7 L 21	# 1	C/ 163A	SC 163	A.4.1	P <b>289</b>	L <b>1</b>	# 3
c		Mellitz, Rid	hard		Samtec		
D	TP0v/TP5v metho	od (WG) Comment	Туре ТЕ	र	Comment Status D		TP0v/TP5v method (WG)
		ifted not F_	BT. The ifft				
							4044.0
						s. i and ligure	104A-2.
crearcash as the tim	a whata h(t) raaahaa	ERL is	the referer				
aragraph as the tim	e where h(t) reaches	FTOPOSEU			Response Status W		
w		-		-	technical change to the dr	aft that does	not address technical
		compl cal ballot.					
	ent during working gr	C/ 120G	SC 120	G.5.2	P 246	L <b>23</b>	# 4
6 L 16	# 2	Mellitz, Rid	hard		Samtec		
							EO method (bucket1)
	TP0v/TP5v metho	od (WG) Step h	and j in 12	0G.5.2 E	ye opening measurement	method indic	ate "over the time
	e filter used for ERL	is F_r Comm	ent 41 was	resolved	with "Alt. 2" with TBD = 50	0 mUI from h	ealey_3ck_02_1020
		Suggested	Remedy				
f 163A.3.1 and figu	e 163A-2.	remov	e "and not	within 0.0	25 UI of time Tcmid from s	steps h and j	in 120G.5.2
					•		
		mpute The re	ference tex	t is inten	ded to point out that the ph		
-		Chang	e: 'and not	t "within C	.025 UI of time TCmid"	ar amoigue ue	
		l o: 'in	stead of "w	thin 0.02	5 UI of time 1 Cmid"		
	$D$ a response, h(t). $V_{-}$ a idea is to be just ad         barragraph as the time $W$ to the draft that does         resubmit this commendation $66$ $L$ 16 $C$ $D$ correct for ERL. The         of 163A.3.1 and figure $D$ compute ERL. The	TPOV/TP5v methods response, h(t). V_ref is intended to be a idea is to be just add up Nv UI(T_b) shows the aragraph as the time where h(t) reaches W to the draft that does not address technic resubmit this comment during working groups 6  L16  # 2 D  TPOv/TP5v method correct for ERL. The filter used for ERL to show in the diagram. of 163A.3.1 and figure 163A-2. D compute ERL. The channel used to cood d with the parallel circuit for Rd.	ActMellitz, RicD $TP0v/TP5v method (WG)$ $Comment$ a response, h(t). V_ref is intended to be a a idea is to be just add up Nv UI(T_b) shiftedFigure not F_ $SuggestedOmit reAdd aaragraph as the time where h(t) reaches theProposed IPROPThis cccompletesW166L 16B6L 16TP0v/TP5v method (WG)C/I 120GComment for ERL. The filter used for ERL is F_rto show in the diagram.Mellitz, RicComment for Step hintervalcorrect for ERL. The filter used for ERL is F_rto show in the diagram.Mellitz, RicComment for Step hintervalcorrect for ERL. The filter used for ERL is F_rto show in the diagram.Mellitz, RicCommute ERL. The channel used to computed with the parallel circuit for Rd.Mellitz, RicCommute ERL. The channel used to computeon longChange$	IncMellitz, RichardD $TP0v/TP5v$ method (WG)Peresponse, h(t). V_ref is intended to be a ia idea is to be just add up Nv UI(T_b) shiftedFigure 164A-3 is not F_BT. The ifftSuggestedRemedy Omit reference to Add a line at end The differential re ERL is the referent Proposed ResponseMellitz, Richard Comment Type TF Figure 164A-3 is 	Mellitz, Richard <b>D</b> TPOV/TP5v method (WG) <b>p</b> response, h(t). V_ref is intended to be a is idea is to be just add up Nv UI(T_b) shifted Proposed Response <b>W</b> <b>W</b> to the draft that does not address technical resubmit this comment during working group <b>16</b> L 16 # [2] <b>17</b> POV/TP5v method (WG) <b>17</b> POV/TP5v method (WG) <b>17</b> C <b>D</b> TPOV/TP5v method (WG) correct for ERL. The filter used for ERL is F_r to show in the diagram. <b>17</b> POV/TP5v method (WG) <b>17</b> POV/TP5v method (WG) <b>16</b> A 16 # [2] <b>17</b> POV/TP5v method (WG) <b>17</b> POV/T	Action       Mellitz, Richard       Samtec         Action       TP0v/TP5v method (WG)       Figure 164A-3 is confusing and not entirely correct for not F_BT. The ifft is for a reflection and hard to show         SuggestedRemedy       Omit reference to ERL in the first sentence of 164A.3         Add a line at end of 164-A-3.1.       The differential return loss at TP5v is used to compute ERL is the reference channel S^(0) cascaded with the Proposed Response         W       PROPOSED REJECT.         Mellitz, Richard       Samtec         C/I       10         TP0v/TP5v method (WG)       Some transment during working group         Image: Correct for ERL. The filter used for ERL is F_r to show in the diagram.       TP0v/TP5v method (WG)         of 163A.3.1 and figure 163A-2.       TP0v/TP5v method (WG)         or compute ERL. The channel used to compute d with the parallel circuit for Rd.       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       The reference text is intended to point out that the ph no longer relevant. However, as written it is somewhat	cMellitz, RichardSamtecDTP0v/TP5v method (WG)response, h(t).V_ref is intended to be aa idea is to be just add up Nv UI(T_b) shiftedFigure 164A-3 is confusing and not entirely correct for ERL. The not F_BT. The iff is for a reflection and hard to show in the diagraarargraph as the time where h(t) reaches the aragraph as the time where h(t) reaches the to the draft that does not address technical esubmit this comment during working groupMellitz, RichardSamtecWC/120GSC 120G.5.2P246L 23Mellitz, RichardSamtecComment TypeTRComment StatusMellitz, RichardSamtecComment furge or the commenter is invited to resubmit this comment ballot.Mellitz, RichardSamtecCTP0v/TP5v method (WG) correct for ERL. The filter used for ERL is F_r to show in the diagram.Mellitz, RichardSamtecMellitz, RichardSamtecComment TypeTRComment StatusDStep h and j in 120G.5.2P246L 23Mellitz, RichardSamtecComment TypeTRComment StatusDStep h and j in 120G.5.2Step opening measurement method indic interval ts s $\pm 0.05$ UI and not "within 0.025 UI of time TCmid"Comment 41 was resolved with "Att. 2" with TBD = 50 mUI from HMellitz, RichardSamtecComment 41 was resolved with "Att. 2" with TBD = 50 mUI from the indicating 1 window around Ts for histogram measurements.Suggested/Remedy remove "and not within 0.025 UI of time TCmid"Comment 41 was resolved with "Att. 2" with TBD = 50 mUI from the indicating 1 win

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1	P 231	L 17	# 5	C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3	3.2 P 262	L 43	# 8
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec		"	Dudek, Mike	Marvell	- 10	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		EH/VEC	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		MTF ER
EH and VEC need be the SuggestedRemedy	o computed for the histograr	n window.		the ERL of the device	test fixture should be signific under test. The ERL of the .g Didel_3ck_01_0320. has a	QSFP-DD impro	oved connector used for
Change Eye height, dif Change Vertical eye cl Presentation available	ferential (min) to 10 mV osure (max) to 13 dB			SuggestedRemedy	Also put this in TF2 of the P		5.
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the resp	-			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the res	-		
C/ 162B SC 162B.1	P <b>259</b>	L 17	# 6	C/ 162D SC 162D.1.1	P 283	L <b>31</b>	# 9
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		test fixture (bucket1)	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		editorial (bucket)
The measurements at	TP1 or TP4 etc. are made w	vith the Cable As	sembly Test fixture	The 100GBASE-CR2	in the Title of Table 162D-3 s	hould be 200GB	ASE-CR2.
(162B.1.2) not the mat	ed test fixture (162B.1.3)		-	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy							
On line 18 change 162	B.1.3 to 162B.1.2			Change it	_		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Change Title of Table	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. 162D-3 to "200GBASE-CR2"		
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3	.2 P 262	L <b>41</b>	# 7	C/ 162D SC 162D.1.1	P 283	L <b>50</b>	# 10
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D	MTF	ERL reference (bucket1)	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		formatting (bucket1
51	d to crosstalk parameters no		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	There is an unfortunat	e page break in the middle of	Table 162D-3	Ū (
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change 162B-2 to 162	B-1 (two places0				at this table is all on one pag	e	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
				PROPOSED REJECT	,		

	P <b>289</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 11	Cl 120G SC	120G.3.1.	5 P 233	L 17	# 14
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Type E Co	omment Status D		editorial (bucket1)	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D	-	TP1a EH/VEC EO XTALI
missing space between "in" a SuggestedRemedy	and "93A.5"			and fastest s	ignal that a	hould be measured with a module is allowed to crea m 20% to 80%.		
fix it				SuggestedReme	dy			
Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT.	sponse Status W					rential peak-to-peak amplit en -270mV and +270mV	ude of 900mV ar	nd the slew time to be
	D 004	1.0	# 40	Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status W		
C/ 163B SC 163B.2	P 291	L 9	# 12			N PRINCIPLE.		
Dudek, Mike	Marvell					68, and 124 propose a variation of the second se		
	omment Status D		TP5v example (bucket1)	For task force			n the proposals.	
With this example test fixture clause that provides the pac		is necessary to r	efer to the relevant	C/ 120G SC	120G.1	P 229	L <b>3</b>	# 15
SuggestedRemedy				Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
values determined according in Clause 163 are listed in Ta Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT.				SuggestedReme Make this a Proposed Respo	hot link. Inse	Response Status W		
	P 234	L 10	# 13	PROPOSED	ACCEPT.			
	P <b>234</b> Marvell	L 10	# [13	·		P 229	12	# 16
C/ <b>120G</b> SC <b>120G.3.2</b> Dudek, Mike		L 10	# 13 editorial (bucket1)	CI 120G SC	ACCEPT.	P 229 Marvell	L <b>2</b>	# [16
Cl <b>120G</b> SC <b>120G.3.2</b> Dudek, Mike Comment Type <b>T</b> Co The references for both near	Marvell omment Status D		editorial (bucket1)	C/ <b>120G</b> SC Dudek, Mike	120G.1	Marvell	L <b>2</b>	
Cl <b>120G</b> SC <b>120G.3.2</b> Dudek, Mike Comment Type <b>T</b> Co The references for both near output. They should be to the	Marvell omment Status D		editorial (bucket1)	Cl <b>120G</b> SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type	120G.1 TR	Marvell Comment Status D		editorial (bucket1
Cl <b>120G</b> SC <b>120G.3.2</b> Dudek, Mike Comment Type <b>T</b> Co The references for both near output. They should be to the SuggestedRemedy	Marvell comment Status <b>D</b> and far eye measurem ne module output	ents in table 120	editorial (bucket1)	Cl 120G SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type 135.1.5 does	TR not appea	Marvell		editorial (bucket1
Cl <b>120G</b> SC <b>120G.3.2</b> Dudek, Mike Comment Type <b>T</b> Co The references for both near	Marvell comment Status <b>D</b> and far eye measurem ne module output	ents in table 120	editorial (bucket1)	Cl <b>120G</b> SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type 135.1.5 does SuggestedReme	<b>TR</b> s not appea	Marvell Comment Status D r to exist and if it did it is u	nlikely to include	editorial (bucket1) these AUI's
Cl <b>120G</b> SC <b>120G.3.2</b> Dudek, Mike Comment Type <b>T</b> Co The references for both near output. They should be to the SuggestedRemedy Change the reference from 1	Marvell comment Status <b>D</b> and far eye measurem ne module output	ents in table 120	editorial (bucket1)	Cl <b>120G</b> SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type 135.1.5 does SuggestedReme Change the	<b>TR</b> TR not appea dy reference fr	Marvell Comment Status D	nlikely to include make it a hot lin	<i>editorial (bucket1</i> these AUI's k and either remove the
Cl 120G SC 120G.3.2 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T Co The references for both near output. They should be to th SuggestedRemedy Change the reference from 1 Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PF	Marvell pomment Status D r and far eye measurem he module output 120G.3.1.5 to 120G.3.2 sponse Status W RINCIPLE.	ents in table 120	editorial (bucket1) IG-3 are to the host	Cl <b>120G</b> SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type 135.1.5 does SuggestedReme Change the	<b>TR</b> TR not appea dy reference fr	Marvell Comment Status D r to exist and if it did it is u om 135.1.5 to 135.1.4 and	nlikely to include make it a hot lin	editorial (bucket1) these AUI's k and either remove the
Cl 120G SC 120G.3.2 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T Co The references for both near output. They should be to th SuggestedRemedy Change the reference from 1 Proposed Response Res	Marvell omment Status D r and far eye measurem he module output 120G.3.1.5 to 120G.3.2 sponse Status W RINCIPLE. r NE EH, NE VEC, FE E	ents in table 120	editorial (bucket1) IG-3 are to the host	Cl 120G SC Dudek, Mike Comment Type 135.1.5 does SuggestedReme Change the reference to	120G.1 TR s not appea dy reference fr a tabke or	Marvell Comment Status D r to exist and if it did it is u om 135.1.5 to 135.1.4 and	nlikely to include make it a hot lin	editorial (bucket1) these AUI's k and either remove the

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2.2	P <b>235</b>	L 34	# 17
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		TP4 EO XTALK

The module near-end output signal should be measured with a crosstalk signal equivalent to the largest and fastest signal that the host can supply. The risetime for the far -end signal can be slower.

## SuggestedRemedy

Change "The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) with target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of TBD mV and target transition time of TBD ps." to "The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) with target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of 870 mV and target transition time of 7.5 ps for the near end measurement and target transition time of 15 ps for the far-end measurement."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comments 17, 63, 69, 86, 127 propose values for these parameters. Presentation brown\_3ck\_02 provides a summary of the proposals.

C/ 120G SC	2120G.3.3.2	P <b>2</b> :	38	L <b>6</b>	# 18	
Dudek, Mike		Marve	ell			
Comment Type	т	Comment Status	D		TP4a SIT	

The host only needs to meet either the near-end or far-end parameters. This should be clear in this "shall" statement.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change " The input shall satisfy the input tolerance with the parameters in Table 120G–7" to The input shall satisfy the input tolerance with either the near-end or the far-end parameters in Table 120G–7"

## Proposed Response Response Status W

#### PROPOSED REJECT.

A statement later in the subclause indicates that the host input need only meet one of the two stressors. See page 239 line 38.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3.2.1	2.1 <i>P</i> 238	L <b>54</b>	# 19
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status D		TP4a SIT XTALK

The crosstalk used in the calibration of the host stressed signal should match thecrosstalk used for the test for the module output

### SuggestedRemedy

Change "The counter propagating crosstalk signals during calibration of the stressed signal are asynchronous with target amplitude of TBD mV peak-to-peak differential and 20% to 80% target transition time of TBD ps." to "The counter propagating crosstalk signals during calibration of the stressed signal are asynchronous with target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of 870 mV and target transition time of 7.5 ps for the near end calibration and target transition time of 15 ps for the far-end calibration"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comments19, 87, 64, 70, 128, 37 propose new values for EH and VEC based on the new measurement methodology. For task force discussion.

Presentation brown 3ck 02 provides a summary of the proposals.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.4	I.1.1 P 242	L <b>2</b>	# 20
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status D		TP1 EH/VEC XTALK

The crosstalk used in the calibration of the module stressed signal should match the crosstalk used for the test for the host output

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change to "a target amplitude of 900mV differential peak-to-peak and target slew time between -270mV and +270mV of 7.5ps"

Proposed Response Response Status W

#### PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The following comments propose values for the missing parameters: 20, 65, 71, 129, 89. Presentation brown\_3ck\_02 provides a summary of the proposals.

C/ 120G SC 120G.1	P 22	29 L 5	# 21
Dudek, Mike	Marve	11	
Comment Type E Annex 135A and 120A	Comment Status are part of this draft.	D	editorial (bucket1)
SuggestedRemedy Make these reference	s hot links.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status	w	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 21

C/ 162B SC 162B.1	P <b>259</b>	L 17	# 22	C/ 162 SC 162.11	P 163	L 17	# 25
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Brown, Matt	Huawei		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		test fixture (bucket1)	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		CA ERL
The measurements at TP2 mated test fixture (162B.1.		ith the Test fixtu	re (162B.1.1) not the	In Table 162-16, the	specified value for cable asse	mby ERL is TBD	
SuggestedRemedy	5)			SuggestedRemedy			
On line 17 change 162B.1.	3 to 162B 1 1			Provide a value or ed	quation and update PICS.		
C C				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCEF Resolve using response	PT IN PRINCIPLE. nse to comment#103		
C/ 162 SC 162.9.3	P 152	L <b>30</b>	# 23	C/ 163 SC 163.9.3	B P 187	L <b>41</b>	# 26
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt	Huawei		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		TX RLCD	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		RX RLCI
In Table 162-10, the speci return loss is TBD.	fied value for transmitter	common-mode	to differential mode	In Table 163-8, the s TBD	pecified value for receiver diffe	erential to commor	n-mode return loss is
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Provide a value or equation	n and update PICS.			Provide a value or ed	quation and update PICS.		
Proposed Response F	Response Status W			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN Resolve using the respons				PROPOSED ACCEF Resolve using respo	PT IN PRINCIPLE. nse to comment #121		
C/ 162 SC 162.9.4	P 158	L 16	# 24	C/ 163 SC 163.10	.4 <i>P</i> 192	L <b>44</b>	# 27
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt	Huawei		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		RX RLCD	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		channel ILDC
In Table 162-13, the speci TBD	fied value for receiver diff	ferential to com	mon-mode return loss is		or channel differential to comr	mon-mode convers	ion loss is TBD.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Provide a value or equation	n and update PICS				quation and update PICS.		
•	Response Status W			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Pronosod Rosnonso L				PROPOSED ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPI F		
Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	,				sponse to comment #122		

C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1.2	P 214	L 35	# [00]	C/ 120F SC 120F.4.3 P 223 L 5 # 31
		L 35	# 28	
Brown, Matt	Huawei		TX EQ	Brown, Matt Huawei
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D	······································	= -	Comment Type T Comment Status D channel ER
	in D1.0 indicates that the value. There have been no			The specified value for channel ERL is TBD.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
Remove the editor's note	9.			Provide a value and update PICS.
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT II Resolve using the respo	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #123.
		• • •		C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1 P 231 L 33 # 32
C/ 120F SC 120F.3.2.3		L <b>44</b>	# 29	Brown, Matt Huawei
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Comment Type T Comment Status D CM noise, PP voltage, RLC
	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.			noise, PP output voltage, and RLCC require confirmation. No proposals to change the specified values have been submitted.  SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's note.  Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement the suggested remedy. For task force discussion.
C/ 120F SC 120F.4.2	P <b>222</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 30	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.5 P 233 L 17 # 33
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt Huawei
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		channel IL	Comment Type T Comment Status D TP1a EH/VEC EO XTAL
	in D1.0 indicates that the c		•	The specified values for the host output EH/VEC crosstalk parameters (4x) are TBD.
Ū	proposals to change the s	pecification have	been submitted.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy				Provide values.
Remove the editor's note	Э.			Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
PROPOSED ACCEPT II Resolve using the respo				Resolve using the response to comment #14.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 234	L 17	# 34	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.	3.2.1 <i>P</i> 238	L 54	# 37
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt	Huawei		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		TP4 ERL	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		TP4a SIT XTALK
In Table 120G-3, the sp	pecified value for ERL at mod	dule output (TP4)	is TBD.	The specified values f	or the host stressed input cre	osstalk paramete	ers (2x) are TBD.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Provide a value and up	odate PICS.			Provide values.			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the resp	IN PRINCIPLE. oonse to comment #125.			PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using the res	T IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #19.		
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 234	L <b>32</b>	# 35	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4	4 P 240	L 17	# 38
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt	Huawei		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		TP4 AC CM noise	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		TP1 ERI
The editor's note indica	ates that the value specified f	or the module ou	tput AC CM noise	In table 120G-9, the s	pecified value for module inp	out ERL (min) is <sup>-</sup>	TBD.
	No proposals to change the s			SuggestedRemedy			
	No proposals to change the s noted that there is ongoing di			SuggestedRemedy			
However, it should be r				Provide a value.	-		
However, it should be r	noted that there is ongoing di			Provide a value. Proposed Response	Response Status W		
However, it should be r SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's no	noted that there is ongoing di			Provide a value. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
However, it should be r SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's no	noted that there is ongoing di ote. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>			Provide a value. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT			
However, it should be r SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's no Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	noted that there is ongoing di ote. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>			Provide a value. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	T IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #125.	L <b>2</b>	# 39
However, it should be r SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's no Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the resp	noted that there is ongoing di ote. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #126.			Provide a value. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using the res	T IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #125.	L <b>2</b>	# 39
However, it should be r SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's no Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the resp Cl 120G SC 120G.3.2	noted that there is ongoing di te. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. bonse to comment #126. <b>.2 P 235</b>	scussion on this t	topic.	Provide a value. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the res Cl 120G SC 120G.3.	T IN PRINCIPLE.           ponse to comment #125.           4.1.1         P 242	L 2	# <u>39</u> TP1 EH/VEC XTALK
However, it should be r SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's no Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the resp C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 Brown, Matt	noted that there is ongoing di te. Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #126. 2 P 235 Huawei	scussion on this t	topic. # <u>36</u>	Provide a value. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the res CI 120G SC 120G.3. Brown, Matt Comment Type T	T IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #125. 4.1.1 P 242 Huawei		TP1 EH/VEC XTAL
However, it should be r SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's no Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the resp C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type T	noted that there is ongoing di te. Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #126. .2 P 235 Huawei Comment Status D	L 33	topic. # <u>36</u> TP4 EO XTALK	Provide a value. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the res CI 120G SC 120G.3. Brown, Matt Comment Type T	T IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #125. 4.1.1 P 242 Huawei Comment Status D		TP1 EH/VEC XTAL
However, it should be r SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's no Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the resp C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type T The specified values fo	noted that there is ongoing di te. Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #126. 2 P 235 Huawei	L 33	topic. # <u>36</u> TP4 EO XTALK	Provide a value. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the res CI 120G SC 120G.3.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T The specified values f	T IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #125. 4.1.1 P 242 Huawei Comment Status D		TP1 EH/VEC XTAL
However, it should be r SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's no Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the resp Cl 120G SC 120G.3.2. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The specified values fo SuggestedRemedy	noted that there is ongoing di te. Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #126. .2 P 235 Huawei Comment Status D	L 33	topic. # <u>36</u> TP4 EO XTALK	Provide a value. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the res CI 120G SC 120G.3.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T The specified values f SuggestedRemedy Provide values.	T IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #125. 4.1.1 P 242 Huawei Comment Status D for the module stressed input		TP1 EH/VEC XTAL
However, it should be r SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's no Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the resp Cl 120G SC 120G.3.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type T	noted that there is ongoing di te. Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #126. .2 P 235 Huawei Comment Status D	L 33	topic. # <u>36</u> TP4 EO XTALK	Provide a value. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the res CI 120G SC 120G.3.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T The specified values f SuggestedRemedy	T IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #125. 4.1.1 P 242 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> D for the module stressed input <i>Response Status</i> W		TP1 EH/VEC XTAL

C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2	P 246	L 38	# 40	C/ 162C	SC 162C.2.2	P 275	L 12	# 43
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt		Huawei		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		EH/VEC	Comment Typ	be T	Comment Status D		MDI graphic (bucket1)
The editor's note indicates			ue may need to be	The grap	nics in Figure	162C-3 and Figure 162C-44	are missing.	
updated due to measurem	nent method being update	ed in D1.4.		SuggestedRe	medy			
SuggestedRemedy		and band band a	and an ended a factor of M	Provide g	raphics.			
Provide updated values for necessary and remove ed		put, nost input, a	na module input if	Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W		
,	Response Status W					IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	,				phics provide 3ck_02_0120	d in the following presentation	n:	
Many comments propose			, TP4, and TP4 as					
summarized in the presen For task force discussion.	Itation brown_3CK_01_012	20.		C/ 163B	SC 163B.2	P 291	L 18	# 44
	<b>B</b>		"	Brown, Matt		Huawei		
X 162B SC 162B.1.3.1	P <b>262</b>	L 36	# 41	Comment Typ		Comment Status D		TP0v/TP5v example
rown, Matt	Huawei				kample test fi age is TBD.	ture, the reference value in T	Table 163B-1 fo	or transmitter steady-
· · · //· ·	Comment Status D	700	MTF FOMILD	SuggestedRe	0			
The specified value for M	IF FOM_ILD upper limit is	S IBD.		Provide a	-			
uggestedRemedy				Proposed Res		Response Status W		
Provide a value.				,	,	IN PRINCIPLE.		
	Response Status W			This com	ment propose	s a technical change to the d	raft that does r	not address technical
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN Resolve using the response				complete Resolve		onse to comment #132.		
					ising the resp			
V 162B SC 162B.1.3.2	P <b>262</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 42	C/ 163B	SC 163B.2	P <b>291</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 45
rown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt		Huawei		
	Comment Status D		MTF ERL	Comment Typ		Comment Status D		TP0v/TP5v example
The specified value for M	IF ERL is TBD.			For the ex is TBD.	kample test fiz	ture, the reference value for	transmitter line	ar fit pulse peak voltage
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	medv			
Provide a value and updat				Provide a	,			
	Response Status W			Proposed Res		Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN Resolve using the response						IN PRINCIPLE.		
. teesive doing the roopont				This com	ment propose	s a technical change to the d	raft that does r	not address technical
				complete		onso to commont #122		
				Resolve l	using the resp	onse to comment #132.		

C/ 162 SC 16	62.9.4.1	P 158	L 23	# 46	C/ 162	SC	162.8.11	P 150	L <b>34</b>	# 49
Brown, Matt		Huawei			Lusted, Ke	ent		Intel Corporati	ion	
Comment Type	т С	omment Status D		rate tolerance (bucket1)	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		training (bucket
SuggestedRemedy	.4.3 and 162 e Re	es should include 162.9. .9.4.4" to "162.9.4.2, 162 esponse Status W		2.9.4.4".	contair receive does r frames require	ning tra er shou not have s during ements.	ining frame Id react to a valid tra startup ar	ert local_tf_lock provided es at the PMD input" is insuff a signal that is compliant wit aining frame format. It is pos e malformed logically yet me	ficiently detailed th respect to an ssible that a few	d. It is unclear if a nplitude, jitter, etc but of the first training
		<b>D</b>			Suggested			. provided that there is a cor	mpliant signal o	ontaining valid training
-	20F.3.1	P 212	L <b>50</b>	# 47			PMD input	•	npilant signal o	ontaining valid training
Brown, Matt	_	Huawei			Proposed	Respon	ise	Response Status W		
		omment Status <b>D</b> peated in both 120F.3.1	and 120E 2.1 (	editorial (bucket1)	PROP	OSED	ACCEPT.			
		e configured via the tran				00	4.0		1.4.4	# [50
120F.3.1.4."		-			C/ 1	SC	1.3	P 32	L 14	# 50
SuggestedRemedy					Lusted, Ke		-	Intel Corporati	on	a dita vial (h a l.a t.
Delete the sente	ence in 1200	G.3.1.			Comment		E na alasta san	Comment Status D	August 47,000	editorial (bucket1
Proposed Response PROPOSED AG		esponse Status W			as sho		ne draft. S	the SFP-DD MSA v4.2 was see http://sfp-dd.com/wp-con		
		D444	1 07	# [10]	Suggested	Remea	ly			
	36.8.11.7.1	<i>P</i> 114	L 37	# 48	Chang	e the d	ate to Aug	ust 17, 2020		
Lusted, Kent		Intel Corporat	ion		Proposed	Respon	ise	Response Status W		
		omment Status D		training (bucket1)	PROP	OSED	ACCEPT.			
https://www.iee "use_quiet_in_t setting of FALS value is made for some vendors r	e802.org/3/c training" was E for 50 Gb/ for 100 Gb/s may interpre	hange proposed in k/public/20_10/lusted_3 defined in Clause 136.8 s per lane PHYs. Howe per lane PHYs. This co t the "use_quiet_in_train nded to be mandatory for	3.11.7.1. This va ever, no specific uld lead to confi ning" capability a	ariable has an explicit mention of the variable usion in the industry as us optional to						
SuggestedRemedy										
	"use_quiet_i	ntry to the list as follows n_training" (see 136.8.1		set to TRUE for 100						
Proposed Response		esponse Status W								

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #53.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 50

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.1	P 154	L <b>6</b>	# 51
Mellitz, Rich	hard	Samtec		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		LF resolution (WG)
- ·				

Samples per UI, M, may not be as straight forward for measurement equipment because architectures may vary amongst instruments. All things being ideal, as in simulation, specification of M would seem straight forward. However, what seems most important is the confidence of the results especially when we are evaluating sigma\_e, sigma\_n, and values extracted from histograms. For the example of histogram measurement, and good argument could be made for M to be at least 100. Setting M to at least 32 might be sufficient for V\_f r c(i) measurements.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add a line to line 7. Interpolations and raw measurement adjustments shall be sufficient to support a least a 95% confidence of all derived values for voltage and noise specifications.

Proposed Response Response Status W

#### PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment proposes a technical change to the draft that does not address technical completeness. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during working group ballot.

C/ 136	SC 136.8.11.7	.1 <i>P</i> 114	L <b>39</b>	# 52
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status D		training (bucket1)

The use\_quiet\_in\_training variable controls access to certain states. When TRUE it indicates access to the state is allowed. So the "and is set to FALSE otherwise" is just confusing since a boolean is either TRUE or FALSE and the first sentence is defining what happens when it's TRUE not what makes it TRUE

#### SuggestedRemedy

Remove "and is set to FALSE otherwise" from the first sentence in the definition of use\_quiet\_in\_training

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 136	SC	136.8.11	.7.1	P <b>1</b>	14	L <b>39</b>	#	53	
Slavick, Je	ff			Broad	lcom				
Comment	Туре	TR	Commen	t Status	D		tra	aining (buck	et1)
						all newly develope			

features to avoid the deadlock situation. So the QUIET state should mandatory except for 50G PHY types.

## SuggestedRemedy

Change the last sentence of the use\_quiet\_in\_training definition to read as "This variable is always set to FALSE for 50 Gb/s per lane PHYs, otherwise it's set to TRUE..

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
-------------------	-----------------	---

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 120	SC 120.5.7.2	P 102	L <b>45</b>	# 54
Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom		
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		editorial (bucket1)

The cross out of the text "The variables" and "by the PMD control function" in the second sentence of the paragraph seems to be too much since the sentence would read "precoder\_tx\_out\_enable\_i and precoder\_rx\_in\_enable\_i shall be set as determined in the LINK\_READY state of the PMD control state diagram on lane i (see 136.8.11.7.5)"

## SuggestedRemedy

Update the second senetence to be ""precoder\_tx\_out\_enable\_i and precoder\_rx\_in\_enable\_i shall be set as determined by the PMD control function in the LINK\_READY state on lane i (see Fig 136-7)"

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
-------------------	-----------------	---

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 120	SC 120.5.7.2	P <b>102</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 55
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		editorial (bucket1)

In the change to the first paragph it has removed the requirement of this paragraph for 50G copper PMDs.

SuggestedRemedy

Add 200GBASE-KR4/CR4 to the list in both the first and second sentences.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 120	SC 120.5.7.2	P 103	L <b>44</b>	# 56	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.1	.4 <i>P</i> 155	L <b>46</b>	# 59
Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom			Wu, Mau-L	_in	MediaTek		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		editorial (bucket1)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		TX EQ (bucket1)
	change to the fou opper PMDs.	rth paragph it has removed th	e requiremen	t of this paragraph for			Q coefficient had been change dified from 0.02 to 0.025.	ed from 2% to 2.	5%. The "coefficient
Suggested	dRemedy				Suggested	lRemedy			
Add 2	00GBASE-KR4/CI	R4 to the list in the first senter	nce.				st to "increment" shall be betw		0.02,> to < to a
Proposed	Response	Response Status W					shall be between 0.005 and 0	).025,>.	
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.	-			Proposed PROP	<i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
C/ 162A	SC 162A.2	P <b>253</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 57	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.1	.4 <i>P</i> 155	L <b>47</b>	# 60
Wu, Mau-l	Lin	MediaTek						L 41	# 60
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		editorial (bucket1)	Wu, Mau-L		MediaTek		
TP0a	had been replaced	d by TP0v in Clause 163.9.2.			Comment		Comment Status D		TX EQ (bucket1)
Suggested	dRemedy				I he st step si	ep size of TX EC ize" shall be mor	Q coefficient had been change dified from -0.02 to -0.025.	ed from 2% to 2.	5%. The "coefficient
Chang	ge "The recommer	nded transmitter characteristic	s at TP0 as n	neasured at TP0a are	Suggested				
		hall be changed to "The recon		smitter characteristics	00	,	est to "decrement" shall be bet	tween -0.02 and	l_0.005 > to < to a
		TP0v are described in 163.9.2					shall be between -0.025 and		1-0.000.2 10 < 10 a
'	Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.					OSED ACCEPT			
C/ 162A	SC 162A.3	P 253	L 29	# 58					
Wu, Mau-I	Lin	MediaTek			C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1		L 17	# 61
Comment	Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		editorial (bucket1)	Wu, Mau-L	_in	MediaTek		
		d by TP5v in Clause 163.9.3.			Comment		Comment Status D		EH/VEC
Suggested	•	· · <b>,</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					new EH & VEC test methods		
	•	nded receiver characteristics a	at TP5 as ma	sured at TP5a are			<ul> <li>Host output characteristics parameters" shall be updated</li> </ul>		
		hall be changed to "The recon			Suggested				.paor of non-monoul
TP5 a	s measured at TP	5v are described in 163.9.3."				-	from 15 mV to 8 mV in Table	1206-1 & 1200	3-10
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Propos	se to change VE	C from 9.0 dB to 12.0 dB in T	able 120G-1.	
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.						C (max) from 9.5 dB to 12.5 c $(max)$ from 0.0 dB to 12.0 d		
							C (min) from 9.0 dB to 12.0 d sluded in wu_3ck_01_0121.pd		-10.
					Proposed		Response Status W		
					,	OSED ACCEPT	•		
					Decel				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 61

Resolve using the response to comment #40.

FH/VFC

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	1.5 P 233	L 17	# 62	C/ 120G	SC 12	20G.3.3.2.1	P 238	L <b>54</b>	# 64
Wu, Mau-Lir	า	MediaTek			Wu, Mau-L	in		MediaTek		
Comment Ty	ype T	Comment Status D	7	P1a EH/VEC EO XTALK	Comment	Туре	T Co	omment Status D		TP4a SIT XTAL
Accordir TP4 sha output ve	ng to the analy all be aligned v oltage swing a	for crosstalk calibration spe rsis explored in wu_3ck_adh vith that of Module output sp at TP1a, which is 870 mV no nput, & Module input specs.	ioc_02_010621.p ec, which is 900	df, the target swing at mV. Similarly, the	Accord TP4 sh output	ling to the nall be ali voltage s	e analysis ex igned with tha swing at TP1a	osstalk calibration spec plored in wu_3ck_adhor at of Module output sper a, which is 870 mV now Module input specs.	c_02_010621.p c, which is 900	df, the target swing at mV. Similarly, the
SuggestedR	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Host out " with	tput: 120G.3.1	paragraph to replace the or .4 (Page 233, L17) tial peak-to-peak amplitude 2.7 V."	-	lew time of 12 ps	Host ir " with	nput: 120 n target a	G.3.3.2.1 (Pa amplitude of 8	raph to replace the origi age 238, L54)) 370 mV peak-to-peak dir easured at TP1a"		0% to 80% target
	SED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #14.			-	OSED A	CCEPT IN PI	sponse Status W RINCIPLE. to comment #19.		
Cl 120G	SC 120G.3.2	2.2 P 235	L 33	# 63	C/ 120G	SC 12	20G.3.4.1.1	P <b>242</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 65
Wu, Mau-Lir	า	MediaTek			Wu, Mau-L	in		MediaTek		
Comment Ty	ype T	Comment Status D		TP4 EO XTALK	Comment	Туре	T Co	omment Status D		TP1 EH/VEC XTAL
Accordir TP4 sha output ve	ng to the analy all be aligned v oltage swing a	for crosstalk calibration spe vsis explored in wu_3ck_adh vith that of Module output sp at TP1a, which is 870 mV no nput, & Module input specs.	loc_02_010621.p lec, which is 900	df, the target swing at mV. Similarly, the	Accord TP4 sh output	ling to the nall be ali voltage s	e analysis ex igned with tha swing at TP1a	osstalk calibration spec plored in wu_3ck_adhor at of Module output sper a, which is 870 mV now Module input specs.	c_02_010621.p c, which is 900	df, the target swing at mV. Similarly, the
SuggestedR	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Module	output: 120G.3	paragraph to replace the or 3.2.2 (Page 235, L33) tial peak-to-peak amplitude	-	arget transition time of	Module " with	e input: 1 n target a	20G.3.4.1.1 ( amplitude of 9	raph to replace the origi (Page 242, L2) 300 mV peak-to-peak dit 5 measured at TP4"		rget slew time between
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W			Proposed I	Response	e Re	sponse Status W		

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #17. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #20.

C/ 163 SC 163.9.2.3 P 187 L 16 # 66	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.5 P 233 L 17 # 68
Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc.	Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc.
Comment Type E Comment Status D (bucket)	Comment Type T Comment Status D TP1a EH/VEC EO XT
Subclause title is incorrect.	The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and slew time of the crosstalk generator, a observed at TP4, are TBD.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change subclause title to "Difference steady-state voltage".	Since the crosstalk generator is used to represent near-end aggression from the the
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	module transmitter outputs, the largest amplitude and smallest transition time allowed for module output (as observed at TP4) should be used to represent worst-case aggression. Change:
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.2.1 P 239 L 40 # 67	"The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP4 (without the use of a reference receiver) wit target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of TBD mV and slew time of TBD ps between -
Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc.	TBD V and +TBD V."
Comment Type T Comment Status D TP4a SIT level	
The stressed input signal calibration procedure states that "random jitter and the pattern generator output levels are adjusted (without exceeding the differential peak-to-peak input	"The crosstalk generator is calibrated so that the differential peak-to-peak output voltage and transition time, as measured at TP4, are as close to the limits in Table 120G-3 as practical."
voltage tolerance specification as shown in Table 120G–6) to result in the eye height for all three eyes given in Table 120G–7 with the setting of the CTLE that minimizes the vertical	Proposed Response Response Status W
eye closure." The term "output levels" is ambiguous. It could be interpreted to be "pattern	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE
generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #14.
	Resolve using the response to comment #14.
generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low.	Resolve using the response to comment #14.           C/ 120G         SC 120G.3.2.2         P 235         L 33         # 69
generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low. SuggestedRemedy Replace the sentence with the following text:	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         Cl 120G       SC 120G.3.2.2       P 235       L 33       # 69         Healey, Adam       Broadcom Inc.
generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Replace the sentence with the following text: "The pattern generator output is adjusted so that the height of the smallest eye matches	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         Cl       120G       SC       120G.3.2.2       P       235       L       33       # 69         Healey, Adam       Broadcom Inc.       Broadcom Inc.       TP4 EO XT.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       TP4 EO XT.
generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low. SuggestedRemedy Replace the sentence with the following text:	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         Cl 120G       SC 120G.3.2.2       P 235       L 33       # 69         Healey, Adam       Broadcom Inc.
<ul> <li>generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy Replace the sentence with the following text: "The pattern generator output is adjusted so that the height of the smallest eye matches the value in Table 120G-7, and the height of all three eyes agree to the largest extent possible, for the CTLE setting that minimizes vertical eye closure. The differential peak-to-peak input voltage tolerance given in Table 120G-6 is not exceeded. Individual PAM-4</li></ul>	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         Cl       120G       SC       120G.3.2.2       P       235       L       33       #       69         Healey, Adam       Broadcom Inc.       Broadcom Inc.       TP4 EO XT.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       TP4 EO XT.         The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and transition time, as observed at TP1a,
<ul> <li>generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>Replace the sentence with the following text:</li> <li>"The pattern generator output is adjusted so that the height of the smallest eye matches the value in Table 120G-7, and the height of all three eyes agree to the largest extent possible, for the CTLE setting that minimizes vertical eye closure. The differential peak-to-peak input voltage tolerance given in Table 120G-6 is not exceeded. Individual PAM-4 signal levels may be adjusted to improve the agreement of the three eye heights but the</li> </ul>	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         Cl 120G       SC 120G.3.2.2       P 235       L 33       # 69         Healey, Adam       Broadcom Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       TP4 EO XT, The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and transition time, as observed at TP1a, are TBD.         SuggestedRemedy       Since the crosstalk generator is used to represent near-end aggression from the the host
generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low. SuggestedRemedy Replace the sentence with the following text: "The pattern generator output is adjusted so that the height of the smallest eye matches the value in Table 120G-7, and the height of all three eyes agree to the largest extent possible, for the CTLE setting that minimizes vertical eye closure. The differential peak-to-peak input voltage tolerance given in Table 120G-6 is not exceeded. Individual PAM-4 signal levels may be adjusted to improve the agreement of the three eye heights but the level separation mismatch ratio (RLM) is at least 0.95. RLM is defined in 120D.3.1.2 and is calculated using VM0, VM1, VM2, and VM3 as defined in 120G.5.2 in place of V0, V1, V2,	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         CI 120G SC 120G.3.2.2 P 235 L 33 # 69         Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc.         Comment Type T Comment Status D TP4 EO XT, The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and transition time, as observed at TP1a, are TBD.         SuggestedRemedy         Since the crosstalk generator is used to represent near-end aggression from the the host transmitter outputs, the largest amplitude and smallest transition time allowed for a host
generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low. SuggestedRemedy Replace the sentence with the following text: "The pattern generator output is adjusted so that the height of the smallest eye matches the value in Table 120G-7, and the height of all three eyes agree to the largest extent possible, for the CTLE setting that minimizes vertical eye closure. The differential peak-to-peak input voltage tolerance given in Table 120G-6 is not exceeded. Individual PAM-4 signal levels may be adjusted to improve the agreement of the three eye heights but the level separation mismatch ratio (RLM) is at least 0.95. RLM is defined in 120D.3.1.2 and is calculated using VM0, VM1, VM2, and VM3 as defined in 120G.5.2 in place of V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively. Random jitter amplitude may also be adjusted to acheive the eye	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         Cl 120G       SC 120G.3.2.2       P 235       L 33       # 69         Healey, Adam       Broadcom Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       TP4 EO XT, The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and transition time, as observed at TP1a, are TBD.         SuggestedRemedy       Since the crosstalk generator is used to represent near-end aggression from the the host
generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low. SuggestedRemedy Replace the sentence with the following text: "The pattern generator output is adjusted so that the height of the smallest eye matches the value in Table 120G-7, and the height of all three eyes agree to the largest extent possible, for the CTLE setting that minimizes vertical eye closure. The differential peak-to-peak input voltage tolerance given in Table 120G-6 is not exceeded. Individual PAM-4 signal levels may be adjusted to improve the agreement of the three eye heights but the level separation mismatch ratio (RLM) is at least 0.95. RLM is defined in 120D.3.1.2 and is calculated using VM0, VM1, VM2, and VM3 as defined in 120G.5.2 in place of V0, V1, V2,	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         CI 120G SC 120G.3.2.2 P 235 L 33 # 69         Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc.         T Comment Status D TP4 EO XT, The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and transition time, as observed at TP1a, are TBD.         SuggestedRemedy         Since the crosstalk generator is used to represent near-end aggression from the the host transmitter outputs, the largest amplitude and smallest transition time allowed for a host output (as observed at TP1a) should be used to represent worst-case aggression. Change:         "The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) w
<ul> <li>generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>Replace the sentence with the following text:</li> <li>"The pattern generator output is adjusted so that the height of the smallest eye matches the value in Table 120G-7, and the height of all three eyes agree to the largest extent possible, for the CTLE setting that minimizes vertical eye closure. The differential peak-to-peak input voltage tolerance given in Table 120G-6 is not exceeded. Individual PAM-4 signal levels may be adjusted to improve the agreement of the three eye heights but the level separation mismatch ratio (RLM) is at least 0.95. RLM is defined in 120D.3.1.2 and is calculated using VM0, VM1, VM2, and VM3 as defined in 120G.5.2 in place of V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively. Random jitter amplitude may also be adjusted to acheive the eye height targets.</li> <li>A similar change is suggested for 120G.3.4.1.1 (page 242, line 17).</li> </ul>	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         Cl 120G       SC 120G.3.2.2       P 235       L 33       # 69         Healey, Adam       Broadcom Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       TP4 EO XTA         The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and transition time, as observed at TP1a, are TBD.       SuggestedRemedy         Since the crosstalk generator is used to represent near-end aggression from the the host transmitter outputs, the largest amplitude and smallest transition time allowed for a host output (as observed at TP1a) should be used to represent worst-case aggression. Change:
generator output amplitude <sup>i</sup> or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low. SuggestedRemedy Replace the sentence with the following text: "The pattern generator output is adjusted so that the height of the smallest eye matches the value in Table 120G-7, and the height of all three eyes agree to the largest extent possible, for the CTLE setting that minimizes vertical eye closure. The differential peak-to-peak input voltage tolerance given in Table 120G-6 is not exceeded. Individual PAM-4 signal levels may be adjusted to improve the agreement of the three eye heights but the level separation mismatch ratio (RLM) is at least 0.95. RLM is defined in 120D.3.1.2 and is calculated using VM0, VM1, VM2, and VM3 as defined in 120G.5.2 in place of V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively. Random jitter amplitude may also be adjusted to acheive the eye height targets. A similar change is suggested for 120G.3.4.1.1 (page 242, line 17). Proposed Response Response Response Status W	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         Cl 120G       SC 120G.3.2.2       P 235       L 33       # 69         Healey, Adam       Broadcom Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       TP4 EO XT.         The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and transition time, as observed at TP1a, are TBD.       SuggestedRemedy         Since the crosstalk generator is used to represent near-end aggression from the the host transmitter outputs, the largest amplitude and smallest transition time allowed for a host output (as observed at TP1a) should be used to represent worst-case aggression. Change:         "The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) w target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of TBD mV and target transition time of TBD ps To:         "The crosstalk generator is calibrated so that the differential peak-to-peak output voltage
generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Replace the sentence with the following text: "The pattern generator output is adjusted so that the height of the smallest eye matches the value in Table 120G-7, and the height of all three eyes agree to the largest extent possible, for the CTLE setting that minimizes vertical eye closure. The differential peak-to- peak input voltage tolerance given in Table 120G-6 is not exceeded. Individual PAM-4 signal levels may be adjusted to improve the agreement of the three eye heights but the level separation mismatch ratio (RLM) is at least 0.95. RLM is defined in 120D.3.1.2 and is calculated using VM0, VM1, VM2, and VM3 as defined in 120G.5.2 in place of V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively. Random jitter amplitude may also be adjusted to acheive the eye height targets. A similar change is suggested for 120G.3.4.1.1 (page 242, line 17). <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Response Status</i> W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         Cl 120G       SC 120G.3.2.2       P 235       L 33       # 69         Healey, Adam       Broadcom Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       TP4 EO XT,         The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and transition time, as observed at TP1a, are TBD.       SuggestedRemedy         Since the crosstalk generator is used to represent near-end aggression from the the host transmitter outputs, the largest amplitude and smallest transition time allowed for a host output (as observed at TP1a) should be used to represent worst-case aggression.       Change:         "The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) w target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of TBD mV and target transition time of TBD ps To:       "The crosstalk generator is calibrated so that the differential peak-to-peak output voltage and transition time, as measured at TP1a, are a close to the limits in Table 120G-1 as
generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Replace the sentence with the following text: "The pattern generator output is adjusted so that the height of the smallest eye matches the value in Table 120G-7, and the height of all three eyes agree to the largest extent possible, for the CTLE setting that minimizes vertical eye closure. The differential peak-to- peak input voltage tolerance given in Table 120G-6 is not exceeded. Individual PAM-4 signal levels may be adjusted to improve the agreement of the three eye heights but the level separation mismatch ratio (RLM) is at least 0.95. RLM is defined in 120D.3.1.2 and is calculated using VM0, VM1, VM2, and VM3 as defined in 120G.5.2 in place of V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively. Random jitter amplitude may also be adjusted to acheive the eye height targets. A similar change is suggested for 120G.3.4.1.1 (page 242, line 17). <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Response Status</i> W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement the suggested remedy in 120G.3.3.2.1 and a similar change in 12G.3.4.1.1 with	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         Cl 120G       SC 120G.3.2.2       P 235       L 33       # 69         Healey, Adam       Broadcom Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       TP4 EO XT,         The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and transition time, as observed at TP1a, are TBD.       SuggestedRemedy         Since the crosstalk generator is used to represent near-end aggression from the the host transmitter outputs, the largest amplitude and smallest transition time allowed for a host output (as observed at TP1a) should be used to represent worst-case aggression.         Change:       "The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) w target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of TBD mV and target transition time of TBD ps To:         "The crosstalk generator is calibrated so that the differential peak-to-peak output voltage and transition time, as measured at TP1a, are a close to the limits in Table 120G-1 as practical."
generator output amplitude" or "individual PAM-4 signal levels". It seems that the latter is intended but the individual PAM-4 signal levels should not be allowed to be adjusted so far that the level separation mismatch ratio ("RLM") is too low. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Replace the sentence with the following text: "The pattern generator output is adjusted so that the height of the smallest eye matches the value in Table 120G-7, and the height of all three eyes agree to the largest extent possible, for the CTLE setting that minimizes vertical eye closure. The differential peak-to-peak input voltage tolerance given in Table 120G-6 is not exceeded. Individual PAM-4 signal levels may be adjusted to improve the agreement of the three eye heights but the level separation mismatch ratio (RLM) is at least 0.95. RLM is defined in 120D.3.1.2 and is calculated using VM0, VM1, VM2, and VM3 as defined in 120G.5.2 in place of V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively. Random jitter amplitude may also be adjusted to acheive the eye height targets. A similar change is suggested for 120G.3.4.1.1 (page 242, line 17). <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Resolve using the response to comment #14.         Cl 120G       SC 120G.3.2.2       P 235       L 33       # 69         Healey, Adam       Broadcom Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       TP4 EO XT,         The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and transition time, as observed at TP1a, are TBD.       SuggestedRemedy         Since the crosstalk generator is used to represent near-end aggression from the the host transmitter outputs, the largest amplitude and smallest transition time allowed for a host output (as observed at TP1a) should be used to represent worst-case aggression.       Change:         "The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) w target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of TBD mV and target transition time of TBD ps To:       "The crosstalk generator is calibrated so that the differential peak-to-peak output voltage and transition time, as measured at TP1a, are a close to the limits in Table 120G-1 as

	120G.3.3.2.1	P 238	L <b>54</b>	# 70	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.4.1.1	P 242	L <b>2</b>	# 71
Healey, Adam		Broadcom Inc.			Healey, Ada	am	Broadcom Inc.		

TP4a SIT XTALK

Comment Type т Comment Status D

The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and transition time, as observed at TP1a. are TBD.

### SuggestedRemedy

Since the crosstalk generator is used as a proxy for the host transmitter(s) during stressed input signal calibration, the amplitude and transition times should be set to agree with the values measured at the output of the host under test (TP1a).

Change:

"The counter propagating crosstalk signals during calibration of the stressed signal are asynchronous with target amplitude of TBD mV peak-to-peak differential and 20% to 80% target transition time of TBD ps as measured at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver)."

To:

"The counter propagating crosstalk signals are asynchronous during calibration of the stressed signal. The crosstalk generator is calibrated so that the differential peak-to-peak output voltage and transition time, as measured at TP1a, are as close as practical to the values measured at the output of the host under test (at TP1a) without the use of a reference receiver."

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #19.



The target differential peak-to-peak amplitude and slew time of the crosstalk generator, as observed at TP4, are TBD.

## SuggestedRemedy

Since the crosstalk generator is used as a proxy for the module transmitter(s) during stressed input signal calibration, the amplitude and transition times should be set to agree with the values measured at the output of the module under test (TP4). Change:

"The counter propagating crosstalk signals during calibration of the stressed signal are asynchronous with target amplitude of TBD mV peak-to-peak differential and target slew time between -TBD mV and TBD mV of TBD ps as measured at TP4 (without the use of a reference equalizer)."

To:

"The counter propagating crosstalk signals are asynchronous during calibration of the stressed signal. The crosstalk generator is calibrated so that the differential peak-to-peak output voltage and transition time, as measured at TP4, are as close as practical to the values measured at the output of the module under test (at TP4) without the use of a reference receiver."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #20.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1 P 231 L 18 # 72	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2.1 P 235 L 10 # 74
Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc.	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
Comment Type T Comment Status D EH/VEC	Comment Type TR Comment Status D TP4 EQ settings
The eye height and vertical eye closure limits were based on (simulated) measurements of a vertical slice of the eye at the nominal sampling time. The measurement method for eye height and vertical eye closure in 120G.5.2 has been modified to use a vertical slice of the	In table 120G-4 AUI-short and long are introduced but there is no description what AUI-S and AUI-L are! SuggestedRemedy
eye spanning -50 to +50 mUI around the nominal sampling time. Comparison of measurement results implies that the change in the measurement method results in up to a 3 dB increase in vertical eye closure and a similar decrease in eye height.	We need to define channel loss range for AUI-S and AUI-L. ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 investigates possible channel loss ranges for AUI S/L, the result indicate 10 dB is about optimum but given how close 10 dB is to CR host loss of 10.975 dB
SuggestedRemedy	the proposal is to use 10.975 dB as the demarcation point for AUI-S/L.
In Table 120G-1, change "Eye height, differential (min)" to 10 mV and "Vertical eye closure (max)" to 12 dB.	Proposed Response Response Status W
In Table 120G-3, change "Near-end eye height, differential (min)" and "Far-end eye height, differential (min)" to 17 mV and "Near-end vertical eye closure (max)" and "Far-end vertical eye closure (max)" to 10.5 dB. In Table 120G-7, change "Near-end eye height" and "Far-end eye height" to 17 mV and "Near-end vertical eye closure" and "Far-end vertical eye closure" to 10.5 dB.	PROPOSED REJECT. The intent is that the host would select the mode that is most helpful given the combination of characteristics of the host PCB, connector, and device (e.g., package loss, receiver characteristics). It is therefore not necessary or helpful to provide specific guidance as proposed in the suggested remedy.
In Table 120G-10, change "Eye height" to 10 mV, "VEC (max)" to 12.5 dB, and "VEC (min)" to 12 dB.	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 P 234 L 11 # 75
Proposed Response Response Status W	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #40.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D EH/VEC Given that now we have AUI-S/L near end eye would be AUI-S min eye opening
C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 P 245 L 18 # 73	SuggestedRemedy
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	The eye opening with 50 mUI rectangular window for AUI-S is VEO=20 mV, see ghiasi_3ck_01_0121
Comment Type         TR         Comment Status         D         TP4 NE/FE names           In table 120G-11 we refer to TP4 near end and TP4 far end, but table 120G-4 we refer to AUI-S and AUI-L short and long. It would be helpful to be consistent with the terminology.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #40.
SuggestedRemedy	
I suggest replacing TP4 near end with TP4-S or short and TP4 far end with TP4-L or long	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 P 234 L 13 # 76
to align with AUI-S/L The AUI short covers from TP4 near end up to 10.975 dB, and AUI long covers from	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
>10.975 dB to 16 dB channels.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D EH/VEC
Proposed Response Response Status W	Given that now we have AUI-S/L far end eye would be AUI-S min eye opening
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy
Resolve using the responses to comments #74 and #148.	The eye opening with 50 mUI rectangular window for AUI-L is VEO=11 mV, see ghiasi_3ck_01_0121
	Proposed Response Response Status W

Comment ID 76

CI 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 234	L 11	# 77		C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	P <b>231</b>	L 17	# 80
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi			Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qu	antum/Inphi	
Comment Type ER Co Given that now we have AU	omment Status <b>D</b> I-S/L near end VEC nee	d to be defined		EH/VEC	Comment 7 Eye he		Comment Status D adjusted to account for th	e 50 mUI rectangula	EH/VEC ar window
SuggestedRemedy The eye opening with 50 mL ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT IN Pl Resolve using the response	esponse Status W RINCIPLE.	r AUI-S is VEC=	12.5 dB, see		Proposed F PROPC	iasi_3ck_01_01 Response DSED ACCEPT	21 and reduce eye height Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ionse to comment #40.	window from 15 m <sup>1</sup>	V to 9.5 mV
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 234	L 14	# 78		C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	P <b>231</b>	L 19	# 81
	-		# 10		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qu	antum/Inphi	
Given that now we have AU	Ghiasi Quantu omment Status D I-S/L far end VEC need	·		EH/VEC	Comment 7 VEC ne Suggestedl	ed to be adjust	Comment Status D ed to account for the 50 n	nUI rectangular wind	EH/VEC
SuggestedRemedy					See gh	iasi_3ck_01_01	21 and reduce eye height	window from 7.5 dl	B to 14 dB
The eye opening with 50 mL ghiasi_3ck_01_0121	JI rectangular window fo	r AUI-L is VEC=	14.5 dB, see		Proposed F		Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P	-						IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #40.		
Resolve using the response	to comment #40.				C/ 163B	SC 163B.2	P <b>290</b>	L 23	# 82
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2	P 234	L 17	# 79		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qu	antum/Inphi	
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi			Comment 7		Comment Status D		TP0v/TP5v example
Comment Type TR C	omment Status D		-	TP4 ERL	Examp	le TP0V should	be better defined		
ERL is TBD					Suggested	Remedy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P	esponse Status W RINCIPLE.	121			The DL followe ohms (	d by 66.8 mm 9 via model) the to	21 tructed from 2 mm section 2.5 Ohms strip line, follow otal loss of this model at 2 quation for the loss =0.00	ed by 2 mm section 6.55 GHz is 2.8 dB	n of PCB trace with 102 B. The PCB model is
Resolve using the response	to comment #125.				Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
					PROPO This co comple This su informa Pendin https://v	DSED REJECT. mment propose teness. ggested remedy tion is still miss g review of the f	es a technical change to the y provides more accurate ing for the test fixture. following presentation and g/3/ck/public/21_01/ghias	IL than equation 16 task force review.	3B-1. Phase
TYPE: TR/technical required EF COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatcl SORT ORDER: Comment ID						Z/withdrawn	Cor	nment ID 82	Page 16 of 36 2021-01-21 4:0

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1	P <b>231</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 83	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	<b>2</b> F	234	L <b>20</b>	# 85
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	tum/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghi	asi Quanti	um/Inphi	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		TP1a transition time	Comment 7	<i>уре</i> <b>т</b>	Comment Statu	s D		TP4 transition time
At TP1a it is no possible	to get 7.5 ps, please put s	omething reaso	nable	At TP4	it is no possibl	e to get 7.5 ps, plea	se put sor	mething reasona	ble
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
loss produces 12 ps 20-	output rise time when pass 80% rise time. I suggest 1			loss pro	oduces 12 ps 2		en that re		ed board with just 5 dB ave less than min HCB
Proposed Response	Response Status W								
PROPOSED REJECT.	a technical change to the			Proposed F	response DSED REJECT	Response Statu	s W		
completeness that includ	, there are proposals to oth de changes to the transition nse to comments 17 and 2 P 233	n time.	# [84	This co comple comple	mment propositeness. Howev teness that inc	use, page, and line of es a technical chan ver, there are propose dude changes to the ponse to comments	ge to the d als to othe transition	draft that does no er comments rela time.	ot address technical
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	tum/Inphi		C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	<b>22</b> F	235	L 34	# 86
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Addressing the TBD in the	Comment Status D he paragraph	7	TP1a EH/VEC EO XTALK	Ghiasi, Ali			asi Quanti	-	TP4 EO XTALK
SuggestedRemedy				Comment 7		n the paragraph	5 <b>D</b>		TP4 EU XTALK
A fast ASIC with 7.6 ps of	output rise time when pass	es through a ma	ated board with just 5 dB		-	r the paragraph			
	80% rise time. I suggest 2			Suggested					
	of 800 mV, the reason amp hasis on for this measuren ieve that is reasonable.			loss pro	oduces 12 ps 2	0-80% rise time, the	e full swing	g is about 2x. Bu	ed board with just 5 dB it given that module ew from -350 mV to +
Proposed Response	Response Status W			350 m\ that sig	and with amp nal will have pr	litude of 700 mV, th	e reason a his measu	amplitude is redu urement otherwis	ced is due assumption e one could go with

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #14. 900 mV amplitude I don't believe that is reasonable.

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #17.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

	P <b>238</b>	L 54	# 87	C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P <b>245</b>	L 18	# 90
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	tum/Inphi	
Comment Type TR C	Comment Status D		TP4a SIT XTALK	Comment 7	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		TP4 gDC (WG
Addressing the TBD in the	paragraph					result in some cases VEC to		
SuggestedRemedy						in the middle and when that xcessive peaking!	module is plugg	ged into low loss host
A fast ASIC with 7.6 ps out loss produces 12 ps 20-809				Suggestedl	Remedy			
ps but would be difficult to	generate such fast rise tir	me through mate	ed board. Given that	Please	reduce gDC for	TP4 near end from -2 dB to	-1 dB	
the signal will have pre-em	phasis enabled getting m	ore than 800 m	/ could be difficult. I	Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
suggest to go with 800 mV				PROPO	OSED REJECT			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F						es a technical change to the omenter is invited to resubm		
Resolve using the response	e to comment #19.			ballot.				
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1	P 240	L <b>46</b>	# 88	C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 162	L <b>36</b>	# 91
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		Haser, Alex	(	Molex		
Comment Type TR C	Comment Status D		EH/VEC	Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		withdrawi
Table 120G-10 needs to be	updated now that meas	urements are wi	th 50 mUI window		assembly supp a 1.75 m cable	orts achievable cable leng	th of at least 2 n	n"; spec is written
SuggestedRemedy								
				Suggested	Pomodu			
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a				Suggestedl Change		evable cable length of at leas	st 1.75 m"	
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a				00	e text to "achi	evable cable length of at leas Response Status <b>Z</b>	st 1.75 m"	
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a	nd reduce eye height wir esponse Status W			Change Proposed F	e text to "achi	Response Status Z	st 1.75 m"	
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a Proposed Response R	nd reduce eye height wir esponse Status W PRINCIPLE.			Change Proposed F PROPO	e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT	Response Status Z		
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F	nd reduce eye height wir esponse Status W PRINCIPLE.			Change Proposed F PROPO	e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT	Response Status Z		# 92
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F Resolve using the response	nd reduce eye height wir esponse Status W PRINCIPLE. e to comment #40.	ndow from 7.5 dl	B to 14+/- 0.5 dB	Change Proposed F PROP( This co	e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT Imment was WI SC <b>162.11</b>	Response Status Z THDRAWN by the comment	er.	# 92
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F Resolve using the response Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 Ghiasi, Ali	nd reduce eye height wir esponse Status W PRINCIPLE. e to comment #40. P 242	ndow from 7.5 dl	B to 14+/- 0.5 dB	Change Proposed F PROPO This co C/ <b>162</b>	e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT Imment was WI SC <b>162.11</b>	Response Status Z THDRAWN by the comment P 162	er.	
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F Resolve using the response Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR C Addressing the TBD in the	nd reduce eye height wir esponse Status W PRINCIPLE. e to comment #40. P <b>242</b> Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D	ndow from 7.5 dl	B to 14+/- 0.5 dB # <u>89</u>	Change Proposed F PROP( This co Cl 162 Haser, Alex Comment 1 "Cable	e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT omment was WI SC 162.11 Grype E	Response Status Z THDRAWN by the comment P 162 Molex Comment Status D orts achievable cable leng	er. <i>L</i> 38	withdrawn
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F Resolve using the response Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR C Addressing the TBD in the SuggestedRemedy	nd reduce eye height wir esponse Status W PRINCIPLE. e to comment #40. P 242 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D paragraph	ndow from 7.5 dl <i>L</i> 3 um/Inphi	B to 14+/- 0.5 dB # <u>89</u> TP1 EH/VEC XTALK	Change Proposed F PROPO This co Cl 162 Haser, Alex Comment 7 "Cable around	e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT omment was WI SC 162.11 S Fype E assembly supp a 1.75 m cable	Response Status Z THDRAWN by the comment P 162 Molex Comment Status D orts achievable cable leng	er. <i>L</i> 38	withdrawn
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F Resolve using the response Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR C Addressing the TBD in the SuggestedRemedy A fast ASIC with 7.6 ps out loss produces 12 ps 20-80 <sup>6</sup>	nd reduce eye height wir esponse Status W PRINCIPLE. e to comment #40. P 242 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D paragraph put rise time when passe % rise time, the full swing	L <b>3</b> L <b>3</b> um/Inphi es through a mat	B to 14+/- 0.5 dB # 89 TP1 EH/VEC XTALK red board with just 5 dB ut given that module	Change Proposed F PROPO This co Cl 162 Haser, Alex Comment 1 "Cable around Suggested	e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT omment was WI SC 162.11 SC 162.11 SC 1990 SC 162.11 SC 1	Response Status Z THDRAWN by the comment P 162 Molex Comment Status D orts achievable cable leng	er. <i>L</i> 38 th of at least 2 m	withdraw
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F Resolve using the response Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR C Addressing the TBD in the SuggestedRemedy A fast ASIC with 7.6 ps out loss produces 12 ps 20-80 <sup>6</sup> PCB may have lower than	nd reduce eye height wir esponse Status W PRINCIPLE. e to comment #40. P 242 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D paragraph put rise time when passe % rise time, the full swing HCB loss, then I sugges	L 3 L 3 um/Inphi s through a mat g is about 2x. Bu t 20 ps for the sl	B to 14+/- 0.5 dB # 89 TP1 EH/VEC XTALK ed board with just 5 dB ut given that module lew from -350 mV to +	Change Proposed F PROPO This co Cl 162 Haser, Alex Comment 1 "Cable around Suggested	e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT omment was WI SC 162.11 G Gype E assembly supp a 1.75 m cable Remedy e text to "achi	Response Status Z THDRAWN by the comment P 162 Molex Comment Status D orts achievable cable leng	er. <i>L</i> 38 th of at least 2 m	withdrawn
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F Resolve using the response Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR C Addressing the TBD in the SuggestedRemedy A fast ASIC with 7.6 ps out loss produces 12 ps 20-800 PCB may have lower than 350 mV and with amplitude that signal will have pre-em	nd reduce eye height wir esponse Status W PRINCIPLE. e to comment #40. P 242 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D paragraph put rise time, the full swings HCB loss, then I sugges of 700 mV, the reason a phasis on for this measu	L 3 L 3 um/Inphi es through a mat g is about 2x. Bu t 20 ps for the s amplitude is redu urement otherwis	# 89 <i>TP1 EH/VEC XTALK</i> ed board with just 5 dB ut given that module lew from -350 mV to + uced is due assumption	Change Proposed F PROPO This co Cl 162 Haser, Alex Comment T "Cable around Suggested Change Proposed F PROPO	e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT omment was WI SC 162.11 S Fype E assembly supp a 1.75 m cable Remedy e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT	Response Status Z THDRAWN by the comment P 162 Molex Comment Status D orts achievable cable leng evable cable length of at leas Response Status Z	er. <i>L</i> 38 th of at least 2 m st 1.75 m"	withdrawn
See ghiasi_3ck_01_0121 a Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F Resolve using the response Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR C Addressing the TBD in the SuggestedRemedy A fast ASIC with 7.6 ps out loss produces 12 ps 20-800 PCB may have lower than 350 mV and with amplitude that signal will have pre-em 900 mV amplitude I don't b	nd reduce eye height wir esponse Status W PRINCIPLE. e to comment #40. P 242 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D paragraph put rise time, the full swings HCB loss, then I sugges of 700 mV, the reason a phasis on for this measu	L 3 L 3 um/Inphi es through a mat g is about 2x. Bu t 20 ps for the s amplitude is redu urement otherwis	# 89 <i>TP1 EH/VEC XTALK</i> ed board with just 5 dB ut given that module lew from -350 mV to + uced is due assumption	Change Proposed F PROPO This co Cl 162 Haser, Alex Comment T "Cable around Suggested Change Proposed F PROPO	e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT omment was WI SC 162.11 S Fype E assembly supp a 1.75 m cable Remedy e text to "achi Response DSED REJECT	Response Status Z THDRAWN by the comment P 162 Molex Comment Status D orts achievable cable leng evable cable length of at leas Response Status Z	er. <i>L</i> 38 th of at least 2 m st 1.75 m"	withdrawn

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 162	L <b>40</b>	# 93	C/ 162B SC 162B.1	P <b>259</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 96
Haser, Alex	ĸ	Molex			Haser, Alex	Molex		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		withdrawn	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		MTF IL
	assembly suppo a 1.75 m cable	orts achievable cable lengt	h of at least 2 m	"; spec is written	The reference MTF I	L at 26.56 GHz is 6.66 dB		
Suggested					SuggestedRemedy			
00		evable cable length of at leas	t 1 75 m"		Change text from 6.6	6 dB to 6.7 dB to capture round	ing correctly	
0		Ũ	c 1.75 m		Proposed Response	Response Status W		
	, OSED REJECT.	Response Status Z	er.		PROPOSED REJEC MTF 6.6 dB. CA 19. 19.75+(2*10.975)-(2*	75 dB, Host 10.975 dB consiste	ent with channel a	algebra =
C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 163	L 18	# 94	C/ 162B SC 162B.1	.3.1 <i>P</i> 262	L 36	# 97
Haser, Alex	ĸ	Molex			Haser, Alex	Molex		
Comment T Fill in T	<i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> BD for CA ERL	Comment Status D		CA ERL	Comment Type TR Fill in TBD for MTF F	Comment Status D		MTF FOMILE
Suggested	Remedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Replac	e TBD with 7.4 c	B based on champion_3ck_	02_1020.pdf slid	de 6	,	dBrms based on haser_3ck_a	dhoc_01c_06242	20.pdf slide 7
	, OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. e to comment#103			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER Resolve using the re	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. sponse to comment #111.		
C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.	2 P 171	L <b>1</b>	# 95	C/ 162B SC 162B.1	.3.2 P 262	L <b>43</b>	# 98
Haser, Alex	ĸ	Molex			Haser, Alex	Molex		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		COM XTALK (bucket1)	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		MTF ERI
		r each MDI type are given in the store the store the store the store store the store store the store store store the store sto		ble specifies the	Fill in TBD for MTF E	RL limi		
Suggested	•		-		SuggestedRemedy			
00		mber of crosstalk paths of ea	ach MDI"		Replace TBD with 9	dB based on diminico_3ck_03a	a_1020.pdf slide	7
Proposed I		Response Status W			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
	OSED ACCEPT.	•			PROPOSED ACCER Resolve using the re	PT IN PRINCIPLE. sponse comment #112.		

The other ERL parameter tables throughout the specification include a note explaining the value for T_bx view should add one here too, especially since it's different than the other T_bx value sevel in ERL calculations SuggestedRemedy Add a note to Table 162B-1 containing the following text: The specified T_bx value represents a propagation delay of zero which captures to electrical characteristics of the entire test fixture, including the test connector and test fixture transmission line in its entirety;* Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add note to Table 162B-1.3.6 P 265 L 36 # 100 Haser, Alex Molex Comment Type ER Comment Status D MTF RLDC name (bucket?) CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode to differential mode return loss; this is incorrect SuggestedRemedy Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.  Froposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.  CI 162B SC 162B.1.3.6 P 265 L 36 # 100 Haser, Alex Molex Comment Type ER Comment Status D MTF RLDC name (bucket?) CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode to differential mode return loss; this is incorrect SuggestedRemedy Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.  The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit.  SuggestedRemedy Lis is commended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.3.2	2 P <b>263</b>	L 16	# 99	C/ 162	SC 162.11.4	P 165	L <b>8</b>	# 101
The other ERL parameter tables throughout the specification include a note explaining the value for T_fx view should add one here too, especially since it's different than the other T_fx value too Table 162B-1 containing the following text: The specified T_fx value represents a propagation delay of zero which captures to electrical characteristics of the entire test fixture, including the test connector and test fixture transmission line in its entirety."  Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add note to Table 162B-1.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	Haser, Alex	ĸ	Molex			Champion	, Bruce	TE Connectivi	ty	
value for T_bx; we should add one here too, especially since it's different than the other T_fx values used in ERL calculationsSuggestedRemedy Add a note to Table 162B-1 containing the following text: "The specified T_fx value represents a propagation delay of zero which captures to electrical characteristics of the entire test fixture, including the test connector and test fixture transmission line in its entirety."SuggestedRemedy It is recommended to use the following equation for this limit: Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 26.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 2.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 2.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 2.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 2.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 2.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 2.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 2.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 2.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 2.56$ Return Loss(t) $\geq 12-10(t/26.56)$ for $20.5 \leq 1 < 2.56$ Return L	Comment 7	Type ER	Comment Status D		MTF ERL Tfx	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		CA RLCD
SuggestedRemedy         Add a note to Table 162B-1 containing the following text: "The specified T_fx value represents a propagation delay of zero which captures to electrical characteristics of the entire test fixture, including the test connector and test fixture transmission line in its entirety."         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add note to Tfx as follows:       P265         I'NOTE—The mated test fixture test connector and transmission line are not time-gated."       100         CI 162B       SC 162B.1.3.6       P 265       L 36       # 100         Haser, Alex       Molex       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy.       Pending review of cited presentation. https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_0/1/champion_3ck_02_0121.pdf         CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode to differential mode return loss: this is incorrect       SuggestedRemedy       Te Comment Type       P 166       L 37       # 102         Champion, Bruce       TE Connectivity       Comment Type or Connect Status D       CA RLC         Proposed Response       Response Status W       P 265       CA RLC         Proposed Remedy       Define CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode to differential mode return loss       The is is a disrepancy between what is specified for the MTF CM-to-CM RL and the cable assembly CM-to-CM RL.         Proposed Response       Response Status W       P 102       Comment Type T       Comment Type T<	value fo	or T_fx; we shoul	d add one here too, especia			testing becau	at the higher free se of poor cable a	quencies. Failures are occur	ing because of	testing artifacts and not
Proposed Response       Response Status       W         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add note to Tfx as follows:       It is recommended to use the following equation for this limit:         C/       1628       SC 1628.1.3.6       P 265       L 36       # 100         Haser, Alex       Molex       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       D       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)         CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode return loss; this is incorrect       SuggestedRemedy       Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy. CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode to differential mode return loss       D       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)         C/       162       SC 162.11.6       P 166       L 37       # 102         Champion, Bruce       TE Connectivity       Comment Type       T       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       CA RLC         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       Proto-CM RL       The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly CM-to-CM RL       The otal stepancy betw		-								
entire test fixture, including the test connector and test fixture transmission line in its entirety." Proposed Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add note to Tix as follows: "NOTE—The mated test fixture test connector and transmission line are not time-gated." CI 162B SC 162B.1.3.6 P 265 L 36 # 100 Haser, Alex Molex Comment Type ER Comment Status D MTF RLDC name (bucket?) CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode return loss; this is incorrect SuggestedRemedy Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss Proposed Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. V 102 CARLC V 102 CARLC V 102 CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode to differential mode return loss V PROPOSED ACCEPT. V 102 CARLC V 102 CARLC V 103 V 102 CARLC V 104 V 20 V 105 V 20 V 20						00		and the fall and a second second second	ula 14 - 11 11 -	
entirety." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add note to Ttx as follows: "NOTE—The mated test fixture test connector and transmission line are not time-gated." ( <i>I</i> 162B SC 162B.1.3.6 P 265 L 36 # 100 Haser, Alex Molex Comment Type ER Comment Status D MTF RLDC name (bucket?) CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode return loss; this is incorrect SuggestedRemedy Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Return Loss(f) ≥ 22-10(f/26.56) for 0.05 ≤ f < 26.56 Return Loss(f) ≥ 19 - 7(f/20.56) for 20.56 ≤ f ≤ 40 GHz See presentation Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy. C/ 162 SC 162.11.6 P 166 L 37 # 102 Champion, Bruce TE Connectivity Comment Type T Comment Status D CA RLC There is a disrepancy between what is specifed for the MTF CM-to-CM RL and the cable assembly CM-to-CM RL. The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit. SuggestedRemedy It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF						It is re	commended to us	se the following equation for t	this limit:	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Add note to Tfx as follows:         "NOTE—The mated test fixture test connector and transmission line are not time-gated."         C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.6       P 265       L 36       # 100         Haser, Alex       Molex         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status D       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)         CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode return loss; this is incorrect       SuggestedRemedy       Te Connent Status D       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)         SuggestedRemedy       Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss       Multiple T       Comment Type T       Comment Status D       CA RLC         Proposed Response       Response Status W       PROPOSED ACCEPT.       PROPOSED ACCEPT.       There is a disrepancy between what is specifed for the MTF CM-to-CM RL and the cable assembly CM-to-CM RL.       There is a disrepancy between what is specifed for the MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit.         SuggestedRemedy       Lise is comment to take into account the worst case MTF		,				Return	Loss(f) ≥ 22-10(	f/26.56) for 0.05 ≤ f < 26.56		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add note to Tix as follows: "NOTE—The mated test fixture test connector and transmission line are not time-gated."         C/       162B       SC 162B.13.6       P 265       L 36       # 100         Haser, Alex       Molex       Molex       Pending review of cited presentation. https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_01/champion_3ck_02_0121.pdf         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       D       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)         CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode return loss; this is incorrect       SuggestedRemedy       TE Connectivity         Comment Type       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Proposed Response       TE Connectivity         Comment Type       ER       Common-mode to differential mode return loss       W         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       CA RLC         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       There is a disrepancy between what is specified for the MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly CM-to-CM RL.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit.	Proposed F	Response	Response Status W					f/26.56) for 26.56≤ f ≤ 40 GH	łz	
"NOTE—The mated test fixture test connector and transmission line are not time-gated."         "NOTE—The mated test fixture test connector and transmission line are not time-gated."         Cl 162B SC 162B.1.3.6       P 265       L 36       # 100         Haser, Alex       Molex         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       D       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)         CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode return loss; this is incorrect       SuggestedRemedy       TE Connectivity         Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT.       T Comment Status       D         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Notex       C/ 162       SC 162.11.6       P 166       L 37       # 102         Champion, Bruce       TE Connectivity         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       CA RLC         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT.       There is a disrepancy between what is specified for the MTF CM-to-CM RL and the cable assembly CM-to-CM RL.         The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit.       SuggestedRemedy         It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF       SuggestedRemedy	PROPO	OSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			•				
Cl 162B       SC 162B.1.3.6       P 265       L 36       # 100         Haser, Alex       Molex       Molex       Pending review of cited presentation.       https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_01/champion_3ck_02_0121.pdf         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       D       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)         CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode return loss; this is incorrect       SuggestedRemedy       TE Connectivity         SuggestedRemedy       D       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       CA RLC         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT.       There is a disrepancy between what is specifed for the MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit.         SuggestedRemedy       It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF							•	,		
C/ 162B       SC 162B.1.3.6       P 265       L 36       # 100         Haser, Alex       Molex         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       D       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)         CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode return loss; this is incorrect       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)       Cl 162       SC 162.11.6       P 166       L 37       # 102         SuggestedRemedy       Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss       Molex       Comment Type       T       Conment Status       D       CA RLC         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT.       F       Comment Status       D       CA RLC         The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit.       SuggestedRemedy       It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF	NOTE	-Ine mated tes	t fixture test connector and	transmission line	e are not time-gated."					
Haser, Alex       Molex         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       D       MTF RLDC name (bucket?)         CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode return loss; this is incorrect       Cl 162       SC 162.11.6       P 166       L 37       # 102         SuggestedRemedy       Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       CA RLC         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT.       CMTF CM-to-CM RL       The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit.         SuggestedRemedy       It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.3.6	6 P <b>265</b>	L 36	# 100					
CMDRL(f) is defined as common-mode return loss; this is incorrect       Champion, Bruce       TE Connectivity         SuggestedRemedy       Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       CA RLC         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT.       The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit.         SuggestedRemedy       It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF	Haser, Alex	(	Molex			https://	/www.ieee802.org	g/3/ck/public/21_01/champior	1_3ck_02_0121	.pdf
SuggestedRemedy       Comment Type       Comment Status       D       CA RLC         Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss       There is a disrepancy between what is specified for the MTF CM-to-CM RL and the cable         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit.         SuggestedRemedy       It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF	Comment 7	Type ER	Comment Status D	MT	FRLDC name (bucket?)	C/ 162	SC 162.11.6	P 166	L 37	# 102
Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit. SuggestedRemedy It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF	CMDRI	L(f) is defined as	common-mode return loss;	this is incorrect		Champion	Bruce	TE Connectivi	ty	
Define CMDRL(f) as common-mode to differential mode return loss Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit. SuggestedRemedy It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF	Suggestedl	Remedy				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		CA RLCC
PROPOSED ACCEPT. The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit. SuggestedRemedy It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF	Define	CMDRL(f) as cor	mmon-mode to differential n	node return loss		There	is a disrepancy b	etween what is specifed for t	he MTF CM-to-	CM RL and the cable
PROPOSED ACCEPT.       The MTF CM-to-CM RL limit is set to -3 dB. When MTFs designed close to this limit are used in cable assembly Tp1-Tp4 channels, the Tp1-Tp4 CM-to-CM RL will fail the -2 dB limit.         SuggestedRemedy       It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF	Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			assem	bly CM-to-CM RL			
limit. SuggestedRemedy It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF	•	•								
It is recommended to use the following equation to take into account the worst case MTF							···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r i , i		
						Suggested	lRemedy			
design.						It is re design		se the following equation to ta	ake into accour	t the worst case MTF

Return Loss(f)  $\geq$  1.8 for 0.05  $\leq$  f  $\leq$  40

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy. Pending review of cited presentation. https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21\_01/champion\_3ck\_01\_0121.pdf

C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 163	L 18	# 103	C/ 162B SC 1	162B.1.3.2	P <b>262</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 106
Champion,	Bruce	TE Connectiv	ity		DiMinico, Christop	her	MC Communi	cations	
Comment T	ype <b>T</b> Co	omment Status D		CA ERL	Comment Type	TR (	Comment Status D		MTF ERL
Cable A	Assembly ERL listed a	as TBD in Table 162-16	;		Provide value	for mated tes	st fixture ERL TBD.		
Suggested	Remedy				SuggestedRemed	У			
TBD to	be changed to 7.4 dE	<ol><li>See champion_3ck_</li></ol>	02_1020.pdf			st fixture ERL	shall be greater than or e	equal to 9 dB.	
Proposed R	Response Res	sponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			Update PICS.				
	DSED ACCEPT IN PR	-			See diminico_	3ck_adhoc_(	01a_121620 slide 6.		
		dB; see champion_3ck es a value or equation,			Proposed Respon	se R	esponse Status W		
dB,com	iment#113 proposes to k force discussion.		comment#120 p	ioposes 9	PROPOSED A Resolve using		PRINCIPLE. e comment #112.		
Update	PICS with accepted	value.			C/ 162B SC 1	162B.1.3.1	P 262	L <b>36</b>	# 107
C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.3.1	P <b>262</b>	L 36	# 104	DiMinico, Christop	her	MC Communi	cations	
Champion,	Bruce	TE Connectiv	ity		Comment Type	TR (	Comment Status D		MTF FOMILD
Comment T	Гуре <b>т</b> Сс	omment Status D		MTF FOMILD	Provide value	for mated tes	st fixture FOMILD TBD.		
FOM_II	LD is listed at TBD.				SuggestedRemed	V			
SuggestedF TBD to	Remedy be changed to 0.18 c	IB							
Proposed R	,	sponse Status W			See diminico_	_3ck_adhoc_0	01a_121620		
	DSED ACCEPT IN PF e using comment #11				Update PICS				
TCE30ING		1.			Proposed Respon		esponse Status W		
Cl 162B Champion,	SC 162B.1.3.2	P <b>262</b> TE Connectiv	L <b>43</b>	# 105	PROPOSED A Resolve using		PRINCIPLE. e to comment #111.		
Comment T		omment Status D	ity	MTF ERL	C/ 120F SC 1	120F.3.1.2	P 214	L <b>34</b>	# 108
MTF EF	RL is listed at TBD in	draft			Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semico	nductor, Inc.	
Suggested	Remedv				Comment Type	TR (	Comment Status D		TX EQ
00		See diminico_3ck_03a_	_1020.pdf		C(-3) has been	n discussed a	and the editor's note shou	Ild have been rem	noved long time ago.
Proposed R	Response Res	sponse Status W			SuggestedRemed	V			
•	DSED ACCEPT IN PR	,			•••	•	e pre-cursor tap c(-3).		
Resolve	e using the response	comment #112.			Proposed Respon	se R	esponse Status W		
					PROPOSED /	ACCEPT IN F	•		

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.4	P 156	L <b>46</b>	# 109	C/ 162	SC	162.9.3.4	Ļ	P 156	L <b>4</b>	6	# 110	
Hidaka, Y	asuo	Credo Semico	onductor, Inc.		Hidaka, Ya	asuo			Credo Semi	conductor,	, Inc.		
Comment	туре <b>т</b>	Comment Status D		PRBS9Q (WG)	Comment	Туре	т	Comment	Status D			PRBS	9Q (WG)
	ail definition of PR mentation errors.	BS9Q with the entire sequer	nce is recommer	nded to avoid				elve edges in surement.	PRBS9Q is r	ecommenc	ded to imp	rove reprodu	cibility
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggested	Remea	ly						
Defin templ		ew clause in clause 120.5.11	1.2 using clause	120.5.11.2.1 as a				S9Q pattern spectrum s			dd jitter me	easurements'	" similar
		igraph of 120.5.11.2.1 as foll t pattern enabled, it replaces		e output lane(s) for	REF :	Refere		ay coded PAN 33333 000 331	14 symbol : fii : 1 : - : 260 : 263	:- :{	-	ends : last	
		PRBS9Q test pattern is a re					all : 2333		:511 :5	-	: 8		
		bits from two repetitions of t					rise: 31	-	: 265 : 268	: 269	: 270		
		<ol> <li>The PRBS pattern generate in Figure XX–X, which implet</li> </ol>					all : 122 rise : 20	-	466 : 469 : 195 : 198	: 470 : 199	: 471 : 200		
		nce the PRBS9 pattern is an					all: 211		: 256 : 260		. 200		
		bit of a PAM4 symbol during			-		rise: 32		: 210 : 213	: 214	: 216		
		ond bit of a PAM4 symbol du			-		all: 033		401 : 404		: 406		
		ch are mapped as the secon			-		rise: 20		: 275 : 278	: 279	: 280		
		ing symbol in the next repetit			F20 :	2 to 0 fa	all : 122	22 001	: 321 : 325	: 326	: 328		
exam	ple, if the PRBS9	generator used to create the	PRBS9Q seque	ence is initialized to a	R13 :	1 to 3 r	rise: 01	11 331	:166 :169	: 170	: 172		
seed	value of 11111111	11 (with the leftmost bit in SC	and the rightmo	ost in S8), the PRBS9Q	F31 :	3 to 1 fa	all : 033	33 10 :	107 :110	: 111	: 112		
seque	ence is the followir	ng Gray coded PAM4 symbo	ols, transmitted le	eft to right:									
		03312133022022313201110						se the new tab		Table 120D	D-4, when	PRBS9Q is ι	used as
		21233132310110033210222			the tes	st patter	rn for eve	n-odd jitter me	easurement.				
		1302332032022012212100 )2331022112110103013120			Proposed	,	nse	Response	Status W				

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment proposes a technical change to the draft that is not necessary for technical completeness. However, adding information as provided in the comment may improve the quality of the draft. The commenter is encouraged to resubmit this comment during working group ballot.

Make a reference to the new clause from 162.9.3.4.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

polynomial  $1 + x^5 + x^9$ .

Table 68-6.

This comment proposes a technical change to the draft that is not necessary for technical completeness. However, adding information as provided in the comment may improve the quality of the draft. The commenter is encouraged to resubmit this comment during working group ballot.

3010130102311113013221021203033011133122320310321223102110202000

1302033021032223303201211311312302232330021132121300321122111100

033111231121200023121031233233303100202301123213133012123012222.

Draw Figure XX-X "PRBS9 pattern generator" similar to Figure 94-6 but according to

Define Equation (YY-Y) as  $G(x) = 1 + x^5 + x^9$  or make a reference to the polynomial in

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 110

Page 22 of 36 2021-01-21 4:07:28 PM

C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3	P 262	L 36	# 111	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.3	.3 P 263	L <b>34</b>	# 114
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Kocsis, Sa	m	Amphenol		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		MTF FOMILD	Comment		Comment Status D		MTF RL mas
MTF FOM_ILD require	ement is TBD			Recon	nmended MTF R	L mask does not provide us	seful information	to the reader
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Replace TBD with 0.18	BdB			Remov	ve the mask from	n the spec		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
	<sup>-</sup> IN PRINCIPLE. d 104, propose 0.18 dB, comr poses deletion, comment 40 r				OSED ACCEPT subclause 162E	IN PRINCIPLE. 8.1.3.3 Mated test fixtures d	ifferential return	loss.
specification is not del		equests a value		C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 167	L <b>21</b>	# 115
https://www.ieee802.o	rg/3/ck/public/adhoc/jan13_21	/kocsis_3ck_ad	hoc_01_011321.pdf	Li, Mike		Intel		
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3	3.2 P 262	L <b>43</b>	# 112	Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		COM Cp (CC) (WC
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Cp of a	3.7x1e-5 nF cou	d be improved to provide th	e needed chann	el/link solution margin
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		MTF ERL	and it i Moreo	is suppoted by the	ne latest package technolog provement would be aligned	y/product (see o with the latest C	if2020.224.01). FI-112G-I R-PAM4
MTF ERL requirement	is TBD (also in PICS TF2)					e ecosystem at large.		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Replace TBD with 10d	В			chang	e Cp to 6.0x1e-5	nF		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT				-	OSED REJECT			
	ses 10 dB, comment#105 and nent#131 proposes 10.3 dB.	#106 propose 9	dB, comment#8			es a technical change to the mmenter is invited to resubr		
	sion of cited presentations. Up	date PICS.		ballot.				0 00 1
https://www.ieee802.o	rg/3/ck/public/adhoc/jan13_21	/kocsis_3ck_ad	hoc_01_011321.pdf			s #116 (Clause 163) and #1 R-PAM4 Version 11 provide		
C/ 162 SC 162.11	P 163	L 17	# 113	Janua	ry 2021 specifies	60 nF for Cp.		
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol				www.ieee802.or drafts_07Jan21	g/3/private/liaison_docs/OII	F/0121_OIF_liais	on_IEEE_CEI_Projects_
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		CA ERL		's note: CC: 120			
CA ERL requirement is	s TBD							
SuggestedRemedy								
Replace TBD with 9dB	3							
Proposed Response	Response Status W							
PROPOSED ACCEPT								
Resolve using respons	se to comment#103							

C/ 163	SC 163.10.1	P 190	D L 46	# 116
Li, Mike		Intel		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	ט	COM Cp (CC) (WG)
and it Morec	is suppoted by th over, such an imp	e latest package techi	nology/product (se	annel/link solution margin e oif2020.224.01). st CEI-112G-LR-PAM4
Suggested	dRemedy			
chang	e Cp to 6.0x1e-5	nF		
Proposed	Response	Response Status	N	
compl ballot. Resol <sup>:</sup> Note t Janua https:/ cover_	eteness. The con ve with comments hat CEI-112G-LR ry 2021 specifies	nmenter is invited to re #115 (Clause 162) a -PAM4 Version 11 pro 60 nF for Cp. g/3/private/liaison_doc odf	esubmit this comm nd #117 (Annex 12 ovided in the OIF Ia	
C/ 120F	SC 120F.4.1	P 220	) L 29	# 117
Li, Mike		Intel		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	כ	COM Cp (CC) (WG)
and it Morec	is suppoted by th over, such an imp	e latest package techi	nology/product (se	annel/link solution margin e oif2020.224.01). st CEI-112G-MR-PAM4
Suggested	dRemedy			
chang	e Cp to 6.0x1e-5	nF		
chang		Deenenaa Statua		
0	Response	Response Status	N	

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P <b>152</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 118
Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment 7	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		TX RLCD
(addres	sing TBD)			

Tx CM to differential return loss refers to 92.8.3.3 with equation TBD.

In clause 92 the RLCD of Tx and Rx have the same specifications - eq (92–2) in 92.8.3.3 and eq (92–21) in 92.8.4.3, respectively, which are identical; and there is no RLCD for cable assembly.

The conversion loss specifications may need more work, but for the purpose of technical completeness, it is suggested to use the same equation used for the cable assembly, since in both cases the measurement involves mated connectors and results should be comparable.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a subclause for Tx differential to common mode return loss, with equation identical to equation (162–9), or point to (162–9).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For task force discussion.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	P <b>158</b>	L 16	# 119	C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 163	L 17	# 120
Ran, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment 7	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		RX RLCD	Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status D		CA ERL
	ssing TBD) erential to comm	on-mode (conversion) input i	eturn loss refers	s to 92.8.4.3 with value	(addressi Minimum		bly ERL is TBD.		
and eq		of Tx and Rx have the same 4.3, respectively, which are i			enable m	easurement c	am suggesting setting the mir f the internal host circuitry. B exceed 10.3 dB.		
comple	eteness, it is sug n both cases the	ecifications may need more or gested to use the same equa measurement involves mate	tion used for the	e cable assembly,	ERL will	be closer to th jested value a	the cable has more uniform at of a MTF. Ilows 1.3 dB difference for ca	·	
As an a	alternative consid	der removing this specificatio	n (the Rx owns	its performance).	Change <sup>-</sup>	FBD to 9 dB.			
Suggestedl	Remedy				Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W		
	subclause for Rx on (162–9), or pc	differential to common mode	e return loss, wit	h equation identical to			IN PRINCIPLE. e to comment#103		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W							
Implem	OSED ACCEPT nent the suggest sk force discussion	ed remedy.							

C/ 163	SC 163.9.3	P 187	L <b>41</b>	# 121	C/ 163	SC 1	63.10.4	P <b>192</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 122
Ran, Adee	1	Intel			Ran, Adee			Intel		
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		RX RLCD	Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Status D		channel ILDC
Rx Diff		nmon-mode (conversion) input uses equation (93-5) to define		s to 93.8.1.4 with value				annel "differential to commo	on-mode convers	sion loss of TP0 and
comple Bound	eteness, it is s	specifications may need more uggested to use a piecewise-lii uggested to match the ones us ncv.	near equation sir	nilar to (93-5).	cable a	issembly	/ differen	rameter is specified in 162.1 tial to common-mode conve tion (162-10).		
	0 0 .				For the	purpose	e of techi	nical completeness, a simila	r equation can b	be used for KR.
As an	alternative cor	sider removing this specification	on (the Rx owns	ts performance).	Suggestedl	Remedy	,			
Suggestea	lRemedy				Rewrite	e this sul	bclause b	based on 162.11.5, substitut	ting "TP0 to TP5	channel" for "cable
Add a	new subclause	e for Rx differential to common	mode return los	s with the equation:	asseml	bly" with	editorial	license.	-	
RLdc(f	í) ≥ 15 for ḟb/2	) for 0.05 ≤ f ≤ fb/2 < f ≤ 40 ncy in GHz and fb=53.125.			Proposed F PROPC	,	e CCEPT.	Response Status W		
Proposed		Response Status W			C/ 120F	SC 12	20F.4.3	P 223	L <b>5</b>	# 123
PROP	OSED ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE.			Ran, Adee			Intel		
Add a new subclause for RLCD RLcd(f) = $25-20^{*}$ (f/fb) for $0.05 \le f \le fb/2$ RLcd(f) = $15$ for fb/2 < f $\le 40$ where f is the frequency in GHz and fb= $53.125$ .						ssing TB	<b>TR</b> 5D) ninimum	Comment Status D		channel ERL
	e PICS nent with edito	rial license.			With th	e respec		ecific to C2C take into acco ameters, ERL (which is the r mit.		

## SuggestedRemedy

Set channel ERL minimum identical to 163.10.3 where the minimum is 9.7 dB.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Set ERL (min) to 9.7 dB and update PICS.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.5 P 233 L 17 # 124	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 P 234 L 17 # 125
an, Adee Intel	Ran, Adee Intel
omment Type TR Comment Status D TP1a EH/VEC EO XTALK	Comment Type TR Comment Status D TP4
"The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP4 (without the use of a reference receiver) with target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of TBD mV and slew time of TBD ps between –TBD V and +TBD V"	(addressing TBD) Module output ERL (min) is TBD
This is the host output test; the crosstalk generator represents the module output. We specify the PtP amplitude and transition time for modules at TP4 in Table 120G–3. The calibration should use the maximum amplitude and minimum transition time values from that table.	Since it is measured at TP4 the module ERL will be no better than that of a mated test fixture. In another comment I am suggesting setting the minimum ERL of a MTF to 10.3 to enable measurement of the internal host circuitry. Based on this proposal, the ERL of module cannot exceed 10.3 dB.
uggestedRemedy Change the quoted sentence to:	The proposed value allows 1.3 dB difference for Tx and 1.8 dB for RX for module implementation.
Change the quoted sentence to.	Similarly in 120G.3.4 for module input ERL at TP1.
"The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP4 (without the use of a reference receiver) with	SuggestedRemedy
targets equal to the Differential peak-to-peak output voltage (max) and Transition time (min, 20% to 80%) in Table 120G-3".	Change TBD to 9 dB for Tx ERL and 8.5 dB for Rx ERL.
roposed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #14.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Two comments propose values for module output ERL (min) as follows: #79: 8.5 dB #125: 9 dB Select a value and use this for both module output (120G.3.2) and module input (120G.3 For task force discussion.
	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 P 234 L 30 # 126
	Ran, Adee Intel
	Comment Type ER Comment Status D TP4 AC CM n
	(Addressing editor's note requiring confirmation) Editor's note indicates that AC common-mode specification needs confirmation. It has no
	been confirmed that the existing limit of 17.5 mV RMS is obtainable, but there is no consensus on another value.
	been confirmed that the existing limit of 17.5 mV RMS is obtainable, but there is no
	been confirmed that the existing limit of 17.5 mV RMS is obtainable, but there is no consensus on another value. Work is planned to refine the measurement method to allow separation of different source of common mode signal and fine-tuned specification, but it will likely continue into later
	been confirmed that the existing limit of 17.5 mV RMS is obtainable, but there is no consensus on another value. Work is planned to refine the measurement method to allow separation of different source of common mode signal and fine-tuned specification, but it will likely continue into later phases of P802.3ck.
	been confirmed that the existing limit of 17.5 mV RMS is obtainable, but there is no consensus on another value. Work is planned to refine the measurement method to allow separation of different source of common mode signal and fine-tuned specification, but it will likely continue into later phases of P802.3ck. This should not preclude progressing to WGB with the current method and limit.

Comment ID 126

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2.2	P 235	L <b>34</b>	# 127
Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Tv	pe TR	Comment Status D		TP4 EO XTALK

(addressing TBD)

"The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) with target differential peak-to-peak amplitude of TBD mV and target transition time of TBD ps"

This is the module output test; the crosstalk generator represents the host output. We specify the PtP amplitude and transition time for hosts at TP1a in Table 120G–1. The calibration should use the maximum amplitude and minimum transition time values from that table.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the quoted sentence to:

"The crosstalk generator is calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) with targets equal to the Differential peak-to-peak output voltage (max) and Transition time (min, 20% to 80%) in Table 120G-1".

## Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #17.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	3.2.1 <i>P</i> 238	L <b>54</b>	# 128
Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		TP4a SIT XTALK

#### (addressing TBD)

"The counter propagating crosstalk signals during calibration of the stressed signal are asynchronous with target amplitude of TBD mV peak-to-peak differential and 20% to 80% target transition time of TBD ps"

This is the host stressed input test; the actual counter-propagating signals are from the host's own transmitter. For calibration purposes we can assume that the host uses the maximum amplitude and minimum transition time. If the host does not reach the limits, then it may benefit from less crosstalk during the actual test - but as long as it meets the host output specifications, it is acceptable.

We specify the PtP amplitude and transition time for hosts at TP1a in Table 120G–1. The calibration should use the maximum amplitude and minimum transition time values from that table.

## SuggestedRemedy

Change the quoted sentence to:

"The counter-propagating crosstalk signals are asynchronous with respect to the input signal and are calibrated at TP1a (without the use of a reference receiver) with targets equal to the Differential peak-to-peak output voltage (max) and Transition time (min, 20% to 80%) in Table 120G-1".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #19.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.4	.1.1 P 24	12	L <b>2</b>	# 129
Ran, Adee		Intel			
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status	D		TP1 EH/VEC XTALK

(addressing TBD)

"The counter propagating crosstalk signals during calibration of the stressed signal are asynchronous with target amplitude of TBD mV peak-to-peak differential and target slew time between –TBD mV and TBD mV of TBD ps as measured at TP4"

This is the module stressed input test; the actual counter-propagating signals are from the module's own transmitter. For calibration purposes we can assume that the module uses the maximum amplitude and minimum transition time. If the module does not reach the limits, then it may benefit from less crosstalk during the actual test - but as long as it meets the module output specifications, it is acceptable.

We specify the PtP amplitude and transition time for modules at TP4 in Table 120G–3. The calibration should use the maximum amplitude and minimum transition time values from that table.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the quoted sentence to:

"The counter-propagating crosstalk signals are asynchronous with respect to the input signal and are calibrated at TP4 (without the use of a reference receiver) with targets equal to the Differential peak-to-peak output voltage (max) and Transition time (min, 20% to 80%) in Table 120G-3".

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #20.

C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.3.1	P <b>2</b>	62	L 36	# 130
Ran, Adee		Intel			
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status	D		MTF FOMILD
· ·	sing TBD) D shall be less th	an (TBD) dB"			

The importance of this parameter for quality of test fixtures in the context of this project has not been presented. ERL likely covers what FOMILD originally intended to cover.

The specification should be deleted without loss of technical completeness.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Delete the quoted sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #111.

C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.3.2	2 P 26	62	L <b>43</b>	#	131
Ran, Adee		Intel				
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status	D			MTF ERL

(addressing TBD)

"The mated test fixture ERL shall be greater than or equal to TBD dB"

We have adopted a minimum of 7.3 dB for a host ERL in Table 162–10 (with parameters in 162.9.3.5). The parameters for MTF are the same, except that "Time-gated propagation delay" is 0 instead of 0.2 ns.

The value 0 was accepted explicitly (comment #122 against D1.3) but the differnece does not seem to be justified, since the MTF includes the test fixture used for host ERL measurement (where the connector is time gated). Different time gating creates difference in the meaning of ERL.

The ERL from a high-quality MTF is the upper bound for any measurement of a DUT which uses any one of the test fixtures. Therefore, it should be significantly higher than 7.3 dB.

It is suggested to divide the budget evenly to allow about the same reflection power from the DUT's internal circuitry as from the mated connectors; if each one is 10.3 dB then their combination (RSS, since reflections are independently distributed) would be 7.3 dB.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change minimum ERL from TBD to 10.3 dB.

In Table 162B–1, change T\_fx from 0 to 0.2 ns.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response comment #112.

C/ 163B SC 163B.2		P 290 L 16		# 132
Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status D		TP0v/TP5v example

(addressing TBD)

The example test fixture is defined only by the magnitude of its insertion loss. Therefore it is impossible for a reader to calculate reference values at TP0a, and this example does not help.

The lack of full channel information also prevents calculation of consensus values to replace the TBDs in Table 163B–1.

It is suggested to replace the definition to a full s-parameters model based on the equations in 162.11.7.1.1 with the same  $z_p$ , creating an IL of 4.33 dB at 26.56 GHz. This will enable calculation of the reference values.

Alternatively, use a smaller value for z\_p to create an IL of 2.8 dB.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Replace the text of this paragraph with text referring to 162.11.7.1.1 and equation 162-12 and update the reference values (currently TBD) accordingly.

A presentation with a more detailed proposal is planned.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment proposes a technical change to the draft that does not address technical completeness.

Although this Annex is informative, this subclause is incomplete as written. Phase information is missing for the existing test fixture specification. The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient details for implementation. However, the comment mentions that a presentation may be provided.

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 185	L <b>28</b>	# 133
Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Ty	/pe E	Comment Status D		withdrawn

The editor's note states that "In Table 163–5, common-mode to common-mode return loss reference is not appropriate". But it is appropriate; comment #228 against D1.3 was referring to the frequency range of the test fixture's specification and did not request any change to this reference (the problem is in the response).

#### SuggestedRemedy

Delete the editor's note, without any change to the table.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Comment ID 133

C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1.2	P <b>2</b>	14	L 34	# 134
Ran, Adee		Intel			
Comment 7	Type ER	Comment Status	D		TX E
		hat pre-cursor tap m this specificatior	n if it is	shown to "have no	value".
	is not been showr d to keep it.	n in four comment o	cycles s	ince the addition o	of this note, so there is
Suggestedl	Remedy				
Delete	the editor's note.				
Proposed F	Response	Response Status	w		
PROPO	OSED ACCEPT.				
C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.2.3	P <b>2</b>	18	L <b>43</b>	# 135
Ran, Adee		Intel			
Comment 7	Type ER	Comment Status	D		RIT
The edi 2 requir No prop	itor's note states t re confirmation. ( <sup>-</sup> posal has been m	These values are for ade to change the	cified for or the h values	igh-loss test). in this table in fou	t 26.5625 GHz" for tes
since th	ne addition of this	note, so there is not	o need	to keep it.	
https:// that "M investig normat	ax informative rec pation". But the va ive loss of the inte	/3/ck/public/19_09/ commended loss va	alue is p not the test. T	blace holder and re informative recom he annex does no	mended loss - it is the tinclude a "max
The IL	in the high-loss te	st suggests the ma	aximum	loss for a channe	l, but the project's

The IL in the high-loss test suggests the maximum loss for a channel, but the projective are met regardless of the value.

## SuggestedRemedy

Delete the editor's note.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete the editor's notes on page 218 line 43 and page 222 line 4. C/ 120F SC 120F.3.2.3 L16 P 218 # 136 Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type **T** Comment Status D measurement BW "Bessel-Thomson low pass response with 53 GHz 3 dB bandwidth" - we have 40 GHz in all other corresponding places in this draft. This is for calibrating the pattern generator in the C2C Rx test setup. There is no reason for higher bandwidth in this specific subclause. All precedent cases use the same bandwidth for Rx and for the Tx test (e.g. 33 GHz in 120D.3.2.1). SuggestedRemedy Change "53" to "40". Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 163	SC 163.10.1	P <b>190</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 137
Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		editorial (bucket1)

This subclause is titled "Channel Operating margin" so it should only discuss COM, not recommended IL limits and ERL requirements.

There are additional requirements not listed here (e.g. mode conversion loss, 163.10.4)

### SuggestedRemedy

Move the second paragraph (which points to 163.10.2 and 163.10.3) to the parent subclause 163.10.

Consider adding a summary table in 163.10 as in the Tx and Rx characteristics.

## Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move the second paragraph (which points to 163.10.2 and 163.10.3) to the parent subclause 163.10. Implement with editorial license.

Adding a summary table may be an improvement to the draft, but is not necessary for technical completeness.

C/ 120G SC 120G.	3.3 P 237	L 37	# 138	C/ 163	SC 163.	10 P 19	0 L 28	# 139
Ran, Adee	Intel			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		TP4a/TPRLCD (bucket1)	Comment T	<i>уре</i> <b>т</b>	Comment Status	D	channel RLCD (CC)
module input (120G differential return los There is one subcla output. SuggestedRemedy Change reference fr Rephrase the text ir Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE The reference to 12 By convention, it is changing the text in required.	<ul> <li>120G.3.2, table 120G-3), host i 3.4, table 120G-9), the referen s (min)" is incorrect - 120G.3.1</li> <li>use that discusses RLCD, 120G</li> <li>om 120G.3.1.2 to 120G.3.1.1 in</li> <li>120G.3.1.1 to refer to both hos <i>Response Status</i> W</li> <li>PT IN PRINCIPLE.</li> <li>OG.3.1.2 is incorrect and should common to refer to specification the referenced subclause. No of 20G-3, Table 120G-6, and Tab</li> </ul>	ce subclause .2 discusses I G.3.1.1, but it i n the 3 tables. st and module d be 120G.3.1 ns for different changes to the	for "Common-mode to ERL. is currently specific to host , output and input. .1. t test points without e text in 120G.3.1.1 are	Withour that will or com The cor comple Also in Suggested Add a r 162.11. Apply s Proposed F PROPO Implem For tasl	t such spec be fed into non mode nversion los teness, the missing 12 Remedy new subclau 4 with the s imilarly in 1 Response DSED ACC ent the sug < force disc	use for channel differential same limits, with editorial li 20F. <i>Response Status</i> EPT IN PRINCIPLE. gested remedy.	use a strong commo CD/RLCC are not de ck without control. I more work, but for t 11.4 can be used. to common mode re cense.	fined either, a differential

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P <b>152</b>	L 35	# 140
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D		assymmetric hosts (WG

The recommended maximum insertion loss allocation for the host traces plus BGA footprint and host connector footprint, of 6.875 dB, compares very poorly with C2M's host insertion loss up to 11.9 dB, making passive copper expensive and unattractive for a switch, while 6.875 dB is overkill for a NIC. Server-switch links will get made with an asymmetric loss budget, so it would be better for the standard to regularise what will happen anyway. By the way, many server-switch cables will be asymmetric too (different form factors at server and switch ends), and that's already allowed in this draft.

#### SuggestedRemedy

As we have done for C2M, create two kinds of CR ports. Host loss allocations of 3.75 dB and 10 dB. Short can connect to short or long; long to long is not supported. Add entries in Clause 73 Auto-Negotiation to advertise short and long to the other end.

In Table 162-10, provide separate limits for Linear fit pulse peak (min).

In Table 162-14, provide separate rows for Test channel insertion loss: for testing the short host input the values for Test 2 are 10-6.875 = 3.125 dB higher (26.75 dB and 27.75 dB), while for the long host input the values for Test 2 are 6.875-3.75 = 3.125 dB lower (20.5 dB and 21.5 dB). No change needed for Test 1.

In 162A.4, provide two equations for IL\_PCBmax and for ILHostMax and show them in Fig 162A-1 and 2. Provide two Value columns in Table 162A-1. Adjust figures 162-3 and 4.

In 162.11.7.1.1, zp, representing the extra loss a host has above an MCB, could be made asymmetric but I believe that would not bring an improvement in accuracy. There could be a third kind of CR port with 6.875 dB but this would be useful for only a subset of switch-switch links, for which passive copper is a subset anyway, so it doesn't seem worthwhile.

## Proposed Response Response Status W

#### PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment proposes a technical change to the draft that does not address technical completeness. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during working group ballot.

C/ 162	SC 16	2.9.3	P	152	L 35	# 141
Dawe, Pier	rs		Nvid	dia		
<i>Comment</i> Clums			omment Statu I linear fit puls	vs <b>D</b> se peak (min)		pulse peak (WG)
Suggested Use "I		ulse peak ra	tio" as in 163	and 163A.3.2.	1. Note the u	nit in the table
	es to V/V.					
Proposed	Response	Re	sponse Statu	s W		
comple ballot.				to resubmit this	comment du	ring working group # 142
Dawe, Pier		2.3.3.3	, Nvio		2.51	π 142
Comment	Туре 1		omment Statu		escribed in	TX SNDR (bucket1)
Suggested Transr		OR is defined	by the [meas	surement] metl	nod {of   desc	ribed in}
Proposed	Response	e Re	sponse Statu	s W		
Chang	je:				lacaribad in 1	20D.3.1.6 with the

	SC 162.9.3.6	P 18	57	L <b>30</b>	# 143
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia	l		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status	D		RX RLCC (WG
specified end up as voltage o issues it specs su differentia 2. This is	to reduce refle s differential. T in the line that would be a tigh ch as Rx Differ al mode return s a standard, n	ctions of signals tha his is not the case:	t were gene it is included ded. If it we not viable for ode return k oblem stated xtbook. We	rated original to contain a re intended to front-panel c oss and Tx Co l. don't give an	ommon-mode to
SuggestedRe Delete th	e <i>medy</i> e paragraph				
This com	SED REJECT.	Response Status a technical change menter is invited to	to the draft		
C/ 163	SC 163.10.2	P 19	92	L <b>28</b>	# 144
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia	l		
Comment Ty		Comment Status	-		channel II
The limit	at 40 GHz (not	45 as in the figure)	excludes so	me acceptab	le channels.
SuggestedRe	edy				
Replace	the straight par in Figure 163-		e that curves	s down. (with	an f^2 term). Correct

C/ 120G SC	120G.3.2	P <b>234</b>	L 10	# 145
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		EH/VEC

For a reasonably clean module (or test equipment in a host stressed eye test), the driver swing has to be aggressively reduced to deliver only 24 mV at near end, short setting. 120E has 70 mV.

## SuggestedRemedy

Eye height limits should be set sensibly for short and long modes, near and far - not all the same.

Change the NEEH from 24 mV to 40 mV.

Proposed Response Response	e Status	w
----------------------------	----------	---

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #40.

Cl 120G	SC 120G.3.2	P 234	L 14	# 146
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		TP4 EQ settings

As already discussed, the 2-settings method with only two compliance losses doesn't work. If the module is set to the short setting, and the host receiver isn't that near, the eye it is offered is smaller than 24 mV because of loss, and out of tune as well. If the module is set to the long setting and the host isn't that long, the eye is also out of tune. There's no guarantee that either setting is usable.

## SuggestedRemedy

There should be 4 EH-VEC limit pairs: short near and far, and long near and far, in Table 120G. In 120G.3.2.2.1, give the four zp values: for short, 0 (as at present) and 184, for long, 61 and 244.7 (as at present).

### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

[Editor's note: Changed line number from 26.]

This comment proposes a technical change to the draft that does not address technical completeness.

The commenter has not provided sufficient evidence to support the proposed changes.

C/ 120G SC 120G.	3.2.1 <i>P</i> 234	L 38	# 147	C/ 120G SC 12	0G.3.2.1	P 234	L <b>41</b>	# 149
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		TP4 EQ settings	Comment Type	<b>FR</b> Comr	ment Status D		TP4 EQ settings
	doesn't have to "support" two t	things (e.g. receive	e, co-operate, enable,	The module out	put is not tx_any	ything, it's part of the	e receive path.	
or similar), it has to	actually do them.			SuggestedRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy				Change "tx_eq_	state" to "modul	le output mode".		
Change "The modu two"	le output shall support two " t	o "The module ou	put shall operate in	Proposed Response	e Respo	onse Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROPOSED AC	-			
PROPOSED REJE	,			Implement sugg	ested remedy w	with editorial license.		
	ge in wording does not improve	e the quality of the	draft.	C/ 120G SC 12	0G.3.2.1	P 234	L <b>41</b>	# 150
C/ 120G SC 120G.	3.2.1 P 234	L 38	# 148	Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Comment Type	Comr	ment Status D		TP4 EQ settings
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		TP4 EQ settings					anywhere else in this
	utput is being asked to do is no	ot equalization, bu	•					so I wonder whether should be left to CMIS
	nay have to adjust its swing als	so. The two mode	s aren't states and	and the SFF cor				
there is no state ma	achine.			SuggestedRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy		1 - 11	deel and the stand				0 and 1, and cha	inge the middle column
	zation states: short and long." clause title from "Module output			of Table 120G-4				
output mode contro	I". Change table title from "Mo			Proposed Response		onse Status W		
modes".				PROPOSED AC	-	CIPLE. nodes as "short" and	t "long" ronlacing	the ty og state
Proposed Response	Response Status W			variable and rela			i long, replacing	
PROPOSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.	at of characterisitic	s including shape and	Implement with	editorial license			
amplitude.	Shoe signals with a different se		s including shape and	C/ 120G SC 12	0G.3.2.1	P 235	L <b>2</b>	# 151
	zation states: short and long."			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia	_	
mode control".	title from "Module output transi	mit equalizer conti			<b>FR</b> Comr	ment Status D		TP4 EQ settings
	rom "Module state mapping" to	"Module output m	ode mapping".	The list of module "Host Electrical Interface Codes" is kept in SFF-8024, Rev 4.8, Table 4-				
Implement with edit	orial license.			5 Host Electrica	I Interface Code	es, and the column is	s headed "specifi	cation". "Application"
				is something els defined in CMIS		t electrical interface	and media interf	ace specifications) as
				SuggestedRemedy	•			
				,	ation name" to "	host electrical interfa	ace" or "module 4	ectrical interface"
				0 11				
				Proposed Response		onse Status W		
					individuals partic	cipating in related u		24 indicate that this

#### gestedRemedy

#### PROPOSED REJECT.

Feedback from individuals participating in related updates to SFF-8024 indicate that this naming scheme is under review right now. Update this terminology once SFF-8024 has stabilized.

Comment ID 151

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2.1	P 235	L <b>8</b>	# 152	C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 246	L <b>23</b>
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		TP4 EQ settings	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D	
"IEEE Interface Type" is Unwarranted. SuggestedRemedy Change to "IEEE 802.3 i	too grand: IEEE is much w	ider than 802.3	, and the Capitals Are	mask) alti measuring This will g	hough it is des g a signal and	ve_3ck_01a_1020, this draft scribed as a histogram. It's a provides weak and uncertai relax the VEC limits, and is dek's work).	an inefficient/inaccura n protection against t
Proposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedRe	medy		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.						red mask with corners at t = ners at t = ts+/-0.05, ts+/-0.0	
C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2	P 245	L 9	# 153	Hmin*0.4		1015  at  t = 15 + 7 - 0.05, 15 + 7 - 0.05	17, IS+/-0.1, V = +/-H
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia					Imin, already specified, is the	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		TP1a gDC (WG)			cussion about changing thos thod that can remain as the	
, , , , , ,	C with stronger gDC2, we c			Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status W	
gCD2 = -1 but up to 16 d to vary like that.	B for gDC2 = -3 - yet we do	on't expect the r	maximum channel loss		ED REJECT.		
SuggestedRemedy				This com completer		s a technical change to the c	lraft that does not ad
,	econd -12 to -11, and -13 to	-10 (so the stre	prost "CTLE posking"			provide sufficient evidence t	o support the propos
	500110 - 12 10 - 1 1, anu - 13 10		nyesi ore peaking			-	

Proposed Response Response Status W

#### PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment proposes a technical change to the draft that does not address technical completeness. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during working group ballot.

tive (rectangular eye urate way of t too much jitter. rn for very short host

lmin/2 to a 10-Hmin/2, +/-

Eye Amplitude comments. but this are revised.)

address technical

osed changes.