C/ 162	SC 162	8.11		P 144	L 16	# 1	C/ 120F	SC ·	120F.3.2.3	P 213	L 31	# 2	
_usted, Ke	nt		Ir	tel Corporatio	n		Mellitz, Ric	hard		Samtec			
Comment	Туре Т	ł	Comment Sta	atus <b>D</b>		PMD control	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D			RITT
defined	d and spec	fied in (	CI 136.8.11.			n (i.e. link training) was				be difficult to achieve wit e between 0.03 V and 0.0			2C
						col to support link ation (i.e. for the	Suggested	Remed	y .				
custon	ner use cas	e of "fo	rced PHY spee	ed" on the link)					epresent de test setups	esign expectation set DFE 3.	4_RSS to 0.03 \	which would be	
autono	mously rec	over fro		eaking frame	lock during linl	-7) does not < training (Note: t used.) Unless a high-	Proposed PROP			Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			
level m link do reason	hanagemer wn (i.e. link h is that the	t agent never signals	(i.e. SW or FV comes up) or a local_tf_lock a	<ul> <li>V) detects the link oscillation and remote_tf</li> </ul>	condition, the n (up/down/up, _lock are only	result could be either a /down/etc). One checked moving from			e suggeste discussio				
						re is no clear indication AN73 present). There	C/ 162	SC ·	162.9.3	P <b>146</b>	L 27	# 3	
			, not listed her		,	,	Mellitz, Ric	hard		Samtec			
							Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		E	RL value
	e the PMD			to account for	this situation.	Some solutions		RL rang Host de		en 7.3 dB and 18.8 for pu	blished channels	that representati	ve of
				ner to exceed	that of the ma	x_wait_timer (>= 12	Suggested Set Ef			in Table 16210			
achiev	ed				status after the	e initial frame lock is	Proposed			Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			
- imple	ment an al	ort sigr	aling mechani	sm			FROF	USED /	ACCEPTI				
See pr	esentation	to be si	ubmitted for TF	consideration	ı.					s incomplete specification	.]		
Proposed I	Response		Response Sta	tus W			Taski	orce rev	/iew.				
PROP	OSED ACC	EPT IN	I PRINCIPLE.				C/ 162	SC <sup>·</sup>	162.9.4	P 151	L <b>44</b>	# 4	
Implen	nent suaae	sted rer	nedy pending	task force revi	w of the cited	nresentation	Mellitz, Ric	hard		Samtec			
Implen	ioni suggo		neay penaing				Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		E	RL value
								RL rang Host de		en 7.3 dB and 18.8 for pu	blished channel t	hat representativ	e of
							Suggested	Remed	<i>y</i>				
							Set EF	RL (min)	) to 7.3 dB	in Table 16213			
							Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status W			
							PROP	OSED I	REJECT.				
							Resolv	ve using	the respo	nse to comment #142.			

Comment ID 4

01.400	CC 400 0 0	D.170	1 50	# [=]	01.400	00 400 0	•	D 400	1.00	# 0
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 176	L <b>50</b>	# 5	C/ 163	SC 163.9	3	<i>P</i> 180	L <b>26</b>	# 8
Mellitz, Rich		Samtec			Mellitz, Ric		0	Samtec		
Comment T	51	Comment Status D		terminology	Comment	,,		mment Status D		ERL value
		_peak/V_f not V_peak. I.e. pul	se peak loss			nitter ones.	why the re	eceive ERL specification	on snoula de alfre	erent from the
SuggestedF	2				Suggested	IRemedy				
Change Differen		easured and reference linear f	it pulse peak			-	ter speci	fication for DERL		
То					Proposed	Response	Res	ponse Status W		
		easured and reference linear f	it pulse peak los	ss (min) d(V_peak/V_f)	PROP	OSED ACCE				
Proposed R	,	Response Status W			0					
PROPC	DSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.						n then specify RX as d		ogy with the transmitter. Imitter with and an
		gse to comment #13			approp	pirate value.	•			
Editors	s note: CC: 163	3, 120F]				vise, leave sp orce discussi		n as is, but specify a v	alue.	
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.2	2 <i>P</i> 178	L <b>29</b>	# 6				D.1.0.1		
Mellitz, Rich	hard	Samtec			C/ 163	SC 163.9	3.2	<i>P</i> 181	L <b>1</b>	# 9
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		example TF	Mellitz, Ric		0	Samtec		
TP0a is	moot and repl	aced by TP0v			Comment	,,		mment Status D	:fination ob suid b	RX test fixtur
SuggestedF	Remedy					nitter one.	why the f	eceive test fixture spec	incation should t	
remove	references to	TP0a.			Suggested	IRemedy				
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W			••	•	ter speci	fication for test fixture		
PROPC	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed	Response	Res	ponse Status W		
Respolv	ve using the rea	sponse to comment #73.			PROP	OSED ACCE	PT IN PF	NINCIPLE.		
C/ 163	SC 163.9.3	P 180	L 17	# 7	Resolv	ve using the r	esponse t	o comment #40.		
Mellitz, Rich	hard	Samtec								
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		TP5v						
TP5a is	moot and repl	aced by TP5v								
SuggestedF remove		TP5a and replace with TP5v.								
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W								
PROPC	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.								
Resolve	e using the resi	conse to comment #40.								
	- '									

C/ 163 SC 163.10.3	P 186	L <b>41</b>	# 10	C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1 P 208 L 20 # 13	
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec			Mellitz, Richard Samtec	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		ERL value	Comment Type TR Comment Status D	vpeal
	en 9.7 dB and 23.5 dB for p	ublished channe	el that representative of	We need to specify V_peak/V_f not V_peak I.e. pulse peak loss	
100G KR designs.				SuggestedRemedy	
SuggestedRemedy				Change	
change the TBD in in lin	e 41 to 9.7 dB			Difference between measured and reference linear fit pulse peak	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Difference between measured and reference linear fit pulse peak loss (min) d(V_pe	ak/V_f)
PROPOSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Status W	= /
[Editor's note: Addresse	s incomplete specification.]			PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Implement the suggeste	d ERL threshold.				
The 9.7 dB value needs For task force review .	to be discussed.			It is assumed that the comment is requesting that the specification be for the ration V peak/V f, rather than just V peak.	of
FOI LASK IDICE TEVIEW.				If that is the case, implement the following with editorial license	
C/ 163 SC 163.13.4.4	P 192	L 33	# 11	To make the parameter easier to read and use, define the ratio R_peak equal to	
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec			V_peak/V_f. Define the difference between the reference and measured ratio as dR peak.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		TP5v	For task force review.	
TP5a is moot and replace	ced by TP5v			[Editor's note: CC: 163, 120F]	
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 120F SC 120F.3.2 P 211 L 32 # 14	
remove references to TF	P5a and replace with TP5v.	Change RC2 to	DERL at TP5v	Mellitz, Richard Samtec	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Comment Type TR Comment Status D	TP5
PROPOSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			TP5a is moot and replaced by TP5v	
Resolve with comment #	±40			SuggestedRemedy	
Replace TP5a with TP5	v is comment #40 is accepte	ed.		point to Rx table in 163 line done in table 120F-1	
Keep "ERL" in RC2 not	changed			Proposed Response Response Status W	
C/ 163 SC 163.13.4.3	P 192	L 8	# 12	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec			Comment #9 and #81 propose to align the RX test fixture specifications with the TX	toct
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		ERL wording	fixture.	1631
We are not specifying E	RL directly			Implement the suggested remedy.	
SuggestedRemedy				For task force discussion. [Editor's note: CC: 163, 120F]	
Change TC2 to DERL a	at TP0v				
Proposed Response	Response Status W				

Clause 163.9.2.3 is still an ERL spec. ERL is specified using the parameter dERL.

C/ 162 SC 162.11	P 156	L <b>39</b>	# 15	C/ 162	SC 162.11	Р	156	L <b>41</b>	# 16
iMinico, Christopher	MC Communi	cations		DiMinico, (	Christopher	MC	Communic	cations	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		CA RLDC	Comment	Type TR	Comment Statu	s D		CA ILL
				Provid	le specifications	for Differential to co	mmon-mo	de conversion	oss 162.11.5
Provide specifications for	Differential to common-mo	ode return loss 1	62.11.4	Suggested	dRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy				•	•	ation reference in T	able 162–1	16—Cable asse	mbly characteristics
,	on reference in Table 162–	16—Cable asse	mbly characteristics	summ	ary.				
summary.				Add te	ext and equation	162.11.5 Differentia	al to commo	on-mode conve	rsion loss
Add text and equation 16	2.11.4 Differential to comm	non-mode return	loss						mode conversion loss
The differential to commo	n-mode return loss, in dB,	of the cable as	sembly shall meet		e cable assemb .(f) - IL(f) >/=	ly insertion loss sha	II meet Equ	uation (xx).	
Equation (xx) CDRL(f)>/=				,	05 = f </= 26.5</td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
22-10*f/26.56, 0.05 = f</td <td><!--= 26.56</td--><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>*f/26.56, 26 &lt; f &lt; 33.2 &lt; f <!--= 40</td--><td><!--= 33.2</td--><td></td><td></td><td></td></td></td></td>	= 26.56</td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*f/26.56, 26 &lt; f &lt; 33.2 &lt; f <!--= 40</td--><td><!--= 33.2</td--><td></td><td></td><td></td></td></td>				*f/26.56, 26 < f < 33.2 < f = 40</td <td><!--= 33.2</td--><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	= 33.2</td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
15-3*f/26.56, 26.56< f =<br Where	= 40			Where					
f is the frequency in GHz					e frequency in G	HZ ntation diminico_3ck	1020.pdf		
See supporting presentat	ion diminico_3ck_1020.pdf	f		Proposed		Response Status			
Proposed Response	Desmana Status M			PROP		IN PRINCIPLE.			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W			[Edito	r'a noto: Addrood	na incomplete ence	ification 1		
	-					ses incomplete spec ponse to comment #			
[Editor's note: Addresses Implement suggested ren	incomplete specification.] nedy.			C/ 162	SC 162.11.2	<u>Р</u>	157	L 10	# 17
See supporting presentat	ion 3/ck/public/20_10/diminico_	2 alt 01 1020 -	, df	DiMinico. (	Christopher	МС	Communic	cations	
Thtps://www.ieeeouz.org/s	5/ck/public/20_10/althinico_	_3CK_01_1020.p		Comment	•	Comment Statu			CA
				Repla	ce TBD				
				Suggested	dRemedy				
				Repla	ce TBD with 0.0	5			
				Proposed	Response	Response Status	s W		
				PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
					r's note: Address ment suggested	ses incomplete spec remedy.	ification.]		

C/ 162A SC 162A.4 P 248 L 42	# 18	C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.6 P 260 L 48 # 20
DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications		DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Replace TBD with equation	Host IL	Comment Type TR Comment Status D MTF XTALK Replace TBD
SuggestedRemedy		SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with 1.6 mV
ILPCBmax(fGHz)=0.9809*(0.471*SQRT(f)+0.1194*f+0.002*(f^2)) for		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
0.01 GHz = f </= 50 GHz<br See supporting presentation diminico_3ck_1020.pdf		[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.]
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		C/         162B         SC         162B.1.3.1         P 255         L 35         # 21           DiMinico, Christopher         MC Communications
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] Implement the suggested remedy. See slide 7 supporting presention https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_10/diminico_3ck_01_1020.pdf		Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D       MTF IL         Modify Equation (162B–3) ILMTFMAX > 40 GHz       to align with achievable MTF insertion loss         SuggestedRemedy
C/ 162A SC 162A.4 P 249 L 39	# 19	See supporting presentation diminico_3ck_1020.pdf
DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications		Proposed Response Response Status W
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Host IL	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Replace TBD with equation		Modify Equation (162B–3) ILMTFMAX > 40 GHz to align with achievable MTF insertion loss
SuggestedRemedy ILHOST(f)=1.5658*(0.471*SQRT(f)+0.1194*f+0.002*(f^2))		See slide 11 supporting presention https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_10/diminico_3ck_01_1020.pdf For task force discussion of cited presentation.
for 0.01 GHz = f </= 50 GHz<br See supporting presentation diminico_3ck_1020.pdf		C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.2 P 256 L 46 # 22
Proposed Response Response Status W		DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Comment Type         TR         Comment Status         D         MTF RL           Modify Equation (162B–6) DRL(f) > 40 GHz         to align with achievable MTF return loss
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] Implement the suggested remedy.		SuggestedRemedy See supporting presentation diminico_3ck_1020.pdf
See slide 8 of supporting presention https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_10/diminico_3ck_01_1020.pdf		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
		Resolve using the response to comment #122.

	SC 163.9.3.2	P 181	L <b>3</b>	# 23	C/ 163	SC 163.9.3	.2	P <b>181</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 25
Ben-Artsi, Li	av	Marvell Semic	conductor ltd.		Ben-Artsi,	Liav	I	Marvell Semic	conductor ltd.	
Comment Ty		Comment Status D		TP5v	Comment	<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Comment S			RX test fixtu
Accordir output	ng to direction of	the entire path, TP5a is the	e input to the tes	t fixture and not the		ifferential return are an incorrec		ixture is define	ed to meet Equat	tion (163–2) and 163-3
SuggestedR	Remedy				Suggested	dRemedy				
		ise noted, measurements o	f the receiver are	e made at the output of	Recon	nmend replacin	g with a reference	e to 163.9.2.	1.2 (Tx test fixtur	e ERL)
	kture (TP5a) as n Figure 163–5.'	to: "Unless otherwise noted	d. measurement	s of the receiver are	Proposed	Response	Response St	atus W		
made at	•	est fixture (TP5a) as	,		PROP	POSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE			
Proposed Re	U	Response Status W					fixture return los	s with ERL sp	ecification in 163	3.9.2.1.2.
PROPO	SED ACCEPT.				Resol	ve with commer	nt #40			
		<b>D</b>			C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	.2	P 178	L <b>39</b>	# 26
2/ 163	SC 163.9.3.2	P 181	L 19	# 24	Ben-Artsi,	Liav	l	Marvell Semic	conductor ltd.	
Ben-Artsi, Li		Marvell Semic	conductor ltd.		Comment	Туре Т	Comment S	tatus D		example
Comment Ty		Comment Status D		RX test fixture				e informative	examples are irre	elevant, since they
The test	fixture inserrio	n loss of 1.2-1.6dB is not co	mmonly feasible	1		extremely low lo	SS			
SuggestedR	Remedy				Suggested					
for TP0-	TP0a.	P5a-TP5 fixture characteris			which		c and meets 4dB			+0.0936*F (F in GHz), lso refered to in
		3.9.2.1.1 (insertion loss), 16				Response	Response St	atus W		
(commo	n mode RL)				•	•	T IN PRINCIPLE			
Proposed D	esponse	Response Status W			-		_			
Toposed R		N PRINCIPLE.			Resolv	ve using the res	sponse to #136.			

CI 93A	SC 93A.1	P 195	L <b>24</b>	# 27	C/ 163	SC 163	9.2	P 176	L <b>44</b>	# 29
-lealey, Adan	n	Broadcom Inc.			Healey, Ada	am		Broadcom Inc		
Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		description	Comment T	уре <b>т</b>		Comment Status D		TP0v method
this chan paramete amendm	ge to the para er table in IEE ent. This does riptive value.	context and does the descripti meter name, should it persist, E Std 802.3 and not just the or a not seem worthwhile since the	should be prop nes created or r	bagated to every COM modified by this	written the clau clause, requiren etc. On	to be gene ise that inv or in Anne ments", ele e could as	ric and vokes t ex 120F ectrical sume f	.2.2 is in danger of becomir I states that PHY/interface-s his method". However, no s F, that provides this informa characteristics used to con that "test channel" requirem	specific paramet such specificatio tion. This includ npute S^(tp), val ents are given ir	ters are "specified by ns can be found in this es "test channel ues for Tr, fr, At, Tb, n the transmitter test
	-	e description of this parameter	(i.e. undo the	change) [Indate				.2.1, and the other values a this should not be left to as		
		, and 120F-7 accordingly.		onange). Opuale				est 2) characteristics for S^		
Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W				eds to be				
PROPOS	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			SuggestedF	Remedy				
"single-ei	nt the sugges nded bump ca note: CC: 162		le-ended device	e bump capacitance" to	"dvpeal the PM	to this n D/interface	ew sub speci	Clause 163 and change the oclause. The content of this fic parameters that Annex 1 method". Similar changes	subclause shou 63A says are to	Id be specifications for be defined by the
C/ 93A	SC 93A.1	P 195	L <b>24</b>	# 28	Proposed R	esponse		Response Status W		
Healey, Adan	n	Broadcom Inc.			PROPC	SED ACC	EPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
Comment Ty <sub>l</sub> 93A.1.2 e	<i>pe</i> E exists in this d	Comment Status D ocument.		[bucket]		e using the s note: CC		nse to comment #62. 120F]		
SuggestedRe	emedy				C/ 163A	SC 163	<b>A.2</b>	P 281	L <b>4</b>	# 30
Add a cro	oss-reference	link.			Healey, Ada	am		Broadcom Inc		
Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W			Comment T	ype E		Comment Status D		TP0v method
	SED ACCEPT							rements are not defined by the second strain the	is is the only pla	ace "transmitter test
PROPOS					channe			I" are used. The same entit	y is referred to a	as the "TP0-TP0v
PROPOS					channe	l" or "test o l" in 163A.		I" are used. The same entit	y is referred to a	as the "TP0-TP0v
PROPOS					channe channe <i>SuggestedF</i> Change test fixte	l" or "test o l" in 163A. Remedy the title o ure is betw	3.1. f 163A veen te	I" are used. The same entit .2 to "Test fixture" and repl st points TP0 and TP0v as ed by the clause that invoke	ace its contents shown in Figure	with the following: "The
PROPOS					channe channe <i>SuggestedF</i> Change test fixte	l" or "test of " in 163A. <i>Remedy</i> the title of ure is betw ments are	3.1. f 163A veen te	.2 to "Test fixture" and repl st points TP0 and TP0v as	ace its contents shown in Figure	with the following: "The

					-				
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.3	P 179	L <b>39</b>	# 31	C/ 93A	SC 93A.5.1	P 202	L <b>41</b>	# 34
Healey, Ad	lam	Broadcom Inc	<b>)</b> .		Healey, Adan	n	Broadcom Inc		
Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status D		ERL tfx	Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		ERL tukey
		uld be 0 for TP0v-based ER mbedded (and not time-don		n the test fixture is to be			quation (93A-58a) is unecess tw = 0 and to the Tukey windo		
Suggested	Remedy				SuggestedRe	emedy			
	0	ntence "The value of Tfx is A similar change would als	,		states that	at H_tw(f) is d	fication from the terms in Equi lefined by Equation (93-58a) v	when tw is 1 and	H_tw(f) is 1 when tw is
Proposed H	Response	Response Status W					move the definition of "tw" from	m the variable lis	st (page 203, line 12).
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.				Proposed Re	,	Response Status W		
[Editor	's note: CC: 163, <sup>-</sup>	12051			PROPOS	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.3	P 179	L <b>44</b>	# 32	Impleme	nt suggested	remedy with editorial license.		
Healey, Ad		Broadcom Inc		# 32	C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.1	P 281	L <b>25</b>	# 35
Comment		Comment Status D		ERL wording [bucket]	Healey, Adan	n	Broadcom Inc		
		ning the reference ERL is de	efined in 163A :	0. ,	Comment Ty	be T	Comment Status D		TP0v method
senten							ination resistance at TP0v sh		
Suggested	Remedy				device (i.	e., it should b	e the reference resistance R_	0 and not the de	evice resistance R_d).
		at different wording and 163 entence to: "The reference t			SuggestedRe Replace	e <i>medy</i> "R_0" with "R	_d".		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT II	N PRINCIPLE.			PROPOS	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Resolv	e using the respo	nse to comment #66.			Impleme	nt the sugges	ted remedy with editorial licen	se.	
C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1.1	P 209	L 6	# 33					
Healey, Ad	lam	Broadcom Inc	<b>.</b>						
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		[bucket]					
The pa	arameter is defined	d to be "dERL" and not "[DE	ELTA]ERL".						
Suggested	Remedy								
Update	e the name to be c	consistent.							
Proposed H	Response	Response Status W							
PROP	OSED ACCEPT II	N PRINCIPLE.							
Resolv	e using the respo	nse to comment #80.							

C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.1.1	P <b>2</b>	81	L <b>48</b>	#	<sup>±</sup> 36	
Healey, Ad	am	Broad	dcom	Inc.			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	D			TP0v r	nethod
of Rd.	The termination a	GAMMA1 and GA t the TP0v should r to be R0 independe	epres	ent an instrume			
Suggested	Remedy						
functio using E set to ( [Ohms invoke	n, H_21(f) from th Equation (93A-18) ). In Equation (93, ] and the single-en s this method."	ph of 163A.3.1.1 to e scattering param where GAMMA1 is A-17), the single-er nded termination re	eters give ided r	of the virtual ref n by Equation (9 reference resista	erence cha I3A-17) and Ince R_0 is	GAMMA set to 50	)), 2 is
Proposed I	Response	Response Status	W				
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.						
C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.1.2	P <b>2</b>	82	L <b>30</b>	#	± 37	
Healey, Ad	am	Broad	dcom	Inc.			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	D			TP0v r	nethod
There	is an additional ste	equation (93A-59) d ep required to obtai o R_0. Also, the va	n the	reflection coeffi	cient s_ii(f)		· · ·

### SuggestedRemedy

Replace the contents of 163A.3.1.2 with the following: "The reference reflection coefficient at TP0v is given by Equation (93A-7) where  $[s_22]^{(x)}$  is GAMMA1 as defined by Equation (93A-17) and  $[s_ji]^{(y)}$  are the components of the scattering matrix of the virtual reference channel S^(0). In Equation (93A-17), the single-ended reference resistance R\_0 is set to 50 [Ohms] and the single-ended termination resistance, R\_d, specified by the clause that invokes this method. The referece pulse time-domain reflection (93A-58) and Equation (93A-59). The reference ERL value is determined from the reference PTDR response using the method in 93A.5.2 with T\_fx set to 0 and other parameters specified by the clause that invokes this method."

Proposed Response	Response Status	W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 163A	SC 16	63A.3.1.1	P <b>2</b>	82	L 18	# 38	
Healey, Ad	am		Broad	dcom In	с.		
Comment	Гуре I	E	Comment Status	D			[bucket]
			upper limit of the symbol (T_b) shou			have a capital	"N". In
Suggested Fix the							
Proposed I PROP	•		Response Status I PRINCIPLE.	w			

Change the "r" in "Tr" to subscript.

C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.1.1	P 282	L <b>25</b>	# 39
Healey, Adar	n	Broadcom Inc.		
Comment Ty	pe <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		TP0v method

The annex is mostly written to be generic so citing the specific value for  $N_v$  defined in 162.9.3.1.2 seems out of place. Will the same value of  $N_v$  apply to future clauses that may employ this method?

SuggestedRemedy

Change the definition of N\_v to the following: "represents the number of symbols to include in the steady state voltage calculation". Add a sentence that the value of N\_v is defined by the clause that invokes this method.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.	

Implement the suggsted remedy with editorial license.

C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.1	P 180	L 34	# 40
Healey, Ada	m	Broadcom Inc.		
Comment Ty	rpe <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		RX test fixture

Now that the transmitter has relaxed test fixture requirements and taken a "test fixture embedding" approach, it seems appropriate for the receiver to follow suit.

### SuggestedRemedy

Update 163.9.3.2 by changing references to "TP5a" to "TP5v" and add a pointer to 163.9.2.1 for test fixture requirements. Replace the specification of "ERL (min)" in Table 163-9 with a specification of "dERL" as is done for the transmitter and update 163.9.3.1 accordingly. Implement similar changes in Annex 120F. Update Annex 163A to include calculation of the reference ERL at TP5v (which should largely be a "mirror image" of the material currently describing the calculation of the reference ERL at TP0v). For interference tolerance and jitter tolerance test channel calibration, exceptions to 93A.2 and Annex 93C would need to be made to substitute TP0 to TP0v (and TP5v to TP5) replicas for their TP0 to TP0a (And TP5a to TP5) counterparts.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Align the RX test fixture specifications with the TX TF specifications based on slide 12 of: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/sept16\_20/brown\_3ck\_adhoc\_01a\_091620.pdf For CL 163.9.3.2:

Change references to "TP5a" to "TP5v" and add a pointer to 163.9.2.1 for test fixture requirements. Replace the specification of "ERL (min)" in Table 163-9 with a specification of "dERL" as is done for the transmitter and update 163.9.3.1 accordingly.

For CL 163.9.3.3 RITT, add a bullet at the beginning of the considerations, "In this clause TP0v (TP5v) replaces TP0a (TP5a) in Annex 93A and Annex 93C'.

For CL 163.9.3.4 JTOL, add a sentence after "The test setup shown in Figure 93–12, or its equivalent, is used.": "In this clause TP0v (TP5v) replaces TP0a (TP5a) in Annex 93A, Annex 93C, and Annex 120D"

Implement similar changes in Annex 120F.

For Annex 163A:

Change to include calculation of the reference ERL at TP5v (which should largely be a "mirror image" of the material currently describing the calculation of the reference ERL at TP0v).

Implement with editorial license. [Editor's note: CC: 163, 120F,163A]

C/ 120G S	C 120G.3.1	P 226	L 17	# 41
Healey, Adam		Broadcom Inc.		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		ew/esmw

ESMW (eye symmetry mask width) is "TBD". Similarly, eye width specifications for stressed input parameters are also "TBD". These parameters will be difficult to define for a reference receiver that includes decision feedback equalization unless the behavior of the feedback signal in the vicinity of the threshold crossings is clearly defined. However, there are other, simpler means to enforce that the reference receiver output has a useable eye width. The most straight-forward implementation for this draft is to expand on a feature of the eye height and vertical eye closure measurement procedure referred to in 120G.5.2 item h). This items points to 120E.4.2 and 120E.4.3 for the method to measure eye height, vertical eye closure, and other parameters. Step 4) in 120E.4.3 states that the distribution of the signal voltage (from which eye height and vertical eye closure are derived) is to be measured over a window "within 0.025 UI of time TCmid". This essentially averages the distribution around TCmid. Use of such a window reduces the measured eye height and vertical eye closure for signals with narrower eye widths. The width of the window can be increased to provide higher degrees of protection.

### SuggestedRemedy

Remove references to ESMW and eye height from Annex 120G. Change 120G.5.2 item h) to the following: "From the eye diagram, compute eye height and vertical eye closure using the methodologies defined in 120E.4.2 and 120E.4.3 with the following exceptions. The value of TCmid is set to the sampling phase t\_s determined in step d) (skipping steps 1) through 3) from 120E.4.2). The CDFs of the signal voltages computed in 120E.4.2 steps 4) through 6) are the average values over the time interval t\_s-0.05 UI to t\_s+0.05 UI. The feedback coefficients b(n) determined in step d) are constant over the averaging time interval."

Note that eye height and vertical eye closure limits may need to be adjusted to account for the reductions to these values via the averaging window.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.]

It is assumed that in the suggested remedy, the intent was to refer to eye width rather than eye height.

The EW and ESMW specifications are incomplete both in values and in method as the draft is currently written.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license, except remove "eye width" rather than "eye height".

Add an editorial note that all EH and VEC values currently specified may need to be adjusted to account for this new methodology. For task force discussion.

Comment ID 41

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 176	L <b>35</b>	# 42
Healey, Ada	m	Broadcom Inc.		
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status D		clock tolerance

The signaling rate range can be reduced to +/-50 ppm with minimal impact to the overall cost of the system. A lower signaling rate range can be leveraged by implementations to improve performance margin. However, interoperability with implementations that use 50 Gb/s/lane (and lower) AUIs must be preserved. The proposed changes encourage migration to higher-precision frequency references while maintaining compability with prior implementations with up +/-100 ppm tolerance.

#### SuggestedRemedy

This proposed change leverages terms from Clause 45 that describe how MDIO manageable devices are organized in the Physical Layer stack. The first is the idea that sublayers may be in the same "package" or in different packages (see IEEE Std 802.3-2018 45.1.1). The definition of a "package" is vendor specific (could be a chip, module, or other entity). The second is that a PMA that is not in the same package as the PMD is designated as a "separated PMA" (see IEEE Std 802.3-2018, 45.2.1). The third concept that is important to the proposed definition is that a PMA, by itself, has no control over the signaling rate tolerance. The frequency offset at the PMA output is inherited from the PMA input. Since the PMA has no control over this, It does not make sense to impose a specification on the PMA signaling rate range except for specific circumstances. Similar arguments can be made for PMD outputs as they inherit the frequency precision from the PMA.

In Table 162-9, Table 163-5, Table 120F-1, and Table 120G-1, change "signaling rate" (or "signaling rate per lane (range)") to 53.125 +/- 50 ppm and add a footnote to indicate 1) that the +/-50 ppm tolerance applies to PMA (and PMD) that are is the same package as the PCS and 2) that in other cases, the signaling rate is related to the signaling rate from the higher (separated PMA) sublayer.

In Table 120G-3, change "signaling rate per lane (range)" to "signaling rate per lane" with a value of 53.125. In 120G.3.1.1 (and/or a footnote to Table 120G-3), state the signaling rate tolerance at the module output is inherited from the PMD receiver input.

Also change 120G.3.1.1 to agree with changes Table 120G-1 and Table 120G-3.

No change to the input signaling rate range requirements in Table 162-12, Table 120G-4, and Table 120G-7 is needed because they continue to represent the largest extent of the signaling rate range for all allowed configurations of the Physical Layer stack.

Add a recommendation (to either Annex 120A or Annex 135A) that the signaling rate tolerance of the output of a "legacy" PCS/PMA (interface is not 100GAUI-1, 200GAUI-2, or 400GAUI-4) be constrained to +/-50 ppm when used with a separated PMA that has a 100GAUI-1, 200GAUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 interface.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

C/ <b>45</b>	SC	45.2.1.135a	P 5	4	L 11	# 43
Slavick, J	eff		Broad	lcom		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status	D		[bucket
We've C(-3).		a footnote s	stating that the new	/ PRESI	ETs are PHY de	ependent support, so is
Suggeste	dRemec	ly				
Coeff		elect and Co	45-103a, 45-103b, pefficient Select Ec			attached to the t for a given coefficient
Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status	w		
PRO	POSED	ACCEPT.				
C/ 162	SC	162.9.3.1.5	P1	50	L <b>20</b>	# 44
Slavick, J	eff		Broad	lcom		
<u></u>	_					
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status	D		TX coefficients [bucket
	n testing			_	ere is no consti	TX coefficients [bucker aint on the other tap
Wher settin	n testing gs.	how small y		_	ere is no constr	•
Wher settin Suggeste	n testing gs. <i>dRemec</i> he follov	how small y	you can make the s	signal th		•
Wher settin Suggeste Add t and c Proposed	dRemect dRemect he follov (0)"	how small y dy ving to the s	you can make the s	signal th e "With		raint on the other tap
Wher settin Suggeste Add t and c Proposed	n testing gs. dRemec he follov (0)" l Respor POSED	how small y dy ving to the s	you can make the s tart of the sentence	e "With		raint on the other tap
Wher settin Suggeste Add t and c Proposed PROF Cl 162	A testing gs. dRemed he follow (0)" Respor POSED	how small y ty ving to the s nse ACCEPT.	you can make the start of the sentence Response Status	signal the "With W 50	c(-3), c(-2), c(-1	raint on the other tap ) and c(1) set to zero
Wher settin Suggeste Add t and c Proposed PROF Cl 162	n testing gs. dRemec he follov (0)" POSED SC eff	how small y ty ving to the s nse ACCEPT.	you can make the start of the sentence Response Status	e "With W 50	c(-3), c(-2), c(-1	raint on the other tap ) and c(1) set to zero
Wher settin Suggeste Add t and c Proposed PROF Cl 162 Slavick, J Comment The c	a testing gs. dRemec he follov (0)" Respor POSED SC eff t Type order of t	how small y ving to the s ase ACCEPT. 162.9.3.1.5 E he ranges to	you can make the s tart of the sentence Response Status P 1 Broad Comment Status	e "With W 50 Icom D , -3 priol	c(-3), c(-2), c(-1 	aint on the other tap ) and c(1) set to zero # 45
Wher settin Suggeste Add t and c Proposed PROF Cl 162 Slavick, J Comment The c instea Suggeste	a testing gs. dRemec he follov (0)" I Respor POSED SC eff : Type order of t ad of in i dRemec	how small y ty ving to the s ase ACCEPT. 162.9.3.1.5 E the ranges to t's position i ty	you can make the s tart of the sentence Response Status P 1 Broad Comment Status ests was +1, -1, -2 n the descending I	e "With W 50 Icom D , -3 prior ist.	c(-3), c(-2), c(-1 <i>L</i> <b>20</b> <sup>r</sup> to add 0, but w	aint on the other tap ) and c(1) set to zero # 45 TX coefficients [bucket

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 45

C/ 162 SC 162.1	P 133	L 17	# 46	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P 146	L <b>48</b>	# 48
Ran, Adee	Intel			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Type E Comment S	Status D		[bucket]	Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		EO jitte
Incorrect cross reference "Figure 162	2-3"			(CC)		t of 0.040 LU (loop there 200 f	(a)	
SuggestedRemedy Change to "Table 162-3"				transmit		t of 0.019 UI (less than 360 f ab environment. The same p		
Proposed Response Response S PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Status W					is difficult to meet and not to can be tolerated by existing r		nteroperability. It seems
C/ 162 SC 162.9.3 Ran, Adee	P <b>146</b> Intel	L <b>42</b>	# 47			ble generations of NRZ PMD is not defined at all.	s the allowed EC	DJ is 0.035 UI; for C2M
Comment Type T Comment S			PMD control	Also app	lies to KR, Tal	ole 163-5 (163.9.2) and to Al	JI-C2C, Table 12	20F–1 (120F.3.1.1)
(CC)				SuggestedR	emedy	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·	
for c(0), PRESET 2 in Table 162-11 h value, the maximum value at minimu				For para	•	dd jitter, pk-pk" change "valu	ie" from 0.019 to	0.035, in all places
Change should also be applied in 162	2.9.3.1.5.			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W		
Also applies to KR, Table 163-5 (163 which should work over lower-loss ch SuggestedRemedy	,	C2C, Table 120F	E–1 (120F.3.1.1)			t provide sufficient evidence	to justify the cha	nge. For task force
,	ed in the commer	ıt.		[Editor's	note: CC: 163	, 120F]		
Change 0.54 to 0.5, in all places liste				C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P 147	L <b>1</b>	# 49
<b>3</b>	Status W							
	Status <b>W</b>			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Proposed Response Response S PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Status <b>W</b>			Ran, Adee <i>Comment T</i> y	pe T	Intel Comment Status D		editoria
Proposed Response Response S	Status W			Comment Ty Footnote	d includes im			ould be stated in the
Proposed Response Response S PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Status W			Comment Ty Footnote	d includes im edure, not as	Comment Status D portant information for meas		ould be stated in the
Proposed Response Response S PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Status W			Comment Ty Footnote test proc SuggestedR Delete fo	d includes im edure, not as emedy otnote d and i	Comment Status D portant information for meas	pes not change t	buld be stated in the he specification).
Proposed Response Response S PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Status W			Comment Ty Footnote test proc SuggestedR Delete fo by claus	d includes im edure, not as emedy otnote d and i e 163 and sho	Comment Status <b>D</b> portant information for meas a comment on the table (it do nstead add an informative N	pes not change t	he specification).
Proposed Response Response S PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Status W			Comment Ty Footnote test proc SuggestedR Delete fo by claus	d includes im edure, not as emedy otnote d and i e 163 and sho ete footnote e	Comment Status <b>D</b> portant information for meas a comment on the table (it do nstead add an informative N uld also be used for 120F).	pes not change t	buld be stated in the he specification).
Proposed Response Response S PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Status W			Comment Ty Footnote test proc SuggestedR Delete fo by claus Also dele Proposed Re	d includes im edure, not as emedy otnote d and i e 163 and sho ete footnote e	Comment Status <b>D</b> portant information for meas a comment on the table (it do instead add an informative N uld also be used for 120F). In Table 163-5. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	pes not change t	buld be stated in the he specification).

C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.4	P 149	L <b>43</b>	# 50	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.3	P 150	L <b>40</b>	# 52
Ran, Adee	Intel			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		TX coefficients	Comment Ty	rpe <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		EO jitter
"When coef_sel is –3, –2 need for this phrase.	, -1, 0, or 1," - the list inclu	des all possible v	alues, so there is no			1.8.2 is very specific about u	0	
SuggestedRemedy Delete the quoted phrase	<b>)</b> .					s of even-odd jitter with PRB rger values compared with s		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W I PRINCIPLE.			a measu	rement artifact	inherently a high frequency of The considerations mention measurements at this signa	ned in NOTE 1 o	
Implement with editorial I	icense.					d with a shorter pattern whic		
C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.5	P 150	L <b>20</b>	# 51	the meas	surement can b	e made more accurate; suc	h results should	be acceptable.
Ran, Adee	Intel			The com	ment also app	ies to 120F.3.1.3.		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		[bucket]	SuggestedR	-			
(0) is set in italics				Add the	following excep	tion in 162.9.3.3:		
SuggestedRemedy						en-odd jitter measurement n		
set to upright				length pa symbols		des the 12 possible transitio	ns between two	different PAM4
	Response Status W			2				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				In 120F. 162.9.3.		he cross-reference for EOJ r	measurement fro	om 120D.3.1.8.2 to
				Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W		
				PROPO	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
					using the respondence	onse to comment #190. <sup>-</sup> , 162]		
				C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.2.3	P 199	L 14	# 53
				Ran, Adee		Intel		
				Comment Ty		Comment Status D	h	equation [bucket]
						a typo - denominator should	be a sum (as in	equation 93A-12).
				SuggestedRe Change	"-" to "+" in the	denominator.		
				Proposed Re	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		

Comment ID 53

C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 208	L 14	# 54	C/ 163A SC 1	63A.3.1.1	P 282	L <b>5</b>	# 57
Ran, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee		Intel		
points to SuggestedR	ice to dERL in the the annex. <i>Remedy</i>	Comment Status <b>D</b> table should be the subcla			Comment Type In "Tr" r should SuggestedRemed per comment.		Comment Status D script.		[bucket
Proposed Re		RL in table 120F-1 from 16 Response Status W	3A.3.2.2 to 120F	3.1.1.	Proposed Respon PROPOSED / Change the "r'	ACCEPT II			
C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1.1	P <b>209</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 55		63A.3.1	P 281	1 40	# 50
Ran, Adee	-				Ran, Adee	63A.3.1	P 281	L <b>40</b>	# 58
Comment Ty		Comment Status <b>D</b> ΔERL) but the difference to	orm is called dE	[bucket]	Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		TP0v method
Proposed Re PROPO	SED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W			Rx. It is not sta	ated which be allowed	eference package for the Tx one should be used. d to be as "bad" as the wors		
C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1.1	P 209	L <b>4</b>	# 56			his should be stated separa L (although the same rule a		
Ran, Adee		Intel			SuggestedRemed	/			
Comment Ty Subclau	<i>,</i> ,	Comment Status D smitter effective return loss	s" should be con	[bucket] sistent with	Add a sentend is the peak val		3.1.1 after the paragraph "T	he reference p	ulse response peak ()
SuggestedR		9.2.9.			such as the fo	llowing:			
	heading to "Trans	smitter ERL".			"If the invoking	g clause lis	ts more than one set of refe	rence package	parameters, the
Proposed Re	esponse DSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W			calculation is p value."	berformed	with each set, and the minin	num value is us	sed as the reference
The use In 120F, thereafte	e of "effective retur	m loss" vs "ERL" is incons use "effective return loss (l			Add a similar s end of 163A.3 <i>Proposed Respon</i> PROPOSED A	.1.2 (for El se	Response Status W	er the definition	of v_f(ref)) and at the
					Implement the	suggeste	d remedy with editorial licen	se.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 58

Page 14 of 64 10/9/2020 3:44:28 PM

C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.2.2	2 P 283	L 12	# 59	C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 176	L <b>44</b>	# 61
Ran, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment 7 Both El	51	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> (meas) in equation 163A-6 ar	re undefined ter	<i>TP0v method</i> ms.	Comment Typ Table 163	e <b>T</b> 3-5 has multip	Comment Status <b>D</b> le TBDs.		vf/vpeak/ei
Suggestedl Add be	<i>Remedy</i> slow the equation						d v_peak are calculated with his model, so the limit values		
	ef) is the ERL refe	erence value defined in 163A ured Effective return loss"	.3.1.2		higher that	in minimum la	e degraded by a device or par aunch voltage and some equa e acceptable.		
	OSED ACCEPT I					tations, the m	vard method to improve ERL. inimum dERL should be less		
Implem	nent the suggeste	ed remedy with editorial licen	se.		SuggestedRe	medy			
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 176	L <b>44</b>	# 60	Change v	alue for dv_f	n Table 163–5 from TBD to (	D.	
Ran, Adee		Intel			Change y	alua for dy in	eak in Table 163–5 from TBD	) to 0	
Comment T	Туре Е	Comment Status D		ERL reference [bucket]	Change v			100.	
					Change v		in Table 400 C fram TDD to		
Referer	nce to dERL in th	ne table should be the subcla	use that specific	es parameters and	onunge i	alue for dERL	in Table 163–5 from TBD to	) -3.	
	nce to dERL in th to the annex.	e table should be the subcla	use that specifi	es parameters and	Proposed Res		Response Status W	)-3.	
points t	to the annex.	ne table should be the subcla	use that specifi	es parameters and	Proposed Res	sponse		)-3.	
points t Suggested	to the annex. <i>Remedy</i>	ne table should be the subcla ERL in Table 163–5 from 163	·		Proposed Res PROPOS	sponse ED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.	) - <u>3.</u>	
points t <i>Suggestedl</i> Change	to the annex. <i>Remedy</i> e reference for dl		·		Proposed Res PROPOS [Editor's r	sponse ED ACCEPT ote: Address	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. es incomplete specification.]	)- <b>3</b> .	
points t Suggested Change Proposed F	to the annex. <i>Remedy</i> e reference for dl	ERL in Table 163–5 from 163	·		Proposed Res PROPOS [Editor's r Implemen For task fo	sponse ED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. es incomplete specification.] emedy on	)-3.	

CI 163 SC 16	3.9.2	P 176	L <b>48</b>	# 62	C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.	1.1	P <b>177</b>	L <b>48</b>	# 64
Ran, Adee	I	ntel			Ran, Adee			Intel		
Comment Type	Comment St	tatus D		TP0v method	Comment	Туре Т	Comme	ent Status D		test fixture
dv_f and dv_pea calculations:	ak refer directly to 163A	A.3.2.1, but so	ome parameters	are missing for the	ILD de	finition in 93A.4	should be a	cross referenced.		
	taken from table 163-1			V)				meters. Specifica sition time at TP0		time Tt, which should internal value).
	the maximum value fro	om table 163-	11		Suggested	lRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy										where T_t is 0.1 ns,
	e under 162.9.2 (simila ak; in that subclause, p				-	_		rom Table 163-11	."	
parameters as in					Proposed	,	,	se Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Sta	atus <b>W</b>			PROP	OSED ACCEP	Τ.			
PROPOSED AC	CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.	1.2	P 178	L <b>21</b>	# 65
Implement suga	ested remedy under 16	63.9.2 with ed	ditorial license.		Ran, Adee			Intel		
For task force di	iscussion				Comment	Туре <b>т</b>	Comme	nt Status D		test fixture
[Editor's note: C	C: 163, 120F]				Per re	solution of com	ment 154 ag	ainst D1.2 there s	should be a requi	irement on test fixture
C/ 163 SC 16	3.9.2	P 177	L <b>5</b>	# 63	ERL:					
Ran, Adee	I	ntel			"The E	RL at TP0v sha	all be greate	r than or equal to	TBD".	
51	E Comment St for c(-3), c(-2), c(-1), c			TX FIR [bucket]	This p	art has not bee	n implemente	ed.		
	s all possible values, so	o it is redunda	ant. Clause 162 h	nas "for all taps"				ure is expected to use 137) if there i		he TBD may be
instead.					Suggested	lRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy Change the quo	ted words to "for all tap	os", both for m	nin and for ax.		Add th	e following sen	tence after th	ne table"		
Proposed Response		atus W			"The E	ERL at TP0v sha	all be greate	r than or equal to	TBD dB".	
PROPOSED AC	CEPT.				Consid	der changing TE	BD to 15 dB.			
					Proposed	Response	Respons	se Status W		
					PROP	OSED ACCEP	Т.			
					[Editor	's note: Addres	ses incomple	ete specification.]		

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	.3 <i>P</i> 179	L <b>43</b>	# 66	C/ 163	SC 163.9.3	2	P <b>181</b>	L <b>3</b>	# 68
Ran, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee			Intel		
Comment <sup>-</sup> "The re	51	Comment Status D taining the reference"		ERL wording [bucket]		/er test fixture o		ot realistic (IL	of 1.2-1.6 dB at itter's test fixture	<i>RX test fixture</i> 25.56 GHz). The test
S <i>uggested</i> Chang	-	od for obtaining the referenc	e"		Suggested	IRemedy				9.2.1 or point to it.
Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.			Proposed	Response	<i>Response</i> S T IN PRINCIPLE	Status W	2) to match 105.8	5.2.1 of point to it.
C/ <b>163</b> Ran, Adee	SC 163.9.3	.1 <i>P</i> 180	L <b>33</b>	# 67	Resov	e using respon	se to comment #	<b>#40</b>		
Comment		Comment Status D		ERL value	C/ 163	SC 163.9.3	2	P 181	L <b>3</b>	# 69
The m	ethod of Anne>	163A can be used for receive nce from a reference value.	ver ERL just like i		Ran, Adee Comment		Comment S	Intel S <i>tatus</i> <b>D</b>		[bucket
optimiz minimu A minin informa	zing for BER. T um dERL shou	,	d more design frovver.	eedom. Therefore the	perfori Suggestec Move Proposed	med with it, as i <i>IRemedy</i> subclause 163.	n the transmitte 9.3.2 before 163 <i>Response</i> S	r. Currently Re 3.9.3.1.	efined before the eceiver ERL app	
Suggested	Remedy				C/ 163	SC 163.9.3	3	P 181	L <b>34</b>	# 70
Chang	e receiver ERL	sublcause (163.9.3.1) to ma	atch 163.9.2.3.		Ran, Adee			Intel		
In Tabl	le 163-9. chan	ge ERL (min) to dERL(Min) v	vith value -5 dB.		Comment	Туре Т	Comment S	Status D		RIT
		20F.3.2.1 to match 163.9.3.1		je above).					gured by manag training protocol.	ement" is taekn from
In Tabl	le 120F-4, cha	nge ERL (min) to dERL(Min)	with value -5 dB.				KR PMD that do		01	fined, so this exception
Consid (should	00	x dERL from a normative spe	ecification (shall)	to a recommendation	Suggested	Remedy				
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.								n is configured by st FEC symbol error
Implen For tas recom Resolv	nent suggested			ive to a	Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEP	Response S T.	Status W		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.3	P 181	L <b>42</b>	# 71		C/ 163	SC 1	63.9.3.3	P 182	<b>2</b> L	. 5	# 72
Ran, Adee		Intel				Ran, Adee			Intel			
Comment Ty	/pe T	Comment Status D			RITT	Comment 7	уре	Е	Comment Status	D		RITT [bucket]
		2 is a calculation of A_DD,							here Q3 is 3.2905" s Q3 stands for (as in		ved below	the equations, with
		ture only has an ERL spec, propriate ERL for TP5 replic			wards	Alterna 9.	tively, tl	he equatic	ons can be replaced b	oy cross refer	ence to ec	quations 136-8 and 136-
practical	lly part of the D	ackage is typically controlle JT. Therefore we should not elevant and even incorrect f	add ERL specif	ications for the T	P5	SuggestedI per con		/				
		e of a transmitter's test fixtu UT toward TP0v.	ure where ERL is	specified toward	the	Proposed F PROPC	,		Response Status N PRINCIPLE.	N		
Instead, 163.10.3		I's ERL should be specified	to meet the ERL	specifications ir	ı				05" below the equation 1.2.3 to explain what 0		:	
93C-4 n	neasured at TP	.3 item b which has "The re 5 replica towards TPt meets e no return loss specificatio	the return loss s	pecifications in	re	C/ <b>163</b> Brown, Mat		63.9.2.2	P 17 Huawe	-	- 28	# 73
		e no return loss specificatio	ns in 105.9.2.1 a	ilymore.		Comment 7	уре	т	Comment Status	D		example TF
SuggestedR Replace	item b with the	following:				present	ation;		using TP0a is no lon /3/ck/public/adhoc/se	0		bllowing ad hoc hoc_01a_091620.pdf
The retu in 163.10		st channel measured at TP	5a towards TPt n	neets the require	ments	Suggestedl		0				
		120F.3.2.3 with the reference	ce to requiremen	ts in 120F.4.3 in:	stead.				eference TP0v instea C (Annex 120F).	id of TP0a foi	r all transn	nitter specifications for
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W				Proposed F	Respons	se	Response Status	N		
PROPO	SED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.				PROPO	OSED A	CCEPT II	N PRINCIPLE.			
	item b with "Th ne requirements , 120F	e return loss of the test chain in 163.10.3."	nnel measured a	t TP5a towards ⊺	ΓPt	For tas	k force	suggeste discussior CC: 120F,				

	3 <i>P</i> 179	L <b>44</b>	# 74	C/ 120F SC 120F.3.	1.1 <i>P</i> 209	L 14	# 77	
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt	Huawei			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		ERL wording [bucket]	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		parameter name	
Wording					"Difference between mea ul. A more concise name		effective return	
SuggestedRemedy	e for obtaining" to "The metho	od for obtaining"		SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response	Response Status W	ou for obtaining .		0	etween measured and ref Apply throughout 163, 12		rn loss" to "difference	
PROPOSED ACCEPT	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response Status W	,		
Resolve using the resp	ponse to comment #66.			PROPOSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.			
Cl 163 SC 163.9.3.2 Brown, Matt	2 <i>P</i> 181 Huawei	L1	# 75	"effective return loss'	ed response to comment # sted remedy considering t			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		[bucket]	editorial license.				
	l be defined before defining te kture subclause, move the R≯			[Editor's note: CC: 12 C/ 120F SC 120F.3.	-	L 18	# 78	
3.00100001								
				Brown, Matt	Huawei			
SuggestedRemedy Move 163.9.3.2 ahead	l of 163.9.3.1.			Brown, Matt Comment Type E	Huawei Comment Status D		parameter name	
SuggestedRemedy Move 163.9.3.2 ahead Proposed Response	Response Status W			Comment Type E The parameter name			,	
SuggestedRemedy	Response Status W			Comment Type E The parameter name	Comment Status D		parameter name steady-state	
SuggestedRemedy Move 163.9.3.2 ahead Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 93A SC 93A.5.1	Response Status W	L 45	# 76	Comment Type E The parameter name voltage" is a real mou SuggestedRemedy Change "Difference b	Comment Status D	ne would beneificial. ference steady-state v	steady-state	
SuggestedRemedy Move 163.9.3.2 ahead Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 93A SC 93A.5.1 Brown, Matt	Response Status W T. P <b>202</b> Huawei	L 45		Comment Type E The parameter name voltage" is a real mou SuggestedRemedy Change "Difference b	Comment Status D "Difference between mea uthful. A more concise nar	ne would beneificial. ference steady-state v 20F, and 163A.	steady-state	
SuggestedRemedy Move 163.9.3.2 ahead Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 93A SC 93A.5.1 Brown, Matt Comment Type T	Response Status W	-	ERL tukey [bucket]	Comment Type E The parameter name voltage" is a real mou SuggestedRemedy Change "Difference b steady-state voltage"	Comment Status D "Difference between mea hthful. A more concise nar between measured and ref Apply throughout 163, 12 Response Status W	ne would beneificial. ference steady-state v 20F, and 163A.	steady-state	
SuggestedRemedy Move 163.9.3.2 ahead Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 93A SC 93A.5.1 Brown, Matt Comment Type T The variable f_r used i	Response Status W P 202 Huawei Comment Status D	-	ERL tukey [bucket]	Comment Type E The parameter name voltage" is a real mon SuggestedRemedy Change "Difference b steady-state voltage" Proposed Response	Comment Status D "Difference between mea ththful. A more concise nar between measured and ref Apply throughout 163, 12 Response Status W T.	ne would beneificial. ference steady-state v 20F, and 163A.	steady-state	
SuggestedRemedy Move 163.9.3.2 ahead Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 93A SC 93A.5.1 Brown, Matt Comment Type T The variable f_r used i SuggestedRemedy	Response Status W P 202 Huawei Comment Status D	cluded in the ass	ERL tukey [bucket]	Comment Type E The parameter name voltage" is a real mod SuggestedRemedy Change "Difference b steady-state voltage" Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Comment Status D "Difference between mea ththful. A more concise nar between measured and ref Apply throughout 163, 12 Response Status W T.	ne would beneificial. ference steady-state v 20F, and 163A.	steady-state	

C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1.1	P 209	L <b>21</b>	# 79	C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.	2 <i>P</i> 181	L1	# 81	
Brown, Matt	F <b>209</b> Huawei	L <b>Z I</b>	# 19	Brown, Mat		z F 181 Huawei	<i>L</i> I	# 01	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		parameter name	Comment 7		Comment Status D		RX test fixture	
The parameter name "Dif	ference between measure A more concise name wou		,	In Draft 1.3, the transmitter test fixture specification (TP0 to TP0a) was replace with a new test fixture specification (TP0 to TP0v). The receiver test fixture should be rewritten to match the new transmitter test fixture specification.					
,	een measured and referen	ce linear fit puls	a naak" to "linear fit	Suggestedl	Remedy				
pulse peak". Apply throughout ' Proposed Response	163, 120F, and 163A. Response Status W			Align the receiver test fixture specification with the new transmitter test fixtures specification based upon slide 12 of the following presentation: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/sept16_20/brown_3ck_adhoc_01a_091620.pdf In 163 and 120F, replace all references to TP5a with TP5v.					
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	POSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					Response Status W			
Implement the suggested editorial license.	V_f and to define this ratio as R_peak and the difference as dR_peak. Implement the suggested remedy considering the closed response to comment #13 with editorial license. [Editor's note: CC: 120F, 163, 163A]					posne to comment #40 DF, 163] P <b>208</b>	L 14	# 82	
C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1.1	P 209	L <b>6</b>	# 80	Brown, Mat		Huawei			
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Comment 7		Comment Status D		ERL value	
Comment Type E delta_ERL should be dEF	Comment Status D		[bucket]	A value		quired. If an appropriate ref	erence transmitte	r is defined, then a	
SuggestedRemedy Replace all instances of c	delta_ERL with dERL.			S <i>uggestedl</i> Replac	<i>Remedy</i> e TBD with 0.				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed F PROPO	•	Response Status W			
				Împlem	s note: Addres nent the sugges k force review.	ses incomplete specificatior sted remedy.	n.]		

C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 208	L 18	# 83	C/ 120F	SC 120F	.3.2.1	P 211	L <b>40</b>	# 85
Brown, Matt		Huawei			Brown, Ma	tt		Huawei		
Comment Ty	rpe <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		vf	Comment	Туре Т	Con	nment Status D		ERL valu
	for dv_f is requi	ired. If an appropriate referenc	e transmitter is	s defined, then a value	The re-	ceiver ERL	should be d	efined and measured	in the same way	as for the transmitter.
					Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedRe Replace	<i>emedy</i> TBD with 0.							t fixture is aligned wi specification as the tr		r test fixture, specify the sing dERL in
Proposed Re	,	Response Status W			120F.3 dB.	8.1.1. In Tab	le 120F-3, r	eplace the the param	eter name and s	et the specification to C
PROPOS	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed I	Response	Resp	onse Status W		
	note: Addresse	es incomplete specification.] ed remedy.			PROP	OSED ACC	EPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.		
	force review.	····,							er test fixture spe	ecifications be aligned
C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 208	# 84	with those for the transmitter test fixture. Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.						
Brown, Matt	00 1201.3.1	Huawei	L <b>21</b>	π 04	For tas	sk force diso	ussion.	edy with editorial lice	136.	
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status D		vpeak	[Editor	's note: CC:	120F, 163]			
		required. If an appropriate refe	rence transmit	1	C/ 120F	SC 120F	.3.2.3	P 213	L 1	# 86
	0 should be co				Brown, Ma	tt		Huawei		
SuggestedRe	emedy				Comment	Түре <b>т</b>	Con	nment Status D		RII
Replace	TBD with 0.				For the	SNDR me	asurement i	n item e) of receiver i	nterference toler	ance test
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W			consid	erations the	value for N	_p is not set.		
PROPOS	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Suggested Replac	,	an appropri	ate value		
		es incomplete specification.]			•					
	Implement the suggested remedy. For task force review.				Proposed I PROP	OSED REJ	•	onse Status W		
								nplete specification.]		
								ot give an actionable comment #280.	proposai.	

Comment ID 86

C/ 120F SC 120F.4.3 P 217 L 44 # 87	Cl 120G SC 120G.3.1 P 226 L 17 # 89
Brown, Matt Huawei	Brown, Matt Huawei
Comment Type T Comment Status D ERL value	Comment Type T Comment Status D ew/esmu
The ERL value is specified as TBD.	In Table 120G-1, the reference for host output eye symmetry mask width (ESMW) value
SuggestedRemedy	points to 120G.3.1.6. However, 120G.3.1.6 does not specify how to measure ESMW or what to do with it.
Replace TBD with an appropriate value.	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W	In 120G.3.1.6, add methodology for ESMW and explain the relevance.
PROPOSED REJECT.	Proposed Response Response Status W
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.]	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
The comment does not provide an actionable remedy. This ERL is for the C2C channel. A proposal for the value is required.	[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] Comment #41 proposes to remove ESMW. If comment #41 is accepted then resolve this comment using the response to comment #41.
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1 P 226 L 17 # 88	Otherwise, implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.
Brown, Matt Huawei	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1 P 226 L 23 # 90
Comment Type T Comment Status D ew/esmw	Brown, Matt Huawei
Host output eye symmetry mask width (ESMW) value is TBD. Discussion during D1.2	Comment Type T Comment Status D ERL valu
comment resolution revealed that an eye width measurement using the currently defined reference receiver and related methodology as defined is not meaningful.	The host output ERL value is TBD.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Either fix the methodology and provide a value or replace with an appropriate alternative	Replace TBD with an appropriate value.
specification.	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED REJECT.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] Comment #41 proposes to remove ESMW. If comment #41 is accepted then resolve this comment using the response to comment #41. Otherwise, a proposal is required to address the methodology and value.	[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] The suggested remedy does not provide an actionable proposal. A proposal for the value is required.

	D 200	1.00	# 04				
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1	P 226	L <b>26</b>	# 91				
Brown, Matt Huawei							
Comment Type T C	omment Status D		transition time				
The host output minimum tr measured after considerable measurement point it seems Alternately, use the transitio	e loss and parasitics bet s unecessary to specify	ween the host c this parameter.	levice and the				
SuggestedRemedy							
Delete the host output trans							
Alternately replace TBD with	n 7.5 ps.						
Proposed Response Re	esponse Status W						
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P	RINCIPLE.						
[Editor's note: Addresses in Implement one of the option For task force discussion.		edy.					
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.6	P 228	L <b>24</b>	# 92				
Brown, Matt	Huawei						
Comment Type T C	omment Status D		eye opening crosstalk				
The parameter values for th		•					
"The crosstalk generator is			,				
target differential peak-to-pe –TBD V and +TBD V." Use							

-TBD V and +TBD V." Use the maximum peak to peak value from Table 120G-1, range of 20% to 80%, and minimum transition time from Table 120G-1 (value proposed in another comment).

### SuggestedRemedy

Replace with the following:

The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP4 (without the use of a reference receiver) with target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of 870 mV and slew time of 7.5 ps between -261 V and +261 V.

## Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] Implement the suggested remedy. For task force discussion.

Cl 120G	SC 1	20G.3.2	P <b>229</b>	L 17	# 93
Brown, Matt	t		Huawei		
Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status D		ew/esm
Discuss	sion dur ently de	ing D1.2 c	and far-end eye symmet comment resolution reve erence receiver and relate	aled that an eye wi	dth measurement using
SuggestedF	Remedy	,			
Either fi specific		ethodolog	y and provide a value or	replace with an ap	propriate alternative
Proposed R	Respons	e	Response Status W		
PROPC	SED A	CCEPT II	N PRINCIPLE.		
The su Comme comme	ggested ent #41 nt using	remedy of proposes the respo	s incomplete specification loes not provide an action to remove ESMW. If corponse to comment #41. required to address the	nable proposal. nment #41 is acce	
The sug Comme comme Otherw	ggested ent #41 nt using ise, a p	remedy of proposes the respo	loes not provide an actio to remove ESMW. If cor	nable proposal. nment #41 is acce	
The sug Comme comme Otherwi Cl 120G	ggested ent #41 nt using ise, a p SC <b>1</b>	remedy of proposes of the responses roposal is	loes not provide an actio to remove ESMW. If cor onse to comment #41. required to address the	nable proposal. nment #41 is acce methodology and v	value.
The suc Comme comme	ggested ent #41 nt using ise, a p SC 1	remedy of proposes of the responses roposal is	loes not provide an actio to remove ESMW. If cor onse to comment #41. required to address the P 229	nable proposal. nment #41 is acce methodology and v	value.
The suc Comme comme Otherw Cl 120G Brown, Matt Comment T In Table mask w	ggested ent #41 nt using ise, a p SC 1 s SC 1 t ype e 120G- ridth (ES	remedy of proposes the responses roposal is 20G.3.2 T 3, the refe	loes not provide an actio to remove ESMW. If cor onse to comment #41. required to address the <i>P</i> 229 Huawei	nable proposal. nment #41 is acce methodology and v <i>L</i> <b>17</b>	ew/esmu
The suc Comme comme Otherw C/ 120G Brown, Matt Comment T In Table mask w	ggested ent #41 nt using ise, a p SC 1 s ype e 120G- idth (Es e ESM)	remedy of proposes the responses roposal is 20G.3.2 T 3, the refe SMW) poin W or what	to es not provide an action to remove ESMW. If corr onse to comment #41. required to address the <i>P</i> 229 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> erence for module output hts to 120G.3.1.6. Howe	nable proposal. nment #41 is acce methodology and v <i>L</i> <b>17</b>	ew/esmu
The sug Comme Comme Otherw Cl 120G Brown, Matt Comment 7 In Table mask w measur Suggestedf	ggested ent #41 nt using ise, a p SC 1 s SC 1 t ype e 120G- ridth (ES e ESM Remedy	remedy of proposes the responses roposal is 20G.3.2 T 3, the refe SMW) poin W or what	to es not provide an action to remove ESMW. If corr onse to comment #41. required to address the <i>P</i> 229 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> erence for module output hts to 120G.3.1.6. Howe	nable proposal. nment #41 is acce methodology and v <i>L</i> <b>17</b> : near-end and far- ver, 120G.3.1.6 do	ew/esmu ew/esmu end eye symmetry es not specify how to
The sug Comme Comme Otherw Cl 120G Brown, Matt Comment 7 In Table mask w measur Suggestedf	ggested ent #41 nt using ise, a p SC 1 s ype e 120G- idth (Es e ESM) Remedy 3.3.1.6,	remedy of proposes the responses toposal is <b>20G.3.2</b> <b>T</b> (3, the reference SMW) point W or what add meth	loes not provide an actio to remove ESMW. If cor onse to comment #41. required to address the <i>P</i> 229 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> erence for module output to the stor 120G.3.1.6. Howey to do with it.	nable proposal. nment #41 is acce methodology and v <i>L</i> <b>17</b> : near-end and far- ver, 120G.3.1.6 do	ew/esmu ew/esmu end eye symmetry es not specify how to
The sug Comme Comme Otherw Cl 120G Brown, Matt Comment T In Table mask w measur Suggestedf In 120G Proposed F	ggested ent #41 nt using ise, a p SC 1 s SC 1 t ype e 120G- idth (Es e ESM Remedy S.3.1.6, Respons	remedy of proposes the responses roposal is 20G.3.2 T 3, the refe SMW) poin W or what add mething	loes not provide an actio to remove ESMW. If cor onse to comment #41. required to address the <i>P</i> 229 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> erence for module output hts to 120G.3.1.6. Howe to do with it.	nable proposal. nment #41 is acce methodology and v <i>L</i> <b>17</b> : near-end and far- ver, 120G.3.1.6 do	ew/esmu ew/esmu end eye symmetry es not specify how to

Comment #41 proposes to remove ESMW. If comment #41 is accepted then resolve this comment using the response to comment #41. Otherwise, implement the suggested remedy with editorial license. See comment #89.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P <b>229</b>	L <b>29</b>	# 95	CI 120G SC 120G.3.2 P 229 L 32 # 97				
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt Huawei				
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		ERL value	Comment Type T Comment Status D transition time				
The module output ERL SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with an ap				The module output minimum transition time value is TBD. Since the transition time is measured after considerable loss and parasitics between the host device and the measurement point it seems uncessary to specify this parameter. Alternately, use the transition time used in the the various COM simulations (7.5 ps).				
Proposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy				
PROPOSED REJECT.				Delete the host output transition time. Alternately replace TBD with 7.5 ps.				
	s incomplete specification.] does not given an actionabl	e proposal.		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P <b>229</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 96	[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification ]				
Brown, Matt	Huawei			[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] Implement one of the options proposed in the suggested remedy.				
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		precursor ISI ratio	For task force review.				
Module output far-end pr methodology was rewritte	e-cursor ISI ratio value is T en in D1.3.	BD. The related	measurement	CI 120G SC 120G.3.2.2 P 230 L 14 # 98				
SuggestedRemedy				Brown, Matt Huawei				
Replace TBD with an app	propriate value.			Comment Type T Comment Status D crosstal				
Proposed Response	Response Status W			The parameter values for the module output eye opening crosstalk source are TBD as follows:				
PROPOSED REJECT.				"The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) with				
The suggested remedy c	s incomplete specification.] does not given an actionabl	e proposal.		target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of TBD mV and target transition time of TBD ps." Use the maximum peak to peak value and minimum transition time value (proposed in another comment) from Table 120G-1.				
Resolve using the respor	nse to comment #246.			SuggestedRemedy				
				Replace with the following: "The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) with target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of 900 mV and target transition time of 7.5 ps."				
				Proposed Response Response Status W				
				PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
				[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] Comment #91 proposes a maximum transition time of 7.5 ps. Implement suggested remedy. For task force discussion.				

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3 P 231 L 43 # 💽	)	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3.	2 P <b>232</b>	L 18	# 101
Brown, Matt Huawei		Brown, Matt		Huawei		
Comment Type T Comment Status D	ERL value	Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status D		ew/esmv
The host input ERL value is TBD.				t input stressed signal there		
SuggestedRemedy				ESMW) and eye width (EW e nor does it seem relevant		nentioned in the
Replace TBD with an appropriate value.		SuggestedR				
Proposed Response Response Status W		00	ESMW row in Ta	ble 120G-6.		
PROPOSED REJECT.		Proposed R		Response Status W		
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.]		•	SED ACCEPT I	,		
The suggested remedy does not given an actionable proposal.						
A proposal for the value is required.				s incomplete specification.	]	
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2 P 232 L 18 # 10	00	Resolve	using the respo	inse to comment #41.		
Brown, Matt Huawei		C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P <b>241</b>	L 23	# 102
Comment Type T Comment Status D	ew/esmw	Brown, Matt		Huawei		
In Table 120G-6 for host input stressed signal the value for eve width is TBD.	011/001111	Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status D		ew/esm
SuggestedRemedy				, there is a specification for		
Replace TBD with an appropriate value.				G.5.2. However, 120G.5.2 EH, EW, and VEC. ESMW		
Proposed Response Response Status W				what to do with it.		,
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		SuggestedR	Remedy			
		Add methodology for ESMW and explain the relevance.				
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.]		Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W		
However, the suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement. Comment #41 proposes to remove EW. If comment #41 is accepted then resolv		PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.]				
comment using the response to comment #41.						
Otherwise, a proposal with specific EW value is required.				to remove EW and ESMW		I is accepted then
		resolve	this comment us	sing the response to comm		·
			se, implement th	ne suggested remedy.		

See comment #89.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3	2.1 P 233	L 32	# 103	C/ 120G SC 120G.	.4.1 P 231	L 35	# 105
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt	Huawei		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		crosstalk	Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		ew/esmw
For the host stressed ir "The counter propagati asynchronous with targ target transition time of receiver)." Set amplitud the host output minimu SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence t "The counter propagati asynchronous with targ	nput the crosstalk source train ng crosstalk signals during c et amplitude of TBD mV pea TBD ps as measured at TP le to the host output maximu m value.	alibration of the k-to-peak differe 1a (without the u m value and set alibration of the k-to-peak differe	ers are TBD as follows: stressed signal are ential and 20% to 80% use of a reference the transition time to stressed signal are ntial and 20% to 80%	In Table 120G-9 for SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with a Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEI [Editor's note: Addre However, the sugge Comment #41 propo comment using the	module input stressed signal t n appropriate value. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	] sufficient detail to ht #41 is accepte	width is TBD.
[Editor's note: Address	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] Implement the suggested remedy.				A.1. P 235 Huawei Comment Status D host input stressed signal ther h (ESMW) and eye width (EW		
	ppropriate value. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> es incomplete specification.] does not provide an actiona		# 104 ERL value	SuggestedRemedy Delete ESMW row in Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEI [Editor's note: Chan, [Editor's note: Addre The commenter indi than Table 120G-6.	Response Status W	number from 120 ]	

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 236 L 15	# 107	C/ <b>120G</b> S	SC 120G.3	4.1.1	P <b>237</b>	L 14	# 109
Brown, Matt Huawei		Brown, Matt			Huawei		
Comment Type T Comment Status D	TP4a transition time	Comment Typ	е Т	Comme	ent Status D		TP4a criteri
For the module input stressed eye, the pattern generator transit follows: "The target pattern generator 20% to 80% transition time at the		than a cer	tain value is	s not relevan	t because: (a) the	re are two gain p	e CTLE setting greater parameters and (b) the setting value is TBD.
the module stressed input test is TBD ps."		SuggestedRer	nedy				
SuggestedRemedy		Either:					
Replace TBD with 7.5 ps.			the followin		iter than or equal	o TRD dR " on li	no 12 and
Proposed Response Response Status W							nan or equal to TBD dB
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		does not a OR	apply" on lir	e 18	-	U U	·
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] The suggested value is consistent with the value used in the CO	OM configuration file used			te relevant c			
for comparative COM simulations.	Sin configuration file docu	Proposed Res	•	,	se Status W		
Implement the suggested remedy.		PROPOSI	ED ACCEP	T IN PRINC	IPLE.		
For task force review.		[Editor's n	ote: Addres	ses incompl	ete specification.]		
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 236 L 47	# 108	İmplemen	t the sugge	sted remedy			
Brown, Matt Huawei		For task for	orce review				
Comment Type T Comment Status D	TP4a crosstalk	C/ 162 S	SC 162.11		P 156	L 37	# 110
The parameter values for the module input eye opening crossta	Ik source are TBD as	Champion, Bru	lce		TE Connectiv	∕itv	
follows:		Comment Typ		Comme	ent Status D	,	ERL valu
"The counter propagating crosstalk signals during calibration of asynchronous with target amplitude of TBD mV peak-to-peak d		Cable Assembly ERL listed as TBD in Table 162-16 SuggestedRemedy					
time between –TBD mV and TBD mV of TBD ps as measured a							
reference equalizer)."		00	-	71dB So	e presentation		
Use the maximum peak to peak value from Table 120G-3, rang minimum transition time from Table 120G-3 (value proposed in			0		•		
Suggested Remedy	another comment).	Proposed Res	•		se Status W		
Replace with the following:		PROPOSI	ED ACCEP	T IN PRINC	IPLE.		
The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP4 (without the use of target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of 900 mV and slew to V and +270 V.				ses incompl pose to com	ete specification.] nment #114.		
Proposed Response Response Status W							
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] Implement the suggested remedy. For task force discussion.							

C/ 162	SC 162.11.5	P 157	L <b>52</b>	# 111	C/ 162	SC	162.11.3	P <b>158</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 113
Champion	, Bruce	TE Connectivity			Kocsis, S	am		Amphenol		
Comment	Туре <b>т</b>	Comment Status D		CA ILDC	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		ERL parameter
Cable TBD	assembly differe	ntial to common-mode convers	ion loss requii	rements are listed as		•	ameter N i	s "3500"		
Suggested	lRemedv				Suggeste		•			
	-	fied by an equation. It is recom	mended to us	e the following		•	-	background/consensus pres	entation	
	on for this limit:			5	Proposed	•		Response Status W		
8002		0 for 0.05 ≤ f < 12.89			PROF	POSED	REJECT.			
		$ 4 - 0.3108 * f \text{ for } 12.89 \le f \le 4$	) GHz					vide sufficient justification fo et been posted.	the proposed o	hange. The referenced
	quency in GHz				For ta	sk force	e review o	f cited presentation.		
		assembly differential to commo assembly insertion loss	n-mode conve	non loss	C/ 162	SC	162.11	P 156	L 37	# 114
This li	mit is based on 5	ips of skew (see presentation)			Kocsis, S	am		Amphenol		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Comment Type TR Comment Status D ERL value					
	, OSED ACCEPT	,			Minim	ium cab	ole assemi	bly ERL = TBD		
					Suggeste	dReme	dy			
	r's note: Address	es incomplete specification.]			Chan	ge to "7	′.4dB", see	e background/consensus pre	sentation	
[Edito	r's note: Add pres	sentation URL.]			Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Status W		
For ta	sk force discussi	on.			PROF	POSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 162	SC 162.11.4	P 157	L <b>48</b>	# 112	[Edito	r's note	: Address	es incomplete specification.]		
Champion	, Bruce	TE Connectivity			Împle	ment su	uggested r	remedy.		
Comment	Туре <b>Т</b>	Comment Status D		CA RLDC				presentation		
Cable	assembly differe	ntial to common-mode return lo	oss requireme	nts are listed as TBD	[Editor's note: Add presentation URL.] For task force discussion.task force review of cited presentation.					
Suggested	lRemedv									
A limit	should be speci	fied by an equation. It is recom n page 5 of diminico_3ck_02e_		e the equation for this						
Proposed	Response	Response Status W								
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.								
		es incomplete specification.] onse to comment #15.								

C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.1	P <b>256</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 115	C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.6 P 260 L 52 # 118
ocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Kocsis, Sam Amphenol
Comment Type TR Comment S MTF "FOM_ILD shall be less than (TE	_		MTF RL	Comment TypeERComment StatusDMTF XTALHAssumed methodology reference is 92.11.3.6.3?
SuggestedRemedy Change to "is recommended to be less determined using the equation below. ILD(f)< 1 dB for f<26.56GHz ILD(f)< 3 dB for 26.56 <f<40ghz, see background/consensus presentat Proposed Response Response S PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE</f<40ghz, 	" ion tatus <b>W</b>	nd ILD(f) sha	Il meet the values	SuggestedRemedy         Add explicit reference, since specific parameters will be change for 3ck         Proposed Response       Response Status         PROPOSED REJECT.         The methodology reference is 92.11.3.6 Mated test fixtures integrated crosstalk noise. The 802.3ck parameters will be used in the invoked method unless a 802.3ck method is proposed.
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete Implement suggested remedy. For task force discussion of cited pres [Editor's note: Add presentation URL]	sentation	/ 22	# [10]	C/       162B       SC       162B.1.3.6       P 261       L 1       # 119         Kocsis, Sam       Amphenol       Amphenol       MTF XTALK         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D       MTF XTALK         No definition of start and stop frequencies       MTF XTALK       MTF XTALK
CI 162B       SC 162B.1.3.6       P 260       L 28       # 116         Kocsis, Sam       Amphenol       MTF XTALK [bucket]         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       D       MTF XTALK [bucket]         Is the reference to "110B.1.3.7" valid? 802.3-2018       SuggestedRemedy       Change to "110B.1.3.6"				SuggestedRemedy Add definition for fstart=50MHz, fstop=40GHz Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #180.
Proposed Response Response S PROPOSED ACCEPT.	tatus <b>W</b>			Cl         162         SC         162.5         P 137         L 19         # 120           Kocsis, Sam         Amphenol
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.6 Kocsis, Sam	P 260 Amphenol	L <b>32</b>	# 117	Comment Type TR Comment Status D medium delay one-way delay no more than "14ns"
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment S No definition of start and stop frequen	_		MTF XTALK	SuggestedRemedy one-way delay no more than "16ns", for consistency with ERL parameter values
SuggestedRemedy Add defintion for fstart=50MHz, fstop=	=40GHz			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.
Proposed Response Response S PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				The relationship with the ERL parameters is irrelevant. The comment does not provide sufficient justification for the proposed changes.
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete Resolve using response to comment				

Comment ID 120

C/ 162 SC 162.11.7	P 158	L 35	# 121	C/ 162 SC 16	2.9.3.1.2	P 149	L 6	# 124
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semico	nductor	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		CA XTALK	Comment Type	r Com	nment Status D		
T_r is "7.5ps"								s the linear fit pulse p(k).
SuggestedRemedy Change to "6.5ps", see	background/consensus pres	entation						eas it is calculated with late the linear fit pulse
Proposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy				
PROPOSED REJECT.					eady-state volt	age vf is defined in 13	6.9.3.1.2, and	is determined using
The comment does not	provide sufficient justification	n for the proposed	change.	to				
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.	2 P 256	L <b>41</b>	# 122	10				
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol					defined in 136.9.3.1.2 ed by the procedure in		nined using Nv=200 and
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		MTF RL	Proposed Response	• • •	onse Status W		
text says test fixture "sh	nall meet" Eq 162B-6			PROPOSED AC				
SuggestedRemedy								
Change to "is recomme background/consensus	ended to meet and shall meet	an ERL of 8dB, s	ee		2.11.7.1.1	P 161	L 20	# 125
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Hidaka, Yasuo	- 0	Credo Semico	nductor	
PROPOSED ACCEPT	•			Comment Type The transmitter		nment Status <b>D</b> th is denoted as S^(H	OSPT).	CA XTALK [bucke
Modify equation 162B-		".		SuggestedRemedy Change "S^(HO	STxP)" to "S^(	HOSPT)".		
Add Table similar 1200 Editorial license.	ERL with 8 dB requirement. -4 with Tfx to "0" to use as re- on of cited presentation. rentation URL.]	eference for MTF I	ERL.	Proposed Response PROPOSED AC	,	onse Status W		
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.	2 P 256	L <b>41</b>	# 123					
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol							
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Add definition of ERL fo	Comment Status D		MTF RL					
SuggestedRemedy Copy Table120G-4, cha	ange Tfx to "0", use as refere	nce for MTF ERL						
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W							
Resolve using the resp	onse to comment #122.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.	1.2	P 161	L <b>50</b>	# 126		C/ 120F	SC	120F.3.1.:	3	P 210	L <b>43</b>	# 127
Hidaka, Ya	isuo		Credo Semic	onductor			Hidaka, Yas	suo			Credo Semio	conductor	
Comment	Туре Е	Comment S	Status D		CA XTALK [b	ucket]	Comment T	уре	т	Comme	nt Status D		EO jitter
	omment #127 for ggressor transmit				xSP) in clause		120D.3	.1.8.2		correctly me			methodology defined in 3S13Q and 4MHz
136.11	.7.1.2, not S^(HC	OSTxP).					To prev	ent CI	DR from ta	acking two		ttern, the best sc	plution may be to use a
	tten in editor's no ation (162-13) du	'			ct in the variable na	me	•			n PRBS130	<b>)</b> .		
in Equ				011			Suggested		•				
	nmend to implem CB path as S^(H				e aggressor transmit .7.1.2.	tter				attern in cla n Table 68-0		similar to PRBS	13Q in 120.5.11.2.1, but
Suggested Chang	<i>IRemedy</i> le "S^(HOSTxP)"	to "S^(HOTxS	P)" in the follo	owing locations:			Choose	e 12 ed	lges in PR	BS9Q test	pattern, and add	d a table similar t	to Table 120D-4.
Dici	l'a a 50						Add a s	ub cla	use how t	o measure	EOJ using PRB	S9Q, similar to 1	20D.3.1.8.2.
,	line 50 line 5, Equation (	(162-13)					Proposed F	Respon	ise	Respons	e Status W		
P162,	line 11	,					PROPO	DSED /	ACCEPT	IN PRINCI	PLE.		
	line 16, Equation line 22	(162-14)								onse to con <sup>-</sup> , 120G, 16	nment #190. 2, 163]		
	ve Editor's note.						C/ 163A	SC	163A.2		P 281	L <b>3</b>	# 128
Proposed I		Response S	tatus W				Hidaka, Yas	suo			Credo Semio		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.						Comment 7		т	Comme	nt Status D		TP0v method
							TP0 is fixture r TP0 du should We sho Also for	not sta nay ha e to the make t ould no	ble for me ave a test e difficulty the label c of assume cation, I s	easurement point corres of measur of the test p replica test uppose we	<ul> <li>because TP0 is sponding to TP0 ement at TP0. Ir oint for replica to t fixture is same</li> </ul>	s highly non-TEN , but this cannot n order to remind est fixture differe as actual test fix	
							Suggested	Remed	ly				
							Use TP used.	0r and	I TP0vr as	the labels	for the test point	ts where the repl	lica test fixture may be
							Proposed F PROPC	•	se REJECT.	Respons	e Status W		
										oint labels i ecification.	s not necessary	or helpful. The s	suggested remedy does

Comment ID 128

C/ 162 SC 162.1	1 <i>P</i> 156	L 18	# 129	C/ 162	SC 162.11.3	P <b>157</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 132
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qua	antum/Inphi	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		AC coupling	Comment Ty	pe ER	Comment Status D		[bucket
	specified 50 kHz AC coupling bu	t this standard is	s operating 2x the	shall be	e meet			
Baudrate				SuggestedR	emedy			
SuggestedRemedy				should b	eshall meet	· · · · ·		
Replace 50 KHz wit				Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROPO	SED ACCEPT.			
PROPOSED REJE	C1.				SC 162.11.3	P 157		# 400
	pecification is used throughout 8			C/ 162	30 162.11.3	-	L <b>44</b>	# 133
	mplemented in 802.3cd cable as vidence for the proposed change		omment does not	Ghiasi, Ali			antum/Inphi	
	vidence for the proposed change			Comment Ty		Comment Status D cable the loss is controlled	d to 1 dB we should	CA IL [bucket
W 162 SC 162.1	1 P 156	L 19	# 130	loss cab				iu uo the same for high
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		SuggestedR	emedy			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		AC coupling	The inte	ntion of this sta	atement is not clear! Doe:	s it mean that if CC	DM >=4 dB then no need
	needs to be 50 KHz or 100 KHz v ults in 32 KHz cut off	why are we defin	ing capacitor value,	to meet	ERL?			
				Proposed Re	•	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy					•	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		
SuggestedRemedy Remove recommer	nded AC coupling value			PROPO	SED ACCEPT	•		
SuggestedRemedy Remove recommer Proposed Response	nded AC coupling value Response Status W			PROPO Resolve	SED ACCEPT using the resp	IN PRINCIPLE.		11 101
SuggestedRemedy Remove recommer	nded AC coupling value Response Status W			PROPO Resolve C/ 162	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #132. 2 <i>P</i> 163	L 6	# 134
SuggestedRemedy Remove recommer Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE	nded AC coupling value Response Status W			PROPO Resolve C/ 162 Ghiasi, Ali	SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 162.11.7.	IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #132. 2 P 163 Ghiasi Qua	L <b>6</b> antum/Inphi	
uggestedRemedy Remove recommer troposed Response PROPOSED REJE Resolve using the r	nded AC coupling value <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> CT. response to comment #129.	L <b>32</b>	# 131	PROPO Resolve Cl 162 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Ty	SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 162.11.7. pe TR	IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #132. 2 P 163 Ghiasi Qua Comment Status D		# 134 MDI [bucket
SuggestedRemedy Remove recommer Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Resolve using the r	Anded AC coupling value Response Status W CT. response to comment #129. P.4.3 P 152	-	# [131]	PROPO Resolve C/ 162 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Ty Some ex	SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 162.11.7. ppe TR cplantion is nec	IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #132. 2 P 163 Ghiasi Qua		
SuggestedRemedy Remove recommer Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Resolve using the r Cl 162 SC 162.9 Ghiasi, Ali	nded AC coupling value <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> CT. response to comment #129.	-	# [ <u>131</u>	PROPO Resolve Cl 162 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Ty Some ex SuggestedR	SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 162.11.7. ype TR splantion is nec- emedy	IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #132. 2 P 163 Ghiasi Qua <i>Comment Status</i> D cessary for table 162-20	antum/Inphi	MDI [bucke
SuggestedRemedy Remove recommer Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Resolve using the r Cl 162 SC 162.9 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	nded AC coupling value <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> CT. response to comment #129. <b>A.3</b> <i>P</i> <b>152</b> Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi	RITT	PROPO Resolve Cl 162 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Ty Some ex SuggestedR "A descr	SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 162.11.7. ype TR splantion is nec emedy iption would be	IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #132. 2 P 163 Ghiasi Qua Comment Status D	antum/Inphi ssemblies are con	MDI [bucke
SuggestedRemedy Remove recommer Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Resolve using the r C/ 162 SC 162.9 Shiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	nded AC coupling value Response Status W CT. response to comment #129. 0.4.3 P 152 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D	um/Inphi	RITT	PROPO Resolve Cl 162 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Ty Some ex SuggestedR "A descr MDI at e see table	SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 162.11.7. ype TR splantion is nece emedy iption would be ach end of cate	IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #132. 2 P 163 Ghiasi Qua <i>Comment Status</i> D cessary for table 162-20 a helpful such as ""cable a ole or could be constructed	antum/Inphi ssemblies are con	MDI [bucke
SuggestedRemedy Remove recommer Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Resolve using the r Cl 162 SC 162.9 Shiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Given that for low lo loss cable SuggestedRemedy	nded AC coupling value Response Status W CT. response to comment #129. A.4.3 P 152 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D oss cable the loss is controlled to	um/Inphi	<i>RITT</i> d do the same for high	PROPO Resolve Cl 162 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Ty Some ex SuggestedR "A descr MDI at e see table In the tab	SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 162.11.7. CPC TR eplantion is nece emedy iption would be ach end of cate a	IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #132. 2 P 163 Ghiasi Qua <i>Comment Status</i> D cessary for table 162-20 a helpful such as ""cable a ole or could be constructed and B end"	antum/Inphi ssemblies are con	MDI [bucke
SuggestedRemedy Remove recommer Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Resolve using the r Cl 162 SC 162.9 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Given that for low lo loss cable SuggestedRemedy	nded AC coupling value Response Status W CT. response to comment #129. 0.4.3 P 152 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D	um/Inphi	<i>RITT</i> d do the same for high	PROPO Resolve Cl 162 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Ty Some ex SuggestedR "A descr MDI at e see table In the tal Proposed Re	SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 162.11.7. pe TR cplantion is nece emedy iption would be ach end of cate e" ble add A end esponse	IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #132. 2 P 163 Ghiasi Qua <i>Comment Status</i> D cessary for table 162-20 e helpful such as ""cable a ole or could be constructed and B end" <i>Response Status</i> W	antum/Inphi ssemblies are con	MDI [bucke
SuggestedRemedy Remove recommer Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Resolve using the r Cl 162 SC 162.9 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Given that for low lo loss cable SuggestedRemedy	nded AC coupling value Response Status W CT. response to comment #129. A.4.3 P 152 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D oss cable the loss is controlled to	um/Inphi	<i>RITT</i> d do the same for high	PROPO Resolve Cl 162 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Ty Some ex SuggestedR "A descr MDI at e see table In the tal Proposed Re	SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 162.11.7. CPC TR eplantion is nece emedy iption would be ach end of cate a	IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #132. 2 P 163 Ghiasi Qua <i>Comment Status</i> D cessary for table 162-20 e helpful such as ""cable a ole or could be constructed and B end" <i>Response Status</i> W	antum/Inphi ssemblies are con	MDI [bucker

C/ 163	SC 163.	9.2	P 176	L 30	# 135		C/ 163	SC	163.9.2.2.		P 178	L 33	# 136		
Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi			Ghiasi, Ali				Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi			
Comment T	ype <b>T</b> R		Comment Status D			TP0v	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment	Status D		example TF		
			st be measurable and well				Inccrease the loss from 1.2 dB and 1.6 dB								
			ogy is not proven yet and i se to result. We have put				Suggested	Remed	dy						
	e solution					u i	to 2.2 and 2.6 dB and update equation 163-1 to =0.0062 + 0.1753*sqrt(f)+0.0561*f the equation nominal loss is 2.4 dB								
SuggestedF	uggestedRemedy								• •	,	•	ninal loss is 2.4 dB			
	Just as we have done for the MCB and HCB losses, we need to increase the loss from the						Proposed I	,		Response					
	TP0 to TP0a a loss of 2.2 dB to 2.6 dB with nominal 2.4 dB loss is inline with MCB loss and allow construction of DUT boards with 2.5-3" long traces. Such traces combined with				PROP	OSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	E.						
2x8 or 2	2x12 2.5 m	m pogo	pins connectors allow bre	akout of high lar	rge 256 lanes					re proposed:					
	s. Make T viate from i		rmative and make TP0v th	ne method to de-	embed when DU	T PCB			36: 2.2 - 2. 62: 2.4 - 3.						
Proposed R			Response Status W				Comm	ent #2	04: 2.0 - 2.	8 dB					
,	DSED REJI								29: 3.5 or 4 6: 4 dB	4 dB					
		-							• • • • • • •	e TP0a exam	ple. Comment	#135 and #6 propos	e to change TP0a		
			ifications were adopted ba in the following:	sed on sufficient	t support by the ta	ask	to norr								
https://v	www.ieee80	)2.org/;	3/ck/comments/draft1p2/8				Fortas	SK TOFCE	e review.						
			rovide sufficient evidence dy sufficiently complete to				C/ 163	SC	163.9.3.2		P <b>181</b>	L 18	# 137		
method		u reme	ay sumclenity complete to	implement, e.g.,	, infine values at Tr	rua,	Ghiasi, Ali				Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi	RX test fixture		
			to remove TP0a as an exa	mple. Comment	#136 proposes a	new	Comment		TR	Comment					
			ole test fixture. to make TP0a normative s	ame as previous	draft and previou	IS	Inccrea	ase the	e loss from	1.2 dB and 1	.6 dB				
			to use the TP0v method i		tional test fixture i	f its IL	Suggested		,						
	r range. TP k force revi		escribed an example in ex	isting spec.			to 2.2								
[Editor's	s note: CC:	120F,	163]				Proposed I	,		Response					
							PROP	OSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	E.				
							Resolv	e usin	g the respo	onse to comm	nent #40				

	163.10.5	P 186	L <b>48</b>	# 138	C/ 120F	80.4	120.F.3.1	P 208	L 13	# 444
	103.10.5		-	# 138	-	30	120.6.3.1		-	# 141
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	im/inpni	10	Ghiasi, Ali	<b>T</b>		Ghiasi Qua	ntum/inpni	
<i>Comment Type</i> 802.3cd stand Baudrate	TR dards specif	Comment Status D fied 50 kHz AC coupling bu	this standard	AC coupling is operating 2x the		AC cor		Comment Status D le results in 1+ dB of COI of AC common mode	M penalty, there is	TX CM AC noise no technical bases for
SuggestedRemed	dy				Suggested	Remed	y			
Replace 50 K	Hz with 100	) kHz			Reduce	e TX AC	C common	mode from 30 mV to 15	mV RMS	
Proposed Respor	nse	Response Status W			Proposed I	Respon	se	Response Status W		
PROPOSED	REJECT.				PROP	OSED F	REJECT.			
Resolve using	g the respor	nse to comment #129.						penalty in the comment is		
C/ 163 SC	163.A.3.1	P 281	L 25	# 139			value is fe	ce that the proposed cha easible.	nge is necessary. I	t is not clear that the
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m/Inphi					s comment #153 and Clar	use 162 comment a	¥151.
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		TP0v method	LEditor	s note:	CC: 162,	163, 120F]		
Why is the ca	ascaded refe	erence package with test fix	ture called virtu	al reference channel,	C/ 120F	SC 1	120F.3.2.3	P 213	L 18	# 142
		reference channel? When			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qua	ntum/Inphi	
package.	age, using r	eference is confusing as it	could imply IEE	E COM reference	Comment T	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		RX CM AC nois
SuggestedRemed	dv				Intefere	ence to	lerance mu	ust include AC common r	node	
	-	, and replace reference pac	kage with DUT	package	Suggested	Remed	y			
Proposed Respor		Response Status W	5		Add ste	ep k to	the list: Ad	just stressor P/N skew if	necessary to achiv	e 17.5 mV AC RMS.
PROPOSED					Proposed F	Respon	se	Response Status W		
					PROP	OSED F	REJECT.			
IEEE specifie	es interfaces	not devices, and the term	DUT is not use	d.		mmont	ia proposi	na to odd o now on odfior	tion for the reasing	T reactiver CM AC
C/ 120F SC	120.F.3.1	P 208	L1	# 140				ng to add a new specifica not provided sufficient e		
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m/Inphi		Althou	gh the T		enerate this much noise,		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		TP0v	recieve		CM AC n	oise have been more with	the conversion of	CM to DM by the
TP0a, there is	s no need ci	th real measurement the ele reate all this confusion and increase the DUT board los	complexity by	ntroducing TP0v when	channe channe CM noi the pro	el rather el. ise is no posed v	r than toler ot necessa way or app	ance by the receiver. Thi rily in whole or in part cre lying directly at the receiv	s can be addressed eated by PN skew, ver may not repres	d by constraining the so generating CM in
SuggestedRemed	dy					,		e it will add additional diffe loes not provide sufficien		nt such as how the
Change TP0	v to TP0a					00		what characteristics is has		
Proposed Respor	nse	Response Status W								
PROPOSED	ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.								
Resolve using [Editor's note		use to comment #135. 163]								
TYPE: TR/technic	cal required	ER/editorial required GR/	neneral require	d T/technical E/editorial G/d	reneral			Com	ment ID <b>142</b>	Page 34 of 64

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

	SC 120G.3.1.3	P 227	L 46	# 143	C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.2.		<sup>2</sup> 231	L 16	# 145
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali				iasi Quant	um/Inphi	
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status D		ERL parameter	Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Stat	us <b>D</b>		ERL paramete
receiver wi by Mr. Mel COM	ith just 4T DFE llitz but C2M m	itted reflection of -4.2 dB wł , at 50G we have Rx of 0.19 easurement points are at TI 3/ck/public/adhoc/jun10_20/	9. Extensive ar P1a and TP4 n	nalysis was performed ot an end-end link using	receive by Mr. I COM	er with Mellitz	just 4T DF but C2M r	E, at 50G we hav neasurement poir	e Rx of 0.1 hts are at 1	19. Extensive ar IP1a and TP4 n	blematic for C2M halysis was performed ot an end-end link using thoc_01a_061020.pdf
SuggestedRen	nedy				Suggestedl	Remed	ly				
		ack to the original Rx=0.19 B would work on a link whe								to -14.4 dB unless it is not at the slicer.	
Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status W			Proposed F	Respor	nse	Response Statu	is W		
PROPOSE	ED REJECT.				PROPO	OSED	REJECT.				
For task fo	orce discussion	-			As con	cludec	l in previou	eference Rx is interest is interest of the second s	ese param	eters have no sp	able rho_x. pecific meaning and
	SC 120G.3.2.1	P 229	L <b>48</b>	# 144	C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.3	I	231	L <b>47</b>	# 146
Ghiasi, Ali Commont Turn	о <b>тр</b>	Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D	m/inpni	TD4 cottingo	Ghiasi, Ali			Gh	iasi Quant	um/Inphi	
Comment Type		as two setting one settting f	or chort and on	TP4 settings	Comment 7	Tvpe	TR	Comment Stat		·	CM DC voltag
	short and long	are nor clear if the link mus			KR/CR	chips		d with common m		V to 1.0 V, ther	e is no reason to define
00		ollowing: Any host channel	with loss up to	11 dB	Suggestedl	Remed	ly				
		llowing: Any host channel v			Reduce	e comr	non mode	min to 0.2 V and	common r	node max to 1.0	V
Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status W			Proposed F	Respor	ise	Response Statu	is W		
PROPOSE	ED REJECT.				PROPO	OSED	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			
loss is arou The intent appropriate The setting characteris Near-end a specificatio	und 11.9 dB. S of having two s e amplitude and g is potentially stics, and not s and far-end tes ons with the ap the setting of n	n is written with the assump o providing a setting for goi settings, generically labelled d emphasis based on the h chosen by a combination of olely based on the host cha ts are specified for the mod propriate setting of tx_eq_s nodule tx_eq_state is not cl	ng beyond 11 of a short and long ost capabilities the host devic innel insertion I lule and it must tate, see 120G	IB is not helpful. g, is to provide e and the channel oss. meet both .3.3.2.1.	Resolv	e usin	g the respo	onse to comment	#148.		

A proposal for how the module equalization is set for operation would be helpful.

C/ <b>120G</b> SC	2120G.3.2	P <b>229</b>	L 34	# 147	C/ <b>120G</b>	SC ·	120G.3.4		P <b>235</b>	L 18	# 149
hiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali				Ghiasi Quante	um/Inphi	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		CM DC voltage	Comment 7	уре	TR	Comment S	Status D		CM DC voltage
the same ho If the CDR i	ost with such in the modul	d with common mode of 0.2 high common mode. e is BiCMOS and uses 3.3 V he module is CMOS then on	then one will ι	ise the right voltage	the san is BiCM	ne hos IOS ar is CM	t to have s id uses 3.3 OS then o	uch large outp 3 V then one v	out common m	node voltage. If not voltage rating	e is no reason to define the CDR in the module but if the CDR in the
SuggestedReme	edy				00		•	min to 0.2 V a	and common n	node max to 1.0	V
Reduce com	Proposed F			Response S							
Proposed Respo		Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			•			N PRINCIPLE			
		nse to comment #148.			Resolve	e using	the respo	nse to comme	ent #148.		
	• •				C/ 120G	SC ·	120G.5.3		P 241	L <b>31</b>	# 150
C/ 120G SC	2120G.3.1	P <b>224</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 148	Ghiasi, Ali				Ghiasi Quante	um/Inphi	
shiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m/Inphi		Comment 7	уре	TR	Comment S	Status D		precursor ISI ratio
the same ho is BiCMOS a	ost to have s and uses 3.3	Comment Status D d with common mode of 0.2 V uch large output common mo of V then one will use the righ ne doesn't need to use 3.3V	ode voltage. If tooltage rating	the CDR in the module	questio just it m S <i>uggestedl</i>	ned if p night be Remed	ore-cursor e usefull. y	ISI is need. 1	No has shown	why we need to	veral people have keep pre-cursor ISI,
SuggestedReme	edv				Given t	han no	one has s	hown pre-cur	sor ISI needeo	d then we should	l remove
00		min to 0.2 V and common m	ode max to 1.0	V	Proposed F	,		Response S			
Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status W			PROPO	SED /	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE			
PROPOSED In 802.3ck CR TX DC C KR TX DC C C2C TX DC C2M host in, C2M module There is not would make	ACCEPT II CM voltage ( CM voltage ( CM voltage ( CM voltage /out CM volt good alignm more sense align all of th ce discussion	N PRINCIPLE. max) = $1.9 \text{ V}$ max/min) = $1.0/0.2 \text{ V}$ (max/min) = $1.9/0 \text{ V}$ age (max/min) = $2.8/-0.3 \text{ V}$ voltage (max/min) = $2.85/-0.3 \text{ V}$ to the module interfaces are interfaces. n.	each of the inte		Since r low imp	o valu ortanc	e has beer e.	n proposed or	specification.] even discusse sor ISI specifie	-	this parameter is of

IEEE P802.3ck D1.3 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force 4th Task Force review comments C/ 162 SC 162.9.3 P146 C/ 163 SC 163.9.3 P 180 L 25 L 24 # 151 # 154 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status D TX CM AC noise Comment Type **TR** Comment Status D RX CM AC noise 30 mV AC common mode results in 1+ dB of COM penalty, there is no technical bases for Receiver specifications at TP5a must include max AC common mode using such large amount of AC common mode SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add max AC commonm mode 17.5 mV to the table Reduce TX AC common mode from 30 mV to 15 mV RMS Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. PROPOSED REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #142. Resolve using the response to comment #141. C/ 163 SC 163.9.3.3 P 182 L 20 # 155 C/ 162 SC 162.9.4 P 151 L 37 # 152 Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status D RX CM AC noise Comment Type TR Comment Status D RX CM AC noise Inteference tolerance must include AC common mode Receiver specifications at TP3 must include max AC common mode SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add step k to the list: Adjust stressor P/N skew if necessary to achive 17.5 mV AC RMS. Add max AC commonm mode 17.5 mV to the table Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. PROPOSED REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #142. Resolve using the response to comment #142. C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P150 L 50 # 156 C/ 163 # 153 SC 163.9.2 P 176 L 43 Dudek, Mike Marvell. Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi Comment Type T Comment Status D CM RI /noise Comment Type TR Comment Status D TX CM AC noise The description here is not helpful. This is the common-mode to common mode return 30 mV AC common mode results in 1+ dB of COM penalty, there is no technical bases for loss of the Tx. Also a value of 2dB hardly "limits" this affect it just helps and if it were using such large amount of AC common mode really "required" it would need to be a much larger value. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Reduce TX AC common mode from 30 mV to 15 mV RMS Change the paragraph "Common-mode signal can be generated in the channel by conversion of a differential signal. Any commonmode signal returned into the channel can Proposed Response Response Status W be converted back to a differential signal and result in differential noise into the receiver. To PROPOSED REJECT. limit this effect, a minimum common-mode to common-mode return loss is required." to "Common-mode signals can be returned to the transmitter by differential to common Resolve using the response to comment #141. mode reflections of the cable or receiver. Any commonmode signal reflected back into the channel by the transmitter can be converted to a differential signal and result in differential noise into the receiver. To reduce this effect a minimum common-mode to common-mode return loss is specified." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 156

Page 37 of 64 10/9/2020 3:44:29 PM

C/ 162 SC	C 162.9.3.4	P 151	L 16	# 157	C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.1	.1	P 161	L 19	# 160
Dudek, Mike		Marvell.			Dudek, Mike			Marvell.		
Comment Type	E Com	ment Status D		ERL tfx	Comment Typ	be T	Comment	Status D		CA XTALK [bucke
				mitigated. In particular	The wrong	g name is used	d and the equ	uation reference	e is wrong.	
		smission line". A tes	t point doesn't h	ave a return loss.	SuggestedRe	medy				
SuggestedReme					Change "	HOSTxP" to "H	IOSPT" Char	nge Equation 10	62-12 on line 21	to Equation 162-10
"which suffic	ciently mitigates the	igates the test point a effect of reflections footnote to table 162	from the test cor	nnector and test fixture	Proposed Res PROPOS	sponse ED ACCEPT.	Response S	Status W		
Proposed Resp	onse Resp	onse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉								
PROPOSE	D ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.			C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.1.2	2	P 178	L <b>5</b>	# 161
T for in staffin	a a lia tha waviahla l	int for Equation 024 (	1 in 000 0 ad 00		Dudek, Mike			Marvell.		
	hed in the variable in the variable in the variable in the variable in the result of the variable in the varia	ist for Equation 93A-6 s follows:	51 IN 802.3ca-20	18. However, the	Comment Typ		Comment			test fixtur
		ion delay in ns assoc	iated with the tes	st fixture, obtained by	There is r	no specification	n for the ERL	of the test fixtu	re	
	ent or inspection"	lay in ns associated v	with the test fixtu	ire obtained by	SuggestedRe	medy				
		as specified by the c			Insert a P	aragraph "The	ERL of the t	est fixture shall	be greater than	TBD dB"
	te: CC: 162, 163, 9	3A]			Proposed Res	sponse	Response S	Status <b>W</b>		
[Editor's not		•	L 37	# 158	•	sponse ED ACCEPT I	,			
[Editor's not C/ 162 SC	te: CC: 162, 163, 9 C <b>162.9.4.5</b>	P 155	L 37	# 158	PROPOS	ED ACCEPT I	, N PRINCIPL	E.		
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike	C 162.9.4.5	P <b>155</b> Marvell.	L 37		PROPOS [Editor's r	ED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPL	E. specification.]		
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike	C 162.9.4.5 E Com	P 155	L 37	# 158 [bucket]	PROPOS [Editor's r Resolve u	ED ACCEPT I note: Addresse using the respo	N PRINCIPL	E. specification.] nent #65.	/ 22	# 462
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type Erroneous "	C 162.9.4.5 E Com	P <b>155</b> Marvell.	L 37		PROPOS [Editor's r Resolve u C/ 163	ED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPL	E. e specification.] nent #65. P 178	L 33	# [ <u>162</u>
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type Erroneous " SuggestedReme	C <b>162.9.4.5</b> E Com "be" edy	P 155 Marvell. ment Status D	-	[bucket]	PROPOS [Editor's r Resolve u C/ 163 Dudek, Mike	ED ACCEPT I note: Addresse ising the respo	N PRINCIPL s incomplete nse to comm	E. e specification.] hent #65. P <b>178</b> Marvell.	L 33	
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type Erroneous " SuggestedReme Change "sh	C 162.9.4.5 E Corr "be" edy hall be meet the" to	P 155 Marvell. Iment Status D	-	[bucket]	PROPOS [Editor's r Resolve u C/ 163 Dudek, Mike Comment Typ	ED ACCEPT I note: Addresse ising the respo SC 163.9.2.2 pe TR	N PRINCIPL s incomplete nse to comm	E. specification.] hent #65. P <b>178</b> Marvell. Status <b>D</b>		example T
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type Erroneous " SuggestedReme Change "sh Proposed Respo	C 162.9.4.5 E Com "be" edy nall be meet the" to onse Resp	P 155 Marvell. ment Status D	-	[bucket]	PROPOS [Editor's r Resolve u Cl 163 Dudek, Mike Comment Typ The insert	ED ACCEPT I note: Addresse ising the respo SC 163.9.2.2 pe TR	N PRINCIPL s incomplete nse to comm	E. specification.] hent #65. P <b>178</b> Marvell. Status <b>D</b>	L 33 ealistically low.	example T
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type Erroneous " SuggestedReme	C 162.9.4.5 E Com "be" edy nall be meet the" to onse Resp	P 155 Marvell. Iment Status D	-	[bucket]	PROPOS [Editor's r Resolve u C/ 163 Dudek, Mike Comment Typ The inser Rx test fix	ED ACCEPT I note: Addresse using the respo SC 163.9.2.2 De TR tion loss of this tture as well.	N PRINCIPL s incomplete nse to comm	E. specification.] hent #65. P <b>178</b> Marvell. Status <b>D</b>		example T
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type Erroneous " SuggestedReme Change "sh Proposed Respo PROPOSEL	C 162.9.4.5 E Com "be" edy nall be meet the" to onse Resp	P 155 Marvell. Iment Status D	-	[bucket]	PROPOS [Editor's r Resolve u Cl 163 Dudek, Mike Comment Typ The inser Rx test fix SuggestedRe	ED ACCEPT I note: Addresse using the respo SC 163.9.2.2 De TR tion loss of this tture as well. <i>medy</i>	N PRINCIPL s incomplete onse to comm <i>Comment</i> s example tes	E. especification.] hent #65. P <b>178</b> Marvell. Status <b>D</b> st fixture is un-re	ealistically low.	example T
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type Erroneous " SuggestedReme Change "sh Proposed Respo PROPOSEL Cl 162 SC	C 162.9.4.5 E Com "be" edy nall be meet the" to onse Resp D ACCEPT.	P 155 Marvell. ment Status D "shall meet the" Also onse Status W	o on page 157 lir	[bucket] ne 43.	PROPOS [Editor's r Resolve u Cl 163 Dudek, Mike Comment Typ The inser Rx test fix SuggestedRe Change tt and chang	ED ACCEPT I note: Addresse using the respo SC 163.9.2.2 De TR tion loss of this ture as well. medy ne loss to "betw ge Figure 163-	N PRINCIPL s incomplete onse to comm <i>Comment</i> s example tes ween 2.4 and 4 to match. 1	E. specification.] hent #65. P 178 Marvell. Status D st fixture is un-re 1 3.2dB" and do Note that the R	ealistically low. uble the co-effic x test fixture refe	<i>example T</i> This applies to the ents in equation 163-1 ers to this equation and
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type Erroneous " SuggestedReme Change "sh PROPOSED PROPOSED Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike	C 162.9.4.5 E Cont "be" edy hall be meet the" to onse Resp D ACCEPT. C 162.11.3	P 155 Marvell. Imment Status D "shall meet the" Also onse Status W P 157	o on page 157 lir	[bucket] ne 43.	PROPOS [Editor's r Resolve u C/ 163 Dudek, Mike Comment Typ The inser Rx test fix SuggestedRe Change th and chang figure as	ED ACCEPT I note: Addresse ising the respo SC 163.9.2.2 De TR tion loss of this ture as well. medy ne loss to "betw ge Figure 163- well. Change	N PRINCIPL s incomplete onse to comm <i>Comment</i> s example tes ween 2.4 and 4 to match. 1	E. specification.] hent #65. P 178 Marvell. Status D st fixture is un-re 1 3.2dB" and do Note that the R	ealistically low. uble the co-effic x test fixture refe	<i>example T</i> This applies to the ients in equation 163-1
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type Erroneous " SuggestedReme Change "sh Proposed Respo PROPOSEI Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type	C 162.9.4.5 E Cont "be" edy hall be meet the" to onse Resp D ACCEPT. C 162.11.3	P 155 Marvell. ment Status D "shall meet the" Also onse Status W P 157 Marvell. ment Status D	o on page 157 lir	[bucket] ne 43. # 159	PROPOS [Editor's r Resolve u C/ 163 Dudek, Mike Comment Typ The inser Rx test fix SuggestedRe Change th and chang figure as 181 line 1	ED ACCEPT I note: Addresse using the respo SC 163.9.2.2 De TR tion loss of this titure as well. <i>medy</i> ne loss to "betw ge Figure 163- well. Change 9.	N PRINCIPL s incomplete onse to comm <i>Comment</i> s example tes ween 2.4 and 4 to match. I the loss of th	E. specification.] hent #65. P 178 Marvell. Status D st fixture is un-re 1 3.2dB" and do Note that the R he Rx test fixture	ealistically low. uble the co-effic x test fixture refe	<i>example T</i> This applies to the ents in equation 163-1 ers to this equation and
[Editor's not Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type Erroneous " SuggestedReme Change "sh Proposed Respo PROPOSEI Cl 162 SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type	C 162.9.4.5 E Com "be" edy hall be meet the" to onse Resp D ACCEPT. C 162.11.3 E Com singular "ERL" with edy	P 155 Marvell. ment Status D "shall meet the" Also onse Status W P 157 Marvell. ment Status D	o on page 157 lir	[bucket] ne 43. # 159	PROPOS [Editor's r Resolve u Cl 163 Dudek, Mike Comment Typ The inser Rx test fix SuggestedRe Change th and chang figure as 181 line 1 Proposed Res	ED ACCEPT I note: Addresse using the respo SC 163.9.2.2 De TR tion loss of this titure as well. <i>medy</i> ne loss to "betw ge Figure 163- well. Change 9.	N PRINCIPL s incomplete onse to comm <i>Comment</i> s example tes ween 2.4 and 4 to match. I the loss of th <i>Response</i> S	E. e specification.] hent #65. P 178 Marvell. Status D st fixture is un-re 1 3.2dB" and do Note that the R he Rx test fixture Status W	ealistically low. uble the co-effic x test fixture refe	<i>example T</i> This applies to the ents in equation 163-1 ers to this equation and

C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.1	P 180	L 37	# 163	C/ 163	SC ·	163.9.3.3	P 181	L <b>42</b>	# 166
Dudek, Mike		Marvell.			Dudek, Mik	ĸe		Marvell.		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		ERL value	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		RITT
fixture fo	r the interferen	plica in 93A.2 already enabl ce tolerance test fixture. It w been done for the Transmitt	ould be better to		param	eter.		ng to do with return loss. Al	so it would be be	etter to use ERLas the
	the specification	on in Table 163-9 and section 163A with suitable exception		ERL to dERL using the	TPt me require	e to "Theets the	he ERL of e for ERL ir	the test setup in Figure 930 n 163.9.2.1.2 with the excep		·
PROPOS	, SED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #40			Proposed I	Respon	ise	Response Status W		
	• •				Resolv	ve using	g the respo	onse to comment #71		
	SC 163.9.3.1	P 180 Marvell.	L 34	# 164	C/ 163	SC ·	163.9.3.3	P 181	L 51	# 167
Dudek, Mike Comment Ty		Comment Status D		[bucket]	Dudek, Mik	ĸe		Marvell.		
It is strar		ERL section that needs the	Rx Test fixture a	1 1	Comment TP0v is		<b>TR</b> sed in Ann	Comment Status D ex 93C which describes this	s test method.	TP0v
SuggestedRe Reverse		e Rx ERL and Receiver test	ixture sections t	to match the Tx order.	Suggested Either			e beginning of the considera	tions. "In this c	lause TP0v replaces
Proposed Re	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			TP0a i 163.9.3		x 93C".	Or Replace "TP0v" with "T	P0a". Do the s	ame in section
FROFO	SED ACCEPT.				Proposed I	Respon	ise	Response Status W		
C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.2	P 181	L 26	# 165	PROP	OSED	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
Dudek, Mike		Marvell.			Resolv	/e using	the respo	onse to comment #40.		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		RX test fixture						
	163-2 and figu RLas the parar	ure 163-6 are nothing to do w neter.	ith return loss.	Also it would be better						
SuggestedRe	emedy									
		x test fixture Replace the seal meet the specification for								
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W								
PROPOS	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.								
Resolve	using the resp	onse to comment #25								

C/ 163 SC 163.9.3.3	P 181	L <b>50</b>	# 168	C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1	.1 P 209	L <b>26</b>	# 171
Dudek, Mike	Marvell.			Dudek, Mike	Marvell.		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		RITT	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		Withdraw
of the loss between TPO	n Tr of the transmitter and t ) and TP0v and the Nyquist of the test fixture of 1.4dB wi	frequency. The	equation used was		elta is a pain for normal typin ut the delta symbol is ued in		port writing etc. d is
12.5GHz.				,	elta with d throughout Amme	x 120F Additio	nal places I poticed
SuggestedRemedy				were			
Replace the equation w	ith TBD.			Proposed Response	Response Status Z		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROPOSED REJECT			
PROPOSED ACCEPT	N PRINCIPLE.			This commont was M/		~ <b>*</b>	
Add an editor's note sta	ting that this equation shoul	d be revisited.		This comment was wi	THDRAWN by the comment	er.	
For task force review.	3			C/ 120F SC 120F.3.2	.3 P 212	L <b>42</b>	# 172
C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1.1	P 209	L <b>26</b>	# 169	Dudek, Mike	Marvell.		
Dudek, Mike	Marvell.			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		Withdrav
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		[bucket]	There isn't a return los	s spec in 163.9.2.1		
used in table 120F-1 bu SuggestedRemedy	ta is a pain for normal typing t the delta symbol is ued in	other places.		SuggestedRemedy Change "return loss" to Proposed Response	effective return loss" Response Status Z		
Replace the symbol del were	ta with d throughout Amme	x 120F. Additio	nal places I noticed	PROPOSED REJECT.	•		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			This comment was WI	THDRAWN by the comment	er.	
Resolve using the respo	onse to comment #80.			C/ 162 SC 162.11.2	P 157	L 8	# 173
C/ 120F SC 120F.3.2.3	B P 212	L <b>42</b>	# 170	Haser, Alex	Molex		
Dudek, Mike	Marvell.			Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		CA
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		[bucket]	The minimum IL is too min IL limit	strict to allow 0.5m 30awg c	ables (see suppo	rt slide); need to relax
There isn't a return loss	spec in 163.9.2.1			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				More work needed to c	letermine what the mask sho	ould be	
Change "return loss" to	"effective return loss"			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
	Response Status W			PROPOSED REJECT			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.				iciently complete to impleme		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 162 SC 162.11.2	P 157	L 10	# 174	C/ 162B SC 162B.1.	3.1 <i>P</i> 256	L <b>25</b>	# 177
Haser, Alex	Molex			Haser, Alex	Molex		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		CA IL	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		MTF IL
Fill in TBD. Low freqeund freuqencies; no need to c	cy cable loss can't vary wild over-spec	lly if the cable wo	orks at higher	haser_3ck_adhoc_01	ninimal impact on FOM_ILD va c_062420, slide 8); a start free 0 MHz due to current common	quency of 50 MI	
SuggestedRemedy							A capabilities
Replace TBD with 0.05G	Hz			SuggestedRemedy	II D colouistion from 10 Mila		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Ū.	_ILD calculation from 10 MHz		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
[Editor's note: Addresses	s incomplete specification.]			PROPOSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
Resolve using the respon				Change fmin for FOM	_ILD calculation from 10 MHz	to 50 MHz.	
		1.10	" [	See slide 8 of the sup	porting presention		
W 162 SC 162.11.3	P 158	L 12	# 175	https://www.ieee802.c	org/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun24_20	0/haser_3ck_ad	hoc_01c_062420.pdf
laser, Alex	Molex			C/ 162B SC 162B.1.	3.2 <i>P</i> 256	L <b>40</b>	# 178
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		ERL parameter	Haser, Alex	Molex		
Setting a single vlaue for between test fixtures	fixture delay is not flexible	enough to accou	int for variation	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		MTF RL
				Current RL mask doe	sn't accurately capture necess	sary RL perform	ance
SuggestedRemedy	a dalay (a a 2 a a + 100)			SuggestedRemedy		<i>y</i>	
	e delay (e.g., 2ns +/- 10%)			88 y	d replace with ERL ; input valu	les and ERI lim	it TBD
	Response Status W			Remove RE maer and	ropidoo mar Erte, input vala		
, ,				Branagad Baananaa	Despense Status M		
PROPOSED REJECT.				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED REJECT.	provide sufficient justification	n for the propose	ed change.	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP			
PROPOSED REJECT.	rovide sufficient justification	n for the propose	ed change.	, ,	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion	rovide sufficient justification	n for the propose	ed change. # 176	PROPOSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122.	L 28	# 179
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion 7 162 SC 162.11.3	rovide sufficient justification			PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using respon Cl 162B SC 162B.1.	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122.	L 28	
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion / 162 SC 162.11.3 aser, Alex	provide sufficient justification n. P <b>158</b>			PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using respon Cl 162B SC 162B.1. Haser, Alex	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122. 3.6 <i>P</i> 260	L 28	# 179
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion <b>7 162</b> SC 162.11.3 aser, Alex comment Type <b>ER</b>	orovide sufficient justification <i>P</i> <b>158</b> Molex	L 15	# 176 ERL tfx	PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using respon Cl 162B SC 162B.1. Haser, Alex	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122. 3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D	L 28	# 179
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion 7 162 SC 162.11.3 laser, Alex <i>Comment Type</i> ER The note about fixture de	provide sufficient justification P <b>158</b> Molex Comment Status <b>D</b>	L 15	# 176 ERL tfx not represent twice	PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using respon Cl 162B SC 162B.1. Haser, Alex Comment Type ER Section 110B.1.3.7 de	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122. 3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D	L 28	# 179
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion <b>162</b> SC 162.11.3 aser, Alex <i>comment Type</i> ER The note about fixture de the transmission line dela	P 158 Molex Comment Status D elay is misleading. The spec	L 15	# 176 ERL tfx not represent twice	PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using respon Cl 162B SC 162B.1. Haser, Alex Comment Type ER Section 110B.1.3.7 do SuggestedRemedy	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122. 3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D bes not exist	L 28	# [179
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion <b>162</b> SC 162.11.3 aser, Alex <i>comment Type</i> ER The note about fixture de the transmission line dela <i>suggestedRemedy</i> Change footnote to: "The	provide sufficient justification P <b>158</b> Molex <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> elay is misleading. The spec ay. Only the coax is being n e specified Tfx value signfic	L 15 cified delay does removed from the cantly mitigates th	# 176 ERL tfx not represent twice e fixture.	PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using respon Cl 162B SC 162B.1. Haser, Alex Comment Type ER Section 110B.1.3.7 do SuggestedRemedy Change reference to	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122. 3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D bes not exist	L 28	# 179
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion <b>/ 162</b> SC 162.11.3 aser, Alex <i>omment Type</i> ER The note about fixture de the transmission line dela <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change footnote to: "The transmission line return log	provide sufficient justification P 158 Molex Comment Status D Play is misleading. The spec ay. Only the coax is being re- e specified Tfx value signific oss by removing the coax of	L 15 cified delay does removed from the cantly mitigates th	# 176 ERL tfx not represent twice e fixture.	PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using respon Cl 162B SC 162B.1. Haser, Alex Comment Type ER Section 110B.1.3.7 do SuggestedRemedy Change reference to Proposed Response	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122. 3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D bes not exist 110B.1.3.6 Response Status W	L 28	# 179
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion 2/ 162 SC 162.11.3 laser, Alex Comment Type ER The note about fixture de the transmission line dela SuggestedRemedy Change footnote to: "The transmission line return lo measurement." or sometil	Provide sufficient justification P 158 Molex Comment Status D Play is misleading. The special ay. Only the coax is being r e specified Tfx value signfic oss by removing the coax of hing along those lines	L 15 cified delay does removed from the cantly mitigates th	# 176 ERL tfx not represent twice e fixture.	PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using respon Cl 162B SC 162B.1. Haser, Alex Comment Type ER Section 110B.1.3.7 do SuggestedRemedy Change reference to	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122. 3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D bes not exist 110B.1.3.6 Response Status W	L 28	
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion <b>Cl 162</b> SC 162.11.3 laser, Alex <i>Comment Type</i> ER The note about fixture de the transmission line dela <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change footnote to: "The transmission line return lo measurement." or somether Proposed Response	P 158 Molex Comment Status D elay is misleading. The spec ay. Only the coax is being re especified Tfx value signfic oss by removing the coax of hing along those lines Response Status W	L 15 cified delay does removed from the cantly mitigates th	# 176 ERL tfx not represent twice e fixture.	PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using respon Cl 162B SC 162B.1. Haser, Alex Comment Type ER Section 110B.1.3.7 do SuggestedRemedy Change reference to Proposed Response	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122. 3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D bes not exist 110B.1.3.6 Response Status W	L 28	# 179
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion 2/ 162 SC 162.11.3 laser, Alex Comment Type ER The note about fixture de the transmission line dela SuggestedRemedy Change footnote to: "The transmission line return lo measurement." or sometil	P 158 Molex Comment Status D elay is misleading. The spec ay. Only the coax is being re especified Tfx value signfic oss by removing the coax of hing along those lines Response Status W	L 15 cified delay does removed from the cantly mitigates th	# 176 ERL tfx not represent twice e fixture.	PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using respon Cl 162B SC 162B.1. Haser, Alex Comment Type ER Section 110B.1.3.7 do SuggestedRemedy Change reference to Proposed Response	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122. 3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D bes not exist 110B.1.3.6 Response Status W	L 28	# 179
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment does not p For task force discussion <b>C 162</b> SC 162.11.3 laser, Alex <i>Comment Type</i> ER The note about fixture de the transmission line dela <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change footnote to: "The transmission line return lo measurement." or somether <i>Proposed Response</i>	P 158 P 158 Molex Comment Status D elay is misleading. The spece ay. Only the coax is being re- e specified Tfx value signific oss by removing the coax of hing along those lines Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.	L 15 cified delay does removed from the cantly mitigates th	# 176 ERL tfx not represent twice e fixture.	PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using respon Cl 162B SC 162B.1. Haser, Alex Comment Type ER Section 110B.1.3.7 do SuggestedRemedy Change reference to Proposed Response	T IN PRINCIPLE. se to comment #122. 3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D bes not exist 110B.1.3.6 Response Status W	L 28	# 179

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.3	3.6 <i>P</i> 260	L <b>29</b>	# 180
Haser, Alex		Molex		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		MTF XTALK
(should		ncies are not defined for ICN c .1.3.6, which specifies 50 MH.		
SuggestedF	Remedy			
		I calculations should be done		Hz with a 10 MHz step
size, eit	ther by adding	text or adding values to Table	162B-1	
Proposed R	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
PROPC	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
https://v C/ 162B Haser, Alex	SC 162B.1.3	rg/3/ck/public/20_07/diminico_ 3.6 P 260 Molex	_3ck_02e_0720 <i>L</i> <b>54</b>	.pdf # [181
<i>Cl</i> <b>162B</b> Haser, Alex	SC 162B.1.3	3.6 <i>P</i> 260 Molex		·
Cl 162B Haser, Alex Comment T	SC 162B.1.3	3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D	L 54	# [181
Cl 162B Haser, Alex Comment T Start ar	SC 162B.1.3	3.6 <i>P</i> 260 Molex	L 54	# [181
Cl <b>162B</b> Haser, Alex Comment T Start ar SuggestedF	SC 162B.1.3	3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D ncies are not defined for ICN c	L 54	# [ <u>181</u> <i>MTF XTALK</i>
Cl 162B Haser, Alex Comment T Start ar SuggestedF Add "In	SC 162B.1.3	3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D acies are not defined for ICN c talk RMS noise voltages are m	L 54	# 181 <i>MTF XTALK</i> uniformly-spaced
Cl 162B Haser, Alex Comment T Start ar SuggestedF Add "In frequen	SC 162B.1.3 Type TR ad stop frequer Remedy tegrated crossi icies f_n spann	3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D ncies are not defined for ICN c	L 54 calculations neasured over N Hz to 40 GHz w	# 181 <i>MTF XTALK</i> uniformly-spaced th a minimum spacing
Cl 162B Haser, Alex Comment T Start ar SuggestedF Add "In frequen	SC 162B.1.3 Type TR ad stop frequer Remedy tegrated crossi cies f_n spann Hz." to the enc	3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D acies are not defined for ICN c talk RMS noise voltages are m ing the frequency range 50 M	L 54 calculations neasured over N Hz to 40 GHz w	# 181 <i>MTF XTALK</i> uniformly-spaced th a minimum spacing
Cl 162B Haser, Alex Comment T Start ar SuggestedF Add "In frequen of 10 M Proposed R	SC 162B.1.3 Type TR ad stop frequer Remedy tegrated crossficies f_n spann Hz." to the enc Response	3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D ncies are not defined for ICN c talk RMS noise voltages are m ting the frequency range 50 M d of this section or add values	L 54 calculations neasured over N Hz to 40 GHz w	# 181 <i>MTF XTALK</i> uniformly-spaced th a minimum spacing
Cl 162B Haser, Alex Comment T Start ar SuggestedF Add "In frequen of 10 M Proposed R PROPC	SC 162B.1.3 Type TR ad stop frequer Remedy tegrated crossi cies f_n spann Hz." to the enc Response DSED ACCEPT	3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D notes are not defined for ICN c talk RMS noise voltages are m ting the frequency range 50 M d of this section or add values Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.	L 54 calculations neasured over N Hz to 40 GHz w	# 181 <i>MTF XTALK</i> uniformly-spaced th a minimum spacing
Cl 162B Haser, Alex Comment T Start ar SuggestedF Add "In frequen of 10 M Proposed R PROPC	SC 162B.1.3 Type TR ad stop frequer Remedy tegrated crossi cies f_n spann Hz." to the enc Response DSED ACCEPT	3.6 P 260 Molex Comment Status D notes are not defined for ICN c talk RMS noise voltages are m ing the frequency range 50 M d of this section or add values Response Status W	L 54 calculations neasured over N Hz to 40 GHz w	# 181 <i>MTF XTALK</i> uniformly-spaced th a minimum spacing

C/ 120G SC	2 120G.3.2.1	P 229	L <b>51</b>	# 182
Maki, Jeffery		Juniper Networks	;	
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		C2M modes

For host management of module equalization, it would be aligned with modern management interface specifications (e.g., CMIS with use of SFF-8024 Table 4-5 Host Electrical Interface Codes) to designate a nomenclature for the configuration that the module advertises it supports and the host selects. Since there are only two states to choose between, short and long, this is a very practical approach.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add immediately after first occurrence of tx\_eq\_state the text, "also designated as 100GAUI-1-S or 100GAUI-1-L for 100GAUI-1 C2M. 200GAUI-2-S or 200GAUI-2-L for 200GAUI-2 C2M and 400GAUI-4-S or 400GAUI-4-L for 400GAUI-4 C2M." For the second occurrence of tx eq state, insert immediately after "tx eq state is 0" the text "or 100GAUI--S is selected for 100GAUI-1 C2M, or 200GAUI-2-S is selected for 200GAUI-2 C2M or 400GAUI-4-S is selected for 400GAUI-4 C2M." For the third occurrence of tx\_eq\_state, nsert immediately after "tx\_eq\_state is 1" the text "or 100GAUI-1-L is selected for 100GAUI-1 C2M. or 200GAUI-2-L is selected for 200GAUI-2 C2M or 400GAUI-4-L is selected for 400GAUI-4 C2M." For the fourth occurrence of tx eq state, insert immediately after "tx\_eq\_state" the text "or the use of 100GAUI-1-S or 100GAUI-1-L for 100GAUI-1 C2M. 200GAUI-2-S or 200GAUI-2-L for 200GAUI-2 C2M and 400GAUI-4-S or 400GAUI-4for 400GAUI-4 C2M." Note this is very similar to BiDi optics that designate a base PMD name and an extended name for the "down" and "up" PMD. See for example Cluase 58.1 or 100BASE-BX10, where it is written "100BASE-BX10-D PMD at one end and a 100BASE-BX10-U PMD at the other." Here we use the extened AUI name to indicate choice of equalization, short or long.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The two modes supported by the module output @ TP4 are equalization settings that are selected appropriately for a particular host input.

The module management is defined generically and can be mapped to any specific management infrastructure.

It has not been shown that the proposed changes improve the accuracy and clarity of the draft.

For task force discussion.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2.2	P 230	L <b>6</b>	# 183	C/ 120G	SC 120G.6.3	<i>P</i> 243	L <b>29</b>	# 185
Maki, Jeffei	ry	Juniper Netwo	orks		Maki, Jeffer	ry	Juniper Networ	ks	
Comment 7	Гуре Т С	omment Status D		C2M modes	Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status D		<u>I</u>
		odule equalization, it wo			Major c	capability/option	for the host is missing that is a	already listed	for the module.
		ifications (e.g., CMIS wi o designate a nomencla			Suggestedl	Remedy			
module	advertises it support	ts and the host selects. long, this is a very pract	Since there are				tem = ADE-H; Feature = Adapt nent = See 120G.3.3; Status =		
Suggested	Remedy				Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
		eq_state set to 0" the te			PROPO	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
selecte 100GA	d for 400GAUI-4 C2N UI-1-L is selected for	UI-2-S is selected for 20 M." Insert immediately at 100GAUI-1 C2M, or 20 ected for 400GAUI-4 C2	fter "tx_eq_state 0GAUI-2-L is se	e set to 1" the text "or			fied in 120G.3.3, but has not ye remedy with editorial license.	et been listed	in the PICS.
Proposed F		esponse Status W	IVI.		C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P 146	L <b>48</b>	# 186
•	DSED REJECT.				Calvin, Joh	n	Keysight Techr	nologies	
					Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status D		E
Resolv	e using the response	to comment #182.					n-Odd jitter is only 358 femtose		is too low to be
C/ 120G	SC 120G.6.3	P 243	L 30	# 184		•	vith current state of the art test	equipment.	
Maki, Jeffei	ry	Juniper Netwo	orks		Suggested				
Comment 1	Гуре Т С	omment Status D		C2M modes		•	t from 0.019 UI to 0.025 UI		
Major c	apability/option for th	ne module is missing.			Proposed F	•	Response Status W		
Suggestedl	Remedy				PROPO	JSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
		) with Item = EQ; Featur			Resolv	e using the resp	oonse to comment #190.		
		AUI-2-L) or (400GAUI-4- at = See 120G.3.2.1; Sta			C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 177	L 16	# 187
Proposed F		esponse Status W		n = 100 [].	Calvin, Joh		Keysight Techr		
,	DSED REJECT.				Comment 7		Comment Status D	lologioo	F
	e using the response	to comment #182.			The sp	ec limit for Ever	n-Odd jitter is only 358 femtose with current state of the art test		is too low to be
					Suggestedl	Remedy			
					Increas	se the spec limit	from 0.019 UI to 0.025 UI		
					Proposed F PROP(	Response OSED REJECT	Response Status W		
					measu	sing EOJ may b rement should b k force discussi		odology to im	prove EOJ

[bucket]

EO jitter

EO jitter

C/ 120F SC 120F.3	B.1 P 208	L <b>39</b>	# 188	C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.	.3	P <b>210</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 190
Calvin, John	Keysight Tecl	nnologies		Calvin, Joh	n		Keysight Tec	hnologies	
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		EO jitter	Comment 7	уре Т	Comme	ent Status D		EO jitte
	ven-Odd jitter is only 358 femtos d with current state of the art tes		s too low to be	https:// 620.pd	grouper.ieee.of it has been sh	g/groups/80	e EOJ measurem	oc/sept16_20/ca ent is susceptibl	lvin_3ck_adhoc_01_091 e to a systematic error
	nit from 0.019 UI to 0.025 UI			based o CDR lo	on the test patt op BW to be re	ern length a educed belov	nd baud rate. Thi w 4 MHz	is is easily resolv	ved by allowing the
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Suggestedl	Remedy				
	PT IN PRINCIPLE. esponse to comment #190. 20F, 120G, 162, 163]			measu measu	rement method	specified in recovery u	3 to read Even-o 120D.3.1.8.2. wi nit (CRU) with a c	th the exception	
C/ 162 SC 162.9.3	3.3 <i>P</i> 150	L 39	# 189	Proposed F	Response	Respons	se Status W		
Calvin, John	Keysight Tecl		# 109	PROPO	DSED ACCEP	IN PRINCI	PLE.		
https://grouper.ieee. 620.pdf it has been based on the test pa CDR loop BW to be	lvin/LeCheminant presentation org/groups/802/3/ck/public/adho shown that the EOJ measuremo attern length and baud rate. Thi reduced below 4 MHz	ent is susceptible	e to a systematic error	Comme Discuss Applies For tas	ent #188 propo	ses to increa to determin ents against ion.		n 0.019 UI to 0.0	925 UI.
SuggestedRemedy							5 000	( 00	"
measurement metho	age 150 line 39 to read Even-o od specified in 120D.3.1.8.2. wit	h the exception	that EOJ may be	C/ <b>120G</b> Calvin, Joh	SC <b>120G.3.</b> n	3.2	P 232 Keysight Tec	L <b>23</b> hnologies	# 191
slope of 20 dB/deca	ck recovery unit (CRU) with a c de	Siner frequency		Comment 7	<i>уре</i> <b>т</b>	Comme	ent Status D		TP1 VEC
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W			https:// it is ill realized	ustrated that th d with contemp	rg/3/ck/publ e Host stres orary instrur	lic/adhoc/sept23_3 sed Far-end verti	cal eye closure of urrent choice of	adhoc_01a_092320.pdf of 7.5dB, cannot be MTF channel losses
				Suggestedl	Remedv				
				Update Alterna	the target Far	his 7.5dB VI	EC target without		6 from 7.5dB to 9.5dB. g (SJ) impairments is
				Proposed F	Response	Respons	se Status W		
				•					
				increas looseni	ing the specifie	ed value. This specification		tightening receiv	equipment by er specifications and ses changes is required.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1	P 235	L <b>40</b>	# 192	C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.3	P 181	L <b>42</b>	# 194
Calvin, John	Keysight Tech	nnologies		Wu, Mau-I	in	MediaTek		
omment Type T Co.	mment Status D		TP4a V	C Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		RITT
Based on Hadrien/Garg/Calvi https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck, it is illustrated that the Modu realized with contemporary in and sinusoidal impairments re	public/adhoc/sept23_2 le stressed input test \ strumentation. The cu	/EC (max) value	of 9.5dB, cannot be	f (equat Suggested Copy	ion 163-2) in D1p2 Remedy	Equation (163-2), is not co and be removed from D1p D1p2 & related description	03.	
uggestedRemedy						·		
Update the target VEC max in this 9.5dB target VEC should without typical margining (SJ)	be attainable with eith	er a lower loss C	2M test channel, or	-	OSED ACCEPT IN	-		
roposed Response Res	ponse Status W			Resolv	e using the respor	ise to comment #71		
PROPOSED REJECT.				C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1.1	P 209	L 6	# 195
The relevation of VEO and off		the reasting		Wu, Mau-I	in	MediaTek		
The relaxation of VEC specifi Reducing the amount of SJ is characteristics. For task force discussion.			ve the appropriate	Comment The sy	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> mbol "dERL (min)"	Comment Status D	"dERL (min)" in	<i>[bucket]</i> Table 120F-1.
163 SC 163.9.3.2	P 181	L <b>26</b>	# 193	Suggested Align v	<i>Remeay</i> <i>v</i> ith "dERL (min)" iı	n Table 120F-1.		
u, Mau-Lin	MediaTek			Proposed		Response Status W		
omment Type <b>T</b> Co	mment Status D		RX test fixt		OSED ACCEPT IN	,		
The sentence here is to defin refer to Equation (163-2) & Fi correct.						nse to comment #80.		
The reason is that the origina had been removed from D1p		63-2) & figure (F	igure 163-4) in D1p2	<i>Cl</i> <b>120F</b> Wu, Mau-I	SC 120F.3.1.1	<i>Р</i> <b>209</b> MediaTek	L <b>26</b>	# 196
uggestedRemedy				Comment		Comment Status D		[bucket]
Copy Equation 163-2 & Figur the appropriate location & con				The sy	mbol "dERL (min)	here doesn't consist with	"dERL (min)" in	
roposed Response Res	ponse Status W			Suggested		<b>T</b>		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR	INCIPLE.			6	vith "dERL (min)" ii			
This is taken place if commer				Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W		
Implement the suggested ren	neay if comment #25 is	s rejected.		Resolv	e using the respor	use to comment #80.		
YPE: TR/technical required ER/ OMMENT STATUS: D/dispatch					Z/withdrown	Comm	ent ID 196	Page 45 of 64 10/9/2020 3:44

	SC 163.9.2	P 176	L <b>43</b>	# 197	C/ 163A	SC 163A.3	3.1.1	P <b>282</b>	L 19	# 199
Wu, Mau-L	in	MediaTek			Wu, Mau-I	.in		MediaTek		
Comment T	Туре <b>т</b>	Comment Status D		TX CM AC noise	Comment	Туре Т	Commen	t Status D		[bucket
		riable test fixture methodology			The pa	arameter of "N	l_v" in the equa	tion (163A-3) ha	d been mistaker	nly set as "n_v".
RMS v Suggested We sha voltage and ad commo and ref wu_3cl Proposed F PROPO Implem A press [Editor"	voltage (max)" wi IRemedy all define "Differ e (max)" here. W dopt one scaling on-mode RMS v ference one. So k_adhoc_01_09 Response OSED ACCEPT nent suggested	III be also strongly dependent ence between measured and /e shall define the AC commo factor which is related to IL of oltage (max) at TP0v. Define me information had been prov 0920.pdf. Plan to provide one <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. remedy k_01_1120, is anticipated. sentation URL]	on IL of TP0v. V reference AC co on-mode RMS vo f TP0v to derive the difference a vided in	We need to fix this. ommon-mode RMS oltage (max) at TP0 the reference AC mong measured one	Proposed PROF Impler Cl 163 Wu, Mau-I Comment The "C from 1 the "C	tt "n_v" as "N_ Response OSED ACCEI nent the sugge SC 163.9.3 .in Type T Case E from Ta able Table 16 ase E from Ta	PT IN PRINCIP sted remedy wit 3.4 <i>Commen</i> able 162-15" he 3-9", where Cas able 162-15" in I	Status W LE. h editorial licens P 183 MediaTek t Status D tre is not correct se E is the case D1p3 is the case	L 41 . The original on with Jitter freque with Jitter freque	# 200 <i>RJ</i> e in D1p2 is "Case E ency 40 MHz. However, lency 12 MHz.
					Thoro	ie ond eimilar	arrors in stan c	) in 120 F 3 2 4 s	at nade 214	
C/ 163A	SC 163A 1	P 280	/ 28	# 198			errors in step c	) in 120F.3.2.4 a	at page 214.	
C/ <b>163A</b> Wu, Mau-L	SC 163A.1 .in	P <b>280</b> MediaTek	L 28	# 198	Suggested Chang	<i>Remedy</i> e "Case E fro	m Table 162-15	" to "Case F from	m Table 162.15"	both in step c) in
	_in		L 28	# [ <u>198</u> [bucket]	Suggested Chang 163.9.	<i>Remedy</i> e "Case E fro 3.4 at page 18	m Table 162-15 33 & step c) in 1	" to "Case F fro 20F.3.2.4 at pag	m Table 162.15"	both in step c) in
Wu, Mau-L <i>Comment</i> T It seem	in <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> ns that the term	MediaTek Comment Status D "for" in the following sentence	e is redundant.	[bucket]	Suggested Chang 163.9. Proposed	Remedy e "Case E fro 3.4 at page 18 Response	m Table 162-15 33 & step c) in 1 <i>Response</i>	" to "Case F from	m Table 162.15"	both in step c) in
Wu, Mau-L Comment T It seem "c) The	in <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> ns that the term e difference betw	MediaTek Comment Status D "for" in the following sentence yeen measured and reference	e is redundant.	[bucket]	Suggested Chang 163.9. Proposed	<i>Remedy</i> e "Case E fro 3.4 at page 18	m Table 162-15 33 & step c) in 1 <i>Response</i>	" to "Case F fro 20F.3.2.4 at pag	m Table 162.15"	both in step c) in
Wu, Mau-L Comment T It seem "c) The method	in <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> ns that the term e difference betw ds defined in 163	MediaTek Comment Status D "for" in the following sentence yeen measured and reference	e is redundant.	[bucket]	Suggested Chang 163.9. Proposed PROF	Remedy e "Case E fro 3.4 at page 18 Response	m Table 162-15 33 & step c) in 1 <i>Response</i> PT.	" to "Case F fro 20F.3.2.4 at pag	m Table 162.15"	both in step c) in
Wu, Mau-L Comment T It seem "c) The method Suggested Change	in Type E ns that the term e difference betw ds defined in 16: IRemedy the sentence of	MediaTek Comment Status D "for" in the following sentence yeen measured and reference	e is redundant. values for are o etween measure	[bucket]	Suggested Chang 163.9. Proposed PROF	Remedy e "Case E fro 3.4 at page 18 Response OSED ACCEI	m Table 162-15 33 & step c) in 1 <i>Response</i> PT.	" to "Case F fro 20F.3.2.4 at pag	m Table 162.15"	both in step c) in
Wu, Mau-L Comment T It seem "c) The method Suggested Change	in <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> ns that the term e difference betw ds defined in 16: <i>IRemedy</i> le the sentence of mputed using the	MediaTek <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> "for" in the following sentence yeen measured and reference 3A.3.2." of c) into "c) The difference be	e is redundant. values for are o etween measure	[bucket]	Suggested Chang 163.9. Proposed PROF	Remedy e "Case E fro 3.4 at page 18 Response OSED ACCEI	m Table 162-15 33 & step c) in 1 <i>Response</i> PT.	" to "Case F fro 20F.3.2.4 at pag	m Table 162.15"	both in step c) in

C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.2.4	P 214	L 16	# 201	C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P <b>207</b>	L 14	# 203
Vu, Mau-Li	n	MediaTek			Wu, Mau-L	in	MediaTek		
Comment T	<sup>-</sup> уре <b>т</b>	Comment Status D		RITT	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		ERL value
		ceiver under test shall meet 62-15". However, the FEC s			dERLi	s still TBD			
		or KR & CR. For C2C applic			Suggested	Remedy			
	ment shall be 1e						e negative values. I had s		
Suggested	Remedy					comment.	2320.pdf. I plan to prepare	e one contribution,	wu_3ck_02_1120.pdf,
		"The receiver under test sh se in Table 162-15."	all meet 1e-4 Fl	EC symbol error ratio	Proposed I	,	Response Status W		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROPO	SED ACCEPT I	•			[Editor	s note: Address	es incomplete specificatio	on.]	
specific The tex jitter tol Remov In 163.9	ation method of s tt in 162 points to erance table is n e FEC symbol er 9.3.4, change the	t a valid issue. However, it w symbol error ratio for the 3 i Table 162-14 for the FEC s ot necessary or helpful. ror ratio row in Table 162-15 sentence on page 183, line shall meet the FEC symbol	nterfaces. symbol error rati 5. e 50 to:	o so having it in the	https:// A seco The fin The se Pendir	www.ieee802.or nd presentation st presentation d cond presentatio	presentations is here: g/3/ck/public/adhoc/sept2 (wu_3ck_02_1120) is exp oes not provide an action on has not yet been receiv ind task force discussion. sentation URL.]	bected. able remedy. /ed.	oc_01a_092320.pdf
case in	Table 162–15."	-			C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.2	P 178	L 33	# 204
In 120F	3.2.4, change th	ne sentence on page 214, lin t shall meet the FEC symbol	ne 16 to: Lerror ratio in Ta	ble 120E-5 for each	Wu, Mau-L	in	MediaTek		
	Table 162–15."				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		example T
error ra		apitalization and change "Fl 163, 120F] P <b>176</b>	EC Symbol erro	ratio" to "FEC symbol # 202	provide ILD sp wu_3c	e this kind of "exa ecs of this exam	nere are too challenging to ample TX test fixture". Ba ple TX test fixture (TP0a) 2320.pdf. I plan to prepare	sed on that, I prop . Detailed informati	osed to relax the IL and ion had been included in
Vu, Mau-Li	n	MediaTek			Suggested	Remedy			
Suggested	s still TBD Remedy	Comment Status D		ERL value	Chang dB at 2 Remov	e IL and ILD spe 26.56 GHz". ILD	ecs of the example TX tes is less than or equal to 0. (163-1), Figure 163-4, and /e	2 dB from 0.05 to 2	26.56 GHz
		negative values. I had shar 320.pdf. I plan to prepare or			Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
	comment.	S20.pul. I plan to prepare or	le contribution,	wu_3ck_02_1120.pdi,	PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			This cr	memnt involves	multiple suggested redeo	lies	
PROPO	DSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			Resolv	e IL change usir	ig the response to commond figure have been in mu	ent #136.	his comment does not
A prese		onse to comment #61 vated: wu_3ck_02_1120. entation URL]			provide Implen For tas		cation to remove them.		
	echnical required	d ER/editorial required GR/	apperal required	T/technical E/editorial C/	aonoral		Cor	nment ID <b>204</b>	Page 47 of 64

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 204

Page 47 of 64 10/9/2020 3:44:29 PM

C/ 163A SC 163A.1	P <b>280</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 205	C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	2 P <b>241</b>	L 10	# 206
Wu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Type T Co	omment Status D		TP0v method	Comment 7	<i>уре</i> <b>т</b>	Comment Status D		EO method
By adopting "TP0v" test fixtu mode RMS voltage shall be			k, but also AC common-		c the linear fit i is no mention	s performed "with parameter of M.	M the same as f	or step a)" - but in step
SuggestedRemedy If we take the V_ACCM as the change the blocks of "Measured Figure 163A-1 to "Measured V_peak, V_ACCM". The paragraphs in Annex 16 new paragraphs may need it Plan to provide one contribu	ured ERL, V_f, V_peak" ERL, V_f, V_peak, V_A 3 related to this change f necessary.	& "Reference E ACCM" & "Refer shall be modifie	RL, V_f, V_peak" in ence ERL, V_f, ed accordingly. Some	calcula In the F used. T no expl <i>Suggested</i>	tion of a linear PMD clauses, fo he third paragr icit statement is Remedy		g t_s. e at least 32, and	d interpolation can be
Proposed Response Re	sponse Status W			Delete	with paramete	r M the same as for step a)".		
PROPOSED REJECT. The proposed remedy is not Pending presentation and ta [Editor's note: Add presentat	sk force discussion.	implement.		Item a) least 32 minimu and the fit. Options 1) Chai least 3. 2) In ite	DSED ACCEPT previously refe 2. This capture m of 3 samples linear fit is to a s to address thinge the text in i " or	tem a) to "The number of sar <i>i</i> th parameter M the same as	e in 120E.4.2, w eping M the san he sample time nples captured p	hich specifies a ne in both the capture derived from the linear
				C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.	1 P 238	L 51	# 207
				Ran, Adee		Intel		
				Comment 7 Cross r		Comment Status D 0E.3.1 is inaccurate		[bucket]
				Suggestedl Change	Remedy e to 120E.3.1.2			
				Proposed F PROPO	Response DSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	P 226	L 17	# 208	C/ 120G	SC 1	120G.5.2		P 241	L 14	# 210
Ran, Adee	1200.3.1	Intel	- 11	# 200	Ran, Adee	00	1200.3.2		ntel	- 1 <del>4</del>	# 210
Comment T	<i>уре</i> <b>т</b>	Comment Status D		ew/esmw	Comment 7	Гуре	т	Comment St			ew/esm
ESMW	is TBD.				"Comp the	ute the	receiver ir	nput signal yrx(	(k) by applyir	ng the effect of the	e DFE to y2(k) using
The imp parame		/W is not clear and there has	been no propos	al for a value for this	samplir	ng phas	se ts and ta	ap weights b(n	) determined	I in the previous s	step"
existing	EH and VEC li	ve EMSW, at least until evide mits) and a robust are presented, and a value fo		,	differen	nt eye s d they v	hape. Alth will depend	hough EH and V	VEC are not		methods can result in r ESMW spec are pecified
SuggestedF	Remedy				Suggestedl	Remed	'y				
	e the EMSW rov 20G–6, and Tal	w from this table (120G-1), ar ble 120G-9.	nd also from Tab	le 120G–3 (twice),	If ESM	W and	EW specif	fications are no	ot removed, (	Change the quote	ed statement to
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W									FE with tap weights ecewise-constant
PROPC	DSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.						ccurring at t_s			
[Editor's	s note: Address	es incomplete specification.]			Proposed F	Respon	se	Response Sta	atus W		
		onse to comment #41.			PROPO	OSED A	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			
CI <b>120G</b> Ran, Adee	SC 120G.3.1	P <b>226</b> Intel	L 17	# 209	Comme	ent #41	proposes		/ and ESMW	If comment #41	is accepted then
Comment T	VDe <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		ew/esmw				sing the respon		ent #41.	
		W is subclause 120G.3.1.6 w	hich does not a		Otherw			le suggesteu le	emeuy.		
					C/ 120G	SC 1	120G.3.3.2	2	P <b>232</b>	L 18	# 211
		ent, ESMW is proposed to be	e removed.		Ran, Adee			I	ntel		
SuggestedF	•				Comment 7	Туре	т	Comment St	tatus D		ew/esm
	<i>N</i> is not remove I and in Table 1	ed, change the reference from 20G–3.	n 120G.3.1.6 to 1	20G.5.2 in Table				meter of host s neter in the mo			able 120G-6). There is
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W			Similar	lu in ma	adula atrac	ssed input (Tab	1200 0)		
PROPC	DSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Similari	iy in nic	Julie Slies	sseu input (Tat	ne 120G-9).		
		es incomplete specification.]	1) A/ aith ar					ition for the stre			he test setup, and is
		cify the methodology for ESM to remove ESMW. If comm		ted then resolve this	Suggested	Remed	'y				
comme	nt using the res	ponse to comment #41.			Delete	the eye	e width row	vs in tables 120	)G-6 and 12	0G-9.	
Otherwi	ise, resolve this	comment using the response	e to comment #8	9.	Proposed F	Respon	se	Response Sta	atus <b>W</b>		
					PROPO	OSED /	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			
					•			es incomplete s		I	

[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] Resolve using the response to comment #41.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 1	SC 1.4.87	P 32	L <b>33</b>	# 212	CI 73	SC 73.6	P 66	L 15	# 214
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	ſS	Nvidia		
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		AUI definition [bucket]	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		[bucket]
		one version of 200GAUI-2 w 1 and 120.5.1 say "Annex 12				rd to tell what's	going on here.		
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	-			different franse that
Chang	e "and a two-lan	e version (200GAUI-2)" to "a 0F and Annex 120G for 200			existin	g figure.	e reviewers and the staff edito	r now this figure	differs from the
120G	for 200GAUI-2.".		ŗ		Proposed I	•	Response Status W		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			PROP	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				e editing instru E F4 rather tha	ction to "Replace Figure 73–6	with the followin	g figure to make D43
editori Chang To: "F 200G/ The p	al mark-ups impl ge: "Three widths or each of chip-to AUI-n are defined	and C2M interfaces are union ement the following of 200GAUI-n are defined" o-module and chip-to-chip in " related clauses is sufficiently	terconnections	, three widths of	"Chang Include "D[42:2 73.6.5]	ge the last two e text to show r 21] contains the	3-6 insert new editing instruction sentences of the final paragray nodification of last two sentence e Technology Ability Field. D[4 ial license.	oh of 73.6 as foll ces of 73.6 so th	at it will read as follows:
		or " before "Annex 120D".			C/ 135	SC 135.5.1	P 106	L <b>45</b>	# 215
C/ 1	SC 1.4.111	P 33	L 6	# 213	Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia		
-			L <b>0</b>	# 213	Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		[bucket]
Dawe, Pie		Nvidia			These	AUI specificati	ons are alternatives		
Comment		Comment Status D	han in fact that	AUI definition [bucket]	Suggested	IRemedv			
		one version of 400GAUI-4 w 1 and 120.5.1 say "Annex 12			00		Also in the next paragraph.		
	120G".	,			Proposed I		Response Status W		
Suggested	Remedy					OSED ACCEP			
	ge "and a four-lar	ne version (400GAUI-4)" to "	and two four-la	ne versions (400GAUI-		COLD NOOLI			
4)". Chanc	e " or Annex 12	0F and Annex 120G for 400	GALII-4 " to " o	r Annex 120F or Annex	C/ 162	SC 162.7	P <b>138</b>	L <b>41</b>	# 216
	for 400GAUI-4.".				Dawe, Pier	ſS	Nvidia		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		[bucket]
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Blank	line(s)			
		and C2M interfaces are unio	quely specified.	With appropriate	Suggested Remov	•	e tables 162-6 and 7.		
To: "F		of 400GAUI-n are defined" p-module and chip-to-chip in	terconnections	, three widths of	Proposed PROP	<i>Response</i> OSED ACCEP	Response Status W		
		elated clauses does not imp							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	.4	P 151	L 12	# 217	C/ 162	SC 162	.9.3.5	P 150	L <b>50</b>	# 218
awe, Pie	rs	Ν	vidia			Dawe, Pier	rs		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Sta	atus D		ERL tfx	Comment	Туре ТІ	र	Comment Status D		CM RL/noise
assoc line de And th the sa	iated with the t elay which suffi ne terminology me thing or wh	est fixture", "The sp ciently mitigates th doesn't match: pro	becified Tfx e test point a	value represents and transmissior	e propagation delay twice the transmission line return loss." line delay - are they	"a min 2. Thi most c 3. For	imum comi s is a stand other specs those inte	non-mo lard, not ; there is rested: tl	ains about issues from mix de to common-mode return t an attempt at a textbook. s no reason that this one s his 2 dB CM LR spec is the the context of mixed-mode	n loss is require We don't give a hould be differe ere to contain a	d". It's misinformation. any justifications for nt. gross build-up of CM
	dRemedy								discuss it in the draft.		
		e that is larger than an twice the delay			with the test point r to the other end of the	Suggestea	Remedy				
	cture's transmis					Delete	the paragr	aph			
		pear in 93A.5, whic s in each ERL sect			hould go, not here.	Proposed	Response		Response Status W		
	Response	Response Sta		an.		PROP	OSED ACC	CEPT IN	I PRINCIPLE.		
•	•	T IN PRINCIPLE.	ius vv			Resolv	ve using the	e respon	se to comment #156.		
		ment #157 addres				C/ 162	SC 162	.9.4.3.5	P 154	L 38	# 219
		variable list for Eq on should be updat			18.	Dawe, Pier	rs		Nvidia		
Chang	ge:					Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status D		RITT [bucket]
	ce the propaga urement or insp	tion delay in ns as: pection"	sociated with	n the test fixture,	obtained by	The Fi	EC symbol	error rat	tio requirement assumes e	rrors are	
To:						Suggestea	Remedy				
		tion delay in ns as pection, or as speci				The Fi	EC symbol	error rat	tio requirement assumes th	nat errors are	
	r's note: CC: 10		ineu by the t			Proposed	Response		Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
						PROP	OSED ACC	CEPT.			
						C/ 162	SC 162	.9.4.4.2	P 155	L <b>6</b>	# 220
						Dawe, Pier	rs		Nvidia		
						<i>Comment</i> Table	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> 120D-7		Comment Status D		[bucket]
						Suggestea	Remedy				
						Table	162-15				
						Proposed PROP	Response		Response Status W		

C/ 162	SC 162.11.2	P <b>157</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 221	C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	7.1.1 <i>P</i> 161	L <b>23</b>	# 224
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	s	Nvidia		
Comment T	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		CA IL	Comment 7	Туре Е	Comment Status D		[bucket]
This mi	inimum loss curv	ve bends the wrong way at hi	gh frequencies		=110.3				
SuggestedF	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Change	e the limit (Eq 16	2-10) so it becomes flatter a	t high frequenci	es	= 110.3	3 (insert space)	as in 162.11.7.1.2, or use a v	vord: "of" or "equ	ials"?
Proposed R PROPC	Response DSED REJECT.	Response Status W			Proposed F PROPO	Response DSED ACCEP <sup>-</sup>	Response Status W		
Resolve	e using the reso	nse to comment #173.			C/ 163	SC 163.1	P 171	L <b>1</b>	# 225
C/ 162	SC 162.11.6	P 158	L 23	# 222	Dawe, Piers	S	Nvidia		
Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia			Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		[bucket]
Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		CA RLCC	Layout				
This is a done in		r; dressing it up as equation	is a waste of tin	ne, and not how it's			t 1 and 25, make the first three	e tables wider so	the notes take 2 lines
SuggestedF	2				not 3				
commo given in	n-mode to comr Table 162-18 a	d Table 163-5: change the co non-mode return of the cable tt all frequencies between 50 nin)" after "Common-mode to	e assembly sha MHz and 40 G	l be within the limit Hz.	-	OSED REJECT	Response Status W	er and position c	of the tables. This can
replace	Equation (162-	-11)" with "2".			be fixed	d, but might res	sult in other formatting issues		
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W			future o	drafts.			
PROPC	DSED REJECT.						sistently the same width throug		
	atical formulatior sociated equatio	n succinct in expressing valu	e and frequency	v range and consistent			he footnote in future drafts manange the width of the table to		
C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.	1 <i>P</i> 160	L <b>52</b>	# 223			to extra space and line lengths the publication editing when the		
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia				, 0	, c		
Comment T 93A.1.2	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> 2.1 is in this draft	Comment Status <b>D</b> t now.		CA XTALK [bucket]					
SuggestedF	Remedy								
Referer	nce to 93A.1.2.1	should be a hotlink to this de	raft.						
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W							

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P <b>177</b>	L 12	# 226	C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.2	P <b>178</b>	L 33	# 229
awe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		SNDR	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		example TF
	rprising that the or viation from 120D	ly definition of SNDR is tab	ole footnote c. T	he reader could miss	An exa	ample with a rang	e is more complicated than	it need be.	
		.3.1.0.			Suggested	lRemedy			
Suggested At leas	-	in the Reference column wi	th 120D.3.1.6				L, e.g. 3.5 or 4 dB. Make thi , steady-state voltage and s		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED REJECT.				PROP	OSED REJECT.			
Deviat	ion from 120D.3.1	.6 is described in the footno	ote c.				to remove TP0a. Comment to point. TP0a with a single		
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.1.1	I P 177	L <b>47</b>	# 227		sk force review.			not implementable.
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.2	P 181	L 19	# 230
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		test fixture	Dawe, Pie		Nvidia	- 10	
	•	ed / unnecessary areas of ir	naccuracy or poo	or reproducibility in	Comment		Comment Status D		RX test fixture
	urement.					51	xture test fixture between 1.2	2 dB and 1.6 dB	
Suggested	-	land for this tool fortune on			Suggested				
		loss for this test fixture as before for TP0a, or it could		um. It could be as low	00		ixture like the transmitter tes	st fixture.	
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
	iinimum IL 1.2dB. sk force discussio	n.			Resolv	ve using the respo	onse to comment #40		
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.1.3	3 <i>P</i> 178	L <b>26</b>	# 228					
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia							
Comment	51	Comment Status D		example TF					
spec f		b have an RL spec for the te test extends to 40 GHz (see reference?)							
Suggested	Remedy								
Provid	le a CM RL spec fo	or the test fixture up to the	same frequency	as the product spec.					
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							
PROP	OSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.							
Chang	, ge the text in 163.9	e 163-5 from 162.9.3.5 to 1 9.2.1.3 to "The common-mo to 2 dB at all frequencies b	de to common-r						
			, , .				0		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 163									
	SC 163.9.3.3	P 181	L 35	# 231	C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.2.1	P 198	L <b>3</b>	# 233
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status D		RITT	Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status D		cascad
		equalization is configure			Do we r	eed to conside	r cascading 4-port networks?	)	
responsib transmitte	ility to choose an er could be a test	e lowest FEC symbol error adequate transmitter equinstrument that doesn't d t? Was this text copied fr	ualization setting lo 802.3 manage	g. Further, the ement. What has	SuggestedF Proposed R		Response Status W		
SuggestedRe	medy				,	SED REJECT.	,		
chance to	train, or a defau	smitter equalization is what It if it doesn't ask for anyther ver jitter tolerance.					form of a question and there	is not remedy p	rovided.
Proposed Res		Response Status W			C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.2.1	P 198	L 10	# 234
PROPOS	ED ACCEPT IN	, PRINCIPLE.			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
				-	Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status D		casca
For 163.9	.3.4, insert an ex	3.9.3.3 using the response ception as follows: ents are set according to				e helpful to the cade() is assoc	reader (particularly someone iative.	e programming t	his function) to know
	orce review.	ents are set according to	the procedure in	1950.2.	SuggestedF	Remedy			
	SC 163.10.2	P 186	L 28	# 232			cascade(S(w), cascade(S(x)	), S(y))) = casca	de(cascade(S(w),
Dawa Diare		Nividia							
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		channel II			Response Status W		
Comment Typ A -60 dB I	response at 45 G	Comment Status <b>D</b> iHz, 32 dB below the resp	oonse at Nyquist	<i>channel IL</i> , can't matter, but a	Proposed R		Response Status W		
Comment Typ A -60 dB r respectab SuggestedRei	response at 45 G le channel could medy	Comment Status <b>D</b> Hz, 32 dB below the resp fail this limit.			Proposed R PROPC Althoug	esponse SED REJECT.	, wwn in the suggested remedy	are valid, they o	an be deduced from
Comment Typ A -60 dB i respectab SuggestedRei Replace th	response at 45 G le channel could <i>medy</i> he straight part o	Comment Status <b>D</b> Hz, 32 dB below the resp fail this limit. f the limit with one that cu			Proposed R PROPC Althoug	esponse SED REJECT.	, wwn in the suggested remedy ided.	are valid, they o	
Comment Typ A -60 dB I respectab SuggestedRea Replace th Proposed Res	response at 45 G ile channel could <i>medy</i> he straight part o sponse R	Comment Status <b>D</b> Hz, 32 dB below the resp fail this limit.			Proposed R PROPC Althoug equation	esponse ISED REJECT. In the forms sho ins already provi SC 93A.1.2.2	, wwn in the suggested remedy ided.		can be deduced from # 235
Comment Typ A -60 dB i respectab SuggestedRei Replace ti Proposed Res	response at 45 G le channel could <i>medy</i> he straight part o	Comment Status <b>D</b> Hz, 32 dB below the resp fail this limit. f the limit with one that cu			Proposed R PROPC Althoug equation C/ <b>93A</b> Dawe, Piers	esponse ISED REJECT. In the forms sho ins already provi SC 93A.1.2.2	, wwn in the suggested remedy ided. P <b>198</b> Nvidia		# 235
Comment Typ A -60 dB i respectab SuggestedRei Replace ti Proposed Res PROPOS Equation f	response at 45 G ile channel could <i>medy</i> he straight part o sponse R ED REJECT. for IL mask is not	Comment Status <b>D</b> Hz, 32 dB below the resp fail this limit. If the limit with one that cu Response Status <b>W</b>	urves down.	, can't matter, but a	Proposed R PROPC Althoug equation C/ 93A	esponse ISED REJECT. In the forms sho is already provi SC 93A.1.2.2 Vpe E	wn in the suggested remedy ided. P <b>198</b>		
Comment Typ A -60 dB i respectab SuggestedRei Replace ti Proposed Res PROPOS Equation ti The sugge	response at 45 G ile channel could <i>medy</i> he straight part o sponse R ED REJECT. for IL mask is not	Comment Status <b>D</b> Hz, 32 dB below the resp fail this limit. If the limit with one that cu Response Status <b>W</b> t provided.	urves down.	, can't matter, but a	Proposed R PROPC Althoug equation Cl 93A Dawe, Piers Comment T Network Suggested	esponse ISED REJECT. In the forms sho is already provi SC 93A.1.2.2 When E Remedy	, wwn in the suggested remedy ided. P <b>198</b> Nvidia	L 14	# [235
Comment Typ A -60 dB i respectab SuggestedRei Replace ti Proposed Res PROPOS Equation i The sugge	response at 45 G le channel could <i>medy</i> he straight part o <i>sponse F</i> ED REJECT. for IL mask is not ested remedy do	Comment Status <b>D</b> Hz, 32 dB below the resp fail this limit. If the limit with one that cu Response Status <b>W</b> t provided.	urves down.	, can't matter, but a	Proposed R PROPC Althoug equation Cl 93A Dawe, Piers Comment T Network SuggestedR network Proposed R	esponse ISED REJECT. In the forms sho is already provi SC 93A.1.2.2 Compe E Remedy (as in the public esponse	wwn in the suggested remedy ided. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 14	# [235

[bucket]

cascade

cascade

	P 202	L <b>26</b>	# 236	C/ 120G S	C 120G.2	P <b>225</b>	L 29	# 239
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		ERL tukey [bucket]	Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		terminology
New ERL parameters					y should al	ign better with that agreed after	er debate in P80	2.3ba or bs, and with
SuggestedRemedy				the text.				
Add rows for Tfx and T	ukey window flag in Table 93	A-4, ERL param	eters	SuggestedRem	,			
Proposed Response	Response Status W					lule compliance points, chang o "Electrical output".	je "Receiver" to "	Electrical input", and
PROPOSED ACCEPT				Proposed Resp		Response Status W		
				PROPOSE				
C/ 93A SC 93A.5.1	P <b>202</b>	L <b>39</b>	# 237		DIRECEOI			
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia					and 120E inserted by 802.3	os also use "tran	smitter" and "receiver"
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		ERL tukey	in the modu Since this a		fically relates to the electrical	interface betwee	en a host and a module
Unexplained notation of	of up and down: v ^					smitter and receiver relate to t		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 120G S	C 120G.3.1	P 226	L 17	# 240
	and" "or" or whatever you me			Dawe. Piers	0 1200.3.1	Nvidia	L 17	# 240
the equation (somewhat	e equation; you can easily say at simpler) applies.	r if i w is zero, Ht	wis 1, and if it's one,		TD			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Comment Type		Comment Status <b>D</b> nit because in C2M, the effec	to of driver litter.	ew/esmv
PROPOSED ACCEPT	,					not separately. Eye width me		
				DFE in the	reference r	eceiver; examples in louchet_	3ck_adhoc_01a	_092320.pdf .
	to comment #31					is draft and Annex 120E, and veen 0.22 and 0.3 UI.	the ESMW in Ai	nnex 120E is right,
Resolve using respons	se to comment #54.			ESMW sho				
Resolve using response       C/ 93A       SC 93A.5.1	P 202	L <b>41</b>	# 238			veen 0.22 and 0.3 01.		
<b>•</b> •		L <b>41</b>	# 238	SuggestedRem	edy		raft. or a single li	mit if we have enough
C/ 93A SC 93A.5.1	P 202	L 41	# 238 ERL tukey	SuggestedRem	<i>edy</i> a range of	candidate limits in the next d	raft, or a single li	mit if we have enough
Cl <b>93A</b> SC <b>93A.5.1</b> Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>T</b>	P <b>202</b> Nvidia		ERL tukey	SuggestedRem Write down	edy a range of to choose	candidate limits in the next d	raft, or a single li	mit if we have enough
C/ 93A SC 93A.5.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This way of writing the	P <b>202</b> Nvidia Comment Status D		ERL tukey	SuggestedRem Write down information Proposed Resp	a range of to choose	candidate limits in the next d one.	raft, or a single li	mit if we have enough
C/ 93A SC 93A.5.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This way of writing the SuggestedRemedy	P 202 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D middle row of the equation is	s unnecessarily c	ERL tukey omplicated.	SuggestedRem Write down information Proposed Resp PROPOSE	a range of to choose bonse D ACCEPT	candidate limits in the next d one. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.	raft, or a single li	mit if we have enough
Cl 93A SC 93A.5.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This way of writing the SuggestedRemedy Simplify it, rememberin fper is +ve, with fb befo	P 202 Nvidia Comment Status D middle row of the equation is ng that cos(x)=cos(-x)=-cos(x ore fr in the formula.	s unnecessarily c	ERL tukey omplicated.	SuggestedRem Write down information Proposed Resp PROPOSE [Editor's no	a range of to choose bonse D ACCEPT te: Address	candidate limits in the next d one. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. ses incomplete specification.]		·
Cl 93A SC 93A.5.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This way of writing the SuggestedRemedy Simplify it, rememberin fper is +ve, with fb beft Something like 0.5(1-c	P 202 Nvidia Comment Status D middle row of the equation is ng that cos(x)=cos(-x)=-cos(x- ore fr in the formula. os(2pi(fb-f)/fper))	s unnecessarily c	ERL tukey omplicated.	SuggestedRem Write down information Proposed Resp PROPOSE [Editor's no However, th Comment #	a range of to choose bonse D ACCEPT te: Address he suggeste #41 propose	candidate limits in the next d one. Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ses incomplete specification.] ed remedy does not provide s ses to remove ESMW. If comm	ufficient detail to	implement.
Cl 93A SC 93A.5.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This way of writing the SuggestedRemedy Simplify it, rememberin fper is +ve, with fb befo	P 202 Nvidia Comment Status D middle row of the equation is ong that cos(x)=cos(-x)=-cos(x- pre fr in the formula. os(2pi(fb-f)/fper)) Response Status W	s unnecessarily c	ERL tukey omplicated.	SuggestedRem Write down information Proposed Resp PROPOSE [Editor's no However, th Comment #	a range of to choose bonse D ACCEPT te: Address he suggeste #41 propose sing the res	candidate limits in the next d one. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. ses incomplete specification.] ed remedy does not provide s	ufficient detail to nent #41 is accep	implement.

CI 120G SC 120G.3.1.	.1 <i>P</i> 226	L <b>41</b>	# 241	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 229	L 17	# 243
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		[bucket]	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		ew/esmv
Font size of 53.125					because in C2M, the effects of separately. Eye width m		
SuggestedRemedy				DFE in the reference rec	ceiver; examples in louchet	_3ck_adhoc_01a	_092320.pdf .
Fix	<b>D</b>				MW 0.265 UI. Here we exp e stay with the two-settings		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			in the range 0.2 to 0.265			
FROFUSED ACCEFT.				SuggestedRemedy			
C/ <b>120G</b> SC <b>120G.3.1</b> . Dawe, Piers	.1 <i>P</i> 226 Nvidia	L <b>41</b>	# 242	Write down a range of ca information to choose or	andidate limits in the next c ne.	lraft, or a single li	imit if we have enough
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		[bucket]	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
per lane			[bucket]	PROPOSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
SuggestedRemedy					s incomplete specification.]		
for each lane					I remedy does not provide s to remove ESMW. If comm		
for each lane roposed Response	Response Status W			comment using the resp	onse to comment #41.		
PROPOSED REJECT.				Otherwise, a proposal w	ith specific ESMW value is	required.	
Both terms are used in	a similar context in both 120	)F and 120G. Eit	her term conveys the	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P <b>229</b>	L 19	# 244
meaning accurately. Th	ne proposed change does no			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
draft.				Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		TP4 NE EF
				swing has to be aggress "near" setting, and the h	module (or test equipment i sively reduced to deliver onl ost receiver isn't that near, d out of tune as well. 120E	y 24 mV. If the r the eye it is offer	nodule is set to the
				SuggestedRemedy			
				Change the NEEH from	24 mV to 50 mV.		
				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
				PROPOSED REJECT.			
				The comment does not			

	P <b>229</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 245	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	2.1	P <b>229</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 247
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	3		Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		ew/esmw	Comment	ype TR	Comment	Status D		TP4 setting
We need ESMW limits be limited in combination not DFE in the reference recein Annex 120E has FE ESMW worse reflections but a mo ESMW should be somewhous	separately. Eye width mea iver; examples in louchet_3 N 0.2 UI, no explicit VEC li re capable equaliser. If we	asurement work 3ck_adhoc_01a imit, and EH 30 e stay with the tr	s with or without a _092320.pdf . mV. Here we expect wo-settings method,	work. it is offe is set to	f the module is ered is smaller t the long settin tee that either s	set to the shor than 24 mV being and the host	t setting, and t cause of loss, isn't that long,	and out of tune as	ce losses doesn't isn't that near, the eye is well. If the module out of tune. There's no
SuggestedRemedy					-	nce losses forn	ning two overla	apping ranges, or	go back to the one-
Write down a range of can information to choose one		aft, or a single li	mit if we have enough		method which i				nware and interop
Proposed Response F	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			Proposed F	Response	Response S	Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			PROP	DSED REJECT				
[Editor's note: Addresses i However, the suggested re Comment #41 proposes to comment using the respor Otherwise, a proposal with	emedy does not provide su o remove ESMW. If commense to comment #41.	ent #41 is accep		The firs The se express	t option propos	ed in the sugge uld result in mo nent.	ested remedy i		ges are required. complete to implement. ing the the concerns
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P <b>229</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 246	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	2.2.1	P 230	L <b>47</b>	# 248
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	3		Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		precursor ISI ratio	Comment	ype E	Comment	Status D		[bucket
We don't know what to do with a very different refere limit should be, or why. I believe that the ordinary receivers from the same th guard against, except pose but not so well tuned which	nce receiver. In this scena EH, EW and VEC specs w nreats that far-end pre-curs sibly for some drivers with	ario, we don't kn rith this referenc sor ISI ratio in 12 exemplary noise	ow what it's for, what a e receiver will defend 20E was intended to	Proposed F	Remedy mately 9.6 spac	Response S			
SuggestedRemedy				Replac	e "~9.6dB" with	"approximatel	v 9.6 dB".		
We could leave this TBD h			se for it, or clean it up	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2		P 230	L <b>49</b>	# 249
for now while no-one has	-	n juotineu.		Dawe, Pier			Nvidia	L <b>4</b> 3	π 243
for now while no-one has. Proposed Response	Response Status W			Comment T		Comment	Status D		[bucket
	xesponse Status 🛛 W								
Proposed Response F PROPOSED REJECT.	,						nm, and C0 an	nd C1 are both 0 r	•
Proposed Response F	, ncomplete specification.]	proposal.		with an Suggested	exception to us Remedy	se zp = 244.7 n		nd C1 are both 0 r d C1 are both 0 n	nF

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 57 of 64 10/9/2020 3:44:29 PM

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.	3.2 P 232	L 17	# 250	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	3.2.1	P 233	L <b>43</b>	# 252
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	5		Nvidia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		TP1 EH	Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comme	nt Status D		TP4 setting
we stay with the 2-set	FE minimum EH should not be trings module specification, ev	en if corrected w	ith a 4-loss		ng the BER requests to choose			nethods is suffici	ent": not quite. The
specification method, parameters anyway.	this should be reflected in this	s table, which she	ould include near-end	Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy					-settings mether thods that the			e BER requireme	ents at the one of the
Add the rows for the r	near-end parameters.			Proposed F	Response	Respons	e Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W			PROPO	DSED REJECT	Г.			
Add rows for NE EH, EW, and VEC, respect For task force discuss		6 with values the	same as for FE EH,	uneces	r passes. In re sary failed test e response to c	t.		re of which mode	to use to avoid an
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.	3.2.1 <i>P</i> 232	L <b>33</b>	# 251	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	3.2.1	P 233	L <b>49</b>	# 253
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	S		Nvidia		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		RJT [bucket]	Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comme	nt Status D		[bucket
	to the SJ table but doesn't tell			120E.3	.2.1.2				
and annexes with sim all the SJ tones at one	ilar tables say that the entries	are used one at	a time (you don't apply	Suggestedl	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy Please make this exp	,			followin		re-emphasis	capability is likely		pecs mean that the n the pattern generator
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed F	Response	Respons	e Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.			PROPO	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCI	PLE.		
Implement the sugges 162.9.4.4.2.	sted remedy with editorial lice	nse using wordin	g similar to that used in	Replac	e the reference	e to 120E.3.2	.1.2 with a refere	ence to 120G.5.3.	

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 P 237 L 14 #	<sup>‡</sup> 254	C/ 120G	SC	120G.5.2		P <b>240</b>	L 10	# 256
awe, Piers Nvidia		Dawe, Piers	S			Nvidia		
Comment Type T Comment Status D	TP4a criteria	Comment T	Гуре	т	Comment	Status D		RR parameter
"This CTLE setting has to be greater than or equal to TBD dB": with a component of as simple as that. The limits should be close to that for TP4 FE in Table 120G-14, but might not		By allov gCD2 = to vary	= -1 but	t up to 16	DC with stron dB for gDC2	ger gDC2, we c = -3 - yet we de	an have up to 12 on't expect the m	dB of peaking for aximum channel loss
SuggestedRemedy		SuggestedF	Remed	ly				
Proposed Pooponeo Destantes Cistus IV		l think v end.	we sho	ould be allo	owing strong	er gDC with wea	aker gDC2, for T	P1a and for TP4 far
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Proposed R	Respon	ise	Response	Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		PROPO	DSED I	REJECT.	,			
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.] The suggested remedy does not provide an actionable remedy. Resolve using the response to comment #109. C/ 120G SC 120G.4.1 P 238 L 34 #	<sup>‡</sup> 255	does th	ie sugg		nedy provide		to make the prop to to implement	osed changes not
	255	C/ 120G	SC	120G.5.2		P <b>241</b>	L <b>27</b>	# 257
	01	Dawe, Piers	S			Nvidia		
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> I'm sure there could be an acceptable channel that failed this mask at 45 GH	Channel IL	Comment T	Гуре	TR	Comment	Status D		ew/esm
SuggestedRemedy Make the straight section curve down and/or truncate it at 50 GHz Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b>		another solutior	r settin ns that	g. Note tl fail EW (d	his does not constraint not	require optimisi	ng for EW, only r this in 120E, not	out it might be OK at ejecting candidate hing new here.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Suggested	Remed	ły				
It makes sense to align the high-frequency limit with channel IL specification and/or 120F. However, even those are inconsistent. 162 specifies 40 GHz. 163 specifies 45 GHz. 120F specifies 53.125 GHz.	s in 162, 163,	the inte to: where t	eye hei erface. :he eye	e also com		e specifications	, ,	(min) as specified for SMW, and eye width if
For task force discussion.		Proposed R	Respon	ise	Response	Status W		
		PROPC	DSED /	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPI	_E.		
		parame Comme resolve	eters. ent #41 this co	1 propose: omment u	s to remove I Ising the resp		. If comment #41 ent #41.	EW and ESMW as is accepted then

CI 120G SC 120G.5.3	P 241	L 34	# 258	C/ FM	SC FM	P 1	L <b>8</b>	# 260
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> The valid setting would h	Comment Status <b>D</b> have to satisfy eye width / E	SMW too.	precursor ISI ratio		ndard for Eth	Comment Status D		[bucket
SuggestedRemedy Modify the definition of v	alid setting or delete the su	bclause.		Amendm Standard repetition	for Ethernet	Amendment:		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedRe	emedy			
Comment #150 is calling If either #41 or #150 are and #150.	to remove EW and ESMW g for removal of pre-cursor I accepted then resolve this	SI specifications comment using		Amendm or	for Ethernet	ernet		
Otherwise, implement th	e suggested remedy with e	ditorial license.		Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W		
C 120G SC 120G.5.3	P <b>241</b>	L 37	# 259	PROPOS	SED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
of 120G.5.2, but it's clos SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> the same time as the DFE e. No need for both. e pulse at the DFE samplin			Amendm Standard To:	for Ethernet	Amendment:"		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			C/ FM	SC FM	P 10	L1	# 261
PROPOSED ACCEPT I	, N PRINCIPLE.			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		11 201
Comment #150 is calling	g for removal pre-cursor ISI	specifications.		Comment Typ XX Month		Comment Status D		[bucket
				SuggestedRe XX Month	-			
				Proposed Re PROPOS		Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.		
					nsistent with 201X" to "20	formatting elsewhere XX".		

C/ FM	SC FM	P 21	L 16	# 262	C/ 1	SC 1.3		P 31	L 14	# 264
Dawe, Piers	S	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
Comment 7	Туре Е	Comment Status D		[bucket]	Comment	Туре Е	Com	ment Status D		[bucket]
Italics					The b 2015	ase documen	t subclause	1.3 already has an e	entry for SFF-86	65, Rev 1.9, June 29,
Suggested		10			Suggeste	dRemedv				
	be upright as u				•••	e this duplicat	е			
Proposed F		Response Status <b>W</b> I IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed	Response	Respo	onse Status W		
					PROF	OSED ACCE	PT.			
The for	nt in several line	umber updated from 20.] es in the TOC are italic rather	than normal.		C/ 1	SC 1.4.3	6	P 32	L 1	# 265
Fix the	fonts in the TC	C.			Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
C/ 1	SC 1.1.3.2	P <b>30</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 263	Comment	51		ment Status D		[bucket]
Dawe, Piers Comment 1		Nvidia Comment Status D			Suggeste		1 DY 802.3CO	, it's in the base doc	ument	
recomr are not should Suggested/ Change 1),". Change 2),". Change 4),".	mended, since t interoperable. not be adding <i>Remedy</i> e "and a one-la e "and a two-la e "and a four-la	"conformance with implement it allows maximum flexibility" v Some of these errors should new ones. ne version (100GAUI-1)" to "a ne version (200GAUI-2)" to "a ne version (400GAUI-4)" to "a <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	vhen there are be fixed in mai nd two one-lan nd two two-lane	nultiple variants, which ntenance but this project e versions (100GAUI- e versions (200GAUI-	PROF The c term i	Response POSED ACCE omment corre s "changed" r ge "as inserte	EPT IN PRIN ectly points c ather than "r	but that the text was in nodified".	not inserted by 8	302.3cd. The correct
Proposed F	· · · · · · ·									

To: "For each of chip-to-chip and chip-to-module interfaces, three widths of 400GAUI-n are defined"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 1	SC	1.4.36	P 32	L 6	# 266	C/ 162B	SC	162B.1.1.1	P <b>253</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 268
Dawe, Pi	ers		Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	6		Nvidia		
Commen	t Type	TR	Comment Status D		AUI definition [bucket]	Comment T	ype	т	Comment Status D		TF wording
ones			one version of 100GAUI-1 w	nen in fact the	re are two incompatible	TP2 or	TP3 te		TP3 test fixture insertion lo But I think it is the reference		
Suggeste		-				,		,			
Change "and a single-lane version (100GAUI-1)" to "and two single-lane versions (100GAUI-1)". Change "Clause 135, Annex 120F, and Annex 120G for 100GAUI-1." to "Clause 135 and Annex 120F or Annex 120G for 100GAUI-1.". The (See this for this, that for that) section is becoming unwieldy: it could be better as separate sentences: For 100GAUI-1, see Clause 135 and Annex 120F or Annex 120G.						SuggestedRemedy It might be clearer to re-order "reference TP2 or TP3 test fixture insertion loss" to "TP2 or TP3 test fixture reference insertion loss", putting "reference" immediately before "insertion loss" as appropriate throughout 162B.					
						•			,		120F OF ATTREX 120G.
Proposed	,		Response Status W								(1000 E) III
PRO	POSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.						oss of the mated test fixtur TP3 test fixture insertion le		luation (162B–5); It is
			and C2M interfaces are uniq	uely specified.	. With appropriate						
editorial mark-ups implement the following						C/ 162B	SC	162B.1.3.1	P <b>256</b>	L 12	# 269
	Change: "Four widths are defined" To: "For each of chip-to-module and chip-to-chip interconnections, four widths are defined"					Dawe, Piers	6		Nvidia		
The I	portion lis	sting the r	elated clauses is sufficiently			Comment T	ype	Е	Comment Status D		MTF I
mark 	-up is mi	issing. 1.4.36	P 32	L 8	# 267	Figure 7 not the			st fixtures insertion loss, sł	lows the maxim	num and minimum IL but
••••		1.4.30		L <b>0</b>	# 267	SuggestedF	Remea	ly			
Dawe, Pi		_	Nvidia			Please	show	the referen	ce insertion loss of the mar	ed test fixture a	also, on the same graph.
Commen		E	Comment Status D	·	AUI definition [bucket]	Proposed R	lespon	ise	Response Status W		
Why	IS PMA (	clause 13	5 listed but not 83 or 120 in s	imilar text?		PROPC	SED	REJECT.			
Suggeste	edRemec	ły									
?								e IL does no	ot include ILD and therefore	e not representa	ative of MTF IL i.e, as a
Proposed	l Respor	ise	Response Status W					in nequency	y.		
PRO	POSED	REJECT.				C/ 162C	SC	162C.1	P 264	L <b>52</b>	# 270
This	commen	t is writto	a as a question and provides	no actionable	remedy	Dawe, Piers	6		Nvidia		
This comment is written as a question and provides no actionable remedy. Clause 135 is included for 100GAUI-4, 100GAUI-2, and 100GAUI-1 since some aspect of					Comment T		Е	Comment Status D		terminology [bucke	
			Clause 135. for CAUI-4 and CAUI-10 are	outside the s	cope of this task force	I could	not ea	sily find wh	at DL and SL mean		
			t are required.		Jopo of this task 10100.	SuggestedF	Remea	ły			
	5					Add cro	ss-ref	erence to 1	62.8.1		
						Proposed R	lespon	nse	Response Status W		

Add reference 162.8.1 for signal names

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E Comment Status D MDI [buck
"Hosts have six specified MDI connectors "receptacles"": I read this as describing a 6-port
host.
SuggestedRemedy Suggest "There are six types of MDI connectors "receptacles" specified for hosts"
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
C/ 162D SC 162D.1 P 277 L 32 # 275
Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type T Comment Status D MDI [buck
This is the only time "host interface type" is used, and one would expect the phrase to mean PMD or PHY type on a host. We can wordsmith round this because six things were mentioned just above.
SuggestedRemedy
Change "This creates six host interface types and multiple cable" to "Therefore, there ar multiple cable"
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "interface" to "receptacle"
C/ 163A SC 163A.1 P 280 L 28 # 276
Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type E Comment Status D [buck
for are
SuggestedRemedy
Delete for?
Proposed Response Response Status W

Cl 163A SC 163A.3.1 P 281	L <b>22</b>	# 277	C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.2.3	P 213	L <b>1</b>	# 280
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			Li, Mike		Intel		
Comment Type T Comment Status D		TP0v method	Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status D		RITT
I don't like the term "virtual reference channel". It's no n this figure. I didn't find any other "reference channel" in		he other blocks in	Np TBD				
SuggestedRemedy	this dran.		SuggestedR				
Change its name to "reference channel" or "reference te	est channel" throu	ahout.	•	see li_3ck_01_	0920		
Proposed Response Response Status W		9	Proposed Re	,	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			PROPO	SED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
					s incomplete specification	n.]	
Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.				renced presenta	ation is here: /3/ck/public/20_10/li_3ck	01 1020 pdf	
C/ 163A SC 163A.3.1 P 281	L <b>31</b>	# 278		ent the suggeste		_01_1020.pdf	
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			For task	force review.			
Comment Type T Comment Status D		TP0v method					
The material in the NOTE needs to be normative.							
SuggestedRemedy							
Move it to regular text at line 42							
Proposed Response Response Status W							
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							
Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.							
C/ 163 SC 163.9.3.3 P182	L <b>3</b>	# 279					
Li, Mike Intel							
Comment Type TR Comment Status D		RITT					
Np TBD							
SuggestedRemedy							
Np = 29, see li_3ck_01_0920							
Proposed Response Response Status W							
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							
[Editor's note: Addresses incomplete specification.]							
The referenced presentation is located here: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_10/li_3ck_01_10	020 pdf						
Implement the suggested remedy.	020.pui						
For task force review.							