ 152	SC 152.6.2a	P 115	L 32	# 3
Lusted, Kent		Intel Corporat	ion		Marris, Ar	thur	Cadence Des	sign Systems	
Comment Type	TR Com	ment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		bucket8 FEC
		pping for the OSFP of			IFEC	should be enable	ed by setting the variable to o	ne (not zero)	
contact mappi missed as wel	0	ct polarity and there	are several GND	mappings that were	Suggeste	dRemedy			
SuggestedRemed							the IFEC_Enable variable is		
		correct contact mapp	ing Soo proce	ntation aubmitted to			transmit function as specified		
Task Force.	102C-3 with the t	contact mapp	ing. See prese				/hen the variable is set to a z nverse RS-FEC sublaver is b		and receive functions
Response	Respo	onse Status C			Response	,	Response Status C	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
ACCEPT IN P					•	PT IN PRINCIPI	,		
Marris, Arthur Comment Type	T Com	Cadence Des ment Status A	ign Systems	bucket	C/ 91	SC 91.6.2f	rse RS-FEC sublayer is bypa	L 7	# 4
0		Igule 155-2			Marris, Ar		Cadence Des	sign Systems	
SuggestedRemed					Comment	51	Comment Status A		bucket8 FE0
U U	MII in two places				100G	RS-FEC should	be enabled by setting the var	riable to one (not	zero)
Response	Respo	onse Status C			Suggeste	dRemedy			
ACCEPT.					perfor	ms the transmit	100G_RS_FEC_Enable vari function as specified in 91.5.2 riable is set to zero, the trans	2 and the receive	function as specified
					Response	9	Response Status C		
					ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPI	.E.		
						ge text to:			ula an andrasa di s

"When 100G_RS_FEC_Enable variable is set to one, the RS-FEC sublayer performs the transmit function as specified in 91.5.2 and the receive function as specified in 91.5.3. When the variable is set to zero, the RS-FEC transmit and receive functions are disabled,"

C/ 163 SC 163.9.1	P 177	L 40	# 5	C/ 163	SC 163.9.1.1	P 178	L 45	# 7
Vu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek			Wu, Mau-L	_in	Mediatek		
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		bucket5 ERL	Comment	Туре т	Comment Status A		bucket
ERL value is TBD in	Table 163-5					e is specified both in Table 1		
SuggestedRemedy						at TP0a shall be greater tha & could be removed.	an or equal to TB	D dB". The value is the
Change ERL value fr	om TBD to 13			uupiica		a coula be lemoved.		
Response	Response Status C			Please	e refer to details	in wu_3ck_adhoc_01_06102	:0.pdf	
REJECT.	,			Suggested	lRemedy			
 , ,		6		Chang	e the sentence t	0		
There is no consensu	us to make the proposed chang	ge. See the respo	onse to comment #45.	Transr	nitter FRL at TP	0a shall be greater than or e	gual to the value	of FRL (min.) specified
C/ 163 SC 163.9.1	.1 P 178	L 42	# 6	in Tab	le 163-5.		qual to the value	
Vu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek			***				
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket5 ERL	Response		Response Status C		
N_bx value is TBD in	Table 163-6			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
	is to reflect the effect of DFE t			The co	omment refers to	the following presentation:		
	er N_bx >= 21. Please refer to $10820.pdf$ for more details.	wu_3ck_02a_11	19.pdf &			/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20	/wu_3ck_adhoc_	_01_061020.pdf
SuggestedRemedy				Chang	ia tha cantanca t	o "Transmitter ERL at TP0a	shall be greater t	than or equal to ERI
Change TBD of "N_b	x" to 21.				specified in Table		shall be greater	
Response	Response Status C			C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 180	L 46	# 8
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.			Wu. Mau-L	in	Mediatek		
Decelve using the rea	anonaa ta aammant #45			Comment		Comment Status R		bucket5 ERL
Resolve using the res	sponse to comment #45.				alue is TBD in Ta			
				Suggested	Remedy			
				Chang	e ERL value fror	n TBD to 13		
				Response		Response Status C		
				REJE(СТ.			

There is no consensus to make the proposed change. See the response to comment #45.

C/ 163 SC 163.9.2.1							
C/ 163 SC 163.9.2.1	P 181	L 7	# 9	C/ 120F SC 120F.3.	1 P 205	L 20	# 11
Vu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek			Wu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		withdraw
	is specified both in Table 1			Steady state voltage	v_f (min) is TBD		
here. "Receiver ERL at I duplicated information &	P5a shall be greater than c	or equal to TBD of	B". The value is the	SuggestedRemedy			
adplicated information a				Change v_f (min) valu	ue from TBD to 0.5		
Please refer to details in	wu_3ck_adhoc_01_061020	0.pdf		Proposed Response	Response Status Z		
SuggestedRemedy				REJECT.	,		
Change the sentence to							
Receiver FRL at TP5a s	hall be greater than or equa	al to the value of	FRI (min) specified in	This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the comment	er.	
Table 163-7.	ian be greater than or eque			C/ 120F SC 120F.3.	1 P 205	L 21	# 12
***				Wu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek		
Response	Response Status C			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				Linear fit pulse peak	(min) is 'TBD x v_f'		
The comment refers to the	he following presentation:			SuggestedRemedy			
	/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20/	/wu_3ck_adhoc_	01_061020.pdf		se peak (min) from 'TBD x v_f'	' to '0.55 x v_f'	
Change the sentence to: (min) specified in Table ?	"Receiver ERL at TP5a sh 163-7."	all be greater tha	n or equal to ERL	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C		
C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1	P 205	L 14	# 10	Resolve using the res	sponse to comment #33.		
Wu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek			C C	•		
	Comment Status R		bucket5 ERL				
Comment Type T							
Comment Type T ERL value is TBD in Tab							
ERL value is TBD in Tab							
ERL value is TBD in Tab	le 120F-1						
ERL value is TBD in Tab SuggestedRemedy Change ERL value from	le 120F-1						

C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1	.1 <i>P</i> 205	L 40	# 13	C/ 120F	SC 120F.3	.1.1	P 205	L 53	# 15
Wu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek			Wu, Mau-L	in		Mediatek		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment	Туре Т	Commen	t Status A		bucket5 ERL
sentence here. "Transi	le of TP0a is specified both ir mitter ERL at TP0a shall be g information & could be remo	reater than or ea		In orde				of C2C, N_bx sh	all align with the N_b
Please refer to details	in wu_3ck_adhoc_01_061020	D.pdf		Suggested					
SuggestedRemedy		•		Chang	e TBD to 6				
Change the sentence t	0			Response ACCEI	PT IN PRINC	,	e Status C		
Transmitter ERL at TP in Table 120F-1.	0a shall be greater than or ec	ual to the value	of ERL (min.) specified	Resolv	e using the re	sponse to com	ment #45.		
Response	Response Status C			C/ 120F	SC 120F.3	.2	P 207	L 44	# 16
, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL				Wu, Mau-L	in		Mediatek		
The comment refers to	the following presentation:			<i>Comment</i> The va	21	<i>Commen</i> TBD in Table 1	t Status R 20F-3		bucket5 ERL
http://www.ieee802.org	g/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20/	wu_3ck_adhoc_	01_061020.pdf	Suggested					
	o:"Transmitter ERL at TP0a s	hall be greater th	nan or equal to ERL	0	e TBD to 11	_	_		
(min) specified in Table	e 120F-1."			Response	\T	Response	Status C		
C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1	.1 <i>P</i> 205	L 47	# 14	REJEC	ы.				
Wu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek			There i	is no consens	us to make the	proposed chang	ge. See the respo	onse to comment #45.
Comment Type T The value of T_r in Tal	Comment Status A ble 120F-2 is TBD.		bucket5 ERL						
SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 0.01									
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.								
Resolve using the resp	oonse to comment #45.								

C/ 120F SC 120F.3.	.2.1 P 208	L 5	# 17	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	1.3	P 222	L 36	# 19
Wu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek			Wu, Mau-Lin			Mediatek		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Ty	pe T	Comment S	Status A		bucket8 ERL
sentence here. "Rece	alue at TP5a is specified both ir eiver ERL at TP5a shall be grea ed information & could be remo	ater than or equ	as well as the following al to TBD dB". The						s 'TBD' now. Propose to 62, 163, & 120F.
				Please re	efer to details	in wu_3ck_adh	loc_01_06102	0.pdf	
	s in wu_3ck_adhoc_01_061020	0.pdf		SuggestedRe	emedy				
SuggestedRemedy				Change -	TBD to 120G	-9			
Change the sentence	e to			Response		Response S	Status C		
Receiver ERL at TP5 Table 120F-3.	a shall be greater than or equa	al to the value of	ERL (min.) specified in	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	LE.			
Response	Response Status C					o the following p g/3/ck/public/ac		/wu_3ck_adhoc_	_01_061020.pdf
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.			Resolve	using the res	conse to comm	ent #51.		
	to the following presentation:			C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	1.3	P 222	L 40	# 20
http://www.ieee802.o	org/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20/	/wu_3ck_adhoc_	_01_061020.pdf	Wu, Mau-Lin			Mediatek		
	e to:"Receiver ERL at TP5a sha	II be greater tha	n or equal to ERL	Comment Ty	be T	Comment	Status A		bucke
Change the sentence (min) specified in Tab		Ill be greater tha	n or equal to ERL	The host	output ERL (min) value at T	P1a is specifie		120G-1 as well as the
	ble 120F-3."	Il be greater tha	n or equal to ERL	The host following	output ERL (sentence her	min) value at T re. "Host output	P1a is specifie ERL at TP1a		<i>bucket</i> 120G-1 as well as the than TBD". The value
(min) specified in Tab C/ 120G SC 120G.3	ble 120F-3."			The host following	output ERL (sentence her	min) value at T	P1a is specifie ERL at TP1a		120G-1 as well as the
(min) specified in Tab C/ 120G SC 120G.3 Wu, Mau-Lin	.1 P 221		# [18	The host following is the dup	output ERL (sentence her plicated inform	min) value at T re. "Host output	P1a is specifie ERL at TP1a be removed.	shall be greater	120G-1 as well as the
(min) specified in Tat C/ 120G SC 120G.3 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T	.1 P 221 Mediatek Comment Status R			The host following is the dup	output ERL (sentence her plicated inforr	min) value at T re. "Host output nation & could	P1a is specifie ERL at TP1a be removed.	shall be greater	120G-1 as well as the
(min) specified in Tat CI 120G SC 120G.3 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The value of ERL (mi	.1 P 221 Mediatek		# [18	The host following is the dup Please re SuggestedRe	output ERL (sentence her plicated inforr	min) value at T re. "Host output nation & could in wu_3ck_adh	P1a is specifie ERL at TP1a be removed.	shall be greater	120G-1 as well as the
(min) specified in Tat C/ 120G SC 120G.3 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The value of ERL (mi SuggestedRemedy	.1 P 221 Mediatek Comment Status R		# [18	The host following is the dup Please re SuggestedRe Change t	output ERL (sentence her blicated inforr efer to details emedy he sentence	min) value at T re. "Host output nation & could in wu_3ck_adh to	P1a is specifie ERL at TP1a be removed. noc_01_06102	shall be greater 0.pdf	120G-1 as well as the than TBD". The value
(min) specified in Tat Cl 120G SC 120G.3 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The value of ERL (mi SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 9.5	ble 120F-3." .1 P 221 Mediatek <i>Comment Status</i> R in) in Table 120G-1 is TBD		# [18	The host following is the dup Please re SuggestedRe Change t *** Host outp in Table	output ERL (sentence here plicated inform efer to details emedy he sentence put ERL at TF	min) value at T re. "Host output nation & could in wu_3ck_adh to	P1a is specifie ERL at TP1a be removed. noc_01_06102	shall be greater 0.pdf	120G-1 as well as the
(min) specified in Tat C/ 120G SC 120G.3 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The value of ERL (mi SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 9.5 Response	.1 P 221 Mediatek Comment Status R		# [18	The host following is the dup Please re SuggestedRe Change t *** Host outp	output ERL (sentence here plicated inform efer to details emedy he sentence put ERL at TF	min) value at T re. "Host output nation & could in wu_3ck_adh to	P1a is specifie ERL at TP1a be removed. noc_01_06102	shall be greater 0.pdf	120G-1 as well as the than TBD". The value
(min) specified in Tat Cl 120G SC 120G.3 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The value of ERL (mi SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 9.5	ble 120F-3." .1 P 221 Mediatek <i>Comment Status</i> R in) in Table 120G-1 is TBD		# [18	The host following is the dup Please re SuggestedRe Change t *** Host outp in Table	output ERL (sentence here plicated inform efer to details emedy he sentence put ERL at TF	min) value at T re. "Host output nation & could in wu_3ck_adh to	P1a is specifie ERL at TP1a be removed. noc_01_06102 eater than or en	shall be greater 0.pdf	120G-1 as well as the than TBD". The value
(min) specified in Tat <i>Cl</i> 120G <i>SC</i> 120G.3 Wu, Mau-Lin <i>Comment Type</i> T The value of ERL (mi <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change TBD to 9.5 <i>Response</i> REJECT.	ble 120F-3." .1 P 221 Mediatek <i>Comment Status</i> R in) in Table 120G-1 is TBD	L 23	# 18 bucket5 ERL	The host following is the dup Please re SuggestedRe Change t *** Host outp in Table ***	output ERL (sentence here plicated inform efer to details emedy he sentence put ERL at TF	min) value at T re. "Host output nation & could in wu_3ck_adh to P1a shall be gre <i>Response</i> S	P1a is specifie ERL at TP1a be removed. noc_01_06102 eater than or en	shall be greater 0.pdf	120G-1 as well as the than TBD". The value
(min) specified in Tat <i>Cl</i> 120G <i>SC</i> 120G.3 Wu, Mau-Lin <i>Comment Type</i> T The value of ERL (mi <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change TBD to 9.5 <i>Response</i> REJECT.	ble 120F-3." .1 P 221 Mediatek <i>Comment Status</i> R in) in Table 120G-1 is TBD <i>Response Status</i> C	L 23	# 18 bucket5 ERL	The host following is the du Please re SuggestedRe Change t *** Host outp in Table *** Response ACCEPT The com	output ERL (sentence hei blicated inforr efer to details emedy he sentence but ERL at TF 120G-1.	min) value at T re. "Host output nation & could in wu_3ck_adh to P1a shall be gre <i>Response S</i> LE.	P1a is specifie ERL at TP1a be removed. noc_01_06102 eater than or en Status C	shall be greater 0.pdf qual to the value	120G-1 as well as the than TBD". The value

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2.2	P 226	L 31	# 21	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3	3	P 227	L 15	# 23
Nu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek			Wu, Mau-Lin		N	lediatek		
	Comment Status A or calculation of module out e 120G-9 as the similar met			Comment Ty The valu SuggestedRe	e of ERL (mir	Comment Stand			bucket5 ER
Please refer to details in	wu_3ck_adhoc_01_061020).pdf			TBD to 9.5				
uggestedRemedy				Response		Response Sta	tus C		
Change TBD to 120G-9				REJECT			-		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			There is	no consensus	s to make the pro	posed chan	ge. See the respo	onse to comment #45.
	- fallen in en en en tation -			C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3	3.1	P 227	L 30	# 24
The comment refers to th http://www.ieee802.org/3	/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20/	wu_3ck_adhoc_	01_061020.pdf	Wu, Mau-Lin		Ν	lediatek		
				Comment Ty		Comment Sta			bucket8 ER
Resolve using the respon	nse to comment #51.			The table	e to be refered	d for calculation o e 120G-9 as the s	f host input	ERL at TP4a is "	TBD' now. Propose to
/ 120G SC 120G.3.2.2	P 226	L 34	# 22	Teler to v		e 1200-9 as the s			2, 103, & 1201.
Vu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek			Please r	efer to details	in wu_3ck_adho	2_01_06102	0.pdf	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket	SuggestedR					
	(min) value at TP4 is specif			Change	TBD to 120G-	-9			
is the duplicated informa	"Module output ERL at TP4 tion & could be removed.	shall be greate	r than TBD". The value	Response ACCEP1	IN PRINCIP	Response Sta	tus C		
Please refer to details in	wu_3ck_adhoc_01_061020).pdf		The com	ment refers to	o the following pre	sentation.		
SuggestedRemedy						g/3/ck/public/adh		/wu_3ck_adhoc_	01_061020.pdf
Change the sentence to				Decelus			4. HFA		
Module output ERL at TF specified in Table 120G- ***	24 shall be greater than or 6 3.	equal to the value	e of ERL (min.)	Resolve	using the resp	oonse to commer	II #31.		
Response	Response Status C								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE									
	ne following presentation: s/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20/	wu_3ck_adhoc_	01_061020.pdf						
Change the sentence to: Module output ERL at TF 120G-3.	24 shall be greater than or e	equal to ERL (mi	n) specified in Table						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.1	P 227	L 33	# 05		SC 120G.3.4	•		L 49	# 07
	F 221	L 33	# 25	C/ 120G	30 1200.3.4	.2	P 232	L 49	# 27
Wu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek			Wu, Mau-Li	n	Μ	ediatek		
Comment Type T Comme	ent Status A		bucket	Comment 7	⁻ уре Т	Comment Sta	tus A		bucke
The host input ERL (min) value T following sentence here. "Host in the duplicated information & coul	put ERL at TP4a sl	oth in Table 120 hall be greater th	G-4 as well as the han TBD". The value is	followin	g sentence her		ERL at TP1		e 120G-7 as well as the than TBD". The value
Please refer to details in wu_3ck_	_adhoc_01_061020	0.pdf		Please	refer to details	in wu_3ck_adhoc	_01_061020).pdf	
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestedl	Remedy				
Change the sentence to				Change	e the sentence t	0			
Host input ERL at TP4a shall be in Table 120G-4.	greater than or equ	al to the value o	of ERL (min.) specified		input ERL at T e 120G-7.	P1 shall be greate	er than or eo	qual to the value	e of ERL (min.) specified
Response Respon	se Status C			Response		Response Stat	us C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			
The comment refers to the follow	ing presentation:					the following pre			
http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/publi	ic/adhoc/iun10_20/	wu 3ck adhoc	01 061020 pdf	http://w	ww.ieee802.org	/3/ck/public/adho	c/jun10_20/	wu_3ck_adhoc	_01_061020.pdf
Change the sentence to:	, _			Change (min) s	e the sentence to pecified in Table	o:Module input EF e 120G-7.	RL at TP1 sl	nall be greater t	han or equal to ERL
Host input ERL at TP4a shall be	greater than or equ	ial to ERL (min)	specified in Table						
120G-4.				C/ 163	SC 163.9.1		P 177	L 38	# 28
	P 232	L 46	# 26	<i>CI</i> 163 Wu, Mau-Li			P 177 ediatek	L 38	# 28
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.2	P 232 Mediatek	L 46	# 26		n		ediatek	L 38	# 28
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.2 Wu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek	L 46		Wu, Mau-Li <i>Comment T</i> The 'A0	n Type T C common-mod	M <i>Comment Sta</i> e RMS voltage (n	ediatek <i>tus</i> R nax.)' is 30 r	nV, which is the	common mode nois
Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.2 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T Comme The table to be refered for calcula	Mediatek ent Status A ation of module inp	ut ERL is 'TBD'	bucket8 ERL now. Propose to refer	Wu, Mau-Li Comment 7 The 'A0 802.3cd induce	n Type T C common-mod d. By combining crosstalk to diffe	M <i>Comment Sta</i> e RMS voltage (n this spec with P/ erential signal at i	ediatek tus R nax.)' is 30 r N skew mis receiver. Fro	nV, which is the match of backp om 50G to 1000	common mode nois e same as that in lane channel, it will 6, it's difficult to improve
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.2 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T Comme	Mediatek ent Status A ation of module inp	ut ERL is 'TBD'	bucket8 ERL now. Propose to refer	Wu, Mau-Li Comment 7 The 'A0 802.3cc induce the P/N	n <i>ype</i> T C common-mod d. By combining crosstalk to differ I skew mismatcl	M Comment Sta e RMS voltage (n this spec with P/ erential signal at r h to half. Based o	ediatek tus R nax.)' is 30 r N skew mis receiver. Fro n that, we s	nV, which is the match of backp om 50G to 1000 hall modify AC	common mode nois e same as that in lane channel, it will
Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.2 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T Comme The table to be refered for calcula	Mediatek ent Status A ation of module inp similar method as	ut ERL is 'TBD' Clauses 162, 16	bucket8 ERL now. Propose to refer	Wu, Mau-Li Comment 7 The 'A0 802.3cd induce the P/N voltage	n Common-mod By combining crosstalk to diffe skew mismatc . We shall align	M <i>Comment Sta</i> e RMS voltage (n this spec with P/ erential signal at i	ediatek tus R nax.)' is 30 r N skew mis receiver. Fro n that, we s	nV, which is the match of backp om 50G to 1000 hall modify AC	common mode nois e same as that in lane channel, it will 6, it's difficult to improve
Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.2 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T Comme The table to be refered for calcula to values in Table 120G-9 as the Please refer to details in wu_3ck_	Mediatek ent Status A ation of module inp similar method as	ut ERL is 'TBD' Clauses 162, 16	bucket8 ERL now. Propose to refer	Wu, Mau-Li Comment 7 The 'AC 802.3cd induce the P/N voltage Suggested	n <i>Type</i> T C common-mod d. By combining crosstalk to different rowstalk to different skew mismatcl skew mismatcl We shall align <i>Remedy</i>	M Comment Sta e RMS voltage (n this spec with P/ erential signal at i h to half. Based o this spec to that	ediatek tus R nax.)' is 30 r N skew mis receiver. Fro n that, we s	nV, which is the match of backp om 50G to 1000 hall modify AC	common mode nois e same as that in lane channel, it will 6, it's difficult to improve
Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.2 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T Comment The table to be refered for calculat to values in Table 120G-9 as the	Mediatek ent Status A ation of module inp similar method as	ut ERL is 'TBD' Clauses 162, 16	bucket8 ERL now. Propose to refer	Wu, Mau-Li Comment T The 'A0 802.3cc induce the P/N voltage Suggested Change	n Common-mod By combining crosstalk to diffe skew mismatc . We shall align	M Comment Sta e RMS voltage (n this spec with P/ erential signal at n h to half. Based o this spec to that mV.	ediatek tus R nax.)' is 30 r N skew mis receiver. Fro n that, we s in C2M (120	nV, which is the match of backp om 50G to 1000 hall modify AC	common mode nois e same as that in lane channel, it will 6, it's difficult to improve
Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.2 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T Comme The table to be refered for calcula to values in Table 120G-9 as the Please refer to details in wu_3ck_ SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 120G-9	Mediatek ent Status A ation of module inp similar method as _adhoc_01_061020	ut ERL is 'TBD' Clauses 162, 16	bucket8 ERL now. Propose to refer	Wu, Mau-Li Comment T The 'A0 802.3cd induce the P/N voltage Suggested Change Response	n <i>Type</i> T C common-mod d. By combining crosstalk to different skew mismatch skew mismatch we shall align <i>Remedy</i> a 30 mV to 17.5	M Comment Sta e RMS voltage (n this spec with P/ erential signal at i h to half. Based o this spec to that	ediatek tus R nax.)' is 30 r N skew mis receiver. Fro n that, we s in C2M (120	nV, which is the match of backp om 50G to 1000 hall modify AC	common mode nois e same as that in lane channel, it will 6, it's difficult to improve
Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.2 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T Comme The table to be refered for calcula to values in Table 120G-9 as the Please refer to details in wu_3ck_ SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 120G-9	Mediatek ent Status A ation of module inp similar method as	ut ERL is 'TBD' Clauses 162, 16	bucket8 ERL now. Propose to refer	Wu, Mau-Li Comment T The 'A0 802.3cc induce the P/N voltage Suggested Change	n <i>Type</i> T C common-mod d. By combining crosstalk to different skew mismatch skew mismatch we shall align <i>Remedy</i> a 30 mV to 17.5	M Comment Sta e RMS voltage (n this spec with P/ erential signal at n h to half. Based o this spec to that mV.	ediatek tus R nax.)' is 30 r N skew mis receiver. Fro n that, we s in C2M (120	nV, which is the match of backp om 50G to 1000 hall modify AC	common mode nois e same as that in lane channel, it will 6, it's difficult to improve
Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.2 Nu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T Comme The table to be refered for calcula to values in Table 120G-9 as the Please refer to details in wu_3ck_ SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 120G-9 Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Mediatek ent Status A ation of module inp similar method as _adhoc_01_061020	ut ERL is 'TBD' Clauses 162, 16	bucket8 ERL now. Propose to refer	Wu, Mau-Li Comment T The 'A0 802.3cd induce the P/N voltage Suggested Change Response REJEC	n <i>Type</i> T C common-mod d. By combining crosstalk to differ skew mismatcl is kew mismatcl is kew mismatcl we shall align <i>Remedy</i> a 30 mV to 17.5 T.	M Comment Sta e RMS voltage (n this spec with P/ erential signal at n h to half. Based o this spec to that mV.	ediatek tus R nax.)' is 30 r N skew mis receiver. Fro n that, we s in C2M (120	nV, which is the match of backp om 50G to 1000 hall modify AC 0G).	common mode nois e same as that in lane channel, it will 6, it's difficult to improve
Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.2 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T Comme The table to be refered for calcula to values in Table 120G-9 as the Please refer to details in wu_3ck_ SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 120G-9 Response Respon	Mediatek ent Status A ation of module inp similar method as _adhoc_01_061020 use Status C ing presentation:	ut ERL is 'TBD' Clauses 162, 16 D.pdf	bucket8 ERL now. Propose to refer 63, & 120F.	Wu, Mau-Li Comment T The 'A0 802.3cd induce the P/N voltage Suggested Change Response REJEC Note th The su	in <i>Type</i> T C common-mod d. By combining crosstalk to differ I skew mismatcl I skew mismatcl I skew mismatcl I skew differ S shall align Remedy a 30 mV to 17.5 T. at comment #20 ggested remedy	M Comment Sta e RMS voltage (n this spec with P/ erential signal at n h to half. Based o this spec to that mV. Response Stat	ediatek tus R max.)' is 30 r N skew mis receiver. Fro n that, we s in C2M (120 tus C st the same e sufficient e	nV, which is the match of backp om 50G to 1000 hall modify AC 0G). change.	common mode nois e same as that in lane channel, it will 6, it's difficult to improve common-mode RMS

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 28

Page 7 of 79 8/12/2020 1:32:07 PM

C/ 120F SC 120F.3.	.1 <i>P</i> 205	L 13	# 29	C/ 163 SC 163.9.1.2	P 178	L 52	# 31
Wu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek			Wu, Mau-Lin	Mediatek		
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		bucket3	Comment Type T	Comment Status R		bucket5 TP0a
802.3cd. By combinin	ode RMS voltage (max.)' is 30 r ng this spec with P/N skew mis	match of backpla	ane channel, it will		P0a test fixture is still keep as or the state-of-art PCB techno		
the P/N skew mismat	ifferential signal at receiver. Fro tch to half. Based on that, we s gn this spec to that in C2M (120	hall modify AC c	•	SuggestedRemedy Propose to change '1.2	2 dB and 1.6 dB at 26.56 GH	z' to '2.4 dB and 3	3.2 dB at 26.56 GHz'.
SuggestedRemedy				Response	Response Status C		
Change 30 mV to 17.	.5 mV.			REJECT.			
Response REJECT.	Response Status C				ing TP0v as adopted in the reast point for TX measuremen		
Resolve using the res	sponse to comment #28.						
	·	L 45	# 30	See the responses to	comments #33 and #153.		
	·	L 45	# 30	See the responses to a	comments #33 and #153. P 221	L 17	# 32
C/ 163 SC 163.9.1	P 177	L 45	# 30 bucket2	· .		L 17	# 32
C/ 163 SC 163.9.1 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T	P 177 Mediatek			C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1	P 221	L 17	# <u>32</u> withdrawn
C/ 163 SC 163.9.1 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The "Linear fit pulse p	P 177 Mediatek Comment Status A			Cl 120G SC 120G.3.1 Wu, Mau-Lin <i>Comment Type</i> T	P 221 Mediatek		withdrawn
Cl 163 SC 163.9.1 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The "Linear fit pulse p SuggestedRemedy	P 177 Mediatek Comment Status A			Cl 120G SC 120G.3.1 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The ESMW (eye symn SuggestedRemedy	P 221 Mediatek <i>Comment Status</i> D netry mask width) value in Ta		withdrawn
Cl 163 SC 163.9.1 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The "Linear fit pulse p SuggestedRemedy Propose to change 'T	P 177 Mediatek <i>Comment Status</i> A peak (min.)" in Table 163-5 is s			Cl 120G SC 120G.3.1 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The ESMW (eye symn	P 221 Mediatek <i>Comment Status</i> D netry mask width) value in Ta		withdrawn
Cl 163 SC 163.9.1 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The "Linear fit pulse p SuggestedRemedy	P 177 Mediatek <i>Comment Status</i> A peak (min.)" in Table 163-5 is s TBD x v_f' to '0.65 x v_f'. <i>Response Status</i> C			Cl 120G SC 120G.3.1 Wu, Mau-Lin Comment Type T The ESMW (eye symn SuggestedRemedy	P 221 Mediatek <i>Comment Status</i> D netry mask width) value in Ta		withdrawn

C/ 163	SC 163.9.1	P 177	L 26	# 33	C/ 163	SC 163.9.1.	2 P 1	78 L 47	# 34
Ben Artsi,	, Liav	Marvell Tech	nology		Ben Artsi,	Liav	Marve	ell Technology	
Comment	t <i>Туре</i> т	Comment Status A		TI	P0v Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Α	TP0
		to be extremely difficult to b	e used as a point	to measure Specifie	d A refe	erence TP0 - TP	0a test fixture is specif	ied while its loss value	s are not practical.
Suggeste	mpliance parame	eters.			Suggeste	dRemedy			
Meas imple	urement to be do mentation.	one at a newly defined TP0v		C C	paran Loss	neters for refere	nce in TP0a. Specify a	P0a specification along n additional test fixture RL. A presentation is t	
Response	e	Response Status C			Response)	Response Status	С	
ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIP	LE			ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
http://	/www.ieee802.org	ations were reviewed: g/3/ck/public/20_07/benartsi_ g/3/ck/public/20_07/heck_3cl		f			ation was reviewed: g/3/ck/public/20_07/be	enartsi_3ck_01_0720.p	odf
Straw	poll #1.	20v methodology as propose		_01_0720.	IL @	e TP0 to TP0v t 26.56 GHz <= 5 = 0.2 dB		120F specify the follow	wing:
B: No)				C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.	2 P 1	79 L 27	# 35
	ed more informa se one.	tion			Ben Artsi,	Liav	Marve	ell Technology	
	B: 1 C: 21				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	0,	Test Fixture
follow	ing exceptions:	ontents of heck_3ck_01a_07 olumn change 0 to TBD (3 tir		icense, with the	embe	dded as part of			oossible and anyhow ice tolerance test. Thus,
	different annex,		nes)		Suggeste	dRemedy			
					Reco ILD≤0		ng loss limits to a mini	mum of 3 and max of 4	4dB at 26.56GHz with
					Response	9	Response Status	С	
						ст			

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1 P 205 L 10 # 36	C/ 163 SC 163.9.2.3 P 181 L 53 # 38
en Artsi, Liav Marvell Technology	Ben Artsi, Liav Marvell Technology
omment Type T Comment Status A buc	2 Comment Type T Comment Status D
TP0a has been shown to be extremely difficult to be used as a point to measure Specific Tx compliance parameters.	Stating that the transmitter device package model S(tp) is omitted from Equation (93A–3) in the calculation of COM practically penalizes cases which use "golden device" as the transmitter for interference tolerance testing
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Follow the same remedy as for 163.9.1 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #33.	Change the sentence to: "It is the test implementor's responsibility to adjust Tx package parameters to best match the actual driver package used for testing alongside parameters which will calibrate tx waveform to match the one supplied at TP0v, orelse transmitter device package model S(tp) should be omitted from Equation (93A–3) in the calculation of COM
© 162 SC 162.11.7 P 160 L 43 # 37	Proposed Response Response Status Z
Ben Artsi, Liav Marvell Technology	PROPOSED REJECT.
Comment Type T Comment Status A CA (M This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
Transmitter signal-to-noise ratio is TBD	C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 P 235 L 5 # 39
 In benartsi_3ck_01a_0919 it was shown that an optimized break-out section cross-talk degrades SNR by at least 0.5dB. This degradation is not represented in the "include PCB" section and should be account for in setting a proper value of SNR_Tx in section 162. In Table 163–10 SNR_Tx is specified to be 33dB and very likely same devices will be used for both sections. For comparison, in section 163 the break-out area crosstalk is included in the interconnect supplied to COM. According to all of the above, set 162 section's SNR_Tx COM value to be 32.5dB (to account for host board break-out section crosstalk which is not included in the "include PCB" specification). This value correlates to 163 section's SNR_Tx of 33dB and allows traces and conector crosstalk degradation of an additional 1dB up to TP2 resulting in the 31.5dB already specified in table 162–9 (SNDR = 31.5dB) 	Brown, Matt Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type T Comment Status D The single-ended termination resistor value is not specified for the reference receiver. SuggestedRemedy In Table 120G-9, add parameter "Single-ended termination resistance", Rd, with value 50 Ω. Proposed Response Response Status Z PROPOSED REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The referenced presentation is here: http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/19_09/benartsi_3ck_01a_0919.pdf Comments #70, #77, #152, #11162 also address SNR_TX.	
Set SNR_TX to 32.5 dB.	

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.2	P 152	L 24	# 40	C/ 93A	SC 93A.5	P 195	L 1	# 43
Brown, Mat	t	Huawei Techn	ologies Canada		Mellitz, Rich	ard	Samtec		
Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment 7	ype TR	Comment Status A		bucket8 ERI
more a relating <i>SuggestedI</i> Move th	ppropriately loca ı to the channel. Re <i>medy</i>	s a recommended insertion I ted in Annex 162A along with n 162.9.3.2 to Annex 162A th	n other informativ	e specifications	respons reliable window proprie implem	e. The reasor Inverse Fourie ing when dete ary) is a cosin entation of the	TDR) from return loss dat n is high frequency data m er Transform. Instrument rmining TDR from frequer ne window which will give inverse Fourier Transfor rg/wiki/Window_function#	ay not be well beha manufacturers may icy domain data. A good consistent res n. See	aved enough to perform a y employ proprietary Tukey window (non-
Response		Response Status C			Suggestedl	Remedy			
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLI	Ε.			Add ter	m H_tw to 934	A-58. I.e. H_ii(f)=H_t(f)* s_	ii(f)*H_r(f)*H_Tw(f)	
Implem	ent the suggeste	ed remedy with editorial licen	se.				*(f_b- f_b*(1-f_r));		
C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 205	L 16	# 41	Define: When f		H tw=1		
Brown, Mat	t	Huawei Techr	ologies Canada		When f	> f_r <= f_b, ⊦	l_tw=0.5*cos(2*pi*(f-f_b)/f	_tw_period=-pi)+.5	
Comment T		Comment Status A		bucket8 RL	When f	> f_v,	H_tw=0		
N 1 1	,				Response		Response Status C		
Naming	j of return loss p	arameters is not consistent.					•		
-		arameters is not consistent.			ACCEF	T IN PRINCIF	•		
Suggestedl In Table return le In Table	Remedy e 120F-1 (P205, oss" to"Commor e 120F-3 (P207/I	L16) and in 120F.3.1.2 (206, n-mode return loss" L46) and 120F.3.2.2 (P208/I to "Differential to common-m	_9) change "Diffe	rential to common	Update http://w	Equation 93A	PLE. -58 according to slide 2 o g/3/ck/public/20_07/heck		entation:
Suggestedl In Table return le In Table	Remedy e 120F-1 (P205, oss" to"Commor e 120F-3 (P207/I	L16) and in 120F.3.1.2 (206, n-mode return loss" L46) and 120F.3.2.2 (P208/I	_9) change "Diffe	rential to common	Update http://w	Equation 93A ww.ieee802.or	PLE. -58 according to slide 2 o g/3/ck/public/20_07/heck cense.		# 44
Suggested In Table return le In Table mode ir	Remedy e 120F-1 (P205, oss" to"Commor e 120F-3 (P207/I nput return loss"	L16) and in 120F.3.1.2 (206, -mode return loss" L46) and 120F.3.2.2 (P208/l to "Differential to common-m	_9) change "Diffe	rential to common	Update http://w Implem	Equation 93A ww.ieee802.or ent editorial lid SC 162.11 .	PLE. -58 according to slide 2 o g/3/ck/public/20_07/heck cense.	_3ck_05_0720.pdf	
Suggested In Table return le In Table mode ir Response ACCEF C/ 120G	Remedy e 120F-1 (P205, oss" to"Commor e 120F-3 (P207// nput return loss" PT. SC 120G.3.1	L16) and in 120F.3.1.2 (206, h-mode return loss" L46) and 120F.3.2.2 (P208/I to "Differential to common-m <i>Response Status</i> C	_9) change "Diffende return loss".	rential to common	Update http://w Implem <i>CI</i> 162 Mellitz, Rich <i>Comment T</i>	Equation 93A ww.ieee802.or ent editorial lic SC 162.11. ard ype TR	PLE. -58 according to slide 2 o rg/3/ck/public/20_07/heck cense. 3 P 158	_3ck_05_0720.pdf	
Suggested/ In Table return le In Table mode ir Response ACCEF Cl 120G Brown, Mat	Remedy e 120F-1 (P205, oss" to"Commor e 120F-3 (P207// nput return loss" PT. SC 120G.3.1 t	L16) and in 120F.3.1.2 (206, -mode return loss" L46) and 120F.3.2.2 (P208/L to "Differential to common-m <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 221 Huawei Techr	_9) change "Diffe node return loss".	# 42	Update http://w Implem <i>CI</i> 162 Mellitz, Rich <i>Comment T</i>	Equation 93A ww.ieee802.or ent editorial lic SC 162.11. bard ype TR with Host T_1	PLE. -58 according to slide 2 o g/3/ck/public/20_07/heck cense. 3 P 158 Samtec Comment Status A	_3ck_05_0720.pdf	# 44
Suggestedl In Table return le In Table mode in Response ACCEF Cl 120G Brown, Mat Comment 7	Remedy e 120F-1 (P205, oss" to"Commor e 120F-3 (P207// nput return loss" PT. SC 120G.3.1 t <i>SC</i> 120G.3.1	L16) and in 120F.3.1.2 (206, -mode return loss" L46) and 120F.3.2.2 (P208/l to "Differential to common-m <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 221 Huawei Techn <i>Comment Status</i> A	_9) change "Diffende return loss".	rential to common	Update http://w Implem Cl 162 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 Align T Suggested	Equation 93A ww.ieee802.or ent editorial lic SC 162.11. bard ype TR with Host T_1	PLE. -58 according to slide 2 o rg/3/ck/public/20_07/heck cense. 3 P 158 Samtec Comment Status A in table 11.33	_3ck_05_0720.pdf	# 44
Suggested In Table return le In Table mode ir Response ACCEF C/ 120G Brown, Mat Comment 7 Naming	Remedy e 120F-1 (P205, oss" to"Commor e 120F-3 (P207// nput return loss" PT. SC 120G.3.1 t fype E g of return loss p	L16) and in 120F.3.1.2 (206, -mode return loss" L46) and 120F.3.2.2 (P208/L to "Differential to common-m <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 221 Huawei Techr	_9) change "Diffende return loss".	# 42	Update http://w Implem Cl 162 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 Align T Suggested	Equation 93A ww.ieee802.or ent editorial lic SC 162.11. ard ype TR with Host T_r Remedy	PLE. -58 according to slide 2 o rg/3/ck/public/20_07/heck cense. 3 P 158 Samtec Comment Status A in table 11.33 able 162.15	_3ck_05_0720.pdf	# 44
Suggested/ In Table return le In Table mode ir Response ACCEF C/ 120G Brown, Mat Comment 7 Naming Suggested/	Remedy e 120F-1 (P205, oss" to"Commor e 120F-3 (P207// nput return loss" PT. SC 120G.3.1 t SC 120G.3.1 t fype E g of return loss p Remedy	L16) and in 120F.3.1.2 (206, -mode return loss" L46) and 120F.3.2.2 (P208/I to "Differential to common-m <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 221 Huawei Techn <i>Comment Status</i> A arameters is not consistent.	L9) change "Diffe node return loss". <i>L</i> 22 nologies Canada	# 42 bucket	Update http://w Implem Cl 162 Mellitz, Rict Comment 7 Align T Suggested/ set T_r Response	Equation 93A ww.ieee802.or ent editorial lic SC 162.11. ard ype TR with Host T_r Remedy	PLE. -58 according to slide 2 o rg/3/ck/public/20_07/heck cense. 3 P 158 Samtec Comment Status A in table 11.33 able 162.15 Response Status C	_3ck_05_0720.pdf	# 44
Suggested/ In Table return le In Table mode in Response ACCEF C/ 120G Brown, Mat Comment 7 Naming Suggested/ In Table mode re In Table	Remedy e 120F-1 (P205, oss" to"Commor e 120F-3 (P207/I nput return loss" PT. SC 120G.3.1 t <i>SC</i> 120G.3 (P224, eturn loss" to "Cie e 120G-3 (P224,	L16) and in 120F.3.1.2 (206, -mode return loss" L46) and 120F.3.2.2 (P208/l to "Differential to common-m <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 221 Huawei Techn <i>Comment Status</i> A	_9) change "Diffe node return loss". <i>L</i> 22 nologies Canada (L6) change "Correturn loss". 30, L9) change "C	rential to common # 42 bucket mmon to differential Common-mode to	Update http://w Implem Cl 162 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 Align T Suggested set T_r Response ACCEF	Equation 93A ww.ieee802.or ent editorial lid SC 162.11. ard ype TR with Host T_i Remedy to 0.01 ns in t T IN PRINCIF	PLE. -58 according to slide 2 o rg/3/ck/public/20_07/heck cense. 3 P 158 Samtec Comment Status A in table 11.33 able 162.15 Response Status C	_3ck_05_0720.pdf	# 44
Suggested/ In Table return le In Table mode in Response ACCEF C/ 120G Brown, Mat Comment 7 Naming Suggested/ In Table mode re In Table	Remedy e 120F-1 (P205, oss" to"Commor e 120F-3 (P207/I nput return loss" PT. SC 120G.3.1 t <i>SC</i> 120G.3 (P224, eturn loss" to "Cie e 120G-3 (P224,	L16) and in 120F.3.1.2 (206, -mode return loss" L46) and 120F.3.2.2 (P208/I to "Differential to common-m <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 221 Huawei Techr <i>Comment Status</i> A arameters is not consistent. L22) and 120G.3.1.2 (P222, ommon-mode to differential in L52) and Table 120G-7 (P23)	_9) change "Diffe node return loss". <i>L</i> 22 nologies Canada (L6) change "Correturn loss". 30, L9) change "C	rential to common # 42 bucket mmon to differential Common-mode to	Update http://w Implem Cl 162 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 Align T Suggested set T_r Response ACCEF	Equation 93A ww.ieee802.or ent editorial lid SC 162.11. ard ype TR with Host T_i Remedy to 0.01 ns in t T IN PRINCIF	PLE. -58 according to slide 2 o rg/3/ck/public/20_07/heck cense. 3 P 158 Samtec Comment Status A in table 11.33 able 162.15 Response Status C PLE.	_3ck_05_0720.pdf	# 44

C/ 162	SC 162.11.3	P 158	L 52	# 45	Cl 163 SC 163.1	0.2 <i>P</i> 186	L 49	# 47
Mellitz, Ric	hard	Samtec			Mellitz, Richard	Samtec		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket5 ERL
		requency step less than 10 N	Ihz. This is meas	surement burdon with	Assign N_bx to rec	ommendation in mellitz_3ck_ad	hoc_01_061020	
	inge over N=350	J.			SuggestedRemedy			
Suggested	-	ted in mellitz_3ck_adhoc_01	061020		Set N_bx to 21			
_	=3500 as sugges		_001020		Response	Response Status C		
Response		Response Status C			ACCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE.		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.			Resolve using the	response to comment #45.		
		ation is located here:		04 004000 V		•		
Http://	www.ieee802.org	/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20	mellitz_3ck_adh	oc_01a_061020.pdf	C/ 120F SC 120F		L 52	# 48
		Bx, Px, N, and Nbx in slide			Mellitz, Richard	Samtec		
http://v	www.ieee802.org	/3/ck/public/20_07/kochupara	ambil_3ck_01a_0)720.pdf	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket5 ER
There	was no consens	us to adopt values for ERL (r	nin).		-	ommendation in mellitz_3ck_ad	hoc_01_061020	
C/ 163	SC 163.9.1.1	P 178	L 41	# 46	SuggestedRemedy			
			L 4 I	# 40	Change TBD for N	_bx to 6		
Mellitz, Ric		Samtec			Response	Response Status C		
Comment		Comment Status A nendation in mellitz_3ck_adh	00 01 061020	bucket5 ERL	ACCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE.		
•		Tenuation in menitz_SCK_au	00_01_001020		Resolve using the	esponse to comment #45.		
Suggested	_bx to 21				C/ 120F SC 120F	4.3 P 213	L 42	# 49
	_DX 10 2 1						L 42	# 49
Response	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C			Mellitz, Richard	Samtec		
ACCE		Ε.			Comment Type TR	Comment Status A ommendation in mellitz_3ck_ad	boc 01 061020	bucket5 ERI
		the following presentation:			-		100_01_001020	
http://v	www.ieee802.org	/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20/	mellitz_3ck_adh	oc_01a_061020.pdf	SuggestedRemedy			
Resolv	ve using the resp	onse to comment #45.			Change TBD for N			
					Response ACCEPT IN PRINO	Response Status C CIPLE.		
					The referenced pre http://www.ieee802	sentation is here: .org/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20)/mellitz_3ck_adhoc	_01a_061020.pdf
					Resolve using the	esponse to comment #45.		

C/ 120G SC	120G.3.2.2	P 226	L 31	# 50	C/ 163	SC 163.10	P 184	L 4	# 53
Mellitz, Richard		Samtec			Mellitz, Rich	ard	Samtec		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		bucket8 ERL	Comment T	/pe TR	Comment Status A		package paramete
There doesn'	t see to be a	need for table TBD					done on 100G package mode		
SuggestedRemed	dy					n package tra alues were su	nsmission line losses differen	it the specified in	h table 93A-3. The table
Remove sent							01_121218 and benartsi_3ck_	_01_0119.	
		opear in Table 120G–2 tak cept the value of N is 400"	e values from Ta	able TBD "	SuggestedF	emedy			
Response		Response Status C					e transmission line, s^(l)(f), us		out replaces values for
ACCEPT IN F					_	a_2 with 0.00	09909 and 0.0002772 respe	ectively.	
XOOLI I IIII	TUNON EE.				Response		Response Status C		
Resolve using	g the resonse	e to comments #45 and #5	51.		ACCEP	T IN PRINCIP	LE.		
C/ 120G SC	120G.3.3.1	P 227	L 30	# 51	Implem	ent suggested	remedy with editorial license.		
Mellitz, Richard		Samtec			C/ 163	SC 163.9.1	P 177	L 38	# 54
Comment Type	•	Comment Status A		bucket8 ERL	Mellitz, Rich	ard	Samtec		
There doesn'	t see to be a	need for table TBD			Comment T	pe TR	Comment Status R		bucket
SuggestedRemed	dy				30 mv c	f AC common	-mode RMS voltage is too se	evere. Little work	has been to justify this.
Remove sent					SuggestedF	emedv	-		
_		opear in Table 120G–2 tak	e values from 1 a	able IBD "	00		le RMS voltage to TBD. Add	a line to the tab	le called AC common-
Response		Response Status C					Itage which essentially repres		
ACCEPT IN F	PRINCIPLE.				Response		Response Status C		
		nedy and apply similar fix v			REJEC [®]	Г.			
(Host output)	, 120G.3.2.2	(Module output), & 120G.	3.4.2 (Module in	put).	Resolve	using the res	ponse to comment #28.		
C/ 120G SC	120G.3.4.2	P 232	L 46	# 52		J			
Mellitz, Richard		Samtec							
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		bucket8 ERL					
There doesn'	t see to be a	need for table TBD							
SuggestedRemed	dy								
Remove sent									
		opear in Table 120G–2 tak	e values from Ta	able TBD "					
•		cept the value of N is 400"							
		Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN F	-KINCIPLE.								
Resolve using	g the resonse	e to comment #45 and #51							

C/ 162 SC 162.9.3	P 148	L 24	# 55	C/ 163 S	C 163.9.3	P 148	L 30	# 57
Vellitz, Richard	Samtec			Mellitz, Richard	1	Samtec		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R			Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status D		
30 mv of AC commo	n-mode RMS voltage is too se	evere. Little work	has been to justify this.	need spec	form comm	on mode return loss.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRen	nedy			
	de RMS voltage to TBD. Add oltage which essentially repres		e called AC common-			ommon mode return loss so it and remove reference to 92.8.3		compute the effect of
Response	Response Status C			Proposed Res	oonse	Response Status Z		
REJECT.				REJECT.				
[Editor's note: Chang	e clause/subclause from 163/	163.9.3]		This comm	ient was Wl	THDRAWN by the commenter		
There is no consensu	is to change the TX AC CM no	pise values at this	s time.	[Editor's no	ote: changed	subclause from 162.9.3.]		
Resolve using the res	ponse to comment #28.				C 163.9.1	P 177	L 42	# 58
C/ 163 SC 163.9.1	P 177	<i>L</i> 41	# 56	Mellitz, Richard		Samtec		
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec			Comment Type		Comment Status A	aa Niy 200	bucket2
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		common mode spec		0	th Av in COM table 163-10 sin	ce INV=200	
need spec form com	non mode return loss.			SuggestedRen	•	_		
SuggestedRemedy				Replace 0.	4 with 0.41	3		
Change to integrated common mode noise	common mode return loss so and remove reference to 93.8	it may be used to 3.1.4	o compute the effect of	Response ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPL	Response Status C .E.		
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			[Editor's po	te: Change	page from 148.]		
REJECT.					-			
This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the comment	er.		Resolve us	sing the resp	onse to comment #33.		
				C/ 120F S	C 120F.3.1	P 205	L 20	# 59
				Mellitz, Richard	1	Samtec		
				Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status A		bucket2
				Vf(min) she	ould align wi	th Av in COM table 120F-6 sir	ice Nv=200	
				SuggestedRen				
				Replace TI	BD for Vf(mi	n) with V(fmin)=0.413		
				Response		Response Status C		
				ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPL	Е.		
				Resolve us	ing the rest	onse to comment #33.		

C/ 162	SC 162.8.11	P 147	L 14	# 60
Lusted, Kent		Intel Corporation		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		Logic

The currently defined PMD control function does not place a limit on the amount of time that a device is allowed to transition from the Cl 73 Auto-negotiation protocol (i.e. entry into the AN_GOOD_CHECK state in Figure 73-10) to the response of new request from a partner device. This particular condition had a constraint of 50 msec in Clause 92.7.12. Because it was not bounded, it is possible for a device to consume a large amount of time transitioning between these functions.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an item to the list in the subclause that states "the handshake timing shall meet the requirements of 136.8.11.6 except during the first 50 ms following the beginning of the startup protocol. The beginning of the start-up protocol is defined to be entry into the AN_GOOD_CHECK state in Figure 73–10.".

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 73.6.10, the Transmit Switch function requires signals at the MDI to conform to a PHY's specifications within 20 msec. However, in the 136.8.11 PMD control function, the delay from the start of the state machine until local_tf_lock assertion is undefined, which makes the exit from SEND_TF unpredictable.

It would be beneficial to explicitly define the allowed delay.

Response Status C

Add an item to the exceptions list in subclause 162.8.11 that states "A receiver is expected to assert local_tf_lock within 275 ms from entry into the AN_GOOD_CHECK state in Figure 73–11 provided that there is a compliant signal containing training frames at the PMD input."

Also, in 73.10.2, for the case of 100GBASE-KR1, 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-KR2, 200GBASE-CR2, 400GBASE-KR4 or 400GBASE-CR4, change the min value of link_fail_inhibit_timer to be "12.3" and the max value of link_fail_inhibit_timer to be "12.4" to account for the extra 275 ms.

Implement with editorial license.

C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 31	L 28	# 61
Lusted, Ker	nt	Intel Corporation		
Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket4

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The definition for 100GAUI-n in 802.3cd-2018 clause 1.4.3.6 needs to be updated for the single lane version of this interface "100GAUI-1" enabled with the 3ck project.

SuggestedRemedy

Add reference to 100GAUI-1 and the relevant clause as appropriate.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The referenced subclause is 1.4.36.

Implement the suggested remedy with language consistent with the base standard.

C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 31	L 28	# 62
Lusted, k	Kent	Intel Corporat	ion	
Commer	nt Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket4
		OGAUI-n in 802.3-2018 clause 1 hterface "200GAUI-2" enabled v		
Suggest	edRemedy			

Add reference to 200GAUI-2 and the relevant clause as appropriate.

Response	Response Status	С	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE			

Implement the suggested remedy with language consistent with the base standard.

C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 31	# 63	
Lusted, Kent		Intel Corporation		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status A		bucket4

The definition for 400GAUI-n in 802.3-2018 clause 1.4.111 needs to be updated for the four lane version of this interface "400GAUI-4" enabled with the 3ck project.

SuggestedRemedy

Add reference to 400GAUI-4 and the relevant clause as appropriate.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with language consistent with the base standard.

					-						
C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 32	L 28	# 64	C/ 162	SC 162.8.11	P 147	L 21	# 66		
Lusted, Ker	nt	Intel Corporat	tion		Lusted, K	ent	Intel Corpora	tion			
Comment T	<i>71</i>	Comment Status A		bucket4	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		Logic		
consiste Suggested	ency with the base s Re <i>medy</i>	100GAUI to include the tandard 802.3-2018 for	200GAUI-n and	es and align 400GAUI-n	define Amor	ed and specified in C g other things, spec	3 project, an updated PMD Cl 136.8.11. sific changes enabled the l vo devices without using C	link training prote	ocol to support link		
	er changing the abbr e over n lanes"	reviation to be "100GAU	II-n 100 Gb	o/s Attachment Unit			rced PHY speed" on the lir		Υ.		
	Re PT IN PRINCIPLE. ent the suggested re	esponse Status C			autor obsei level	omously recover fro ved when the Claus management agent	te machine in Clause 136. om a partner breaking fram te 73 Auto-Negotiation stat (i.e. SW or FW) detects th comes up) or a link oscillat	ne lock during lin te machine is no ne condition, the	hk training (Note: ot used.) Unless a high- e result could be either a		
C/ 116	SC 116.2	Dos	L 12	# 05	reaso	n is that the signals	local_tf_lock and remote	_tf_lock are only	/ checked moving from		
Lusted, Ker	-	P 95 Intel Corporat		# 65	betwe	en the two end poin	e TRAIN_LOCAL state. A nts that the link has been r				
Comment T	ype TR C	Comment Status A		bucket	are o	her reasons as well,	, not listed here.				
Negotiationfunction that similarly present in Clause 80 Introduction to 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s networks SuggestedRemedy Insert a new subclause before existing clause 116.2.6 "Management interface (MDIO/MDC)". Renumber existing clauses 116.2.6 and 116.2.7 as appropriate. The new clause 116.2.6 "Auto-Negotiation" will have the following text: "Auto-Negotiation provides a linked device with the capability to detect the abilities (modes of operation) supported by the device at the other end of the link, determine common abilities, and configure for joint operation. Clause 73 Auto-Negotiation is used by the 200 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s backplane PHYs (200GBASE-KR4, 200GBASE-KR2, and 400GBASE-KR4) and the 200 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s copper PHYs (200GBASE-CR4, 200GBASE-CR2 and 400GBASE-CR4)."						Update the PMD control state diagram to account for this situation. Some solutions include, but are not limited to: - increase the duration of the holdoff_timer to exceed that of the max_wait_timer (>= 12 seconds) - add monitoring of the local and received frame lock status after the initial frame lock i achieved - implement an abort signaling mechanism See presentation to be submitted for TF consideration. Response Response Status C REJECT. The following presentation was reviewed by the task force:					
Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status C			https:	//www.ieee802.org/3	3/ck/public/20_07/lusted_3	3ck_02_0720.pd	f		
Insert a (MDIO/ In the n "Auto-N of opera	new subclause befo MDC)". www.subclause.clause legotiation provides ation) supported by t	ore existing clause 116.2 e 116.2.5a "Auto-Negoti a linked device with the the device at the other e	ation" include the capability to dete	e following text: ect the abilities (modes	There	is no consensus or	n a complete solution at th	is time.			
Clause (200GB	ASE-KR4, 200GBA	bint operation. i is used by the 200 Gb/s SE-KR2, and 400GBAS CR4, 200GBASE-CR2 a	E-KR4) and the	200 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 80	SC 80.1.4	P 76	L 5	# 67	C/ 162	SC 162.11.	7 P16	61 L	- 14	# 69	
Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel Corporati	ion		Champion	, Bruce	TE Co	onnectivity			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Α		CA COM	
Sectio	on Six, page 84, li	100GBSSE-P" in the base do ne 12ish) does not list the Cla S-FEC-Int was added for 100	ause 161 [°] RS-FI	EC-Int as a valid layer	One-sided noise spectral density set at 1.0e-8 contrary to lim_3ck_01a_1119 and mellitz_3ck_03a_1119 recommendations. This makes a large impact on cable assembly COM and the ability to achieve 2m copper reach						
Suggestee	dRemedy				Suggested	Remedy					
be "So	ome 100GBASE-I	ce of the sixth paragraph in I P Physical Layer devices also	o use the transo	oding and			ctral density should be s nd mellitz_3ck_03a_11			ded by	
FEC c	of Clause 91 and s	some may also use the RS-F	EC-Int of Claus	e 161."	Response		Response Status	С			
Response)	Response Status C			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	PLE.				
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.									
be "So	ome 100GBASE-I	ce of the sixth paragraph in I P Physical Layer devices also			http://v	www.ieee802.o	ation was reviewed by rg/3/ck/public/20_07/ch	ampion_3ck_(02_0720.pd		
Claus	e 91 or Clause 16	51."					s adopted based on the ing. The comment prov				
C/ 162	SC 162.11.3	P 159	L1	# 68	Howev	ver, having an i	nteroperable link require				
Champion	, Bruce	TE Connectivi	ty		need t	o be addressed	1.				
Comment Cable	<i>Type</i> T Assembly ERL li	Comment Status R sted as TBD		bucket5 ERL		•	12 consensus, change	the value of et	ta0 to 9E-9.		
Suggested	dRemedy					ooll #12 (decision of the support characteristic of the support characteristic of the support characteristic of the support of	on) ging the value of eta0 to		1-0		
00	,	3 dB. See presentation			Y: 25	u support chang	ging the value of elao to	59L-9 V-2/GI	12 !		
	-				N: 19						
Response REJE		Response Status C									
		tion was reviewed by the task /3/ck/public/20_07/champion		pdf							

There is no consensus to make the proposed change. See the response to comment #45.

	D					D • D •		
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7	<i>P</i> 160	L 42	# 70	C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 158	L 17	# 72
Champion, Bruce	TE Connectiv	vity		Haser, Ale		Molex		
Comment Type T Com SNR_Tx listed at TBD	nment Status A		bucket5 CA	<i>Comment</i> Fill in		Comment Status D ntial to common-mode conver	sion loss	withdrawi
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy			
Change TBD to 32.5 as descri presentation	bed in champion_3ck	c_adhoc_01_031	120.pdf. See		ntation to follov Response	-		
Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	onse Status C			REJE	,	Response Status Z		
The referenced ad hoc present	tation is horo.			This c	comment was V	VITHDRAWN by the comment	ter.	
http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/pu		0/champion_3ck	_adhoc_01_031120.pdf	C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 158	L 18	# 73
The following presentation was http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/pu Resolve using response to cor	ublic/20_07/champior		odf	Haser, Ale <i>Comment</i> Fill in	Туре Т	Molex Comment Status A on-mode to common-mode re	turn loss	
				Suggestee	dRemedy			
W 162 SC 162.11	P 158	L 15	# 71	Prese	entation to follow	V		
laser, Alex	Molex			Response)	Response Status C		
	ment Status R			ACCE	EPT IN PRINCI	PLE.		
Fill in TBD for differential to co SuggestedRemedy Presentation to follow	mmon-mode return it	555				tation was reviewed at a previ rg/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun17_20		
Response Resp	onse Status C			Imple	ment the propo	sal on slide 7 of diminico_3ck	_02d_0720.	
REJECT.	-			C/ 162	SC 162.11		L 6	# 74
The following presentation was http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/pu				Haser, Ale Comment		Molex Comment Status R		bucket5 C.
Resolve with comment 181, 14	7, and 74			Fill in	TBD for differe	ntial to common-mode return	loss	
There is no consensus to make	e changes to this spe	ecification at this	time.	Suggester Prese	dRemedy entation to follow	v		
				Response REJE		Response Status C		
						v the following presentation at rg/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun17_20		
				Resol	ve using the re	sponse to comment #71.		

C/ 162	SC 162.11.5	P 159	L 10	# 75	C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 161	L 14	# 78
Haser, Ale	x	Molex			Haser, Ale	x	Molex		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		withdrawn	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket5 eta0
Fill in	BD for differentia	al to common-mode convers	ion loss		Curren	t eta_0 value ca	uses contributed cable dat	a sets to fail 3 dB	COM
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Preser	ntation to follow				Chang	e eta_0 back to	8.37e-9 (see champion_3c	k_adhoc_01_0311	20)
Proposed REJE	•	Response Status Z			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C _E.		
This c	omment was WIT	HDRAWN by the commenter	er.			ferenced preser		20/abampian 2ak	adhaa 01 021120 adf
C/ 162	SC 162.11.6	P 159	L 14	# 76	nup.//v	ww.ieee602.01	g/3/ck/public/adhoc/mar11_	_20/champion_3ck	_aunoc_01_031120.pui
Haser, Ale	x	Molex			Resolv	e using the resp	oonse to comment #69.		
Comment	51	Comment Status A		bucket5 CA	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.1	.1 P 247	L 39	# 79
Fill in	BD for common-	mode to common-mode retu	urn loss		Haser, Ale	x	Molex		
Suggested	-				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		bucket5 range
Preser	ntation to follow				Freque	ency range is no	t practical for measured da	ta	
Response		Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy			
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLI	Ξ.			Chang 162B-2		$f \le 40 \text{ GHz}$ (see haser_3c	k_adhoc_01b_061	020) & update Figure
		on was reviewed at a previo			Response	I	Response Status C		
http://v	/www.ieee802.org	3/ck/public/adhoc/jun17_20/	haser_3ck_adho	oc_02_061720.pdf	REJEC	CT.			
Resolv	e using the respo	onse to comment #73.							
C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 160	L 42	# 77	Resolv	e using the resp	oonse to comment #91.		
laser, Ale		Molex			C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.2	1 P 248	L 40	# 80
Comment		Comment Status A		bucket5 CA	Haser, Ale	x	Molex		
	BD for SNR_Tx				Comment	51	Comment Status R		bucket5 range
Suggested	Remedv				Freque	ency range is no	t practical for measured da	ta	
Set SN	IR_Tx to 32.52 dl	B. All lanes of cables must p		5 =	Suggested		f < 40 CHZ (and hanner 20	k adhaa 01h 061	020) 8 undete Figure
	to do so given sh	ared data (see champion_3	ck_adhoc_01_0	31120)	162B-2		f ≤ 40 GHz (see haser_3c	k_adnoc_d1b_d61	020) & update Figure
Response	PT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status C -			Response		Response Status C		
ACCE		Ξ.			REJEC	CT.			
	ferenced present www.ieee802.org/	ation is here: 3/ck/public/adhoc/mar11_20	/champion_3ck	_adhoc_01_031120.pdf	Resolv	e using the res	oonse to comment #91.		
Resolv	e using the respo	onse to comment #37.							
							0	mant ID co	
	LECHNICAL REQUIRE	d ER/editorial required GR/ patched A/accepted R/reje	yeneral required	I/LECHNICAL E/Editorial G/(yeneral ritten C/closed	7/withdrawn	Com	ment ID 80	Page 19 of 79 8/12/2020 1:32

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

08 PM

C/ 162B SC 16	2B.1.3.1	P 249	L 37	# 81	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.3.	1 P 250	L 25	# 84
Haser, Alex		Molex			Haser, Alex		Molex		
51		ent Status R for measured data		bucket5 range	<i>Comment T</i> F_min i		Comment Status R	ł	bucket5 range
SuggestedRemedy					Suggested	Remedy			
Change to 0.05 162B-3	GHz ≤ f ≤ 40 GHz	z (see haser_3ck_	adhoc_01b_0610	20) & update Figure	Change <i>Response</i>	to f_min to 0.0	5 GHz (see haser_3ck_))
Response	Respon	se Status C			REJEC	т	Response Status C	•	
REJECT.					RESEC				
Resolve using t	he response to co	mment #91.				<u> </u>	onse to comment #91.		
C/ 162B SC 16	2B.1.3.1	P 249	L 41	# 82	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.3.	1 P 250	L 33	# 85
Haser, Alex	20.1.0.1	Molex	2 41	<i>"</i> 02	Haser, Alex		Molex		
,	TR Comme	ent Status R		bucket7	Comment T		Comment Status	-	bucket5 range
		or measured data				, ,	practical for measured	data	
SuggestedRemedy					Suggested				1000
,	$GHz \le f \le 40 GHz$	z (see haser_3ck_	adhoc_01b_0610	20) & update Figure	0	to 0.05 GHZ ≤	f ≤ 40 GHz (see haser_		1020)
162B-3				,	Response	-	Response Status C	;	
Response	Respon	se Status C			REJEC	1.			
REJECT.					Resolve	e using the resp	onse to comment #91.		
		eviewed at a previo c/adhoc/jun10_20,		ng: c_01c_062420.pdf	C/ 162B Haser, Alex	SC 162B.1.3.	2 P 250 Molex	L 45	# 86
Resolve using t	he response to co	mment #91.			Comment T		Comment Status	۱.	
C/ 162B SC 16	2B.1.3.1	P 250	L 24	# 83	Fill in T	BD for RL limit			
Haser, Alex		Molex			Suggested	Remedy			
Comment Type	T Comme	ent Status A			See has	ser_3ck_adhoc_	_01b_061020 & update	Figure 162B-4	
Fill in TBD value	e for T_t (6.16ps)				Response		Response Status	;	
SuggestedRemedy					ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL	E.		
See haser_3ck	_adhoc_01b_0610	20			The foll	owing presentat	ion was reviewed at a	previous ad hoc mee	eting:
Response	Respon	se Status C			http://w	ww.ieee802.org	/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun1	0_20/haser_3ck_ad	hoc_01c_062420.pdf
ACCEPT IN PR	INCIPLE.				Differer	tial Return Loss	S =		
01		viewed at a previo c/adhoc/jun10_20/		ng: c_01c_062420.pdf	18-0.5*		z ≤ fGHz < 25 GHz		
For T_t, replace	TBD with 7.5 ps.								
TVDE: TR/technical	required ER/adit	orial required CP	apperal required	T/technical E/editorial G/	general		C	comment ID 86	Page 20 of 79
				SE STATUS: O/open W/w		Z/withdrawn	0		8/12/2020 1:32:0

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 20 of 79 8/12/2020 1:32:08 PM

C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.2	P 250	L 47	# 87	C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3	5 P 252	L 33	# 90
laser, Alex	Molex			Haser, Alex	Molex		
<i>,</i>	nent Status R		bucket5 range	Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		bucket5 range
Frequency range is not practical	for measured data			Frequency range is not	practical for measured data	l	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change to 0.05 GHz ≤ f ≤ 40 GH	lz (see haser_3ck_a	adhoc_01b_0610	020)	See haser_3ck_adhoc_	_01b_061020 & update Figu	re 162B-7	
Response Respon	nse Status C			Response	Response Status C		
REJECT.				REJECT.			
Resolve using the response to c	comment #91.			Resolve using the resp	onse to comment #91.		
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.3	P 251	L 18	# 88				
Haser, Alex	Molex						
Comment Type T Comm	nent Status A						
Fill in TBD for CMCIL limit							
SuggestedRemedy							
0	020 & update Figur	e 162B-5					
See haser_3ck_adhoc_01b_061	ozo a apaalo i iga						
	nse Status C						
Response Respon	nse Status C	<pre>c force at a previous of the second sec</pre>					
Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The following presentation was r	nse Status C reviewed by the task lic/adhoc/jun10_20/ on loss limits as foll	< force at a previo haser_3ck_adho					
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The following presentation was r http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/publ Set the common-mode conversig 30-(21/28)*fGHz ; 0.01 TBD GHz 15 ; 20 GHz ≤ fGHz ≤ 50 GHz	nse Status C reviewed by the task lic/adhoc/jun10_20/ on loss limits as foll	< force at a previo haser_3ck_adho					
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The following presentation was r http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/publ Set the common-mode conversig 30-(21/28)*fGHz ; 0.01 TBD GHz 15 ; 20 GHz ≤ fGHz ≤ 50 GHz	nse Status C reviewed by the task lic/adhoc/jun10_20/ on loss limits as foll $z \le fGHz < 20 GHz$	< force at a previo haser_3ck_adho ows:	c_01c_062420.pdf				
ResponseResponseACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.The following presentation was r http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/publeSet the common-mode conversion $30-(21/28)*fGHz$; 0.01 TBD GHz 15 ; 20 GHz \leq fGHz \leq 50 GHzC/ 162BSC 162B.1.3.4Haser, Alex	nse Status C reviewed by the task lic/adhoc/jun10_20/ on loss limits as foll $z \le fGHz < 20 GHz$ <i>P</i> 251 Molex nent Status R	< force at a previo haser_3ck_adho ows:	c_01c_062420.pdf				
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The following presentation was r http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/pub Set the common-mode conversite 30-(21/28)*fGHz ; 0.01 TBD GHz 15 ; 20 GHz ≤ fGHz ≤ 50 GHz C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.4 Haser, Alex Comment Type TR Comment Frequency range is not practical	nse Status C reviewed by the task lic/adhoc/jun10_20/ on loss limits as foll $z \le fGHz < 20 GHz$ <i>P</i> 251 Molex nent Status R	< force at a previo haser_3ck_adho ows:	c_01c_062420.pdf # <mark>89</mark>				
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The following presentation was r http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/publ Set the common-mode conversit 30-(21/28)*fGHz; 0.01 TBD GHz 15; 20 GHz ≤ fGHz ≤ 50 GHz C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.4 Haser, Alex Comment Type TR	nse Status C reviewed by the task lic/adhoc/jun10_20/ on loss limits as foll $z \le fGHz < 20 GHz$ P 251 Molex nent Status R l for measured data	k force at a previo haser_3ck_adho ows: <i>L</i> 46	c_01c_062420.pdf # <mark>89</mark>				
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The following presentation was r http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/puble Set the common-mode conversing 30-(21/28)*fGHz; 0.01 TBD GHz 15; 20 GHz ≤ fGHz ≤ 50 GHz C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.4 Haser, Alex Comment Type TR Comment Frequency range is not practical SuggestedRemedy See haser_3ck_adhoc_01b_061	nse Status C reviewed by the task lic/adhoc/jun10_20/ on loss limits as foll $z \le fGHz < 20 GHz$ P 251 Molex nent Status R l for measured data	k force at a previo haser_3ck_adho ows: <i>L</i> 46	c_01c_062420.pdf # <mark>89</mark>				

C/ 162B SC 162B.1.	3.6 <i>P</i> 253	L 54	# 91	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.	3.6	P 254	L 11	# 92
Haser, Alex	Molex	<i>L</i> J 4	π 91	Haser, Alex			olex	211	π 32
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R			Comment T		Comment Sta			
21	for ICN calculation is not clea	rly defined.			BD for T nt				
SuggestedRemedy		,		SuggestedF	 Remedv				
,	talk RMS noise voltages are	measured over N	uniformly-spaced	00	-	ee haser_3ck_adh	noc 01b 06	1020)	
frequencies f_n spanr	ning the frequency range 50 M			Response		Response Sta			
of 10 MHz." to the end				•	T IN PRINCIP	,	•		
Response	Response Status C			ACCE!					
REJECT.						ation was reviewed			ng: bc_01c_062420.pdf
http://www.ieee802.or	ation was reviewed at a previ g/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20 frequency range comments:)/haser_3ck_adho	oc_01b_061020.pdf	Adopt tl Tnt= 7.9 Tft= 7.5	he following va 5 ps		o,junio_20,	haser_ook_aane	
There is no consensu	s to change the frequency ra	nge at this time.		ICNNEX	XT = 4.211V XT = 1.5 mV al = 4.4 mV				
	oper limit of the frequency rar	ige for MTF speci	ifications other than	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.	3.6	P 254	L 13	# 93
ICN to be: A: 40GHz				Haser, Alex		М	olex		
B: 50GHz (currently in	n 1.2)			Comment T	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Sta	ntus A		bucket7 CR ICN
	ch as 50GHz with some relax	ation after 40GHz	2	Fill in T	BD for T_ft				
(chicago rules) A: 9 B: 35 C: 14				SuggestedF	Remedy				
				00	,	e haser_3ck_adh	oc_01b_06	1020)	
Strawpoll #11	e should be made on the free		t for MTE apositiontions	Response	• •	Response Sta	tus C	,	
at this time? Y: 16		luency upper initia	tion with specifications	•	T IN PRINCIP	•			
N: 28 A: 8						ation was reviewed g/3/ck/public/adho			ng: bc_01c_062420.pdf
				Resolve	e using the res	ponse to commen	t #92.		

C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.6	P 254	L 20	# 94	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.	3.6	P 254	L 23	# 96
Haser, Alex	Molex			Haser, Alex	(Molex		
Comment Type T Comi Fill in TBD for MDFEXT ICN lim	nent Status A		bucket7 CR ICN	Comment T Fill in T	<i>Type</i> T BD for Total IC		Status A		bucket7 Cl
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy				
Use same limit as 802.3cd; 4.2	mV (see haser_3ck	_adhoc_01b_061	020)	Use sar	me limit as 802	2.3cd; 4.4 mV	(see haser_3ck	_adhoc_01b_06	1020)
Response Respo	nse Status C			Response		Response	Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				ACCEP	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			
The following presentation was http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/put								ous ad hoc meeti /haser_3ck_adho	ing: oc_01c_062420.pdf
Resolve using the response to	comment #92.			Resolve	e using the res	ponse to comr	ment #92.		
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.6	P 254	L 21	# 95	C/ 152	SC 152.5.2a	1	P 115	L 31	# 97
Haser, Alex	Molex			Slavick, Jef	f		Broadcom		
Comment Type T Com	nent Status A		bucket7 CR ICN	Comment T	Type TR	Comment	Status A		k
Fill in TBD for MDNEXT ICN lim	it				usually means le clause active			lowever the IFEC	C_enable bit is writte
SuggestedRemedy Use same limit as 802.3cd; 1.5	m)/ (can basar 3ck	adhac 01h 061	020)	SuggestedF	Remedy				
		_aunoc_orb_oor	020)				FEC_bypass in	Table 152-1, 156	6.6.2a (heading and
Response Respo ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	nse Status C				0		tenece of 152.6	.2a and one to a	zero in the 4th
The following presentation was				Response ACCEP	PT IN PRINCIP	Response	Status C		
http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/pul	• –								

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.18	6aa P 62	L 13	# 98	C/ 161	SC 161.6	22	P 131	L 31	# 101
Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom			Slavick, Je	ff		Broadcom		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment	Type TR	Com	ment Status A		FEC
Capita	lization issue									codeword counter will
Suggested	lRemedy							A 40b counter would 166 days at 100G.	d saturate in abo	out 15.5 hours at 100G.
Lower	case the E in Ena	able in the Name column			Suggested					
Response		Response Status C			•••	-	the cw_cou	Inter to 48b to provid	e long term test	ing without constant
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Ε.				of the syster G operations		y if these counters we	ere extended to	be available for 400G
Impler	nent suggested r	emedy.			Response		Respo	onse Status C		
Also m	nake same chang	e in Table 45-88.			ACCE	PT				
C/ 161	SC 161.5.22	P 131	L 31	# 99	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1	.126a	P 51	L 27	# 102
Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom			Slavick, Je	ff		Broadcom		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment	Туре Е	Com	ment Status A		bucke
FEC_c	cw_counter font s	eems off in the first sentenece						could use some word		
Suggested	lRemedy					•	r) and reduc	ce the laundry list tex	t to just be a bu	nch of "see" references
Check	font setting				Suggested	-	noment of b	ita in the RS EEC on	doword orror bin	n 1 register is shown in
Response		Response Status C								ord error bin registers is
ACCE	PT.									pply to the codeword- nition of these registers.
C/ 91	SC 91.6.2f	P 88	L 7	# 100	Therea	are fifteen of	these 32-bit	registers, which incl	rement dependir	ng upon the error
Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom						upon reset, and held		when the register is read he case of overflow."
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket						ister is shown in Table
		t's active when set to a 1. How se active when the bit is a 1.	vever the 100	G_RS_FEC_enable bit	identic	al to that of b	in 1. The R		or bin registers i	ncrement depending neir bits are reset to all
Suggested	lRemedy									n reset, and held at all
		_RS_FEC_enable to 100G_R		ss in Table 91-2, 91.6.2f	ones ir	n the case of	overflow."			
		n text), 45.2.1.110 and in 45.2 ne in 3rd sentenece of 91.6.2f		ero in the 4th sentence	Response ACCEI		Respo	onse Status C		
Response		Response Status C			AUGEI					
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Ε.								
See re	sponse to comm	ent #4.								
	•									

C/ 162	SC 162.8.11	P 147	L 27	# 103	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	
Healey, A	dam	Broadcom Inc.			Healey, A	dam	
Comment	Туре Т С	Comment Status A		Tx electrical	Comment	Туре Т	
initial	condition closer to the	d equalizer settings would e target settings can be ex e to a reduction in the num	pected to impr	ove robustness and	cost o impro	gnaling rate rar f the system. A /e performance	lowe mar
Suggeste	dRemedy					ane (and lower) ion to higher-pr	
		ld (currently reserved) to "				nentations with	
		with encoding 000 being nding to each preset will b			Suggestee	Remedy	
stated		3 • • • • •		· · · · · · · · · ,		roposed chang	
Response	, R	esponse Status C				geable devices vers may be in t	
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE				2018	45.1.1). The def	finitio
Implo	mont with aditarial lia	anaa tha undataa arayidad	on olido E of t	he following	other	entity). The sec	ond i
	ntation.	ense the updates provided		ne ronowing		nated as a "sepa important to th	
http://	www.ieee802.org/3/cl	k/public/20_07/heck_3ck_	03_0720.pdf		signal	ing rate tolerand	ce. T
C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.1.3	P 151	L 30	# 104		Since the PMA ication on the P	
		Broadcom Inc.	200	# 104		ents can be ma	
Healey, A				Ty clockricel	PMA.		
Comment	51	Comment Status A cient initial conditions for p	raaata 2 and a	Tx electrical	In Tok	le 162-9, Table	162
	-			nwalu ale TDD.		' (or "signaling i	
Suggeste					indica	te 1) that the +/	-50 p
		conditions (presentation v	vith proposed v	alues to be provided).		ge as the PCS om the higher (
Response		esponse Status C					зсра
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE					le 120G-3, cha	
The fo	ollowing presentations	s were reviewed:				of 53.125. In 12 nce at the modu	
http://	www.ieee802.org/3/cl	k/public/20_07/healey_3ck					
http://	www.ieee802.org/3/cl	k/public/20_07/heck_3ck_0	03_0720.pdf		Also c	hange 120G.3.	1.1 to
Updat	te the coefficient initia	I conditions according to s	lide 6 of heck_	_3ck_03_0720.	No ch	ange to the inp	ut sia
					and T	able 120G-7 is	need
Imple	ment with editorial lice	ense.			signal	ing rate range f	or all
					(interfaused)	nmend that the ace is not 100G with a separated ested locations t	iAŬI- d PM
					Proposed		ŀ
					REJE		'
						- · ·	

	C/ 162 S	C 162.9.3	P 148	L 19	# 105	
	Healey, Adam		Broadcom Inc.			
al	Comment Type	, T	Comment Status D			Ref clk

can be reduced to +/-50 ppm with minimal impact to the overall ver signaling rate range can be leveraged by implementations to argin. However, interoperability with implementations that use 50 JIs must be preserved. The proposed changes encourage sion frequency references while maintaining compability with prior +/-100 ppm tolerance.

everages terms from Clause 45 that describe how MDIO organized in the Physical Layer stack. The first is the idea that same "package" or in different packages (see IEEE Std 802.3tion of a "package" is vendor specific (could be a chip, module, or is that a PMA that is not in the same package as the PMD is ted PMA" (see IEEE Std 802.3-2018, 45.2.1). The third concept roposed definition is that a PMA, by itself, has no control over the The frequency offset at the PMA output is inherited from the PMA s no control over this. It does not make sense to impose a signaling rate range except for specific circumstances. Similar for PMD outputs as they inherit the frequency precision from the

3-5, Table 120F-1, and Table 120G-1, change the "signaling rate per lane (range)") to 53.125 +/- 50 ppm and add a footnote to ppm tolerance applies to PMA (and PMD) that are is the same 2) that in other cases, the signaling rate is related to the signaling parated PMA) sublaver.

"signaling rate per lane (range)" to "signaling rate per lane" with a .3.1.1 (and/or a footnote to Table 120G-3), state the signaling rate output is inherited from the PMD receiver input.

to agree with changes Table 120G-1 and Table 120G-3.

ignaling rate range requirements in Table 162-12. Table 120G-4. eded because they continue to represent the largest extent of the all allowed configurations of the Physical Layer stack.

naling rate tolerance of the output of a "legacy" PCS/PMA II-1, 200GAUI-2, or 400GAUI-4) be constrained to +/-50 ppm when MA that has a 100GAUI-1. 200GAUI-2. or 400GAUI-4 interface . this recommendation are Annex 120A and Annex 135A.

Response Status Z

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 161 SC 161.6.23	P 131	L 36	# 106	CI 120G SC 120G	3.2 P	224	L 51	# 109
licholl, Shawn	Xilinx			Hidaka, Yasuo	Cre	do Semicono	ductor	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Type TR	Comment Statu	s A		bucket
Variable "i" is not italiciz	ed in two places.				should be specified.			
SuggestedRemedy				See hidaka_3ck_01	_0720, slide 6.			
	15", propose to italicize the rectable", propose to italicize				dd a row of "Far-end ve	ertical eye clo	osure (max)" w	vith a value of 7.0 dB
Response	Response Status C			and a reference to				
ACCEPT.				Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status	6 C		
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 49	# 107	Resolve using the r	esponse to comment #	177		
Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	onductor			•			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket3	C/ 120G SC 120G	3.1.3 <i>P</i>	222	L 38	# 110
Far-end eye heigh, diffe				Hidaka, Yasuo	Cre	do Semicono	ductor	
See hidaka_3ck_01_07	20, siide 7.			Comment Type T	Comment Statu			ER
SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to 24.				"The beginning of t	ne host connector" is n	ot clear.		
5	_			SuggestedRemedy				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			Change "the beginr between HCB and I	ning of the host connect nost under test".	tor" to "the r	mating interfac	e of the connector
Resolve using the respo	onse to comment #177.			Response	Response Status	G C		
				ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 Hidaka, Yasuo	P 224 Credo Semico	L 48 onductor	# 108		ntation was reviewed b 2.org/3/ck/public/20 07			lf
Comment Type TR Near-end VEC (max) sh	Comment Status A		bucket3	For Annex 120G, in	nplement the changes	on slide 5 of	the reference	d presentation.
See hidaka_3ck_01_072				For Clause 162 im	plement the changes of	n slide 6 of 1	the referenced	presentation
SuggestedRemedy				,	Ū			procontation
To table 120G-3, add a and a reference to 120G	row of "Near-end vertical ey 6.3.2.1.	e closure (max)'	with a value of 7.5 dB	Implement with edit	orial license.			
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Ξ.							
Resolve using the respo	onse to comment #177.							

		1.00	"			
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2		L 32	# 111		230 <i>L</i> 38	# 114
Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	nductor			o Semiconductor	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		ERL	Comment Type TR Comment Status		
0 0	MCB connector" is not clear.			Eye height of module stressed input test is It should be 15mV for consistency with hos		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy		
between MCB and mod	of the MCB connector" to "the dule under test".	e mating interra	ice of the connector	Change TBD mV to 15 mV.		
Response	Response Status C			Response Response Status	С	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Resolve using the resp	oonse to comment #110.			Resolve using the response to #200.		
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3	.1 P 227	L 31	# 112	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2 P2	227 L 49	# 115
Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	nductor		Hidaka, Yasuo Cred	o Semiconductor	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		ERL	Comment Type TR Comment Status	Α	
0 0	nost connector" is not clear.			Far end eye height of host stressed input te See hidaka_3ck_01_0720, slide 7.	est is TBD.	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy		
Change "the beginning between HCB and hos	of the host connector" to "the tunder test".	mating interfa	ce of the connector	Change TBD to 24mV.		
Response	Response Status C			Response Response Status	С	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Resolve using the resp	oonse to comment #110.			The following presentations were reviewed		
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4	.2 P 232	L 47	# 113	http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_07/g http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_07/h		
Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	nductor		The value for TP4a FE EH should match th	e value for TD4 FE FH T	be
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		ERL	value for TP4 FE EH as adopted by commo		
"The beginning of the M	MCB connector" is not clear.				,	
SuggestedRemedy				Set that TP4a FE EH target value to 24 m		
Change "the beginning between MCB and mod	of the MCB connector" to "the dule under test".	e mating interfa	ice of the connector	Implement with editorial license.		
Response	Response Status C					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.					
Resolve using the resp	oonse to comment #110.					

SC 120G.3.3.2 P 227 # 116 C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 P 235 L17 C/ 120G L 50 # 119 Credo Semiconductor Credo Semiconductor Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type т Comment Status A bucket7 C2M Comment Type TR Comment Status A bucket7 C2M VEC of host stressed input test is not specified. Range of gDC for TP4 near-end is TBD. See hidaka 3ck 01 0720, slide 8. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy To table 120G-5, add a row of "Far-end vertical eve closure (max)" with a value of 7.5dB Specify gDC range for TP4 near-end as min -5.0, max -3.0, step 1.0. and a row of "Far-end vertical eye closure (min)" with a value of 7.0dB. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #197. Resolve using the response to comment #201. C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 P 235 L7 # 117 C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 P 235 L 21 # 120 Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status A TR bucket7 C2M This CTLE will have positive gain if gDC = -2dB. Range of gDC2 for TP4 near-end is TBD. To avoid positive gain, upper bound of gDC for TP1a should be limited up to -3dB. See hidaka 3ck 01 0720, slide 8. SugaestedRemedv SugaestedRemedv Change upper bound of -2 of gDC for TP1a to -3. Specify aDC2 range for TP4 near-end as min -2.0, max 0.0, step 0.5. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. There is no consensus to make changes to g DC and g DC2. Resolve using the response to comment #201. SC 120G.5.2 C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 C/ 120G P 235 L7 # 118 P 235 L 25 # 121 Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status A bucket7 C2M It is not good to restrict gDC range by gDC2. Range of gDC for TP4 far-end is TBD. My simulation showed that many cases had the best gDC at max (weakest) regardless of See hidaka 3ck 01 0720, slide 8. gDC2 value, and resulted out of the specified range in D1.2. SuggestedRemedy This is reasonable, because the best gDC2 may be low (strong) to cancel low-frequency Specify gDC range for TP4 far-end as min -9.0, max -3.0, step 1.0. loss due to skin effect, whereas the best gDC may be high (weak) to suppress enhancement of high-frequency noise. Response Response Status C Hence, we should not restrict gDC range by gDC2. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Resolve using the response to comment #202. Make gDC range independent from gDC2. Response Response Status C REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #117.

IEEE P802.3ck D1.2 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force 3rd Task Force review comments

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 120G SC	2120G.5.2	P 235	L 29	# 122	C/ 162	SC	162.11.7	.1.2	P 162	L 28	# 125
Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semico	nductor		Hidaka, Ya	asuo			Credo Semic	onductor	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		bucket7 C2M	Comment	Туре	т	Comm	ent Status A		buck
Range of gD See hidaka		far-end is TBD. 20, slide 8.			•	,		ost receive	er PCB signal path	in this clause.	
 SuggestedReme					Suggested Chanc		•	o "S^(HOS	PR)" in Equation (162-13) and on l	ine 28 and line 42.
Specify gDC	2 range for	TP4 far-end as min -3.0, ma	x -1.5, step 0.5		Response		, , ,		nse Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			ACCE			Respon			
Resolve usir	ng the respo	nse to comment #202.			<i>Cl</i> 162 Hidaka, Ya		162.11.7	.1.2	P 163 Credo Semic	L 1	# 126
	2 120G.5.2	P 236	L 21	# 123	Comment	Туре	т		ent Status A		buck
Hidaka, Yasuo	_	Credo Semico	nductor					ost receive	er PCB signal path	in this clause.	
		Comment Status A re height also meets the targo what is "the target value".	et value" seems	s not necessary and	Suggested Chang page	ge "S^(l	-	o "S^(HOS	PR)" in Equation (1	162-14) in page	162 and on line 1 in
SuggestedReme	edy				Response			Respon	se Status C		
Remove "wh	nere eye hei	ght also meets target value".			ACCE	PT.		,	_		
Response		Response Status C			01.400		400 44 -		D 400	1.00	# 407
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE	.			C/ 162		162.11.7	.1.2	P 162	L 29	# 127
The intent of the EH heigh		ce phrase is to eliminate cor	nbinations of gl	DC and gDC2 where	Hidaka, Ya <i>Comment</i>		т	Comm	Credo Semic ent Status A	onductor	bucke
Change "who	ere eye heig	ght also meets target value" t eye height (min) as specifie			aggres	ssor tra	ansmitter	PCB signal		different symbo	ause 162.11.7.1.1. The bl. Clause 136.11.7.1
· · ·	; 162.11.7.1		L 16	# 124	Suggested Chanc		•	to "S^(HO	TxSP)" in Equation	(162-13) and o	n line 29 and line 44.
Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semico	nductor		Response	, ·	,	``	se Status C	(
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		bucket	ACCE			псэроп			
"(transmitter	or receiver)	" is confusing and not correc	rt.								
SuggestedReme Change "hos		er or receiver) PCB signal pa	th" to "host rec	eiver PCB signal path".							
Response ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE	Response Status C									
Resolve usir	ng the respo	nse to comment #218.									

C/ 162 SC 162.11.7.1.2 P 163 L 3 # 128	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 P 224 L 36 # 130
Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor	Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor
Comment Type T Comment Status A bucket6	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
S^(HOSPT) is defined as the host transmitter PCB signal path in clause 162.11.7.1.1. The aggressor transmitter PCB signal path should use a different symbol. Clause 136.11.7.1 defined the agressor transmitter PCB signal path as S^(HOTxSP).	The near-end eye and far-end eye of module output characteristics (at TP4) are not well defined. Table 120G-3 refers to 120E.3.3.2.1 for far-end eye height, but 120E.3.3.2.1 is host stressed input test.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change "S^(HOSPT)" to "S^(HOTxSP)" in Equation (162-14) in page 162 and on line 3 in page 163.	Add a sub clause describing near-end and far-end eys in 120G.3.2.1, similar to 120E.3.2.1.1 like the following:
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	The near-end eye is measured using the method in 120G.5.2.
Cl 162 SC 162.11.7.1.1 P 162 L 14 # 129 Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Credo Semiconductor Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket There is meaning less "or". Source Semiconductor Source Semiconductor Source Semiconductor	For the far-end eye, the signal measured at TP4 is first convolved with a host channel (~9.6 dB loss at Nyquist) that represents the worst case channel loss with some reflection in the host trace. The host channel is the host receiver PCB signal path S^(HOSPR) defined in 162.11.7.1.1 with an exception to use $z_p = 244.7$ mm. The methods in 120G.5.2 and TBD are then used to measure eye height, eye width, vertical eye closure, and far-end pre-cursor ISI ratio.
SuggestedRemedy	Change the references in Table 120G-3.
Change "transmitter or" to "transmitter".	Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #217.	Implement the suggested remedy with the exception that C0 and C1 are not included in th host channel.
	Implement with editorial license.

D4 0 400/000/400 OL /- EL .___ - . -

aka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor mment Type TR Comment Status A Table 120G-3 specifies far-end pre-cursor ISI ratio with a reference to 120E.3.2.1.2. Some description in 120E.3.2.1.2 is not relevant for 120G. Add a sub clause describing far-end pre-cursor ISI ratio in 120G.3.2.1, similar to 120E.3.2.1.2 like the following: Capture the PRBS13Q waveform corresponding to the far-end eye (see TBD) and calculate the linear fit pulse using the procedure defined in 162.9.3.1.1. Any setting of the reference receiver at TP4 far-end in Table 120G-9 for which the far-end eye width and height satisfy the limits in Table 120G-3, may be used.	Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Comment Type T Comment Status A C Scattering parameter of the second transmission line segment S^(I2) is used in EQ 93A-16b without its definition by new COM parameters z_p2 and Z_c2. C SuggestedRemedy Insert the following statement at the end of 93A.1.2.3, For clauses that includes a second package transmission line segment by parameters z_p2 and Z_c2, the scattering parameters for the second package transmission line are defined by Equation (93A-12a), Equation (93A-13a) and Equation (93A-14a). The units or z_p2 are mm.
Table 120G-3 specifies far-end pre-cursor ISI ratio with a reference to 120E.3.2.1.2. Some description in 120E.3.2.1.2 is not relevant for 120G. <i>agestedRemedy</i> Add a sub clause describing far-end pre-cursor ISI ratio in 120G.3.2.1, similar to 120E.3.2.1.2 like the following: Capture the PRBS13Q waveform corresponding to the far-end eye (see TBD) and calculate the linear fit pulse using the procedure defined in 162.9.3.1.1. Any setting of the reference receiver at TP4 far-end in Table 120G-9 for which the far-end eye width and	Scattering parameter of the second transmission line segment S^(I2) is used in EQ 93A- 16b without its definition by new COM parameters z_p2 and Z_c2. SuggestedRemedy Insert the following statement at the end of 93A.1.2.3, For clauses that includes a second package transmission line segment by parameters z_p2 and Z_c2, the scattering parameters for the second package transmission line are defined by Equation (93A-12a), Equation (93A–13a) and Equation (93A–14a). The units of
description in 120E.3.2.1.2 is not relevant for 120G. ggestedRemedy Add a sub clause describing far-end pre-cursor ISI ratio in 120G.3.2.1, similar to 120E.3.2.1.2 like the following: Capture the PRBS13Q waveform corresponding to the far-end eye (see TBD) and calculate the linear fit pulse using the procedure defined in 162.9.3.1.1. Any setting of the reference receiver at TP4 far-end in Table 120G-9 for which the far-end eye width and	 16b without its definition by new COM parameters z_p2 and Z_c2. SuggestedRemedy Insert the following statement at the end of 93A.1.2.3, For clauses that includes a second package transmission line segment by parameters z_p2 and Z_c2, the scattering parameters for the second package transmission line are defined by Equation (93A-12a), Equation (93A–13a) and Equation (93A–14a). The units of the second package transmission (93A–14a).
Add a sub clause describing far-end pre-cursor ISI ratio in 120G.3.2.1, similar to 120E.3.2.1.2 like the following: Capture the PRBS13Q waveform corresponding to the far-end eye (see TBD) and calculate the linear fit pulse using the procedure defined in 162.9.3.1.1. Any setting of the reference receiver at TP4 far-end in Table 120G-9 for which the far-end eye width and	Insert the following statement at the end of 93A.1.2.3, For clauses that includes a second package transmission line segment by parameters z_p2 and Z_c2, the scattering parameters for the second package transmission line are defined by Equation (93A-12a), Equation (93A–13a) and Equation (93A–14a). The units of
120E.3.2.1.2 like the following: Capture the PRBS13Q waveform corresponding to the far-end eye (see TBD) and calculate the linear fit pulse using the procedure defined in 162.9.3.1.1. Any setting of the reference receiver at TP4 far-end in Table 120G-9 for which the far-end eye width and	For clauses that includes a second package transmission line segment by parameters z_p2 and Z_c2, the scattering parameters for the second package transmission line are defined by Equation (93A-12a), Equation (93A–13a) and Equation (93A–14a). The units of
calculate the linear fit pulse using the procedure defined in 162.9.3.1.1. Any setting of the reference receiver at TP4 far-end in Table 120G-9 for which the far-end eye width and	z_p2 and Z_c2, the scattering parameters for the second package transmission line are defined by Equation (93A-12a), Equation (93A–13a) and Equation (93A–14a). The units of
The peak amplitude of the linear fit pulse is p_max. The pre-cursor ISI p_pre is the value of the linear fit pulse 1 UI prior to the time of the pulse peak. The pre-cursor ISI ratio is p_pre	
/ p_max.	s^(l2)_11(f) = s^(l2)_22(f) = rho2*(1-exp(-gamma(f)*2*z_p2)) / (1 - rho2^2*exp(- gamma(f)*2*z_p2)) (93A-13a)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be consistent with the methodology in 120G.5.2 the setting criteria should be based on	$s^{(l2)_21(f)} = s^{(l2)_12(f)} = (1-rho2^2)*exp(-gamma(f)*z_p2) / (1 - rho2^2*exp(-gamma(f)*2*z_p2)) (93A-14a)$
EH and VEC. 162.9.3.1.1 includes both capture and linear fit methods. Some clarification	The second transmission line scattering parameter matrix is then denoted as S^(I2).
of the reference is necessary.	Response Response Status C
In 120G.3.2, add a subclause describing far-end pre-cursor ISI ratio as follows:	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
"Capture the PRBS13Q waveform corresponding to the far-end eye and calculate the linear fit pulse using the procedure defined in 162.9.3.1.1. Any valid setting of the reference receiver continuous-time filter (see 120G.5.2) for which the far-end eye height and vertical	
eye closure satisfy the limits in Table 120G-3 may be used.	C/ 120F SC 120F.4.1 P 212 L 5 # 133
The peak amplitude of the linear fit pulse is p_max. The pre-cursor ISI p_pre is the value of the linear fit pulse 1 UI prior to the time of the pulse peak. The pre-cursor ISI ratio is p_pre	Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor
/ p_max."	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
Change the reference in Table 120G-3 to point to the new subclause. Implement with editorial license.	As shown in sun_3ck_adhoc_01_030420, f_LF = f_b/40 is better than f_LF = f_b/80 for C2C.
	SuggestedRemedy Change f_LF from f_b/80 to f_b/40 in table 120F-6.
	Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.
	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1	P 204	L 48	# 134	C/ 162	SC 162.9.	3	P 148	L 28	# 137
Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	onductor		Ran, Adee			Intel		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment	Status R		bucket7 CF
53GHz bandwidth is unr 120G.3.2, Clause 162.9	necessarily high and inconsi .3 and Clause 163.9.1.	stent with Anne>	: 120G.3.1, Annex		clause comm	nent) o differential mod	e return loss is	currently TBD.	
SuggestedRemedy				The cu	rrent referen	ce is to 92.8.3.3 e	equation 92-2	where the equation	on for the minimum
Change 53 GHz to 40 G	iHz.			loss cre	eates a piece	wise linear functi	on, with 22 dB	at DC, 12 dB at t	the Nyquist frequency
Response	Response Status C			(12.89 quite w	,.	10.5 dB at 19 GH	z. This limits th	ne conversion to/	rom common mode
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				·					
Implement suggested re	emedy.			is base	ed on frequen	cy scaling of the	similar specific	cation in clause 9	specifications), which 3 (equation 93-5 - per uation 92-2 (except in
See comment #162.					•	, ,	•		e easier to control in
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 45	# 135	KR/C2	C channels.				
Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	onductor					93.8.1.4 - whi	ch is a Tx specifi	cation and does not
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket3	include	e C-D RL at a	II (obvious error).			
Near-end eye height, dif See hidaka_3ck_01_072						2C, CR, and KR e explained (infor		•	ons for C-D RL. If elp).
SuggestedRemedy				The ou	agastad rom	adv based on free		of aquation 02.2	(which is equivalent to
Change TBD to 50.						ut uses f_N as a			(which is equivalent to
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			Alterna	atively, 120F.	3.2.2 can be used	for all three R	x specifications.	
Resolve using the respo	onse to comment #177.					nould be in a new some justification			ions can refer to. It
C/ 162 SC 162.9.3	P 148	L 4	# 136	Suggested	Remedy				
Ran, Adee	Intel					2.9.3.1.5 with co			
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket			nmon-mode to dif nal can be genera			n of a differential
fourth-order Bessel-Tho	ransmitter measurements ar mson low-pass response wi s require measurement of s-	th 40 GHz 3 dB	bandwidth". Some	receive propag	er can be con	verted back to a the receiver. To I	differential sigr	nal and result in d	transmitter or the ifferential noise mon-mode to common-
	, the similar rule refers to "a tput signal measurements".				mmon-mode on (162–new)		de output retu	rn loss of the trar	smitter shall meet
SuggestedRemedy		-		CDRL((f) >				
	align with 163.9.1 and espe	cially refer to sig	nal measurements.	22-10 [*] 1	f/f_N, 0.01 ≤	—			
Response	Response Status C			15-3*f/l Where	f_N, f_N< f <	40			
ACCEPT.						Nyquist frequenc	y in GHz		
	1 ER/editorial required GR/		The shares Fladitarial Cl	nonorol			Comm	ent ID 137	Page 32 of 79

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 137

Page 32 of 79 8/12/2020 1:32:09 PM

f is the frequency in GHz

CDRL(f) is the common-mode to differential return loss in dB at frequency f

Refer to the new subclause in Rx specifications: Table 162–12, Table 163–7 , and Table 120F-3.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

There is no consensus to change the RLCD specification at this time.

See the response to comment #138.

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P 148	L 28	# 138
Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status R		Tx electrical

(cross-clause)

Clause 162 has a common-mode to differential return loss specification for both Tx and Rx. Clause 163 and annex 120F have this specification only for Rx.

Is this an oversight, or maybe a Tx specification is not required in clause 162 either? (discussion may be required)

SuggestedRemedy

If a C-D RL specification is not required for the Tx, it should be removed from Table 163–5, and the specification (subject of another comment) should be a subclause of 162.9.4 instead of 162.9.3.

If it is required, references to the specification subclause (subject of another comment) should be added in Table 163–5 and in Table 120F–1.

If there is a reason to have a specification for CR but not for KR/C2C, there should be an informative NOTE in clause 162 that explains it. (I don't know of a reason at the time of writing)

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

There is no consensus to change the TX RLCD specification at this time.

Strawpoll #13 (direction) I support resolving comment #138 as follows: A: keep TX RLCD per Draft 1.2 B: modify TX RLCD per comment 138 suggested remedy C: remove TX RLCD specification

Strawpoll #13 (chicago rules) A: 12 B: 11 C: 13

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P 148	L 30	# 139
Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		Tx electrical

(cross-clause)

Common-mode to common-mode return loss specification is currently TBD.

The specification in all PMD clauses since 802.3bj is 2 dB flat between 0.2-19 GHz.

This specification has been taken from InfiniBand without further discussion in 802.3bj. It may be difficult to justify specific limits. However, it is reasonable from implementation point of view and there is no evidence that requires modifying it.

It is proposed to extend the frequency range proportionally with the increase in signaling rate, to 40 GHz. This should be done in a new subclause that other specifications can refer to. It should also provide some justification to the specification.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a new subclause 162.9.3.6 with content:

162.9.3.6 Common-mode to common-mode return loss

Common-mode signal can be generated in the channel by conversion of a differential signal. Any common-mode signal returned into the channel can be converted back to a differential signal and result in differential noise into the receiver. To limit this effect, a minimum common-mode to common-mode return loss is required.

The common-mode to common-mode return loss shall be greater than or equal to 2 dB at all frequencies between 0.2 GHz and 40 GHz.

Refer to the new subclause in the appropriate row of table 162-9. Set the value to 2 dB.

Refer to the new subclause in Table 163-5 with the same value, and change the row name from "Common-mode return loss (min.)" to "Common-mode to common-mode return loss (min.)".

Add a new row for "Common-mode to common-mode return loss (min.)" with same content in table 120F-1.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

1 62 SC	C 162.9.3	P 1	48	L 45	# 140		C/ 162	SC 1	62.9.3.1.2	2 P 15	51	L 10	# 141
an, Adee		Intel					Ran, Adee			Intel			
omment Type	т	Comment Status	Α		Tx ele	ctrical	Comment 7	Гуре	E	Comment Status	D		Tx electrical
(Cross-clau			. .				"The st	eady-sta	ate voltag	e vf is defined in 130	6.9.3.1.2,	and is deter	mined using Nv=200"
with a single channel".	e transmit e	2-9 states "J3u, JRM equalizer setting selec	cted to co	ompensate for the	ne loss of the host			lue of N					eference to clause 85. e reduce the depth of
This is a sig	nificant ch	ange compared to the	e method	of 120D.3.1.8	referenced for two	of	Suggested	Remedy	,				
		which states that "The sof the transmit equation of the transmit equati			odd jitter specificat	lions		-		the following (in a s	eparate p	paragraph):	
		3 defines J3u jitter wit qualization settings) v					through	n p(Ń×N	v) divideo				fit pulse response p(1) response in UI."
Furthermore	e, "selecteo	to compensate for the	he loss" (can be interpret	ed in different ways	6.	Proposed F	Respons	e	Response Status	Z		
Similar text	exists in cl	ause 136 and has ca	aused cor	nfusion about iitt	er measurement		REJEC	T.					
requirement							This co	mment	was WITI	HDRAWN by the co	mmenter.		
		163 (which has similaters to annex 120D).	ar footno	te and J3u subc	lause) and to anne	x				·			
ggestedRem	edy												
2. Change 1 "Output jitte parameters compensate	162.9.3.3 to er is charac are calcula e for the los	9.3.3 from "J3u jitter" b include the following terized by three parar ated from measurement so of the transmitter p any or all of the jitter	g: meters, J ents with backage a	, I3u, JRMS, and a single transm and host channe	it equalizer setting	to							
	the time int	culated from a jitter n erval that includes all J(t) .				u is							
	he reference	llated from a jitter me ces from 120D.3.1.8 t				C12).							
In clause 16	63, apply si	milar changes to the	table, ref	ferring to 162.9.	3.3.								
		similar changes inclue e", and omit the defin			ut change "host								
esponse ACCEPT IN		Response Status	С										
Implement t	the sugges	ted remedy with edito	orial licen	se.									
	ATUS: D/di	ed ER/editorial requin spatched A/accepted ID						Z/witho	drawn		Commer	nt ID 141	Page 34 of 79 8/12/2020 1:32:

C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.3	P 151	L 30	# 142	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.	1.3	P 151	L 33	# 143
Ran, Adee	Intel			Ran, Adee			Intel		
Comment Type T Con	nment Status D		Tx electrical	Comment T	уре т	Comment	Status A		Tx electrical
Cross-clause				(cross-o Transm		and 3 are curre	ently TBDs.		
The OUT_OF_SYNC setting is the optimal setting in many ca long link-up times.				It is pro	posed to use t	hese presets a	s starting point	ts for high-loss ar	nd low-loss channels.
In cases where the channel ar another initial setting may be p		own (typical in ba	ckplane or C2C),	host bo					2 m cable + 2*110 mm ane channels (results
To enable fast link up in such state be taken from MDIO regi registers will create the curren unknown the behavior is unch	sters instead of being t preset 1 settings [0	g fixed. The defau	ult values of the	backpla that ma	ne/C2C), has y need reduce	minimum c(0)	assumed in CC	DM and no equali is required, this c	els (more relevant for ization, for channels can be used as a
SuggestedRemedy				Procote	are based on	the maximum	allowed stop s	zo of 2 5% and a	should have a tolerance
Two new sets of R/W registers coefficient values, one register		. Each set corres	ponds to the 5	of one s			anowed step s	2e of 2.5 % and s	
"Initial coefficient vector" hold "Current coefficient vector" hold	the values that will be		_SYNC.	Clause this cha		ex 120F do not l	have explicit se	ettings but are go	ing to be affected by
The encoding of these register the sets.	s is implementation of	dependent, but is	consistent between	Suggested Change	-	es in the table	as follows:		
Presentation with more details	is planned.			Preset	2: -0.025, 0.07	5, -0.25, 0.65,	0		
Proposed Response Resp	onse Status Z			Preset	3: 0, 0, 0, 0.52	5, 0			
REJECT.				Set tole	rance of +/- 0.	025 for all pres	ets (including	preset 1 and OUT	T_OF_SYNC).
This comment was WITHDRA	WN by the commente	er.		Response ACCEF	T IN PRINCIP	Response S PLE	Status C		
				Resolve	e using the res	ponse to comn	nent #104.		

C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5 P 152 L 19 # 144		C/ 162	SC 162.	9.3.2	P 152	L 24	# 145	
lan, Adee Intel		Ran, Adee			Intel			
Comment Type T Comment Status A	bucket	Comment 7	Гуре Т		Comment Status A		Tx electrical	
(cross-clause)		Addres	sing TBD e	quatio	n 162-5.			
There is no requirement in the transmitter characteristics for the range of c(0).		for boa	rd design. N		aximum host board IL at t im recommendations sho			
While the maximum is 1 by definition of the measurement method, the minimum is implied by the minimum value of c(-1) and an assumption that the sum of absolute		reflectio						
coefficients is capped at 1 (which may not be true in all implementations).		Unlike previous generations, the assumption in this project is that host board is built of ultra-low-loss material where the loss at a large part of the spectrum is close to the loss at						
Even assuming that the sum is not larger than 1, the implied minimum of $c(0)$ is 0.6 the COM search range assumes 0.54 is possible.	66, while				has relatively little addition e this TBD equation.	onal value and will	be harder to justify.	
aggestedRemedy		Recom	mended los	ss sho	uld be given at 26.56 GH	z. not 25.56 GHz.		
Add the following paragraph before the NOTE:					Ū			
Having received sufficient "decrement" requests so that it is at its minimum value, or shall be less than or equal to 0.54.	c(0)	Also, since the effect of the test fixture may vary between MDIs and form factors, it would be helpful to recommend the IL from TP0 to the MDI and from the MDI to TP5 in addition. These are given in Figure 162A–1 as 6.875 dB each; this should be considered a						
Add a row in table 162-9: "value at minimum state for c(0) (max.)" with reference to	o this	maximi	um value.					
subclause and value 0.54.		Note that host board design should also minimize reflections, which may require a different specification or recommendation, but that is not proposed at this point. SuggestedRemedy Change the text of 162.9.3.2 to the following two paragraph, removing the equation:						
Add similar rows in table 163-5 and table 120F-1.								
Response Response Status C								
ACCEPT.		0			0 1	0 1 1	0	
					tion loss at 26.56 GHz fro) is between 7.1 dB and 1		from TP3 to TP5	
					tion loss at 26.56 GHz fro fixture) is between 3 dB a		I pads (not including the	
		Response			Response Status C			
		ACCEF	PT IN PRIN	CIPLE				
		Resolve	e using the	respo	nse to comment #40.			

162 SC 162.9.4.4.2 P 156 L 50 # 146	C/ 162 SC 162.11.4 P 159 L 6 # 147
an, Adee Intel	Ran, Adee Intel
omment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status R bucket5 C
Comment #33 against D1.1 suggested jitter tolerance requirements at additional frequencies between the measurement points of Table 120D–7, but only addressed claus 163. The same argument also holds in 162 (which currently points to Table 120D–7) and	Addressing D-C return loss of the cable assembly, which is TBD. In clause 92 the D-C return loss was specified for PMD Tx (92.8.3.3), Rx (92.8.4.3), and for
in 120F (which has Table 120F–5, identical to Table 163–9).	the cable assembly (92.10.4) with identical equations. These specifications were all carried into clause 110 and clause 136 with no change.
IggestedRemedy	, and the second s
To address the concern of comment #33 in all 3 places together:	Specification for the PMD Tx/Rx are suggested in other comments (note: two possible remedies).
 Add another column in Table 120F–5, with frequency 0.4 and amplitude 0.5, changing the labels in the first row as necessary. Change the reference in 162.9.4.4.2 from Table 120D–7 to Table 120F–5. In 163.9.2.4, either delete Table 163–9 and refer to Table 120F–5 instead, or apply similar changes to Table 163–9. 	Specifications for the CA may be identical to those of the PMD, or different. If they are different, the suggested remedy includes a limit equation based on 92.10.4, with scaled frequencies.
esponse Response Status C	If the numbers in the equation are not in consensus they can be replaced with TBDs.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	SuggestedRemedy
In Table 163-9, add another column with frequency 0.4 and amplitude 0.5, changing the labels in the first row as necessary.	f the specifications for the PMD (subject of other comments) can be used for the CA, use references to the PMD specs here instead of repeating the equations. In that case, 162.11.6 can be deleted.
Move Table 163-9 to Clause 162 in place of reference to Table 120D-7.	If the specifications for the CA are different from those of the PMDs, then change 162.11.6 content as follows:
Refer to this table from the jitter tolerance subclauses in Clause 163 and Annex 120F.	
Implement with editorial license.	162.11.6 Cable assembly Common-mode to differential return loss Common-mode signal can be generated in the transmitter or as signal reflected from the receiver. Common-mode signal propagating into the channel can be converted back to a differential signal and result in differential noise propagating toward the receiver. To limit this effect, a minimum common-mode to common-mode return loss is required.
	The common-mode to differential mode return loss of the cable assembly shall meet Equation (162–new).
	CDRL(f) \geq 22-10*f/f_N, 0.01 \leq f \leq f_N 15-3*f/f_N, f_N< f < 40 Where f_N=26.5625 is the Nyquist frequency in GHz
	f is the frequency in GHz CDRL(f) is the common-mode to differential return loss in dB at frequency f
	Response Response Status C
	REJECT.
	Resolve using the response to comment #71.

C/ 162	SC 162.11.5	P 159	L 10	# 148
Ran, Adee		Intel		

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Addressing D-C conversion (insertion) loss which is TBD.

In clause 92 the D-C conversion loss was specified relative to the differential insertion loss, with minimum of 10 dB flat from 10 MHz up to the Nyquist frequency, then decreasing linearly to 6.3 dB at 15.7 GHz, and a flat 6.3 dB up to 19 GHz (Equation 92-29).

Minimum mode conversion loss is important to control the differential noise into the receiver, with Tx allowed CM noise (up to 30 mV RMS) and possible additional noise from D-C return loss.

The difference from insertion loss is a good method assuming the common mode noise has a flat spectrum (similar to the victim signal). If the common mode noise is concentrated at low frequencies where the channel does not attenuate much, then it may only be reduced to 10 mV RMS, which is a large amount of noise. We don't have reason to assume that, but it may be worth tightening the specs (future work required).

It is suggested to use a specification similar to clause 92 scaled to the new Nyquist frequency, and modified to extend the slope to 1.25*26.5625, where the equation creates a flat 10 dB line between 0.01-26.5625 GHz, a constant slope until 33.203125 GHz, and a flat 5.75 dB line between 33.203125-40 GHz.

If the numbers in the equation are not in consensus they can be replaced with TBDs.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the content of 162.11.5 to the following:

162.11.5 Cable assembly differential to common-mode conversion loss

Conversion between differential and common-mode signals can result in degradation of the signal at the receiver, and in introduction of differential noise into the receiver. To limit these effects, the differential to common-mode mode conversion loss, relative to the insertion loss, has to be limited.

The difference between the cable assembly differential to common-mode conversion loss and the cable assembly insertion loss shall meet Equation (162-new).

 $CDCL(f) - IL(f) \ge$ $10, 0.01 \le f \le f N$ $27-17^{f}$ N f N f N < f $\leq 1.25^{f}$ N 5.75. 1.25*f N < f < 40 Where f N=26.5625 is the Nyauist frequency in GHz f is the frequency in GHz CDCL(f) is the common-mode to differential inversion loss in dB at frequency f

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IL(f) is the differential insertion loss in dB at frequency f

Response Response Status C REJECT.

See also 181. 71. and 74.

There is no consensus to address the TBD at this time.

C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 15	59 L 20) #	149
Ran, Adee		Intel			
Comment Ty	/pe T	Comment Status	Α		

(cross-clause)

Addressing the value of T r used in COM, which is currently TBD.

Tr is not mesurable, but it implicitly affects the transmitter specification peak/Vf which is measurable. and is also TBD in 162, 163 and 120F.

The proposed value for Tr (as used in COM, prior to the device package model) is 7.5 ps. This values matches results of feasible transmitter devices and will enable reasonble values of peak/Vf.

Note that the value 6.16 ps has been used in prior analysis, but has never been adopted. This latter value is overly aggressive and does not enable feasible design of transmitters. The proposed value has only a mild effect on COM results in comparison.

A presentation supporting this value and possible values for peak/Vf at Tp0 or TP0a (possibly informative) will be provided.

SuggestedRemedy

Change TBD to 7.5 ps in 162.11.7, in 163.10, and in 120F.4.1.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A related presentation was not submitted.

Implement the suggested remedy.

Comment ID 149

Page 38 of 79 8/12/2020 1:32:09 PM

C/ 162 SC	: 162.11.7	P 159	L 20	# 150	C/ 162	SC 162.11.	7 P 160	L 43	# 152
Ran, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		СОМ	Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status A		bucket5 CA
	ssion line p	arameters in the package mo ded in Table 93A–3.	del in COM have	been the same since	COMV	alue (33 dB), to . The mathema	HY needs to be somewhat o account for crosstalk that atical host board model that	is introduced by pr	actical host board
In the COM :	spreadshee	ets used in this project there a	are somewhat diff	erent values for	Propos	ed value is 32.	5 dB		
these param	ieters (pres	ented in			Suggested				
http://www.ie adopted into		/3/ck/public/19_01/benartsi_3 drafts).	ck_01_0119.pdf,	but not explicitly	00	e TBD to 32.5	dB.		
Validation of	a propose	d package model has been p	resented at the sa	ime meeting	Response		Response Status C		
		/3/ck/public/19_01/heck_3ck			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIF	'LE.		
•		clear if the modified paramet	ers are in consen	sus.	Resolv	e using respon	se to comment #37.		
SuggestedReme	•	at the nerometers should also	nga than a naw t	able abould be					
created for th	he new valu	at the parameters should cha ues and used in 162,163, and to use differnt parameters if s	120F, and possil						
Otherwise, th the editorial t		readsheets should rever to u	se the existing val	ues (out of scope of					
Response	,	Response Status C							
, ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPL	•							
	00	ed remedy for 162, 163, and omment #53 which was accept		5					
http://www.ie	ee802.org	ations are here: /3/ck/public/19_01/benartsi_3 /3/ck/public/19_01/heck_3ck_							
C/ 162 SC	162.11.7	P 159	L 41	# 151					
Ran, Adee		Intel							
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A		bucket					
	tent notatio	on of the numeric values of ca ponent of -6 everywhere and							
SuggestedReme	edy			•					
Per commer	nt. Apply in	162.11.7, in 163.10, and in 1	20F.4.1.						
Response		Response Status C							
ACCEPT.									
	TUS: D/dis	d ER/editorial required GR/g patched A/accepted R/rejec D				Z/withdrawn	Con	nment ID 152	Page 39 of 79 8/12/2020 1:3

8/12/2020 1:32:09 PM

C/ 163	SC 163.9.1.2	P 178	L 52	# 153	C/ 163	SC 1	63.9.1.2	P 17	79	L 48	# 154	
Ran, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee			Intel				
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		bucket2	Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status	Α			TF RL
The te	s-clause) st feature normati ially with multiple	ive insertion loss requiremer lanes.	nts are not realis	tic for real devices,		ations	have beer	s requirements have replaced by ERL. 1				
Also	es presented in ht	tp://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/p	ublic/20_01/mel	itz 3ck 012 0120 pdf	SuggestedF	Remedy	/					
the va	riations allowed w	ithin the recommendations of . This is obvisouly not a viab	create significan	t variations in results of	Delete t 163.9.1		itent from	"The differential retu	Irn loss of the	e test fixture	e" to the end of	
14 in nu		a the test first on a subscreen		it equation dependence	Response			Response Status	С			
		e the test fixture requiremer mission line with 4 dB IL (us			ACCEP	PT IN P	RINCIPLE	Ε.				
	,	P0a is well-defined, and cre rmative specifications can b		specifications at this				ent #153 changes Tl 34 adds new specifi				nd Annex
Norma	aitve requirements	s should use a new methodo	loav based on n	peasued or extracted	120F.							

Normaitve requirements should use a new methodology based on measued or extracted test fixture s-parameters.

Also applies to Annex 120F.

SuggestedRemedy

A presentation with more details will be provided.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment applies to both 163 and 120F.

The commenter is referring to the following presentation: http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_07/benartsi_3ck_01_0720.pdf

The new test point TP0v and related test fixture are adopted per the response to comment #33.

Retain the TP0a test point and test fixture specifications, but change to an informative specification.

Implement with editorial license.

In addition to the specifications added by comment #34, add the following specification, with editorial license:

"ERL of the test fixture is measured at TP0v, using Nbx=0, Tfx=0, and N=20. The ERL shall be greater than or equal to TBD."

163 SC 163.9.2.3	P 182	L 6	# 155	C/ 163	SC	163.9.2.3	P 181	L 53	# 156	
in, Adee I	ntel			Ran, Adee			Intel			
omment Type T Comment S	atus R		TX SNDR Parameter	Comment T	ype	т	Comment Status A			RIT
(cross-clause) Addressing Np in SNDR calculation for TBD. The corresponding test in clause 162 s there seems to be no reason to have a	ets Np to 15 L different value	JI . This value e here.	may be debated, but	transitio which m an instru The me 100G pa	n time nay be ument asureo ackago	e filter with ⁻ e after a pac -grade tran d transition ed devices	time at TP0 does not repre and test fixtures. Omitting a	was based on m (this may be m sent all the sign a package mode	neasurement at T ore representativ al integrity effects el altogether and o	P0, e than s of using
Note that linear fit is done with Nv=200 create lower SNDR, by converting the SNR_TX, lower SNR_TX results in low the COM target. This may favor the DU	tail of the puls er COM, so le	e to noise. Us ss noise shou	ing this SNDR as Id be injected to reach	reflectio result w	n of si hich m	ignal return nay require	ter and ideal termination we ing from the test channel. T addition of noise. include a package or any c	his would lead t	to an optimistic C	ЮМ
Also applies in 120F.3.2.3.							signal will be worse than v			
lggestedRemedy				resulting	g in ov	verstressed	test.			
Change TBD to 15 in both places.				In the te	est me	thod of ann	ex 93C, this issue has bee	n addressed by	the statement "	. the
Response Response St.	atus C			transmit terminat is mode	ter pa tion is led as	ickage mod used. If a t ideal and a	el is included only if a comp ransmitter with high quality a Gaussian low pass filter is	bliant transmitte termination is u added". But lat	r with a similar sed the termin ter KR clauses (s	ation
[Editor's note: Changed page from 181	.]			calculat	ed fro		dition and required using or rement at TP0a. This may			
There is no consensus to make a char	ige at this time	Э.		devices	-					
				modeled	d as s easure	-parameters	in a test is a device which l s (e.g. from extraction, s-pa c) then these s-parameters	rameter measu	rement, or calcula	ation
				SuggestedF	Remed	ly				
				Replace	e item	d with the f	ollowing:			
				s-param transmit unknow 163–10	neters ater pa n para and T smitte	and transiti ackage mod ameters, the r as specifi er terminatio	DM (list item 7 in 93A.2), if on time, these parameters el in 93A.1.2. If the transmi en the package model in 93 ed in 163.10. If a calibrated n is modeled as ideal and a	should be used tter is a packag A.1.2 is used, w instrument-grad	instead of the ed device with vith zp of test 1 in de transmitter is u	n Table used,
						es may als as necessa	b be required for clause 162 ry.	2 and annex 120	OF, with possible	
				Response			Response Status C			
				ACCEP	T IN F	RINCIPLE				

Comment #38 discusses the same topic.

Change bullet d) to:

d) In the calculation of COM, if the transmitter is a device with known sparameters and transition time Tr, these parameters should be used

instead of the transmitter package model in 93A.1.2. If a calibrated instrument-grade transmitter is used, The transmitter device package model S(tp) is omitted from Equation (93A–3) in the calculation of COM. The filtered voltage transfer function H(k)(f) calculated in Equation (93A–19) uses the filter Ht(f) defined by Equation (93A–46), where Tr is calculated as Tr = 1.09^{*} Trm-4.32 ps and Trm is the measured 20% to 80% transition time of the signal at TP0a. Trm is measured using the method in 120E.3.1.5. Trm is measured with transmitter equalizer turned off. Apply the change to 120F.

C/ 163	SC	163.9.2.3	P 182	L 49	# 157	
Ran, Adee			Intel			
Comment Ty	/pe	т	Comment Status R		7	TF RL
"The ret	urn la	as of the to	at a atum in Figura 020	A management at TDF r	anling towards TD	4

"The return loss of the test setup in Figure 93C–4 measured at TP5 replica towards TPt meets the

requirements of Equation (163–2)."

Equation (163-2) is the reference return loss of a transmitter test fixture. It is irrelevant here, as the test channel at TP5 is a channel, not a transmitter.

The channel has ERL requirements, and no RL requirements.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the quoted sentence to

"The effective return loss of the test setup in Figure 93C–4 measured at TP5 replica towards TPt meets the requirements of 163.10.2."

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

Although the resolution of comments #34 and #153 makes the return loss in 163.9.1.2 informative, comments #11078 and #170 have been resolved using this return loss for TP5a replica in Annex 120F. For consistency, Clause 163 should use the same requirements at TP5a as in Annex 120F.

There was no consensus to implement the suggested remedy.

C/ 163	SC 163.13.4	.3 P1	92	L 13	# 158
Ran, Adee		Intel			
Comment T Wrong	<i>Type</i> E cross-reference	Comment Status	Α		bucket
Suggested Chang	-	xternal reference) to 1	62.	9.3.1.2 (internal refere	nce).
Response		Response Status	с		
ACCE	PT.				
C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.2.4	4 P 1	98	L 37	# 159
Ran, Adee		Intel			
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	Α		bucket4
The us	age of cascade	s of "cascade()" in eq	uati	ons in this annex is be	coming inconvenient.
The fur are.	nction is defined	l in 93A.1.2.1, but onl	y fo	r two arguments, which	ו got us to where we
Suggested	Remedy				
	n 93A.1.2.1 and le(cascade(A, B		nd n	otation: cascade(A, B,	C) is equivalent to
Use the	e new notation t	o simplify the equatio	ns h	nere and in clause 162	
Response		Response Status	С		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	LE.			
•	nent the sugges al license.	ted remedy but gener	aliz	ing to support any num	ber of sections with
C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.2.4	4 P 1	99	L 4	# 160
Ran, Adee		Intel			
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	Α		bucket
A grap helpful	•	on of the network with	n an	notation of the various	S's would be very
Suggested	Remedy				
http://w	/ww.ieee802.org	–		tsi_3ck_01_1118.pdf a _19/healey_3ck_adho	
Response		Response Status	С		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	LE.			
Implem	nent the sugges	ted remedy with edito	rial	license.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 160

Page 42 of 79 8/12/2020 1:32:09 PM

C/ 120A SC 120A.5	P 201	L 20	# 161	C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1	P 205	L 20	# 164
Ran, Adee	Intel	20		Ran, Adee	Intel	20	<i>"</i> 104
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucke
duplicated label "MMD				51	currences of "min" and "ma	x" both with and	
SuggestedRemedy	Jan Star						
delete one copy.					zed at least on a per-clause	e basis, and prefe	erably across the draft.
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy			
ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Since these are abbrevia globally in the draft.	ations, it is suggested to inc	lude a period. Pro	eferably change
	D004	1.40	# 400	Response	Response Status C		
C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1		L 48	# 162	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE			
Ran, Adee	Intel			Change occurrences of	'min." and "max." (with perio	od) to "min" and "	max" (without period)
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket	as appropriate, througho		ou) to min and	max (without period),
an oversight.	th" only here. In clauses 162	and 163 it is 40	GHZ. I assume this is		D 205	1.00	# 405
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1	P 205	L 20	# 165
Change "53 GHz" to "4	40 GHz".			Ran, Adee	Intel		
-				Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C LE.			(cross clause) Addressing Vf (min) in C	2C which is TBD.		
Resolve using the resp	conse to comment #134.			The minimum allowed va	alue should be 0.4 as in C10	63.	
C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1	P 205	L 19	# 163		0.387, possibly due to meas		
Ran, Adee	Intel				2 is done with Nv=200, it is a reason, a footnote or infor		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	confusion.	a reason, a roothole of infor	malive NOTE wo	buid be neipiul to avoid
	he rest of the document, "Ste	ady state" should	be "Steady-state".	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy		,	,	Change TBD to 0.4.			
Add hyphens (twice).				C C			
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Dooponoo Statua			Consider changing the v different value.	alue in Table 162–9 to 0.4,	or adding a note	with explanation of the
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						
AUDEFT.				Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z		
				REJECT.			

C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 205	L 21	# 166	C/ 120F	SC 1	120F.3.2.2	P 208	L 10	# 169
Ran, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee			Intel		
Comment 1	Гуре Т	Comment Status A			Comment T	ype	т	Comment Status A		bucket6
	erence for linea higher loss in th	r fit pulse peak is 120D.3.1.4 is project.	l, which uses Nv=	13. This is inadequate	"The ref	ference	e impedan	ce for common-mode re	eturn loss measurem	ents is 25 Ohm"
		les control of the 3-tap equal	lizer, but here we	have 5 taps.		n exist	ing clause	(or even correct) for D- s. This clause does not		
Suggestedl		near fit nulse neak to 162.0	2 4 2		,					
•		near fit pulse peak to 162.9.	5.1.2.				e conversion ce of 50 Ol	on RL is obtained from a hm.	single-ended s-parar	neter measurements
Response		Response Status C			SuggestedF					
ACCEF	21.				Delete t	-	-			
C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 205	L 22	# 167	Response		nichoc.	Response Status C		
Ran, Adee		Intel					RINCIPLE	•		
Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status A			AUDEI			••		
		n tap value and step sizes re ttions in clause 162 (an addit					erenced se			<i>и и</i>
Suggestedl	Remedv	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	• •	. ,				add text elsewhere sim al-mode and common-n		ecity the reference
		step sizes and ranges to 162	2.9.3.1.4 and 162	.9.3.1.5 respectively.						
Response		Response Status C			C/ 120F	SC 1	120F.3.2.3	P 208	L 53	# 170
ACCEF	рт				Ran, Adee			Intel		
					Comment T	ype	т	Comment Status A		bucket2
C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 205	L 29	# 168	Address	sing TE	3D in test s	setup requirements.		
Ran, Adee		Intel			"The ret	turn los	ss of the te	est setup in Figure 93C-	-4 measured at TP5	replica towards TPt
Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status D			meets t	he				·
		er to 120D.3.1.8 which explic		ey hold at any	requirer	nents	of Equation	n (TBD)."		
equaliz	ation setting. Bu	It this is not feasible and not	important.		The tes	t fixture	e can be c	onsidered as a channel	that the transmitter	is connected to. As
		e is a footnotw that jitter is m gests making it more explicit.		gle equalizer setting.	such, it	should		ERL requirements of th		
Suggestedl		,			SuggestedF	Remed	'y			
	-	oes not apply here:			Change	the qu	uoted sente	ence to		
Add a t single t	able footnote the ransmit equalized	at "J3u, JRMS, and even-od er setting selected to competence P0a test fixture" similar to Ta	nsate for the loss		towards	TPt m	return loss neets the of 120F.4.3	s of the test setup in Fig	jure 93C–4 measure	d at TP5 replica
Proposed F	Response	Response Status Z				10110	01 1201 .4.			
REJEC	T.				Response		RINCIPLE	Response Status C		
This co	mment was WI	THDRAWN by the commented	er.					nse to comment #1107	8.	
COMMENT		ed ER/editorial required GR spatched A/accepted R/reje ID				Z/with	drawn	Co	mment ID 170	Page 44 of 79 8/12/2020 1:32:0

C/ 120F SC 120F.3.2	2.3 P 209	L 39	# 171	C/ 120G	SC 120G	3.1	P 221	L 17	# 173
Ran, Adee	Intel			Ran, Adee			Intel		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Col	mment Status D		bucket2
Addressing minimum	RSS_DFE4 which is TBD.			Addres	sing EMSW	which is T	BD.		
	rameter in Table 163–8 is 0.0 in COM has large b_max. Th			depend mather	ds on the del matical mode	ay and the		FE's feedback p	
SuggestedRemedy				(or any	eye width p	arameter)	is not well defined.		
Change TBD to 0.05 t	wice.								cessarily the eye width
Response	Response Status C			(whihc	requires equ	alizing the	e transitions). Trying to	optimize for bot	th EW and EH with a
ACCEPT.				it is not	t what a real	receiver w	vill do anyway.	express, it can b	e a futile exercise, and
C/ 120G SC 120G.1	P 219	L 17	# 172	As the	experience v	vith COM	has shown, for lossy o gh figure of merit. Rea	hannels and DF	E receivers the
Ran, Adee	Intel				netry caused				
Comment Type T	Comment Status A				-				
stressed input proced	ost insertion loss of up to 11.9 ure) one of the test cases has	s 18.2 dB insetior	loss, which		ggested to re rement meth		SW, at least until evid ented.	lence of the need	d for it and a robust
	annel loss with an additional a graph at 120G.4.1 also looks		t transmitter package	Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy	graph at 1200.4.1 also 10083	Silke TO UD.			ve the EMSV 5 and Table		tion in this subclause,	and also in 120	G.3.2 and Table
Likely, change the val	ue in the figure to 16 dB.			Proposed I	Response	Res	ponse Status Z		
Response	Response Status C			PROP	OSED REJE				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.			This co	omment was	WITHDRA	AWN by the comment	er.	
	8) refers to the channel IL, wh transmitter package, as oppo			C/ 120G	SC 120G	3.1.2	P 222	<i>L</i> 1	# 174
-				Ran, Adee			Intel		
In Figure 120G-2, the	channel loss, which is a sum	of the section lo	sses, is 16 dB.	Comment	Туре Е	Col	mment Status D		RLCD
It would be helpful to a	show the aggregate loss in th	e figure.		In anot is equi	her commer	t (against ation 1200	clause 162) I am sugo G-1, but uses a param	gesting a CD retu eter F_N for bet	urn loss equation which ter readability.
In Figure 120G-2, des as 16 dB.	ignate the insertion loss from	host component	to module component	It is su		oply a simi	ilar change in this equ		·
Also, in 120G.4.1, ad	d a cross reference back to F	igure 120G-2.		Suggested	Remedv				
				00		y in 162.1 [.]	1.7, in 163.10, and in	120F.4.1.	
				Proposed I		•	ponse Status Z		
				REJEC	•	, (03)			
				This co	omment was	WITHDRA	AWN by the comment	er.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.	2 P 224	L 29	# 175	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.	2 P 224	L 42	# 176
Ran, Adee	Intel			Ran, Adee	Intel		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type T	Comment Status R		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Unlike a host transmitter, which has a fixed known channel and can be tuned to optimize the signal at the receiver input, the module has no knowledge of the channel. A fixed signal setting (swing and equalization) can be optimized for a high loss channel but will be inappropriate for a low loss channel, and vice versa.

To enable host management to choose the appropriate signal swing and equalization for the host channel in use, the module output should have more than one setting, and a control method to choose between them.

Discussions at this point indicate that it is desired to have no more than two settings. The suggested remedy is based on that. Future proposal may refine this idea.

SuggestedRemedy

Define two separate tests for the module output, near-end and far-end.

In the near-end test, only the near-end specifications are measured, with an MCB only. In the far-end test, only the far-end specifications are measured, with an MCB and a frequency dependent attenuator (specified strcitly to create the effect of a maximum-loss host channel).

The module shall have a 2-valued control variable (mapped to an MDIO register, although actual interface may be different) to select between two settings of its ouput. One setting will be tested in the near-end test and another will be tested in the far-end test.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Adopt a near end and a far end setting with an MDIO register bit to select between the setting as discussed in slide 9 of ran 3ck 01b 0720. Implement with editorial license.

Strawpoll #8 (decision)

I support closing comment 175 with: Adopt a near end and a far end setting with an MDIO register bit to select between the setting as discussed in slide 9 of ran 3ck 01b 0720. Implement with editorial license. Yes: 37

No: 10

Strawpoll #9 (decision)

overwhelm the host receiver.

necessary in some channels.

the host Rx.

SuggestedRemedy

Response

REJECT.

transmitter.

values.

be used in the tests.

input.

I would support closing comment 176 setting far-end and near-end differential peak to peak voltage (max) to 600 mV as proposed on slide 9 of ran 3ck 01b 0720. Yes: 19 No: 20

the Differential peak-to-peak output voltage is way too large, and if it is implemented it can

With a short host channel, there will be lower attenuation by the channel, and equalization may not be required. in that case the full swing will create a large signal at the host Rx

A hosts receiver that can function with a smaller swing over a lossy channel doesn't need

this large signal (which may be bad for it). Reduced swing in the module output may be

Change the differential peak-to-peak output maximum specification to 400 mV PtP, both

Straw poll #6, indicated most support for adopting the values for far-end and near-end differential peak to peak voltage (max.) as proposed on slide 9 of ran 3ck 01b 0720.

The closed response to comment #175 adopted two equalization settings for module

Based on strawpoll #9, there is no consensus to close to the comment with the proposed

Change the input tolerance reugiremement in Table 120G-4 accordingly.

Response Status C

for the near-end test and the far-end test. Clarify that different module output settings may

With a long host channel, pre-equalization will be required and will attenuate low frequencies, while the channel attenuates high frequencies, creating a lower PtP signal at

					transm	nitter.				
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 45	# 177	0.44					
Ran, Adee		Intel				-end VEC (max) to 7.5 dE ar-end VEC (max) to 7.5 (
Comment 7	Гуре Т Сог	mment Status A				-end EH (min) to 24 mV	ub			
	sing Near-end eye hei are TBDs.	ght, differential (min) a	and Far-end eye	height, differential (min)		ar-end EH (mín) to 24 m∖				
output	st output is now specifi should not use this spe	ecification method.			The U	's note added after the co RL for second listed prese www.ieee802.org/3/ck/pub	entation should be th	he following	i	
	pposed limit values are nd and for far-end, at th			nd are the same for ted in future drafts. The	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3.2	P 227	L 37	# 178	
module	e can use different setti	ings to meet the near-	end and far-end	requirements.	Ran, Adee		Intel			
Suggestedl	Remedy				Comment		nent Status A			
Near-ei	e the minimum NEEH a nd VEC and Far-end V e output settings may b	EC, both with maximu			With ty	wo available module settir ressed input should be al	ngs, one for near-en			or
Response	Res	ponse Status C			The te	st should be modified to le	et the host calibrate	the stress either	at the MCB output,	or
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE.					frequency-dependent atte ing the required BER at one			ut far-end testing.	
For NE					Suggested	Remedy				
	roposes 15 mV roposes 50 mV				Chang	e 120G.3.3.2.1 text and F	igure 120G–8 per tl	he comment.		
	roposes 40 mV				Response	Respo	nse Status C			
					•	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				
For FE	EH roposes 15 mV					-				
#192 pi	roposes 20 mV roposes 24 mV					ent #175 adopted a pair o gh-loss host channels. Th host.				
	VEC roposes 9 dB roposes 7.5 dB				Impler	nent the suggested remed	dy with editorial licer	nse.		
For FE #177 pt	VEC roposes 9 dB									
	roposes 7 dB									
http://w http://w	lowing presentations w ww.ieee802.org/3/ck/p ww.ieee802.org/3/ck/p ww.ieee802.org/3/ck/p	oublic/20_07/ghiasi_3coublic/20_07/hidaka_3	ck_01_0720.pdf							
	oolls #4 and #5, indicat C and EH as proposed			les for far-end and near-						

The closed response to comment #175 adopted two equalization settings for module

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 178

Page 47 of 79 8/12/2020 1:32:09 PM

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2.1 P 229 L 4 # 179	C/ 162B SC 162B.1 P 247 L 11 # 180
Ran, Adee Intel	DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
Comment Type E Comment Status R bucket4	Comment Type TR Comment Status A bucket7 C
The injected jitter in the host stressed input test (C2M) is described as follows:	Proposals for 162B.1 Mated Test Fixtures specification TBDs
"Random jitter and bounded uncorrelated jitter are added such that the output of the pattern generator approximates the output jitter profile given by maximum JRMS and	SuggestedRemedy
maximum J4u, and complies with the even-odd jitter specification, in Table 120F–1"	Specifications for TBDs;
	- 162B.1.3.1 Mated test fixtures differential insertion
But Table 120F–1 is in the other annex, for C2C - which seems like an error. But it isn't: In Annex 120D this was written explicitly with reference to the C2C specification:	loss FOMILD - 162B.1.3.2 Mated test fixtures differential return loss
Annex 1200 this was written explicitly with reference to the C2C specification.	- 162B.1.3.3 Mated test fixtures common-mode
"Random jitter and bounded uncorrelated jitter are added such that the output of the	conversion insertion loss
pattern generator approximates the 200GAUI-4 and 400GAUI-8 C2C output jitter profile given in Table 120D–1".	 162B.1.3.6 Mated test fixtures integrated crosstalk noise
If this is the intent it should be stated more explicitly, as was done in 120D.	See diminico_3ck_01_0720.pdf
SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C
Change	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
"approximates the output jitter profile given by maximum JRMS and maximum J4u, and complies with the even-odd jitter specification, in Table 120F–1" To "approximates the output jitter profile given by maximum JRMS and maximum J4u, and	The following presentation was reviewed at a previous ad hoc meeting: http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20/haser_3ck_adhoc_01c_062420.pdf
complies with the even-odd jitter specification, of the corresponding chip-to-chip transmitter in Table 120F–1"	There was no consensus to address the value for MTF FOM_ILD at this time.
Response Response Status C	For MTF RLDD, CM conversion loss, and ICN resolve using the responses to comments
REJECT.	#92, #86, #88.
There is only one jitter specification in Table 120F-1 so no further qualification is required.	

C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 157	L 24	# 181	C/ 162A	SC 162A	P 243	L 34	# 182
DiMinico,	Christopher	MC Commun	nications		DiMinico, Cl	hristopher	MC Commu	nications	
Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket5 CA	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		
Prop	osals for 162.11	cable assembly specification	TBDs			als for 162A Ar		have the station T DI	D-
Suggeste	edRemedy						nt parameters and channel c	naracteristics I BI	Ds
162.1	11.2 Cable asse	mbly insertion loss			SuggestedF	Remedy			
The minin asse 162.1 Trans Cable asse 162.1 162.1	measured insert num cable mbly insertion lo 11.3 Cable asse sition time assoc a assembly ERL mblies that have 11.4 Differential 11.5 Differential	ion loss of a cable assembly s oss given in TBD and illustrated	d in TBD. reater than or equ TBD loss TBD		TBDs 162A.5 ILMaxH ILCamir See dim <i>Response</i> ACCEP	Channel insert ost(f) TBD h(f) TBD hinico_3ck_01_ T IN PRINCIP	_0720.pdf Response Status C LE.	inted circuit board	I trace insertion losses
Tr is	TBD ps	mbly Channel Operating Marg	in		-	Ū	d clause from 162.]	an (dinaining Only	024 0700) our or or do d
Trans	smitter signal-to-	-noise ratio SNRTX TBD			it.	owing was not	reviewed. A later presentation	on (alminico_3ck_	_02d_0720) superceded
See	diminico_3ck_01	1_0720.pdf			http://w	ww.ieee802.or	g/3/ck/public/20_07/diminicc	3ck 01 0720 p	df
Respons	е	Response Status C			1110		g/o/or(public/20_0//airiiiilou		
ACC	EPT IN PRINCI	PLE.			There is loss.	s no consensus	s to adopt the proposed spe	cification for maxi	mum PCB insertion
		sertion loss proposed on slide rg/3/ck/public/20_07/diminico_					insertion loss, adopt the sp 20. Implement with editorial		e 10 of
		sembly ERL, RL_CD, IL_CD, to comments 71, 148, 73, 149		r, and COM SNR_TX					

C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 205	L 23	# 183	C/ 162	SC 162	2.9.4.3.	3 <i>P</i> 155	L 33	# 185
Sun, Junqi	ng	Credo Semico	onductor		Sekel, Stev	/e		Keysight Techr	ologies	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status D		withdrawn
TX FIR	Range can be o	ptimized for C2C application	าร					J3u in equation 162-8 results		
Suggested	2					_0ck1a_06		legal values of parameters in	the test setup	. Relef to
		(–3) (max.) = -0.05 c(–2) (min.) = 0.10			Suggested	Remedy				
value a value a	t min. state for c t min. state for c	(-1) (max.) = -0.28 (1) (max.) = -0.1				change ba root to be		ising J4u for this parameter, o	add a limit to	o the term under the
see pre	esentation sun_3	ck_01_0720			Proposed I	Response		Response Status Z		
Response		Response Status C			REJEC	CT.				
ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPLI	<u> </u>			This co	omment w	as WIT	HDRAWN by the commenter.		
	ved the following vww.ieee802.org/	presentation: 3/ck/public/20_07/sun_3ck_	01 0720.pdf		C/ 163	SC 163	3.9.2.3	P 182	L 26	# 186
	-				Sekel, Stev	/e		Keysight Techr	ologies	
	IX characteristi tation except:	cs, implement the tap range	e and step size c	on slide 9 of the	Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status D	5	withdrawn
	in value is -0.30				(same	problem a	as in eq	uation 162-8 described above)	
c(0) m	n value is 0.55							J3u in equation 163-3 results		
C/ 120F	SC 120F.4.1	P 211	L 25	# 184		with some 0ck1a_06		legal values of parameters in	the test setup	b. Refer to
Sun, Junqi	ng	Credo Semico	onductor		Suggested	Remedy				
Comment TX FIR		Comment Status A ptimized for C2C application	าร			change ba root to be		ising J4u for this parameter, o	add a limit to	o the term under the
Suggested	0				Proposed I	Response	!	Response Status Z		
00		(–3) (max.) = -0.04			REJEC	CT.				
value a value a value a value a	t max. state for o	(-2) (min.) = 0.10 (-1) (max.) = -0.28 (0) (max.) = 0.6 (1) (max.) = -0.1			This co	omment w	as WIT	HDRAWN by the commenter.		
Response		Response Status C								
ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPLI	<u>=</u>								
	ved the following vww.ieee802.org/	presentation: 3/ck/public/20_07/sun_3ck_	_01_0720.pdf							

2/ 120F SC 120F.4.1 P 212 L 18 # 187	Cl 120F SC 120F.4.1 P 210 L 13	# 189
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status D	withdrawi
Normalized DFE taps are larger than necessary	Bmax values are TBDs	
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy	
The largest DFE taps observed for C2C channels B1max=0.65 and B2-B6(max)=0.1. See	Replace TBD with B1max=0.5 and B[2-5]max=0.1 ghiasi_3ck_02_0	320.pdf
ghiasi_3ck_01_0620	Proposed Response Response Status Z	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	REJECT.	
ACCEPT IN FRINCIPLE.	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	
[Editor's note: change subclause from 120F.4.2.]		# 400
The following presentation was reviewed by the task force:	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 P 224 L 21	# 190
http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3ck_01a_0720.pdf	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status D	withdraw
Change bmax(1) to 0.65	To keep C2C power low need to limit max loss including package/fil	withdraw.
Change bmax(2) to 0.15	SuggestedRemedy	
Change bmax(3:6) to 0.1	Add new line to table 120F-5, Total IL_wpkgs_wTr (max)=28 dB	
Image: Provide and the second secon	Proposed Response Response Status Z	
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	REJECT.	
Comment Type TR Comment Status A COM eta0		
Eta0 of 8.2e-9 is too low for a low power C2C innterface	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	
uggestedRemedy	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 P 224 L 46	# 191
Increase eta0 to 4.1E-8 inline with C2M noise spectral density, see ghiasi_3ck_01_0620	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Pesponse Response Status C	Comment Type TR Comment Status A	bucket
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Near end EH are TBD.	
[Editor's note: Changed SC/P/L from 120F.4.2/212/18.]	SuggestedRemedy	
The following presentation was reviewed by the task force:	Near end EH=40 mV, see ghiasi_3ck_02_0620	
http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3ck_01a_0720.pdf	Response Response Status C	
Set the C2C channel COM eta 0 value to 2E-8.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Set the C2C channel COM eta_0 value to 2E-8.	[Editor's note: changed subclause/page/line from 120F.4.2/211/46]	
	Resolve using the response to comment #177.	

V 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 48	# 192	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 37	# 195
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quante	um/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi	
<i>Comment Type</i> TR <i>C</i> Far end eye height is TBD.	omment Status A		bucket3	Comment Type TR Co Reference equalizer to meas	mment Status A ure nearend and faren	d need to be def	ined
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Far end EH=20 mV, see gh	asi_3ck_02_0620			Reference the 4T DFE, but w B4(max)=0.05, far end equal	ith following exception	for near end B1 A(max)=0.1	max=0.15 and B2-
Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status C				ponse Status C		
[Editor's note: change subcl	ause/line/page from 120	DF.4.2/211/48.]		[Editor's note: changed SC/pa	age/line from 120F.4.2	/211/48]	
Resolve using the response	to comment #177.			The following presentation wa	s reviewed by the tas	- k force:	
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 37	# 193	http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/p			
Shiasi, Ali Comment Type TR C	Ghiasi Quanti omment Status A	um/Inphi	bucket3	For TP4a NE measurement, For TP4a FE measurement,			
Near VEC is TBD.				Implement with editorial licen	se.		
SuggestedRemedy Near end VEC=7.5 dB, see	ghiasi_3ck_02_0620			C/ 120g SC 120g.3.3.2	P 227	L 49	# 196
Response Re	sponse Status C			Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Comment Type TR Co Host stress far end eye heigh	<i>mment Status</i> A ht is TBD		bucket7 C2M
[Editor's note: changed sub	clause/page/line from 12	20F.4.2/211/48.]		SuggestedRemedy			
Resolve using the response	to comment #177.			Far end EH=20 mV, see ghia	si_3ck_02_0620		
2/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 Shiasi, Ali	P 224 Ghiasi Quanti	L 37 um/loobi	# 194	Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sponse Status C		
	omment Status A		bucket3	Resolve using the response t	o comment #115.		
<i>uggestedRemedy</i> Far end VEC=7.5 dB, see g	hiasi_3ck_02_0620						
Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status C						
[Editor's note: SC/page/line	changed from 120F.4.2	/211/48.]					
Resolve using the response							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		Chinai Ali			
			Ghiasi, Ali	Ghias	si Quantum/Inphi	
Comment Status A			Comment Type TR Far end ESMW is TB	Comment Status D	D	withdrawn
ghiasi_3ck_02_0620			SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with 0.4	75 UI see ghiasi_3ck	_01_0320	
esponse Status C			Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status	Z	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the co	ommenter.	
k/public/20_07/hidaka_3c C should match the value adopted by comment #177 eye VEC target value to ense. P 224	k_01_0720.pdf for TP4 FE VE0 7 is 7.5 dB. 7.5 dB.	C. The # <u>198</u>	Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Module stress eye he SuggestedRemedy This should be the sa Response	Ghias <i>Comment Status</i> ight is TBD me as TP1a 15 mV <i>Response Status</i>	si Quantum/Inphi A	# 200
Comment Status D		withdrawn			20G.3.2/224/33.]	
	ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3c ck/public/20_07/hidaka_3c C should match the value adopted by comment #17 I eye VEC target value to cense.	Response Status C s were reviewed by the task force. sk/public/20_07/ghiasi_3ck_02_0720.pdf sk/public/20_07/hidaka_3ck_01_0720.pdf C should match the value for TP4 FE VEC adopted by comment #177 is 7.5 dB. d eye VEC target value to 7.5 dB. eense. P224 L46 Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Status D D see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320	Response Status C s were reviewed by the task force.	ghiasi_3ck_02_0620 Response Status C s were reviewed by the task force. ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3ck_02_0720.pdf c Should match the value for TP4 FE VEC. The adopted by comment #177 is 7.5 dB. d eye VEC target value to 7.5 dB. P 224 L 46 P 224 L 46 Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Status D withdrawn D see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320	ghiasi_3ck_02_0620 Response Status C s were reviewed by the task force. sk/public/20_07/ghiasi_3ck_02_0720.pdf xk/public/20_07/hidaka_3ck_01_0720.pdf C should match the value for TP4 FE VEC. The adopted by comment #177 is 7.5 dB. adopted by comment #177 is 7.5 dB. eye VEC target value to 7.5 dB. P224 L 46 Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Status D withdrawn D see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320	ghiasi_3ck_02_0620 Response Status C swere reviewed by the task force. sk/public/20_07/ghiasi_3ck_02_0720.pdf sk/public/20_07/ghiasi_3ck_01_0720.pdf C should match the value for TP4 FE VEC. The adopted by comment #177 is 7.5 dB. H eye VEC target value to 7.5 dB. P224 L46 Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Status D withdrawn D see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320

C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 P 235 L 16 # 201	C/ 162 SC 162.9.3 P 148 L 24 # 203
Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status R AC
CTLE gain setting for TP4 nearend are TBD	30 mV AC common mode has significant amount of penalty given that RLCD ~RLDC or
SuggestedRemedy	dB depending on the loss of the channel the penalty can be 1-3 mV RMS
see ghiasi_3ck_02_0620 where includes min g_DC and g_DC_HP, min g_DC=5 dB and	SuggestedRemedy
min g_DC_HP=2 dB	Consider reducing 30 mV RMS to 17.5 mV RMS
Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	REJECT.
[Editor's note: change reference from 120G.3.4.1.1.]	There is no consensus to change the TX AC CM noise values at this time.
The following presentations were reviewed by the task force: http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3ck_02a_0720.pdf	Resolve using the response to comment #28.
http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_07/hidaka_3ck_01d_0720.pdf	C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 159 L 34 # 204
For TP4 near-end	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
Set gdc2 range = -2 to 0.	Comment Type TR Comment Status R bucket8 C
Set gdc range = -5 to -2. Same range for all gdc2 settings.	COM receiver reference model does not excite common mode and model is fully
C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 P 235 L 23 # 202	symmetrical between P/N. Unless COM reference model has common mode excitation only differential aspect of the S4P exercised.
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Non-idealities in COM can be introduced by following:
CTLE gain setting for TP4 far end are TBD	-Termination mismatch P/N 3% - Package P +/- 10%
SuggestedRemedy	-Package N +/- 10%
see ghiasi_3ck_02_0620 where includes min g_DC and g_DC_HP, min g_DC=10 dB and	But the total RLM should still be 95%.
min g_DC_HP=3 dB	Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C	REJECT.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	COM mode impairment is indeed not fully considered in COM. However the suggested
[Editor's note: change subclause from 120G.3.4.1.1.]	remedy does not provide clear information to implement.
The following presentations were review by the task force:	There is no consensus to implement the suggested remedy at this time. More empirical
http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3ck_02a_0720.pdf	evidence and consensus building is required.
http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_07/hidaka_3ck_01d_0720.pdf	See also comment #206.
For TP4 far-end…	
Set gdc2 range = -3 to -1.	
Set gdc range = -9 to -3. Same range for all gdc2 settings.	

/ 163 SC 163.9.1	P 177	L 38	# 205	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.1	P 221	L 23	# 207
hiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi	
comment Type TR	Comment Status R		bucket3	Comment T	ype TR	Comme	nt Status R		
30 mV AC common model dB depending on the lost	de has significant amount of ss of the channel the penalty	penalty given th can be 1-3 mV	nat RLCD ~RLDC or 12 RMS		one end of th loes not get a		nmon mode term	ination the 17.5	mV allowed common
uggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Consider reducing 30 m	V RMS to 17.5 mV RMS			Add co				ion = 12 - 9*f/1e	9 dB up to 1 GHz
esponse	Response Status C			See ah	3 dB fro iasi_03_0620	n 1GHz to 50	GHZ		
REJECT.				Response		Respons	e Status C		
[Editor's note: changed	page from 148.]			REJEC	Т.				
Resolve using the respo	onse to comment #28.			[Editor's	s note: chang	ed subclause	from 120G.3.]		
7 163 SC 163.10	P 184	L 14	# 206				viewed at an ad h		
hiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi		http://w	ww.ieee802.0	org/3/ck/public	/20_07/ghiasi_3c	k_03_0720.pdf	
omment Type TR	Comment Status R		COM parameter	There is	s no consens	us to make th	e proposed chang	ges at this time.	
	e model does not excite comi /N. Unless COM reference n			C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.2	P 224	L 52	# 208
only differential aspect of	of the S4P exercised.			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi	
uggestedRemedy				Comment T	ype TR	Comme	nt Status R		
-Termination mismatch	an be introduced by following P/N 3%	g:			one end of th loes not get a		nmon mode term	ination the 17.5	mV allowed common
- Package P +/- 10% -Package N +/- 10%				Suggested	Remedy				
But the total RLM should	d still be 95%.			Add co				ion = 12 - 9*f/1e	9 dB up to 1 GHz
esponse	Response Status C			See ah	3 dB froi iasi_03_0620	n 1GHz to 50	GHZ		
REJECT				Response		Respons	e Status C		
	is indeed not fully considere e clear information to implem		ever the suggested	, REJEC	Т.				
		iont.		[Editor's	s note: chang	ed line from 2	3.]		
There is no consensus t evidence and consensu	to implement the suggested s building is required.	remedy at this ti	me. More empirical				viewed at an ad h	oc meeting: k_03_0720.pdf	
						19/0/010 public	/20_01/gilluoi_00		

CL 4000 SC 4000 0	D 000	1.0	# 000	CI 4000	SC 4000 0 0	D 224		# 014
C/ 120G SC 120G.3	P 222	L 2	# 209	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 30	# 211
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quante	um/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		RLCD	Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comment Status A		bucket7 C2M
Common mode to Diffe	erential conversion could be i	mproved				izer given that TP4 near en		
SuggestedRemedy						inels with via, need to consi	der impairment d	ue to long barrel vias.
GHz. See ghiasi_03_0620	RLDC=22 -20(f/25.78) up to 1	2.89 GHz and 1	12 dB from 12.89 to 50	method	2_0620 investi may result varia	gates use of C0/C1 as in the ation in the measurement during the measurement during the 0 from 4.1E-8 to accound	ue to interference	but perhaps a better
Proposed Response	Response Status Z					sed by 5x to account short c		
REJECT.						from 4.1E-8. The contribut		
This comment was WIT	THDRAWN by the commenter	er.		reduce	/EC and increa	option is just keep eta_0 at se VEO. 1st option - increa 0=4.1E-8, 3rd option - add 0	ase eta_0, 2nd op	
[Editor's note: change p	page/line from 221/52.]			Response		Response Status C		
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 52	# 210	ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL	E.		
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quanti	um/Inphi		Pacalva	using the resp	onse to comment #212.		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D	·		Resolve	using the resp			
Common mode to Diffe	erential conversion could be i	mproved						
SuggestedRemedy								
	RLDC=22 -20(f/25.78) up to 1	2.89 GHz and 1	12 dB from 12.89 to 50					
Proposed Response	Response Status Z							
REJECT.								
This comment was WIT	THDRAWN by the commenter	er.						
[Editor's note: Changed	l line from 25.]							

	SC 120G.3.3.2	P 227	L 37	# 212	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.2	P 224	L 41	# 214
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi	
Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comm	ent Status R		bucket4
long ba		zer will be calibrated with id nake sure the host is not ov			and re-	alxing the com		common mode car has implications due		s this is reasonable limit ersion.
Suggested					Suggested	Remedy e the editoria	noto			
ghiasi_ method method TP4 ne far end viable d	_02_0620 investig d may result variat d is to increase et ear end is increase d increased by 2x to option. The 3rd o	ates use of C0/C1 as in the ion in the measurement du a_0 from 4.1E-8 to account ed by 5x to account short cl rom 4.1E-8. The contributi otion is just keep eta_0 at 4 e VEO. 1st option - increase	te to interference t for the board in hannel impairme ion show that ine 4.1 E-8 without 0	e but perhaps a better npairments. Eta_0 at ents and eta_0 at TP4 creasing eta_0 is a C0/C1 but instead	Response REJEC Based	CT. the response	Respon to comment	se Status C #28 in regard to KF , but there is no co	R and C2C there nsensus to mak	e is discussion about e any changes at this
		=4.1E-8, 3rd option - add C		puon - ugnien the limit						
Response		Response Status C			C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.3	P 227	L 3	# 215
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE				Maki, Jeffe	ry Type TR		Juniper Netwo ent Status A	orks	
measu	inement of the nos	t stressed input (TP4a) eye	e opening param	leters.						what they can expect of
The fol http://w	llowing presentatio	on was reviewed by the tasl /ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3c channel characteristics add	k force: k_02_0720.pdf	ponse to comment #130.	the hos to ado <i>Suggested</i> Add th	at as must as otion and adhe Remedy e following se	the host must erence to the ntence after	t know what it can standard. the first sentence o	expect of the m	what they can expect of odule. Both are parties , "Channel equalization
The fol http://w Resolv	llowing presentatio	on was reviewed by the tasl /ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3c	k force: k_02_0720.pdf		the hos to ado <i>Suggested</i> Add th	at as must as otion and adhe Remedy e following se	the host must erence to the ntence after aptive equali	t know what it can standard. the first sentence o zer in the host."	expect of the m	odule. Both are parties
The fol http://w	llowing presentatio vww.ieee802.org/3 ve using the same	on was reviewed by the tash /ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3c channel characteristics add	k force: :k_02_0720.pdf opted in the resp <i>L</i> 34	ponse to comment #130.	the hos to ado <i>Suggested</i> Add th is prov	st as must as otion and adhe R <i>emedy</i> e following se ded by an ad	the host must erence to the ntence after aptive equali	t know what it can standard. the first sentence o	expect of the m	odule. Both are parties
The fol http://w Resolv C/ 120G Ghiasi, Ali Comment T	llowing presentation www.ieee802.org/3 we using the same SC 120G.3.1 Type TR	on was reviewed by the tash /ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3c channel characteristics add <i>P</i> 221 Ghiasi Quant <i>Comment Status</i> R	k force: :k_02_0720.pdf opted in the resp <i>L</i> 34 um/Inphi	ponse to comment #130. # 213 bucket4	the hos to ado <i>Suggested</i> Add th is prov <i>Response</i>	st as must as otion and adhe R <i>emedy</i> e following se ded by an ad	the host must erence to the ntence after aptive equali	t know what it can standard. the first sentence o zer in the host."	expect of the m	odule. Both are parties
The fol http://w Resolv C/ 120G Ghiasi, Ali Comment 7 Editoria	llowing presentation www.ieee802.org/3 re using the same SC 120G.3.1 <i>Type</i> TR al note regarding ¹	on was reviewed by the tash /ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3c channel characteristics ad <i>P</i> 221 Ghiasi Quant	k force: k_02_0720.pdf opted in the rest <i>L</i> 34 um/Inphi n be removed a	ponse to comment #130. # 213 bucket4 s this is reasonable limit	the hos to ado <i>Suggested</i> Add th is prov <i>Response</i>	st as must as otion and adhe R <i>emedy</i> e following se ded by an ad	the host must erence to the ntence after aptive equali	t know what it can standard. the first sentence o zer in the host."	expect of the m	odule. Both are parties
The fol http://w Resolv Cl 120G Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Editoria and rea Suggested	llowing presentation www.ieee802.org/3 re using the same SC 120G.3.1 <i>Type</i> TR al note regarding fractions of the common	on was reviewed by the tash /ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3c channel characteristics add <i>P</i> 221 Ghiasi Quant <i>Comment Status</i> R 17.5 mV common mode ca n mode has implications du	k force: k_02_0720.pdf opted in the rest <i>L</i> 34 um/Inphi n be removed a	ponse to comment #130. # 213 bucket4 s this is reasonable limit	the hos to ado <i>Suggested</i> Add th is prov <i>Response</i>	st as must as otion and adhe R <i>emedy</i> e following se ded by an ad	the host must erence to the ntence after aptive equali	t know what it can standard. the first sentence o zer in the host."	expect of the m	odule. Both are parties
The fol http://w Resolv Cl 120G Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Editoria and rea Suggested	llowing presentation www.ieee802.org/3 re using the same SC 120G.3.1 Type TR al note regarding for alxing the common IRemedy	on was reviewed by the tash /ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3c channel characteristics add <i>P</i> 221 Ghiasi Quant <i>Comment Status</i> R 17.5 mV common mode ca n mode has implications du	k force: k_02_0720.pdf opted in the rest <i>L</i> 34 um/Inphi n be removed a	ponse to comment #130. # 213 bucket4 s this is reasonable limit	the hos to ado <i>Suggested</i> Add th is prov <i>Response</i>	st as must as otion and adhe R <i>emedy</i> e following se ded by an ad	the host must erence to the ntence after aptive equali	t know what it can standard. the first sentence o zer in the host."	expect of the m	odule. Both are parties
The fol http://w Resolv Cl 120G Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Editoria and rea Suggested Remov	Ilowing presentatic www.ieee802.org/3 re using the same SC 120G.3.1 Type TR al note regarding a alxing the common (Remedy we the editorial not	on was reviewed by the tash /ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3c channel characteristics add <i>P</i> 221 Ghiasi Quant <i>Comment Status</i> R 17.5 mV common mode ca n mode has implications du	k force: k_02_0720.pdf opted in the rest <i>L</i> 34 um/Inphi n be removed a	ponse to comment #130. # 213 bucket4 s this is reasonable limit	the hos to ado <i>Suggested</i> Add th is prov <i>Response</i>	st as must as otion and adhe R <i>emedy</i> e following se ded by an ad	the host must erence to the ntence after aptive equali	t know what it can standard. the first sentence o zer in the host."	expect of the m	odule. Both are parties
The fol http://w Resolv Cl 120G Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Editoria and rea Suggested Remov Response REJEC	Ilowing presentatic www.ieee802.org/3 re using the same SC 120G.3.1 Type TR al note regarding a alxing the common (Remedy we the editorial not	on was reviewed by the tash /ck/public/20_07/ghiasi_3c channel characteristics add <i>P</i> 221 Ghiasi Quant <i>Comment Status</i> R 17.5 mV common mode ca n mode has implications du e <i>Response Status</i> C	k force: k_02_0720.pdf opted in the rest <i>L</i> 34 um/Inphi n be removed a	ponse to comment #130. # 213 bucket4 s this is reasonable limit	the hos to ado <i>Suggested</i> Add th is prov <i>Response</i>	st as must as otion and adhe R <i>emedy</i> e following se ded by an ad	the host must erence to the ntence after aptive equali	t know what it can standard. the first sentence o zer in the host."	expect of the m	odule. Both are parties

C/ 83 SC 83.1.1	P 85	L 16	# 216	C/ 162 SC 162.11.7.1.1	P 161	L 51	# 219
Dudek, Mike	Marvell.	210	# 210	Dudek, Mike	, IGI Marvell.	251	# 219
Comment Type T Comme According to table 80-3a a numbe Clause 83 PMA. However this re SuggestedRemedy	nt Status A r of PHYs (e.g. 10 vised scope state	ment does not in	clude that table.		Comment Status A		bucket
	e Status C	ay also be used v	with those Phys	Response F ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.3.3	P 154	L 49	# 220
Add an extra sentence:				Dudek, Mike	Marvell.		
"The 100GBASE-R PMA may also	be used with so	me PMDs in Tabl	le 80-3a."	Comment Type T The name has changed S	Comment Status A (HOSP) is no longer defi	ned in 162.11.7.1	bucke.1
S(HOSPT) definition isn't good. SuggestedRemedy	Marvell. nt Status A		bucket	Change S(HOSP) to S(HO Also on page 163 line 1. Response ACCEPT.	DSPR) in two places. Als	so on page 162 lir	nes 28, 37, 42 and 49.
Change to "is the host transmitter	0 1			C/ 162 SC 162.11.7.1.2	P 162	L 49	# 221
Response Respons ACCEPT.	e Status C			Dudek, Mike <i>Comment Type</i> T	Marvell. Comment Status A		bucke
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7.1.1	P 162	L 16	# 218	S(HOTxSP) is not defined			
Dudek, Mike Comment Type T Comme	Marvell. nt Status A		bucket	SuggestedRemedy Change S(HOTxSP) to S(HOSPT)		
S(HOSPR) definition isn't related t		PCB signal path.		Response F ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy	"R signal path"						
Change to "is the host receiver PC	D Signal patri						

C/ 163 SC 163.9	9.1 P 178	L 5	# 222	C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2	P 235	L 10	# 225
Dudek, Mike	Marvell.			Dudek, Mike	Marvell.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		TX FIR	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
It would be good to has been added fo	add the same recommendation r copper cable.	for equal step si	zes for backplane as	Some channels appear t 8dB	to want GDC2 of less than -	2dB even though	n GdC is more than -
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	mplementations are recommend	led to use the sa	ne step size for all	Change the 8dB to 6dB t	for GDC2 less than -2dB.		
coefficients." to the	e transmitter output waveform			Response	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	E		
C/ 163 SC 163.9	D479	/ 20	# [000]	Change -8 dB to -6 dB fo	or g_DC2 less than -2 dB.		
		L 29	# 223	C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2	P 235	L 48	# 226
Dudek, Mike	Marvell. Comment Status A		hughet	Dudek, Mike	Marvell.		
Comment Type E	t the end of the paragraph		bucket	Comment Type E	Comment Status R		bucke
	the end of the paragraph			The wording of this para	graph could be improved.		
SuggestedRemedy delete one.				SuggestedRemedy			
				Change "Capture the PR	RBS13Q signal y1(k) with th		
Response	Response Status C				ed receiver noise filter with a		
ACCEPT.					ock recovery unit with a corn the PRBS13Q signal y1(k) v		
C/ 120F SC 120F	.3.1.1 P 205	L 39	# 224	equivalent to the specifie	ed receiver noise filter with a	associated param	neter fr in Table
Dudek, Mike	Marvell.			120G–9, using a clock re dB/decade."	ecovery unit with a corner fr	equency of 4 MH	Iz and slope of 20
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	Response	Response Status C		
There can be bette values from Table	er wording. "For parameters that 120F–6."	do not appear in	Table 120F–2, take	REJECT.			
SuggestedRemedy				The LPF and CRU are to	wo distinct processes so us	e of the word "an	d" is appropriate.
	ameters that do not appear in Ta			C/ 162D SC 162D.1	P 270	L 14	# 227
	similar fashion on page 208 line used in 120G.3.1.3	3, and page 213	ine 28. Note that this			L 14	# 221
Response				Dudek, Mike	Marvell. Comment Status A		bucket
ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C			Comment Type T	ied connectors but the list ir	n tabla 162D 1 b	
	/// LL.			, , ,			13 31A CHUIC3.
Implement sugges	ted remedy with editorial license			SuggestedRemedy	Alao on lino 22		
				Change "five" to "six".			
				Response	Response Status C		
				ACCEPT.			

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3.	2.1 <i>P</i> 229	L 15	# 228	C/ 120G	SC 120G.	3.3.2.1	P 228	L 6	# 229	
Ran, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee			Intel			
Comment T	Туре Т	Comment Status A		bucket7 C2M	Comment 7	уре Е	Comme	ent Status A			bucket
	, ,	and vertical eye closure	are measured acco	ording to the method in	"The re	ference recei	iver includes	a reference receiv	ver as specified ir	n 120G.5.2"	
120G.5	5.2				Suggestedl	Remedy					
		2 describes a "reference in a table. it is perhaps s			Change "The re		iver is specifi	ed in 120G.5.2"			
	signal (near-end), but does not mention anything about far-end.						Respon	se Status C			
		rence receiver for 50G C includes a loss channel:	2M is defined in 120	E.3.2.1.1, and for the	ACCEF	РТ.					
					C/ 162	SC 162.11	.7.1.1	P 162	L 15	# 230	
"The si	"The signal measured at TP4 is first convolved with a loss channel (~6.4 dB loss at Nyquist) that represents the worst case channel loss. The loss channel is the host trace defined in 92.10.7.1.1 with Zp = 151 mm."				Ran, Adee			Intel			
					Comment 7	уре Е	Comme	ent Status A			bucket
In orde	r to define far-er	d measurements, some	loss channel has to	be included.			ost transmitte ver) PCB sigr	r or PCB signal pa nal path"	ath" and then "S(HOSPR) is the ho	ost
	Using a convolution may not capture possible effects of reflections from that channel					es not make	sense.				
		It would be preferable to e.g. in the CR receiver to			Suggestedl	Remedy					
the me	thodology from 1	20E may require more c tational channel.				SPT) is the tra		ost PCB signal pa t PCB signal path			
		l in clause 162 is update			Response			se Status C			
		capacitances and differer ss at 26.56 GHz. Calcula			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
Suggested	Remedy				Resolv	e usina the re	esponse to co	mment #217 and	#218.		
Add a j	paragraph after t	he existing one in 120G.	5.2 with the followin	g text:		g					
channe	el that represents	ements, the signal mease the maximum host boar loss channel is the host	d loss, and then pro	cessed by the							
Response		Response Status C									
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.									
Resolv	e using the resp	onse to comment #130.									

231

C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 P 236	
---------------------------	--

Ran, Adee

Comment Type T Comment Status R

This subclause specifies measurement of "eye opening parameters eye height, eye width, and vertical eye closure".

Intel

L 9

Item e here:

"e) Compute the receiver input signal yrx(k) by applying the effect of the DFE to y2(k) using the

sampling phase ts"

May cause ambiguity in the resulting eye diagram, which can yield different EW and ESMW results.

The reason is that it does not fully specify how the sampling phase ts is used. To create a "nice" eye diagram, the DFE feedback is typically applied after some delay relative to ts. The time when the DFE feedback is applied will affect the eye shape, width and ESMW (though not the eye height at ts, which is maximized by the DFE coefficients).

Note that this delay is not necessarily what a real receiver will have, and the eye may not correspond to the performance of real receivers.

In another comment I suggest to remove the ESMW specification. Following the statements above, The EW specification may also be worth removing. EH (which does not depend on the DFE feedback timing) should be enough.

Without EW, jitter measurement and calibration should be done using other means. Jitter injected in host stressed input test is already calibrated using C2C methods. Jitter for host and module outputs can be specified using C2C methods too.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove all EW specifications and change the text in this subclause to omit EW.

(Alternatively. if ESMW and/or EW are retained, then the application of the DFE feedback should be specified explicitly. I would suggest specifying that the DFE feedback effect starts 1/2 UI after ts.)

Add jitter specifications J4U, JRMS, and EOJ, for host output and module output, using references to 120F.3.1 (same values as in Table 120F–1).

Response

REJECT.

Note that comment #173 proposes to drop ESMW as well.

Response Status C

A straw poll taken at the July 24 ad hoc meeting indicated strong support to remove the ESMW and EW parameters.

Strawpoll #7 (decision)

I support removing the EW and ESMW parameters and replacing with jitter specifications as proposed in the suggested remedy of comment #231. Yes: 11

No: 22

Although there was interest expressed in removing the EW/ESMW parameters, an appropriate alternate constraint may be necessary. Further work and consensus building is necessary.

There is no consensus to implement the suggested remedy.

C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 31	L 9	# 232
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

In the standards world, there is no such thing as QSFP112, and no expectation that there will be a specification of that name. QSFP specifications are published by the SFF Committee (now part of SNIA), and are mostly independent of operating speed.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "QSFP112", add the relevant SFF specifications: some of SFF-8661 SFF-8662 SFF-8672 SFF-8663 SFF-8683 SFF-8679 SFF-8636 REF-TA-1011 SFF-8665 (take advice from the SFF committee for which).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 1.3, list the following normative references:

DSFP MSA Dual small form factor pluggable module, Rev. 1.0 September 12, 2018 OSFP MSA Specification for OSFP octal small form factor pluggable module, Rev 3.0 March 14th, 2020

QSFP+ - Specification for QSFP+ 28 Gb/s 4X Pluggable Transceiver Solution SFF-8665, Rev 1.9, June 29, 2015

QSFP-DD800 MSA QSFP-DD Specification for 800G operation, Rev 1.0 March 6, 2020 SFP+ Specification for SFP+ Module and Cage, SFF-8432, Rev 5.2a November 30, 2018 SFP-DD MSA SFP-DD Hardware Specification for SFP double density 2X pluggable transceiver, Rev 3.0 April 10, 2019

Throughout the draft... Replace "SFP112" with "SFP+" Replace "SFP112-DD" with "SFP-DD" Replace "QSFP112" with "QSFP+" Replace "QSFP112-DD" with "QSFP-DD800"

Implement with editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 31	L 14	# 233	C/ 120F	SC 12	0F.4.1	P 212	L 19	# 235
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	;		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		bucket7 CR MDI	Comment T	ype 1	TR	Comment Status A		
There	is no mention o	of QSFP-DD800 in the docume	ent					ect a real receiver to provi		
Suggested	Remedy					,		should not be specified as ring that the sign of a tap c		,
Alterna	atively, say in th	relationship between QSFP-D ne editor's note that the referer ose documents evolve.			sensible 162.11.	e limits c 7 and ne	an be ch	presentation for more exp	e channels. See	
Response	•	Response Status C			SuggestedF	,				
	PT IN PRINCIP	-				nimum ta nin +0.3	ap weigh	t limits:		
ACCL		LL.				nin +0.3				
Resolv	ve using the res	ponse to comment #232.						(same as KR)		
C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 31	L 16	# 234	•	definitio	n of COI	VI in 93A.1.		
					Pacnonca			Deenenee Cteture C		
Dawe Pie	re	Nvidia			Response			Response Status C		
,		Nvidia		bucketZ CR MDI		T IN PR	INCIPLE	,		
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A	P112 and Lam	bucket7 CR MDI	ACCEP		-	,	tation:	
<i>Comment</i> In the	<i>Type</i> ER standards world			not aware that there	ACCEP The cor	nmenter	is referr	,		_061720.pdf
<i>Comment</i> In the will be	<i>Type</i> ER standards world a specification	Comment Status A d, there is no such thing as SF	ons are publishe	not aware that there d by the SFF	ACCEP The cor http://ie	nmenter ee802.oi	is referr rg/3/ck/p	ing to the following presen public/adhoc/jun17_20/hecl		_061720.pdf
Comment In the will be Comm	<i>Type</i> ER standards world a specification hittee (now part	Comment Status A d, there is no such thing as SF of that name. SFP specification	ons are publishe	not aware that there d by the SFF	ACCEP The cor http://ie	nmenter ee802.oi	is referr rg/3/ck/p	ing to the following presen		_061720.pdf
Comment In the will be Comm Suggested Delete	Type ER standards world a specification hittee (now part Remedy s "SFP112", add	Comment Status A d, there is no such thing as SF of that name. SFP specification of SNIA), and are mostly indep d the relevant SFF specification	ons are publishe pendent of opera n(s): some of SF	not aware that there d by the SFF sting speed. F-8432 SFF-8071 SFF-	ACCEP The cor http://ie Implem Add mir	nmenter ee802.or ent the fe	is referr rg/3/ck/p ollowing ap weigh	ing to the following presen ublic/adhoc/jun17_20/hecl with editorial license:		_061720.pdf
Comment In the will be Comm Suggested Delete 8432 S	Type ER standards world a specification hittee (now part Remedy SFF-112", add SFF-8433 SFF-8	Comment Status A d, there is no such thing as SF of that name. SFP specification of SNIA), and are mostly indep d the relevant SFF specification 8431 SFF-8419 SFF-8472 RE	ons are publishe pendent of opera n(s): some of SF	not aware that there d by the SFF sting speed. F-8432 SFF-8071 SFF-	ACCEP The cor http://ie Implem Add mir Tap 1: r	nmenter ee802.or ent the for nimum ta nin +0.3	is referr rg/3/ck/p ollowing ap weigh	ing to the following presen ublic/adhoc/jun17_20/hecl with editorial license:		_061720.pdf
will be Comm Suggested Delete 8432 \$	Type ER standards world a specification hittee (now part Remedy s "SFP112", add	Comment Status A d, there is no such thing as SF of that name. SFP specification of SNIA), and are mostly indep d the relevant SFF specification 8431 SFF-8419 SFF-8472 RE	ons are publishe pendent of opera n(s): some of SF	not aware that there d by the SFF sting speed. F-8432 SFF-8071 SFF-	ACCEP The cor http://ie Implem Add mir Tap 1: r Tap 2: r	nmenter ee802.or ent the for nimum ta nin +0.3 nin +0.0	is referr rg/3/ck/p ollowing ap weigh	ing to the following presen ublic/adhoc/jun17_20/hect with editorial license: t limits:		_061720.pdf
Comment In the will be Comm Suggested Delete 8432 S	Type ER standards world a specification hittee (now part <i>Remedy</i> s "SFP112", add SFF-8433 SFF-8 F committee fo	Comment Status A d, there is no such thing as SF of that name. SFP specification of SNIA), and are mostly indep d the relevant SFF specification 8431 SFF-8419 SFF-8472 RE	ons are publishe pendent of opera n(s): some of SF	not aware that there d by the SFF sting speed. F-8432 SFF-8071 SFF-	ACCEP The cor http://ie Implem Add mir Tap 1: r Tap 2: r All othe	nmenter ee802.or ent the fe nimum ta nin +0.3 nin +0.0 r taps: m	is referr rg/3/ck/p ollowing ap weigh 5 nin -0.04	ing to the following presen ublic/adhoc/jun17_20/hect with editorial license: t limits:		_061720.pdf

C/ 120F SC 120F.4.1 P 212 L 24 # 236	C/ 120G SC 12	20G.3.2	P 224	L 44	# 238
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type TR Comment Status A COM eta0	Comment Type	TR Commen	t Status A		bucket6
One-sided noise spectral density of 8.2e-9 V2/VGHz is extremely aggressive and optimistic and was chosen to make 28 dB backplane channels pass COM. It is not appropriate for this 20 dB spec. The point of C2C is that it's not KR; something must be easier to make it different. If there were no NEXT, we might scale 8.2e-9 by 8 - 1 dB or 5 times, giving 4.1e-8, higher than 50G/lane C2C's (120C) 2.6e-8 and the same as 100G/lane C2M's 4.1e-8. 8 for loss, 1 for BER 1e-6 vs. 1e-5. SuggestedRemedy Change to 1e-8, lower than 50GBASE-CR (1.64e-8) and less than half 50G/lane C2C (120C, 2.6e-8) (half would account for the doubled signalling rate, so receiver noise is a smaller proportion of the budget in 120F than 120C). Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #188. C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1 P 221 L 19 # 237 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status R invalid	The module out hosts. But it ma schemes that bu controlling multi SuggestedRemedy First choice: cor Second choice: use a better equ Third choice: ho channels and fo one, by a means loss. Eye paran and loss 3 for th host can choose setting. Don't try to micr Response ACCEPT IN PR	tput is supposed to b ay turn out that that's urden the simple mo- iple modules. Intinue with present p let the host received ualizer). Dist tells module to us for high loss host cha is we don't specify, b meters defined at TF he high loss setting. e by very simple me ro-manage the modu <i>Response</i>	be set to a comp s not feasible. Y odule output and olan. r sort out its cha se one of just tw nnels. Module r based on knowle 24 and after loss Generous over ans. Consider r ule. <i>Status</i> C	romise that's go (et we want to av the managemen nnel (if crosstalk o sets of specs; nust be capable dge of its own pr 2 for the low los ap between the	roid fussy tuning nt entity that may be or reflections are bad,
The low-loss C2M analysis should be revisited with the new COM.					
SuggestedRemedy	C/ 120G SC 12	20G.4.1	P 233	L 34	# 239
It may be that eye height and VEC for the very short channels are better than we have written down here.	Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Response Response Status C			t Status R		bucket8 channe
REJECT.	Is it really neces	ssary that the respor	nse should be al	pove -42 dB at 5	1 GHz?
The comment is not valid. The comment does not provide explanation of problem or justification for change. The suggested remedy does not propose an actionable change to the draft.		n in the second part of same at Nyquist. Response	of Eq. 120G-2, r <i>Status</i> C	educe the other	terms so that the
	The comment d	loes not provide any edy provide a compl			ange nor does the

C/ 120G SC 120G.4.2	P 235	L 17	# 240	C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 235	L 41	# 241
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket7 C2M	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		
	ons of gDC and gDC2 which good discussion - but it turns			should i is that a channe have to to reduc In C2M measur to be co remove The firs people Further, other co See hid	never happen: a signal with on loss, and the cope with over the its emphasis the receiver has emphasis (bec to DFE tap minin setting up C2M there should b pomments). aka_3ck_adho	p means the DFE is taking remember this is a measure y mild emphasis or shaping receiver equalizes a low-pas -emphasised signals: in CR , in C2C the management e as to tolerate any compliant be set more carefully than i the COM receiver, and low p cause they shouldn't need to num and the CTLE gDC ma outputs badly. he realistic tap minima for al c_01_021920 slide 8 for exa n't the only acceptable solut	ement of a signal g is transmitted, the s-filtered signal. and KR they care entity does that or signal, so the eq n COM. The real power receiver de b). aximum must be of l the taps, as for the ample tap weights	not a channel, the idea here is always some Real receivers don't a ask the far transmitter the receiver's behalf. ualizer limits in the eye receiver is not required signs often can't chosen together to stop C2C, KR and CR (see a found. Remember
Response	Response Status C			0	can be traded.			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Resolve using the resp	E. onses to comments #201 an	d #202.		Tap 2 n Taps 3,	nin +0.1 (max is nin -0.15 (max is 4 min -0.05 (m names of limits	s 0.15)	rate max and min	limits (see other
				Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C		
				[Editor's	note: changed	SC from 120G.4.2.]		
					erenced preser ww.ieee802.org	tation is here: ŋ/3/ck/public/adhoc/feb19_2	0/hidaka_3ck_ad	hoc_01_021920.pdf
				Implem	ent the sugges	ted remedy for both TP1a	and TP4 NE/FE.	
				Implem	ent with editoria	al license.		

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 235	L 43	# 242
Dawe, Piers	;	Nvidia		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

It may be that too few scopes can achieve this level of noise (which should warn us that it might be challenging for product receivers too!) As it may be undesirable to attempt to remove or deconvolve noise from a measurement, the solution is to increase the one-sided noise spectral density eta0. Then, this fixed noise makes signals from high loss hosts look relatively worse than from low loss hosts. To avoid that and include something for low-loss ripple effects (see Dudek presentations), we can use a second signal-strength-dependent noise to balance up the reported eye openings across a range of host losses

SuggestedRemedy

Increase eta0 to what is needed for practical measurements.

Response Status C

Use a second noise term proportional to the eye height (after equalization) i.e.

K*sum(AVupp + AVmid + AVlow). Use its variance similarly to eta0's, as in steps f and g.

Response

REJECT.

[Editor's note: change SC from 120G.4.2.]

Further details and analysis are required. There is no consensus to implement the proposed methodology at this time.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.4.2	P 236	L 15	# 243
Dawe, Piers	;	Nvidia		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D1.1 comment 142: "Should account for scope noise as TDECQ does", "Allow RSSing out the scope noise (as done in TDECQ) if it's significant." It turns out that it is significant, but that the scopes can handle this; we should not second-guess them.

SuggestedRemedy

Change step g from:

Compute an eye diagram from yrx(k), including the effect of Gaussian noise with variance calculated in the previous step.

to:

Compute an eye diagram from yrx(k), including the effect of Gaussian noise with variance calculated in the previous step, but taking into account that some noise from to the measurement instrument's noise is already in y2(k). (We could say yrx(k) instead of $y'_2(k)$ the noise is the same)

(We could say yrx(k) instead of y2(k), the noise is the same)

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 234	L 6	# 244
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		bucket4

120G.3 says "A test system with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson low-pass response with 40 GHz 3 dB bandwidth is to be used for all output signal measurements, unless otherwise specified." This adds "a receiver noise filter as defined in 93A.1.4.1". Too much filtering.

SuggestedRemedy

Use only one of them. For example, insert a sentence "The receiver noise filter is used instead of the Bessel-Thomson low-pass response of 120G.3."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

Capture the PRBS13Q signal y1(k) with the effect of low-pass response equivalent to the specified receiver noise filter with associated parameter fr in Table 120G–9, and using a clock recovery unit with a corner frequency of 4 MHz and slope of 20 dB/decade.

"Capture the PRBS13Q signal y1(k) with the effect of low-pass response equivalent to the specified receiver noise filter with associated parameter fr in Table 120G–9 (instead of the test system response specified in 120G.3.1), and using a clock recovery unit with a corner frequency of 4 MHz and slope of 20 dB/decade."

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 234	L 8	# 245
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		bucket

"The following procedure should be used": no, there is no need to follow the procedure, only to make the product good enough. This is not a standard for testing. I know this is wrong in 120E.4.2 too, but it's easy to fix here.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The following procedure should be used to obtain the eye height eye width, and vertical eye closure parameters, as illustrated by Figure 120E-13." to "Eye height, eye width, and vertical eye closure parameters, as illustrated by Figure 120E-13, are defined by the following procedure."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Response

7 120G SC 120G.5.2 P 236 L 20 # 246	C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 160 L 48 # 247				
awe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers Nvidia				
comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A				
This criterion "The values of eye height, eye width, and vertical eye closure are the values obtained with the combination of gDC and gDC2 that produces the minimum value of vertical eye closure where eye height also meets the target value" would fail a signal that passes all 3 criteria on a different Rx setting but fails ESMW at the setting for best VEC. We learnt in previous C2M projects that best vertical and best horizontal opening weren't at the same setting. Editorial: the idea is not to meet a target, it is to meet or exceed a limit. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change to: The values of eye height, eye width, and vertical eye closure are the values obtained with	It isn't reasonable to expect a real receiver to provide a DFE tap strength of -0.85. Therefore, the channel should not be specified as if the receiver can do that. Further, there is an advantage in knowing that the sign of a tap can't change. kasapi_3ck_01_1119 slide 7 shows the first DFE tap >0.42 for the critical channels. Another analysis showed the same for 27 backplane channels. Slide 6 of heck_3ck_01_0919 (107 channels) shows that the DFE taps are 2 and 3 are always strongly positive, and no taps <-0.045, yet the draft would allow such untypical/hypothetica channels. We wanted to check that low loss channels would not do something surprising before adopting sensible limits that don't burden real channels. See new Heck presentation. Remember that channels that go a little outside a tap weight pay a very small increase in				
the combination of gDC and gDC2 that produces the minimum value of vertical eye closure where eye height and ESMW also comply with the limits in the appropriate table. Editorial: ESMW isn't really a measurement, it's a mask. Maybe define ESW as the measurement?	COM for the excess ISI noise that they cause (see another comment), so the limits for the smaller taps should be set a bit tighter than the worst channel we want to pass. Cable channels are smoother than backplane channels but can have higher loss:				
esponse Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Add minimum tap weight limits: Tap 1: min +0.3 Tap 2: min +0.05				
The commenter is requesting to changes to the criteria for finding the measured values of EH, EW, and VEC. First, that the criteria includes ESMW in addition to eye height. Second, that the clarify the intent of the criteria.	All other taps: min -0.03 (tighter than for KR). Turn the existing "Normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limit"s into "Normalized DFE coefficient limit"s. Update definition of COM in 93A.1.				
According to discussions related to the response to comment #231,	Response Response Status C				
there is constroversy over whether the EW/ESMW parameters should be retained. EW or ESMW should not be added to the criteria at this time.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Resolve this comment using the response to comment #123.	Referenced presentation is here: http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun17_20/heck_3ck_adhoc_01_061720.pdf				
	Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.				

	162.11.7	P 161	L 4	# 248	C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 185	L 36	# 250
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	s	Nvidia		
Comment Type	TR Cor	mment Status R		bucket8 CA COM	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		bucket8 CA CO
SuggestedRemedy If there is a sig	dy gnificant improv	qualizer length choice ement with the latest (tail, with 2 or 3 floating	COM, remove po	sited with the new COM.	parabo worst c gave a	lically as the cha hannel we wish n unconstrained	ing the DFE floating tap ta annel exceeds the limit, the to allow to have an effect a RSS_tail of 0.022, but CR it 0.01 lower than that mig	e limit must be set at the right point. (channels should l	a little lower than the OAch4 with COM 2.75 be smoother than
Response REJECT.		ponse Status C	groupe of e tap		which s optimis	seems like a ger stic result anyway	tle effect. However, it see y; this channel may not ne	ms that the latest	COM gives a more
		de eufficient euideree	4h a	a an a du cu dillucia d	Suggested	-			
		de sufficient evidence prce has agreed to pas		emeay will not			ent with the latest COM Al pulse response of the hosts		
C/ 162 SC 1	162.11.7	P 161	L 6	# 249	If the ta		e of the hosts is not all in t	his COM calculati	on, the COM equalizer
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			should differ to the KR one, for the same silicon. If there is a small improvement with the latest COM or the tail pulse response of				
Comment Type		mment Status R		bucket8 CA COM					y.
clipped at +/-0 than +/-0.05 fo	0.05 - which mea or these taps. T	have its COM calculat ans that the channel's 'hat's a very bad chann y to cope with it.	pulse response	could be a little worse		ns 13-24.	Response Status C		
receiver power	er and complexit					. -			
					REJEC	CT.			
SuggestedRemedy	dy	f-squares limit for posit	tions 13-24.				e the determinations in the	e suggested reme	dy are not available.
SuggestedRemedy Use another D	dy DFE root-sum-of		tions 13-24.		The sir There i	nulations to mak s no consensus	te the determinations in the to implement the suggesters building is required.		
SuggestedRemedy Use another D Response REJECT.	dy DFE root-sum-of Res _i	f-squares limit for posit		nent. Sufficient	The sir There i	nulations to mak s no consensus	to implement the suggeste us building is required.		
SuggestedRemedy Use another D Response REJECT. The suggested evidence has r	dy DFE root-sum-of Resj ed remedy does not been provid	f-squares limit for posit ponse Status C not provide clear inforr led to justify the propos	nation to implen		The sir There i eviden	nulations to mak s no consensus ce and consensu SC 162.11.7.	to implement the suggeste us building is required.	ed remedy at this t	ime. More empirical
SuggestedRemedy Use another D Response REJECT. The suggested evidence has r	dy DFE root-sum-of <i>Res</i> j ed remedy does	f-squares limit for posit ponse Status C not provide clear inforr led to justify the propos	nation to implen		The sir There i eviden	nulations to mak s no consensus ce and consensu SC 162.11.7. s	to implement the suggesters building is required.	ed remedy at this t	time. More empirical # 251
SuggestedRemedy Use another D Response REJECT. The suggested evidence has r	dy DFE root-sum-of Resj ed remedy does not been provid	f-squares limit for posit ponse Status C not provide clear inforr led to justify the propos	nation to implen		The sir There is eviden <i>Cl</i> 162 Dawe, Pier <i>Comment</i> In the swill be	nulations to mak s no consensus ce and consensu SC 162.11.7. s Type ER standards world, a specification o	to implement the suggeste us building is required. 2 P163 Nvidia	ed remedy at this t <i>L</i> 32 SFP112, and I am ations are publishe	time. More empirical # 251 bucket7 CR Mi not aware that there ed by the SFF
SuggestedRemedy Use another D Response REJECT. The suggested evidence has r	dy DFE root-sum-of Resj ed remedy does not been provid	f-squares limit for posit ponse Status C not provide clear inforr led to justify the propos	nation to implen		The sir There is eviden <i>Cl</i> 162 Dawe, Pier <i>Comment</i> In the swill be	nulations to mak s no consensus ce and consensu SC 162.11.7. s <i>Type</i> ER standards world, a specification o ittee (now part o	to implement the suggeste us building is required. 2 P 163 Nvidia Comment Status A there is no such thing as S f that name. SFP specific	ed remedy at this t <i>L</i> 32 SFP112, and I am ations are publishe	time. More empirical # 251 bucket7 CR M not aware that there ed by the SFF
SuggestedRemedy Use another D Response REJECT. The suggested evidence has r	dy DFE root-sum-of Resj ed remedy does not been provid	f-squares limit for posit ponse Status C not provide clear inforr led to justify the propos	nation to implen		The sir There is eviden Cl 162 Dawe, Pier Comment In the s will be Comm Suggested Chang	nulations to mak s no consensus ce and consensu SC 162.11.7. s <i>Type</i> ER standards world, a specification o ittee (now part o <i>Remedy</i> e to "SFP28" wh	to implement the suggeste us building is required. 2 P 163 Nvidia Comment Status A there is no such thing as S f that name. SFP specific	Ed remedy at this t <i>L</i> 32 SFP112, and I am ations are published dependent of operative ut the indication o	time. More empirical # 251 bucket7 CR Mi not aware that there ed by the SFF ating speed. f a slower signalling
SuggestedRemedy Use another D Response REJECT. The suggested evidence has r	dy DFE root-sum-of Resj ed remedy does not been provid	f-squares limit for posit ponse Status C not provide clear inforr led to justify the propos	nation to implen		The sir There is evident Cl 162 Dawe, Pier Comment In the s will be Comm Suggested Chang rate in Response	nulations to mak s no consensus ce and consensu SC 162.11.7. s <i>Type</i> ER standards world, a specification o ittee (now part o <i>Remedy</i> e to "SFP28" wh	to implement the suggest is building is required. 2 P 163 Nvidia Comment Status A there is no such thing as S f that name. SFP specific f SNIA), and are mostly ind ich is what 802.3cd uses b ause confusion, or "SFP+" Response Status C	Ed remedy at this t <i>L</i> 32 SFP112, and I am ations are published dependent of operative ut the indication o	time. More empirical # 251 bucket7 CR Mi not aware that there ed by the SFF ating speed. f a slower signalling
SuggestedRemedy Use another D Response REJECT. The suggested evidence has r	dy DFE root-sum-of Resj ed remedy does not been provid	f-squares limit for posit ponse Status C not provide clear inforr led to justify the propos	nation to implen		The sir There is evident Cl 162 Dawe, Pier Comment In the s will be Comm Suggested Chang rate in Response ACCER	nulations to mak s no consensus ce and consensus SC 162.11.7. s Type ER standards world, a specification o ittee (now part o Remedy e to "SFP28" wh the name may c PT IN PRINCIPL	to implement the suggest is building is required. 2 P 163 Nvidia Comment Status A there is no such thing as S f that name. SFP specific f SNIA), and are mostly ind ich is what 802.3cd uses b ause confusion, or "SFP+" Response Status C	Ed remedy at this t <i>L</i> 32 SFP112, and I am ations are published dependent of operative ut the indication o	time. More empirical # 251 bucket7 CR M not aware that there ed by the SFF ating speed. f a slower signalling

C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.2	P 163	L 32	# 252	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	.1.1	P 150	L 15	# 255
Dawe, Pier	-	Nvidia	- 02		Dawe, Pier			Nvidia	- 10	
Comment T	<i>Type</i> ER 2-DD is not its cor	Comment Status A		bucket7 CR MDI	<i>Comment</i> Back in equaliz	<i>Type</i> T n Clause 85, th zer length Nw i	e DFE has 1 s 7. So the S	nt Status R 4 taps (Nb), the li SNDR measureme	ent doesn't forgive	<i>Tx electrica</i> ofth Np is 8 and the e reflections in the DFE with up to 40 UI,
Change	e to SFP-DD (as i	n subclause 1.3) throughou	t the document.					ill use Nw of 7 fro		
Response		Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy				
	PT IN PRINCIPLE					neant to be Nv er if 200 (for so		ar too long.		
Resolv	e using the respo	nse to comment #232.			Response		Respons	e Status C		
C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.2	P 163	L 32	# 253	REJEC	CT.				
Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia			Per dis	cussion, Nv is	not the same	e as Nw.		
will be	standards world, that specification of	Comment Status A nere is no such thing as QS that name. QSFP specifica	ations are publish	ned by the SFF		is general agre		ne value for Nv m	ust be properly de	efined, but there is no
Commi Suggested		SNIA), and are mostly inde	pendent of opera	iting speed.	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	.1.3	P 151	L 21	# 256
00		ich is what 802.3cd uses b	ut the indication	of a slower signalling	Dawe, Pier		0	Nvidia		
	the name may ca est SFF-8679.	use confusion, or "QSFP+"	which is more g	eneric and in line with	Comment			nt Status A	it it may be mapp	bucke
Response		Response Status C			registe	r, but those re	gisters follow	the hardware, the		The reader doesn't
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE				Suggested	Remedy				
Resolv	e using the respo	nse to comment #232.			Explair	n what it is, wit	h appropriate	references to 16	2.8.11 and 136.8.	11.something.
C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.2	P 163	L 32	# 254	Response		Respons	e Status C		
Dawe. Pier	s	Nvidia			ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	PLE.			
Comment T QSFP1	Type ER 12-DD is not its c	Comment Status A orrect name		bucket7 CR MDI	Add a	reference to 13	36.8.11.7.1 wi	ith editorial licens	se.	
	e to QSFP-DD and	d/or QSFP-DD800 (as in sun sun a sun sun a sun sun a sun sun a								
Response	PT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C								
Resolv	e using the respo	nse to comment #232.								

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.1.3	P 151	L 30	# 257	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.	3.4	P 155	L 47	# 259
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	s		Nvidia		
Comment	Type T Comn	nent Status A		Tx electrical	Comment	Туре т	Comment	Status A		bucke
adds a swing better Suggested Reduc anothe may n Also, i 800 m	ee c(0) in one or both of O er row for the traditional ne ever be useful in practice, n 162.9.4.3.4, reduce the V peak-to-peak differentia	ouring links, before d it may stress the n swing, and the re JT_OF_SYNC and sutral at max setting maybe we should starting amplitude	this link has est linearity of the re- ceiver ask to turn NEW_IC preset g used for testing avoid that. for the training pl	ablished that the high eceiver. It would be n it up if it wishes. 1. If necessary, create g - but as it seems that	have u freque Notice that fo is less PAM2 Suggested	Innatural test pancy signals suc that 163.9.2.3 f r any transmitte than or equal to anyway.	atterns, but the h as PRBS13(has a different r equalizer set o 800 mV." 93	ere are suitable Q. definition: "The ting the differer 3.8.1.3 doesn't o econcile 163.9.2	sequences in the e test transmitter i ntial peak-to-peak define a pattern o	0-3 pattern": we don't usual mixed- is constrained such voltage (see 93.8.1.3) r sequence and is for
Simila Response	rly in 163 as appropriate.	nse Status C			ACCE	PT.				
	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				C/ 162A	SC 162A.5		P 245	L 26	# 260
Resolv	ve using the response to c	omments #103 and	d #104.		Dawe, Pier	S		Nvidia		
C/ 162 Dawe, Pie	SC 162.9.3.1.5	<i>P</i> 152 Nvidia	L 3	# 258		help the reade	r understand t		of some loss iter Compare Figure S	<i>bucke</i> ns in this figure by 92A-2.
Comment	Type T Comn	nent Status A		bucket	Suggested	Remedy				
	seem to be rules here to e d ranges, but not for c(0).	ensure that c(-3), c	(-2), c(-1) and c(1) can be moved over	Align T	e move the mate	d of the MCB.	to the left to:		
Suggested	dRemedy				0	P2 and the end				
out of Write it in in	is the intention? What sho bounds? down whatever information Table 162-9 and cross-re clause 163 consistent wi	n is missing in Tabler rerence it from this	e 162-9 and here		Response ACCE	PT.	Response	Status C		
Response	Respo	nse Status C								
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.									
Resolv	ve using the response to c	omment #144.								

C/ 163	SC 163.1	0 P 185	L 27	# 261
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket5 dfe
Theref is an a	ore, the char dvantage in	o expect a real receiver to p nel should not be specified knowing that the sign of a ta 19 slide 7 shows the first DF	as if the receiver p can't change.	can do that. Further, there

Another analysis showed the same for 27 backplane channels. Slide 6 of heck_3ck_01_0919 (107 channels) shows that the DFE taps are 2 and 3 are always strongly positive, and no taps <-0.045, yet the draft would allow such untypical/hypothetical channels.

We wanted to check that low loss channels would not do something surprising before adopting sensible limits that don't burden real channels: see new Heck presentation. Remember that channels that go a little outside a tap weight pay a very small increase in COM for the excess ISI noise that they cause (see another comment), so the limits for the smaller taps should be set a bit tighter than the worst channel we want to pass.

SuggestedRemedy

Add minimum tap weight limits: Tap 1: min +0.3 Tap 2: min +0.05 All other taps: min -0.03 (looser than for CR). Turn the existing "Normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limit"s into "Normalized DFE coefficient limit"s. Update definition of COM in 93A.1.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

An analysis has been presented in ad hoc: http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun17_20/heck_3ck_adhoc_01_061720.pdf

Note that comment #247 against Clause 162 with a similar comment text and suggested remedy was accepted and closed.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 163	SC 163.10	P 185	L 33	# 262
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status R		COM parameter

The analysis that led to the equalizer length choice needs to be revisited with the new COM.

SuggestedRemedy

If there is a significant improvement with the latest COM, remove positions 25-40 and define positions 13-24 as the tail, with 2 or 3 floating groups of 3 taps and an RSS limit.

nse Status C
00

REJECT

This comment does not provide sufficient evidence the suggested remedy will not disqualify channels the task force has agreed to pass.

C/ 163	SC 163.10	P 185	L 34	# 263
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		COM parameter

The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 clipped at +/-0.05 - which means that the channel's pulse response could be a little worse than +/-0.05 for these taps. That's a very bad channel! We don't need to provide all the receiver power and complexity to cope with it.

SuggestedRemedy

Use another DFE root-sum-of-squares limit for positions 13-24.

Response Response Status C

REJECT

The suggested remedy does not provide clear information to implement. Sufficient evidence has not been provided to justify the proposed change. More empirical evidence and consensus building is required.

C/ 163	SC 163.10	P 185	L 36	# 264
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		COM parameter

As the effect of exceeding the DFE floating tap tail root-sum-of-squares limit increases parabolically as the channel exceeds the limit, the limit must be set a little lower than the worst channel we wish to allow to have an effect at the right point. OAch4 with COM 2.75 gave an unconstrained RSS_tail of 0.022. Setting the limit 0.01 lower than that might affect its COM by 0.1 dB (vs. no limit) which seems like a gentle effect. However, it seems that the latest COM gives a more optimistic result anyway; this channel may not need the tail taps at all.

SuggestedRemedy

If there is no improvement with the latest COM, change the DFE floating tap tail root-sumof-squares limit to 0.012.

If there is a small improvement with the latest COM, further reduce the limit accordingly. If there is a significant improvement with the latest COM, remove taps 25-40 and apply a tail tap RSS limit to positions 13-24.

Response Response Status C

REJECT

The simulations to make the determinations in the suggested remedy are not available.

There is no consensus to implement the suggested remedy at this time. More empirical evidence and consensus building is required.

C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.2.4	P 198	L 53	# 265
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		COM parameter

Typos in 93A. Eq 93A–16a has S(rp) on both sides. S(l2) has appeared from nowhere. Table 93A-1, COM parameters, says "See 93A.1.2" for zp2 yet it's not here.

SuggestedRemedy

Should the rp on the right be rd?

Explain what zp2 represents. Maybe modify 93A.1.2.3 to say that S(l2) is derived from zp2 in the same way that S(l) is derived from zp. (z is a bad choice for a length anyway, it looks too much like an impedance.)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 162	SC 162.7	P 142	L 45	# 11007
Marris, Arthu	r	Cadence Desi	gn Systems	
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		withdrawn

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. 162.7, P137, L6]

Many of the control and status variables in Tables 162-5 and 162-6 are not described or referenced in Clause 162.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove rows from Table 162-5 and 162-6 that refer to variables that are not mentioned in Clause 162

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 163	SC	163.9.2.4	P 183	L 23	# 11033
Ben Artsi, Lia	av		Marvell		
Comment Ty	/pe	т	Comment Status A		jitter tolerance [CC]
[Comme	ent res	submitted f	rom Draft 1.1. 163.9.2.4, P1	80, L47]	

Reciever jitter tolerance test is specified at specific frequency points with no specified extrapolation between frequency points. More specifically, 5UI at 40KHz, 0.15UI at 1.33MHz 0.05UI at 4-40MHz. Tx is measured when applying high pass filter on the jitter filtering out much of the low frequency jitter of a transmitter. A transmitter may still comply with the TX specifications and have much more than 0.15UI of jitter at frequecies which reside around a few handers of Hz. Since there is no Rx jitter tolerance requirement at these frequencies: A transmitter may have relatively high jitter at low frequencies and still be compliant. The Rx may not be able to tolerate this jitter while being compliant as well. The interoperability between these specified Tx and Rx is questionable.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a sentence that the reciever is expected to meet any frequency point between the specified in table 163-9 while jitter tolerance requirement is linearly extrapolated between any consecutive specified frequency points.

Response	Response Status	С
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE		

Resolve using the response to comment #146.

C/ 120F	SC 120F.4.4	P 213	L 47	# 11034
Ben Artsi, I	_iav	Marvell		
Comment	Туре т	Comment Status D		withdrawr
[Comm	nent resubmitted fr	om Draft 1.1. 120F.1, P20	1, L49]	
	oplications dictate	external DC blocking cap	even in cases wh	en the Rx is capable of
Suggested	Remedy			
		o the 802.3bj: Should the o sibility of implementors to		
commo	on-mode and char	nel specifications required of transmitter and receive	l for interoperabil	
commo	on-mode and char on the verification	nel specifications required	l for interoperabil	
commo impact	on-mode and char on the verification Response	nel specifications required of transmitter and receive	l for interoperabil	
commo impact Proposed I REJEC	on-mode and char on the verification Response CT.	nel specifications required of transmitter and receive	l for interoperabil er compliance.	
commo impact Proposed I REJEC	on-mode and char on the verification Response CT.	nel specifications required of transmitter and receive <i>Response Status</i> Z	l for interoperabil er compliance.	
commo impact Proposed I REJEC This co	on-mode and char on the verification Response CT. omment was WITH SC 120F.3.2.4	Inel specifications required of transmitter and receive <i>Response Status</i> Z IDRAWN by the comment	l for interopérabil er compliance. er.	ity as well as any

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. SC120F.3.2.4, P207, L22]

Reciever jitter tolerance test is specified at specific frequency points with no specified extrapolation between frequency points. More specifically, 5UI at 40KHz, 0.15UI at 1.33MHz 0.05UI at 4-40MHz. Tx is measured when applying high pass filter on the jitter filtering out much of the low frequency jitter of a transmitter. A transmitter may still comply with the TX specifications and have much more than 0.15UI of jitter at frequecies which reside around a few handers of Hz. Since there is no Rx jitter tolerance requirement at these frequencies: A transmitter may have relatively high jitter at low frequencies and still be compliant. The Rx may not be able to tolerate this jitter while being compliant as well. The interoperability between these specified Tx and Rx is questionable.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a sentence that the reciever is expected to meet any frequency point between the specified in table 163-9 while jitter tolerance requirement is linearly extrapolated between any consecutive specified frequency points.

С

esponse Status
6

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Resolve using the response to comment #146.

C/ 162	SC	162.9.4.3	P 154	L 3	# 11037
Ben Artsi, Li	iav		Marvell		
Comment T	ype	т	Comment Status D		withdrawn
[Comme	ent res	submitted fr	om Draft 1.1. 162.9.4.3, F	P152, L38]	

Receiver characteristics lacks the definition of capability to tollerate common mode noise at the reciever input

SuggestedRemedy

Add the required capability of Rx common mode broadband noise tolerance and set it at TBD at least for now

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 163	SC	163.9.2	P 180	L 50	# 11038
Ben Artsi,	Liav		Marvell		
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		withdrawn
[Comr	ment re	submitted	from Draft 1.1. 163.9.2, P178,	L45]	

Receiver characteristics lacks the definition of capability to tollerate common mode noise at the reciever input

SuggestedRemedy

Add the required capability of Rx common mode broadband noise tolerance and set it at TBD at least for now

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 163	SC 163.10	P 184	L1	# 11039	C/ 120F	SC 120F.1	P 204	L 22	# 11059
Ben Artsi		Marvell	- 1	" 11000	Ran, Adee		Intel		11000
Commen		Comment Status A		channel RLDC	Comment T	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Status A		
[Corr	nment resubmitte	d from Draft 1.1. 163.10, P18	31, L26]		[Comm	ent resubmitte	ed from Draft 1.1. 120F.1, P202	2, L31]	
	rential to commor nel characteristic	n mode conversion loss is no s	t defined for a T	P0 to TP5 interconnect			ransmitter equalization feedba	ck mechanism c	described in 120D.3.2.3
Suggeste	edRemedy				As pres	ented in ran	3ck_adhoc_02_021920, that m	echanism suppo	orts the equalizer that
		ential to common mode conv capability defined in 162.11.5			was spe	ecified in the c	riginal CAUI-4 C2M (Annex 83 The PAM4 AUIs defined in 80	D), which has o	nly 3 taps with 5%
Respons	е	Response Status C			802.3cc	d have kept th	is structure. However, we now	have a 5-tap eq	ualizer with a finer
ACC	EPT IN PRINCIP	LE.					e-cursor tap c(-3) is removed a E in Annex 83D.	s suggested in 1	20F.3.1.4 it would not
Add	differential to corr	nmon mode conversion loss	of TP0 to TP5 wi	th the specification TBD.	defined	. Possible sol	nis method for 100GAUI-1 is in utions include a training protoc as and registers, or combinatio	ol as in the PMD	control function, new
					Suggested	Remedy			
					A prese	entation with p	ossible solutions is planned.		
					Response		Response Status C		
					ACCEF	PT IN PRINCI	PLE.		
							ation was reviewed by the tash org/3/ck/public/20_07/ran_3ck		
					correcti - on slic - on slic	ons: de 7 refer to ta de 7 refer to ta	sal in ran_3ck_02b_0720 with ble 120F-#2 instead of 120F-# ble 120F-#2 instead of 120F-2 ble 120F-#1 instead of 120F-2	1 a	with the following

Ran, Adee Comment Type T Com		L 43	# 11060	C/ 120F	SC 120F.3	3.1	P 205	L 20	# 11070
Comment Type T Com	Intel			Mellitz, Rich	ard	:	Samtec		
	ment Status A		bucket3	Comment T	vpe TR	Comment S	atus D		TX vfmin
[Comment resubmitted from D	raft 1.1. 120G.3.2, P2	224, L37]		[Comme	nt resubmit	ted from Draft 1.1.	120F.3.1, P20	03, L30]	
Signal swing and Tx equalization linear range. A large swing at t	he host input may pre	event linear opera	ation and detection of	C2C, KF in table		evices may be the	same ports or	n chips. Align A	v, Afe, and Ane with Vf
PAM4. Attenuation has been u implement with the large bandy			ning harder to	SuggestedR	emedy				
implement with the large band	nath requirements re	. 1000		Replace	with Vfmin=	=0.413			
The current module output spe ISI (only implicitly through far-e defined with a single channel),	and eye height and fa and do not mention a	ar-end precursor l any control of the	SI ratio, which are Tx setting. With the	Proposed R REJEC	•	Response St	atus Z		
large range of C2M host chann hosts.	els, it is unlikely that	a fixed Tx setting	g will be usable for all	This cor	nment was \	WITHDRAWN by t	he commente	r.	
Actual modules even in 50G ha	ave some control of 6	equalization and s	swing. There are	C/ 120F	SC 120F.3	3.2.3	P 208	L 54	# 11078
indications that this control is re	equired for actual ope	eration.	-	Healey, Ada	m	I	Broadcom Inc.		
If we ignore this capability in th	e specifications som	ne hosts may not	he able to operate	Comment T	vpe T	Comment S	atus A		
with the settings used for module specs are useless and measure	ule output compliance	e; this means the		[Comme	nt resubmit	ted from Draft 1.1.	120F.3.2.3, P	206, L48]	
specs are useless and measur	ing mennis a waste t	Ji time.		l believe	the intent is	s for the return loss	of the test se	tup to have "tes	st fixture" grade
	The standard should at least mention the module's Tx control capabilities (with reference to		performance.						
external documents) and prefe variables and control registers.				SuggestedR	emedy				
capabilities.		the TX specificat		In item I loss limi		Equation (TBD)" to	"Equation (16	63-2)" (Test fixtu	ure reference return
SuggestedRemedy				Response	.).	Response St	atus C		
A presentation is planned with	further details.								
Response Resp	onse Status C			AUGEI					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						poses using ERL ir roposes using DRI		(KR test fixture	specification).
The following presentation was http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/pu						agreeement that th order to ensure rep			sentation of test
	ent #175 adopts two		ransmitter) settings,				ext with "the re	turn loss specif	fications in 163.9.1.2".
The closed response to commo which addresses the configura		itput.		Impieme	ent with ealto	orial license.			

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.129	P 52	L 50	# 11082	C/ 120G SC 120G.	3.2 P 224	L 46	# 11098
Healey, Adam	Broadcom Inc.			Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quan	itum/Inphi	
Comment Type T Comm [Comment resubmitted from Dra	<i>ment Status</i> A aft 1.1. 45.2.1.129, P	50, L50]		Comment Type TR [Comment resubmit	Comment Status D ted from Draft 1.1. 120G.3.2, P	2224, L46]	withdrawn
Chip-to-chip transmitter equaliz general for 100/200/400GAUI-n on a trajectory to have different	but 100GAUI-1, 200	GAUI-2, and 40	0GAUI-4 appear to be	Near-end eye heigh <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Replae TBD with 50	t is TBD mV see ghiasi <u>3ck_01_032</u> 0		
SuggestedRemedy The correct amendment to 45.2 registers are specific to 100GAU the Annex 120F taps counts, co this point it seems likely a differ	UI-n (n > 1), 200GAU pefficient step sizes, a	I-n (n > 2) and and control sche	400GAUI-n (n > 4) until eme are finalized. At	Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z	ter.	
controls. Response Respo	onse Status C			C/ 120G SC 120G.	3.2 P 224	L 47	# 11099
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quan	itum/Inphi	
Resolve using the response to a	comment #11059.			Comment Type TR [Comment resubmit	Comment Status D ted from Draft 1.1. 120G.3.2, P	224, L47]	withdrawn
[Comment resubmitted from Dra Near end ESMW is TBD SuggestedRemedy		24, L44]	# 11097 withdrawn	Proposed Response REJECT.	BD .175 UI see ghiasi_3ck_01_03 <i>Response Status</i> Z WITHDRAWN by the comment		
Replace TBD with 0.175 UI see Proposed Response Response REJECT.	onse Status Z	1		C/ 120G SC 120G . Ghiasi, Ali	3.2 <i>P</i> 224 Ghiasi Quan	L 48 itum/Inphi	# 11100
This comment was WITHDRAV	VN by the commente	:		Comment Type TR [Comment resubmit	Comment Status D ted from Draft 1.1. 120G.3.2, P	224, L44]	withdrawn
				Far-end eye height i SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with 2 Proposed Response REJECT.	s TBD 0 mV see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320 <i>Response Status</i> Z)	
				This comment was	WITHDRAWN by the comment	ter.	

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2 P 227 L 45	# 11101	CI 120G SC 120G.3.4.1 P 230 L 34	# 11104
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	withdrawn	Comment Type TR Comment Status D	withdrawn
[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. 120G.3.3.2, P227, L15]		[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. 120G.3.4.1, P229, L40]	
Farend ESMW is TBD		ESMW is TBD	
SuggestedRemedy		SuggestedRemedy	
Replace TBD with 0.175 UI see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320		Replace TBD with 0.12 UI see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320	
Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.		Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.		This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2 P 227 L 46	# 11102	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1 P 230 L 38	# 11105
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	withdrawn	Comment Type TR Comment Status D	withdrawi
[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. 120G.3.3.2, P227, L16]		[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. 120G.3.4.1, P229, L46]	
Farend EW is TBD		Eye height is TBD	
SuggestedRemedy		SuggestedRemedy	
Replace TBD with 0.175 UI see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320		Replae TBD with 15 mV see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320	
Proposed Response Response Status Z		Proposed Response Response Status Z	
REJECT.		REJECT.	
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.		This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2 P 227 L 49	# 11103	CI 120G SC 120G.3.4.1 P 230 L 38	# 11106
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	withdrawn	Comment Type TR Comment Status D	withdrawr
[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. 120G.3.3.2, P227, L19]		[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. 120G.3.4.1, P229, L44]	
Far-end eye height is TBD		Eye width is TBD	
SuggestedRemedy		SuggestedRemedy	
Replace TBD with 20 mV see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320		Replace TBD with 0.12 UI see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320	
Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.		Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.	
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.		This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.2 P 222 L 2 # 11119
C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 P 235 L 1 # 11116	
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
	Irawn Comment Type TR Comment Status D withdraw
[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. 120G.4.2, P232, L9]	[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. 120G.3.1.2, P222, L2]
TP4 need its own reference receiver table	RLCD return loss can be improved
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Create a new table that references table of gDC/gDC2 for TP4. In the new table DFE normalized coefficient b1max=0.15, b[2-4]max=0.05 and n0=8.37e-9	RLCD=30-30*f/25.78 dB, from 10 MHz to 12.89 GHz RLCD=15 dB 12.89 to 53 GHz See ghiasi_3ck_03_0320
Proposed Response Response Status Z	Proposed Response Response Status Z
REJECT.	REJECT.
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	REJECT.
·	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 P 235 L 1 # 11117	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4 P 230 L 9 # 11124
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D without without the comment Status D without the status D without the status of t	
[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. 120G.4.2, P232, L9]	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
TP5 need its own reference receiver table	[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.1. 120G.3.4, P229, L15]
SuggestedRemedy	RLCD return loss can be improved
Create a new table that references table of gDC/gDC2 for TP4. In the new table	SuggestedRemedy
DFE normalized coefficent b1max=0.3, b[2-4]max=0.08 and n0=8.37e-9	RLCD=30-30*f/25.78 dB, from 10 MHz to 12.89 GHz
Proposed Response Response Status Z	RLCD=15 dB 12.89 to 53 GHz See ghiasi_3ck_03_0320
REJECT.	Proposed Response Response Status Z
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	REJECT.
	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 224	L 52	# 11125	C/ 120F SC 12	20F.3.1	P 205	L 23	# 11144
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantur	n/Inphi		Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		withdrawn	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		
[Comment resubmitted f	rom Draft 1.1. 120G.3.2, P22	4, L52]		[Comment result	bmitted fr	rom Draft 1.1. 120F.3.1, P2	03, L32]	
RLCD return loss can be	improved					only minor value for "28 dB" nannels, yet it adds comple:		
SuggestedRemedy						d be done with simpler silic		rana ine taning. Thio
RLCD=30-30*f/25.78 dB RLCD=15 dB 12.89 to 5	, from 10 MHz to 12.89 GHz			SuggestedRemedy				
See ghiasi_3ck_03_032				Remove the thir	rd precurs	sor.		
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			Response		Response Status C		
REJECT.				REJECT				
This comment was WITI	HDRAWN by the commenter.			The comment do	loes not p	provide sufficient evidence t	o support the ch	nange.
C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2	P 235	L 48	# 11142			on shows an improvement o	lue to c(-3) of 0.	1 to 0.8 dB in COM for
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			channels with C	COM near	3 dB.		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		Scope noise	Http://www.ieee8	802.org/3	3/ck/public/adhoc/mar04_20)/sun_3ck_adho	oc_01_030420.pdf
[Comment resubmitted f	rom Draft 1.1. 120G.4.2, P23	2, L39]		Removing the c	(-3) would	d result in marginal channe	ls failing	
Should account for scop	e noise as TDECQ does.				、 ,	5	0	
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 120F SC 12	20F.3.1	P 205	L 27	# 11151
Allow RSSing out the sc	ope noise (as done in TDECO	ם) if it's significant.		Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Proposed Response	Response Status Z				T	Comment Status A		buc
REJECT.				[Comment result	bmitted fr	rom Draft 1.1. 120F.3.1, P2	03, L38]	
This comment was WITI	HDRAWN by the commenter.					5 which updates the linear f to chip as well as backpla		measuring SNDR
				SuggestedRemedy				
				Add the same for	ootnote to	the SNDR row in Table 12	20F-1.	
				Response		Response Status C		
				Response ACCEPT IN PR		•		

C/ 120F SC 120F.3.2.3	P 209	L 9	# 11156	C/ 162 So	C 162.9.4.5	P 157	L 11	# 11163
i, Mike	Intel			Palkert, Tom		Molex		
Comment Type TR [Comment resubmitted f	Comment Status R rom Draft 1.1. 120F.3.2.3, F	207, L5]		Comment Type [Comment		Comment Status D om Draft 1.1. 162.9.4.5, P1	56, L14]	withdrawn
Np TBD				ERL measu	rement shoul	d not be required for high v	alues of COM	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRem	edy			
Change it to 18 (length c	of TX pre-taps + RX DFE tap	os+main tap)		Add senten	ce 'If COM is	greater than 4 dB the ERL	limit does not app	bly
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Proposed Resp REJECT.	onse	Response Status Z		
There is no consensus te	o implement the suggested	remedy at this	time.	This commo	ent was WITH	IDRAWN by the commente	r.	
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7	P 161	L 14	# 11161	C/ 162 SC	C 162.5	P 140	L 18	# 11164
Palkert, Tom	Molex			Palkert, Tom		Molex		
Comment Type T [Comment resubmitted f	Comment Status A rom Draft 1.1. 162.11.7, P1	60, L27]	bucket5 eta0	Comment Type [Comment		Comment Status R om Draft 1.1. 162.5, P135,	L18]	Medium delay
	I density for passive copper r causing adverse impacts o			SuggestedRem	edy	um of 14ns is insufficient fo	or DAC delay time	95.
,	e spectral density from to 1	10-8 to 1x10-9	(Supporting	-	ue back to 20	ns		
presentation)			(oupporting	Response		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			REJECT				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE					enter is encou	raged to provide more in de	epth analysis to s	upport the proposed
Resolve using the respo	onse to comment #69.			remedy.				
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7	P 160	L 42	# 11162					
Palkert, Tom	Molex							
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		bucket5 CA					
[Comment resubmitted f	rom Draft 1.1. 162.11.7, P1	60, L6]						
Need value for SNRtx								
SuggestedRemedy								
Make SNRtx = 33dB (Se	e supporting presentation)							
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C							
Resolve using the respon	nse to comment #37.							
			d T/technical E/editorial G/g			Comme	ent ID 11164	Page 79 of 79

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID