C/ 45 SC 45.2	2.1.115a	P 46	L 13	# 1	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1	.137a	P 56	L 41	# 3
Inslow, Pete		Independent			Anslow, P	ete		Independent		
Comment Type E	Commei	nt Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	Type E	Comment	t Status A		(bucket1)
		between two exist			Table	45-103c conc	erns register 1.1	320, but there a	are 4 instances o	of 1.1120 in the table.
		115) the new subcl 45.2.114a in the ex		the same as the lower	Suggested	dRemedy				
manual: https://m	entor.ieee.org/my	yproject/Public/myt			Chang	ge 1.1120 to 1	.1320 in four pla	ices.		
The same princip		erted tables. 2.1.115a, Table 45	020 45 2 1 120	So Table 15, 100a	Response	I.	Response	Status C		
	Jonected 101 45.2	2.1.115a, 1able 45	-95a, 45.2.1.120	a, Table 45–100a	ACCE	PT.				
uggestedRemedy	vering of 45 2 1 1	15a Table 45-03a	a 45 2 1 126a a	nd Table 45–100a to	<u> </u>	00.		Deep	1.0	"
		.2.1.125a, and Tab			CI A	SC A		P 205	L 8	# 4
esponse	Respons	e Status C			Anslow, P			Independent		
ACCEPT.					Comment	51		t Status A		OIF reference (bucket)
							ould appear in ti	he bibliography a	after "[B55] OIF-	·CEI-04.0, …"
45 SC 45.2	1.135a	P 55	L 11	# 2	Suggestee	-				
nslow, Pete		Independent			Chang	ge the number	ing from [B22a]	to [B55a]		
comment Type E		nt Status A		(bucket1)	Response	ļ.	Response	Status C		
Changes for table	footnotes b and	c are not shown co 45-103c, and 45-10	orrectly.			PT IN PRINC		(h		
	Tables 45-103b, 4	45-1050, and 45-10	JSU.					only reference only reference only entry. If the		05.0. If that reference is removed, then
uggestedRemedy In Table 45-103a:							ested remedy.	, ,		,
	1120.4:2 underlin	e the added "c"			CI 30	SC 30.6.1	15	P 36	L 32	# 5
	nole of table footr	notes b and c			Hajduczer			Charter Comr		
Underline the wh								Unanter Ourn	numeations	
Underline the which the In Table 45-103b:	: 1220.5:3 underlin	e the added "b"			•	-	Comment	t Status ∆		(bucket1
Underline the wh In Table 45-103b in the row for 1.1 Underline the wh	1220.5:3 underline				Comment	Type E		t Status A 55) and" - Lsee y	verv little value i	<i>(bucket1)</i> in adding Clause and
Underline the wh In Table 45-103b: in the row for 1. Underline the wh In Table 45-103c:	1220.5:3 underline	note b			Comment "as sp	<i>Type</i> E becified in Clau	ise 73 (see 73.6			<i>(bucket1</i> in adding Clause and
Underline the wh In Table 45-103b: in the row for 1. Underline the wh In Table 45-103c: in the row for 1.	1220.5:3 underline	note b le the added "c"			Comment "as sp	<i>Type</i> E becified in Clau subclause infor	ise 73 (see 73.6	5.5) and" - I see		· ·
Underline the wh In Table 45-103b: in the row for 1. Underline the wh In Table 45-103c: in the row for 1. Underline the wh In Table 45-103d:	1220.5:3 underlin nole of table footr 1320.4:2 underlin nole of table footr	note b he the added "c" notes b and c			Comment "as sp then s Suggested	Type E becified in Clau ubclause infor dRemedy	ise 73 (see 73.6	6.5) and" - I see use information		· ·
Underline the wh In Table 45-103b: in the row for 1. Underline the wh In Table 45-103c: in the row for 1. Underline the wh In Table 45-103d: in the row for 1.	1220.5:3 underlin nole of table footr 1320.4:2 underlin nole of table footr	note b he the added "c" notes b and c he the added "b"			Comment "as sp then s Suggested	Type E becified in Clau ubclause infor dRemedy ge to "as speci	ise 73 (see 73.6 mation - subcla fied in 73.6.5 ar	6.5) and" - I see use information		· ·
Underline the wh In Table 45-103b: in the row for 1. Underline the wh In Table 45-103c: in the row for 1. Underline the wh In Table 45-103d: in the row for 1.	1220.5:3 underlin nole of table footr 1320.4:2 underlin nole of table footr 1420.5:3 underlin nole of table footr	note b he the added "c" notes b and c he the added "b"			Comment "as sp then s Suggested Chang	Type E becified in Clau ubclause infor dRemedy ge to "as speci	ise 73 (see 73.6 mation - subcla fied in 73.6.5 ar	5.5) and" - I see use information		

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.11	5a P 46	L 37	# 6	C/ 163	SC	163.9.3	P 190	L 24	# 9
Hajduczenia,	Marek	Charter Corr	munications		Brown, Mat	t		Huawei		
Comment Typ	be E	Comment Status R		(bucket1)	Comment 7	уре	TR	Comment Status A	I	RX signalling rate (bucket3
		npty lines in between subcla	auses, tables, and	text blocks.				ere is no requirement specifie ge. See 162.9.4.1 for a relev		
	emove all unne	cessary white (empty) lines	s between (for exa	mple) 45.2.1.115 and	Suggestedł Add a r as follo	new su	-	efore 163.9.3.1 with heading	"Receiver sig	naling rate" and content
editorial a	orial policy in th amendments.	Response Status C le 802.3ck project is to inse This is consistent throughou ch new instruction AND to b	ut this draft. The in		"A PHY signalin	' shall ng rate new ro	in the ran	th the receiver requirements ge 53.125 GBd ± 100 ppm." 163-8 specifying the signalir Response Status C		-
C/ 80	SC 80.1.4	P 73	L 47	# 7	•	PT IN F	PRINCIPL	1		
Hajduczenia,	Marek	Charter Corr	munications					ed remedy with editorial licen and #12 make similar propo		C2C and C2M
Comment Typ		Comment Status A		(bucket1)				F, 120G, 163]		
Dead link	Clause 91 or	Clause 161"			C/ 120F	SC	120F.3.2	P 222	L 38	# 10
SuggestedRe	,				Brown, Mat	t		Huawei		
Add live h	hyperlink for th	ese two clause numbers			Comment 7	vpe	TR	Comment Status A		RX signalling rate (bucket3
Response ACCEPT		Response Status C						nere is no requirement specif ge. See 162.9.4.1 for a relev		ne specifications over the
C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.1	P 161	L 4	# 8	Suggested	Remea	ly			
Brown, Matt		Filon Huawei Comment Status D	L 4	# lo	as follo	WS:		efore 120F.3.2.1 with headin ply with the requirements of	•	0 0
Specifica be derive	tion of the non d from the nor	ninal unit interval is unnece ninal signaling rate). It is no Clauses/Annexes, this spec	ot specified for KR,	nt (since it can easily C2C, or C2M. For	signalin	ng rate new ro	in the ran	ge 53.125 GBd ± 100 ppm." 120F-4 specifying the signal		·
SuggestedRe	•				Response			Response Status C		
00		nis translates to a nominal u	unit interval of 18.8	32353 ns "			PRINCIPL			
				2000 pa.				ed remedy with editorial licen and #12 make similar propo		C2C and C2M
Proposed Re REJECT.	,	Response Status Z						and #12 make similar propo 7, 120G, 163]	ιδαιδ ΝΝ, ΟΝ,	

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

3.3 P 243 Huawei Comment Status A put, there is no clear requireme range. See 162.9.4.1 for a relev se before 120G.3.3.1 with headi l comply with the requirements Bd \pm 100 ppm." d a reference to the new subclar <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE. ested remedy with editorial licer	nt to meet the sp vant example. ng "Host input si of 120G.3.3.3 fc	gnaling rate" and or any signaling rate in	toleranc table sh SuggestedR Delete T At page peak-to At page	ype ous draft ce table, nould be Remedy Table 12 245 line -peak ar	Table 1 used fo 20G-9.	<i>Comment</i> igned KR, CF 62-15 and ac	R, and C2C suc		# <u>13</u> <i>TP4</i> S. re the same jitter 0.4 MHz. The same
Comment Status A put, there is no clear requireme range. See 162.9.4.1 for a relev- se before 120G.3.3.1 with headi l comply with the requirements Bd \pm 100 ppm." d a reference to the new subclar <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.	nt to meet the sp vant example. ng "Host input si of 120G.3.3.3 fc	gnaling rate" and or any signaling rate in	Comment T In previe toleranc table sh SuggestedF Delete T At page peak-to At page	ype ous draft ce table, nould be Remedy Table 12 245 line -peak ar	ts we ali Table 1 used fo	igned KR, CR 62-15 and ac	Status A R, and C2C suc		e the same jitter
put, there is no clear requireme range. See 162.9.4.1 for a relev- se before 120G.3.3.1 with headi I comply with the requirements Bd \pm 100 ppm." d a reference to the new subclar <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.	nt to meet the sp vant example. ng "Host input si of 120G.3.3.3 fc	gnaling rate" and or any signaling rate in	In previe toleranc table sh SuggestedR Delete ⊺ At page peak-to At page At page	ous draft ce table, nould be Remedy Table 12 245 line -peak ar	ts we ali Table 1 used fo	igned KR, CR 62-15 and ac	R, and C2C suc		e the same jitter
range. See 162.9.4.1 for a relevance before 120G.3.3.1 with headi l comply with the requirements $Bd \pm 100 \text{ ppm."}$ d a reference to the new subclar <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.	vant example. ' ng "Host input si of 120G.3.3.3 fc	gnaling rate" and or any signaling rate in	toleranc table sh SuggestedR Delete T At page peak-to At page	ce table, nould be Remedy Table 12 245 line -peak an	Table 1 used fo 20G-9.	62-15 and ac			
I comply with the requirements Bd ± 100 ppm." d a reference to the new subclar <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.	of 120G.3.3.3 fc	or any signaling rate in	SuggestedR Delete T At page peak-to At page	Remedy Table 12 245 line -peak ar	20G-9.				
I comply with the requirements Bd ± 100 ppm." d a reference to the new subclar <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.	of 120G.3.3.3 fc	or any signaling rate in	Delete T At page peak-to- At page	Table 12 245 line -peak ar	20G-9.				
IPLE.			sinusoid		mplitude e3, chan	according to	each case in T nce to: "The an		
			In Table	϶120G-ε	8 and Ta	able 120G-11	, change "Table	e 120G-9" to "Ta	able 162-15".
#11, and #12 make similar prop		2C, and C2M.		T IN PR note: C	-			to 120G.3.3.3.	1.]
3.4 P 247	L 27	# 12	Impleme	ent sugç	gested re	emedy with e	ditorial license.		
Huawei			C/ 120G	SC 12	20G.3.1		P 237	L 17	# 14
Comment Status A	•	0 0 0 /	Brown. Matt				Huawei		
		e specifications over the			ER	Comment	Status A		terminology (bucket3
-	·	t signaling rate" and	necessa	ary to qu					
hall comply with the requireme	nts of 120G.3.4.	1 for any signaling rate	SuggestedF	Remedy					
GBd ± 100 ppm."			Change	"Eye he	eight, dif	ferential (min	n)" to "Eye heig	ht (min)"	
	ause in the signa	aling rate row.	Response			Response	Status C		
			ACCEP	T IN PR		E.			
ested remedy with editorial licer #11, and #12 make similar prop		2C, and C2M.	Most (if word dif	not all) v fferential	wavefor I with Ey	m parameters re Height and	not all others,		
			Impleme	ent the s	suggeste	ed remedy.			
	Huawei Comment Status A le input, there is no clear require a range. See 162.9.4.1 for a relev- se before 120G.3.4.1 with headin shall comply with the requireme 5 GBd \pm 100 ppm." add a reference to the new subclar Response Status C CIPLE. gested remedy with editorial lice	.3.4 P 247 L 27 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> A <i>input</i> le input, there is no clear requirement to meet the e range. See 162.9.4.1 for a relevant example. se before 120G.3.4.1 with heading "Module input shall comply with the requirements of 120G.3.4. 5 GBd \pm 100 ppm." add a reference to the new subclause in the signa <i>Response Status</i> C CIPLE. gested remedy with editorial license. #11, and #12 make similar proposals KR, CR, C	.3.4 P247 L27 # 12 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> A <i>input signalling rate (bucket3)</i> le input, there is no clear requirement to meet the specifications over the e range. See 162.9.4.1 for a relevant example. se before 120G.3.4.1 with heading "Module input signaling rate" and shall comply with the requirements of 120G.3.4.1 for any signaling rate 5 GBd \pm 100 ppm." add a reference to the new subclause in the signaling rate row. <i>Response Status</i> C CIPLE. gested remedy with editorial license. #11, and #12 make similar proposals KR, CR, C2C, and C2M.	.3.4 P 247 L 27 # 12 Implement Huawei Input signalling rate (bucket3) Implement C/ 120G Ide input, there is no clear requirement to meet the specifications over the arange. See 162.9.4.1 for a relevant example. Implement C/ 120G Ise before 120G.3.4.1 for a relevant example. Implement C/ 120G Brown, Matt Ise before 120G.3.4.1 with heading "Module input signaling rate" and Implement C/ 120G Ishall comply with the requirements of 120G.3.4.1 for any signaling rate Implement C/ 120G Ishall comply with the requirements of 120G.3.4.1 for any signaling rate Suggested R Change Implement Comment Ty The eye Not (ff Implement Comment Ty Not (ff Not (ff Implement Comment Ty Not (ff Not (ff Impl	.3.4 P 247 L 27 # 12 Implement sugar Huawei Implement signalling rate (bucket3) Implement sugar 12 Implement signalling rate (bucket3) Implement sugar 14 Implement signalling rate (bucket3) Implement sugar 15 Implement signalling rate (bucket3) Implement sugar 16 input signalling rate (bucket3) Implement sugar 17 Implement sugar Implement sugar 18 input signalling rate (bucket3) Implement sugar 19 Implement sugar Implement sugar 10 Implement sugar Implement sugar 11 Implement sugar Implement sugar 12 Implement sugar Implement sugar 13 Implement sugar Implement sugar <	.3.4 P 247 L 27 # 12 Huawei Implement Status A input signalling rate (bucket3) le input, there is no clear requirement to meet the specifications over the erange. See 162.9.4.1 for a relevant example. CI 120G SC 120G.3.1 see before 120G.3.4.1 with heading "Module input signaling rate" and shall comply with the requirements of 120G.3.4.1 for any signaling rate of GBd ± 100 ppm." The eye height is define necessary to qualify it a "differential". Suggested Remedy Change "Eye height, differential". Suggested Remedy Change "Eye height, differential". CIPLE. Exponse Status C CIPLE. Further to the comment Most (if not all) wavefor word differential with Eye differential E	.3.4 P 247 L 27 # 12 Huawei Implement suggested remedy with e Comment Status A input signalling rate (bucket3) le input, there is no clear requirement to meet the specifications over the e range. See 162.9.4.1 for a relevant example. Implement suggested remedy with e see before 120G.3.4.1 with heading "Module input signaling rate" and shall comply with the requirements of 120G.3.4.1 for any signaling rate for GBd ± 100 ppm." BuggestedRemedy add a reference to the new subclause in the signaling rate row. Response Status C CIPLE. Gested remedy with editorial license. #11, and #12 make similar proposals KR, CR, C2C, and C2M. Further to the comment 120F, 120G, 163] Mather Example.	3.4 P 247 L 27 # 12 Huawei input signalling rate (bucket3) le input, there is no clear requirement to meet the specifications over the a range. See 162.9.4.1 for a relevant example. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. se before 120G.3.4.1 with heading "Module input signaling rate" and shall comply with the requirements of 120G.3.4.1 for any signaling rate of GBd ± 100 ppm." Matter Huawei add a reference to the new subclause in the signaling rate row. Response Status C CIPLE. gested remedy with editorial license. Response Status C CIPLE. Further to the comment Most (if not all) waveform parameters are measured word differential with Eye Height and not all others, different from other waveform measurements.	3.4 P 247 L 27 # 12 Huawei Comment Status A input signalling rate (bucket3) le input, there is no clear requirement to meet the specifications over the a range. See 162.9.4.1 for a relevant example. Cl 120G SC 120G.3.1 P 237 L 17 Brown, Matt Huawei comment Type ER Comment Status A se before 120G.3.4.1 with heading "Module input signaling rate" and The eye height is defined by the measurement method in 120G.3.1 shall comply with the requirements of 120G.3.4.1 for any signaling rate of GBd ± 100 ppm." The eye height, differential (min)" to "Eye height (min)" add a reference to the new subclause in the signaling rate row. Response Status C ClPLE. CIPLE. gested remedy with editorial license. Response Status C CIPLE. Further to the comment Most (if not all) waveform parameters are measured on the differential with Eye Height and not all others, could be interprive of differential with Eye Height and not all others, could be interprive differential with Eye Height and not all others, could be interprive differential with Eye Height and not all others, could be interprive differential with Eye Height and not all others, could be interprive differential with Eye Height and not all others, could be interprive differential with Eye Height and not all others, could be interprive differential with Eye Height and not all others, could be interprive differential with Eye Height and not all others,

	00 4005 0 0	Deer	1.4	# 45	01.400	00 400 40
C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.2.4		L 1	# 15	C/ 163	SC 163.10
Brown, Ma		Huawei			Brown, Ma	
Comment		Comment Status A	<i></i>	jitter tolerance (bucket3)	Comment	
(item i		20F.3.2.4, the last exception ince 120F.3.2.4, is reference			the Ta	d be beneficial bles for KR TX ne text in 163.10
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	lRemedy
ln 120	F.3.2.4, delete the	e last exception (item d).				the current text
Response	от	Response Status C				a new table sir ng related introc
ACCE	PT.				Response	
C/ 120F	SC 120F.4	P 225	L 49	# 16	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP
Brown, Ma	tt	Huawei				ent #16 propos
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A	nne	el summary (CC) (bucket3)		nent the sugges ntation:
	Tables for C2C T 3).	include a specification sum X (Table 120F-1), C2C RX (Also, s "Chan	/www.ieee802.o since the channe nel insertion los 's note: CC: 120
	a new table simi ng related introdu	lar to Table 162-16 to sumn ictory text.	narize the C2N	channel characteristics	C/ 162	SC 162.11.5
Response		Response Status C			Brown, Ma	tt
	PT IN PRINCIPLE				Comment	Туре Е
Impler preser https:// Also, s	nent the suggeste itation: /www.ieee802.org ince the channel	s similar changes in Clause d remedy with editorial lice y/3/ck/public/21_05/sun_3ck insertion loss is a recomme	nse based on s 01b0521.pd	f	comm betwee assem	evious draft, a r on-mode conve en the cable ass bly insertion los reader of this st
	nel insertion loss 's note: CC: 163,				Suggested	lRemedy
[_0.101					the am	n explanation of nount of commo ntial noise at the
					Response	
					At P16	PT IN PRINCIP 88 L35 (at begin on-mode conve

Brown, Matt		Huaw	ei	
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	Α	nnel summary (CC) (bucket3)
the Tables fo	r KR TX (Table 120F-5), KR RX	(Ta	Immary table for the KR channel similar to ble 163-8), and CR Channel (Table 162- e replaced with a summary table.
SuggestedReme	dy			
Delete the cu Create a new including rela	table sim	nilar to Table 162-16 t	o sur	nmarize the KR channel characteristics

P 193

L 43

17

Response Status C

IN PRINCIPLE.

nt #16 proposes similar changes in Annex 120F.

nt the suggested remedy with editorial license based on slide 10 of the following tion:

ww.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/sun_3ck_01b_0521.pdf

ce the channel insertion loss is a recommendation, change the title of 163.10.2 to insertion loss (recommended)"

note: CC: 120F, 163]

C/ 162	SC 162.11.5	P 168	L 37	# 18
Brown, Matt		Huawei		
Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status A		CL-IL difference (bucket1)

ious draft, a new parameter was added to constrain the CR channel differential to -mode conversion loss. The term used to identify this parameter is: "difference the cable assembly differential to common-mode conversion loss and the cable y insertion loss". The purpose of this parameter might not be immediately clear to ader of this standard and would benefit from a brief explanation.

emedy

xplanation of the purpose of this parameter. Perhaps: "This parameter constrains unt of common-mode noise present at the transmitter that is converted to al noise at the receiver relative to the signal level at the receiver."

Response Status C

IN PRINCIPLE.

L35 (at beginning of subclause), add sentence "The cable assembly differential to mode conversion loss is specified relative to the insertion loss."

note: This comment response was updated 2021/5/17.]

C/ 00 SC	0	P 0	LO	# 19	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.4.1.1	P 247	L 53	# 21
Brown, Matt		Huawei			Brown, Mat	t		Huawei		
Comment Type	ER Comm	ent Status D		withdrawn	Comment 7	Type ER	Commer	nt Status A		(bucket1)
	uses and annexes w			onversion loss, and names used to define	Gramm	nar				
	teristcs is inconsiste				Suggested	,				
SuggestedRemed	dy							osure are measure sure are measure		
	nt terminology and v n will be provided to			ecify the various terms. sals.	Response	Ū		e Status C		
Proposed Respor REJECT.	nse Respon	se Status Z			ACCEF [Editor's	PT. s note: Chang	ed line from 4	3 to 53.]		
					C/ 163B	SC 163B.1		P 297	L 12	# 22
This commen	t was WITHDRAWI	N by the comment	er.		Brown, Mat	t		Huawei		
CI 120G SC	120G.3.1.5	P 239	L 8	# 20	Comment 7	Гуре Е	Commer	nt Status A		TP0a (bucket3)
Brown, Matt		Huawei							ces to TP0a in A	nnex 163B are also
Comment Type		ent Status A		terminology (bucket3)	Suggested	ces to TP0v, b Romodu	out for a speci	ne example.		
				tence of 120G.3.1.5.	00	Remeay 3.1 delete the :	socond contor			
acronym was	, , ,	, where the base		ormally used. Since this lefined, 120G should	In the fi	irst paragraph	in 163B.2 cha	ange TP0a to TP0 ange TP0a to TP		
SuggestedRemed	dy				Response		Response	e Status C		
	tance of the acronyr here appropriate, re		s of "vertical eye	closure" with the	ACCEF [Editor's	PT. s note: Chang	ed line from 2	97 to 12.]		
Response	Respon	se Status C								
ACCEPT IN F	PRINCIPLE.									
With editorial appropriate.	license, replace all	instances of "verti	cal eye closure"	with "VEC", where						

C/ 162 SC 162	. 9.3 <i>P</i> 154	L 7	# 23	C/ 162A	SC 162A.	5 P 263	L 28	# 25
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Laubach, M	lark	IEEE M	ember / Self	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		unit interval (bucket3)	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	A	(bucket
	the nominal unit interval is specifi			"usingE	Equation" nee	eds a space		
	it can easily be derived from the C2C. For consistency with sister C			Suggested	Remedy			
should be remov				Change	e to "using Eo	quation"		
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status	;	
In Table 162-10,	remove row specifying the "Unit in	nterval (nomina)".	ACCE	PT.			
Response	Response Status C				SC 04 0	Doc	1.00	# 00
ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.			C/ 91	SC 91.6	P 85	L 28	# 26
In addition to the	justifications provided in the com	ment Table 16'	2-10 provides pormative	Laubach, M			ember / Self	
specifications for	the CR transmitter. The unit inter to belong in this table.			Comment T Line br	,,	Comment Status reshold" after the "t" doesr	-	(bucket
	-			Suggested	Remedy			
Implement the su	uggested remedy.			Perhap	os resizing the	e columns can make it loo	k better or forcing a n	ewline before the "t"?
C/ 136 SC 136	5.8.11 P 115	L 29	# 24	Response		Response Status	;	
Marris, Arthur	Cadence De	sign Systems			PT IN PRINC			
Comment Type T			control function (bucket1)	Reform	hat so there is	s no break in the "threshol	d".	
	t that the Clause 136 control funct	ion is not just fo	or 50G lane PMDs	C/ 119	SC 119.6.	4.12 P 99	L 41	# 27
SuggestedRemedy				Laubach, M	lark	IEEE M	ember / Self	
	extra paragraph to the end of 13			Comment T	Туре Е	Comment Status	A	(bucket
	I function specified in this clause of the second sec			Line br	eak of "status	s" after "stat" doesn't loool	k good.	
162."	y other r wids, such as the 100 G	ors per larie Fiv	123 Specified in Clause	Suggested	Remedy			
Response	Response Status W			00		ewline before "status"?		
REJECT.	,			Response	-	Response Status		
concurrent or late defined in 802.3c 137 (KR). Claus but rather define	any subclauses for one PMD are near PMDs without any reference to cd-2018 Clause 136 (CR) does no e 162 and Clause 163 do not tech a new control function with the Claud with exceptions.	those other clau t point out that i nically use Clau	uses. The control function t is also used by Clause use 136 control function	ACCE	PT IN PRINC hat so there is	•	-	
TVDE, TD/toobrigg	aguired ED/aditorial required OF						Commont (D. 07	Da

Comment ID 27

(bucket1)

(bucket1)

(bucket1)

C/ 120G S	C 120G.3.3.	3 P 244	L 45	# 28	C/ 120G S	C 120G.3.	3.3.1	P 245	L 49	# 30
Mellitz, Richard	1	Samtec			Mellitz, Richard	I		Samtec		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		host input jitter	Comment Type	TR	Comm	ent Status R		host input jitter
of Sj is a st	trong factor. e does not se	easurements were reported The value of Sj seems to be eem to be a tie between Tx j	inherited from	older specification.	computatic dB channe The actua	n script usi I. The meas I jitter inject	ng 0.025 U sured VEC ted during t	with 50 mUI of Sj he a receiver com	surements using approaches 15.7 pliance test may	50 mUI of Sj for a 16 ' dB, introduce a degree of
	-	from J3u in 162 and 163 ad	d to table 120G-	6			et up jitter u	incertainty or ampl	ification at the re	ceiver test point.
J4u = 0.12	3 UI refer to 7 9 UI refer to 1		3		generator a	45 line 49 ter and bou	es the outp	ut jitter profile give	n by maximum J	he output of the pattern RMS and maximum
Response		Response Status U				omplies wit	h the even-	odd jitter specifica	tion, in Table 12	0F–1.
120G.3.3.3 The comm Including th intended en references The comm	(244/45.) enter intende nese jitter par nd result of th these param ent does not	subclause, page, and line fro ed to refer to Table 120G-8 " rameters to Table 120G-8 co ne calibration rather than a s eters. provide sufficient evidence f	Host stressed ir buld be interpret tarting point per or the suggeste	nput parameters". ed as being the the methodology that d changes.	approxima complies w Other solut <i>Response</i> REJECT. The intent comment #	tes the outp with the even ions are po of this com 228.	out jitter pro n-odd jitter ossible like <i>Respor</i> ment is to u	file given by maxir specification, in Ta lowering injected S ase Status U update the text rela	mum JRMS and able 120G-6. Sj to 20 mUI.	he input to the host maximum J4u, and neters proposed in
	C 120G.3.4. ⁴		L 43	# 29	Resolve us	ing the res	ponse to co	omment #28.		
Mellitz, Richard		Samtec								
of Sj is a st	high VEC me trong factor.	Comment Status R easurements were reported The value of Sj seems to be eem to be a tie between Tx j	inherited from	older specification.						
SuggestedRem	nedy									
Jitter (max) Jrms = 0.2 J4u = 0.12) 3 UI refer to 1 9 UI refer to 1			10						
Response		Response Status U								
The comm Including th intended en	enter intende nese jitter par	subclause from 120G.3.2 to ed to refer to Table 120G-11 rameters to Table 120G-1 co ne calibration rather than a s eters.	"Module stress ould be interpret	ed input parameters". ed as being the						

C/ 120G SC 120	G.3.4.1.1	P 248	L 12	# 04	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	1.2	DAGA	L 36	# 22	
	3.3.4.1.1	-	L 12	# 31		SC 162.9.4	1.3	P 161		# 33	
Mellitz, Richard	_	Samtec			Ghiasi, Ali	_	_	Ghiasi Quant	tum/Inphi		
Comment Type TF		Status R		module input jtter	Comment			t Status R		RIT ch	
computation scrip dB channel. The The actual jitter i	neasured VEC wit njected during the	f Add and meas h 50 mUI of Sj a receiver com	surements using approaches 15.7 pliance test may	50 mUI of Sj for a 16 dB. introduce a degree of	low los	s channel Tes bly=test chane	st 1 frequency			CL 110 for the case of ause the loss of cal	
	st set up jitter unce	ertainty or ampl	ification at the re	ceiver test point.	00	2	el also include	frequency depe	ndent attenuator	then please increase	se
SuggestedRemedy Change p245 line					loss by		e intention was			ent attenuator then a	
generator approxi J4u, and complies		itter profile give	n by maximum J	ne output of the pattern RMS and maximum)F–1.	Response REJEC	CT.	Response	e Status C			
approximates the		given by maxir	mum JRMS and	ne input to the host maximum J4u, and				or is excluded from hannel with a co		nel used for Test 1 i	n
	e possible like low				C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	3.2	P 240	L 10	# 34	
Response	Response	Status U			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quant	tum/Inphi		
REJECT. The intent of this	comment is to upd	late the text rela	ating to the paran	neters proposed in	Comment Given	51		<i>it Status</i> R r end eye would	be AUI-S min ey		P4 EH
comment #29. Resolve using the	response to com	ment #29.			Suggested	Remedy					
C/ 162 SC 162	•	P 158	L 39	# 32		e opening witl .3ck_01_0121		ngular window fo	or AUI-L is VEO=	=11 mV, see	
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	tum/Inphi		Response		Response	e Status U			
Comment Type TF	Comment	Status R		EOJ CRU BW	REJEC	CT.					
SuggestedRemedy				s sufficient" is not clear					by the task force: 21/ghiasi_3ck_ad	hoc_01a_042121.pd	df
What is the intent	ion of only one CR	RU bandwidth, p	lease make it cle	ear.	There	was no conse	nsus to make t	he proposed cha	anges.		
Response	Response	Status U							U U		
REJECT.					[Editor	s note: Chang	ged page/line f	rom 164/13 to 24	40/10.]		
The suggested re	medy does not pro	ovide sufficient	detail to impleme	nt.							
There was some proposal is requir		ther clarification	n would be helpfu	II. However, complete							

Comment ID 34

TP4 EH

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.4.2	P 164	L 25	# 35	C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 165	L 43	# 38
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi	
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status R		jitter tolerance	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		AC coupling
	ver jitter tolerance decade apart	test point B to F test freque	ncies are ~2.5	ix but test point A and B			ncreased Baudrate it is logical	to increase 3 dB	cutoff by factor 2
Suggested	•				Suggestea	-			
	-	st frequency between A and	B at 133 KH	z with amplitude of 1.5 UI			S cutoff from 50 KHz to 100 KH2.3cd. It is well understood that		
Response		Response Status U			then D	C block corner	frequency will be 50 KHz, but	t keeping 50 KHz	for 100G PAM4 it just
, REJE(ce 200G gets f	orce to 50 KHz assuming one	generation supp	ort
The co	omment does not p	provide sufficient justification	n to support th	e suggested remedy.	Response	-	Response Status C		
[Editor	's note: Changed	page from 234 to 164.]			REJE(The A		cification is used throughout	802 3ck and appl	ied to predictive
	Ū,				models	s as well as im	plemented in 802.3cd cable as	ssemblies. The c	
C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.2	P 174	L 8	# 36		e sufficient just s note: CC: 16	ification to support proposed of	change.	
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi			S HOLE. CC. 10	52, 105]		
Comment		Comment Status R		DI nomenclature (bucket1)	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.1 P 237	L 17	# 39
Table	162-20 should be	updated with MDI supportin	g 112G		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi	
Suggested	lRemedy				Comment		Comment Status R		TP1 EH/VEC
SFP-D	e replace SFP+ wit D with SFP-DD11				VEC li not the	nit of 12 dB ar case prior to	nd VEO limit of 10 mV results adding timing window of +/-50	in well constructe mUI.	ed host to fail, this was
	+ with QSFP112	D			Suggestea	Remedy			
		Response Status W nse to comment #45. 162C]			for VE limits r	C and VEO ba esult in host th	not to shift the burden for host sed on timing window ts=+/- 5 at passed now will fail. or VEO=8 mV and VEC=13.5 (0 mUI. Unfortun	tatly the VEC and VEO
C/ 163	SC 163.10.7	P 198	L 31	# 37	Response		Response Status U	-	
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		REJE	CT.			
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		AC coupling	Slide 3	to 9 of the foll	owing presentation were revie	wed by the task	force:
Given	that we have incre	eased Baudrate it is logical t	o increase 3 o	B cutoff by factor 2	https://	www.ieee802.	org/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr21_2	1/ghiasi_3ck_ad	hoc_01a_042121.pdf
Suggested	Remedy				There	s no consensu	us to change the VEC (max) o	r EH (min) values	5.
at 2x E then D	Baudrate of 802.3c C block corner fre	toff from 50 KHz to 100 KH. d. It is well understood that quency will be 50 KHz, but e to 50 KHz assuming one	t if one needs keeping 50 Kl	to support 50G PAM4 Hz for 100G PAM4 it just					
Response	-	Response Status C	- '						
REJE0 There	CT.	fication that the suggested i	emedy does i	not degrade performance.					
IYPE: TR	/technical required	ER/editorial required GR/	general requir	ed T/technical E/editorial G/g	general		Comr	nent ID 39	Page 9 of 61

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	.1	P 240	L 37	# 40	C/ 120G	SC	2 120G.3.2	2.2.1	P 242	L 10	# 41
Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali				Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi	
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment S	Status A		reference (bucket3)	Comment 7	Туре	TR	Comm	nent Status A	·	TP3 host PCB
Table 12	20G-4 defines /	AUI short and I	long but with pr	roper reference	•	Table 1 loss ma			igth are fo	r the reference MC	B but based on	construction the MCB
SuggestedR	•					Suggested						
Please r	reference table	120G-5						•	hat above	PCB length assur	nes an MCB los	s of 2.4 dB, please also
Short an provides configure reference	s parameters fo	are defined in or the measure long mode. Ho 2.1.	the first paragra ment of EH and owever, the refe	d VEC at the m	2.1. Table 120G-5 iodule output when .3.2.2 should be a	80 mm 160 mr 244.7 r To acc for the 80 mm 160 mr 244.7 r Looking 1. Max 2. Curr mm (5. The pro Short 6	m = 3.1 m = 6 mm =	1 dB .6 dB 9.6 dB for any diff +MTF and omes = 3.1 comes = 6 .6 + 6.6 dI Bhiasi_3ck 0 loss need 60 mm ma) ed optimize 1.8 dB (in	erence in list the P0 +6.6 = 9.7 6+6.6 dB= 3=16.2 dB $_01_0421$ I to be red ix range fo ed new lim lcude 6.6 o	CB lenghts as refe dB =13.2 dB there are several uced from 244.7 m	dB it would be t rence, in that ca issues with abou nm to 239.7 mm	beter to list the dB value se then
						Response			Respor	nse Status W		
						[Editor' The fol meeting https:// The loc of the r There v length Change In Figu receive	s note lowing g: www. cation mease was n for lor e sho re 12 er".	g related p ieee802.o o of the me urement re no consens ng mode. irt-far-end	d subclaus resentatio rg/3/ck/pul asuremen eceiver bet sus to inclu PCB lengt nge "refere	blic/adhoc/apr21_2 t host PCB is not s ween the MCB an	v the task force a 21/ghiasi_3ck_a shown Figure 12 d the reference a ers in the table n	at a previous ad hoc dhoc_01a_042121.pdf 0G-8, but should be part receiver. for to change the PCB

C/ 120G SC	120G.3.4.1	P 247	L 17	# 42	Cl 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 252	L 16	# 44
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi	
Comment Type	TR Comm	ent Status R		TP4a SIT EH/VEC	Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status A		RR CTLE
	12 dB and VEO limit prior to adding timin			ed host to fail, this was		ax value may reated into	sult in very large VEC > 20 o min loss host.	dB when module	e are tuned in the middle
SuggestedRemed	dy				Suggested	Remedy			
				we defined new values	Sugges	st reducing gDC	from -2 to -1 and see ghiasi	i_3ck_01_0421	
	VEO based on timir n host that passed r		0 mUI. Unfortur	tatly the VEC and VEO	Response		Response Status C		
	<pre>/ limits for VEO=8 m</pre>		to 13.75 dB and	lsee	ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
Response		nse Status U					presentation was reviewed g/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr21_2		
REJECT. [Editor's note: 120G.3.4.1]	e: Changed page fro	m 233 to 247 and s	subclause from	120G.3.1.5 to	In Tabl	e 120G-12, char	nge TP4 near-end g_DC ma	ximum value fro	om -2 to -1 dB.
					C/ 162C	SC 162C.1	P 277	L 20	# 45
				I and VEC. However, e module input EH and	Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi	
VEC should b			lo changes to th		Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status R	M	DI nomenclature (bucket1
C	-+ #20				Table 1	62C-1 should be	e updated with MDI supporti	ing 112G	
See commen	lt #39.				Suggestedl	Remedy			
C/ 120G SC	120G.3.3.3.1	P 245	L 25	# 43		replace SFP+ w			
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi		-	D with SFP-DD1 - with QSFP112	12		
Comment Type	T Comm	ent Status A		TP4 SJ	Response		Deenenee Statue M		
		t B to F test freque	encies are ~2.5x	but test point A and B	REJEC	т	Response Status W		
are a decade	•						.3 normative references in 8	802.3ck and the	base standard.
SuggestedRemed	-	nov botwoon A on		with amplitude of 1 5 LU	CI 420C	SC 120G.3.4	4 D047	L 46	# 40
	•			with amplitude of 1.5 UI	C/ 120G	3C 120G.3.4			# 46
	•	nse Status C			Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	tum/inpni	
ACCEPT IN F Resolve using	ig the response to co	omment #13.			Comment 7		Comment Status D o be updated now that measured	curomonte aro v	TP4 SIT EH/VE
								sulements are v	
					Suggested	•	01 and raduas are baight wi	indo <i>u from 1E</i> n	a > 1 to $0.5 m > 1$
							21 and reduce eye height wi 21 and reduce eye height wi		
					Proposed F		Response Status Z		
					REJEC	CT.			
					This co	mment was WI	FHDRAWN by the comment	er.	

CI 120G S	SC 120G.5.2	P 253	L 27	# 47	C/ 162	SC 162.	.11.7	1	P 170	L 18	# 50
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quar	tum/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali			Gh	niasi Quant	um/Inphi	
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status R		EH/VEC method	Comment	Type EF	र	Comment Stat	us A		(bucket1)
The new C	C2M test proce	edure no longer require ey	e opening meas	urement with introduction	Unit fo	r Zc should	be ohm:	s not Farad			
	vindow tx=+/- : follow the proc	50 mUI, given the amount redure!	f change it will I	be very confusing for the	Suggested	Remedy					
SuggestedRen					Chang	e to ohms					
	-	and full procedure in CL12	0G instead of re	eferencing 120E	Response		F	Response Stati	us W		
Response	5	Response Status U		J.	ACCEI [Editor		anged su	ubclause from ²	162.11.7.1	to 162.11.7.]	
REJECT.				an du de cum coto d'in						-	
		s subclause leverages the E. There are only a small n			C/ 162	SC 162.	.11.7		P 170	L 17	# 51
the entire r	methodology i	is not warranted. Also, it is	helpful to refer	to existing test	Ghiasi, Ali				niasi Quant	um/Inphi	
		test implementers. The re e easily inferred from the e			Comment			Comment Stat	us R		CA COM Tau (CC)
is accumul	lated over the	time interval ts \pm 0.05 UI	nstead of "withi	n 0.025 UI of time			nao missi	ing from table			
Tcmid"}.					Suggested	-					
		1 P 269	L 36	# 48	Add pa	ickage dela	ay thao 5	.79e-3 ns/mm			
/ 162B S	SC 162B.1.3.1	1 1 203	L 30	# 40							
	SC 162B.1.3.1			# 40	Response		F	Response Stati	us C		
Shiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quar		# 40 MTF FOMILD	REJEC			,	-	to 162 11 7]	
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho		ntum/Inphi	MTF FOMILD	REJEC [Editor Since	s note: Cha	anged su value is	, ubclause from specified for T	162.11.7.1 au, the val	ue specified in ⁻	Table 93A-3 (6.141E–3) m default values only for
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A	ntum/Inphi	MTF FOMILD	REJEC [Editor Since i is used a1 and	s note: Cha no different I. Note that a2 parame	anged su value is commer eters in T	, specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3.	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt	ue specified in ⁻	
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB <i>medy</i>	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A	s significnalty la	MTF FOMILD	REJEC [Editor Since I is used a1 and Resolv	s note: Cha no different I. Note that a2 parame e in conjun	anged su value is commer eters in T iction witl	ibclause from 7 specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3. h comment #53	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2.	ue specified in ⁻	
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen Reduce rea	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB <i>medy</i> educe ILD to 0.	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A oribale for an MTF and it is 0.075, please ghiasi_3ck_0 Response Status C	s significnalty la	MTF FOMILD	REJEC [Editor Since I is used a1 and Resolv	s note: Cha no different I. Note that a2 parame e in conjun	anged su value is commer eters in T iction witl : 120F, 1	, specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3. h comment #50 20G, 162, 163	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2.	ue specified in ⁻	
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen Reduce rea Response ACCEPT II	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB <i>medy</i> educe ILD to 0. IN PRINCIPLE	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A oribale for an MTF and it is 0.075, please ghiasi_3ck_0 Response Status C E.	s significnalty la	MTF FOMILD	REJEC [Editor Since r is used a1 and Resolv [Editor	s note: Cha no different I. Note that a2 parame e in conjun s note: CC	anged su value is commer eters in T iction witl : 120F, 1	ubclause from f specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3. h comment #53 120G, 162, 163	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2.	ue specified in ted changes fro	m default values only for
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen Reduce rea Response ACCEPT II	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB <i>medy</i> educe ILD to 0. IN PRINCIPLE	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A oribale for an MTF and it is 0.075, please ghiasi_3ck_0 Response Status C	s significnalty la	MTF FOMILD rger than Lim 2 inch	REJEC [Editor Since i is used a1 and Resolv [Editor	s note: Cha no different I. Note that a2 parame e in conjun s note: CC: SC 163.	anged su value is commer eters in T iction with : 120F, 1	ubclause from f specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3. h comment #53 120G, 162, 163	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2. b] P 194 niasi Quant	ue specified in ted changes fro	m default values only for # <u>[52</u>
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen Reduce rea Response ACCEPT II Resolve us	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB <i>medy</i> educe ILD to 0. IN PRINCIPLE	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A oribale for an MTF and it is 0.075, please ghiasi_3ck_0 Response Status C E.	s significnalty la	MTF FOMILD	REJEC [Editor Since i is used a1 and Resolv [Editor <i>Cl</i> 163 Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment</i>	s note: Cha no different I. Note that a2 parame e in conjun s note: CC: SC 163. Type TR	anged su value is commer eters in T iction with : 120F, 1 10.1	ubclause from 7 specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3. h comment #55 20G, 162, 163	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2. b] P 194 niasi Quant	ue specified in ted changes fro	m default values only for
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen Reduce rea Response ACCEPT II Resolve us	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB medy educe ILD to 0. IN PRINCIPLE sing the respo	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A oribale for an MTF and it is 0.075, please ghiasi_3ck_0 Response Status C E. onse to comment #142.	tum/Inphi s significnalty la 1_0421 <i>L</i> 38	MTF FOMILD rger than Lim 2 inch	REJEC [Editor Since is used a1 and Resolv [Editor <i>CI</i> 163 Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment</i>	s note: Cha no different I. Note that a2 parame e in conjun s note: CC: SC 163. Type TR ge delay Th	anged su value is commer eters in T iction with : 120F, 1 10.1	ubclause from 7 specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3. h comment #55 120G, 162, 163 120G, 162, 163 Gh Comment Stat	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2. b] P 194 niasi Quant	ue specified in ted changes fro	m default values only for # <u>[52</u>
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen Reduce re Response ACCEPT II Resolve us Cl 163 S Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB medy educe ILD to 0. IN PRINCIPLE sing the respo SC 163.9.2.2 e TR	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A oribale for an MTF and it is 0.075, please ghiasi_3ck_0 Response Status C E. onse to comment #142. P 189 Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A	tum/Inphi s significnalty la 1_0421 <i>L</i> 38 tum/Inphi	MTF FOMILD rger than Lim 2 inch	REJEC [Editor Since i is used a1 and Resolv [Editor <i>Cl</i> 163 Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment</i> Packag <i>Suggested</i>	s note: Cha no different 1. Note that a2 parame e in conjun s note: CC: SC 163. Type TR ge delay Th Remedy	anged su value is commer eters in T iction with : 120F, 1 	ubclause from 7 specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3. h comment #55 120G, 162, 163 120G, 162, 163 Gh Comment Stat	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2. b] P 194 niasi Quant	ue specified in ted changes fro	m default values only for # <u>[52</u>
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen Reduce ren Response ACCEPT II Resolve us Cl 163 S Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type No referen	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB medy educe ILD to 0. IN PRINCIPLE ising the respo SC 163.9.2.2 e TR nce to Annex 1	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A oribale for an MTF and it is 0.075, please ghiasi_3ck_0 Response Status C E. onse to comment #142. P189 Ghiasi Quar	tum/Inphi s significnalty la 1_0421 <i>L</i> 38 tum/Inphi	MTF FOMILD rger than Lim 2 inch # 49	REJEC [Editor Since i is used a1 and Resolv [Editor <i>Cl</i> 163 Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment</i> Packag <i>Suggested</i>	s note: Cha no different 1. Note that a2 parame e in conjun s note: CC: SC 163. Type TR ge delay Th Remedy	anged su value is commer eters in T iction with : 120F, 1 .10.1 R nao missi ay thao 5	ubclause from f specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3. h comment #53 20G, 162, 163 f Gh Comment Stat ing from table	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2. b] P 194 biasi Quant <i>us</i> R	ue specified in ted changes fro	m default values only for # <u>[52</u>
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen Reduce red Response ACCEPT II Resolve us Cl 163 S Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type No referen SuggestedRen	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB medy educe ILD to 0. IN PRINCIPLE ising the respo SC 163.9.2.2 e TR nce to Annex 1	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A oribale for an MTF and it is 0.075, please ghiasi_3ck_0 Response Status C E. onse to comment #142. P 189 Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A 163B which provide referen	tum/Inphi s significnalty la 1_0421 <i>L</i> 38 tum/Inphi	MTF FOMILD rger than Lim 2 inch # 49	REJEC [Editor Since of is used a1 and Resolv [Editor <i>CI</i> 163 Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment</i> Packag <i>Suggested</i> Add pa <i>Response</i> REJEC [Editor	s note: Cha no different 1. Note that a2 parame e in conjun s note: CC: SC 163. Type TR ge delay Th Remedy nckage dela CT. s note: Cha	anged su value is commer eters in T iction with : 120F, 1 .10.1 R nao missi ay thao 5 <i>F</i> anged pa	ybclause from 7 specified for T nt #53 against Table 93A-3. h comment #55 20G, 162, 163 Gh <i>Comment Stat</i> ing from table .79e-3 ns/mm Response Statu	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2. P 194 niasi Quant <i>us</i> R <i>us</i> C 0 194.]	ue specified in ⁻ ted changes fro <i>L</i> 13 um/Inphi	m default values only for # <u>52</u> COM Tau (CC,
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen Reduce red Response ACCEPT II Resolve us Cl 163 S Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type No referen SuggestedRen Please pro	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB medy educe ILD to 0. IN PRINCIPLE sing the respo SC 163.9.2.2 the TR ince to Annex 1 medy	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A oribale for an MTF and it is 0.075, please ghiasi_3ck_0 Response Status C E. onse to comment #142. P 189 Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A 163B which provide referen	tum/Inphi s significnalty la 1_0421 <i>L</i> 38 tum/Inphi	MTF FOMILD rger than Lim 2 inch # 49	REJEC [Editor Since i is used a1 and Resolv [Editor <i>Cl</i> 163 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Packag Suggested Add pa Response REJEC [Editor Since i	s note: Cha no different a 2 parame e in conjun s note: CC: SC 163. Type TR ge delay Th Remedy ackage dela CT. s note: Cha no different	anged su value is commer eters in T iction with : 120F, 1 	ybclause from f specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3. h comment #55 120G, 162, 163 f Gh Comment Stat ing from table .79e-3 ns/mm Response State age from 170 to specified for T	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2. P 194 masi Quant <i>us</i> R <i>us</i> C p 194.] au, the val	ue specified in ⁻ ted changes fro <i>L</i> 13 um/Inphi	m default values only for # <u>52</u> COM Tau (CC,
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen Reduce rea Response ACCEPT II Resolve us Cl 163 S Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type No referen SuggestedRen Please pro Response ACCEPT II	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB medy educe ILD to 0. IN PRINCIPLE sing the respo SC 163.9.2.2 re TR nce to Annex 1 medy ovide reference IN PRINCIPLE	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A oribale for an MTF and it is 0.075, please ghiasi_3ck_0 Response Status C E. onse to comment #142. P 189 Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A 163B which provide referent the to CL 163B Response Status W E.	tum/Inphi s significnalty la 1_0421 <i>L</i> 38 tum/Inphi	MTF FOMILD rger than Lim 2 inch # 49	REJEC [Editor Since i is used a1 and Resolv [Editor <i>Cl</i> 163 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Packag Suggested Add pa Response REJEC [Editor	s note: Cha no different l. Note that a2 parame e in conjun s note: CC: SC 163. Type TR ge delay Th Remedy uckage dela CT. s note: Cha no different l. Note that	anged su value is commer eters in T iction with : 120F, 1 10.1 anao missi ay thao 5 <i>F</i> anged pa value is commer	ybclause from f specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3. h comment #53 120G, 162, 163 f Gh <i>Comment Stat</i> ing from table .79e-3 ns/mm Response Statt age from 170 to specified for T nt #53 against	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2. P 194 masi Quant <i>us</i> R <i>us</i> C p 194.] au, the val	ue specified in ⁻ ted changes fro <i>L</i> 13 um/Inphi	m default values only for # <u>52</u> COM Tau (CC,
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type FOMILD of channel wi SuggestedRen Reduce red Response ACCEPT II Resolve us Cl 163 S Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type No referen SuggestedRen Please pro Response ACCEPT II Resolve us	e TR of 0.13 dB is ho rith 5 dB medy educe ILD to 0. IN PRINCIPLE sing the respo SC 163.9.2.2 re TR nce to Annex 1 medy ovide reference IN PRINCIPLE	Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A oribale for an MTF and it is 0.075, please ghiasi_3ck_0 Response Status C E. onse to comment #142. P 189 Ghiasi Quar Comment Status A 163B which provide referent the to CL 163B Response Status W E. onse to comment #54.	tum/Inphi s significnalty la 1_0421 <i>L</i> 38 tum/Inphi	MTF FOMILD rger than Lim 2 inch # 49	REJEC [Editor Since i is used a1 and Resolv [Editor <i>Cl</i> 163 Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment</i> Packag <i>Suggested</i> Add pa <i>Response</i> REJEC [Editor Since i is used a1 and Resolv	s note: Cha no different a 2 parame e in conjun s note: CC: SC 163. Type TR ge delay Th Remedy ackage dela CT. s note: Cha no different l. Note that a 2 parame e in conjun	anged su value is commer eters in T iction with : 120F, 1 	ybclause from f specified for T nt #53 against able 93A-3. h comment #55 120G, 162, 163 f Gh Comment Stat ing from table .79e-3 ns/mm Response State age from 170 to specified for T	162.11.7.1 au, the val D1.2 adopt 2. P 194 niasi Quant <i>us</i> R <i>us</i> C 0 194.] au, the val D1.2 adopt 1.	ue specified in ⁻ ted changes fro <i>L</i> 13 um/Inphi	m default values only for # <u>52</u> COM Tau (CC,

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 52

Page 12 of 61 2021-06-02 3:03:21 PM

C/ 163B SC 163B.2	P 297	L 22	# 53	C/ 163	SC 163	9.3	P 190	L 16	# 55
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quanti	um/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantur	m/Inphi	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		ERL package (bucket3)	Comment 7	Туре ТЕ	2	Comment Status A		ERL example (bucket3)
We have provided refere	ence ERL for only 31 mm pa	ackage		No refe	erence to A	nnex 16	63B which provide referene B	ERL	
uggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Please also provide ERL	data for the 12 mm package	ge as well		Please	provide re	erence	e to CL 163B		
esponse	Response Status C			Response			Response Status W		
The methodology in 163	lause/subclause to 163B/16 A.4.1.1 and parameters from age lengths, however only of	m 163/120F r		Resolv	PT IN PRIN re using the 's note: CC	respor	nse to comment #54.		
example.	5 5 7		lengur is provided in unis	C/ 120G	SC 120	G.3.2.1	P 240	L 27	# 56
	ter the first paragraph as fol the TP0v methodology may		EPL reference value to be	Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantur	m/Inphi	
	ne package length, only on			Comment	Туре Т		Comment Status A		odule output modes (bucket3
120F SC 120F.3.1.1	P 220	L 22	# 54	Short a	and long ar	e not ve	ery descriptive		
niasi, Ali			# 54	Suggested	Remedy				
omment Type TR	Ghiasi Quanti Comment Status A	um/mpm	ERL example (bucket3)	Please	replace sh	ort and	I long with "lower loss hosts"	and "high	her loss hosts"
	63B which provide reference	FRI	ERL example (buckets)	Response			Response Status C		
iggestedRemedy					PT IN PRIN	-			
	e to CL 163B and explain th RL 9.95 dB	hat dERL of -3	dB would mean in case	120G.3 each m	3.2.2. The s node is help	uggest oful. Ho	rt and long modes is implicit ted remedy is not generally a wever, the closed response	iccurate. to comm	Use of a concise label for ent #40 changes the
esponse	Response Status C						1 which provides more relevance to comment #40.	ant inform	nation.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE This subclause reference	 es the appropriate test meth	hodology in 1	63A.3.2.2. The test fixture	C/ 162	SC 162	11.7	P 170	L 41	# 57
specification in 163.9.2. Annex 163B.	I, as referenced from 120F.	.2, points to the	ne example test fixture in	Brown, Mat	tt		Huawei		
	pful to refer to the reference	e parameters	examples in Annex 163B	Comment	Туре Т		Comment Status A		CA COM TX FI
"An example test fixture	ill. in 163A.3 and 163A.4, add and its reference values are			Table 1) and T	able 120F-7 (C2C) the step		o size for c(1) is 0.02 while in 05. There is no reason for
See slides 12 and 13 in https://www.jeee802.org	/3/ck/public/21_05/sun_3ck	01b 0521.p	df	Suggested	Remedy				
Implement with editorial [Editor's note: CC: 120F	license.				e the C(1) and Table		e in Table 162-18 to 0.05 or 7 to 0.02.	alternate	ly change C(1) step size in
				Response			Response Status C		
				ACCE	PT IN PRIN	CIPLE			

Change the step size in Table 163-10 and Table 120F-7 to 0.02. [Editor's note: Changed subclause from 162.11.7.1 to 162.11.7.] [Editor's note: CC: 162, 163, 120F]

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.6	P 165	L 2	# 58	C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.2	. 2 P	223	L 2	# 61
Brown, Mat	tt	Huawei			Brown, Matt		Hua	iwei		
Comment T	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Statu	s A		RL terminolog
		ecifying a limit for receiver	differential to con	nmon-mode return loss	Align ter	minology with	other clauses.			
	s no graph illustra	ating the limit.			SuggestedR	Remedy				
Suggested Add fig		or Equation (162-9).			In Equat Return_		d in the variable list	that follo	ows, change varia	able name RL_dcm to
Response		Response Status C			Response		Response Statu	s C		
	PT IN PRINCIPLI e using the respo	E. onse to comment 168.								
C/ 162	SC 162.11.4	P 168	L 31	# 59			#17, there is conse slide 9 of brown_3			loss variable names to
Brown, Mat	tt	Huawei			Change	all return loss	variable names to t	he form o	of option 2 on slid	le 9 of
Comment T	• •	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	brown_3	3ck_01a_0521.				
Suggested	Remedy e title to "Cable a	e consistent with text. Issembly differential to comi Response Status C	non-mode returr	ı loss"	Straw po For all re A: Optio B: Optio C: Optio	n 1 per slide 9 n 2 per slide 9 n 3 per slide 9	,	_0521 (re _0521 (e. _0521 (e.	.g., RLdd)	
C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 219	L 16	# 60	E: No cł Straw po		n loss variable nam	es		
Brown, Mat	tt	Huawei				26 C: 14 D: 12	E: 2			
Comment T Align te	<i>Type</i> E erminology with c	Comment Status A other clauses.		(bucket1)	Straw po A: 1 B: 2	oll #17 22 C: 3 D: 4 E:	1			
Suggested	Remedy				C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	.1 P	237	L 36	# 62
		le return loss" to "Common-	mode to commo	n-mode return loss" in	Brown, Matt		Hua	wei		
	120F-1 and in PI	CS item TC8 in 120F.5.4.1.			Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Statu	s A		RL terminology
Response		Response Status C			Align ter	minology with	other clauses.			
ACCER	PT.				SuggestedR	Remedy				
					In Equat Return_		d in the variable list	that follo	ows, change varia	able name RLDC to
					Response		Response Statu	s C		
						T IN PRINCIPL using the resp	E. oonse to comment #	¢61.		

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.1	P 243	L 34	# 63	C/ 162B SC 162B.1.	3.5 <i>P</i> 272	L 31	# 66
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt	Huawei		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		RL terminology	Comment Type E	Comment Status A		RL terminology
Align terminology with othe	er clauses.			Align terminology with	other clauses.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
In Equation 120G-2 and in Return_Loss.	the variable list that follo	ws, change varia	ble name RLCD to	In Equation 162B-8 and Return_Loss.	nd in the variable list that follow	ws, change varia	ble name CMDRL to
Response F	Response Status C			Response	Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response	se to comment #61.			ACCEPT IN PRINCIF Resolve using the res	LE. ponse to comment #61.		
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.4	P 271	L 26	# 64	C/ 162 SC 162.11.	5 P 169	L 20	# 67
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Brown, Matt	Huawei		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
Align terminology with othe	er clauses.			Change Figure 162-7	title to be consistent with text		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change "common-mode r		node to common	-mode return loss" in	Change title to "Cable	assembly differential to comr	non-mode conve	rsion loss"
four places and in PICS ite	em TF5.			Response	Response Status C		
Response F ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	, LE.		
				[Editor's note: this cor	nment was updated on 2021/5	5/18.]	
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.4 Brown, Matt	<i>Р</i> 271 Ниаwei	L 30	# 65	The commenter inten	ded to point to Figure 162-6 at	page 168 line 3	1.
Comment Type E Align terminology with othe	Comment Status A er clauses.		RL terminology	assembly" should be	ted that the title of Figure 162- move to the head of the figure		
SuggestedRemedy				updated.			
In Equation 162B-7 and in Return_Loss.	the variable list that follow	ws, change varia	ble name CMRL to	c	ement the suggested remedy.		
Response F	Response Status C				nge the title to "Cable assemb ertion loss difference"	bly differential to	common-mode

-										
C/ 1	SC 1.1.3.2	P 31	L 18	# 68	C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1	.2	P 35	L 17	# 70
Wienckov	vski, Natalie	General Motors	6		Wienckov	wski, Natalie	G	eneral Mote	ors	
Commen	tType E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Commen	t Type E	Comment Sta	tus A		(bucket2
Subje	ect/verb agreeme	nt (each is singular) & gramme	r ("of" does no	t belong).			or the cable type			
Suggeste	dRemedy						L44, P73L31, P73 L37: shielded cop			oper cabling
		chip-to-chip and chip-to-module				edRemedy				
		hip and chip-to-module interface eeded on P31L35 & P31L50.	ce			-	per balanced cabl	9		
Response	e	Response Status C			To: s	shielded balance	d copper cabling			
	2 EPT IN PRINCIPI	•			on F	35L17, P35L27,	& P35L37.			
		 as intended to convey that chip	-to-module an	d chip-to-chip	Respons	e	Response Sta	us C		
		essarily the same. However, the		d be improved.	ACC	EPT IN PRINCIP	LE.			
		chip-to-chip and chip-to-module terfaces and for chip-to-module			In the	e following location	ons P35L17, P35L	27, & P35L	.37	
		•					oper balanced cab	e"		
C/ 1	SC 1.4.36	P 33	L 5	# 69	lo: "	shielded balance	d copper cable"			
	vski, Natalie	General Motors	5		C/ 00	SC 0		P 0	L 0	# 71
Commen	51	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Wienckov	wski, Natalie	G	eneral Mote	ors	
Subje	ect/verb agreeme	nt (each is singular) & gramme	r ("of" does no	t belong).	Commen	t Type E	Comment Sta	tus A		(bucket1
Suggeste	dRemedy				For a	Il additions to tab	oles, if there are ro	ws before o	or after the rows	shown in the spec,
		chip-to-module and chip-to-chip		ons						te all places where
		nodule and chip-to-chip interco eeded on P33L33 & P34L5.	nnection			are additional ro	ws not shown. Se eeded.	arch for "u	nchanged rows n	ot shown" to find
Response	U U	Response Status C				dRemedy				
	, EPT IN PRINCIPI	•			00	,	nerged row with ar	elinses in	it to the top and	/or bottom of tables as
		ponses to comments #77, #78,	and #79.				ditional rows that a			
					Respons	е	Response Sta	us C		
					ACC	EPT.				

C/ 45 SC 45.2	1.135a	P 55	L 12	# 72	C/ 1	SC 1.1.3.2	P 31	L 18	# 74
Vienckowski, Natalie		General Moto	rs		Huber, To	m	Nokia		
Comment Type T Unused bit combir		ent Status A be "reserved"		(bucket1)			Comment Status A or each of chip-to-chip and cl	hip-to-module int	<i>(bucket1)</i> erfaces, four widths of
SuggestedRemedy add a row with "0 add a row with "1 u This also needs to Response ACCEPT. [Editor's note: CC:	0 =Reserved be done on P Respon	" 56L7, P57L13, P58 ase Status C e 162-9).]			Suggeste The ir estab width: Response ACCE	dRemedy htroductory clause lishes the use of s of CAUI-n and 2 e EPT IN PRINCIPI	e seems unnecessary since t CAUI-n/100GAUI-n for C2C 100GAUI-n are defined" <i>Response Status</i> C		
C/ 161 SC 161. Vienckowski, Natalie	5.2.6	P 123 General Moto	L 41	# 73	C/ 1	SC 1.1.3.2	P 31	L 34	# 75
lanes 0 and 1. Th match with Figure SuggestedRemedy Change: the align are transmitted on To: the alignment transmitted on FE Response ACCEPT IN PRIN A large portion of mapping in subcla across the lanes. Make the following Change: "The result of the alignment marker to PCS lanes 0, 4,	typo as it doe: e second "0" s 161-3. ment marker p FEC lane 1, marker payloa C lane 1, <i>Respon</i> CIPLE. he alignment r use 161.5.2.6, So the stateme i changes to si alignment mark payloads and 16 8, 12, and 16 nding to PCS 1	bayloads corresponding t ads corresponding t ase Status C marker payloads ar- but not all; for exar ent in Draft 2.0 is no mplify the text and ker mapping function FEC lanes. The aligo are transmitted on	C lane 1. This c ding to PCS lane to PCS lanes 1, 4 e repeated as de mple the BIP fiel of correct as curr remove the inco on is a determinis gnment marker p FEC lane 0, the	hange also makes it es 0, 5, 9, 13, and 17 5, 9, 13, and 17 are escribed in the variable ds are not repeated ently written. rrect statement. stic mapping between ayloads corresponding	200G Suggeste The ir estab of 200 Response ACCE The c interfa Chan	<i>Type</i> E vard grammar: "Fr AUI-n are defined <i>dRemedy</i> htroductory clause lishes the use of DGAUI-n are define EPT IN PRINCIPI urrent wording wa aces are not nece ge: "For each of o	e seems unnecessary since t 200GAUI-n for C2C and C2N ned…" <i>Response Status</i> C	the preceding se A interfaces. Cha hip-to-module and the wording could ule interfaces"	ntence already ange to "Three widths d chip-to-chip
		ker mapping functic FEC lanes (see Fig		stic mapping between					
VDE: TD/toobnical ra	auirod ER/odi	torial required CP/	apperal required	T/technical E/editorial G/c	eneral		Comm	ent ID 75	Page 17 of 61

	SC 1.1.3.2	P 31	L 50	# 76
Huber, T	om	Nokia		
Commen	t Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
	ward grammar: GAUI-n are defir	"For each of chip-to-chip an ned…".	d chip-to-module in	terfaces, three widths of
Suggeste	edRemedy			
estal		use seems unnecessary sin of 400GAUI-n for C2C and e efined…"		
Respons	е	Response Status C		
Interi		ecessarily the same. Howev		d be improved.
To: "	For chip-to-chip	of chip-to-chip and chip-to-m interfaces and for chip-to-m	nodule interfaces"	# 77
To: " C/ 1	For chip-to-chip SC 1.4.36	interfaces and for chip-to-r		# 77
To: " <i>Cl</i> 1 Huber, To	For chip-to-chip SC 1.4.36 om	interfaces and for chip-to-r P 33 Nokia	nodule interfaces"	
To: " Cl 1 Huber, To Commen	For chip-to-chip SC 1.4.36 om <i>it Type</i> E	interfaces and for chip-to-r P 33 Nokia Comment Status A	nodule interfaces"	(bucket1)
To: " Cl 1 Huber, To Comment Awky	For chip-to-chip SC 1.4.36 om <i>it Type</i> E	interfaces and for chip-to-r P 33 Nokia Comment Status A "For each of chip-to-chip an	nodule interfaces"	(bucket1)
To: " C/ 1 Huber, To Commen Awky CAU	For chip-to-chip SC 1.4.36 om <i>it Type</i> E ward grammar:	interfaces and for chip-to-r P 33 Nokia Comment Status A "For each of chip-to-chip an	nodule interfaces"	(bucket1)
To: " Cl 1 Huber, To Commen Awky CAU Suggeste The i estat	For chip-to-chip SC 1.4.36 om <i>It Type</i> E ward grammar: I-n/100GAUI-n edRemedy introductory cla blishes the use	interfaces and for chip-to-r P 33 Nokia Comment Status A "For each of chip-to-chip an	L 5 L 5 d chip-to-module in the preceding se 2C and C2M interfa	<i>(bucket1)</i> terfaces, four widths of entence already

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The current wording was intended to convey that chip-to-module and chip-to-chip interfaces are not necessarily the same. However, the wording could be improved. Change: "For each of chip-to-chip and chip-to-module interfaces"

To: "For chip-to-chip interfaces and for chip-to-module interfaces"

Response Status C

C/ 1	SC 1.4.87	P 33	L 33	# 78
Huber, Tor	m	Nokia		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)

omment Type	E	Comment Status A	(DUCKet1)	
Awkward gran	nmar: "	For each of chip-to-chip and chi	p-to-module interfaces, three widths of	

Awkward grammar: "For each of chip-to-chip and chip-to-module interfaces, three widths of 200GAUI-n are defined...".

SuggestedRemedy

The introductory clause seems unnecessary since the preceding sentence already establishes the use of 200GAUI-n for C2C and C2M interfaces. Change to "Three widths of 200GAUI-n are defined..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The current wording was intended to convey that chip-to-module and chip-to-chip interfaces are not necessarily the same. However, the wording could be improved. Change: "For each of chip-to-chip and chip-to-module interfaces" To: "For chip-to-chip interfaces and for chip-to-module interfaces"

C/ 1	SC 1.4.111	P 34	L 5	# 79
Huber, To	m	Nokia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)

Awkward grammar: "For each of chip-to-chip and chip-to-module interfaces, three widths of 400GAUI-n are defined...".

SuggestedRemedy

The introductory clause seems unnecessary since the preceding sentence already establishes the use of 400GAUI-n for C2C and C2M interfaces. Change to "Three widths of 400GAUI-n are defined..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The current wording was intended to convey that chip-to-module and chip-to-chip interfaces are not necessarily the same. However, the wording could be improved. Change: "For each of chip-to-chip and chip-to-module interfaces" To: "For chip-to-chip interfaces and for chip-to-module interfaces"

CI 69	SC 69.1.2	P 63	L 6	# 80	Cl 91	SC 91.6.2f	P 86	L 7	# 83
Huber, Tom		Nokia			Huber, Tom		Nokia		
Comment Ty	ype E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment T	ype E	Comment Status A		(bucket1
	ting instruction changes indic	indicates that unchanged iter cated	ms are not includ	ded, yet items i) and j)		0	Vhen 100G_RS_FEC_Enable	e variable is set.	"
SuggestedR	•				SuggestedF	,			
Remove), or change the editing instru '.	ction to indicate	that 'some unmodified	set"	e' in front of 10G	S_RS_FEC_Enable: "When t	the 100G_RS_F	EC_Enable variable is
Response	T IN PRINCIP	Response Status C			Response ACCEP	чт.	Response Status C		
In the ec		LE. ion change "(unchanged list i	tems not shown)	:" to "(some unchanged	C/ 116 Huber. Tom	SC 116.1.2	Р 90 Nokia	L 44	# 84
CI 69	SC 69.2.3	P 64	L 48	# 81	Comment T	vpe E	Comment Status A		(bucket1
									· · ·
Huber, Tom		Nokia			The las	t part of the text	t that is new, "for 400GBASE	-KR4", is not sh	own as changed text
,	vpe T	Nokia Comment Status A		(bucket1)		t part of the text underline)	t that is new, "for 400GBASE	-KR4", is not sh	own as changed text
Huber, Tom Comment Ty Not part	•		of clause 137 is	<i>(bucket1)</i> incorrect in the table		underline)	that is new, "for 400GBASE	-KR4", is not sh	own as changed text
Comment Ty Not part	of the new tex	Comment Status A	of clause 137 is	(/	(with an <i>SuggestedF</i>	, underline) Remedy	that is new, "for 400GBASE SE-KR4" so all changed text		own as changed text
Comment Ty Not part SuggestedR	t of the new tex Remedy	Comment Status A kt for table 69-3b, but the title		(/	(with an <i>SuggestedF</i>	, underline) Remedy			own as changed text
Comment Ty Not part SuggestedR Change	t of the new tex Remedy	Comment Status A kt for table 69-3b, but the title		(/	(with an <i>SuggestedF</i> Underlii	n underline) Remedy ne "for 400GBA	SE-KR4" so all changed text		own as changed text
Comment Ty Not part SuggestedR Change	e of the new tex Remedy 100GBASE-K	Comment Status A kt for table 69-3b, but the title		(/	(with an SuggestedF Underlin Response ACCEP	underline) Remedy ne "for 400GBA T.	SE-KR4" so all changed text Response Status C	is identified.	
Comment Ty Not part SuggestedR Change Response ACCEPT	e of the new tex Remedy 100GBASE-K	Comment Status A kt for table 69-3b, but the title R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 Response Status C	PMD	incorrect in the table	(with an SuggestedF Underlin Response ACCEP Cl 161	underline) Remedy ne "for 400GBA T. SC 161.5.2.6	SE-KR4" so all changed text Response Status C P 123		wn as changed text # <u>85</u>
Comment Ty Not part SuggestedR Change Response ACCEPT Cl 91	e of the new tex Remedy 100GBASE-K	Comment Status A kt for table 69-3b, but the title R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 Response Status C P 85		(/	(with an SuggestedF Underlin Response ACCEP Cl 161 Huber, Tom	underline) Remedy ne "for 400GBA PT. SC 161.5.2.6	SE-KR4" so all changed text <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 123 Nokia	is identified.	# [85
Comment Ty Not part SuggestedR Change Response ACCEPT C/ 91 Huber, Tom	Cof the new tex Remedy 100GBASE-K T. SC 91.6	Comment Status A kt for table 69-3b, but the title R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 Response Status C P 85 Nokia	PMD	incorrect in the table # 82	(with an SuggestedF Underlin Response ACCEP C/ 161 Huber, Tom Comment T	underline) Remedy ne "for 400GBA T. SC 161.5.2.6 Type T	SE-KR4" so all changed text Response Status C P 123 Nokia Comment Status A	is identified.	
Comment Ty Not part SuggestedR Change Response ACCEPT C/ 91 Huber, Tom Comment Ty	Cof the new tex Remedy 100GBASE-K T. SC 91.6 ype E	Comment Status A kt for table 69-3b, but the title R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 Response Status C P 85 Nokia Comment Status A	PMD <i>L</i> 26	incorrect in the table # 82 (bucket1)	(with an SuggestedF Underlin Response ACCEP Cl 161 Huber, Tom Comment T Incorred	nunderline) Remedy he "for 400GBA T. SC 161.5.2.6 Sype T ct list of PCS lar	SE-KR4" so all changed text <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 123 Nokia	is identified.	# [85
Comment Ty Not part SuggestedR Change Response ACCEPT C/ 91 Huber, Tom Comment Ty The new	Cof the new text Remedy 100GBASE-K T. SC 91.6 Vpe E Vly inserted row	Comment Status A kt for table 69-3b, but the title R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 Response Status C P 85 Nokia	PMD <i>L</i> 26 er tables with a n	incorrect in the table # 82 (bucket1)	(with an SuggestedF Underlin Response ACCEP C/ 161 Huber, Tom Comment T	n underline) Remedy ne "for 400GBA PT. SC 161.5.2.6 Sype T ct list of PCS lar Remedy	SE-KR4" so all changed text Response Status C P 123 Nokia Comment Status A	is identified.	# [85
Comment Ty Not part SuggestedR Change Response ACCEPT C/ 91 Huber, Tom Comment Ty The new existing SuggestedR	A of the new text Remedy 100GBASE-K T. SC 91.6 V/pe E V/y inserted row rows have und	Comment Status A kt for table 69-3b, but the title R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 Response Status C P 85 Nokia Comment Status A w is not marked as such. Other derlined text for the new rows	PMD <i>L</i> 26 er tables with a n	incorrect in the table # 82 (bucket1)	(with an SuggestedF Underlin Response ACCEP C/ 161 Huber, Tom Comment T Incorrec SuggestedF Change Response	n underline) Remedy ne "for 400GBA PT. SC 161.5.2.6 Sype T ct list of PCS lar Remedy	SE-KR4" so all changed text Response Status C P 123 Nokia Comment Status A hes for FEC lane 1: 0, 5, 9, 1 Response Status C	is identified.	# 85

C/ 162 SC 162.14.3 P 176 L 31 # 86	C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.16 P 35 L 50 # 89
luber, Tom Nokia	Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
Comment Type T Comment Status A (buck	t1) Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket1)
Status for implementing the 100G FECs should be CR1 rather than CR2	aFECmode was updated to include an enumeration for the Interleave FEC found in CI161,
SuggestedRemedy	but the text has not been updated.
Change CR2 to CR1	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C	Change the BEHAVIOR DEFINED AS: to read as follows:
ACCEPT.	A read-write value that indicates the mode of operation of the FEC sublayer for forward error correction (see 65.2, Clause 74, Clause 91, Clause 108, and Clause 161).
C/ 163 SC 163.13.3 P 200 L 13 # 87	
luber, Tom Nokia	A GET operation returns the current mode of operation of the PHY. A SET operation changes the mode of operation of the PHY to the indicated value. The enumerations "BASE
Comment Type T Comment Status A (buck	t1) -R enabled", "RS-FEC enabled" and "RS-FEC-Int enabled" are only used by PHYs which
Status for implementing the clause 135 PMA should be KR1 rather than KR	support more than one type of FEC operation. For 25GBASE-CR, 25GBASE CR-S, 25GBASE-KR, and 25GBASE-KR-S PHYs operation in the no-FEC mode maps to the
SuggestedRemedy	enumeration "disabled", operation in the BASE-R FEC mode maps to the enumeration
Change KR to KR1	"BASE-R enabled", and operation in the RS-FEC mode maps to the enumeration "RS-FEC enabled" (see 110.6 and 111.6). For 100GBASE-CR1 and 100GBASE-KR1 PHYs
Response Response Status C	operation in RS-FEC mode maps to the enumeration "RS-FEC enabled" (see 91.6.2f) and
ACCEPT.	operation in interleaved RS-FEC mode maps to the enumeration "RS-FEC-Int enabled" (see 161.6.23).
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.1 P 269 L 36 # 88	When Clause 73 Auto-Negotiation is enabled for a 25GBASE-R PHY, a SET operation is
racy, Nathan TE Connectivity	not allowed and a GET operation maps to the variables FEC_enable in Clause 74 and
Comment Type TR Comment Status A MTF FOI	25GBASE-R PHY supporting Clause 74 FEC a SET operation is not allowed and a GET
FOM_ILD limit of 0.13 dBdoes not allow for manufacturing variations of mated test board	operation maps to the variable FEC_enable in Clause 74. When Clause 73 Auto-
SuggestedRemedy	Negotiation is enabled for a 100GBASER PHY supporting Clause 161 FEC a SET operation is not allowed and a GET operation maps to the variable 100G_RS_FEC_enable
change limit to 0.18dB	in Clause 91 and 100G_RS_FEC_Int_enable in Clause 161.
Response Response Status U	If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface is present, then this attribute maps to the appropriate FEC
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Changed subclause from 162B.1.3 to 162B.1.3.1.] Resolve using the response to comment #142.	control register based upon the PHY type and the FEC operating mode (see 45.2.10.3, 45.2.1.102 and 45.2.1.110).
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT.

C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.17	P 36	L 35	# 90	C/ FM	SC 0		P 3	L 2	# 93
Slavick, Je	ff	Broadcom			Kabra, Lok	kesh		Synopsys Inc	с	
Comment	Type T Con	nment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	Type E	Comm	ent Status A		(bucket1)
aFECC	correctedBlocks needs	o add the RS-FEC-In	t into the laundry	<pre>/ list of FEC types</pre>	Abstra	act does not	mention additi	on of Annex 163A	and 163B	
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Bring in Int"	n the last paragraph of 3	30.5.1.1.17 and chang	ge "RS-FEC" to '	'RS-FEC and RS-FEC-		-		0	nnex 162D, Annex	x 163A and Annex 163B
Response	Resr	onse Status C			Response			nse Status C		
ACCE		_	Г.]		[Editor Chang	e the first se	inged clause firentence in the			EE Std 802.3-2018
CI 30	SC 30.5.1.1.18	P 36	L 35	# 91			hrough Clause ex 163A, and A		, Annex 120G, Ar	nnex 162A through
Slavick, Je		Broadcom			C/ FM	SC 0		P 13	L 29	# 94
Comment	<i>Type</i> T Con IncorrectedBlocks need	nment Status A	Int into the loun	<i>(bucket1)</i>	Kabra, Lok	kesh		Synopsys Inc	с	
				ury list of PEC types	Comment	Туре Е	Comm	ent Status A		(bucket1)
Suggested		0 5 4 4 40 and share			Abstra	act does not	mention additi	on of Annex 163A	and 163B	
Int"	the last paragraph of .	30.5.1.1.18 and chang	ge RS-FEC to	'RS-FEC and RS-FEC-	Suggested	Remedy				
Response	Rest	onse Status C			Annex	120F, Anne	x 120G, Anne	x 162A through Ar	nnex 162D, Annex	x 163A and Annex 163B
ACCE	,				Response		Respor	nse Status C		
[Editor	s note: Changed comm	ent type from TR to T	Г.]			PT IN PRIN	•··· ==·			
C/ 45	SC 45.2.7.12a.a	P 60	L 52	# 92				om 00 to FM and		14.] IEEE Std 802.3-2018
Slavick, Je	íf	Broadcom			and ad	dds Clause 1	61 through Cl	ause 163, Annex 1	120F, Annex 1200	G, Annex 162A through
Comment 1		nment Status A		(bucket1)	Annex	: 162D, Anne	ex 163A, and A	nnex 163B."		
	S-FEC-Int negotiated fie		GBASE-P PHYs	that supporting	C/ 1	SC 1.4.3	6	P 33	L 10	# 95
negotia	ting it. But text some "	some" so			Kabra, Lok	kesh		Synopsys Inc	с	
Suggested	,				Comment	Туре Е	Comm	ent Status A		(bucket1)
	ne text with how RS-FE et only when RS-FEC-Ir				Remo	ve full-stop b	before closing	brace		
	ting negotiation of RS-F			GDAGE-P FHT	Suggested	Remedy				
Response		onse Status C			00	DGAUI-1)				
	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response	-	Deene	0 (1)(1)		
ACCE	T IN PRINCIPLE.						Respon	nse Status C		

C/ 1	SC 1.4.87	P 33	L 37	# 96	C/ 162	SC 1	62.1	P 140	L 26	# 99
Kabra, Lo	okesh	Synopsys Inc			Kabra, Lok	tesh		Synopsys Inc		
Commen	t Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре	E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
Remo	ove full-stop befor	re closing brace			Туро-е	error for (Clause n	umber corresponding to RS/C	GMII functions	3
Suggeste	edRemedy				Suggested	lRemedy	,			
200G	GAUI-2)				Correc	t Clause	number	to "81" instead of "80" in row	1 and row 2 of	Table 162-1
Response	e	Response Status C			Response			Response Status C		
ACCI	EPT.				ACCE	PT.				
C/ 1	SC 1.4.111	P 34	L 9	# 97	C/ 163	SC 1	63.1	P 181	L 24	# 100
Kabra, Lo	okesh	Synopsys Inc			Kabra, Lok	esh		Synopsys Inc		
Commen	t Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре	E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
Remo	ove full-stop befor	re closing brace			Туро-е	error for (Clause n	umber corresponding to RS/C	GMII functions	6
Suggeste	edRemedy				Suggested	lRemedy	,			
400G	GAUI-4)				Correc	t Clause	number	to "81" instead of "80" in row	1 and row 2 of	Table 162-2
Response	е	Response Status C			Response			Response Status C		
ACCI	EPT.				ACCE	PT.				
CI 69	SC 69.2.3	P 63	L 43	# 98	C/ 120	SC 1	20.5.2	P 102	L 11	# 101
Kabra, Lo	okesh	Synopsys Inc			Ran, Adee			Cisco		
Commen	51	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment		Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
Туро	-error; 200Gb/s m	nentioned as 100Gb/s						nysical lanes is 2 or 4" is incor or 4", and with the first paragra		e remainder of this
00	edRemedy				Sontor		11100 0	or + , and with the mot paragr	upii 01 120.0.	
	MD defined in Cla lifferential	ause163, and specifies 200Gb	/s operation us	ng 4-level PAM over				4" are 120.5.5 (P102 L25), 12 - in those cases the correspor		
Response		Response Status C						in inconsistency in the base do		
•	- EPT IN PRINCIPI	•			revisio	n project	t, so l'm	not proposing changing those	cases now.	
Chan	ige: "The 200GBA	SE-KR2 embodiment employ			Suggested					
		e 120, and the PMD defined in I PAM over two differential pat			Chang	e "2 or 4	" to "4 o	r 2", at this point only in 102.5	.2.	
To: "	The 200GBASE-	(R2 embodiment employs the	PCS defined in	Clause 119, the PMA	Response			Response Status C		
		and the PMD defined in Clau			ACCE	PT.				
opera	auon using 4-leve	PAM over two differential pat	ns in each direc							

0, 400 00 400 - 0								
C/ 120 SC 120.7.3	P 106	L 30	# 102	C/ 135	SC 135.7.3	P 113	L 6	# 105
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment T	/pe TR	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
	DNAUI, "through Annex 120G"	is a newly insert	ed text.			.3cd has only the options 2 he value should be 1.	, 4, or N/A for 100	G. This project adds
SuggestedRemedy Mark with underline ir	n both cases.			SuggestedF	emedy			
Response	Response Status W			Bring in	item NLA and	add 1 as an optional value		
ACCEPT.				Response ACCEP	Т.	Response Status W		
C/ 135 SC 135.1.4		L 15	# 103	C/ 136	SC 136.8.11	.7.2 P 116	L 10	# 106
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment T	/pe E	Comment Status A		(bucket1
In Figure 135-2, in "P	MA (4:n)" the letter "n" is not it	alicized (it is itali	c everywhere else).		space after "=			(DUCKELT)
Also, in "PMA (n:p)",	"n" is italic but "p" is not (but p	is italic in the leg	gend).	SuggestedF	emedy			
Also applies to Figure	e 120A–8 in 120A.5 where p ar	nd n are used but	t not italicized.	Insert s	bace.			
				Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy				Response		nesponse Status 🕻		
	f the "n" and "p" to italic, across	s both figures.		ACCEP	Т.	Response Status		
00 ,	f the "n" and "p" to italic, across Response Status C	s both figures.		ACCEP			/ 14	# 107
Change the format of	•	s both figures.		ACCEP	T. SC 136.8.11	.7.3 <i>P</i> 116	L 14	# 107
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C	,	# 104	ACCEP C/ 136 Ran, Adee	SC 136.8.11	.7.3 P 116 Cisco	L 14	
Change the format of Response ACCEPT. Cl 135 SC 135.1.4	Response Status C	s both figures.	# 104	ACCEP Cl 136 Ran, Adee Comment T	SC 136.8.11 /pe TR	.7.3 P 116 Cisco Comment Status A		(bucket1)
Change the format of Response ACCEPT. Cl 135 SC 135.1.4 Ran, Adee	Response Status C P 109 Cisco	,		ACCEP Cl 136 Ran, Adee Comment T In the b	SC 136.8.11 /pe TR	.7.3 <i>P</i> 116 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> A (802.3cd), 136.8.11.7.3 de		(bucket1)
Change the format of Response ACCEPT. Cl 135 SC 135.1.4 Ran, Adee Comment Type E	Response Status C P 109 Cisco Comment Status A	L 27	(bucket1)	ACCEP CI 136 Ran, Adee Comment T In the b when er	SC 136.8.11 //pe TR ase document atering the TIM	.7.3 <i>P</i> 116 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> A (802.3cd), 136.8.11.7.3 de IEOUT state.	fines holdoff_time	(bucket1) r as being started only
Change the format of Response ACCEPT. C/ 135 SC 135.1.4 Ran, Adee Comment Type E The term "PHY" does	Response Status C P 109 Cisco	L 27	(bucket1)	ACCEP Cl 136 Ran, Adee Comment T In the b when er In this p	SC 136.8.11 /pe TR ase document itering the TIM roject we adde	.7.3 <i>P</i> 116 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> A (802.3cd), 136.8.11.7.3 de	fines holdoff_time	<i>(bucket1)</i> r as being started only
Change the format of Response ACCEPT. Cl 135 SC 135.1.4 Ran, Adee Comment Type E The term "PHY" does SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C P 109 Cisco Comment Status A s not appear in the new Figure	L 27	(bucket1)	ACCEP Cl 136 Ran, Adee Comment T In the b when er In this p SuggestedF	SC 136.8.11 <i>ype</i> TR ase document thering the TIM roject we adde <i>bemedy</i>	.7.3 P 116 Cisco Comment Status A (802.3cd), 136.8.11.7.3 de IEOUT state. ed a holdoff_timer also whe	fines holdoff_time	<i>(bucket1)</i> r as being started only
Change the format of Response ACCEPT. CI 135 SC 135.1.4 Ran, Adee Comment Type E The term "PHY" does SuggestedRemedy Delete "PHY = PHYS	Response Status C P 109 Cisco Comment Status A s not appear in the new Figure	L 27	(bucket1)	ACCEP Cl 136 Ran, Adee Comment T In the b when er In this p Suggested Bring in	SC 136.8.11 <i>ype</i> TR ase document thering the TIM roject we adde <i>bemedy</i>	.7.3 P 116 Cisco Comment Status A (802.3cd), 136.8.11.7.3 de IEOUT state. ed a holdoff_timer also whe	fines holdoff_time	<i>(bucket1)</i> r as being started only
Change the format of Response ACCEPT. Cl 135 SC 135.1.4 Ran, Adee Comment Type E The term "PHY" does SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C P 109 Cisco Comment Status A s not appear in the new Figure	L 27	(bucket1)	ACCEP Cl 136 Ran, Adee Comment T In the b when er In this p SuggestedF	SC 136.8.11 //pe TR ase document itering the TIM roject we adde permedy 136.8.11.7.3 a	.7.3 P 116 Cisco Comment Status A (802.3cd), 136.8.11.7.3 de IEOUT state. ed a holdoff_timer also whe	fines holdoff_time	<i>(bucket1)</i> r as being started only

01.400	00 400 0	D (/ 0		# [100		00 00 0		Daga		
C/ 136	SC 136.9	P 118	L1	# 108	C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.	2.3	P 209	L 47	# 111
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee	_		Cisco		
Comment		Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment 7			ment Status A		(bucket1)
	ble to be modifi use numbering	ed is in 136.14.4.1 "PMD func is incorrect.	tional specificati	ons", so the current	"unless	s alternate va	ues are pro	ovided by the clause	that invokes th	nis method"
Suggestea	•							d here, I think "altern	ative" is more	common for this
	-	subclause number from 9 to 1	4, including the	editorial instruction.	meanir	ng. It can also	be simply	"other".		
Response		Response Status W	-					' appears 13 times a ay be handled by ma		appears 3 times, both
	PT IN PRINCIP	LE. mber 136.9 to 136.14 and upo	hata tha aditarial	instruction	Suggested			,		
	riately.	mber 130.9 to 130.14 and upt		Instruction	00	e "alternate"	o "alternativ	ve".		
C/ 152	SC 152.6.2a	P 119	L 29	# 109	Response		Respo	onse Status C		
		Cisco	L 29	# 109	ACCE	PT.				
Ran, Adee		Comment Status A		(bucket1)	<u></u>			Dett		"
	51	layer" is conventionally used	with no hyphen	(DUCKE(1)	C/ 93A	SC 93A.1	2.4	P 211	L 9	# 112
Suggested			with no hyphen.		Ran, Adee	_		Cisco		
00	e "sub-layer" to	"sublaver"			Comment T			ment Status A		figure legend (bucket1)
Response										ents of the package and efinitions are scattered
ACCE	от	Response Status C			through	n 93A.1.2 and	its subclau	uses (some of which	are not in this	amendment). To an
ACCL	F I.				unexpe elemer		er it will be	much harder than ne	ecessary to un	derstand what each
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 187	L 40	# 110						
Ran, Adee		Cisco				ggested rem d instead.	ety is to add	a legend to the figu	re. Alternative	ly, labels and arrows can
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Suggested					
		andards are exact, so there s		ing zeros after the	00		ire 93A-2	with text based on th	ne following.	
		the common practice in 802.3 rg/3/WG_tools/editorial/requir		tml#numbers).		0 0			Ū	
Suggestea		5 –		,				corresponding to C_c prresponding to a tra		with longth z n
	e "1.0" to "1".							corresponding to L_s		e with length z_p
Response		Response Status C			(and a					
ACCE	PT.				(and so	o on)				
[Editor	's note: CC: 163	3, 162]								
					Response		Respo	onse Status C		
						PT IN PRINC				
					Implerr	ent the sugg	ested reme	dy with editorial licer	nse.	

C/ 93A	SC 93A.5.2	P 214	L 34	# 113	C/ 120F	SC ·	120F.3.1	P 219	L 10	# 114
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee			Cisco		
Comment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Status A		CM voltage
		T_fx as a parameter of ERL						age limits for C2C transmit n the KR transmitter (Table		been changed to 1.0 V
	nally appears y 802.3cd), wi	in Equation (93A–62), which the text	is not included i	n this amendment				equested in comment #58 n the resolution was imple		
	wice the propa ment or inspec	agation delay in ns associated ction"	d with the test fix	ture, obtained by	(the res	sponse		mment was:		
cases T_	fx is defined a	for the cases where the ERL is 0 or 0.2 ns (regardless of the specified test points (e.g. TP	he test fixture), ir	,	http://w Implem	/ww.iee	e802.org/ e changes	on was reviewed by the ta 3/ck/public/20_03/ran_3ck proposed on slides 4 and	_01a_0320.pdf 5 in the reference	
SuggestedRe	emedy						frequency license.")	to 50 kHz and maximum c	common mode vo	Itage of 1V. Implement
Add 93A. sentence		ge the text following Equation	(93A–62), addir	ng after the quoted	Suggested	Remed	ly ,			
" unloss	ite value ie en	ecified by the clause that invo	okes this method	Įn.	Change	e the co	ommon m	ode limits to 1 V and 0.2 V	, as in Table 163-	-5.
Response		Response Status W		4	Response			Response Status C		
ACCEPT		Response Status W			In Tabl Change	e 120F e "Com	nmon-mod	E. e voltage (max)" value to 1 e voltage (min)" value to 0		
					C/ 120F	SC ·	120F.3.2.	5 P 225	L 22	# 115
					Ran, Adee			Cisco		
					Comment 7	Гуре	Е	Comment Status A		variable table (bucket1)
					(136.8.	11.7.1)). This refe	ference" column that has id erence is repeated in the te ble 120F–3 does not have	xt following the ta	
								is omitted, the "manageme , as in Table 120F–3.	ent access" colum	nn can be widened to
					Suggested	Remed	ly			
					delete	the "ref	ference" c	olumn and adjust the width	of remaining col	umns.

Response

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 115

Response Status C

C/ 120F SC 12	F.5.4.1 <i>P</i> :	232 L 39	# 116	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	1 P 237	L 13	# 118
Ran, Adee	Cisc	0		Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type T	R Comment Status	s A	(bucket1)	Comment T	/pe T	Comment Status R		AC CM nois
However, the ref precoder reques	erenced 120F.1 says "Pre mechanism specified in	ecoding may be enable 135F.3.2.1." (P218 L2	nment, and its status is M. ed and disabled using the 28), and this mechanism is	as 17.5	mV.	mon-mode output voltage (m	, .	
	. So requesting through the		,		0	nan what is allowed for CR tra ter than the specification for I		led at the same point
	ble to add the transmitter (135F (802.3cd).	precoder request as a	a major (optional) feature,	Analysis	of the effect	of 17.5 mV vs. 30 mV has no	t been provided.	Devices with higher AC
SuggestedRemedy	(002.000).			CM out	out have been	demonstrated to operate wit		
	atus from "M" to "O". Cons	sider moving it to 120	F.5.3.	variety o	of channels.			
Response ACCEPT IN PRI	Response Status NCIPLE.	8 W				ovided that 30 mV is unaccept the CR specification.	otable with real re	eceivers, the limit
Change TC13 st	atus from "M" to "O".			Applies	similarly to M	odule output characteristics in	n Table 120G–3.	
C/ 120F SC 12)F.5.4.1 <i>P</i> :	232 L 40	# 117	SuggestedF	emedy			
Ran, Adee	Cisc	0			the value for 20G–1 and Ta	AC common-mode output vo able 120G–3.	Itage (max, RMS	6) from 17.5 to 30, in
Item TC14 is opt	R Comment Status ional and points to 120F.3 n TC15 (mandatory). Thes	3.1.2, which points to 1	<i>TX EQ control (bucket1)</i> 120F.3.1.4, which is and the same.	Response REJEC	г.	Response Status C		
	control interface is mandat			Per stra	w poll #10, the	ere is no consensus to make	the proposed ch	ange.
SuggestedRemedy				[Editor's	note: Line nu	imber changed from blank to	13.]	
Remove item TC	14.			Straw p	oll #9 (pick on	e)		
Response	Response Status	W		to 30 m		d host output, I support chan	ging the AC CM	voltage (max) from 17.5
ACCEPT.				A: Yes B: No				
				C: Need	more informa	ation		
				D: Abst A: 11 B	ain 10 C: 7 D: 2			
				To close	oll #10 (pick o e comment 11 (max) from 17	8, for module output and hos	t output, I suppo	rt changing the AC CM
				B: No A: 12 B:	16			

C/ 120G	SC ·	120G.3.3	.3.1	P 244	L 53	# 119	C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.3	3.3.1	P 245	L 41	# 120
Ran, Adee				Cisco			Ran, Adee				Cisco		
Comment T	¯уре	TR	Comme	ent Status R		TP4 additive noise	Comment T	уре	Е	Com	ment Status A		TP4 SIT wording
adding This sig can also receive fully spe	sinuso gnal do o be al r). Stre ecified	idal jitter, bes not ne ffected by bessing the	random jit ecessarily re additive no host with a create diff	ter, and bounded u epresent a real mo oise (which is quite a high level of bou	Incorrelated jitte dule output, in v different from j nded uncorrelate	signal is generated by er to a clean pattern". which the EH and VEC itter in its effect on a ed jitter (which is not bes not test its ability to	contain definitio calibrat times). The par	s som on), ca ions, v ragrap	ne 13 sent alibration o with great oh should	ences, d of jitter (B detail an be broke		ded uncorrelated d crosstalk sign uirements. This ohs and possibly	d jitter (purpose, al requirements and is painful to read (many y a list of requirements,
·							Suggested		Ũ	,			
						tolerance tests, should may be preferable in	00		d reforma	t as nece	essarv.		
				it is suggested as							,		
SuggestedF	Remed	ly							can create		ed proposal, but I tru	st the editors to	be able to improve this
				he diagram in Figu	re 120G–9, betv	ween the pattern	Response			Respo	onse Status C		
generat	tor and	I the HCE).				ACCEF	T IN	PRINCIPI	_E. ,			
				urce to the text, wit nd setting f_NSD1		3C.1 (where noise 163.9.3.4.					st input stressed inpu e module input stress	•	e. Comment #122
		rating the EH and		rce level is an alte	native method t	o adding BUJ for					ording of the host stre plution to comment #1		procedure in a similar I license.
			gested, but omment re		ccepting the con	nment I can provide							
Response			Respons	se Status U									
	ent #12			r change to the mo		nput configuration. inter-changeable							

alternative.

The suggested remedy is not sufficiently complete to implement.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.	3.3.3.1	P 245	L 42	# 121
Ran, Adee		C	Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Sta	atus R		TP4 SIT CM noise

The host stressed eye does not include any common-mode noise, even though a module output is allowed to have some common-mode AC content.

In a real system, the common-mode AC content of the module can be converted to differential noise at the host's receiver, via the S21DC of the host input channel, which is not specified at all. This will not be detected in the host test without common-mode content, and may not be addressed in host channel design - but it can cause compliant hosts to fail with real modules.

The common mode noise stress should be a sinusoid at any frequency up to the Nyquist frequency, and should be calibrated at TP4 to have the RMS value allowed for the module output in Table 120G–3.

SuggestedRemedy

In another comment I am suggesting to add a wideband noise source to the diagram in Figure 120G–9, between the pattern generator and the HCB.

If the other comment is accepted, an addition for this comment would be to make the noise source also have a common mode component. otherwise, add a common mode noise source in the same location instead.

Add the necessary text for calibrating the common mode output at TP4.

Editorial license is suggested, but if necessary for accepting the comment I can provide candidate text before comment resolution.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Resolve in conjunction with comment #124.

The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement. A detailed proposal justifying the nature of the stress signal and details how to generate and apply it are required.

Further work on this subject and a consensus proposal are encouraged.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.4	.1.1 <i>P</i> 247	L 49	# 122
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Tvr	e T	Comment Status A		TP4 SIT calibration

The instructions for calibrating the module stressed input are unclear and unstructured, and there are missing parts, such as when and how VEC is optimized.

It would be better to write it as procedure separated to steps, as done for example in 120G.5.2, and in other receiver test procedures such as 110.8.4.2.1 through 110.8.4.2.5, or annex 93C.

SuggestedRemedy

A proposal for restructuring will be provided in a presentation.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The comment relates to the module input stressed input test procedure. Comment #120 proposes similar changes to the host input stressed input test.

The following contribution was reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/ran_3ck_01c_0521.pdf

Implement the changes in ran_3ck_01c_0521 with editorial license.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.4	.1.1 P 24	18	L 1	# 123
Ran, Adee		Cisco			
Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status	R		TP2 additive noise

In the module input stressed eye calibration procedure, "The stressed signal is generated by adding sinusoidal jitter, random jitter, and bounded uncorrelated jitter to a clean pattern, followed by frequency-dependent attenuation".

This signal does not necessarily represent a real host output, in which the EH and VEC can also be affected by additive noise (which is quite different from jitter in its effect on a receiver). Stressing the module with a high level of bounded uncorrelated jitter (which is not fully specified, and may create different stress for different DUTs) does not test its ability to operate with a noisy host.

Note that in a host transmitter it is often easier to control clock jitter than to reduce additive noise coupling from multiple sources in an ASIC.

Adjusting the VEC using additive noise, as done in the CR/KR/C2C tolerance tests, should at least be allowed instead of using "bounded uncorrelated jitter"; it may be preferable in some setups. For the time being, it is suggested as an alternative.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a wideband noise source to the diagram in Figure 120G–10, between the pattern generator and the frequency-dependent attenuator.

Add a description of the noise source to the text, with reference to 93C.1 (where noise source specification is defined) and setting f_NSD1 to 1 GHz, as in 163.9.3.4.

Add that calibrating the noise source level is an alternative method to adding BUJ for calibrating the EH and VEC.

Editorial license is suggested, but if necessary for accepting the comment I can provide candidate text before comment resolution.

Response

REJECT.

Response Status U

Resolve using the response to comment #119.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.4	.1.1 <i>P</i> 248	L 1	# 124
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Tv	pe TR	Comment Status R		TP2 SIT CM noise

The module stressed eye does not include any common-mode noise, even though a host output is allowed to have some common-mode AC content.

In a real system, the common-mode AC content of the host can degrade the module's (electrical) receiver performance, via the module's allowed termination mismatch or by circuit sensitivity. This will not be detected in the module test without common-mode content, and may not be addressed in design - but it can cause compliant modules to fail with real hosts.

For uncorrelated common mode noise, a sinusoidal source should be used. However, for the host output it is likely that common-mode content is generated by conversion from a differential signal and is therefore correlated to it. In this test, it is suggested that p/n skew is the preferred way to create the allowed common-mode RMS level.

SuggestedRemedy

In another comment I am suggesting to add a wideband noise source to the diagram in Figure 120G–10, between the pattern generator and the frequency-dependent attenuator.

For adding correlated common-mode noise, a skew between the p and n components of the frequency-dependent attenuator should be added and calibrated to create the allowed common-mode RMS level. Alternatively, a sinusoidal common-mode signal can be added, at any frequency up to the Nyquist frequency.

Add the necessary text for calibrating the common mode output at TP1a.

Editorial license is suggested, but if necessary for accepting the comment I can provide candidate text before comment resolution.

Response	Response Status U
REJECT.	

Resolve using the response to comment #121.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.4	.1.1	P 248	L 44	# 125	C/ 120G	SC 120G.:	.4.1.1	P 249	L 10	# 126	
Ran, Adee			Cisco			Ran, Adee			Cisco			
Comment T	Type TR	Comme	nt Status A		module input SIT	Comment 7	ype TR	Comm	ent Status D		module input SIT	
			asis capability is I eight and vertical						i jitter and the patter all three eyes give		put levels are adjusted –11"	
presen	tations to the ta ursors and 1 po	sk force, the		sumptions about	nould include. In a CR host transmitter apabilities for a C2M	But: The random jitter level has already been adjusted in a prior step (P248 L15) "such that the output of the pattern generator approximates the output jitter profile given by maximum						
	•	oith an ormaio.	cible to use pro e	mahaaja far hath	high loss and low loss		and maximun				given by maximum	
cases.	snould be expli	citiy permis	sible to use pre-el	inphasis ior doth	high-loss and low-loss				conditions. Adding			
Suggested									ince host output sr pensated by simpl		uch higher jitter). Unlike	
Delete	"For the high-lo	ss case,"										
empha	sis equivalent to	the Transn		ional model spec	cified in 162.9.3.1. Pre-						fter VEC has been ut not using random	
•	sis may be set s		or the high-loss ar	In IOW-IOSS Cases	5.	Suggested	Remedy					
Response		'	e Status C			Delete "Random jitter and".						
ACCER	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.				Proposed Response Response Status Z						
					need preemphasis (or	REJEC	Т.					
	nission to use p d and if so how.) rather than to sp	becify that preem	iphasis shall be	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.						
			ne additional sente ply to both long a		ses.							
genera To: Pre-em	e high loss case, tor to meet the	TP1a eye he		eye closure spec								

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 252	L 32	# 127
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status R		RR CTLE

The reference receiver parameters fz, fp1, fp2, and gDC create CTLE transfer functions that are not necessarily passive (up to 0 dB across the spectrum) for all combinations.

This is different from the reference receiver used in the previous C2M specification (Annex 120E). Although 120E uses different equation and parameters, the resulting CTLE combinations always have combinations of the parameters Z1 and G that create 0 dB gain at the peaking frequency.

(The reference receiver CTLE in 120E is essentially similar to the one used in the COM method in all CR/KR specifications, in that the peaking is created by varying the zero while keeping the poles constant, with the zero being equal to fp1 for zero peaking; 120E has an addition of a flat gain G to create 0 dB maximum gain; this gain has no effect on COM, but does affect the eye height).

There was no indication or claim that the CTLE in this annex has better performance or better matches real designs than a CTLE similar to Annex 120E (with different peaking frequency). In fact, with the addition of a DFE to the reference receiver, a CTLE similar to the one in Annex 120F (C2C) may be more adequate, as the equalization at Nyquist frequency can utilize the DFE.

It is suggested to modify the reference receiver transfer functions to be similar to those of 120E. This requires a minor change in the definition of the CTLE in Annex 93A (COM).

SuggestedRemedy

Bring 93A.1.4.3 (Receiver equalizer) into the draft, and change Equation 93A-22 to include an additional factor G. Add a description of G below the equation:

"where G is a gain factor, whose value depends of the variable norm ctle as follows:

- If norm ctle is 1, G is set based on g DC, f z, g DC2, f LF, f p1, and fp2, such that the maximum of H ctf(f) across f is equal to 1.

- If norm ctle is 0 or is not provided by the clause that invokes this method. G is set to 1."

In Table 120G–12, change the values of f z and f p1 to f b/2.5, change the value of f p2 to f b, and add the parameter norm ctle with value 1.

A presentation with the effect of the proposed change will be provided. Response Status C

Response

REJECT.

[Editor's note: Straw poll information was added 2021/5/25.]

The following related presentation was reviewed by the task force at a previous ad hoc meetina:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr21 21/ran 3ck adhoc 01 042121.pdf

A straw poll relating to this proposal is reproduced here: Straw Poll #1 (April 21 ad hoc meeting) For the reference CTLE of Annex 120G (choose one): A. I would support the proposed change if it does not degrade VEC/EH compared to the current parameters. B. I would support the proposed change if it improves VEC/EH compared to the current parameters, and change the max VEC / min EH accordingly. C. I am interested in the proposed change but some modifications are reauired. D. I would not support the proposed change (even with modifications). E. I need more information. F. I don't have an opinion. Results: A: 3, B: 3, C: 3, D: 12, E: 10, F: 8

The comment does not provide sufficient evidence to make the proposed changes. All of the simulations and related specifications thus far have been based upon the current CTLE pole-zero and gain parameters. Any changes to these parameters would require all related specifications to be revisited.

C/ 136	SC 136	.8.11.7.2	P 1 *	17	L 37	# 128
Law, David			HPE			
Comment Ty	pe T	С	Comment Status	Α		(bucket1)

The action 'start_holdoff_timer' in the QUIET state should read 'start holdoff_timer', that is the underscore between start and holdoff_timer should be a space. See timer conventions in 14.2.3.2 and 'start holdoff timer' in TIMEOUT state.

SugaestedRemedv

Change 'start_holdoff_timer' to read 'start holdoff_timer'.

Response	Response Status	С

ACCEPT.

C/ 162	SC 1	62.9.3.1.1	P 155	L 44	# 129	C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.4.	1.1	P 247	L 50	# 131
Ben Artsi, L			Marvell Techn		" 125	Ben Artsi, I		0 0.0.4.		Marvell Tech		
Comment T		TR (Comment Status A	0,	CRU description (bucket1)	Comment		TR	Commer	t Status A	- 37	CRU description (bucket1
			y for a clock recovery unit ations of CRU implementa		e ambiguous due to					ock recovery un CRU implement		be ambiguous due to
Suggested	Remedy	/				Suggested	Reme	dy				
The efference	ect expe ce for th	ected is a higher higher a higher a higher a constant of the second seco	CRU unit with a definition gh frequency filter applied an be found in 93.8 "The MHz is applied to the jitter	on the jitter c effect of a sin	of the measured signal. A	The eff referer	fect ex nce for	pected is a the wordin	high frequ g can be fo	ency filter applie	d on the jitter e effect of a si	t expected from the CRU. of the measured signal. A ingle-pole high-pass filter
Response		R	esponse Status W			Response			Response	e Status W		
Change used to acting a of 20 dl	e "A refe calibra as a hig B/decac s note: (te the stress h-pass jitter de is used to CC: 162, 120	calibrate the stressed sig OG]	3Q pattern." t B corner frequ	o "A reference CRU uency of 4 MHz and slope RBS13Q pattern."	Chang used to To: "A MHz a pattern	e: "A r o calibi referei nd slop n."	rate the strond	RU with a dessed signation of the second signature of the second	al using a PRBS	13Q pattern." ter with a 3 d	d slope of 20 dB/decade is B corner frequency of 4 d signal using a PRBS13Q
C/ 162		62.9.3.4	P 158	L 38	# 130	C/ 162	SC	162.9.3.1.	1	P 155	L 44	# 132
	iav		Marvell Techn	ology				102.0.0.11	•			" [152
						Ron Artei I				Marvell Tech		
Comment T	Гуре		Comment Status R		CRU description (bucket1)	Ben Artsi, I		TR	Commer	Marvell Techi	nology	CRU description (bucket)
Comment T Defining	Г <i>уре</i> g a corr	ner frequency	Comment Status R y for a clock recovery unit	(CRU) can b	,	Comment	Туре	TR orner freque		t Status A		CRU description (bucket1
Comment T Defining possible	<i>Type</i> g a corr e actual	ner frequency I implementa	Comment Status R	(CRU) can b	,	Comment Definin	<i>Type</i> ng a co	orner freque	ncy for a c	t Status A	it (CRU) can	CRU description (bucket1 be ambiguous due to
possible SuggestedF	<i>Type</i> g a corr e actual R <i>emed</i> y	ner frequency I implementa	Comment Status R y for a clock recovery unit ations of CRU implementa	(CRU) can be ations	e ambiguous due to	Comment Definin	<i>Type</i> ng a co le actu	orner freque al impleme	ncy for a c	<i>t Status</i> A ock recovery un	it (CRU) can	1 (
Comment T Defining possible SuggestedH Change The effer reference with a 3	<i>Type</i> g a corr e actual R <i>emedy</i> e the de ect expe ce for th	ner frequency l implementa finition of a (ected is a high ne wording c quency of XM	Comment Status R y for a clock recovery unit ations of CRU implementa CRU unit with a definition gh frequency filter applied an be found in 93.8 "The MHz is applied to the jitter	(CRU) can be titions of the effect e on the jitter c effect of a sin	e ambiguous due to expected from the CRU. of the measured signal. A	Comment Definir possib Suggested Chang The efi referer	<i>Type</i> le actu <i>Reme</i> le the c fect ex nce for	orner freque lal impleme dy definition of pected is a the wordin	ncy for a c ntations of a CRU uni high frequ g can be fo	t Status A lock recovery un CRU implement t with a definitior ency filter applie und in 93.8 "The	it (CRU) can tations n of the effect d on the jitter e effect of a si	1 (
Comment T Defining possible Suggestedf Change The efference	<i>Type</i> g a corr e actual R <i>emedy</i> e the de ect expe ce for th 3 dB free	ner frequency l implementa finition of a (ected is a high ne wording c quency of XM	Comment Status R y for a clock recovery unit ations of CRU implementa CRU unit with a definition gh frequency filter applied an be found in 93.8 "The	(CRU) can be titions of the effect e on the jitter c effect of a sin	e ambiguous due to expected from the CRU. of the measured signal. A	Comment Definir possib Suggested Chang The efi referer	<i>Type</i> le actu <i>Reme</i> le the c fect ex nce for	orner freque lal impleme dy definition of pected is a the wordin	a CRU uni high frequ can be fo XMHz is a	t Status A lock recovery un CRU implement t with a definitior ency filter applie	it (CRU) can tations n of the effect d on the jitter e effect of a si	be ambiguous due to expected from the CRU. of the measured signal. A

C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.4	4 P 158	L 34	# 133	C/ 163	SC ·	163.9.3.4		P 192	L 34	# 134
Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	onductor, Inc.		Hidaka, Ya	asuo		Сг	edo Semico	onductor, Inc.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		PRBS9Q	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Sta	tus A		RIT jitter (CC
of even-odd jitter mea This is re-submission	velve edges in PRBS9Q is rec surement. of my comment #110 to draft		prove reproducibility	Equation (163-2) and (163-3) are not accurate, because the dual-dirac jitter distribution estimated by these equations does not match well with the original distribution even if the original distribution is pure dual-dirac distribution as presented at ad hoc meeting (see hidaka_3ck_adhoc_01_041421). For instance, J3u of the estimated dual-dirac jitter distribution is always significantly smaller than the measured J3u. I propose to change						
SuggestedRemedy					equation		,			
	S9Q pattern symbols used for replacing the values as follows		neasurements" similar	Since	the prop	posed equ	ations never bre	ak, we do n	ot need Note 2.	
Label: Description : G REF : Reference :	ray coded PAM4 symbol : first 33333 : 1 :-	: TR begins : TR : - : 5	ends : last	l propo	ose simi	ilar chang	es to clause 162	9.4.3.3.		
R03 : 0 to 3 rise : 1		: 264 : 266		Suggested	Remed	ly				
F30 :3 to 0 fall: 233 R12 :1 to 2 rise: 3		:6 :8 :269 :270		Replac	ce Equa	ation (163-	2) and (163-3) w	ith the follow	wing set of equa	tions:
F21 : 2 to 1 fall : 12 R01 : 0 to 1 rise : 20	22 10 : 466 : 469	: 470 : 471 : 199 : 200		D3d =	(Q3d^2	2 + 1) * (J_	RMS^2) - (J3u /	2)^2		
F10 : 1 t0 0 fall : 211 R23 : 2 to 3 rise : 33 F32 : 3 to 2 fall : 03	111 0003 : 256 : 260 222 330 : 210 : 213 33 20 : 401 : 404	: 261 : 264 : 214 : 216 : 405 : 406		If D3d >= 0, A_DD = (J3u / 2 + Q3d * sqrt(D3d)) / (Q3d^2 + 1) sigma_RJ = (J3u / 2 - A_DD) / Q3d						
R02 : 0 to 2 rise : 2 F20 : 2 to 0 fall : 122 R13 : 1 to 3 rise : 0 F31 : 3 to 1 fall : 03	222 001 : 321 : 325 111 331 : 166 : 169	: 279 : 280 : 326 : 328 : 170 : 172 : 111 : 112		A_E	= sqrt((.)D = (J3	3u / 2) / (Q	_RMS)^2 - 1) x^2 + 1) RMS^2) - (A_DD/	(2))		
Add an exception to u	se the new table instead of Ta	ble 120D-4, wher	n PRBS9Q is used as	Sigi	πα_1το -	- 3411((0_1		<i>∠))</i>		
the test pattern for eve	en-odd jitter measurement.			where						
Response	Response Status C			Q3c	1 = 3.09	02				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Comment #236 propo	LE. ses an alternate set of transiti	on locations.		Chang	e Note	1 as follow	vs:			
The following presenta https://www.ieee802.o	ations were reviewed by the ta org/3/ck/public/21_05/li_3ck_0 org/3/ck/public/21_05/zivny_3c	sk force: 1b_0521.pdf				is an app uation (95-		n of Q(Q3d	l) = 1 x 10^(-3), v	where the Q function is
After running straw po comment #236 includi	Il #1, there were no objections ing li_3ck_01b_0521.	to adopting the s	suggested remedy in	Remo	ve Note	2.				
With editorial license i li_3ck_01b_0521. Straw poll #1 (direction	mplement the suggested remon	edy of comment #	#236 and presentation	Apply the same changes to Equation (162-7), Equation (162-8), Note 1, and Note 2 in clause 162.9.4.3.3.						
I support addressing on A. The suggested rem	Change the references to Equation (162-7) and (162-8) in Note 2 of Table 162-15 in clause 162.9.4.4.2 with the updated equations.									
	edy for comment #236 (Mike	Li).		Response			Response Stat	us C		
C. Need more informa A: 9 B: 10 C: 9 Pick one.	ation.			,		RINCIPL	•			
				Resolv	ve using	the respo	onse to comment	#209.		
	ed ER/editorial required GR/								ent ID 134	Page 33 of 61

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 134

Page 33 of 61 2021-06-02 3:03:22 PM

Hidaka, YasuoCredo Semiconductor, Inc.TheComment TypeTRComment StatusA RIT jitter (CC)SuggestEquation (120D-10) and (120D-11) referred from 120F.3.2.3 step e are not accurate, because the dual-dirac jitter distribution estimated by these equations does not match well with the original distribution even if the original distribution is pure dual-dirac distribution. For instance, J4u of the estimated dual-dirac jitter distribution is always significantly smaller than the measured J4u. I propose to change these equations.ACSuggestedRemedyC/ 162Add the following equations after step j, and change references to Equation (120D-10) and (120D-11) in step e with the new equations:HidakaD4d = (Q4d^2 + 1) * (J_RMS^2) - (J4u / 2)^2The to a 100If D4d >= 0, A_DD = (J4u / 2 + Q4d * sqrt(D4d)) / (Q4d^2 + 1) sigma_RJ = (J4u / 2 - A_DD) / Q4dSuggested	nt Type e number tedReme ange "thr se CEPT. Yasuo nt Type e signallir omment ppm. It is	edy ree initial co 162.9.4.1 T mg-rate tole #42 on D1 s not clear compatibilit	onditions wa onditions" to <i>Respons</i> <i>Comme.</i> rance of tra .3. Howeve whether it v	nt Status A as increased from "five initial condi e Status C P 161 Credo Semic nt Status A nsmitter was cha r, the signaling-ra vas an overlooke	<i>L</i> 4 conductor, Inc. anged from 100p ate tolerance of d error or it rem	ained 100ppm on			
Hidaka, YasuoCredo Semiconductor, Inc.TheComment TypeTRComment StatusA $RIT jitter (CC)$ Equation (120D-10) and (120D-11) referred from 120F.3.2.3 step e are not accurate, because the dual-dirac jitter distributuion estimated by these equations does not match well with the original distributuion even if the original distributuion is pure dual-dirac distributuion. For instance, J4u of the estimated dual-dirac jitter distribution is always significantly smaller than the measured J4u. I propose to change these equations.ACSuggestedRemedyC/ 162Add the following equations after step j, and change references to Equation (120D-10) and (120D-11) in step e with the new equations:Hidaka Comme to aD4d = (Q4d^2 + 1) * (J_RMS^2) - (J4u / 2)^2The to aIf D4d >= 0, A_DD = (J4u / 2 + Q4d * sqrt(D4d)) / (Q4d^2 + 1) sigma_RJ = (J4u / 2 - A_DD) / Q4dSuggestIf D4d < 0,	e number tedReme ange "thr se CEPT. Yasuo e signallir omment ppm. It is pose for	r of initial co edy ree initial co C 162.9.4.1 T mg-rate tole #42 on D1 s not clear compatibilit	onditions wa onditions" to <i>Respons</i> <i>Comme.</i> rance of tra .3. Howeve whether it v	"five initial condi e Status C P 161 Credo Semic nt Status A nsmitter was cha r, the signaling-ra vas an overlooke	<i>L</i> 4 conductor, Inc. anged from 100p ate tolerance of d error or it rem	# 137 RX signalling rate (CC) oppm to 50ppm according receiver remained vained 100ppm on			
Comment TypeTRComment StatusRIT jitter (CC)SuggestEquation (120D-10) and (120D-11) referred from 120F.3.2.3 step e are not accurate, because the dual-dirac jitter distribution estimated by these equations does not match well with the original distribution even if the original distribution is pure dual-dirac distribution. For instance, J4u of the estimated dual-dirac jitter distribution is always significantly smaller than the measured J4u. I propose to change these equations.ACSuggestedRemedyC/ 162Add the following equations after step j, and change references to Equation (120D-10) and (120D-11) in step e with the new equations:Hidaka Comme Comme D4d = (Q4d^2 + 1) * (J_RMS^2) - (J4u / 2)^2The to a 100If D4d >= 0, A_DD = (J4u / 2 + Q4d * sqrt(D4d)) / (Q4d^2 + 1) sigma_RJ = (J4u / 2 - A_DD) / Q4dSuggest AddesSuggestIf D4d < 0,	tedReme ange "thr se CEPT. Yasuo <i>int Type</i> e signallir oomment ippm. It is pose for	edy ree initial co 162.9.4.1 T mg-rate tole #42 on D1 s not clear compatibilit	Comme. Comme. 3. Howeve whether it v	"five initial condi e Status C P 161 Credo Semic nt Status A nsmitter was cha r, the signaling-ra vas an overlooke	<i>L</i> 4 conductor, Inc. anged from 100p ate tolerance of d error or it rem	RX signalling rate (CC) opm to 50ppm according receiver remained ained 100ppm on			
Equation (120D-10) and (120D-11) referred from 120F.3.2.3 step e are not accurate, because the dual-dirac jitter distribution estimated by these equations does not match well with the original distribution even if the original distribution is pure dual-dirac distribution. For instance, J4u of the estimated dual-dirac jitter distribution is always significantly smaller than the measured J4u. I propose to change these equations.ACSuggestedRemedy Add the following equations after step j, and change references to Equation (120D-10) and (120D-11) in step e with the new equations:Hidaka Comme 100D4d = (Q4d^2 + 1) * (J_RMS^2) - (J4u / 2)^2The to o 100If D4d >= 0, A_DD = (J4u / 2 + Q4d * sqrt(D4d)) / (Q4d^2 + 1) sigma_RJ = (J4u / 2 - A_DD) / Q4dSuggest Addee	ange "thr se CEPT. Yasuo <i>nt Type</i> e signallir omment ppm. It is pose for	T T T t s not clear compatibilit	Comme rance of tra .3. Howeve whether it v	e Status C <i>P</i> 161 Credo Semic <i>nt Status</i> A nsmitter was char r, the signaling-ra- vas an overlooke	<i>L</i> 4 conductor, Inc. anged from 100p ate tolerance of d error or it rem	RX signalling rate (CC) opm to 50ppm according receiver remained ained 100ppm on			
because the dual-dual filter distribution extended by these equations does not match well with the original distribution even if the original distribution is pure dual-dirac distribution. For instance, J4u of the estimated dual-dirac jitter distribution is always significantly smaller than the measured J4u. I propose to change these equations.Respon ACSuggestedRemedyC/ 162Add the following equations after step j, and change references to Equation (120D-10) and (120D-11) in step e with the new equations:Hidaka Comme 100D4d = (Q4d^2 + 1) * (J_RMS^2) - (J4u / 2)^2The to c 100If D4d >= 0, A_DD = (J4u / 2 + Q4d * sqrt(D4d)) / (Q4d^2 + 1) sigma_RJ = (J4u / 2 - A_DD) / Q4dSuggest AddesIf D4d < 0,	SC CEPT. Yasuo ont Type signallir omment oppm. It is pose for	T T #42 on D1 s not clear compatibilit	Comme rance of tra .3. Howeve whether it v	e Status C <i>P</i> 161 Credo Semic <i>nt Status</i> A nsmitter was char r, the signaling-ra- vas an overlooke	<i>L</i> 4 conductor, Inc. anged from 100p ate tolerance of d error or it rem	<i>RX signalling rate (CC)</i> opm to 50ppm according receiver remained ained 100ppm on			
distributuion. For instance, J4u of the estimated dual-dirac jitter distribution is always significantly smaller than the measured J4u. I propose to change these equations.ACSuggestedRemedy Add the following equations after step j, and change references to Equation (120D-10) and (120D-11) in step e with the new equations:C/ 162D4d = $(Q4d^2 + 1) * (J_RMS^2) - (J4u / 2)^2$ The to a 100If D4d >= 0, A_DD = $(J4u / 2 + Q4d * sqrt(D4d)) / (Q4d^2 + 1)$ sigma_RJ = $(J4u / 2 - A_DD) / Q4d$ SuggestiveIf D4d < 0,	CEPT. SC Yasuo <i>Int Type</i> signallir somment ippm. It is pose for	T ng-rate tole #42 on D1 s not clear compatibilit	Comme rance of tra .3. Howeve whether it v	P 161 Credo Semic Int Status A Insmitter was cha r, the signaling-ra vas an overlooke	conductor, Inc. anged from 100p ate tolerance of d error or it rem	<i>RX signalling rate (CC)</i> opm to 50ppm according receiver remained ained 100ppm on			
Add the following equations after step j, and change references to Equation (120D-10) and (120D-11) in step e with the new equations:Hidaka Comme $D4d = (Q4d^2 + 1) * (J_RMS^2) - (J4u / 2)^2Theto dIf D4d >= 0,A_DD = (J4u / 2 + Q4d * sqrt(D4d)) / (Q4d^2 + 1)sigma_RJ = (J4u / 2 - A_DD) / Q4dSuggesIf D4d < 0,Ad$	Yasuo ent Type signallir comment ppm. It is pose for	T ng-rate tole #42 on D1 s not clear compatibilit	Comme rance of tra .3. Howeve whether it v	Credo Semic nt Status A nsmitter was cha r, the signaling-ra vas an overlooke	conductor, Inc. anged from 100p ate tolerance of d error or it rem	<i>RX signalling rate (CC)</i> opm to 50ppm according receiver remained ained 100ppm on			
Hudaka $(120D-11)$ in step e with the new equations:Comme $D4d = (Q4d^2 + 1) * (J_RMS^2) - (J4u / 2)^2$ TheIf $D4d >= 0$,100 $A_DD = (J4u / 2 + Q4d * sqrt(D4d)) / (Q4d^2 + 1)$ puisigma_RJ = (J4u / 2 - A_DD) / Q4dSuggesIf $D4d < 0$,Ad	ent Type signallir comment ppm. It is pose for	ng-rate tole #42 on D1 s not clear compatibili	rance of tra .3. Howeve whether it v	nt Status A nsmitter was cha r, the signaling-ra vas an overlooke	anged from 100p ate tolerance of d error or it rem	opm to 50ppm according receiver remained ained 100ppm on			
$\begin{array}{c} D4d = (Q4d^2 + 1) * (J_RMS^2) - (J4u / 2)^2 & The to optimize the term of term $	e signallir comment ppm. It is pose for	ng-rate tole #42 on D1 s not clear compatibili	rance of tra .3. Howeve whether it v	nsmitter was cha r, the signaling-ra /as an overlooke	ate tolerance of d error or it rem	opm to 50ppm according receiver remained ained 100ppm on			
If D4d >= 0, to 0 $A_DD = (J4u / 2 + Q4d * sqrt(D4d)) / (Q4d^2 + 1)$ put sigma_RJ = (J4u / 2 - A_DD) / Q4d Sugges If D4d < 0,	omment ppm. It is pose for	#42 on D1 s not clear compatibili	.3. Howeve whether it v	r, the signaling-ra /as an overlooke	ate tolerance of d error or it rem	opm to 50ppm according receiver remained ained 100ppm on			
If D4d >= 0, 100 A_DD = (J4u / 2 + Q4d * sqrt(D4d)) / (Q4d^2 + 1) put sigma_RJ = (J4u / 2 - A_DD) / Q4d Sugges If D4d < 0,	ppm. It is pose for	s not clear compatibili	whether it v	as an overlooke	d error or it rem	ained 100ppm on			
If D4d < 0, Suggest	tedReme	a du c	to comment #42 on D1.3. However, the signaling-rate tolerance of receiver remained 100ppm. It is not clear whether it was an overlooked error or it remained 100ppm on purpose for compatibility with prior implementations with up to +/- 100ppm.						
1		eay							
Ox = sort(//4u/2/1 BMS)(2-1)	the follo	owing state	ment:						
	a that th	o toloronoo	of aignalia	roto of tronomit	toria 1/ Elana	The teleronee of			
$sigma_RJ = sqrt((J_RMS^2) - (A_DD^2))$ sig	Note that the tolerance of signaling rate of transmitter is +/- 50ppm. The tolerance of signaling rate of receiver is +/- 100ppm for compatibility with prior transmitter implementations with up to +/- 100ppm tolerance.								
where Respon	se		Respons	e Status C					
		I PRINCIPL							
Add the following Note after the equation:	signalin	ng rate rang	e for a trans	smitter is +/-50 p	pm only for spe	cific circumstances			
Note 1 Q4d is an approximated solution of Q(Q4d) = 1 x 10^(-4), where the Q function is for defined in Equation (95-1).	(e.g., the PMD transmitter is colocated with the PCS), otherwise it is 100 ppm. This allows for AUI transmitter specifications in the base standard and amendments (e.g., 100GAUI-4). However, an informative note may be helpful to the reader of this draft.								
	Add the following informative note: "Note—Although the PMD transmitter is specified with a signaling rate range of +/-50								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. wh	en in the	same pack	age as the	PCS sublayer, th	ne signaling rate	e range may be +/- 100			
Resolve using the response to comment #209.	h editoria		apply a simi	ediate interface (ar note in Clause		4)."			
[Editor's note: CC: 120F, 163]	101 3 1100		, 100.]						

RIT COM

C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.4	P 192	L 9	# 138
Hidaka, Ya	isuo	Credo Semico	nductor, Inc.	

Comment Status A

The equation "T_r = 1.09 * T_rm - 4.32 ps" remains unchanged since it was adopted for clause 111 in IEEE P802.3by project to account for TP0 - TP0a effect. (See https://www.ieee802.org/3/by/public/Jan16/ran_3by_01b_0116.pdf, slide 13.)

Correction of transition time by this equation is not valid any more, because the symbol rate has been doubled and the test point has been changed from TP0a to TP0v.

We should calibrate T_r at the signal source so that the reference transition time at TP0v including the effect of the reference package model and the test fixture matches to the measured transition time at TP0v.

When a BERT is used as TX, it is not necessary to calibrate the transition time at the signal source to match the measured transition time at TP0v, because it is easy to measure the transition time at the signal source (i.e. the BERT output) directly without the test fixture. Using the measured transition time directly at BERT output without calibration is more accurate and error free in comparison to calibrating the transition time at the signal source to match the measured transition time after the test fixture at TP0v.

Note that in the former specs, the correction of transition time must be used even if a BERT is used as TX, because the transition time must be measured at TP0a after the test fixture, not directly at the BERT output.

Note that this equation is not used in CR spec, because the transition time of the BERT output is directly measured without test fixture. This equation is also not used in OIF CEI spec, because the test point is equivalent to TP0, not TP0a in OIF CEI spec.

There is the same issue in 120F.3.2.3 step d.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

TR

Change step e as follows:

In the calculation of COM, if the transmitter is a device with known S-parameters and transition time Tr, these parameters should be used instead of the transmitter package model in 93A.1.2. If the transmitter is a calibrated instrument-grade transmitter, the transmitter device package model S^(tp) is omitted from Equation (93A–3), TP0 to TP0a trace or replica trace in Figure 93C-2 through Figure 93C-4 is omitted, and Tr in Equation (93A-46) is same as the measured 20% to 80% transition time Trm of the signal source using the test setup in Figure 93C-3 without TP0 to TP0a trace. If the transmitter is not a device with known S-parameters and transition time nor a calibrated instrument-grade transmitter, Tr in Equation (93A-46) is calibrated so that the reference 20% to 80% transition time Tr^(ref) calculated according to 163A.3.1.X matches to the measured 20% to 80% transition time Trm of the signal at TP0v using the test setup in Figure 93C-3 including TP0 to TP0v trace. The measured 20% to 80% transition time Trm is measured with the transmitter equalizer turned off and using the method in 120E.3.1.5.

Apply the same change as above to 120F.3.2.3 step d.

Add a new sub clause in 163A.3.1.X to calculate the reference 20% to 80% transition time Tr^{r} using the following equation:

 $\label{eq:transform} \begin{array}{ll} Tr^{r}(ref) = T_{-}80 - T_{-}20 & (163A-X) \\ u(t) = integral of h(tau)/T_b from -inf to t & (163A-Y) \\ T_{-}80 is a solution of u(t) = 0.8 * vf^{r}(ref) in terms of t. \\ T_{-}20 is a solution of u(t) = 0.2 * vf^{r}(ref) in terms of t. \end{array}$

where

Tr^(ref) is the reference 20% to 80% transition time.

u(t) is the output step response.

T_80 is the time to reach 80% of the reference steady-state voltage.

T_20 is the time to reach 20% of the reference steady-state voltage.

T_b is the unit interval in ps.

vf^(ref) is the reference steady-state voltage calculated by Equation (163A-3).

Obtain the output pulse response, h(t), using Equation (93A-23) and Equation (93A-24) with $H^{0}()(f)$ from Equation (163A-2), where A_t and T_b are specified by the clause that invokes this method.

Obtain the output step response, u(t), by integrating $h(t)/T_b$ from minus infinite to t using Equation (163A-Y).

From the output step response, find the time to reach 20% and 80% of the reference steady-state voltage vf/(ref) as T_20 and T_80, respectively.

From T_20 and T_80, calculate the reference 20% to 80% transition time Tr^(ref) using Equation (163A-X).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The following presentation was reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/hidaka_3ck_01c_0521.pdf Implement slides 7 to 10 in the referenced presentation with editorial license. Include editor's note that the effect of an equivalent test filter may be required on the reference path (bottom of slide 9).

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 162 SC 1	62.9.4.3.3	P 162	L 26	# 139	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	8.4.1.1	P 248	L 17	# 140	
Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semico	onductor, Inc.		Hidaka, Ya	ISUO		Credo Semic	onductor, Inc.		
Comment Type	T Comm	nent Status A		RIT transition time	Comment	Туре Т	Comme	ent Status A		ERL TP	
SuggestedRemedy Change "T_r is	/	measured with 33G the method in 120E		ansmit equalizer	120Ğ.3 120G.3	3.1.2." 3.1.2 measure	s the host ou	em as measured at utput ERL at TP1a m is measured at 1	rather than TP1.	specification given in	
turned off	to oot to the proce	et 1 values, see 162	0212)"		Suggested	Remedy					
to "T_r is measu (i.e., coefficien	red using the met ts set to the prese	hod in 120E.3.1.5 v et 1 values, see 162	vith the transmit e 9.3.1.3) with an		Chang "The E 120G.3	RL of the test	system as m	neasured at TP1 m	neets the specific	ation given in	
dB bandwidth o		Tourin-order Desse	r-momson iow-p	ass response with a 5	to						
Response ACCEPT IN PI					"The return loss of the test system at TP1 meets the ERL specification given in 120G.3.1.2 when measured at TP1a."						
•		uggested response with editorial license. anged subclause from 162.9.4.3 to 162.9.4.3.3.]			Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
					,	n Figure 120G the MCB are i	0	e 120G-9, the con	nections of the H	CB and module under	
					120G.3 To	RL of the test 3.1.2." est system me		neasured at TP1 m	·	Ū	
					and co	nnect the mod	dule under te	st input path to the	MCB TP4 path.	o the MCB TP1 path	

In Figure 120G-10 connect the dashed line from the MCB TP4 path to the HCB TP4a path and connect the host under test input path to the HCB TP1a path. Implement with editorial license.

C/ 162 S	C 162.9.3.4	P 158	L 34	# 14	1	C/ 162B	SC 16	62B.1.3.1	P 2	69	L 36	# 142
Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semio	conductor, Inc.			Champion,	Bruce		TE Co	onnectivit	у	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A			PRBS9Q	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status	Α		MTF FOMILE
	finition of PRE ation errors.	BS9Q with the entire seque	ence is recommen	ded to avoid	ł		LD is set acturing v		dB and is too string	ent for the	e various form f	factors and MTF
This is re-s	ubmission of	my comment #109 to draf	it D1.4.			Suggested						
SuggestedRem	nedv					It is ree	commend	ded to up	date this value to 0.	18 dB		
00		ew clause in clause 120.5.1	11.2 using clause 1	120.5.11.2.1	as a	Response ACCE	PT IN PR	RINCIPLE	Response Status	U		
When the F	PRBS9Q test	fy the second paragraph of pattern enabled, it replace	es the signal on the	e output lane	e(s) for	https:// https://	www.iee www.iee	e802.org	ons were reviewed b /3/ck/public/21_05/c /3/ck/public/adhoc/ji	hampion	_3ck_01_0521	.pdf hoc_01_011321.pdf
by Gray co as describe	ding pairs of l ed in 120.5.7.	PRBS9Q test pattern is a bits from two repetitions of The PRBS pattern genera n Figure XX–X, which imple	the PRBS9 patter ator produces the s	n into PAM4 ame result a	4 symbols as the		,		/3/ck/public/adhoc/a	ıpr21_21/	′ghiasi_3ck_ad	hoc_01a_042121.pdf
in Equation are mappe	n (YY–Y). Sind d as the first l	ce the PRBS9 pattern is an bit of a PAM4 symbol durir and bit of a PAM4 symbol c	n odd number of bi	its in length, f the PRBSS	bits which equence	#142 0 #48 0	.18 dB (s .075 dB	see cham (see ghia	ose changes in FOM npion_3ck_01_0521 asi_3ck_adhoc_01a) _042121)		
the first bit example, if	of the followin the PRBS9 of	h are mapped as the secong symbol in the next reper generator used to create the	tition of the PRBS ne PRBS9Q seque	9 sequence. nce is initiali	For ized to a	#88 C	.18 dB `		is_3ck_adhoc_01_0	,		
sequence i	s the following	1 (with the leftmost bit in S g Gray coded PAM4 symb 331213302202231320111	ols, transmitted lef	ft to right:	e PRBS9Q		•		5 there is consensu max) to 0.15 dB.	s to chan	ige MTF FOMII	LD (max) to 0.15 dB.
100302003	1203332002	123313231011003321022	213103113222031	333131300		0		chicago r	,			
		130233203202201221210 233102211211010301312						pick one)				
		102321012312202130333				I would	l support	changing	g MTF FOMILD (ma	x) as follo	ows:	
		221021203033011133122					e as 0.13 nge to 0.1					
		201211311312302232330 210312332333031002023				C: cha	nge to 0. nge to 0. nge to 0.	15 dB				
	e XX-X "PRB 1 + x^5 + x^9	S9 pattern generator" simi).	ilar to Figure 94-6 b	but accordin	ig to	Strawp A: 18 E	oll #12 (0 3: 12 C: 2	chicago r 20 D: 13	,			
Define Equ Table 68-6		as G(x) = 1 + x^5 + x^9 or	make a reference	to the polyn	iomial in		3: 5 C: 11	oick one) I D: 10				
Make a refe	erence to the	new clause from 162.9.3.4	4.			I supp	ort increa	decision) asing MT	F FOMILD (max) fro	om 0.13 d	IB:	
Response		Response Status C				Yes: 1 No: 14						
		•	2000					docision)				

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license. Create an equation for the polynomial but include text referring back to Clause 68. Strawpoll #15 (decision) I support changing MTF FOMILD (max) to:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 142

Page 37 of 61 2021-06-02 3:03:22 PM

A: 0.15 dB B: 0.18 dB				C/ 162	SC 162.9.	3.1.1	P 155	L 47	# 145
A: 25 B: 10				Kochupara	mbil, Beth		Cisco		
/ 162 SC 162.3	P 143	L 43	# 143	Comment	Туре Е	Comn	nent Status A		(bucket
ochuparambil, Beth	Cisco	243	# 1 1 5		ould be an inte				
omment Type E	Comment Status D		withdrawn	-		e reader to a	avoid the double ne	egative.	
The PMD does not res			withdrawn	Suggested					
					e "not less that ater than or e				
IggestedRemedy				Ũ		•	na Ctatura O		
Change "on" to "for"				Response ACCE	от	Respo	nse Status C		
Resulting text would re signals for the MDI."	ad "The PMD converts these	e streams of syr	nbols into appropriate		's note: Chan	ge page fror	n 154 to 155.]		
roposed Response	Response Status Z			C/ 162	SC 162.9.:	3.1.3	P 157	L 6	# 146
REJECT.				Kochupara	mbil, Beth		Cisco		
This commont was W/I	THDRAWN by the commenter	~r		Comment	Туре Е	Comn	nent Status A		(bucket
		51.		Initial i	s capitalized r	nid sentence	e, however is lower	case in Table 16	62-11's title.
162 SC 162.8.11	P 151	L 24	# 144	Suggested	Remedy				
ochuparambil, Beth	Cisco				"Initial" lower o	ase			
omment Type E	Comment Status R		control function (bucket1)	Response		Respo	nse Status C		
Given a value is specif	inal count of max_wait_timer ied within the clause/stateme			ACCE	PT.	1.0000			
136[]" incorrect.				C/ 162	SC 162.9.	3.5	P 158	L 46	# 147
uggestedRemedy	lalafia adll an Ilda anib adll			Kochupara	mbil, Beth		Cisco		
This is a semi-pervasiv	'defined" or "described" /e issue.			Comment	Туре Е	Comn	nent Status R		(bucket
esponse	Response Status C			Senter	nce is poor en	glish			
REJECT.				Suggested	Remedy				
Clause 162 is specifyir	ng a value that is different from	m the value spe	ecified in Clause 136.				t appear in Table 1 do not appear in Ta		s from Table 162-18." Table 162-18."
				162.9. 163.9. 163.10	2.1.2, 163.9.2).3 3.1.1, 120F.3.2	.2, 163.9.3.2		26	
				Response		Respo	nse Status C		
				REJE	CT.				

Comment ID 147

Page 38 of 61 2021-06-02 3:03:22 PM

Cisco Comment Status A t be helpful for those rea nples are 92.10.6 and 11 just define the limit. Per ul to readers, it was some of this section. "Comm imum common-mode to esponse Status C P 167 Cisco Comment Status A is not consistant with oth after the description of wile esponse Status C	10.10.6) do NOT prhaps this descripte what confusing non-mode signals common-mode <i>L</i> 49 her clauses (name	describe why we limit ption of the re- until reading it multiple s can be returned [] return loss is specified." # 149 CA COM Tfx (bucket1) ely 162.9.4.5 &	Comment We've readin 93.9.1 93A.1 of the Suggested Use e "COM packa Simila 2, TX" Replic Response ACCE [Edito C/ 163	a lost a bit of the og this section in States "The C with the Test 1 device packag dRemedy ditorial licence I shall be comp oge model trans arly, modify the cate in COM de	n isolation may be co Channel Operating Ma I and Test 2 values in e model transmission to modify paragraph uted twice, Test 1 an mission line length z COM table from "Rx scription and tables f <i>Response Statu</i> 20F, 162, 163]	us A g COM with 2 pack onfused. argin (COM) is con n Table 93–8. Tes n line length zp. to say something d Test 2, which di p." Test 2" and "TX T for 163 & 120F s C	CA COM test cage test cases. Someone mputed using the procedur t 1 and Test 2 differ in the like, ffer in the value of the devi Test 2" to "Test 2, RX" and 48 # 151	
t be helpful for those rea nples are 92.10.6 and 11 just define the limit. Per ul to readers, it was some of this section. "Comm imum common-mode to esponse Status C <i>P</i> 167 Cisco Comment Status A is not consistant with oth after the description of with	10.10.6) do NOT prhaps this descripte what confusing non-mode signals common-mode <i>L</i> 49 her clauses (name	d. I do, however, note describe why we limit ption of the re- until reading it multiple s can be returned [] return loss is specified." # 149 <i>CA COM Tfx (bucket1)</i> ely 162.9.4.5 &	We've readin 93.9.1 93A.1 of the Suggested Use e "COM packa Simila 2, TX" Replic Response ACCE [Edito C/ 163	a lost a bit of the og this section i I States "The C with the Test 1 device packag dRemedy ditorial licence I shall be comp ge model trans arly, modify the cate in COM de PT. r's note: CC: 12	e description of doing n isolation may be co Channel Operating Ma I and Test 2 values ir e model transmission to modify paragraph uted twice, Test 1 an imission line length z COM table from "Rx scription and tables f <i>Response Statu</i> 20F, 162, 163]	g COM with 2 pack onfused. argin (COM) is con a Table 93–8. Test in line length zp. to say something d Test 2, which di p." Test 2" and "TX T for 163 & 120F s C	kage test cases. Someone mputed using the procedur t 1 and Test 2 differ in the like, ffer in the value of the devi fest 2" to "Test 2, RX" and	
nples are 92.10.6 and 11 just define the limit. Per al to readers, it was some of this section. "Comm imum common-mode to esponse Status C P167 Cisco Comment Status A is not consistant with oth after the description of with	10.10.6) do NOT prhaps this descripte what confusing non-mode signals common-mode <i>L</i> 49 her clauses (name	describe why we limit ption of the re- until reading it multiple s can be returned [] return loss is specified." # 149 CA COM Tfx (bucket1) ely 162.9.4.5 &	readin 93.9.1 93A.1 of the Suggested Use e "COM packa Simila 2, TX" Replic Response ACCE [Edito C/ 163	ng this section i States "The C with the Test 1 device packag dRemedy ditorial licence shall be comp ge model trans arly, modify the cate in COM de PT. r's note: CC: 12	n isolation may be co Channel Operating Ma I and Test 2 values in e model transmission to modify paragraph uted twice, Test 1 an mission line length z COM table from "Rx scription and tables f <i>Response Statu</i> 20F, 162, 163]	onfused. argin (COM) is con n Table 93–8. Tes n line length zp. to say something d Test 2, which di p." Test 2" and "TX T for 163 & 120F s C	mputed using the procedur t 1 and Test 2 differ in the like, ffer in the value of the devi est 2" to "Test 2, RX" and	
imum common-mode to esponse Status C P 167 Cisco Comment Status A is not consistant with oth after the description of wi	<i>L</i> 49 her clauses (name	# 149 <i>CA COM Tfx (bucket1)</i> ely 162.9.4.5 &	Suggested Use e "COM packa Simila 2, TX" Replic <i>Response</i> ACCE [Edito <i>Cl</i> 163	dRemedy ditorial licence I shall be comp ge model trans rily, modify the cate in COM de EPT. r's note: CC: 12	to modify paragraph uted twice, Test 1 an mission line length z COM table from "Rx scription and tables f <i>Response Statu</i> 20F, 162, 163]	to say something d Test 2, which di p." Test 2" and "TX T for 163 & 120F s C	ffer in the value of the devi est 2" to "Test 2, RX" and	
imum common-mode to esponse Status C P 167 Cisco Comment Status A is not consistant with oth after the description of wi	<i>L</i> 49 her clauses (name	# 149 <i>CA COM Tfx (bucket1)</i> ely 162.9.4.5 &	Use e "COM packa Simila 2, TX" Replic <i>Response</i> ACCE [Edito <i>C</i> / 163	ditorial licence I shall be comp ge model trans arly, modify the cate in COM de PT. r's note: CC: 12	uted twice, Test 1 an mission line length z COM table from "Rx scription and tables f <i>Response Statu</i> 20F, 162, 163]	d Test 2, which di p." Test 2" and "TX T for 163 & 120F s C	ffer in the value of the devi est 2" to "Test 2, RX" and	
P 167 Cisco Comment Status A is not consistant with oth	ner clauses (nam	CA COM Tfx (bucket1) ely 162.9.4.5 &	packa Simila 2, TX" Replic <i>Response</i> ACCE [Edito <i>C</i> / 163	age model trans arly, modify the cate in COM de P EPT. r's note: CC: 12	mission line length z COM table from "Rx scription and tables f <i>Response Statu</i> 20F, 162, 163]	p." Test 2" and "TX T for 163 & 120F s C	est 2" to "Test 2, RX" and	
Cisco Comment Status A is not consistant with oth after the description of wi	ner clauses (nam	CA COM Tfx (bucket1) ely 162.9.4.5 &	Replic Response ACCE [Edito C/ 163	cate in COM de P PT. r's note: CC: 12	Response Statu 20F, 162, 163]	s C	48 # <u>151</u>	
Comment Status A is not consistant with oth after the description of w	, ,	ely 162.9.4.5 &	ACCE [Edito C/ 163	PT. r's note: CC: 12	20F, 162, 163]		48 # <u>151</u>	
is not consistant with oth after the description of w	, ,	ely 162.9.4.5 &	[Edito C/ 163	r's note: CC: 12	_		48 # <u>151</u>	
	here to find the p	parameters)		SC 163.9.3	5 .4 P	2 191 L	48 # <u>151</u>	
	here to find the p	parameters)						
sponse Status C			Kochupara	ambil, Beth	Cis	со		
			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Statu	ıs R	(bu	
			SuggestedRemedy					
	be after Table 162	2-12.				ses to "Setup 1" a	and "Setup 2" in both the	
			Do sir	nilar for 120F.				
			Response	i -	Response Statu	s C		
			The w text by	vording is consist y reference to the	he two different table		ence in context is clear in th	
ie ne N	tes are intended to be an rediately after each table with notes for Table 1200 pocation.	tes are intended to be an informative note nediately after each table. The note in 162 with notes for Table 120G–2 and Table 12 pocation.	tes are intended to be an informative note against each table and nediately after each table. The note in 162.11.3 is in the intended with notes for Table 120G–2 and Table 120G–6. The note in	There interded to be an informative note against each table and hediately after each table. The note in 162.11.3 is in the intended with notes for Table 120G–2 and Table 120G–6. The note in bocation. note in 162.9.4.5 for to be after Table 162-12. Do sin <i>Response</i> REJEC The w text by	tes are intended to be an informative note against each table and nediately after each table. The note in 162.11.3 is in the intended with notes for Table 120G–2 and Table 120G–6. The note in ocation. note in 162.9.4.5 for to be after Table 162-12. Do similar for 120F. <i>Response</i> REJECT. The wording is consi text by reference to t	There are 2 different "Test 1 and Test 2" is interferance tolerance test description and solution. note in 162.9.4.5 for to be after Table 162-12. There are 2 different "Test 1 and Test 2" is interferance tolerance test description and solution. note in 162.9.4.5 for to be after Table 162-12. There are 2 different "Test 1 and Test 2" is interferance tolerance test description and solution. Do similar for 120F. Response Response Statu REJECT. The wording is consistent with previous classical and the table.	There are 2 different "Test 1 and Test 2" in the interferance to be an informative note against each table and hediately after each table. The note in 162.11.3 is in the intended with notes for Table 120G–2 and Table 120G–6. The note in potential to the interferance to be after Table 162-12. The note in 162.9.4.5 for to 160.00000000000000000000000000000000000	

C/ 163 SC 163	.10 <i>P</i> 193	L 43	# 152	C/ 162	SC 162.1	P 140) L 31	# 155
Kochuparambil, Beth	Cisco			Kochupara	ambil, Beth	Cisco		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A	ch	annel summary (bucket3)	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	0	withdrawr
SuggestedRemedy	annel characteristics mention IL a		COM.		/erse RS-FEC is ces.			FEC-Int are required, but een the other 2 required
	ce would read: "Channels shall m ments in 163.10.1."	eet the ERL req	uirements in 162.10.3	•••	Inverse RS-FE	C required Response Status Z	7	
Response ACCEPT IN PRII	Response Status C NCIPLE.			REJE		Nesponse Status Z	-	
Resolve using the	e response to comment #17.			This c	omment was W	ITHDRAWN by the com	imenter.	
C/ 120F SC 120	F.4 P 225	L 48	# 153	C/ 162	SC 162.1	P 142	2 L 4 1	# 156
Kochuparambil, Beth	Cisco			Kochupara	ambil, Beth	Cisco		
Comment Type E There is no overv	Comment Status A		annel summary (bucket3)	Comment MAC =		Comment Status A SS CONTROL is listed t	•	(bucket1
SuggestedRemedy Insert a similar particular	aragraph to 163.10 with appropriat neet Channels shall meet"	te modifications.	"Channels are	_	ve 1 of the MAC			
Response ACCEPT IN PRII	Response Status C			Response ACCE		Response Status (C	
Resolve using the	e response to comment #16.			C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1	.16 <i>P</i> 35	L 48	# 157
C/ 162 SC 162	.1 P 140	L 13	# 154	Zimmerma	an, George	CME C	onsulting/ADI, APL G	Sp, Cisco, CommScope,
Kochuparambil, Beth	Cisco			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	4	(bucket1)
Comment Type E Annex 162D is th already be implie	e only description that restates the	e PMD. CR1, C	wording (bucket1) R2, and CR4 seem to	descri clue. (ption. Please a	t least expand a little or here this abbreviation are	give a cross reference	
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
	ASE-CR1, 200GBASE-CR2, and a cribes host and cable assembly ty		" which would leave	Chang	e the description	on "RS-FEC-Int enabled" or Correction enabled".	to "Clause 161 Cod	eword-interleaved Reed-
Response	Response Status C			Response		Response Status	C	
ACCEPT.					PT IN PRINCIP			

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.110	P 43	L 13	# 158
Zimmerm	an, George	CME Cor	nsulting/ADI, APL Gp	, Cisco, CommScope,
Comment	t Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
	ription text indicatin nces of each)	g Clause 91 and Claus	se 161 should be cros	ss references (2
Suggeste	dRemedy			
Chan	ge "Clause 91" and	l "Clause 161" text in d	escriptions to active	cross references.
Response	9	Response Status C		
ACCE	EPT.			
C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 34	L 18	# 159
Zimmerm	an, George	CME Cor	nsulting/ADI, APL Gp	, Cisco, CommScope,
Comment	t Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
many that I other	r multi-lane PHYs, i can find, having ch common meanings		entered in the abbreventered in the abbreventered it is used, and 80 fic to IEEE Std 802.3	viations list (at least not 2.3cd). Because it has , it shoudl be in the

clear - but since it is an issue in this draft, you can fix it here...

SuggestedRemedy

Add "AM Alignment Marker" to the list of abbreviations in 1.5 (page 34 of draft)

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[Editor's note: Changed clause, subclause, page, line from {45,0,44,22} to {1,1.5,34,18}.] The acronym AM is rarely used in text in 802.3-2018, 802.3cd-2018, and 802.3ck D2.0. Nor is the acronym ever properly introduced in the subclauses that use it. Normally, the full phrase "alignment marker" is used. So rather than adding yet another acronym to the list, the full phrase should be used in place of the acronym. However, changing instances of AM in Clause 45 would result in differences in nomenclature between Clause 45 and some sublayer clauses in the base specification and amendments.

In Clause 161 change 1 instance (Figure 161-5) of "AM" with "alignment marker". [Editor's note: CC: 1, 45, 161.]

C/ 91	SC 91.6.2f	P 86	L 5	# 160
Zimmerma	n, George	CME Consult	ing/ADI, APL Gp	, Cisco, CommScope,
Comment 7	Tvpe E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)

"For PHYs supporting RS-FEC-Int operation" should have a reference, especially because it would send the reader searching this clause (RS-FEC) for RS-FEC-Int, and not find it.

SuggestedRemedy

change "RS-FEC-Int operation" to "RS-FEC-Int operation (see Clause 161)" similar to other references, where Clause 161 is a cross-ref.

Response ACC		Response Status	С						
C/ 91	SC 91.7.3	P 87		L 38	# 161				
Zimmerm	nan, George	CME C	CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, CommScope,						
Commen	t Type T	Comment Status	Α		(bucket1)				
*FIN ⁻ capa		EC-Int and should refer	ence cl	ause 161 as the	relevant clause for the				
Suggeste	edRemedy								
Add o	cross-ref to claus	e 161 under subclause							
Response	e	Response Status	с						

ACCEPT.

C/ 161	SC 161.5.2.6	P 122	L 52	# 162
Zimmerman,	George	CME Consul	ting/ADI, APL Gp	, Cisco, CommScope,
Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status A		(bucket1)

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status A

"The alignment markers shall be mapped to am_txmapped<1284:0> in a manner that vields the same result as the following process." Where the process begins and ends isn't really clear in the text since the text just runs in paragraphs of descriptive text intermingled with the text and multiple sets of either pseudocode or alphabetic steps. I THINK it ends at P 123 line 38, but that was only after first thinking it ended at other places a few times. This section is technically quite important and needs to be crystal clear, hence my comment is technical, as it is currently not clear to those outside the group.

Descriptive, non-process text should be set out, and the process itself should be either all in steps or all in pseudocode, and set out by its own section. (in my remedy I have used the existing text and put it all in text).

Being a little confused by the text, take caution, as I may have gotten it wrong in my proposed remedy.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "same result as the following process" to "same result as the process in 161.5.2.6.1." Insert new section "161.5.2.6.1 Alignment Marker Mapping Process" following line 54, with content from page 123 lines 1 through 10, and add step e) using text from page 123 lines 18 through 21, and step f) using the text at lines 23 ("The variable am_txmapped...) through line 33. Add step g) with text at page 123 lines 34 through 38.

Move descriptive (and non-process requirement) text at page 123 lines 12-17 and page 123 lines 39 -page 124 line 46 (end of the existing section) ahead of the new section with just the process.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[Editor's note: Proposed response updated on 2021/5/5.]

After some offline discussion and further review, the commenter indicated that the description is clear as is.

However, it was noticed that the wrong variable is being referenced in the text. The variable name should be tx scrambled am rather than am txmapped. In addition, it would be clearer if we referred to a set of processes in the clause instead of a single process.

Change:"The alignment markers shall be mapped to am txmapped<1284:0> in a manner that vields the same result as the following process."

To: "The alignment markers shall be mapped to tx scrambled am<1284:0> in a manner that yields the same result as the processes described in the remainder of this subclause."

C/ 161	SC ·	161.5.2.9	P 125 L 8		# 163		
Zimmerman	, Geoi	ge	CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, CommScope,				
Comment T	ype	Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)		
"has been FEC encoded, two FEC codewords each FEC lane Once the data has been							

Reed-Solomon encoded and interleaved... FEC lanes... highest FEC lane." - use consistent nomenclature. You go from FEC, to Reed-Solomon, and as much as I love to remember Gus Solomon by name, it suggests there may be 2 different things youre talking about here.

I didn't name it in my remedy, but the editor may wish to review instances of FEC where RS-FEC is meant to be clear - the same thing shows up in 161.5.3.1. 161.5.3.2. and 161.5.3.3. (note RS-FEC is an abbreviation in 802.3-2018 for Reed-Solomon Forward Error Correction)

SugaestedRemedv

Suggest replace instances on lines 8 through 22 of "FEC" with "RS-FEC", and "Reed-Solomon encoded" on line 21 with "RS-FEC encoded".

Additionally suggest editor review usage of "FEC" for possible replacement with RS-FEC elsewhere in clause 161 (I note this doesn't look globally feasible)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 161 S	SC 161.5.3.3	P 127	L 31	# 164	C/ 162	SC 162	2.9.3	P 154	L 21	# 166
Zimmerman, (-	Cisco, CommScope,	Dawe, Piers			Nvidia		100
Comment Typ	0	Comment Status A	.g,,,,	(bucket1)	Comment Typ	е т	R	Comment Status R		CR port type
is not expect to an unde if the raw be reused	ted to exceed erlying raw sy symbol error with different	e decoder fails to indicate a co 10–16." This statement is no mbol error rate. The probabil rate is left unpinned. Since th PHYs in different scenarios, tive sentence is unnecessary	t technically cor ity of a failed de is subclause sta it isn't appropria	rect without reference code can be anything ands alone and could	The recor footprint a insertion I switch, wh get made regularise	nmende nd hos oss up ile a fu with ar what v	ed ma: t conn to 11.9 Ill rang a asym vill hap	vastes over 3 dB in nearly ever kimum insertion loss allocatic ector footprint, of 6.875 dB, c 9 dB, making passive copper e of NICs can be made within metric loss budget, so it wou open anyway. By the way, ma	on for the host t compares very expensive and n only 3.75 dB. Id be better for any server-swit	poorly with C2M's host unattractive for a Server-switch links will the standard to ch links will be
SuggestedRei	nedy				asymmetr allowed in			fferent form factors at server	and switch end	ls), and that's already
Delete the	last two sent	ences of the 2nd paragraph o	of 161.5.3.3 ("Th	e probability").	This chan	ge wou	ld also	benefit CR switch-switch lin	ks because the	shortest ports would
Response		Response Status C			get credit	for thei	r low le	DSS.		
	IN PRINCIPL	E. f the system dictates the rate			SuggestedRe	•		2M, create two kinds of CR p		
Change: The proba is not expr errors, and To: The proba more erro	bility that the ected to exce d so on. bility that the	ore errors is seen. decoder fails to indicate a coded 10–16. This limit is also ex decoder fails to indicate a code ected to exceed 10–16.	pected to apply	for t+2 errors, t+3	not suppo the other In Table 1 In Table 1 host input while for t and 21.5 In 162A.4	rted. A end. 62-10, 62-14, the val ne long JB). No provid g 162A	dd en provid provid lues fo host i c chan le two	connect to short or long with s tries in Clause 73 Auto-Nego e separate limits for Linear fi e separate rows for Test cha r Test 2 are 10-6.875 = 3.120 nput the values for Test 2 are ge needed for Test 1. equations for each of IL_PC 12. In 162A.5, provide two V	tiation to adver t pulse peak (m nnel insertion lo 5 dB higher (26 e 6.875-3.75 = Bmax and for l	tise short and long to hin). oss: for testing the short .75 dB and 27.75 dB), 3.125 dB lower (20.5 dB LHostMax and show
		-			ligures to	2A-3 a	nu 4.			
Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, CommScope, Comment Type E Comment Status A (bucket1) "For each of chip-to-chip and chip-to-module interfaces" awkward wording, subject/verb agreement - also leaves open whether the definition is different if other than chip-to-chip or chip-to-module interfaces are used here - which does not seem to be the case. Seems it					For discussion: should a "long" cable, 19.75+2*(6.875-3.75) = 19.75+6.25 = 26 dB max (maybe 3 m) be defined? A CR link could have no more than one of the three host, cabl and host being "long". We could choose other names than "short" and "long" for the ports, possibly "short" and "medium" (as a C2M host can be "longer"), or A and B, somewhat like USB.				of the three host, cable, , possibly "short" and	
unnecess	ary. This sam	learer just to say "for each int he problem exists 6 places on page 34 line 5	page 31 lines 1	extra words are 8, 35, and 50; page				resenting the extra loss a ho	-	
SuggestedRei					asymmetr	ic but I	believ	e that would not bring an imp	provement in ac	curacy.
Change "For each of chip-to-chip and chip-to-module interfaces" to "For each interface" in all 6 instances (page 31 lines 18, 35, 50; page 33 lines 5 & 33; and page 34 line 5)					There could be a third kind of CR port with 6.875 dB but this would not be useful for server- switch links, would be useful for only a subset of switch-switch links, for which passive copper is a subset anyway, so it doesn't seem worthwhile.					
Response		Response Status C			Response		-	Response Status U		
	IN PRINCIPL				REJECT.					
Resolve u	sing the resp	onse to comments #68, #75, a	and #76.			01		ion was reviewed by the task g/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr28_21		lhoc_01_042821.pdf

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 166

Page 43 of 61 2021-06-02 3:03:22 PM The suggested remedy would require two or three different CR port types.

The assymetric-port approach was discussed early in this project. Straw Poll #1 from the July 2018 Task Force meeting indicated strongest support for the current specification.

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/18_07/minutes_3ck_0718_approved.pdf

Based on discussion and straw poll 6 and 7, there is interest in exploring this proposal further. However, the proposal is not sufficiently complete at this time. A complete proposal and consensus is required.

Straw poll #6 (direction, chicago rule)

Straw poll #7 (direction, pick one)

I would support a new pair of CR port types with reduced host insertion loss limit on one end (e.g., NIC) and increased host loss limit on the other end (e.g., switch) similar to slide 7 of dawe_3ck_adhoc_01_042821.

Strawpoll #6 A: Yes 27

B: No 13

C: Need more information 29

D: Abstain 7

Straw poll #7 A: Yes 22 B: No 11

C: Need more information 11 D: Abstain 6

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P 154	L 21	# 167	
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		7	TX vf
Clums	sy "x vf" way of de	fining linear fit pulse peak (mi	in)		
Suggestee	dRemedy				
	Linear fit pulse pea ges to V/V.	ak ratio" as in 163 and 163A.:	3.2.1. Note th	e unit in the table	

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.6	P 164	L 46	# 168
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)

Most such RL equations are graphed out to help the user see what is meant.

SuggestedRemedy

Please illustrate this receiver differential to common-mode return loss too. This would be best done in in Figure 162-4, presently "Transmitter common mode to differential return loss" so that the reader can compare the two.

Response		Response Statu	s C		
	PT IN PRINC	CIPLE. gested response with e	ditorial li	cense.	
C/ 162	SC 162.9	.3.6 F	[,] 159	L 18	# 169
Dawe, Pier	ſS	Nvi	dia		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Statu	is A		RLCC description

1. This paragraph claims that the minimum common-mode to common-mode return loss is specified to reduce reflections of signals that were generated originally as differential and end up as differential. This is not the case: it is included to contain a gross build-up of CM voltage on the line caused by repeated reflections, that is otherwise unbounded. If it had been intended to address mixed-mode issues it would be a tighter spec, but that's not viable for front-panel connectors. Other specs such as Rx Differential to common-mode return loss and Tx Common-mode to differential mode return loss (both 12 dB at Nyquist, total 24) and Differential to common-mode cable assembly conversion loss (10 dB each way) are there to address the mixed-mode issues, and this spec at only 2 dB won't make much difference to them.

2. This is a standard, not an attempt at a textbook. We don't give any justifications for most other specs; there is no reason that this one should be different.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the paragraph

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment 148. [Editor's note: Changed page/line from 157/30 to 159/18.]

C/ 163	SC 163.10.2	P 195	L 49	# 170	C/ 162	SC 1	62.9.4.6	P 164	L 46	# 172
Dawe, Piers	3	Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	S		Nvidia		
Comment T	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Status R		channel IL (bucket3)	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status R		return loss
transmit accepta	tter and receive able channels wi	east 23.3 dB beyond the lose r, is unlikely to affect perform nich are good to 30 GHz the	nance and may	exclude some	to diffe this cla	rential r use the	mode retur	k Differential to common-n n loss differ by 3 dB at lov same. Also, the Differentia lenient than these specs.	v frequency, for a	good reason, but in
SuggestedF	-									
Replace reduced	0,1	rt of the limit with one that c	urves down (wi	th an f^2 term), with a	Suggested Review		•	een these three limits and	l adjust if necessa	ary.
Response		Response Status C			Response			Response Status C		
REJEC The sug		es not provide sufficient det	tail to implemer	nt.	REJEC The su		d remedy o	does not provide sufficient	detail to impleme	ent.
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	P 240	L 9	# 171	C/ 162	SC 1	62.9.4.6	P 165	L 2	# 173
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	S		Nvidia		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status R		TP3 EH	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
		module (or test equipment i			Italic >	=				
		sively reduced to deliver onl vious draft had 24 mV. Yet			Suggested	Remed	v			
	<i>'</i>	long setting, and can useful	0		00		•	0, 162-11, 162-11, possib	ly others.	
noise or	r BER if given a	reasonable signal strength.			Response			Response Status C		
0	vithout overloadi	ing the receiver.			ACCEI	РТ				
SuggestedF	,									
Increase	e the eye height	t, short mode, from 15 mV to	o 18 mV							
Response		Response Status U								
REJEC ⁻	Т.									

REJECT.

The resolution of comments #187 and #206 result in the differential peak-to-peak output voltage (max) value reduced from 900 mV to 600 mV for the short mode. There was no consensus to make the proposed change for this comment.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	1.2 <i>P</i> 238	L 41	# 174
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		TP1 ERL Tfx

This fixed time value of time-gated propagation delay Tfx is unworkable because the HCB is defined by its loss not its transit time. While HCBs for connectors with few lanes such as SFP+ may be constructed from PCB, those for connectors with many lanes such as QSFP-DD are challenged by fanout and therefore may use a cabled construction with the same loss and a much greater delay than a PCB. The discontinuity at cable-PCB interface should be windowed out just like the coax connector, but would reasonably be much more than 0.2/2 ns (or ~20 mm?) from the coax connector. The HCB transit time is known well enough, just as its loss is, so we can use that in the windowing. Notice that in 163 and 120F, "The value of Tfx is twice the delay from TP5v to TP5", so it's known there.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 0.2 ns to twice 0.8 times the delay between the test fixture test connector and the near side of the test fixture host-facing connector on the HCB. Make a similar change in 162.9.3.5 (HCB for CR). Although there may be less pressure to use a cabled technique for MCBs, for consistency, make similar changes in 120G.3.2.3 and 162.11.3 (MCB).

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the responses to comments #184 and #185.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2.1	P 240	L 27	# 175
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Typ	pe T	Comment Status R	odule	output modes (bucket3)

The module output doesn't have to "support" two modes (e.g. receive, co-operate, enable, or similar), it has to actually do them. They are abilities of the module.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The module output shall support two modes: short and long." to "There are two module output modes: short and long."

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The proposed changes to wording do not improve the quality of the draft.

C/ 162	SC 162.1	P 141	L 23	# 176
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status R		PMD tables (bucket1)

Tables 162-2 and 162-3 are essentially the same, and it benefits the reader to see that.

SuggestedRemedy

Combine into one table with columns for clause/annex no., description for 200G, description for 400G, and required/optional status. Similarly for tables 163-2 and 3.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Combining the two tables results in a less readable format since for most sublayers there is a unique row for each rate. Only RS and AN rows are common to both. The suggested remedy does not improve the quality of the draft. [Editor's note: CC: 162, 163]

C/ 162	SC 162.11.6	P 16	9	L 27	# 1	77
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia				
Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status	R			CA CM RL

Relaxing the already very loose CM RL spec from 2 dB to 1.8 dB at all frequencies isn't justified. This spec becomes useless at the frequency when the MCB loss is 0.9 dB!

SuggestedRemedy

Restore it to 2 dB or use a frequency-dependent mask e.g. 1.8 + 0.01f

Response	Response Status	U
----------	-----------------	---

REJECT.

The basis for the change to the cable assmbly CM-to-CM RL spec from 2 dB to 1.8 dB was given in the following presentation. https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21 01/champion 3ck 01a 0121.pdf

The commenter has not provided sufficient justification for the suggested remedy.

	C 120G.5.2	P 252	L 25	# 178	C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	2 F	253	L 23	# 180
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvi	dia		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		RR CTLE	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Statu	us R		EH/VEC method
subset of gE believe the s SuggestedReme For Continue	DC, gDC2 col strongest gD edy ous time filte	r TP4 far-end is known exa mbinations would be the or C and gDC2 should add to r, DC gain for TP4 far-end ame style as for TP1a, with	ly candidates to a constant. (gDC), change to	try. As for TP1a, I	although i signal qua This is wo signals, a	t is described ality vertically rse with the l nd is a partic	and provides weak higher VEC limit in	t's an ineffic and uncer the latest d ry short hos	cient/inaccurate tain protection a raft that allows v	EA/VECmax), way of measuring a gainst too much jitter. worse and more varied Mike Dudek's work)
		ed values should be a subs			SuggestedRe	medy				
Response		Response Status U								+/-H/2 to a 10-cornered
REJECT.		,				Corners at t	= ts+/-0.05, ts+/-1/	16, tS+/-3/3	2, $V = K + / - H / 2$,	K +/-H°U.4, K. K IS
suggested r	emedy does	rovide sufficient justificatio not provide sufficient detail	to implement.		This simp	le scalable m measuring	I is either EHmin or nethod can remain with 10-sided mask	as the EH a	and VEC limits a	re revised. Scopes
	C 120G.5.2	P 252	L 12	# 179	Response		Response Statu	s U		
Dawe, Piers Comment Type		Nvidia Comment Status R		RR CTLE	REJECT.					te that being proposed
	out up to 16 d that.	C with stronger gDC2, we ca B for gDC2 = -3 - yet we do			https://ww	3 of the follow w.ieee802.or	wing presentation v rg/3/ck/public/21_0 t provide sufficient	1/brown_3c	k_04_0121.pdf	
	-	cond -12 to -11, and -13 to	-10 (so the stro	ugest "CTLE peaking"	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	1 F	237	L 36	# 181
is 13).	nange the se	cond -12 to -11, and -15 to		igest of LE peaking	Dawe, Piers	1200.0.1	Nvi		230	# [101
Response		Response Status U			Comment Typ	e E	Comment Statu			TP1 RLCL
REJECT.							CEI-56G-VSR-PA		I-56G-VSR-PAN	
	e current spe	provide sufficient justificatio cifications are harmful nor			differentia	I to common- I mode returr	-mode return loss is	s 3 dB bette	er than the input	
					SuggestedRe	medy				
changes wo					I Inless we	find a second		anaga in th		
					Officas we	e find a reaso	on not to, offset the	specs in in	e usual way.	
					Response	e find a reaso	Response Statu	•	e usual way.	

C/ 162A	SC 162A.4	P 260	L 40	# 182
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status R		PCB IL

This section, for CR, says "the recommended minimum insertion loss allocation for the transmitter or receiver differential controlled impedance PCBs is 2.3 dB at 26.56 GHz". This is the same as the 2.3 dB MCB PCB IL (but why?), and (ignoring connector via loss) 1/3 of the maximum host trace loss (6.875 dB). 92A.4 and 136A.4 use a ratio of 0.086/0.5 or 1/5.8 which allows more flexibility in host layout than 1/3 does. 120G has Host insertion loss up to 11.9 dB, and I didn't find a minimum host loss, although very low loss could be more of a concern in C2M than CR.

SuggestedRemedy

Reduce the recommended minimum insertion loss allocation for the CR transmitter or receiver differential controlled impedance PCBs to whatever is justified. If the reasonable limit is a strong function of host package reflection, state whether the recommendation is for a "nominal worst" package, or what. Add a recommended minimum insertion loss for C2M host traces as appropriate.

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

Slides 4 and 5 of the following presentation were reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr28_21/dawe_3ck_adhoc_01_042821.pdf

Slide 3 of the following presentation were reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/diminico_3ck_04b_0521.pdf

The IL pcb min and max are derived on the basis of PCB material IL and via IL . The PCB IL assumed is 1.24 dB/in and via of 0.68 dB @26.56 GHz. With consideration for maintaining reasonable minimum length while allowing loss between TX and connector.

 $IIpcb(min)=(0.76 in^{1.24} dB/in)+(2^{0.68}) dB = ~ 2.3 dB.$

The MCB PCB IL is the same to emulate min host IL.

Cl 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 252	L 16	# 183
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		RR CTLE

The limits for TP4 gDC, gDC2 should not be the same for short and long output modes.

SuggestedRemedy

Create separate limits for TP4 short and long output modes.

Response	Response Status	U	
----------	-----------------	---	--

REJECT.

The comment does not provide sufficient justification to support any changes and the suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement.

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.5	P 159	L 13	# 184
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		ERL Tfx

Investigations of the effect of the Time-gated propagation delay on practical HCB's has shown that the input RF connector is affecting the ERL unless the 200 ps is increased to approx 300ps. 300ps is still adequately short to not affect the measurement of the device under test. i.e. The value used for Tfx does not sufficiently mitigate the effects of reflections from the test connector. See dudek_3ck_adhoc_01a_041421

SuggestedRemedy

Change the value from 0.2ns to 0.3ns. Also on page 167 line 44.

Response ACCEF	PT.	Response Status C		
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1.	2 <i>P</i> 238	L 41	# 185
Dudek, Mik	e	Marvell		
Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status A		TP1 ERL Tfx

Investigations of the effect of the Time-gated propagation delay on practical HCB's has shown that the input RF connector is affecting the ERL unless the 200 ps is increased to approx 300ps. 300ps is still adequately short to not affect the measurement of the device under test. i.e. The value used for Tfx does not sufficiently mitigate the effects of reflections from the test connector. See dudek 3ck adhoc 01a 041421

SuggestedRemedy

Change the value from 0.2ns to 0.3ns also on page 242 line 41

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 163	SC 163.10	P 193	L 43	# 186	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	.2 P 241	L 13	# 188
Dudek, Mike		Marvell			Dudek, Mik	e	Marvell		
Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status A		channel summary	Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A		TP3 XTALK
		L listed here with a duplicate requirements aren't listed.	e "shall" to 163.1	0.3 but COM (or the			that is asking for a "long mo will be less, helping the mod		
SuggestedRe	emedy				Suggested	Remedy			
		entences here or change the in 163.10.1 and 163.10.3 to		e to "Channels shall	table 1	20G-1 Change t	me of 10ps with short mode he existing row to be for "wh	ien requesting sh	ort mode" and add
Response		Response Status C					15ps for "transition time (mi		
	IN PRINCIPL						15 line 53 change to "and tra de as measured at TP1a"		ps with short mode
Resolve	using the resp	onse to comment #16 and #7	17.		Response		Response Status C		
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	P 240	L 8	# 187	ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	•		
Dudek, Mike		Marvell						handland and family	
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		TP3 DPPV			presentation was reviewed l g/3/ck/public/adhoc/jan06_2		
		blitude allowed for the module nore difficult for the host rece					ed remedy with editorial lice		
SuggestedRe	emedy								
		fferential peak-to-peak outpu e". Leave the "long mode" a							
Response		Response Status C							
		E.							

Resolve using the response to comment #206.

C/ 163	SC 163.9	9.2	P 187	L 45	# 189	C/ 162C	SC 162C	.1	P 277	L 54	# 190
udek, Mi	ke		Marvell			Dudek, Mik	ke		Marvell		
omment	Type TR	Commen	t Status R		TX dERL (CC)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment	Status A	MDI i	nteroperability (bucket3
reflect					h substantially worse have a presentation	utilized	connector.	it would be good t	to specify whic	h signals are ass	igned in a partially
	Remedy					Suggested	,				
	-	to -1dB also for (C2C in Table 12	0F-1		Add a s used"	sentence. "	When a connector	'is not fully util	lized the lower PN	ID numbers should be
esponse		Response	Status U			Response		Response S	Status C		
https:/ https:/	llowing pres //www.ieee8(//www.ieee8()2.org/3/ck/public	c/21_05/dudek_3 c/21_05/wu_3ck_	3ck_01_0521.pdf _02_0521.pdf		Add the "When should Also, ir	be used." n Table 1620	entence:			s (see Table 162C-2)
dERL		its of straw polls	#2 and #3 there	IS NO CONSENSUS	to change the value of	C/ 116	SC 116.1	.4	P 92	L 54	# 191
-	r's note: CC: poll #2 pick					Dudek, Mik Comment T	Туре Т	Comment are not listed usir		o to chin and chin	(bucket)
Straw	poll #3 chica	ago rules				Suggested			ig the next enq		
A: no	R and C2C T change, -3 d inge to -1 dE		lue, I support th	e following:		bring th	he tables for	the 200G and 400 to the tables.)G from clause	e 116 into the doc	ument and add the
C: nee	ed more info					Response		Response S	Status C		
	B: 11 C: 9 B: 14 C: 26					ACCE	PT.				
						C/ 162	SC 162.7		P 147	L 34	# 192
						Dudek, Mik	ke		Marvell		
						Comment T Improv	<i>Type</i> E ve English	Comment	Status A		(bucket)
						Suggested change	<i>Remedy</i> e "provide" to	o "provided"			
						Response		Response S	Status C		

C/ 162 SC 162.7	P 146	L 28	# 193	C/ 162 SC 162.9.	4.3.3 <i>P</i> 162	L 18	# 196
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		(bucket2
Draft should be cons	sistent format for the PMD con	trol and status reg	gisters.	There are no mofica	ations to COM paramters in Tal	ole 162-14.	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Delete the "to" to ma	atch table 162-5.				Note that if this is done then st	ep f on page 162	line 20 will become
Response	Response Status C			step e).			
ACCEPT.				Response	Response Status C		
			"	ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.		
C/ 162 SC 162.9.3		<i>L</i> 31	# 194	[Editor's note: This r	esponse was updated on 2021	/5/18.]	
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Delete 'terre "b')" ered	land and a Pathona and a	and a final second s	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Delete Item "b)" and	I renumber the list items appro	orlately.	
There are now five p	reset conditions			C/ 162 SC 162.9.	4.3.3 P 162	L 36	# 197
SuggestedRemedy				Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
Change "three" to "fi	ve"			Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		RIT SNDF
Response	Response Status C			SNDR should be me	easured as appropriate for this	clause not as for	C2C at 25G.
ACCEPT IN PRINCI				SuggestedRemedy			
Resolve using the re	sponse to comment 136.			Change "SNDR is m	neasured at the Tx test referen	ce using the proce	edure in 120D.3.1.6,
C/ 162 SC 162.9.4	4.3.2 <i>P</i> 162	L 4	# 195		hat the linear fit in120D.3.1.3 is		
Dudek, Mike	Marvell				measured at the Tx test refere	nce using the pro	cedure in 162.9.3.3
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		RIT channel	Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C		
An extra exception is	s needed for the test channel le	oss.		ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.		
SuggestedRemedy					ntation, supporting comment #		d by the task force:
	channel is the same as the or			https://www.ieee802	2.org/3/ck/public/21_05/wu_3ck	_01a_0521.pdf	
requirements of table	ets the requirements of 162.11 e 162-14 and the cable assem			The reference to 16 the Np value to 200.	2.9.3.3 as proposed in the sug	gested remedy wo	ould effectively change
of 162B.1.2." Response	Response Status C			Comment #228 pror	poses that the Np value should	he 29	
00000100				0011110111 #220 prop		SO 20.	
ACCEPT.							

0.400	00 400 0 4 0		1.40	"					// <u> </u>
C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.3.		L 42	# 198		SC 162.11.5	<i>P</i> 168	L 41	# 201
Dudek, Mil		Marvell			Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment		Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment Type		Comment Status R		CL-IL difference
93A.1.	2.1 and 93A.1.2.4	I have been brought into thi	s amendment.				mon mode conversion loss spe As an example at 25GHz this		
Suggested	lRemedy						There is no specifiction for the		
Make	these references	standard hot links.					all this common mode energy		
Response		Response Status C					n mode to differential conversi ming this common mode to dif		
ACCE	PT.						erential to common mode conv		
01.400	00 400 0 4 0		1.0	"		interferer is o	only 18.5dB below the wanted	signal and will	severely degrade the
C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.6	P 165	L 9	# 199	BER.				
Dudek, Mil		Marvell			SuggestedRer		:		
Comment		Comment Status A		(bucket1)		to this equat			
It woul	d be helpful to ha	ve a graph showing this equ	lation.		<i>Response</i> REJECT.		Response Status U		
Transr	add a separate g	raph or reference figure 162 ode to differential return loss			correlation		tightening of the limit is not ob adation of the BER is not provi P169		# 202
Response		Response Status C			Dudek. Mike		Marvell	200	11 202
	PT IN PRINCIPLI				Comment Type	- -	Comment Status A		/hughat1
Resolv	ve using the respo	onse to comment #168.			51		ment. It should be a hot link		(bucket1)
C/ 162	SC 162.11.3	P 167	L 25	# 200					
Dudek, Mil	ke .	Marvell			SuggestedRer	neay			
Comment		Comment Status A		(bucket1)	fix it.				
	should be a hot li			(2000)	Response		Response Status C		
Suggested	IRomody				ACCEPT.				
Suggested	in control y								
fix it									
fix it.									
fix it. <i>Response</i> ACCE	DT.	Response Status C							

C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.	1 P 171	L 42	# 203	C/ 163	SC 1	63.10.1	P 195	L 21	# 205
Dudek, Mik	-	Marvell			Healey, Ad			Broadcom Inc		
Comment		Comment Status A		CA COM PCB	Comment		TR	Comment Status A		COM bmax
capaci	tors or not. Her on 162-14) they o	whether the transmitter and e the description implies that do.			Force of that un	do not ju expecte	stify such	enerous (0.2) for taps up to I a high limit. The limit shoul ls will meet the minimum CC ult to handle.	d be tightened	to reduce the chance
00	2	er and receiver PCB signal pa	aths are calcu	lated using the method	Suggested	Remedy	/			
		he scattering parameters for			Chang	e the br	nax limit f	or n = 7 to Nb to be 0.1. Mak	e a similar cha	inge to Table 162-16.
" The s defined	scattering param	uation (93A–14)and the para eters for a PCB transmission ing Equation (93A–13), Equa 62–19."	line are calc	ulated using the method	Response ACCEI	PT IN PI	RINCIPLE	Response Status C		
		ed remedy with editorial licer	nse.		https:// In Tabl Make a	www.iee le 163-1 a similar	ee802.org 0, change	the following related presen /3/ck/public/21_05/healey_3 the bb_max limit for n = 7 to o Table 162-18. 163]	ck_01_0521.pd	
C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.		L 1	# 204	C/ 120G	SC 1	20G.3.2	P 240	L 8	# 206
Dudek, Mik		Marvell			Healey, Ad		2001012	Broadcom Inc		11 200
Comment	<i>)</i>	Comment Status A the aggressors are in column		CA COM XTALK (bucket3)	Comment		TR	Comment Status A		TP3 DPPV
separa <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ite columns for n <i>Remedy</i> e to "the crossta		Ū		The ma should dynam propos	aximum be redu ic range al for m	differentia Iced. A lor that the l	al peak-to-peak output voltag wer output amplitude for "sho teceiver needs to suppo dule output modes. Howeve	ort" mode woul	t" module output mode d reduce the input art of the original
Response		Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy	/			
			the former she	in the survey states		e the ma		ifferential peak-to-peak outp	ut voltage to 60	00 mV for the "short"
		hange text and Table 162-20 following presentation:	the form sho	wn in the upper right	Response			Response Status C		
portion		g/3/ck/public/21_05/diminico			ACCE					

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.3.4	P 163	L 23	# 207	C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.3.3	.1	P 246	L 13	# 208
lealey, A	dam	Broadcom	Inc.		Healey, Ada	am			Broadcom In	с.	
Comment	t Type TR	Comment Status A		RIT noise	Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comme	nt Status A		TP4 SIT eye opening
undef "broa differd pass peforn Suggeste Boun The s is pre Response ACCE The fe https: With prese	fined. Since noise i dband" noise will b ent stress from the ver for the Clause 7 filtered noise is les mance under norm <i>dRemedy</i> d the spectrum of t spectrum should be sented to the recei e EPT IN PRINCIPLE ollowing presentati c//www.ieee802.org editorial license, im	s "realistic" and test resu al operating conditions. he broadband noise in a bounded to be more hig ver (similar to Clause 16 <i>Response Status</i> C on was reviewed by the /3/ck/public/21_05/heale	nerator output is fil input to the receive bounded spectral of e test. It could also ults may not represe manner similar to ph-pass in nature so 3 stress). task force: by_3ck_02a_0521.p oposed on slides 8	tered by the channel, er under test. This is a density) injected at the b be argued that the low- ent receiver what is done in 93C.1. b that band-pass noise	general voltage three e eye clo general <i>Suggestedl</i> Change "Rando differen to resul CTLE t To: "Rando adjuste differen Make a <i>Response</i> ACCEF	tor out tolera yes gir sure." tor out Remed a: m jitte tial pe tial pe tial pe tial pe tial pe tial pe tial pe tial pe tial pe tial pe	tput levels a ance specifi ven in Table The term " tput amplitu dy er and the p eak-to-peak e eye heigh inimizes the er and the p hat the heig eak-to-peak ar change to PRINCIPLE	attern gen ht of the s input volta t for all the vertical e attern gen ht of the s input volta t 20G.3.4 <i>Respons</i>	ed (without excee shown in Table 1 with the setting c els" is ambiguous lividual PAM-4 si erator output leve age tolerance spe ee eyes given in ye closure." erator differentia mallest eye mate	ding the differe 20G–7) to resul of the CTLE that is. It could be int gnal levels". The els are adjusted ecification as sh Table 120G–8 I peak-to-peak of ches the value i en in Table 120 line 10).	n jitter and the pattern ntial peak-to-peak input It in the eye height for all t minimizes the vertical terpreted to be "pattern is needs to be clarified. d (without exceeding the nown in Table 120G–7) with the setting of the putput voltage are n Table 120G-8. The I)G-7 is not exceeded."

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	.3.3	P 163	L 6	# 209	C/ 162B	SC	162B.1.3.6	6 P	273	L 30	# 210
Healey, A	Adam		Broadcom Inc) .		Kocsis, Sar	n		Amj	ohenol		
Commen	t Type TR	Com	ment Status A		RIT jitter (CC)	Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Statu	s D		withdrawn
shou giver than (base issue	Id be derived from a value of Q3 will what is measure and on COM) will a has been point	m 10 ⁽⁻³⁾ l corresponed from the in turn be ed out in	and not 10^(-3)/2. Th nd to a dual-Dirac dis e pattern generator. ⁻ somewhat higher res	he A_DD and si stribution with a The calibrated in sulting in a level	tisfied, The Q3 value gma_RJ derived for the smaller value of J3u nterference amplitude of overstress. This adhoc_01_041421.pdf>.	discuss change <i>Suggested</i> i	sion or e. Remed e be 40	h this topic. dy 0.000 GHz	This could be dee	emed ec		s just a typo given the s tehcnical impact to the
Suggeste	edRemedy					•		REJECT.	Response Status	> ∠		
solut	ion of Q(Q3) = 1	0^(-3), wh	02. Change NOTE 1 ere…". Make a simila	ar change to 16	s an approximated 3.9.3.4 (page 192, line d solution of Q(Q4) =	_			HDRAWN by the	commer	nter.	
10^(-	4)) is 3.719 as a	in exception	on to the use of Equa	ation (120D–10)	and Equation	C/ 162B	SC	162B.1.3.6	в Р	273	L 42	# 211
``	D–11).					Kocsis, Sar	n		Am	ohenol		
Respons		'	onse Status C			Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Statu	s A		MTF XTALK
The f	://www.ieee802.	tations we org/3/ck/p	re reviewed by the ta ublic/adhoc/apr14_2 ublic/21_05/li_3ck_0	1/hidaka_3ck_a	udhoc_01_041421.pdf.	during	D1p4 o r FOM tions.	comment re _ILD calcul	esolution that 8.5p	s was a	more practical va	group determined alue for the rise and fall hould be applied to ICN
[Edite	or's note: CC: 10	62, 163, 12	20F]			Change	e to 8.	5ps to mate	ch the FOM_ILD d	efinition	is in 162B.1.3.1	
	ement the sugge ble names Q3 te			nse with the exc	eption to change the	Response ACCEF	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE	Response Status	6 C		
	s noted that son uraged in this re		tion of this approach	might be helpf	ul. Further work is	Per stra	awpoll	#11 there i	s consensus to m	ake the	proposed change	9.
Strav For c meth A: pe B: pe (Yas C: hy D: No E: No #4: A	od as follows: er suggested ren er suggested ren uo Hidaka)	ne) parametel nedy in col nedy in col roposed ir ation D: 11 E: :	n li_3ck_02c_0521 (N	Healey) 135 and hidaka_	port adopting the _3ck_adhoc_01_041421	Strawp I suppo	oll #11 ort chai tions f	e suggeste (decision): nging trans rom 7.5 ps	: ition time for SFP	+ and m	nulti-lane MTF cro	sstalk for ICN

C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.6	P 274	L 2	# 212	Cl 45	SC 45.2.1.1	26a P 53	L	# 214	
ocsis, Sam	Amphenol			He, Xiang		Huawei			
Comment Type TR Con	nment Status D		withdrawn	Comment 7	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Status R		counte	ər size
NEXT_loss(f) range specified i discussion on this topic. This c change.				below s		too short for some of the c ration time for the lower bin			
SuggestedRemedy Change to 40.000 GHz					Minutes to sat	urate			
	anaa Statua 7				2.5 4.6				
PROPOSED REJECT.	oonse Status Z				12.7 46.9 217				
This comment was WITHDRA	WN by the commente	r.		э 	217				
CI 162B SC 162B.1.3.6	P 274	L 18	# 213			rors, bin 2 and 3 will satura ly may not be able to provi		ion.	
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Suggestedl	Remedy				
Comment Type TR Con Table 162B-4 rise and fall time	nment Status A		MTF XTALK	Increas	e the size of co	ounters for bin 1~3, if not for	or all, to 48 bits.		
time for FOM_ILD calculations calculations. SuggestedRemedy Change to 8.5ps to match the Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Per strawpoll #11 (see the resp proposed change.	FOM_ILD definitions in boonse Status C	n 162B.1.3.1		needs t For sys of grea The im bins. E enough If the lo	enting 48-bit co to be good justi tem debug, it is test interest, th portant information to information to	are seen to be saturated, f	ange. vord error bins that t slowly. vrrectable codewor there are 12 more	are not zero which d ratio is in the high bins that contain	
				C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 219	L 22	# 215	
Implement the suggested remo	edy.			He, Xiang		Huawei			
				Comment 7	<i>уре</i> Е	Comment Status A		abbrevi	ations
				A dot is	added to the a	abbreviated word "abs" in the	his table but not in	the others.	
				Suggestedl	Remedy				
				Change	e "abs." to "abs	or add the dot for all othe	r occurances.		
				In addii is not g In Tabl In Tabl	ood. e 120F-1, chan	ern expressed in the comm ge "abs." to "absolute valu able 163-5, change "abs" t	e of".	·	me
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/e COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatche SORT ORDER: Comment ID					U/unsatisfied		nment ID 215	Page 56 c 2021-06-0	

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 162D SC 162D.1	P 289	L 14	# 216	C/ 162	SC 162.14.4.3	3	P 178	L 43	# 219
DiMinico, Christopher	MC Communic	cations		Wu, Mau-Lin			MediaTek Ind	c.	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment Ty	be ER	Comment S	Status A		(bucket1
There are six MDI con them by "type" is unec	nector "receptacles" destinguis essary.	shed uniquely by	y name, referring to	The 'Feat SuggestedRe	ture' of 'TC5' is	s not correct.			
connectors "receptacle P289; Line 32 change different combinations	ypes of" in the sentence "The s" specified for hosts." sentence to "This enables mu of the plug connectors at each 162D-2 delete "type" two place	Itiple cable asse	mbly types with	Change "	Differential mo al output return		'Feature' of 'T		Common-mode to
P290; Line 32 in Table	162D-3 delete "type" two place	ces "Receptacle	/Plug type"	C/ 163	SC 163.1		P 181	L 9	# 220
	162D–4 delete "type" two place	ces "Receptacle	Plug type"	Wu, Mau-Lin			MediaTek Ind	C.	
Response	Response Status W			Comment Ty	be E	Comment S	Status A		(bucket1
ACCEPT.				There are	e no descriptior	ns for Annex 1	163B in the pa	ragraph.	
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3	.1 <i>P</i> 269	L1	# 217	SuggestedRe	emedy				
	.1 <i>P</i> 269 Molex	L 1	# 217	Add the f	ollowing senter			ragraph of 163.1	
Cl 162B SC 162B.1.3 Haser, Alex Comment Type T		L 1	# 217 (bucket1)	Add the f "Annex 1	ollowing senter 63B provides ir				Overview. ture meeting the
Haser, Alex Comment Type T	Molex		(bucket1)	Add the f "Annex 1 requirem	ollowing senter	nformative info	ormation of ar		
Haser, Alex Comment Type T IL_MTFref(26.56 GHz)	Molex Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Add the f "Annex 1 requirem Response	ollowing senter 63B provides ir ents for TP0v"	nformative info Response S	ormation of ar		
Haser, Alex Comment Type T IL_MTFref(26.56 GHz) SuggestedRemedy	Molex Comment Status A does not match the 6.60 dB s -5; change coefficient out fron	specified in 162E	<i>(bucket1)</i> 3.1 (page 266 line 20).	Add the f "Annex 1 requirem <i>Response</i> ACCEPT With edit Remove	ollowing senter 63B provides in ents for TP0v" IN PRINCIPLE orial license im the last senten	nformative info Response S E. pplement the fo ace of the first	ormation of ar Status C ollowing. paragraph.		
Haser, Alex Comment Type T IL_MTFref(26.56 GHz) SuggestedRemedy Update Equation 162B 6.60 dB value at 26.56	Molex Comment Status A does not match the 6.60 dB s -5; change coefficient out fron	specified in 162E	<i>(bucket1)</i> 3.1 (page 266 line 20).	Add the f "Annex 1 requirem <i>Response</i> ACCEPT With edit Remove Insert a s	ollowing senter 63B provides in ents for TP0v" IN PRINCIPLE orial license im the last senten econd paragra	nformative info Response S E. aplement the force of the first aph as follows:	ormation of ar Status C ollowing. paragraph.	n example test fix	ture meeting the
Haser, Alex Comment Type T IL_MTFref(26.56 GHz) SuggestedRemedy Update Equation 162B 6.60 dB value at 26.56	Molex Comment Status A does not match the 6.60 dB s -5; change coefficient out fron GHz	specified in 162E	<i>(bucket1)</i> 3.1 (page 266 line 20).	Add the f "Annex 1 requirem <i>Response</i> ACCEPT With edit Remove Insert a s "There ar points for	ollowing senter 63B provides in ents for TP0v" IN PRINCIPLE prial license im the last senten econd paragra e two associat backplane and	nformative info Response S E. oplement the fo icce of the first iph as follows: ied Annexes. /	ormation of ar Status C ollowing. paragraph. : Annex 163A p	n example test fix rovides measure	
Haser, Alex Comment Type T IL_MTFref(26.56 GHz) SuggestedRemedy Update Equation 162B 6.60 dB value at 26.56 Response	Molex Comment Status A does not match the 6.60 dB s -5; change coefficient out fron GHz Response Status C	specified in 162E	<i>(bucket1)</i> 3.1 (page 266 line 20).	Add the f "Annex 1 requirem <i>Response</i> ACCEPT With edit Remove Insert a s "There ar points for example	ollowing senter 63B provides in ents for TP0v" IN PRINCIPLE orial license im the last senten econd paragra e two associat	nformative info Response S E. aplement the for acc of the first aph as follows: ed Annexes. / d chip-to-chip	ormation of ar Status C ollowing. paragraph. : Annex 163A p	n example test fix rovides measure	ture meeting the ment methods and test
Haser, Alex Comment Type T IL_MTFref(26.56 GHz) SuggestedRemedy Update Equation 162B 6.60 dB value at 26.56 Response ACCEPT. C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3	Molex Comment Status A does not match the 6.60 dB s -5; change coefficient out fron GHz Response Status C	specified in 162E t from 0.9505 to	<i>(bucket1)</i> 3.1 (page 266 line 20). 9 0.942 to get correct	Add the f "Annex 1 requirem <i>Response</i> ACCEPT With edit Remove Insert a s "There ar points for example	ollowing senter 63B provides in ents for TP0v" IN PRINCIPLE orial license im the last senten econd paragra e two associat backplane and test fixture."	nformative info Response S E. aplement the for acc of the first aph as follows: ed Annexes. / d chip-to-chip	ormation of ar Status C ollowing. paragraph. : Annex 163A p	n example test fix rovides measure	ture meeting the m
Haser, Alex Comment Type T IL_MTFref(26.56 GHz) SuggestedRemedy Update Equation 162B 6.60 dB value at 26.56 Response ACCEPT.	Molex <i>Comment Status</i> A does not match the 6.60 dB s -5; change coefficient out from GHz <i>Response Status</i> C .1 <i>P</i> 269 Molex <i>Comment Status</i> A	specified in 162E t from 0.9505 to	<i>(bucket1)</i> 3.1 (page 266 line 20). 9 0.942 to get correct	Add the f "Annex 1 requirem <i>Response</i> ACCEPT With edit Remove Insert a s "There ar points for example	ollowing senter 63B provides in ents for TP0v" IN PRINCIPLE orial license im the last senten econd paragra e two associat backplane and test fixture."	nformative info Response S E. aplement the for acc of the first aph as follows: ed Annexes. / d chip-to-chip	ormation of ar Status C ollowing. paragraph. : Annex 163A p	n example test fix rovides measure	ture meeting the ment methods and test
Haser, Alex Comment Type T IL_MTFref(26.56 GHz) SuggestedRemedy Update Equation 162B 6.60 dB value at 26.56 Response ACCEPT. C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3 Haser, Alex Comment Type T FOM_ILD limit is too st SuggestedRemedy	Molex <i>Comment Status</i> A does not match the 6.60 dB s -5; change coefficient out from GHz <i>Response Status</i> C .1 <i>P</i> 269 Molex <i>Comment Status</i> A	specified in 162E t from 0.9505 to <i>L</i> 36	<i>(bucket1)</i> 3.1 (page 266 line 20). 9 0.942 to get correct # 218 <i>MTF FOMILD</i>	Add the f "Annex 1 requirem <i>Response</i> ACCEPT With edit Remove Insert a s "There ar points for example	ollowing senter 63B provides in ents for TP0v" IN PRINCIPLE orial license im the last senten econd paragra e two associat backplane and test fixture."	nformative info Response S E. aplement the for acc of the first aph as follows: ed Annexes. / d chip-to-chip	ormation of ar Status C ollowing. paragraph. : Annex 163A p	n example test fix rovides measure	ture meeting the m

C/ 120G SC 120G	.1 P 235	L 36	# 221	C/ 120G S	C 120G.3.2	2.1	P 240	L 37	# 223
Wu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc.			Wu, Mau-Lin			MediaTek Inc.		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		OIF reference (bucket1)	Comment Type	e TR	Commen	t Status R	odule	e output modes (bucket3)
"The C2M interface that used for CEI-1	v refers to CEI-112G-VSR-PAM4 is defined using a specification a 12G-VSR-PAM4 defined in OIF-C 05.0 doesn't exist yet.	nd test method	dology that is similar to	L C2M, an "Host elect	d etc. define rical interfac	ed for "Host e ce" were four		". However, no becification. Bas	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRen	nedy				
Propose to remove	this sentence				ither add the	e definitions of	of 100GAUI-1-S &	& 100GAUI-1-L	C2M or remove Table
Response	Response Status C			120G-4.					
ACCEPT IN PRINC				Response		Response	Status W		
the OIF in any deriv here:	I-112G-VSR-PAM4, past OIF liais /ative work". For reference, a URL 2.org/3/ck/private/OIF_liaison_lett	to the latest li	aison letter is provided	to be used		· standards d			to a label in column 3 he label is defined by
	e in 120G.1 indicating that the refe	erenced CEI de	ocument is expected	C/ 120G S	C 120G.3.4	.1.1	P 249	L 8	# 224
and that the referen	nce is to be removed at 802.3ck p			Wu. Mau-Lin			MediaTek Inc.		
not yet published.	the editor's note to indicate only	that the docum	nent is expected to be	Comment Type	TR	Commen	t Status R		module input SI
	nd that the bibliography entry is to			is 18.2 dB,	which is 16 2.2 dB is too	dB channl lo	on added from ou oss with 2.2 dB fo le for host transm	r host transmitt	
Wu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc.			SuggestedRen	nedv				
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket1)		•	opted in OIF	CEI-112G-VSR-F	PAM4, propose	to adopt the 19.5 dB
	g is not used as a specification in the following sentence is not app		eye closure is used	value to re reasonable		B, where 3.5	dB representing	host transmitte	r package loss is
"Eye height and Ve	rtical eye opening are measured a		e method described in	Response		Response	Status U		
102G.5.2."				REJECT.					
				The second		فيسمع بأمام منيق	Gelent evidence t		and all all and an
		"د		The comm	ent does no	t provide sur	ficient evidence to	o make the prop	bosed change.
•••	e opening" to "vertical eye closure								
SuggestedRemedy Change "vertical ey Response	e opening" to "vertical eye closure Response Status W			Further wo	rk and a cor	nsensus prop	osal on this topic	is encouraged	

C/ 163B SC 163B	.2 P 297	L 25	# 225	C/ 162	SC 162.9.	4.3.3	P 162	L 36	# 228
Vu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc			Wu, Mau-Li	in		MediaTek Ind		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment 7	Type TR	Corr	nment Status A		RIT SNDF
Equation (163-1) is	the wrong reference. It shall be	"Equation (163B	-1)".						linear fit in 120D.3.1.3
SuggestedRemedy							h (N_p) of 15 UI. The onse', such as reflec		_p) shall be long age length.In this case,
	(163-1)" to "Equation (163B-1)" ir of the example test fixture is app e 163B-1."			the cal The 15	culated SND UI spec here	R includes e is the san	nonlinearity only, ins	tead of the far-a	way 'linear' reflection. sonable for 100GBASE-
Response	Response Status W			In 'li_3o value. I	k_01_1020', n that contril	the author oution, N_p	s proposed to consid = 29 was proposed	ler TX + RX EQ (for Clause 163. I	capability to decide N_p found no clues why we
ACCEPT.				have di	fferent N_p v	alue for Cl	ause 162, since their	TX + RX EQ ca	pability are similar.
C/FM SC 0	P 3	L 2	# 226	Suggested	Remedy				
Vu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc						n to at least cover ref		ckage trace length, stant, D_k, as in the
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	range o	of 3.5 ~ 4.0, t	he location	of reflection due to 3	31 mm trace leng	th is around 22 ~ 24
Annex 163A throug	h Annex 163B are lost here.				ter main curs ed to N_p va		ore, adopt N_p = 29 a	as Clause 163 se	ems reasonable.
SuggestedRemedy				Response			onse Status C		
	o IEEE Std 802.3-2018 adds Cla				PT IN PRINC	'			
120F, Annex 120G 163B."	, Annex 162A through Annex 162	D, and Annex 1	63A through Annex	Resolv	e using the r	esponse to	comment #197.		
Response	Response Status W			C/ FM	SC FM		<i>P</i> 1	L 10	# 229
ACCEPT IN PRINC				Grow, Robe	ert		RMG Consul	ting	
	nged clause from 00 to FM.] response to comment #93.			Comment 7	Гуре Е	Com	nment Status A		(bucket1,
	•	1.00	# 007				ng at line 28, it appe	ars the TF is pla	nning to be included in
	P14	L 29	# 227		rent revision	project.			
Vu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc		(humling to)	Suggestedl	<i>Remedy</i> signed amer	dment nun	aber 16		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A h Annex 163B are lost here.		(bucket1)		Signed amer				
	In Annex 105D are lost here.			Response ACCEF	т	Resp	onse Status C		
SuggestedRemedy Change the setence	o to			ACCEI	1.				
"This amendment t	o IEEE Std 802.3-2018 adds Cla , Annex 162A through Annex 162								
Response	Response Status W								
ACCEPT IN PRING	CIPLE. nged clause from 00 to FM.]								

RMG Consul Status A Standards Sty Note. Status C P 8 RMG Consul Status A	yle Manual, 11.1).	(bucket1) # 2 <u>31</u>	rather t Suggested Move th and 120 Response ACCEF	ype E I be better to put han scattered the Remedy he peak-to-peak DG.3.4.1.1 to Tab	voltage and transition time n	the stressed inp						
Standards Sty Note. Status C P8 RMG Consul	L 21		It would rather t Suggested/ Move th and 120 Response ACCEF	be better to put han scattered the Remedy he peak-to-peak)G.3.4.1.1 to Tab	the crosstalk parameters in rough the text. voltage and transition time r ble 120G-8 and 120G-11	the stressed inp	but parameters tables					
Note. Status C P8 RMG Consul	L 21	# [231	rather t Suggested Move th and 120 Response ACCEF	han scattered thi Remedy he peak-to-peak)G.3.4.1.1 to Tal	rough the text. voltage and transition time r ble 120G-8 and 120G-11							
Status C P 8 RMG Consul		# 231	Move th and 120 <i>Response</i> ACCEF	ne peak-to-peak DG.3.4.1.1 to Tal	ble 120G-8 and 120G-11	numbers from the	ext of 120G.3.3.3.1					
Status C P 8 RMG Consul		# 231	and 120 <i>Response</i> ACCEF)G.3.4.1.1 to Tal	ble 120G-8 and 120G-11	numbers from the	e text of 120G.3.3.3.1					
RMG Consul		# 231	ACCEF		Response Status C	Move the peak-to-peak voltage and transition time numbers from the text of 120G.3.3.3.1 and 120G.3.4.1.1 to Table 120G-8 and 120G-11						
RMG Consul		# 231		T IN PRINCIPLE								
	inting				ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.							
		(bucket1)	C/ 120G	SC 120G.1	P 235	L 38	# 234					
		(Buoker)	Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia							
			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status R		precoding					
2.3ck WG bal	llot				MD channels have not need							
Status C			loss (10 dB for C2M CAUI-4, 10.2 for 200GAUI-4 C2M, 16 for 400GAUI-4) is low enough that CR and KR PMDs don't need a very strong DFE when used as C2M. Therefore, we never have precoding on C2M at 50G/lane - simple. At 100G/lane, links such as active copper cables will benefit from a very strong DFE in the receiver in the cable end that's									
P 11	L 4	# 232			loss in the cable. 802.3 ena							
RMG Consul	lting		specs; up until now there was nothing more to say, so they don't get a mention in 802.3 Adding precoding after the signal has been serialised is best avoided, so it should be added in the host, so for the first time, there is something that 802.3 should do specifica about active cables.									
Status A	Ū	(bucket1)										
			Suggested	Remedy								
SuggestedRemedy Replace "Amendment title (copy from PAR)" with the title.					Allow optional precoding abilities in 100G/lane C2M transmitters and receivers in the h Add MDIO registers to advertise these abilities and to enable them.							
Status C			Response		Response Status U							
			REJEC	Т.								
			necess remove Precod coordin precodi	Precoding if used is added and removed by the PMA at each end of a physical link as necessary. Similarly, an active cable can add precoding at the transmitter at one end and remove the precoding at the other end. Precoding must be enabled (or disabled) on both Tx and Rx in the same direction; this is coordinated using training for CR/KR or by station management for C2C. Applying precoding internally within an active cable is still possible.								
				necessa remove Precodi coordin precodi	necessary. Similarly, ar remove the precoding a Precoding must be enal coordinated using trainii precoding internally with	necessary. Similarly, an active cable can add preco remove the precoding at the other end. Precoding must be enabled (or disabled) on both T coordinated using training for CR/KR or by station n	necessary. Similarly, an active cable can add precoding at the transmemove the precoding at the other end. Precoding must be enabled (or disabled) on both Tx and Rx in the sa coordinated using training for CR/KR or by station management for 0 precoding internally within an active cable is still possible.					

C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 171	L 31	# 235	C/ 162C	SC 162C	2.4	P 283	L 41	# 237	
Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia			Zhang, Bo			Inphi			
Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE				Comment Type T Comment Status A MDI nomenclature (bucket							
The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 clipped at +/-0.05 - which means that the channel's pulse response could be a little worse than +/-0.05 for all these 9 taps. That's a very bad cable! and not likely to get made. We don't need to provide all the receiver power and complexity to cope with it.					QSFP+ is meant for 4x10G 40G pluggable connector transceivers. I believe this section is meant for QSFP families such as QSFP28, QSFP56, QSFP-DD etc. SuggestedRemedy						
Use another DFE root-sum-of-squares limit for positions 13-24. Similarly in 163, but as					Response		Res	ponse Status C			
	163 specifies the complete channel while 162 uses clean synthetic host traces, the limit might differ.					ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Response		Response Status U						a normative reference			
REJEC	ст							emedy. However, the r specification.	eterence text sho	build be updated to	
The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient evidence that this is an issue and that the proposed change would not cause new issues.					Change: "connectors meeting the requirements of (QSFP+)" To: "connectors meeting the requirements of SFF-8665"						
C/ 162						Also, for SFP+ on page 281, line 6 Change: "meeting the requirements of (SFP+)" To: "meeting the requirements of SFF-8432" Resolve using the response to comment #45.					
Li, Mike											
Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status A		PRBS9Q		e using the i	esponse t	o comment #40.			
		ion is incomplete, and PRB	S9Q symbol tran	sition definition for EOJ	C/ 162	SC 162.1		P 140	L 7	# 238	
measurement is missing.					Zhang, Bo			Inphi			
Suggested	SuggestedRemedy					ype E	Co	mment Status R		wording (bucket1)	
 change "PRBS9Q is defined in a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1) except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial 					When -CRx interfaces are first introduced in the overview section of clause 162. It's not clear the definition is properly referenced.						
		RBS9Q is defined in 162.9.	3.4.1. a similar w	av to	Suggestedl	Remedy					
PRBS1 the poly	13Q (see 120.5.1 ynomial	1.2.1), except that the polyr	nomial in Table 68	3-6 is used instead of	Sugges introdu		kage of th	e definition of -CRx wi	th -CRx interface	s when they are first	
in Equation 94-3."; 2.) Add a new sentence of "The symbol transition definition for jitter measurement and even-odd jitter calculation with PRBS9Q is provided in 162.9.3.4.1; 3.) Create a new section 162.9.3.4.1 entiled "EOJ measuement with PRBS9Q", with contents from slides 5, 6 of li_3ck_01_0521					Response Response Status C REJECT. It is not clear what the comment is concerned with. The nomenclature used here is consistent with other PMD clauses.						
											Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment #133 proposes an alternate set of transition locations. Resolve using the response to comment #133.