C/FM SC FM	P1	L 10	# 229	CI FM SC	0	P 3	L 2	# 226
Grow, Robert	RMG Consult	ting		Wu, Mau-Lin		MediaTek In	c.	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment Type	ER	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
	list starting at line 28, it appea	ars the TF is plar	ining to be included in	Annex 163A	through A	Annex 163B are lost here.		
the current revision pr	oject.			SuggestedReme	edy			
SuggestedRemedy Add assigned amendr	mont number 16			Change the			404 (based	
0						EEE Std 802.3-2018 adds Cla nnex 162A through Annex 16		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			163B."		J. J		0
ACCEPT.				Response		Response Status W		
C/FM SC FM	P 4	L 8	# 230	ACCEPT IN	-			
Grow, Robert	RMG Consult	ting				ed clause from 00 to FM.] ponse to comment #93.		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	C/FM SC	<u> </u>	P 3	L 2	# 93
IEEE style has change	ed (2020 IEEE Standards Styl	e Manual, 11.1).			Ū	-		# 93
SuggestedRemedy				Kabra, Lokesh Comment Type	Е	Synopsys In Comment Status A	C	(hugkat1)
Delete 2nd paragraph	of the Editor's Note.					ntion addition of Annex 163A	and 163B	(bucket1)
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedReme				
ACCEPT.						20G, Annex 162A through An	nev 162D Anne	v 1634 and Anney 163B
C/FM SC FM	P 8	L 21	# 231	Response		Response Status C		
Grow, Robert	RMG Consult	ting		ACCEPT IN	PRINCIP	•		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	[Editor's not	e: Change	ed clause from 00 to FM.]		
The ballot group is no	w known.					nce in the abstract to: "This a ugh Clause 163, Annex 120F		
SuggestedRemedy							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	nnox roz/ anough
				Annex 162D	-	63A, and Annex 163B."		
Add WG members list	t at start of P802.3ck WG ball	ot.				P0	LO	# 71
Add WG members lis Response	t at start of P802.3ck WG ball Response Status C	ot.		C/ 00 SC	0	P 0	L O	# [71
		ot.		C/ 00 SC Wienckowski, N	0 atalie	P 0 General Mot	-	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		# 232	Cl 00 SC Wienckowski, N Comment Type	0 atalie E	P 0	ors	(bucket1)
Response ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM	Response Status C	L 4	# 232	CI 00 SC Wienckowski, N Comment Type For all additi there needs	0 atalie E ons to tab to be a bl	P 0 General Mot <i>Comment Status</i> A oles, if there are rows before o ank, merged row with an elip	ors or after the rows ses in it to indica	<i>(bucket1)</i> shown in the spec, te all places where
Response ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM Grow, Robert	Response Status C P11 RMG Consult	L 4		CI 00 SC Wienckowski, N Comment Type For all additi there needs	atalie E ons to tab to be a bl ditional ro	P 0 General Mot <i>Comment Status</i> A oles, if there are rows before o ank, merged row with an elip ws not shown. Search for "u	ors or after the rows ses in it to indica	<i>(bucket1)</i> shown in the spec, te all places where
Response ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM Grow, Robert Comment Type E	Response Status C P 11 RMG Consult Comment Status A	L 4	# 2 <u>32</u> (bucket1)	Cl 00 SC Wienckowski, N Comment Type For all additi there needs there are ad places when	to be a bl ditional ro e this is necessary and a second contract of the contra	P 0 General Mot <i>Comment Status</i> A oles, if there are rows before o ank, merged row with an elip ws not shown. Search for "u	ors or after the rows ses in it to indica	<i>(bucket1)</i> shown in the spec, te all places where
Response ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM Grow, Robert Comment Type E Amendment title miss	Response Status C P 11 RMG Consult Comment Status A	L 4		Cl 00 SC Wienckowski, N Comment Type For all additi there needs there are ad places when SuggestedReme	t 0 atalie E ons to tab to be a bl ditional ro e this is no	P 0 General Mot <i>Comment Status</i> A oles, if there are rows before o ank, merged row with an elip ws not shown. Search for "u	ors or after the rows ses in it to indica nchanged rows r	<i>(bucket1)</i> shown in the spec, te all places where not shown" to find
Response ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM Grow, Robert Comment Type E Amendment title miss SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C P 11 RMG Consult Comment Status A	L 4 ting		Cl 00 SC Wienckowski, N Comment Type For all additi there needs there are ad places when SuggestedReme Add addition	b atalie E ons to tab to be a bl ditional ro e this is n edy al rows, n	P0 General Mot Comment Status A oles, if there are rows before o ank, merged row with an elip ws not shown. Search for "u eeded.	ors or after the rows ses in it to indica nchanged rows r it, to the top and	<i>(bucket1)</i> shown in the spec, te all places where not shown" to find
Response ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM Grow, Robert Comment Type E Amendment title miss SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C P11 RMG Consult Comment Status A ing.	L 4 ting		Cl 00 SC Wienckowski, N Comment Type For all additi there needs there are ad places when SuggestedReme Add addition	b atalie E ons to tab to be a bl ditional ro e this is n edy al rows, n	P0 General Mot Comment Status A oles, if there are rows before o ank, merged row with an elip ws not shown. Search for "u eeded.	ors or after the rows ses in it to indica nchanged rows r it, to the top and	<i>(bucket1)</i> shown in the spec, te all places where not shown" to find

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 0 2021-05-26 2:51:21 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

	SC O	P 0	LO	# 19
Brown, Ma	att	Huawei		
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D		withdrawr
return	loss characte	and annexes we specify various eristics. The wording to identify a s is inconsistent.		
Suggestee	dRemedy			
		ninology and variable names to be provided to explain further ar		
Proposed REJE	<i>Response</i> CT.	Response Status Z		
This c	omment was	WITHDRAWN by the comment	er.	
C/ FM	SC 0	P 13	L 29	# 94
Kabra, Lo	kesh	Synopsys In	с	
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
Abstra	act does not r	mention addition of Annex 163A	and 163B	
[Edito Chang	ge the first se	CIPLE. nged clause from 00 to FM and ntence to: "This amendment inc		
		61 through Clause 163, Annex 1 x 163A, and Annex 163B."		
		61 through Clause 163, Annex 1		
Annex	(162D, Anne SC 0	61 through Clause 163, Annex 1 x 163A, and Annex 163B."	20F, Annex 1200	G, Annex 162A through
Anne» C/ FM	sc 0 Lin	61 through Clause 163, Annex 1 x 163A, and Annex 163B." P14	20F, Annex 1200	6, Annex 162A through # 227
Annex Cl FM Wu, Mau- Comment	sc 0 SC 0 Lin <i>Type</i> ER	61 through Clause 163, Annex 1 x 163A, and Annex 163B." <i>P</i> 14 MediaTek In	20F, Annex 1200	6, Annex 162A through # [<u>227</u>
Annex C/ FM Wu, Mau- Comment	SC 0 Lin Type ER (163A throug	61 through Clause 163, Annex 1 x 163A, and Annex 163B." P 14 MediaTek In <i>Comment Status</i> A	20F, Annex 1200	G, Annex 162A through
Anne» CI FM Wu, Mau- Comment Anne» Suggestee Chang "This	Lin <i>Type</i> ER (163A through <i>Caremedy</i> ge the setence amendment to Annex 120G	61 through Clause 163, Annex 1 x 163A, and Annex 163B." P14 MediaTek In <i>Comment Status</i> A th Annex 163B are lost here.	20F, Annex 1200 <i>L</i> 29 c. ause 161 through	G, Annex 162A through # 227 (bucket1) Clause 163, Annex
Anne» C/ FM Wu, Mau- Comment Anne» Suggested Chang "This 120F,	SC 0 Lin Type ER (163A throug dRemedy ge the setenc amendment t Annex 120G	61 through Clause 163, Annex 1 x 163A, and Annex 163B." P14 MediaTek In <i>Comment Status</i> A Ih Annex 163B are lost here. e to o IEEE Std 802.3-2018 adds Cla	20F, Annex 1200 <i>L</i> 29 c. ause 161 through	6, Annex 162A through # 227 (bucket1) Clause 163, Annex
Anne» Cl FM Wu, Mau- Comment Anne» Suggester Chang "This 120F, 163B. Response ACCE [Edito	C 162D, Anne SC 0 Lin Type ER C 163A throug dRemedy ge the setence amendment t Annex 120G " :PT IN PRINC r's note: Chai	61 through Clause 163, Annex 1 x 163A, and Annex 163B." P14 MediaTek In <i>Comment Status</i> A th Annex 163B are lost here. e to o IEEE Std 802.3-2018 adds Cla , Annex 162A through Annex 16 <i>Response Status</i> W	20F, Annex 1200 <i>L</i> 29 c. ause 161 through	G, Annex 162A through # 227 (bucket1) Clause 163, Annex

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

C/ 1 SC 1.1.3.2

C/ 1	SC 1	1.1.3.2	P 31	L 18	# 68
Wiencko	wski, Nat	alie	General Moto	ors	
Commen	t Type	Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
Subj	ect/verb a	greement	t (each is singular) & gramm	ner ("of" does no	t belong).
Suggeste	edRemed	У			
To:	For each	chip-to-ch	hip-to-chip and chip-to-modu hip and chip-to-module interf eded on P31L35 & P31L50.	ace	
Respons	е		Response Status C		
The inter Char	current we faces are nge: "For	not neces each of ch	- s intended to convey that ch ssarily the same. However, t nip-to-chip and chip-to-modu erfaces and for chip-to-mod	he wording coul	
C/ 1	SC 1	1.1.3.2	P 31	L 18	# 74
Huber, T	om		Nokia		
	ward gran		Comment Status A r each of chip-to-chip and cl defined".	nip-to-module in	<i>(bucket1)</i> terfaces, four widths of

SuggestedRemedy

The introductory clause seems unnecessary since the preceding sentence already establishes the use of CAUI-n/100GAUI-n for C2C and C2M interfaces. Change to "Four widths of CAUI-n and 100GAUI-n are defined..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #68.

C/ 1	SC 1.1.3.2	P 31	L 18	# 165	C/ 1	SC	1.1.3.2	P 31	L 50	# 76
Zimmern	nan, George	CME Consult	ting/ADI, APL Gp	, Cisco, CommScope,	Huber, To	om		Nokia		
Commen	t Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	t Type	Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1
agree	ement - also leave	ip and chip-to-module interfa es open whether the definition	n is different if oth	er than chip-to-chip or			ımmar: "F are define	or each of chip-to-chip and c d…".	hip-to-module in	terfaces, three widths of
		ces are used here - which doe clearer just to say "for each in			Suggeste	dReme	dy			
unne 33, li	cessary. This saines 5 and 33, and	me problem exists 6 places o			estab	lishes t		e seems unnecessary since 400GAUI-n for C2C and C2M ned"		
00	edRemedy				Response	9		Response Status C		
		hip-to-chip and chip-to-modul 1 lines 18, 35, 50; page 33 lir			'		PRINCIPI	•		
			ies 5 & 55, and j	age 54 line 5)				as intended to convey that cl	hip-to-module ar	nd chip-to-chip
Respons		Response Status C						essarily the same. However,		d be improved.
	EPT IN PRINCIP	-E. bonse to comments #68, #75,	and #76					chip-to-chip and chip-to-mod nterfaces and for chip-to-mod		
-								•		
C/ 1	SC 1.1.3.2	P 31	L 34	# 75	C/ 1	SC	1.4.36	P 33	L 5	# 69
Huber, T	om	Nokia			Wienckov	wski, Na	atalie	General Mot	ors	
Commen	t Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	t Type	Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1
		or each of chip-to-chip and ch	nip-to-module int	erfaces, three widths of	Subje	ect/verb	agreeme	nt (each is singular) & gramn	ner ("of" does no	it belong).
	GAUI-n are defined	J".			Suggeste	dReme	dy			
00	edRemedy				Chan	ge: Foi	r each of o	chip-to-module and chip-to-cl	hip interconnecti	ons
		e seems unnecessary since t 200GAUI-n for C2C and C2N						nodule and chip-to-chip inter	connection	
	0GAUI-n are defi		intenaces. Cha	inge to Three widths			nange is n	eeded on P33L33 & P34L5.		
Respons	A	Response Status C			Response			Response Status C		
•	- EPT IN PRINCIPI	•					PRINCIPI	LE. conses to comments #77, #7	9 and #70	
		 as intended to convey that ch	ip-to-module and	I chip-to-chip	Resu	ive usin	ig the resp		o, anu #79.	
		essarily the same. However, t		be improved.						
Char	ige: "For each of o	chip-to-chip and chip-to-modu	ule interfaces"							

To: "For chip-to-chip interfaces and for chip-to-module interfaces"

C/ 1 SC 1.4.36

C/ 1	SC 1.4.36	P 33	L 5	# 77	C/ 1	SC	1.4.87	P 33	L 37	# 96
Huber, T	om	Nokia			Kabra, Lo	kesh		Synopsys Inc		
Commen	t Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1
		For each of chip-to-chip and ch	ip-to-module inte	erfaces, four widths of	Remo	ove full-s	stop befor	e closing brace		
	-n/100GAUI-n a	re defined".			Suggeste	dReme	dy			
	dRemedy				200G	AUI-2)	-			
estab	lishes the use of	se seems unnecessary since th f CAUI-n/100GAUI-n for C2C a 100GAUI-n are defined…"			Response ACCE			Response Status C		
Respons	9	Response Status C			C/ 1	50	1.4.111	P34	L 5	# 79
	EPT IN PRINCIP				••••		1.4.111	-	23	# 79
		vas intended to convey that chi essarily the same. However, the			Huber, To		-	Nokia		(hundraft)
		chip-to-chip and chip-to-modu		i be improved.	Comment		E	Comment Status A	to modulo int	(bucket1)
		nterfaces and for chip-to-modu	le interfaces"				re defined	or each of chip-to-chip and chip".	-to-module int	enaces, three widths of
/ 1	SC 1.4.36	P 33	L 10	# 95	Suggeste	dReme	dy			
abra, Lo	okesh	Synopsys Inc						seems unnecessary since the		
ommen	t Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)			n are defin	100GAUI-n for C2C and C2M i ed…"	ntenaces. Cha	ange to Three widths
Rem	ove full-stop befo	ore closing brace			Response			Response Status C		
Suggeste	dRemedy						PRINCIPL	•		
for 10	00GAUI-1)				The c	urrent w	vording wa	is intended to convey that chip		
Respons	9	Response Status C						ssarily the same. However, the hip-to-chip and chip-to-module		d be improved.
ACC	EPT.							terfaces and for chip-to-modul		
C/ 1	SC 1.4.87	P 33	L 33	# 78	C/ 1	SC	1.4.111	P 34	L 9	# 97
Huber, T	om	Nokia			Kabra, Lo	kesh		Synopsys Inc		
ommen	t Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	Type	Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1
	vard grammar: "F AUI-n are define	For each of chip-to-chip and ch	ip-to-module inte	erfaces, three widths of			•	e closing brace		
uqqeste	dRemedy				Suggeste		dy			
00	-	se seems unnecessary since the	ne preceding ser	ntence already		AUI-4)				
estab		f 200GAUI-n for C2C and C2M			Response ACCE			Response Status C		
Respons	9	Response Status C								
	EPT IN PRINCIF									
		vas intended to convey that chi								
		essarily the same. However, th chip-to-chip and chip-to-modu		i be improvea.						
		nterfaces and for chip-to-modu								
		•								
		red ER/editorial required GR/g						C/ 1		Page 4 of 50

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 1 SC 1.4.111

Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comm "FEC AM lock" While the abbre many multi-lane PHYs, it somel that I can find, having checked a	CME Consulti ment Status A						P 35	L 48	# 157
"FEC AM lock" While the abbre many multi-lane PHYs, it some	mant Ctatura	ing/ADI, APL GP	, Cisco, CommScope,	Zimmerman	, George		CME Consult	ting/ADI, APL Gp	, Cisco, CommScope,
many multi-lane PHYs, it somel	ment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment Ty	ype T	Comment	Status A		(bucket1)
other common meanings, and the list (simple things like FEC are clear - but since it is an issue in	how was never enter 802.3-2018, where it this one is specific to re). I plan to submit r	ed in the abbrev is used, and 802 IEEE Std 802.3 maintenance on	iations list (at least not 2.3cd). Because it has , it shoudl be in the	descript clue. (ot give mo <i>SuggestedR</i>	ion. Please a her places wh re informatior Remedy	t least expand here this abbrev)	a little or give a <i>r</i> iation are usec	l cross reference I, such as 45.2.1.	eful information in the to give the reader a .110.ab, generally do
SuggestedRemedy						on "RS-FEC-Int or Correction e		lause 161 Codew	vord-interleaved Reed-
Add "AM Alignment Marker" to t	the list of abbreviatic	ons in 1.5 (page 3	34 of draft)	Response		Response			
Response Respo	onse Status C			•	T IN PRINCIF	,			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					-	ponse to comr	nent #89		
	in place of the acrony								
AM in Clause 45 would result in sublayer clauses in the base sp In Clause 161 change 1 instanc [Editor's note: CC: 1, 45, 161.]	n differences in nome becification and amer ce (Figure 161-5) of "	enclature betwee ndments. 'AM" with "alignm	n Clause 45 and some nent marker".						
sublayer clauses in the base sp In Clause 161 change 1 instanc	n differences in nome pecification and amer	enclature betweendments.	n Clause 45 and some						
sublayer clauses in the base sp In Clause 161 change 1 instanc [Editor's note: CC: 1, 45, 161.] C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 Wienckowski, Natalie	n differences in nome becification and amer ce (Figure 161-5) of " <i>P</i> 35 General Moto	enclature betwee ndments. 'AM" with "alignm <i>L</i> 17	n Clause 45 and some hent marker". # <mark>70</mark>						
sublayer clauses in the base sp In Clause 161 change 1 instanc [Editor's note: CC: 1, 45, 161.] C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 Wienckowski, Natalie	n differences in nome becification and amer ce (Figure 161-5) of " P 35	enclature betwee ndments. 'AM" with "alignm <i>L</i> 17	n Clause 45 and some nent marker".						
sublayer clauses in the base sp In Clause 161 change 1 instanc [Editor's note: CC: 1, 45, 161.] C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 Wienckowski, Natalie	n differences in nome becification and amer ce (Figure 161-5) of " P 35 General Moto <i>ment Status</i> A ble type L31, P73L35: shield	enclature betwee ndments. AM" with "alignm <i>L</i> 17 ors led balanced cop	n Clause 45 and some hent marker". # 70 (bucket2)						
sublayer clauses in the base sp In Clause 161 change 1 instance [Editor's note: CC: 1, 45, 161.] C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E Comment Inconsistent wording for the cate P32L30, P33L17, P33L44, P73B	n differences in nome becification and amer ce (Figure 161-5) of " P 35 General Moto <i>ment Status</i> A ble type L31, P73L35: shield	enclature betwee ndments. AM" with "alignm <i>L</i> 17 ors led balanced cop	n Clause 45 and some hent marker". # 70 (bucket2)						
sublayer clauses in the base sp In Clause 161 change 1 instanc [Editor's note: CC: 1, 45, 161.] Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E Comm Inconsistent wording for the cab P32L30, P33L17, P33L44, P73I P35L17, P35L27, P35L37: shiel	n differences in nome becification and amer ce (Figure 161-5) of " P 35 General Moto <i>ment Status</i> A ble type sL31, P73L35: shield blded copper balance	enclature betwee ndments. AM" with "alignm <i>L</i> 17 ors led balanced cop	n Clause 45 and some hent marker". # 70 (bucket2)						
sublayer clauses in the base sp In Clause 161 change 1 instanc [Editor's note: CC: 1, 45, 161.] C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E Comm Inconsistent wording for the cab P32L30, P33L17, P33L44, P73I P35L17, P35L27, P35L37: shiel SuggestedRemedy Change: shielded copper balance To: shielded balanced copper of on P35L17, P35L27, & P35L37	n differences in nome becification and amer ce (Figure 161-5) of " P 35 General Moto <i>ment Status</i> A ble type sL31, P73L35: shield blded copper balance	enclature betwee ndments. AM" with "alignm <i>L</i> 17 ors led balanced cop	n Clause 45 and some hent marker". # 70 (bucket2)						

To: "shielded balanced copper cable"

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.16

C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.	1.16	P 35	L 50	# 89	C/ 30	SC	30.5.1.1.	17	P 36	L 35	# 90
Slavick,			Broadcom	- 00		Slavick,				Broadcom	- 00	
Comme	nt Type T	Commei	nt Status A		(bucket1)	Commen	t Type	т	Comment	Status A		(bucket1)
			e an enumeration	for the Interleave	FEC found in Cl161,	aFEC	Correct	tedBlocks	needs to add	the RS-FEC-Int	t into the laundry	list of FEC types
but 1	the text has not b	peen updated.				Suggeste	dReme	dv				
•••	edRemedy					Bring	in the l	ast parag	raph of 30.5.1.	1.17 and chang	e "RS-FEC" to "	RS-FEC and RS-FEC-
Cha	nge the BEHAVI	OR DEFINED	AS: to read as for	ollows:		Int"						
A re	ad-write value th	at indicates th	e mode of operat	tion of the FEC s	ublayer for forward	Respons	е		Response	Status C		
	,	,	74, Clause 91, Cl	,	,	ACC [Edite		e: Change	d comment ty	be from TR to T	.]	
			nt mode of operate the PHY to the in			C/ 30	SC	30.5.1.1.	18	P 36	L 35	# 91
"BA	SE-R enabled", "	RS-FEC enab	led" and "RS-FE	C-Int enabled" are	e only used by PHYs	Slavick,	Jeff			Broadcom		
					CR, 25GBASE CR-S, mode maps to the	Commen	t Type	т	Comment	Status A		(bucket1)
enui	meration "disable	ed", operation	in the BASE-R FI	EC mode maps to	o the enumeration	aFEC	CUncorr	ectedBloc	ks needs to a	dd the RS-FEC-	Int into the laund	try list of FEC types
			in the RS-FEC m or 100GBASE-CF		enumeration "RS-FEC	Suggeste	dReme	dy				
oper	ration in RS-FEC	mode maps t	to the enumeratio	n "RS-FEC enab	led" (see 91.6.2f) and S-FEC-Int enabled"	Bring Int"	in the l	ast parag	raph of 30.5.1.	1.18 and chang	e "RS-FEC" to "	RS-FEC and RS-FEC-
(see	9 161.6.23).					Response	е		Response	Status C		
					′, a SET operation is in Clause 74 and	ACC [Edite		e: Change	d comment ty	be from TR to T	.]	
			Clause 73 Auto-		abled for a non- allowed and a GET	C/ 30	SC	30.6.1.1.	5	P 36	L 32	# 5
oper	ration maps to th	e variable FE	C_enable in Clau	se 74. When Cla	use 73 Auto-	Hajducze	enia, Ma	arek		Charter Comr	nunications	
Neg	otiation is enable	ed for a 100GE	BASER PHY su	pporting Clause 1	61 FEC a SET 00G_RS_FEC_enable	Commen	t Type	Е	Comment	Status A		(bucket1)
			Int_enable in Cla							5) and" - I see vise information		adding Clause and
					the appropriate FEC	Suggeste	dReme	dy				
	rol register base 1.1.102 and 45.2.		IY type and the F	EC operating mo	de (see 45.2.10.3,	Char	nge to "a	as specifie	ed in 73.6.5 an	d"		
Respons		,	e Status C			Response	е		Response	Status C		
•	CEPT.	1.0000110				ACC	EDT					

[Editor's note: Changed comment type from TR to T.]

C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5

CI AF C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.110 P43 L13 # 158 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, CommScope, Comment Type E Comment Status A (bucket1) Description text indicating Clause 91 and Clause 161 should be cross references (2 instances of each) SuagestedRemedv Change "Clause 91" and "Clause 161" text in descriptions to active cross references. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.115a P46 L13 # 1 5 Anslow, Pete Independent Comment Type E Comment Status A (bucket1) When a new subclause is inserted between two existing subclauses of the same level (e.g., between 45.2.114 and 45.2.115) the new subclause number is the same as the lower of the two with "a" added. This is 45.2.114a in the example. See 2020 IEEE SA Style manual: https://mentor.ieee.org/myproject/Public/mytools/draft/styleman.pdf#page=40 Response The same principle applies to inserted tables. This needs to be corrected for 45.2.1.115a. Table 45–93a. 45.2.1.126a. Table 45–100a SuggestedRemedy Change the numbering of 45.2.1.115a. Table 45–93a. 45.2.1.126a. and Table 45–100a to be 45.2.1.114a, Table 45–92a, 45.2.1.125a, and Table 45–99a, respectively. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.115a L 37 P46 # 6 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications Comment Status R Comment Type E (bucket1) Lots of unnecessary empty lines in between subclauses, tables, and text blocks. SuggestedRemedy Please remove all unnecessary white (empty) lines between (for example) 45.2.1.115 and 45.2.1.117 - these continue until at least page 54 Response Response Status C REJECT. The editorial policy in the 802.3ck project is to insert one empty line between each pair of editorial amendments. This is consistent throughout this draft. The intent is make a clear delineation between each new instruction AND to be consistent.

EE P802.3ck D2.0 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force Initial Working Group ballot commer

SC 45 0 4 400-

C/ 45	30	45.2.1.	126a PS	5	L	# 2	214	
He, Xiar	ng		Huaw	ei				-
Commer	nt Type	т	Comment Status	R			counter size	
			e too short for some of					

,

11

below shows the saturation time for the lower bins for 400 Gb/s rate, if the overall BER is 2E-4 (random).

Bin# Minutes to saturate

1	2.5
2	4.6

3 12.7

4 46.9

217

If considering burst errors, bin 2 and 3 will saturate even faster. Bins saturated too early may not be able to provide useful information.

SuggestedRemedy

Increase the size of counters for bin 1~3, if not for all, to 48 bits.

Response Status C

REJECT.

Implementing 48-bit codeword error bin registers may not be straightforward, so there needs to be good justification for making this change.

For system debug, it is the uppermost 3-4 codeword error bins that are not zero which are of greatest interest, these bin counters increment slowly.

The important information for predicting the uncorrectable codeword ratio is in the high bins, Even if the first 3 lower bins are saturated, there are 12 more bins that contain enough information to extrapolate.

If the lower order bins are seen to be saturated, for debug purposes reading the registers every two minutes is reasonable.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.126a

Page 7 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:21 PM

CI 45	SC 45.2.1.135a	P 55	L 11	# 2	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.	137a	P 56	L 41	# 3
Anslow, P	ete	Independent			Anslow, P	ete		Independent		
Comment	Type E Comm	ent Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре Е	Comme	ent Status A		(bucket1)
	es for table footnotes b an		,		Table	45-103c conce	erns register	1.1320, but there a	are 4 instances o	f 1.1120 in the table.
	r issues in Tables 45-103b	, 45-103C, and 45-	1030.		Suggested	dRemedy				
Suggested	le 45-103a:				Chang	ge 1.1120 to 1.	1320 in four p	places.		
in the Unde	row for 1.1120.4:2 underl rline the whole of table for le 45-103b:				Response ACCE		Respons	se Status C		
in the	e row for 1.1220.5:3 underl				C/ 45	SC 45.2.7.	12a.a	P 60	L 52	# 92
	rline the whole of table for le 45-103c:	otnote b			Slavick, Je	eff		Broadcom		
	e row for 1.1320.4:2 underl	ine the added "c"			Comment	Туре Т	Comme	ent Status A		(bucket1)
In Tab	rline the whole of table foo le 45-103d: e row for 1.1420.5:3 underl					S-FEC-Int neg ating it. But te		s valid for all 100 ne" so	GBASE-P PHYs	that supporting
	rline the whole of table for				Suggested	dRemedy				
Response ACCE		nse Status C			bit is s	set only when F	RS-FEC-Int o	egotiated reads. (peration been neg -Int operation."		sentence to read "This GBASE-P PHY
C∕45	SC 45.2.1.135a	P 55	L 12	# 72	Response		Respons	se Status C		
-	ski, Natalie	General Mot				PT IN PRINCI				
Comment	,	ent Status A		(bucket1)				t is set only if RS-I ng negotiation of F		n has been negotiated ation."
Unuse	d bit combinations should	be "reserved"		· · · ·	C/ 69	SC 69.1.2		P 63	L 6	# 80
uggested	IRemedy				Huber, To				<i>L</i> 0	# 80
	row with "0 1 x =Reserved				Comment		Commo	Nokia ent Status A		(bucket1
	row with "1 0 0 =Reserved lso needs to be done on P		81 7 & P1521 23			51			ms are not includ	led, yet items i) and j)
esponse		nse Status C	oer, ar 102020.			no changes ind		lat unonanged ne		
ACCE	,				Suggested	dRemedy				
[Editor	's note: CC: 45, 162 (Tabl	e 162-9).]				ve items i) and are not include		e the editing instru	ction to indicate	that 'some unmodified
					Response		Respons	se Status C		
					In the	PT IN PRINCI editorial instru- ms not shown)	ction change	"(unchanged list i	tems not shown)	" to "(some unchanged

C/ 69 SC 69.1.2

C/ 69	SC 69.2.3	P 63	L 43	# 98	C/ 91	SC 91.6	P 85	L 26	# 82
Kabra, Lok	kesh	Synopsys Inc			Huber, Tom		Nokia		
comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status A		(bucket1
		nentioned as 100Gb/s				,	v is not marked as such. O derlined text for the new row		mix of inserted rows and
00	Remedy AD defined in Cla	weeden and enseiting 2000h	/		SuggestedR	emedv			
	ferential	ause163, and specifies 200Gb	s operation usi	ng 4-level PAW over	00	e the text of th	ne new row.		
esponse		Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
	PT IN PRINCIPL				ACCEP	Г.			
PMA d	lefined in Clause	SE-KR2 embodiment employ a 120, and the PMD defined in	Clause 163, an	d specifies 100 Gb/s	C/ 91	SC 91.6.2f	P 86	L 5	# 160
		I PAM over two differential pat KR2 embodiment employs the			Zimmerman	, George	CME Cons	ulting/ADI, APL G	p, Cisco, CommScope,
		and the PMD defined in Claus			Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status A		(bucket1
operat	ion using 4-level	PAM over two differential pat	hs in each direc	tion."			RS-FEC-Int operation" she		
					it would	send the read	er searching this clause (R	S-FEC) for RS-FE	C-Int, and not find it.
69	SC 69.2.3	P 64	L 48	# 81	it would		•	,	
			L 48	# 81	SuggestedR			,	
iber, Toi mment	m Type T	Nokia Comment Status A		(bucket1)	SuggestedR change	<i>emedy</i> 'RS-FEC-Int o	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref.		
iber, Toi mment	m Type T	Nokia		(bucket1)	SuggestedR change	<i>emedy</i> 'RS-FEC-Int o	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o		
iber, Toi omment Not pa ggested	m <i>Type</i> T Irt of the new tex <i>IRemedy</i>	Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A at for table 69-3b, but the title of	of clause 137 is i	(bucket1)	SuggestedR change referenc	emedy 'RS-FEC-Int o es, where Clar	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref.		
uber, Tor omment Not pa uggested	m <i>Type</i> T Irt of the new tex <i>IRemedy</i>	Nokia Comment Status A	of clause 137 is i	(bucket1)	SuggestedR change referenc Response ACCEP	emedy 'RS-FEC-Int o es, where Clai Г.	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref. <i>Response Status</i> C	peration (see Clau	use 161)" similar to other
ber, Tor mment Not pa ggested Chang	m <i>Type</i> T Irt of the new tex <i>IRemedy</i>	Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A at for table 69-3b, but the title of	of clause 137 is i	(bucket1)	SuggestedR change reference Response ACCEP C/ 91	emedy 'RS-FEC-Int o es, where Clar	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref. Response Status C P 86		
iber, Tor mment Not pa ggested Chang	m <i>Type</i> T Irt of the new tex <i>IRemedy</i> Ie 100GBASE-Ki	Nokia Comment Status A tt for table 69-3b, but the title c R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 F	of clause 137 is i	(bucket1)	SuggestedR change reference Response ACCEP C/ 91 Huber, Tom	emedy 'RS-FEC-Int o es, where Clar T. SC 91.6.2f	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 86 Nokia	peration (see Clau	use 161)" similar to other # <u>83</u>
ber, Tor mment Not pa ggested Chang sponse ACCE	m <i>Type</i> T Irt of the new tex <i>IRemedy</i> Ie 100GBASE-KI PT.	Nokia Comment Status A at for table 69-3b, but the title of R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 F Response Status C	of clause 137 is i	<i>(bucket1)</i> incorrect in the table	SuggestedR change reference Response ACCEP C/ 91 Huber, Tom Comment Ty	emedy 'RS-FEC-Int o es, where Clar T. SC 91.6.2f ype E	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 86 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A	peration (see Clau	use 161)" similar to other # <u>83</u> (bucket1)
ber, Tor mment Not pa ggested Chang sponse ACCE	m <i>Type</i> T Int of the new tex <i>IRemedy</i> Je 100GBASE-Ki PT. SC 80.1.4	Nokia Comment Status A at for table 69-3b, but the title of R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 F Response Status C P73	of clause 137 is p PMD <i>L</i> 47	(bucket1)	SuggestedR change reference Response ACCEP C/ 91 Huber, Tom Comment Ty Awkwar	emedy 'RS-FEC-Int o es, where Clar Г. SC 91.6.2f grammar - "\	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 86 Nokia	peration (see Clau	use 161)" similar to other # <u>83</u> (bucket1)
iber, Tor mment Not pa ggested Chang sponse ACCE 80	m <i>Type</i> T Int of the new tex <i>IRemedy</i> le 100GBASE-Ki PT. SC 80.1.4 nia, Marek	Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A at for table 69-3b, but the title of R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 F <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 73 Charter Comm	of clause 137 is p PMD <i>L</i> 47	<i>(bucket1)</i> incorrect in the table # 7	SuggestedR change reference Response ACCEP C/ 91 Huber, Tom Comment Ty Awkwar SuggestedR	emedy 'RS-FEC-Int o es, where Clar T. SC 91.6.2f d grammar - "V emedy	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 86 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A Nhen 100G_RS_FEC_Ena	peration (see Clau <i>L</i> 7 Ible variable is set	use 161)" similar to other # <u>83</u> (bucket1
uber, Toi omment Not pa ggested Chang esponse ACCE 80 ajduczen omment	m <i>Type</i> T Int of the new tex <i>IRemedy</i> le 100GBASE-Ki PT. SC 80.1.4 nia, Marek	Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A tt for table 69-3b, but the title of R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 F <i>Response Status</i> C P73 Charter Comm <i>Comment Status</i> A	of clause 137 is p PMD <i>L</i> 47	<i>(bucket1)</i> incorrect in the table	SuggestedR change reference Response ACCEP C/ 91 Huber, Tom Comment Ty Awkwar SuggestedR	emedy 'RS-FEC-Int o es, where Clar T. SC 91.6.2f d grammar - "V emedy	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 86 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A	peration (see Clau <i>L</i> 7 Ible variable is set	use 161)" similar to other # <u>83</u> (bucket1
uber, Tor pomment Not pa ggested Chang esponse ACCE 80 ajduczen pomment Dead I	m <i>Type</i> T Int of the new tex <i>IRemedy</i> le 100GBASE-KI PT. SC 80.1.4 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E	Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A tt for table 69-3b, but the title of R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 F <i>Response Status</i> C P73 Charter Comm <i>Comment Status</i> A	of clause 137 is p PMD <i>L</i> 47	<i>(bucket1)</i> incorrect in the table # 7	SuggestedR change reference Response ACCEP C/ 91 Huber, Tom Comment Ty Awkwar SuggestedR Add 'the	emedy 'RS-FEC-Int o es, where Clar T. SC 91.6.2f d grammar - "V emedy	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 86 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A Nhen 100G_RS_FEC_Ena	peration (see Clau <i>L</i> 7 Ible variable is set	use 161)" similar to other # <u>83</u> (bucket1)
uber, Tor omment Not pa ggested Chang esponse ACCE 80 ajduczen omment Dead I ggested	m <i>Type</i> T art of the new tex <i>IRemedy</i> le 100GBASE-Ki PT. SC 80.1.4 bia, Marek <i>Type</i> E link "Clause 91 co <i>IRemedy</i>	Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A tt for table 69-3b, but the title of R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 F <i>Response Status</i> C P73 Charter Comm <i>Comment Status</i> A	of clause 137 is p PMD <i>L</i> 47	<i>(bucket1)</i> incorrect in the table # 7	SuggestedR change reference Response ACCEP C/ 91 Huber, Tom Comment Ty Awkwar SuggestedR Add 'the set"	emedy 'RS-FEC-Int o es, where Clar Г. SC 91.6.2f d grammar - "\ emedy ' in front of 100	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 86 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A When 100G_RS_FEC_Ena G_RS_FEC_Enable: "Whe	peration (see Clau <i>L</i> 7 Ible variable is set	use 161)" similar to other # <u>83</u> (bucket1
iggested Chang esponse ACCE 80 ajduczen omment Dead I iggested	m <i>Type</i> T art of the new tex <i>IRemedy</i> le 100GBASE-Ki PT. SC 80.1.4 bia, Marek <i>Type</i> E link "Clause 91 co <i>IRemedy</i>	Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A at for table 69-3b, but the title of R4 PMD to 200GBASE-KR4 F <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 73 Charter Comm <i>Comment Status</i> A or Clause 161"	of clause 137 is p PMD <i>L</i> 47	<i>(bucket1)</i> incorrect in the table # 7	SuggestedR change reference Response ACCEP C/ 91 Huber, Tom Comment Ty Awkwar SuggestedR Add 'the set"	emedy 'RS-FEC-Int o es, where Clar Г. SC 91.6.2f d grammar - "\ emedy ' in front of 100	peration" to "RS-FEC-Int o use 161 is a cross-ref. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 86 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A When 100G_RS_FEC_Ena G_RS_FEC_Enable: "Whe	peration (see Clau <i>L</i> 7 Ible variable is set	use 161)" similar to other # <u>83</u> (bucket1)

C/ 91 SC 91.6.2f

C/ 91	SC 91.7.3	P 87	L 38	# 161	C/ 93A	SC	93A.1.2.4	P 211	L 9	# 112
Zimmerma	an, George	CME Consult	ng/ADI, APL G	o, Cisco, CommScope,	Ran, Adee	;		Cisco		
Comment	Туре т	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		figure legend (bucket1
*FINT capabi Suggested	ility	C-Int and should reference cl	ause 161 as the	e relevant clause for the	device	mode	l, but there	etwork elements which repr is no description of these el subclauses (some of which	ements; the	definitions are scattered
00	,	e 161 under subclause			unexp eleme		ed reader it	will be much harder than ne	ecessary to u	nderstand what each
Response ACCE		Response Status C			The sub-			to add a legend to the figu	re. Alternativ	ely, labels and arrows can
C/ 91	SC 91.6	P 85	L 28	# 26	Suggested	Reme	dy			
Laubach, I		IEEE Membe	r / Self		Add a	legend	I to Figure 9	03A–2, with text based on th	ne following:	
	reaking of "thres	Comment Status A hold" after the "t" doesn't look	good.	(bucket1)	S^(l) =	scatte	ring parame	eters corresponding to C_c eters corresponding to a tra eters corresponding to L_s	nsmission lir	ie with length z_p
Suggested Perha		olumns can make it look bette	er or forcing a n	ewline before the "t"?	(and s	o on)				
Response		Response Status C	-							
	PT IN PRINCIPL mat so there is no	E. b break in the "threshold".			Response		PRINCIPLE	Response Status C		
C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.2.3	P 209	L 47	# 111			-	d remedy with editorial licer	nse.	
Ran, Adee	e	Cisco								
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)						
"unles	s alternate value	s are provided by the clause	that invokes this	s method"						
	ord "alternate" se ng. It can also be	eems odd here, I think "altern e simply "other".	ative" is more c	ommon for this						
		ernative" appears 13 times a g. This may be handled by ma		opears 3 times, both						
Suggested Chang	IRemedy ge "alternate" to "	alternative".								
_										

Response

ACCEPT.

Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 93A SC 93A.1.2.4 Page 10 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:21 PM

C/ 93A	SC 93A.5.2	P 214	L 34	# 113	C/ 116 S	C 116.1.4	P 92	L 54	# 191
Ran, Adee	00 JJA.J.Z	Cisco	- 54	# [1] 3	Dudek, Mike	0 110.1.4	Marvell	2 34	# [191
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment Type	, T	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
		T_fx as a parameter of ERL	calculation.	(e not listed using the new chip	to chip and chi	()
	ginally appears by 802.3cd), wi	in Equation (93A–62), which th the text	is not included i	n this amendment	SuggestedRem bring the ta new AUI in	bles for the	200G and 400G from clause the tables.	116 into the do	cument and add the
		igation delay in ns associated	d with the test fix	ture, obtained by	Response		Response Status C		
measure	ement or inspec	ction"			ACCEPT.				
cases T	_fx is defined a	for the cases where the ERL s 0 or 0.2 ns (regardless of the	he test fixture), ii		C/ 119 S	C 119.6.4.	12 P 99	L 41	# 27
		specified test points (e.g. TP	0 and TP0v).		Laubach, Mark		IEEE Member	r / Self	
	A.5.2 and chang	ge the text following Equation	ı (93A–62), addir	ng after the quoted	Comment Type Line break		Comment Status A after "stat" doesn't loook good		(bucket1)
sentence	e:				SuggestedRem	nedy			
", unless	s its value is sp	ecified by the clause that invo	okes this method	1"	Perhaps fo	rcing a new	line before "status"?		
Response ACCEP	Т.	Response Status W			Response ACCEPT II		Response Status C LE. o break in "status".		
C/ 116	SC 116.1.2	P 90	L 44	# 84					
Huber, Tom	I	Nokia				C 120.5.2	P 102	L 11	# 101
Comment Ty	ype E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Ran, Adee		Cisco		
		that is new, "for 400GBASE	-KR4", is not sho	own as changed text	Comment Type		Comment Status A		(bucket1)
`	underline)						ohysical lanes is 2 or 4" is inco 3 or 4", and with the first parag		e remainder of this
SuggestedR	-	CE KD4" as all abanged tout	ic identified					•	
		SE-KR4" so all changed text	is identified.				r 4" are 120.5.5 (P102 L25), 1) - in those cases the correspo		
Response ACCEP ⁻	т.	Response Status C			"4 or 8" lan	es. That is	an inconsistency in the base of not proposing changing those	document, whic	
					SuggestedRem	nedy			
					Change "2	or 4" to "4	or 2", at this point only in 102.	5.2.	
					Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		

C/ 120 SC 120.5.2

C/ 120 SC 120.7	.3 <i>P</i> 106	L 30	# 102	C/ 120F SC 12	0F.3.2.3	P 224	L 2	# 135
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semico	onductor, Inc.	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		RIT jitter (CC
In items UNAUI and	d DNAUI, "through Annex 120G"	is a newly insert	ed text.			120D-11) referred from 120		
SuggestedRemedy						ter distributuion estimated libutuion even if the original		
Mark with underline	in both cases.			distributuion. Fo	or instance	e, J4u of the estimated dua	l-dirac jitter distri	bution is always
Response	Response Status W			0 1	aller than	the measured J4u. I propos	se to change the	se equations.
ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy				
C/ 120F SC 120F.	3.1 P 219	L 16	# 60			ns after step j, and change he new equations:	references to Ec	quation (120D-10) and
Brown, Matt	Huawei			D4d = (Q4d^2 +	- 1) * (J R	MS^2) - (J4u / 2)^2		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)		/ (=			
Align terminology w	ith other clauses.			If D4d >= 0, A DD = (.14u	/ 2 + Q4d	l * sqrt(D4d)) / (Q4d^2 + 1)		
SuggestedRemedy				sigma_RJ = (
	mode return loss" to "Common-r	node to commor	-mode return loss" in	If D4d < 0.				
	n PICS item TC8 in 120F.5.4.1.			Qx = sqrt((J4)	u / 2 / J_F	RMS)^2 - 1)		
Response	Response Status C			$A_DD = (J4u)$	/ 2) / (Qx/	^2 + 1)		
ACCEPT.				sigma_RJ = s	sdu(()_Ki	MS^2) - (A_DD^2))		
C/ 120F SC 120F.	3.1 <i>P</i> 219	L 22	# 215	where	_			
He, Xiang	Huawei			Q4d = 3.7190)			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		abbreviations	Add the followin	ng Note af	ter the equation:		
A dot is added to th	e abbreviated word "abs" in this	table but not in t	ne others.	Note 1 O/d is	an annro	ximated solution of Q(Q4d)	$-1 \times 100(-1)$ w	here the Ω function is
SuggestedRemedy				defined in Equat			= 1 x 10 (+), w	
Change "abs." to "a	bs" or add the dot for all other of	ccurances.		Response		Response Status C		
-	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN PR	INCIPLE.			
Response				Resolve using the	ha raanan	to		
ACCEPT IN PRINC	oncern expressed in the commer	t the grammar in	this parameter name	Resolve using a	ne respon	se to comment #209.		

C/ 120F SC 120F.3.2.3

C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.2.5	P 225	5 L 22	# 115
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status	۹.	variable table (bucket1)
(136.8. ² Note tha	11.7.1). This refere at the similar Tabl	e 120F–3 does not h	he text following the text following the text following the this column.	he table, so it is redundant.
		as in Table 120F–3.		olumn can be widened to
SuggestedF	Remedy			
delete t	he "reference" col	umn and adjust the	width of remaining	g columns.
Response		Response Status	C	
ACCEP	РТ.			
C/ 120F	SC 120F.5.4.1	P 232	2 L 39	# 116
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status	4	(bucket1)
Howeve precode explicitly	er, the referenced er request mechar y optional. So requ	120F.1 says "Precoo ism specified in 135 uesting through this	ding may be enab 5F.3.2.1." (P218 L mechanism can't	-
	be preferable to ac e in annex 135F (8		ecoder request as	a major (optional) feature,
SuggestedF	Remedy			
Change	e TC13 status from	n "M" to "O". Conside	er moving it to 120)F.5.3.
Response		Response Status N	N	

-	SC	120F.5.4.1	P 2	32	L 40	# 117
Ran, Adee			Cisco)		
Comment T	уре	TR	Comment Status	Α		TX EQ control (bucket1
			d points to 120F.3. (mandatory). Thes			20F.3.1.4, which is nd the same.
			terface is mandato ional feature. So T			escribed with the word
SuggestedF	Remec	dy				
Remove	e item	TC14.				
Response			Response Status	w		
ACCEP	'Τ.					
C/ 120G	SC	120G.1	P 2	35	L 36	# 221
Wu, Mau-Li	in		Medi	aTek Inc.		
Comment T	уре	Е	Comment Status	Α		OIF reference (bucket)
"The C2 that use	2M inte ed for	erface is def CEI-112G-V		ification a	nd test meth	IF-CEI-05.0 [B55a]. odology that is similar to ia]."
SuggestedF	Remec	dy				
Propos	e to re	move this s	entence			
Response			Response Status	С		
With re	spect		S-VSR-PAM4, pas			that IEEE "acknowledge t liaison letter is provided

120G.1 is removed.

C/ 120G SC 120G.1 Page 13 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

C/ 120G	SC 120G.1	P 235	L 38	# 234
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		precoding

Up to now, the optical PMD channels have not needed a very strong DFE, and the C2M loss (10 dB for C2M CAUI-4, 10.2 for 200GAUI-4 C2M, 16 for 400GAUI-4) is low enough that CR and KR PMDs don't need a very strong DFE when used as C2M. Therefore, we never have precoding on C2M at 50G/lane - simple. At 100G/lane, links such as active copper cables will benefit from a very strong DFE in the receiver in the cable end that's receiving from a higher loss in the cable. 802.3 enables such active cables via the C2M specs; up until now there was nothing more to say, so they don't get a mention in 802.3. Adding precoding after the signal has been serialised is best avoided, so it should be added in the host, so for the first time, there is something that 802.3 should do specifically about active cables.

SuggestedRemedy

Allow optional precoding abilities in 100G/lane C2M transmitters and receivers in the host. Add MDIO registers to advertise these abilities and to enable them.

Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

Precoding if used is added and removed by the PMA at each end of a physical link as necessary. Similarly, an active cable can add precoding at the transmitter at one end and remove the precoding at the other end.

Precoding must be enabled (or disabled) on both Tx and Rx in the same direction; this is coordinated using training for CR/KR or by station management for C2C. Applying precoding internally within an active cable is still possible.

There is no consensus to implement the proposed.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	P 237	L 13	# 118
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status R		AC CM noise

Host output "AC common-mode output voltage (max, RMS)" is specified in Table 120G–1 as 17.5 mV.

This value is tighter than what is allowed for CR transmitter measured at the same point (30 mV) and also tighter than the specification for KR/C2C.

Analysis of the effect of 17.5 mV vs. 30 mV has not been provided. Devices with higher AC CM output have been demonstrated to operate with real receivers at acceptable BER on a variety of channels.

Unless evidence is provided that 30 mV is unacceptable with real receivers, the limit should be aligned with the CR specification.

Applies similarly to Module output characteristics in Table 120G-3.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the value for AC common-mode output voltage (max, RMS) from 17.5 to 30, in Table 120G–1 and Table 120G–3.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Per straw poll #10, there is no consensus to make the proposed change.

[Editor's note: Line number changed from blank to 13.]

Straw poll #9 (pick one)

For module output and host output, I support changing the AC CM voltage (max) from 17.5 to 30 mV. A: Yes B: No C: Need more information D: Abstain A: 11 B: 10 C: 7 D: 2

Straw poll #10 (pick one, decision) To close comment 118, for module output and host output, I support changing the AC CM voltage (max) from 17.5 to 30 mV. A: Yes B: No

A: 12 B: 16

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1 Page 14 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	P 237	L 17	# 39	C/ 120
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi		Dudek,
Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status R		TP1 EH/VEC	Comm
		VEO limit of 10 mV results i ding timing window of +/-50		d host to fail, this was	Inv sh
Suggested	Remedy				ap un
		to shift the burden for host			ref
limits re	esult in host that	d on timing window ts=+/- 50 passed now will fail.			Sugges
	e new limits for \	/EO=8 mV and VEC=13.5 c	IB and see ghias	I_3CK_01_0421	Ch
Response		Response Status U			Respo
REJEC	T.				AC
		ving presentation were revie			C/ 120
https://	www.ieee802.org	J/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr21_2	1/ghiasi_3ck_adł	noc_01a_042121.pdf	Dawe,
There i	s no consensus	to change the VEC (max) or	EH (min) values		Comme
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1.	1 P 237	L 36	# 181	Thi is c
Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia			SF
Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status R		TP1 RLCD	DD
In othe	r specs such as	CEI-56G-VSR-PAM4 and C	EI-56G-VSR-PA	M4, the output	los sh
		node return loss is 3 dB bet			tha
differer the sar		loss at low frequency, for a	good reason, but	t in this annex they are	en 12
Suggested	Remedy				Sugges
Liniago	we find a reason	not to, offset the specs in t	he usual wav		
Unless			ne usual way.		Ch

Response

REJECT.

The comment does not provide sufficient justification for the proposed changes nor does the suggested remedy provide sufficient detail to implement.

Response Status C

Cl 120G S	C 120G.3.1.2	P 238	L 41	# 185
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status A		TP1 ERL Tfx

Investigations of the effect of the Time-gated propagation delay on practical HCB's has shown that the input RF connector is affecting the ERL unless the 200 ps is increased to approx 300ps. 300ps is still adequately short to not affect the measurement of the device under test. i.e. The value used for Tfx does not sufficiently mitigate the effects of reflections from the test connector. See dudek_3ck_adhoc_01a_041421

SuggestedRemedy

Change the value from 0.2ns to 0.3ns also on page 242 line 41

Response		Response Status	С		
ACCEF	PT.				
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1.2	P 2	38 L	_ 41	# 174

C/ 120G SC	1206.3.1.2	P 238	L 41	# 174
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		TP1 FRI Tfx

This fixed time value of time-gated propagation delay Tfx is unworkable because the HCB is defined by its loss not its transit time. While HCBs for connectors with few lanes such as SFP+ may be constructed from PCB, those for connectors with many lanes such as QSFP-DD are challenged by fanout and therefore may use a cabled construction with the same loss and a much greater delay than a PCB. The discontinuity at cable-PCB interface should be windowed out just like the coax connector, but would reasonably be much more than 0.2/2 ns (or ~20 mm?) from the coax connector. The HCB transit time is known well enough, just as its loss is, so we can use that in the windowing. Notice that in 163 and 120F, "The value of Tfx is twice the delay from TP5v to TP5", so it's known there.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 0.2 ns to twice 0.8 times the delay between the test fixture test connector and the near side of the test fixture host-facing connector on the HCB. Make a similar change in 162.9.3.5 (HCB for CR). Although there may be less pressure to use a cabled technique for MCBs, for consistency, make similar changes in 120G.3.2.3 and 162.11.3 (MCB).

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the responses to comments #184 and #185.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.2

	30	120G.3.1.5	P 239	L 10	# 222
Wu, Mau-L	in		MediaTek	Inc.	
Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
instead	l. Ther eight a	efore, the f	ot used as a specification ollowing sentence is not eye opening are measu	appropriate.	
Suggested	Reme	dy			
Change	e "vert	ical eye ope	ening" to "vertical eye cl	osure".	
Response			Response Status W		
ACCEF	PT.				
C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.2	P 240	L 8	# 187
Dudek, Mik	e		Marvell		
Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Status A		TP3 DPPV
			tude allowed for the mo		
channe	and	makes it me	ore difficult for the host r	receiver to avoid be	ing overloaded.
channe Suggestedl			ore difficult for the host r	receiver to avoid be	ing overloaded.
Suggestedl Provide	Reme e two r e for "	<i>dy</i> ows for Diff	ore difficult for the host r erential peak-to-peak ou '. Leave the "long mod	utput voltage (max)	one for "long mode"
Suggested Provide and one	Reme e two r e for "	<i>dy</i> ows for Diff	erential peak-to-peak ou	utput voltage (max)	one for "long mode"
Suggested Provide and one 600mV Response ACCEF	Reme e two r e for "	dy ows for Diff short mode PRINCIPLE	erential peak-to-peak ou '. Leave the "long mod <i>Response Status</i> C	utput voltage (max)	one for "long mode"
Suggested Provide and one 600mV Response ACCEF	Reme e two r e for " PT IN e usin	dy ows for Diff short mode PRINCIPLE	erential peak-to-peak ou '. Leave the "long mod <i>Response Status</i> C	utput voltage (max)	one for "long mode"
Suggested/ Provide and one 600mV Response ACCEF Resolve	Remede two r e for " PT IN e usin SC	dy ows for Diff short mode PRINCIPLE g the respo	erential peak-to-peak ou '. Leave the "long mod <i>Response Status</i> C nse to comment #206.	utput voltage (max) le" at 900mV. Mak	one for "long mode" e the "short mode"
Suggested/ Provide and one 600mV Response ACCEF Resolve Cl 120G	Remede two r e for " PT IN e usin SC am	dy ows for Diff short mode PRINCIPLE g the respo	erential peak-to-peak ou '. Leave the "long mod <i>Response Status</i> C nse to comment #206. <i>P</i> 240	utput voltage (max) le" at 900mV. Mak	one for "long mode" e the "short mode"
Suggested/ Provide and one 600mV Response ACCEF Resolve Cl 120G Healey, Ad Comment 7 The ma should dynami propose	Remede two r e for " PT IN e usin SC am <i>Type</i> aximur be rec ic rang al for r	dy ows for Diff short mode PRINCIPLE g the respo 120G.3.2 TR n differentia duced. A lov ge that the h	erential peak-to-peak ou '. Leave the "long mod <i>Response Status</i> C nse to comment #206. <i>P</i> 240 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A al peak-to-peak output vo ver output amplitude for losst receiver needs to su dule output modes. How	Ltput voltage (max) le" at 900mV. Mak <i>L</i> 8 n Inc. oltage for the "short "short" mode would upport. This was pa	one for "long mode" e the "short mode" # 206 <i>TP3 DPPV</i> " module output mode d reduce the input rt of the original
Suggested/ Provide and one 600mV Response ACCEF Resolve Cl 120G Healey, Ad Comment 7 The ma should dynami propose	Remede two r e for " PT IN 1 e usin SC am <i>Type</i> aximur be rec ic range al for r d in th	dy ows for Diff short mode PRINCIPLE g the respo 120G.3.2 TR n differentia duced. A low ge that the h multiple mo ne standard.	erential peak-to-peak ou '. Leave the "long mod <i>Response Status</i> C nse to comment #206. <i>P</i> 240 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A al peak-to-peak output vo ver output amplitude for losst receiver needs to su dule output modes. How	Ltput voltage (max) le" at 900mV. Mak <i>L</i> 8 n Inc. oltage for the "short "short" mode would upport. This was pa	one for "long mode" e the "short mode" # 206 <i>TP3 DPPV</i> " module output mode d reduce the input rt of the original
Suggested/ Provide and one 600mV Response ACCEF Resolve C/ 120G Healey, Ad Comment 7 The ma should dynami proposa include Suggested/ Change	Remen a two r e for " PT IN e usin SC am Fype aximut be rec c rang r d in th Remen e a the r	dy ows for Diff short mode PRINCIPLE g the respo 120G.3.2 TR m differentia duced. A low ge that the P multiple mo ue standard. dy	erential peak-to-peak ou '. Leave the "long mod <i>Response Status</i> C nse to comment #206. <i>P</i> 240 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A al peak-to-peak output vo ver output amplitude for losst receiver needs to su dule output modes. How	Ltput voltage (max) le" at 900mV. Mak <i>L</i> 8 n Inc. oltage for the "short "short" mode would upport. This was pa vever, the feature ha	one for "long mode" e the "short mode" # 206 TP3 DPPV " module output mode d reduce the input rt of the original as not yet been
Suggested/ Provide and one 600mV Response ACCEF Resolve C/ 120G Healey, Ad Comment 7 The ma should dynami proposa include Suggested/ Change	Remen a two r e for " PT IN e usin SC am Fype aximut be rec c rang r d in th Remen e a the r	dy ows for Diff short mode PRINCIPLE g the respo 120G.3.2 TR m differentia duced. A low ge that the P multiple mo ue standard. dy naximum di	erential peak-to-peak ou '. Leave the "long mod <i>Response Status</i> C nse to comment #206. <i>P</i> 240 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A al peak-to-peak output viver output amplitude for lost receiver needs to su dule output modes. How	Ltput voltage (max) le" at 900mV. Mak <i>L</i> 8 n Inc. oltage for the "short "short" mode would upport. This was pa vever, the feature ha	one for "long mode" e the "short mode" # 206 TP3 DPPV " module output mode d reduce the input rt of the original as not yet been

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	P 240	L 9	# 171
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status R		TP3 EH

For a reasonably clean module (or test equipment in a host stressed eye test), the driver swing has to be aggressively reduced to deliver only 15 mV at near end, short mode. 120E has 70 mV, and the previous draft had 24 mV. Yet a host designer knows whether the host wants the short or long setting, and can usefully optimise for e.g. different crosstalk or noise or BER if given a reasonable signal strength. There is room to increase this weak signal without overloading the receiver.

SuggestedRemedy

Increase the eye height, short mode, from 15 mV to 18 mV

esponse	Response Status	U	
---------	-----------------	---	--

REJECT.

The resolution of comments #187 and #206 result in the differential peak-to-peak output voltage (max) value reduced from 900 mV to 600 mV for the short mode. There was no consensus to make the proposed change for this comment.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	P 2 4	40	L 10	# 34	
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghias	si Quantum/In	phi		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status	R			TP4 EH

Given that now we have AUI-S/L far end eye would be AUI-S min eye opening

SuggestedRemedy

The eye opening with 50 mUI rectangular window for AUI-L is VEO=11 mV, see $ghiasi_3ck_01_0121$

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Slide 9 of the following presentation was reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr21_21/ghiasi_3ck_adhoc_01a_042121.pdf

There was no consensus to make the proposed changes.

[Editor's note: Changed page/line from 164/13 to 240/10.]

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 Page 16 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2.2 P 241	L 13	# 188	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	2.2.1	P 242	L 10	# 41
Dudek, Mike Marvell			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quant	tum/Inphi	
Comment Type T Comment Status A		TP3 XTALK	Comment Ty	vpe TR	Commen	t Status R		TP3 host PCB
It is unlikely that a host that is asking for a "long mode" therefore the crosstalk will be less, helping the module			Table 12 loss may		ngth are for th	e reference MC	B but based on o	construction the MCB
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedR	emedy				
Change to "transition time of 10ps with short mode and table 120G-1 Change the existing row to be for "when r another row with value 15ps for "transition time (min 20 mode." and on page 245 line 53 change to "and transiti and 15ps with long mode as measured at TP1a" <i>Response Response Status</i> C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Slide 6 of the following presentation was reviewed by th https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/jan06_21/wu Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.	requesting short r 1% to 80%) when ion time of 10ps v ne task force: u_3ck_adhoc_02	node" and add requesting long with short mode	list the F 80 mm = 160 mm 244.7 m To acco for the tr 80 mm t 160 mm 244.7 m Looking 1. Max tr 2. Curre mm (5.2 The prop Short 6.1	PCB losses in = 3.1 dB = 6.6 dB m = 9.6 dB unt for any dif ace+MTF an- becomes = 3 . becomes = 6 m $9.6 + 6.6 \text{ d}$ at Ghiasi_3cl race loss nee nt 160 mm m dB) bosed optimiz 6 - 11.8 dB (ir	dB instead of ference in MT d list the PCB 1+6.6 = 9.7 df .6+6.6 dB=13 B=16.2 dB $<_01_0421$ the d to be reduce	F loss from 6.6 lenghts as refer 3.2 dB ere are several is ed from 244.7 m hort results in ex become: MTF loss)	ving to calculate dB it would be b ence, in that cas ssues with above m to 239.7 mm	
			Response		Response	Status C		
			The folic meeting https://w The loca of the m There w length fo Change In Figure receiver	note: Change owing related ww.ieee802.c ation of the me easurement r as no consen or long mode. short-far-end e 120G-7, cha	presentation v prg/3/ck/public assurement h eceiver betwe sus to include PCB length to nge "reference	vas reviewed by c/adhoc/apr21_2 ost PCB is not s een the MCB and the loss number o 133 mm.	1/ghiasi_3ck_ad hown Figure 120 d the reference re ers in the table no	t a previous ad hoc hoc_01a_042121.pdf 0G-8, but should be part

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2.2.1

C/ 120G	SC 120G	.3.3.3	P 244	L 45	# 28	C/ 120G	SC 12	20G.3.3.3	.1 P 245	L 33	# 13
Mellitz, Ric	chard		Samtec			Brown, Mat	t		Huawei		
Comment	Type TR	C	omment Status R		host input jitter	Comment 7	уре Т	TR	Comment Status A	<u>.</u>	TP4 SJ
of Sj is	a strong fac	tor. The	rements were reported value of Sj seems to be to be a tie between Tx j	inherited from c	Ider specification.	toleran	ce table,		ned KR, CR, and C20 2-15 and added a new C2M.		
Suggested	IRemedy					Suggestedl	Remedy				
Jitter (i Jrms = J4u = (Even-c	max) = 0.23 UI refe 0.129 UI refe odd jitter, pk-	r to 120F r to 120F pk = 0.02	.3.1.3 23 UI refer to 120F.3.1.3		6	At page peak-to At page sinusoi	-peak an 248 line dal jitter (e 1, chan nplitude e3, chang used for	ge the sentence to: "S according to each cas ge the sentence to: "Th the module stressed in ble 120G-11, change "	e in Table 162-15. he amount of applie nput test is given in	Table 162-15."
Response REJEC		Re	sponse Status U			Response	1200 0		Response Status C		
[Editor 120G.3 The co Includi intende referer	's note: Char 3.3.3/244/45. ommenter into ng these jitte ed end result nces these pa] ended to r parame of the ca arameters	ause, page, and line fro refer to Table 120G-8 " ters to Table 120G-8 co libration rather than a s s. ide sufficient evidence f	Host stressed inpould be interprete tarting point per	but parameters". Ind as being the the methodology that	[Editor'	s note: C		subclause from 120G. medy with editorial lice		s.1.]
C/ 120G	SC 120G	.3.3.3	P 244	L 46	# 233						
Dawe, Pier	rs		Nvidia								
Comment	Type E	C	omment Status A	TF	3/TP4 XTALK (bucket1)						
	d be better to than scattere		crosstalk parameters in h the text.	the stressed inp	ut parameters tables						
Suggested	Remedy										
			ge and transition time r 20G-8 and 120G-11	umbers from the	e text of 120G.3.3.3.1						
Response		Re	sponse Status C								
	PT IN PRINC		medy with editorial licer	ISE.							

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.3.1

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3.	3.1 <i>P</i> 244	L 53	# 119
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		TP4 additive noise

In the host input stressed eye calibration procedure, "The stressed signal is generated by adding sinusoidal jitter, random jitter, and bounded uncorrelated jitter to a clean pattern".

This signal does not necessarily represent a real module output, in which the EH and VEC can also be affected by additive noise (which is quite different from jitter in its effect on a receiver). Stressing the host with a high level of bounded uncorrelated jitter (which is not fully specified, and may create different stress for different DUTs) does not test its ability to operate with a noisy module.

Adjusting the VEC using additive noise, as done in the CR/KR/C2C tolerance tests, should at least be allowed instead of using "bounded uncorrelated jitter"; it may be preferable in some setups. For the time being, it is suggested as an alternative.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a wideband noise source to the diagram in Figure 120G–9, between the pattern generator and the HCB.

Add a description of the noise source to the text, with reference to 93C.1 (where noise source specification is defined) and setting f_NSD1 to 1 GHz, as in 163.9.3.4.

Add that calibrating the noise source level is an alternative method to adding BUJ for calibrating the EH and VEC.

Editorial license is suggested, but if necessary for accepting the comment I can provide candidate text before comment resolution.

Response

REJECT.

Comment #123 proposes a similar change to the module stressed input configuration. Additive amplitude noise is not the same as BUJ and so it is not an inter-changeable alternative.

The suggested remedy is not sufficiently complete to implement.

Response Status U

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3	B.3.1 P 245	L 25	# 43	
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quante	um/Inphi		-
Comment Ty	/ре Т	Comment Status A		TP4 SJ	/
Destin		a track watch D to E track for some			

Receiver jitter tolerance test point B to F test frequencies are ~2.5x but test point A and B are a decade apart

SuggestedRemedy

Please add additional test frequency between A and B at 133 KHz with amplitude of 1.5 UI

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #13.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.3.1 Page 19 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	3.3.1	P 245	L 42	# 121
Ran, Adee		С	isco		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Sta	tus R		TP4 SIT CM noise

The host stressed eye does not include any common-mode noise, even though a module output is allowed to have some common-mode AC content.

In a real system, the common-mode AC content of the module can be converted to differential noise at the host's receiver, via the S21DC of the host input channel, which is not specified at all. This will not be detected in the host test without common-mode content, and may not be addressed in host channel design - but it can cause compliant hosts to fail with real modules.

The common mode noise stress should be a sinusoid at any frequency up to the Nyquist frequency, and should be calibrated at TP4 to have the RMS value allowed for the module output in Table 120G–3.

SuggestedRemedy

In another comment I am suggesting to add a wideband noise source to the diagram in Figure 120G–9, between the pattern generator and the HCB.

If the other comment is accepted, an addition for this comment would be to make the noise source also have a common mode component. otherwise, add a common mode noise source in the same location instead.

Add the necessary text for calibrating the common mode output at TP4.

Editorial license is suggested, but if necessary for accepting the comment I can provide candidate text before comment resolution.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Resolve in conjunction with comment #124.

The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement. A detailed proposal justifying the nature of the stress signal and details how to generate and apply it are required.

Further work on this subject and a consensus proposal are encouraged.

C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.3.3.	1 P 245	L 49	# 30			
Mellitz, Rich	nard		Samtec					
Comment T	уре	TR	Comment Status R		host input jitter			
There is more than a few dB VEC difference between simulations using the COM								

computation script using 0.025 UI of Add and measurements using 50 mUI of Sj for a 16 dB channel. The measured VEC with 50 mUI of Sj approaches 15.7 dB, The actual jitter injected during the a receiver compliance test may introduce a degree of instrument and test set up jitter uncertainty or amplification at the receiver test point.

SuggestedRemedy

Change p245 line 49

Random jitter and bounded uncorrelated jitter are added such that the output of the pattern generator approximates the output jitter profile given by maximum JRMS and maximum J4u, and complies with the even-odd jitter specification, in Table 120F–1. To

Random jitter and bounded uncorrelated jitter are added such that the input to the host approximates the output jitter profile given by maximum JRMS and maximum J4u, and complies with the even-odd jitter specification, in Table 120G-6. Other solutions are possible like lowering injected Sj to 20 mUI.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The intent of this comment is to update the text relating to the parameters proposed in comment #28.

Resolve using the response to comment #28.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.3.1 Page 20 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

C/ 120G SC	C 120G.3.3.3.1	P 246	L 13	# 208	C/ 120G	SC 1	120G.3.4.	1	P 247	L 17	# 42
Healey, Adam		Broadcom In	с.		Ghiasi, Ali				Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi	
Comment Type	TR Co	omment Status A		TP4 SIT eye opening	Comment T	ype	TR	Comme	nt Status R		TP4a SIT EH/VE0
generator o	utput levels are a	libration procedure state adjusted (without exceed on as shown in Table 12	ding the differen	tial peak-to-peak input	not the	case p	rior to ad		of 10 mV results i window of +/-50		ed host to fail, this was
three eyes g	given in Table 12	0G-8 with the setting o	of the CTLE that	minimizes the vertical	SuggestedF	-		the shift day	housing for house		
generator of	utput amplitude"	out levels" is ambiguous or "individual PAM-4 sig			for VEC limits re	and V sult in	EO base /EO base	d on timing passed no	window ts=+/- 50 w will fail.) mUI. Unfortur	n we defined new values ntatly the VEC and VEO
SuggestedReme Change:					Propose ghiasi_3			/EO=8 mV	and VEC=13.25	to 13.75 dB and	d see
		rn generator output leve ut voltage tolerance spe			Response			Respons	e Status U		
to result in t	he eye height for	r all three eyes given in rtical eye closure."			REJEC [Editor's 120G.3	note:	Changed	page from	233 to 247 and s	subclause from	120G.3.1.5 to
adjusted so	that the height o	rn generator differential of the smallest eye match ut voltage tolerance give	ches the value in	Table 120G-8. The	Comme the prop VEC sh	osal ir	n comme	l complement t #39 was	entary changes to not adopted so n	o host output EH o changes to th	H and VEC. However, e module input EH and
Make a simi	ilar change to 12	0G.3.4.1.1 (page 249, I	line 10).		See cor	nmont	#20				
Response	Re	sponse Status C				mient	#33.				
	I PRINCIPLE.				C/ 120G	SC 1	120G.3.4.	1	P 247	L 43	# 29
Implement t	the suggested re	medy with editorial licer	nse.		Mellitz, Rich	nard			Samtec		
C/ 120G SC	C 120G.3.4.1	P 247	L 46	# 46	Comment T		TR		nt Status R		module input jtte
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	tum/Inphi								2_1020 suggest 50 nUI older specification.
Comment Type	TR Co	omment Status D		TP4 SIT EH/VEC	Hence t	here d	loes not s	eem to be a	a tie between Tx	jitter measured	and Rx jitter injected.
Table 120G	-10 needs to be	updated now that meas	surements are wi	th 50 mUI window	SuggestedF						
SuggestedReme	edy					-		from J3u ir	162 and 163 ad	d to table 120G-	-10
		nd reduce eye height wir			Jitter (max) Jrms = 0.23 UI refer to 120F.3.1.3						
•		d reduce eye height wir	ndow from 7.5 d	B to 14+/- 0.5 dB				120F.3.1.3			
Proposed Respo	onse Re	sponse Status Z			Even-oo	d jitter	r, pk-pk =	0.023 UI r	efer to 120F.3.1.	3	
REJECT.					Response			Respons	e Status U		
This comme	ent was WITHDR	AWN by the commenter	er.		The cor Includin	note: nmente g thes	er intende e jitter pa	d to refer to ameters to	o Table 120G-11 Table 120G-1 co	"Module stress ould be interpret	d line from 21 to 43] ed input parameters". ted as being the r the methodology that

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1 Page 21 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

In t by foll Thi car rec full ope	Adee hent Type the module adding sin llowed by fr his signal de an also be a ceiver). Str lly specified berate with bote that in a	nusoidal jit requency- loes not ne affected by ressing the d, and ma	Commer essed eye c ter, random dependent a ecessarily re v additive no e module wit	jitter, and bound attenuation". epresent a real ho bise (which is quit	ed uncorrelated	# 123 TP2 additive no ed signal is generated jitter to a clean patter			
In t by foll Thi car rec full ope	the module v adding sin llowed by fr his signal de an also be a ceiver). Str lly specified berate with ote that in a	e input str nusoidal jit requency- loes not ne affected by ressing the d, and ma	essed eye c ter, random dependent a ecessarily re v additive no e module wit	alibration proced jitter, and bound attenuation". epresent a real ho bise (which is quit	ed uncorrelated	ed signal is generated jitter to a clean patter			
by foll car rec full ope	v adding sin llowed by fr nis signal do an also be a ceiver). Str lly specified berate with a ote that in a	nusoidal jit requency- loes not ne affected by ressing the d, and ma	ter, random dependent a ecessarily re additive no e module wit	jitter, and bound attenuation". epresent a real ho bise (which is quit	ed uncorrelated	jitter to a clean patter			
foil Thi car rec full ope	llowed by fr his signal do an also be a ceiver). Str lly specified berate with a ote that in a	requency- loes not ne affected by ressing the d, and ma	dependent a ecessarily re additive no module wit	attenuation". epresent a real ho bise (which is quit	ost output, in whi				
Thi car rec full ope	nis signal de an also be a ceiver). Str Ily specified berate with ote that in a	oes not ne affected by ressing the d, and ma	ecessarily re v additive no e module wit	epresent a real ho bise (which is quit		ich the EH and VEC			
car rec full ope	an also be a ceiver). Stra lly specified perate with a ote that in a	affected by ressing the d, and ma	v additive no e module wit	oise (which is quit		ich the EH and VEC			
rec full ope	ceiver). Struin Ily specified perate with a ote that in a	ressing the	e module wit						
full ope	Ily specified berate with ote that in a	d, and ma		th a high level of I	can also be affected by additive noise (which is quite different from jitter in its effect receiver). Stressing the module with a high level of bounded uncorrelated jitter (whi				
No	ote that in a	a noisy ho	y create diffe			loes not test its ability			
			ost.						
		a host trar	smitter it is	often easier to co	ontrol clock jitter	than to reduce additiv			
	oise couplin			es in an ASIC.	,				
(bucket1) Ad	diustina the	VEC.usir	na additive n	noise as done in t	the CR/KR/C2C	tolerance tests, shou			
e to at l	least be all	llowed inst	ead of using		rrelated jitter"; it	may be preferable in			
Sugges	estedRemed	dy							
				ne diagram in Figu ndent attenuator.		etween the pattern			
						93C.1 (where noise			
SOL	ource specif	fication is	defined) and	d setting f_NSD1	to 1 GHz, as in	163.9.3.4.			
	Add that calibrating the noise source level is an alternative method to adding BUJ for calibrating the EH and VEC.								
					accepting the cor	mment I can provide			
Respoi	onse		Response	e Status U					
		ig the resp	onse to com	nment #119.					
	BS13Q ca Respo R	BS13Q candidate te: Response REJECT.	BS13Q candidate text before c Response REJECT.	BS13Q candidate text before comment res Response Respons REJECT.	BS13Q Candidate text before comment resolution. Response Response Status U	BS13Q Candidate text before comment resolution. Response Response Status U REJECT.			

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.4	.1.1 <i>P</i> 248	<i>L</i> 1	# 124
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		TP2 SIT CM noise

The module stressed eye does not include any common-mode noise, even though a host output is allowed to have some common-mode AC content.

In a real system, the common-mode AC content of the host can degrade the module's (electrical) receiver performance, via the module's allowed termination mismatch or by circuit sensitivity. This will not be detected in the module test without common-mode content, and may not be addressed in design - but it can cause compliant modules to fail with real hosts.

For uncorrelated common mode noise, a sinusoidal source should be used. However, for the host output it is likely that common-mode content is generated by conversion from a differential signal and is therefore correlated to it. In this test, it is suggested that p/n skew is the preferred way to create the allowed common-mode RMS level.

SuggestedRemedy

In another comment I am suggesting to add a wideband noise source to the diagram in Figure 120G–10, between the pattern generator and the frequency-dependent attenuator.

For adding correlated common-mode noise, a skew between the p and n components of the frequency-dependent attenuator should be added and calibrated to create the allowed common-mode RMS level. Alternatively, a sinusoidal common-mode signal can be added, at any frequency up to the Nyquist frequency.

Add the necessary text for calibrating the common mode output at TP1a.

Editorial license is suggested, but if necessary for accepting the comment I can provide candidate text before comment resolution.

Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

Resolve using the response to comment #121.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.4	.1.1 P 248	L 12	# 31
Mellitz, Rich	ard	Samtec		
Comment Tv	vpe TR	Comment Status R		module input itter

There is more than a few dB VEC difference between simulations using the COM computation script using 0.025 UI of Add and measurements using 50 mUI of Sj for a 16 dB channel. The measured VEC with 50 mUI of Sj approaches 15.7 dB. The actual jitter injected during the a receiver compliance test may introduce a degree of instrument and test set up jitter uncertainty or amplification at the receiver test point.

SuggestedRemedy

Change p245 line 49

Random jitter and bounded uncorrelated jitter are added such that the output of the pattern generator approximates the output jitter profile given by maximum JRMS and maximum J4u, and complies with the even-odd jitter specification, in Table 120F–1. To

Random jitter and bounded uncorrelated jitter are added such that the input to the host approximates the output jitter profile given by maximum JRMS and maximum J4u, and complies with the even-odd jitter specification, in Table 120G-10. Other solutions are possible like lowering injected Sj to 20 mUI.

Response Status U

REJECT.

Response

The intent of this comment is to update the text relating to the parameters proposed in comment #29.

Resolve using the response to comment #29.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 Page 23 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.4.1.1	P 248	L 17	# 140		C/ 120G	SC 120	G.3.4.1	.1	P 248	L 44	# 125
Hidaka, Y	asuo		Credo Semico	onductor, Inc.			Ran, Adee				Cisco		
Comment	Туре Т	Comr	ment Status A		EF	L TP	Comment T	уре Т	R	Comme	nt Status A		module input SI7
120Ġ 120G	.3.1.2." .3.1.2 measure:	s the host o	tem as measured at output ERL at TP1a em is measured at T	rather than TP1.	pecification given i	n	generat It is not	or to mee specified	t the Ti what k	51a eye h	eight and vertical emphasis the pa	eye closure spe ttern generator s	hould include. In
Chan "The	ERL of the test	system as	measured at TP1 m	eets the specifica	ation given in			ursors and					t a CR host transmitter capabilities for a C2M
	.3.1.2."						Also, it cases.	should be	explici	tly permis:	sible to use pre-e	emphasis for both	high-loss and low-loss
to							SuggestedF	Remedy					
	eturn loss of th measured at Tl		em at TP1 meets the	e ERL specificatio	on given in 120G.3	1.2	Delete '	"For the h	igh-loss	s case,"			
Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCI		nse Status C				emphas	sis equiva	lent to t	the Transr	ern generator is e nit equalizer func or the high-loss a	tional model spe	cified in 162.9.3.1. Pre-
	in Figure 120G the MCB are i		ure 120G-9, the con	nections of the H	CB and module un	der	Response ACCEP	T IN PRII	NCIPLE		e Status C		
	5	system as	measured at TP1 m	eets the specifica	ation given in		as perm		use pre		int as a helpful w s) rather than to s		y need preemphasis (or nphasis shall be
		ets the ERI	L specification given	in 120G.3.1.2 wh	nen measured at						ne additional sent ply to both long a		ses.
and c	onnect the mod ure 120G-10 cc onnect the host	lule under t	ashed line from the H est input path to the dashed line from the input path to the HC	MCB TP4 path. MCB TP4 path to	·		generat To:	high loss or to mee phasis ca	t the TI	⊃1a eye ho is likely to		eye closure spe	

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.1.1 Page 24 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

C/ 120G SC	C 120G.3.4.1.1	P 249	L 8	# 224	Cl 120G	SC 120G	5.2	P 252	L 12	# 179
Wu, Mau-Lin		MediaTek Inc			Dawe, Pier	3		Nvidia		
Comment Type	TR Co	mment Status R		module input SIT	Comment 7	ype TR	Com	ment Status R		RR CTL
is 18.2 dB,	which is 16 dB ch .2 dB is too small	enuation added from ou annl loss with 2.2 dB fo a value for host transm	or host transmitte	er package loss.	gCD2 = to vary	-1 but up to like that.		stronger gDC2, we c gDC2 = -3 - yet we d		dB of peaking for aximum channel loss
SuggestedRem	edv				Suggested	-		10 10 11 00 1 10 10	10 (a.e. the extra-	
By leveragi	ng what adopted i	n OIF CEI-112G-VSR-F			For TP is 13).	ia, change	ne secona -	-12 to -11, and -13 to	-10 (so the stro	ngest "CTLE peaking"
value to rep reasonable.		ere 3.5 dB representing	host transmitte	r package loss is	Response		Resp	onse Status U		
Response		ponse Status U			REJEC	т.				
		de sufficient evidence to		-	clear th change	at the curre s won't be h	nt specificat armful.	e sufficient justificatio	is there evidence	e that the proposed
Further wor	k and a consensu	s proposal on this topic	c is encouraged.		C/ 120G	SC 120G	5.2	P 252	L 16	# 44
C/ 120G SC	C 120G.3.4.1.1	P 249	L 10	# 126	Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi	
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Comment 7			ment Status A		RR CTL
Comment Type		mment Status D		module input SIT		ax value ma e if plugged			B when module	are tuned in the middle
		dom jitter and the patter t for all three eyes giver			Suggested	Remedy				
() to resul	t in the eye height	Tor an unce eyes giver		-11	Sugges	t reducing g	DC from -2	to -1 and see ghiasi	_3ck_01_0421	
But:					Response		Resp	onse Status C		
		ready been adjusted in			ACCEF	T IN PRINC	IPLE.			
	maximum J4u".	or approximates the out	iput jitter prome	given by maximum				ation was reviewed b ublic/adhoc/apr21_2		noc_01a_042121.pdf
specification	ns is an overstres	both conditions. Adding s (since host output she compensated by simple	ould not have su	n J4u/JRMS uch higher jitter). Unlike	In Tabl	e 120G-12,	hange TP4	near-end g_DC ma	ximum value fron	n -2 to -1 dB.
		ble by pattern generato s is the subject of anoth								

jitter. SuggestedRemedy

Delete "Random jitter and".

Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 Page 25 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 252	L 16	# 183	C/ 120G SC 120G.	.5.2
Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia			Ran, Adee	
Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status R		RR CTLE	Comment Type T	Co
The lim	nits for TP4 gDC,	gDC2 should not be the san	ne for short and	long output modes.	The reference recei	•
Suggestedl	Remedy				that are not necess	arily pass
Create	separate limits for	or TP4 short and long output	modes.		This is different from	
Response		Response Status U			120E). Although 12 combinations alway	
REJEC	CT.				at the peaking frequ	
		provide sufficient justification not provide sufficient detail		changes and the	(The reference rece method in all CR/KF	R specific
C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 252	L 25	# 178	keeping the poles c addition of a flat gai	
Dawe, Pier	s	Nvidia			does affect the eye	
Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status R		RR CTLE	There was no indica	ation or c
		or TP4 far-end is known exa		•	better matches real	designs
	0 0	ombinations would be the on OC and gDC2 should add to a		try. As for TP1a, I	frequency). In fact, the one in Annex 12	
Suggestedl					frequency can utilize	•
	•	er, DC gain for TP4 far-end (gDC), change to	a set of limits that	It is suggested to m	odify the
	0	same style as for TP1a, with ved values should be a subs	0 0	5 5	120E. This requires	
Response	ristant. The allow			F1a.	SuggestedRemedy	
REJEC	ст.	Response Status U			Bring 93A.1.4.3 (Re an additional factor	
		provide sufficient justificatior not provide sufficient detail		changes and the	"where G is a gain f	factor, wh
					- If norm_ctle is 1, 0	
					maximum of H_ctf(f - If norm_ctle is 0 of	,
					In Table 120G–12, to f_b, and add the	•
					A presentation with	the effec
					Response	Re
					REJECT.	
					[Editor's note: Strav	v poll info
					The following relate meeting:	d presen
TYPE: TR/t	technical required	d ER/editorial required GR/o	general required	T/technical E/editorial G/o	general	

Cl 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 252	L 32	# 127
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status R		RR CTLE

ameters fz, fp1, fp2, and gDC create CTLE transfer functions ssive (up to 0 dB across the spectrum) for all combinations.

ference receiver used in the previous C2M specification (Annex s different equation and parameters, the resulting CTLE combinations of the parameters Z1 and G that create 0 dB gain

LE in 120E is essentially similar to the one used in the COM ications, in that the peaking is created by varying the zero while , with the zero being equal to fp1 for zero peaking; 120E has an create 0 dB maximum gain; this gain has no effect on COM, but

claim that the CTLE in this annex has better performance or is than a CTLE similar to Annex 120E (with different peaking addition of a DFE to the reference receiver, a CTLE similar to C) may be more adequate, as the equalization at Nyquist FÉ.

e reference receiver transfer functions to be similar to those of or change in the definition of the CTLE in Annex 93A (COM).

equalizer) into the draft, and change Equation 93A-22 to include a description of G below the equation:

whose value depends of the variable norm ctle as follows:

based on g_DC, f_z, g_DC2, f_LF, f_p1, and fp2, such that the s f is equal to 1.

provided by the clause that invokes this method, G is set to 1."

the values of f z and f p1 to f b/2.5, change the value of f p2 eter norm_ctle with value 1.

ect of the proposed change will be provided.

Response Status C

formation was added 2021/5/25.]

entation was reviewed by the task force at a previous ad hoc

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2

Page 26 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM CI 4000

SC 4000 F 0

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr21_21/ran_3ck_adhoc_01_042121.pdf

A straw poll relating to this proposal is reproduced here: Straw Poll #1 (April 21 ad hoc meeting) For the reference CTLE of Annex 120G (choose one): A. I would support the proposed change if it does not degrade VEC/EH compared to the current parameters. B. I would support the proposed change if it improves VEC/EH compared to the current parameters, and change the max VEC / min EH accordingly. C. I am interested in the proposed change but some modifications are required. D. I would not support the proposed change (even with modifications). E. I need more information. F. I don't have an opinion.

Results: A: 3 , B: 3 , C: 3 , D: 12 , E: 10 , F: 8

The comment does not provide sufficient evidence to make the proposed changes. All of the simulations and related specifications thus far have been based upon the current CTLE pole-zero and gain parameters. Any changes to these parameters would require all related specifications to be revisited.

Cl 120G SC	120G.5.2	P 25 :	3	L 23	# 180
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			
Comment Type	TR (Comment Status	R		EH/VEC method

This draft has a primitive rectangular eye mask (H = either EHmin or EA/VECmax), although it is described as a histogram. It's an inefficient/inaccurate way of measuring a signal quality vertically and provides weak and uncertain protection against too much jitter. This is worse with the higher VEC limit in the latest draft that allows worse and more varied signals, and is a particular concern for very short host channels (see Mike Dudek's work) that can have faster edges than higher loss ones.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from a 4-cornered mask with corners at t = ts+/-0.05, V = k +/-H/2 to a 10-cornered mask with corners at t = ts+/-0.05, ts+/-1/16, ts+/-3/32, V = k +/-H/2, k +/-H*0.4, k. k is VCmid, VCupp or VClow.

In case it's not clear, H is either EHmin or Eye Amplitude * 10^(-VECmax/20).

This simple scalable method can remain as the EH and VEC limits are revised. Scopes have been measuring with 10-sided masks for many years, it's not more difficult than a rectangular mask.

Response	Response Status	U
Nesponse	Response Status	Ľ

REJECT.

The currently methodology was chosen over an eye mask method like that being proposed in this comment.

See slide 3 of the following presentation was reviewed by the task force:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_01/brown_3ck_04_0121.pdf

The comment does not provide sufficient justification to support the proposed changes.

C/ 120G	SC	120G.5.2	P 2	53	L 27	# 47
Ghiasi, Ali			Ghia	si Quar	ntum/Inphi	
Comment T	Гуре	TR	Comment Status	R		EH/VEC metho
of timin	g wind		50 mUI, given the a			ement with introduction very confusing for the
Suggested	Remed	dy				
Please	includ	le a figure a	and full procedure in	n CL12	0G instead of refer	rencing 120E
Response			Response Status	U		
the enti method 120E-1	ire me lology 3) and mulate	thodology i familiar to t_s can be	is not warranted. Al test implementers.	so, it is The rel n the e	helpful to refer to ationship between xception {the CDF	TCmid (in Figure of the signal voltage
C/ 135	SC	135.1.4	P 1	09	L 15	# 103
Ran, Adee			Cisc	С		
Comment T	Гуре	Е	Comment Status	Α		(bucket1)
In Figu	re 135	5-2, in "PMA	A (4:n)" the letter "n	" is not	italicized (it is italie	c everywhere else).
Also, in	"PMA	A (n:p)", "n"	is italic but "p" is n	ot (but	p is italic in the leg	jend).
Also an	plies 1	to Figure 1	20A–8 in 120A.5 wł	nere p a	and n are used but	not italicized.
Suggested	•	U				
00			e "n" and "p" to itali	c, acros	ss both figures.	
Response			Response Status	с	-	
ACCEF	PT.		·			
C/ 135	SC	135.1.4	P1	09	L 27	# 104
Ran, Adee			Cisco	C		
Comment 7						
	Гуре	Е	Comment Status	Α		(bucket1
The ter		_			e 135-2, so it is no	<i>(bucket1)</i> t required in the legend
The ter Suggested	m "P⊦	IY" does no			e 135-2, so it is no	,

DOFO

1 07

47

Delete "PHY = PHYSICAL LAYER DEVICE".

Response	Response Status	C	
ACCEPT.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 135	Page 27 of 50
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 135.1.4	2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 135	SC 135.7.3	P	113	L 6	# 105		C/ 136	SC 1:
Ran, Adee	9	Cis	со				Law, David	ł
Comment	Type TR	Comment Statu	s A		(k	bucket1)	Comment	Туре
100GA	UI-1 for which	2.3cd has only the op the value should be		4, or N/A for 1000	G. This project ad	lds	the un	ction 'star derscore 2.3.2 and
Suggested	-						Suggested	
-	in item NLA and	add 1 as an optiona					00	e 'start_l
Response		Response Statu	s W				Response	o otart_i
ACCE	PT.						ACCE	PT
C/ 136	SC 136.8.1	1 <i>P</i>	115	L 29	# 24		. <u></u>	
Marris, Art	thur	Cad	dence De	sign Systems	-	_	C/ 136	SC 1
Comment	Type TR	Comment Statu	s R	C	control function (b	oucket1)	Ran, Adee	
Need t	to point out that	the Clause 136 con	trol functi	on is not just for	50G lane PMDs		Comment	
Suggested	Domodu						In the	base doo
	-	a paragraph to the e	nd of 136	5.8.11:				entering
Add th "The P PMDs, 162."	e following extr MD control fun	ction specified in this ner PMDs, such as th	s clause is he 100 Gl	s not only used b			when e In this <i>Suggestea</i>	project v IRemedy
Add th "The P PMDs, 162." Response	e following extr PMD control fun , but also by oth	ction specified in this	s clause is he 100 Gl	s not only used b			when e In this <i>Suggestea</i> Bring i	project v IRemedy
Add th "The P PMDs, 162." <i>Response</i> REJEC By pre	e following extr MD control fun , but also by oth CT.	ction specified in this ner PMDs, such as the Response Status subclauses for one P	s clause is ne 100 Gb s W 'MD are re	s not only used b b/s per lane PME eused or recycle	s specified in Cla d by clauses for c	ause	when e In this <i>Suggestea</i>	project v IRemedy n 136.8.1
Add th "The P PMDs, 162." Response REJEC By pre concur defined	e following extr MD control fun , but also by oth CT. cedent, many s rrent or later PM d in 802.3cd-20	ction specified in this her PMDs, such as the Response Status subclauses for one P MDs without any refe 18 Clause 136 (CR)	s clause is ne 100 Gł s W MD are re rence to t does not	s not only used b b/s per lane PME eused or recycle hose other claus point out that it i	s specified in Cla d by clauses for c es. The control fu s also used by C	ause other unction lause	when e In this Suggestea Bring i Response	project v <i>IRemedy</i> n 136.8. PT.
Add th "The P PMDs, 162." Response REJEC By pre concur defined 137 (K	e following extr PMD control fun , but also by oth CT. ccedent, many s rrent or later PM d in 802.3cd-20 (R). Clause 162	ction specified in this her PMDs, such as the Response Status subclauses for one P MDs without any refe	s clause is ne 100 Gł s W MD are re rence to t does not not techr	s not only used b b/s per lane PME eused or recycled hose other claus point out that it i nically use Claus	s specified in Cla d by clauses for c es. The control fu s also used by C e 136 control fun	ause other unction lause iction	when e In this Suggestea Bring i Response ACCE C/ 136 Ran, Adee	project v IRemedy n 136.8. PT. SC 1
Add th "The P PMDs, 162." Response REJEC By pre concur definer 137 (K but rat	e following extr PMD control fun , but also by oth CT. ccedent, many s rrent or later PM d in 802.3cd-20 (R). Clause 162	ction specified in this her PMDs, such as the Response Status subclauses for one P IDs without any refe 18 Clause 136 (CR) 2 and Clause 163 do w control function without	s clause is ne 100 Gł s W MD are re rence to t does not not techr	s not only used b b/s per lane PME eused or recycled hose other claus point out that it i nically use Claus	s specified in Cla d by clauses for c es. The control fu s also used by C e 136 control fun	ause other unction lause iction	when e In this Suggested Bring i Response ACCE C/ 136 Ran, Adee Comment	project v IRemedy n 136.8. PT. SC 1 Type
Add th "The P PMDs, 162." Response REJEC By pre concur definer 137 (K but rat point a C/ 136	CT. CCT. Ccedent, many s rrent or later PN d in 802.3cd-20 (R). Clause 162 her define a ne and modified with SC 136.8.1	ction specified in this her PMDs, such as the Response Status subclauses for one P MDs without any refe 18 Clause 136 (CR) 2 and Clause 163 do w control function with th exceptions.	s clause is the 100 Gł s W MD are re rence to t does not o not techn th the Cla	s not only used b b/s per lane PME eused or recycled hose other claus point out that it i nically use Claus	s specified in Cla d by clauses for c es. The control fu s also used by C e 136 control fun	ause other unction lause iction	when e In this Suggested Bring i Response ACCE C/ 136 Ran, Adee Comment The ta	project v IRemedy n 136.8. PT. SC 1 s Type ble to be
Add th "The P PMDs, 162." <i>Response</i> REJEC By pre concur defined 137 (K but rat point a <i>Cl</i> 136 Ran, Adee	e following extr MD control fun , but also by oth CT. ceedent, many s rrent or later PM d in 802.3cd-20 CR). Clause 162 ther define a ne and modified with SC 136.8.1	ction specified in this her PMDs, such as the Response Status subclauses for one P MDs without any refe 18 Clause 136 (CR) 2 and Clause 163 do w control function with th exceptions.	s clause is the 100 Gł s W MD are re rence to t does not o not techr th the Cla 116 co	s not only used b b/s per lane PME eused or recycler hose other claus point out that it i nically use Claus ause 136 control	by clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses. The control furst also used by Claused by Clause	ause other unction lause iction rting	when e In this Suggested Bring i Response ACCE C/ 136 Ran, Adee Comment The ta	project v IRemedy n 136.8. PT. SC 1 Type ble to be use num
Add th "The P PMDs, 162." Response REJEC By pre concur defined 137 (K but rat point a C/ 136 Ran, Adee Comment	e following extr MD control fun , but also by oth CT. ceedent, many s rrent or later PM d in 802.3cd-20 CR). Clause 162 her define a ne and modified with SC 136.8.1 <i>Type</i> E	ction specified in this her PMDs, such as the Response Status subclauses for one P ADs without any refe 18 Clause 136 (CR) 2 and Clause 136 (CR) 2 and Clause 163 do and Clause 163 do the exceptions.	s clause is the 100 Gł s W MD are re rence to t does not o not techr th the Cla 116 co	s not only used b b/s per lane PME eused or recycler hose other claus point out that it i nically use Claus ause 136 control	by clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses. The control furst also used by Claused by Clause	ause other unction lause iction	when e In this Suggested Bring i Response ACCE C/ 136 Ran, Adee Comment The ta subcla Suggested	project v IRemedy n 136.8. PT. SC 1 SC 1 SType ble to be use num IRemedy
Add th "The P PMDs, 162." Response REJEC By pre concur defined 137 (K but rat point a C/ 136 Ran, Adee Comment	e following extr MD control fun , but also by oth CT. ceedent, many s rrent or later PM d in 802.3cd-20 CR). Clause 162 ther define a ne and modified with SC 136.8.1	ction specified in this her PMDs, such as the Response Status subclauses for one P ADs without any refe 18 Clause 136 (CR) 2 and Clause 136 (CR) 2 and Clause 163 do and Clause 163 do the exceptions.	s clause is the 100 Gł s W MD are re rence to t does not o not techr th the Cla 116 co	s not only used b b/s per lane PME eused or recycler hose other claus point out that it i nically use Claus ause 136 control	by clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses. The control furst also used by Claused by Clause	ause other unction lause iction rting	when e In this Suggested Bring i Response ACCE C/ 136 Ran, Adee Comment The ta subcla Suggested	project v IRemedy n 136.8. PT. SC 1 SC 1 SType ble to be use num IRemedy
Add th "The P PMDs, 162." Response REJEC By pre concur definer 137 (K but rat point a C/ 136 Ran, Adee Comment Missin Suggested	e following extr PMD control fun , but also by oth cccdent, many s rrent or later PM d in 802.3cd-20 (R). Clause 162 ther define a ne and modified with SC 136.8.1 <i>SC</i> 136.8.1	ction specified in this her PMDs, such as the Response Status subclauses for one P ADs without any refe 18 Clause 136 (CR) 2 and Clause 136 (CR) 2 and Clause 163 do and Clause 163 do the exceptions.	s clause is the 100 Gł s W MD are re rence to t does not o not techr th the Cla 116 co	s not only used b b/s per lane PME eused or recycler hose other claus point out that it i nically use Claus ause 136 control	by clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses for clauses. The control furst also used by Claused by Clause	ause other unction lause iction rting	when e In this Suggested Bring i Response ACCE C/ 136 Ran, Adee Comment The ta subcla Suggested Chang Response ACCE	n 136.8. ² PT. SC 1: <i>Type</i> ble to be use num

C/ 136	SC 136.8.11.7.2	P 117	L 37	# 128
Law, David		HPE		
Comment T	ype T (Comment Status A		(bucket1
the und	erscore between st	mer' in the QUIET stat art and holdoff_timer s ff_timer' in TIMEOUT s	hould be a space.	_ /
SuggestedF	Remedy			
Change	'start_holdoff_time	er' to read 'start holdoff	_timer'.	
Response	F	Response Status C		
ACCEP	Т.			
C/ 136	SC 136.8.11.7.3	P 116	L 14	# 107
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment T	ype TR (Comment Status A		(bucket1
	ase document (802 ntering the TIMEOU	3cd), 136.8.11.7.3 de JT state.	fines holdoff_timer	as being started only
In this p	roject we added a	holdoff_timer also whe	n entering QUIET.	
SuggestedF	Remedy			
Bring in	136.8.11.7.3 and i	nsert "or the QUIET sta	ate" after "the TIME	OUT state".
Response	F	esponse Status W		
ACCEP	т.			
C/ 136	SC 136.9	P 118	L1	# 108
Ran, Adee		Cisco		_

to be modified is in 136.14.4.1 "PMD functional specifications", so the current se numbering is incorrect.

ER

the 1st-level subclause number from 9 to 14, including the editorial instruction.

IN PRINCIPLE. subclause number 136.9 to 136.14 and update the editorial instruction ately.

Response Status W

Comment Status A

C/ 136 SC 136.9 Page 28 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

(bucket1)

C/ 152	00	152.6.2a	P 119	L 29	# 109	C/ 161	SC 161.5	.2.0	P 122	L 52	# 162
Ran, Adee			Cisco			Zimmerma	an, George		CME Consult	ing/ADI, APL G	p, Cisco, CommScope,
Comment 7	Гуре	Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	Type TR	C	Comment Status A		(bucket
in 802.	3 the w	ord "subla	yer" is conventionally used	with no hyphen.					all be mapped to am_txr		
Suggested	Remed	У							e following process." Wi the text just runs in para		
change	e "sub-l	ayer" to "s	ublayer".			with th	e text and m	ultiple se	ets of either pseudocode	or alphabetic st	eps. I THINK it ends a
Response ACCE	PT.		Response Status C			This se	ection is tech	nically c	only after first thinking it juite important and need is currently not clear to t	s to be crystal c	lear, hence my
						in step the exi Being	s or all in ps isting text an	eudocod d put it a	ext should be set out, and le, and set out by its owr Ill in text). he text, take caution, as	n section. (in my	remedy I have used
						Suggested	IRemedy				
						161.5. followi from p	2.6.1." Inse ng line 54, w age 123 line	t new se ith conte s 18 thro	e following process" to "s ection "161.5.2.6.1 Alignr ent from page 123 lines 1 ough 21, and step f) usin ne 33. Add step g) with	nent Marker Ma through 10, an g the text at line	pping Process" d add step e) using tex s 23 ("The variable
						123 lin			process requirement) te: 46 (end of the existing s		
						Response		R	esponse Status W		
						ACCE	PT IN PRIN	CIPLE.			
						[Editor	's note: Prop	osed res	sponse updated on 2021	/5/5.]	
							ome offline option is clear		on and further review, the	e commenter inc	licated that the
						name	should be tx	_scramb	It the wrong variable is b led_am rather than am_ et of processes in the cla	txmapped. In ad	dition, it would be
						that yie To: "Tl	elds the sam	e result markers	rkers shall be mapped to as the following process s shall be mapped to tx_ as the processes describ	." scrambled_am<	:1284:0> in a manner

C/ 161 SC 161.5.2.6 Page 29 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

C/ 161 SC 161.5.2.6	P 123	L 41	# 85	C/ 161 S	SC 161.5.2.9	P 125	L 8	# 163
Huber, Tom	Nokia			Zimmerman, C	George	CME Consul	ting/ADI, APL	Gp, Cisco, CommScope,
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		(bucket2)	Comment Type	e E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
Incorrect list of PCS lane	s for FEC lane 1: 0, 5, 9, 1	3, and 17				d, two FEC codewords… ea d and interleaved… FEC lar		
SuggestedRemedy Change 0 to 1.				consistent remember	nomenclatur Gus Solomo	e. You go from FEC, to Real n by name, it suggests there	ed-Solomon, a	nd as much as I love to
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C				ne it in my re	medy, but the editor may wi clear - the same thing show		
Resolve using the respor	nse to comment #73.			161.5.3.3.	(note RS-FE	EC is an abbreviation in 802.	3-2018 for Ree	ed-Solomon Forward
C/ 161 SC 161.5.2.6	P 123	L 41	# 73	Error Corre SuggestedRen	,			
Wienckowski, Natalie	General Moto	ors			-	ces on lines 8 through 22 of	"FEC" with "R	S-FEC", and "Reed-
lanes 0 and 1. The seco	Comment Status A s it doesn't make sense to nd "0" should be "1" on FE			Solomon e Additionall	encoded" on li y suggest edi	ine 21 with "RS-FEC encode itor review usage of "FEC" for 1 (I note this doesn't look glo	ed". or possible rep	lacement with RS-FEC
match with Figure 161-3.				Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy				ACCEPT.				
are transmitted on FEC la	r payloads corresponding	-						
Response	Response Status C							
mapping in subclause 16 across the lanes. So the Make the following chang Change: "The result of the alignme alignment marker payloa to PCS lanes 0, 4, 8, 12, payloads corresponding 1 and so on (see Figure 16 To:	nment marker payloads ar 1.5.2.6, but not all; for exa statement in Draft 2.0 is no jes to simplify the text and ent marker mapping function ds and FEC lanes. The alig and 16 are transmitted on o PCS lanes 0, 5, 9, 13, a 1–3)."	mple the BIP fie of correct as cur remove the inco on is a determini gnment marker p FEC lane 0, the nd 17 are transn	lds are not repeated rently written. prrect statement. stic mapping between payloads corresponding alignment marker nitted on FEC lane 1,					

C/ 161 SC 161.5.2.9 Page 30 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

7:	30	161.5.3.3	P 127	L 31	# 164	C/ 162
Zimmerma	an, Geo	orge	CME Consu	Ilting/ADI, APL Gp	o, Cisco, CommScope,	Kochupara
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment
is .			decoder fails to indicate a			Annex already
to an i if the i be reu	underlyi aw sym ised wit	ng raw syr bol error r h different	10–16." This statement is nbol error rate. The proba ate is left unpinned. Since PHYs in different scenario tive sentence is unnecessa	bility of a failed de this subclause st s, it isn't appropria	ecode can be anything ands alone and could	Suggested Remov "Anne» Response
Suggested	Remed	ły				ACCE
Delete	the las	st two sent	ences of the 2nd paragrapl	h of 161.5.3.3 ("Th	he probability").	01.400
Response			Response Status C			C/ 162
		PRINCIPLE				Kabra, Lok
errors	occur.	The last t	f the system dictates the ratio sentences constrain the			Comment Typo-e
codew Chang		n t+1 or m	ore errors is seen.			Suggested
The p	robabilit		decoder fails to indicate a			Correc
	expecte		ed 10–16. This limit is also	expected to apply	/ for t+2 errors, t+3	Response
To:						ACCE
			decoder fails to indicate a ected to exceed 10–16.	codeword as unco	prrected, given t+1 or	C/ 162
C/ 162	SC	162.1	P 140	L 7	# 238	Kochupara
Zhang, Bo)		Inphi			Comment T
		Е	Comment Status R		wording (bucket1)	
Comment		torfogga c	are first introduced in the ov	verview section of		l may j
When	-				clause 162. It's not	l may j the Inv
When clear t	he defir	nition is pro	operly referenced.		clause 162. It's not	l may j the Inv interfac
When clear t <i>Suggested</i>	he defir dRemed	nition is pro dy	operly referenced.			I may j the Inv interfac Suggested Make I
When clear t <i>Suggested</i>	he defir dRemed est prov	nition is pro dy				l may j the Inv interfac Suggested
When clear t <i>Suggested</i> Sugge	the defined Remed est provuced.	nition is pro dy	operly referenced.			I may j the Inv interfac <i>Suggested</i> Make I

C/ 162	SC 162.1	P 140	L 13	# 154
Kochuparam	nbil, Beth	Cisco		
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status A		wording (bucket1)
	62D is the only be implied.	description that restates the	PMD. CR1, CR	2, and CR4 seem to
SuggestedR	Remedy			
		CR1, 200GBASE-CR2, and 40 shost and cable assembly typ		which would leave
Response		Response Status C		
ACCEP	Т.			
C/ 162	SC 162.1	P 140	L 26	# 99
Kabra, Loke	sh	Synopsys Inc		
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
Typo-err SuggestedR	ror for Clause r Remedy	Comment Status A number corresponding to RS/C r to "81" instead of "80" in row		
Typo-err SuggestedR Correct	, ror for Clause r <i>Remedy</i> Clause numbe	number corresponding to RS/C		
Typo-err SuggestedR Correct Response	, ror for Clause r <i>Remedy</i> Clause numbe	r to "81" instead of "80" in row		(bucket1, Table 162-1 # 155
Typo-err SuggestedR Correct Response ACCEP	ror for Clause r <i>Pemedy</i> Clause numbe T. SC 162.1	r to "81" instead of "80" in row <i>Response Status</i> C	1 and row 2 of	Table 162-1
Typo-err SuggestedR Correct Response ACCEP Cl 162	ror for Clause r <i>Remedy</i> Clause numbe T. SC 162.1 nbil, Beth	r to "81" instead of "80" in row Response Status C	1 and row 2 of	Table 162-1 # 155
Typo-err SuggestedR Correct Response ACCEP Cl 162 Kochuparan Comment Ty I may jus	ror for Clause r Remedy Clause numbe T. SC 162.1 nbil, Beth ype E st be confused rse RS-FEC is	r to "81" instead of "80" in row Response Status C P140 Cisco	L and row 2 of	Table 162-1 # <u>155</u> <i>withdrawr</i> EC-Int are required, but
Typo-err SuggestedR Correct Response ACCEP Cl 162 Kochuparan Comment Ty I may just the Inve	ror for Clause r Remedy Clause numbe T. SC 162.1 nbil, Beth ype E st be confused rse RS-FEC is es.	r to "81" instead of "80" in row Response Status C P140 Cisco Comment Status D , but seems odd that both RS-	L and row 2 of	Table 162-1 # <u>155</u> <i>withdrawr</i> EC-Int are required, but
Typo-err SuggestedR Correct Response ACCEP Cl 162 Kochuparam Comment Ty I may jus the Inve interface SuggestedR	ror for Clause r Remedy Clause numbe T. SC 162.1 nbil, Beth ype E st be confused rse RS-FEC is es.	r to "81" instead of "80" in row Response Status C P140 Cisco Comment Status D , but seems odd that both RS- optional, however required to	L and row 2 of	Table 162-1 # <u>155</u> <i>withdrawr</i> EC-Int are required, but
Typo-err SuggestedR Correct Response ACCEP Cl 162 Kochuparam Comment Ty I may jus the Inve interface SuggestedR	ror for Clause r Remedy Clause numbe T. SC 162.1 nbil, Beth ype E st be confused rse RS-FEC is es. Remedy verse RS-FEC	r to "81" instead of "80" in row Response Status C P140 Cisco Comment Status D , but seems odd that both RS- optional, however required to	L and row 2 of	Table 162-1 # [<u>155</u> <i>withdrawr</i> EC-Int are required, but

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.1 Page 31 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

EE P802.3ck D2.0 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force Initial Worki	ng Group ballot commei
--	------------------------

C/ 162 SC 162.1	P 141	L 23	# 176	C/ 162	SC 162.7	P 146	L 28	# 193
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Type E	Comment Status R		PMD tables (bucket1)	Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status A		(bucket1
Tables 162-2 and 162	2-3 are essentially the same, a	nd it benefits th	ne reader to see that.	Draft sho	ould be consis	tent format for the PMD conti	rol and status re	gisters.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	emedy			
	le with columns for clause/ann			Delete th	e "to" to matc	h table 162-5.		
·	and required/optional status.	Similarly for tal	bles 163-2 and 3.	Response		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCEPT		•		
REJECT.	bles results in a less readable	format since fo	or most sublavors thora		00 400 -	D.4.1=	1.04	"
	ich rate. Only RS and AN rows			C/ 162	SC 162.7	P 147	L 34	# 192
	rove the quality of the draft.			Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
[Editor's note: CC: 16	2, 163]			Comment Ty		Comment Status A		(bucket1
C/ 162 SC 162.1	P 142	L 41	# 156	Improve	-			
Kochuparambil, Beth	Cisco			SuggestedRe	,			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Ŭ	provide" to "pi			
MAC = MEDIA ACCE	SS CONTROL is listed twice i	n the key.		Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy				ACCEPT				
Remove 1 of the MAG	C definitions			C/ 162	SC 162.8.11	P 151	L 24	# 144
Response	Response Status C			Kochuparam	bil, Beth	Cisco		
ACCEPT.				Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status R		control function (bucket1
C/ 162 SC 162.3	P143	L 43	# 143			inal count of max_wait_timer		
Kochuparambil, Beth	Cisco	L 4 5	π 145		value is specif ' incorrect.	ied within the clause/stateme	ent makes the pl	hrase "specified in
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		withdrawn					
The PMD does not re			wiindrawn	SuggestedRe	,	'defined" or "decoribed"		
					semi-pervasiv	'defined" or "described" /e issue.		
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C		
Change "on" to "for"				REJECT				
Resulting text would signals for the MDI."	ead "The PMD converts these	streams of syr	mbols into appropriate		-	ng a value that is different fro	m the value spe	cified in Clause 136.
	Response Status Z							
Proposed Response	Response Status Z							

C/ 162 SC 162.8.11

C/ 162 SC 162.9.	3 P 154	L 21	# 167		C/ 162	SC 162	2.9.3	P 154	L 21	# 166
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			TX vf	Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status R		CR port type
SuggestedRemedy	f defining linear fit pulse peak peak ratio" as in 163 and 16 <i>Response Status</i> C	· ·	e unit in the table		The re footpri insertio switch get ma	commend nt and hos on loss up , while a fu ade with ar	ed max st conne to 11.9 ull rang n asym	vastes over 3 dB in nearly ev ximum insertion loss allocatio ector footprint, of 6.875 dB, o 9 dB, making passive copper le of NICs can be made within umetric loss budget, so it woo	on for the host the compares very p r expensive and n only 3.75 dB. Ild be better for	boorly with C2M's host unattractive for a Server-switch links will the standard to
ACCEPT IN PRINC	•	cense.			asymn allowe This cl	netric anyv d in this di	way (dii raft. uld alsc	ppen anyway. By the way, m fferent form factors at server b benefit CR switch-switch lir	and switch end	s), and that's already
					Suggested			000.		
					As we and 10 not su the oth In Tab host ir while f and 21 In 162 them i figures	have done) dB. Sho pported. A her end. le 162-10, le 162-14, uput the va or the long 1.5 dB). N A.4, provid n Fig 162A \$ 162A-3 a \$ 162A-3 a \$ cussion: \$ e 3 m) be	rt can c Add ent provid provid lues fo p host i o chan de two A-1 and nd 4. should defined	2M, create two kinds of CR p connect to short or long with tries in Clause 73 Auto-Nego le separate limits for Linear f le separate rows for Test cha or Test 2 are 10-6.875 = 3.12 nput the values for Test 2 ar ge needed for Test 1. equations for each of IL_PC d 2. In 162A.5, provide two V a "long" cable, 19.75+2*(6.8 d? A CR link could have no	same cable as to station to advert it pulse peak (m nnel insertion lo 5 dB higher (26, e 6.875-3.75 = 3 Bmax and for IL /alue columns in 75-3.75) = 19.75	today; long to long is ise short and long to in). 255: for testing the short 75 dB and 27.75 dB), 3.125 dB lower (20.5 dB 2.HostMax and show 1 Table 162A-1. Adjust 5+6.25 = 26 dB max
					We co "mediu In 162	um" (as a (.11.7.1.1, :	e other C2M ho zp, rep	names than "short" and "lor ost can be "longer"), or A and presenting the extra loss a ho	d B, somewhat I st has above ar	ike USB. n MCB, could be made
					There switch	could be a links, wou	a third k Ild be u	re that would not bring an imp kind of CR port with 6.875 dE useful for only a subset of sw way, so it doesn't seem wort	B but this would itch-switch links	not be useful for server-
					Response			Response Status U		
					REJE	CT.				
						01		tion was reviewed by the tasl g/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr28_2		hoc_01_042821.pdf

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 162	Page 33 of 50
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 162.9.3	2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

The suggested remedy would require two or three different CR port types.	C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1 P155 L 31 # 136
The assymetric-port approach was discussed early in this project.	Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor, Inc.
Straw Poll #1 from the July 2018 Task Force meeting indicated strongest support for the current specification.	Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket1
https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/18_07/minutes_3ck_0718_approved.pdf	The number of initial conditions was increased from three to five.
Based on discussion and straw poll 6 and 7, there is interest in exploring this proposal	SuggestedRemedy
further. However, the proposal is not sufficiently complete at this time. A complete proposal	Change "three initial conditions" to "five initial conditions".
and consensus is required.	Response Response Status C
Straw poll #6 (direction, chicago rule)	ACCEPT.
Straw poll #7 (direction, pick one) I would support a new pair of CR port types with reduced host insertion loss limit on one	C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.1 P155 L 47 # 145
end (e.g., NIC) and increased host loss limit on the other end (e.g., switch) similar to slide	Kochuparambil, Beth Cisco
7 of dawe_3ck_adhoc_01_042821.	Comment Type E Comment Status A (bucket1
Strawpoll #6 A: Yes 27	"M should be an integer not less than 32" May be easier for the reader to avoid the double negative.
B: No 13 C: Need more information 29	SuggestedRemedy
D: Abstain 7	Change "not less than" to "greater than or equal to"
Straw poll #7	Response Response Status C
A: Yes 22 B: No 11	ACCEPT.
C: Need more information 11	[Editor's note: Change page from 154 to 155.]
D: Abstain 6	C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.1.1 P155 L 44 # 132
Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.1 P155 L 31 # 194	Ben Artsi, Liav Marvell Technology
Dudek, Mike Marvell	Comment Type TR Comment Status A CRU description (bucket)
Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket1) There are now five preset conditions	Defining a corner frequency for a clock recovery unit (CRU) can be ambiguous due to possible actual implementations of CRU implementations
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change "three" to "five"	Change the definition of a CRU unit with a definition of the effect expected from the CRU. The effect expected is a high frequency filter applied on the jitter of the measured signal. A
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	reference for the wording can be found in 93.8 "The effect of a single-pole high-pass filter with a 3 dB frequency of XMHz is applied to the jitter"
Resolve using the response to comment 136.	Response Response Status W
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment 129. [Editor's note: This appears to be a duplicate of comment 129.]

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	.1.1 <i>P</i> 155	L 44	# 129	C/ 162	SC 162.9	3.4	P 158	L 34	# 133
Ben Artsi	, Liav	Marvell Tec	hnology		Hidaka, Y	asuo		Credo Semio	conductor, Inc.	
Comment	t Type TR	Comment Status A	C	RU description (bucket1)	Comment	Type TR	Comn	nent Status A		PRBS90
		quency for a clock recovery u mentations of CRU implement		ambiguous due to		ail definition of n-odd jitter m	0		commended to in	nprove reproducibility
Suggeste	dRemedy				This is	ro cubmicci	on of my corr	nment #110 to draft		
		of a CRU unit with a definition							D1.4.	
		s a high frequency filter appli			Suggestee	-				
		ding can be found in 93.8 "Th y of XMHz is applied to the jit		e-pole high-pass filter				ern symbols used for he values as follow		measurements" similar
Response	9	Response Status W								-
•	EPT IN PRINCI	,				Description : Reference		PAM4 symbol : firs : 1 : -	0	R ends : last
		CRU with a corner frequency	of 4 MHz and sl	ope of 20 dB/decade is		0 to 3 rise :		: 260 : 263	:- :5 :264 :266	
		stressed signal using a PRB				3 to 0 fall : 2		: 511 : 5	:6 :8	
		s jitter filter with a high-pass 3				1 to 2 rise :		: 265 : 268	: 269 : 270	
of 20	dB/decade is u	sed to calibrate the stressed	signal using a PR	BS13Q pattern."		2 to 1 fall :		: 466 : 469	: 470 : 471	
[Edito	or's note: CC: 16	62, 120G]			R01 :	0 to 1 rise :	2000 13	: 195 : 198	: 199 : 200	
2/ 400	00 400 0 0		1.0	# 40	F10 :	1 t0 0 fall : 2	21111 0003	: 256 : 260	: 261 : 264	
2/ 162	SC 162.9.3	.1.3 <i>P</i> 157	L 6	# 146		2 to 3 rise :		: 210 : 213	: 214 : 216	
Cochupar	rambil, Beth	Cisco				3 to 2 fall :		: 401 : 404	: 405 : 406	
Comment	t Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)		0 to 2 rise :		: 275 : 278	: 279 : 280	
	51	nid sentence, however is lowe	r agag in Table 1	,		2 to 0 fall :		: 321 : 325	: 326 : 328	
IIIIIai	is capitalized fi	ild sentence, nowever is lowe		62-115 lille.	-	1 to 3 rise :		: 166 : 169	: 170 : 172	
Suggeste	dRemedy				F31.	3 to 1 fall :	0333 10	: 107 : 110	: 111 : 112	
Make	"Initial" lower c	ase							able 120D-4, whe	en PRBS9Q is used as
Response	9	Response Status C				•	even-odd jitte	er measurement.		
ACCE	EPT.				Response		'	nse Status C		
						PT IN PRINC				
								ernate set of transit		
								e reviewed by the ta		
								blic/21_05/li_3ck_0		:
								blic/21_05/zivny_3		suggested remedy in
						ient #236 incl			s to adopting the	suggested remedy in
									nedv of comment	#236 and presentation
						_01b_0521.	e implement	and duggeoted for		
						poll #1 (direc	tion)			
								#133 and #236 usi	ng:	
								mment #133 (Yasu		
								omment #236 (Mike	Li).	
						ed more infor	nation.			
						: 10 C: 9				
					Pick c	one.				
	/technical requ	ired ER/editorial required GI	R/apperal require	T/technical E/editorial G/c	nonoral			CI 1	62	Page 35 of 50

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.4 Page 35 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

Mike Intel Imment Type TR Comment Status A PRBS9Q PRBS9Q pattern definition is incomplete, and PRBS9Q symbol transition definition for EOJ measurement is missing. PRBS9Q is defined in a similar way to PRBS9Q is defined in a similar way to I) change "PRBS9Q is defined in a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1) except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3." to "PRBS9Q is defined in 162.9.3.4.1, a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1), except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3." to "PRBS9Q is defined in 162.9.3.4.1, a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1), except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3."; 2.) Add a new sentence of "The symbol transition definition for jitter measurement and even-odd jitter calculation with PRBS9Q is provided in 162.9.3.4.1; 3.) Create a new section 162.9.3.4.1 entiled "EOJ measurement with PRBS9Q", with contents	Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A PRI A detail definition of PRBS9Q with the entire sequence is recommended to avoid implementation errors. Prison Prison Prison This is re-submission of my comment #109 to draft D1.4. SuggestedRemedy Prison Prison
PRBS9Q pattern definition is incomplete, and PRBS9Q symbol transition definition for EOJ measurement is missing. <i>gestedRemedy</i> 1.) change "PRBS9Q is defined in a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1) except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3." to "PRBS9Q is defined in 162.9.3.4.1, a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1), except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3." to "PRBS9Q is defined in 162.9.3.4.1, a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1), except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3."; 2.) Add a new sentence of "The symbol transition definition for jitter measurement and even-odd jitter calculation with PRBS9Q is provided in 162.9.3.4.1; 3.)	A detail definition of PRBS9Q with the entire sequence is recommended to avoid implementation errors. This is re-submission of my comment #109 to draft D1.4. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Define PRBS9Q as a new clause in clause 120.5.11.2 using clause 120.5.11.2.1 as a template. In the new clause, modify the second paragraph of the template (120.5.11.2.1) as follow When the PRBS9Q test pattern enabled, it replaces the signal on the output lane(s) for which it is enabled. The PRBS9Q test pattern is a repeating 511-symbol sequence form by Gray coding pairs of bits from two repetitions of the PRBS9 pattern into PAM4 symb as described in 120.5.7. The PRBS pattern generator produces the same result as the
measurement is missing. gestedRemedy 1.) change "PRBS9Q is defined in a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1) except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3." to "PRBS9Q is defined in 162.9.3.4.1, a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1), except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3."; 2.) Add a new sentence of "The symbol transition definition for jitter measurement and even-odd jitter calculation with PRBS9Q is provided in 162.9.3.4.1; 3.)	 implementation errors. This is re-submission of my comment #109 to draft D1.4. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Define PRBS9Q as a new clause in clause 120.5.11.2 using clause 120.5.11.2.1 as a template. In the new clause, modify the second paragraph of the template (120.5.11.2.1) as follow When the PRBS9Q test pattern enabled, it replaces the signal on the output lane(s) for which it is enabled. The PRBS9Q test pattern is a repeating 511-symbol sequence form by Gray coding pairs of bits from two repetitions of the PRBS9 pattern into PAM4 symb as described in 120.5.7. The PRBS pattern generator produces the same result as the
1.) change "PRBS9Q is defined in a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1) except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3." to "PRBS9Q is defined in 162.9.3.4.1, a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1), except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3."; 2.) Add a new sentence of "The symbol transition definition for jitter measurement and even-odd jitter calculation with PRBS9Q is provided in 162.9.3.4.1; 3.)	SuggestedRemedy Define PRBS9Q as a new clause in clause 120.5.11.2 using clause 120.5.11.2.1 as a template. In the new clause, modify the second paragraph of the template (120.5.11.2.1) as follow When the PRBS9Q test pattern enabled, it replaces the signal on the output lane(s) for which it is enabled. The PRBS9Q test pattern is a repeating 511-symbol sequence form by Gray coding pairs of bits from two repetitions of the PRBS9 pattern into PAM4 symb as described in 120.5.7. The PRBS pattern generator produces the same result as the
PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1) except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3." to "PRBS9Q is defined in 162.9.3.4.1, a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1), except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3."; 2.) Add a new sentence of "The symbol transition definition for jitter measurement and even-odd jitter calculation with PRBS9Q is provided in 162.9.3.4.1; 3.)	Define PRBS9Q as a new clause in clause 120.5.11.2 using clause 120.5.11.2.1 as a template. In the new clause, modify the second paragraph of the template (120.5.11.2.1) as follow. When the PRBS9Q test pattern enabled, it replaces the signal on the output lane(s) for which it is enabled. The PRBS9Q test pattern is a repeating 511-symbol sequence form by Gray coding pairs of bits from two repetitions of the PRBS9 pattern into PAM4 symb as described in 120.5.7. The PRBS pattern generator produces the same result as the
the polynomial in Equation 94-3." to "PRBS9Q is defined in 162.9.3.4.1, a similar way to PRBS13Q (see 120.5.11.2.1), except that the polynomial in Table 68-6 is used instead of the polynomial in Equation 94-3."; 2.) Add a new sentence of "The symbol transition definition for jitter measurement and even-odd jitter calculation with PRBS9Q is provided in 162.9.3.4.1; 3.)	template. In the new clause, modify the second paragraph of the template (120.5.11.2.1) as follow When the PRBS9Q test pattern enabled, it replaces the signal on the output lane(s) for which it is enabled. The PRBS9Q test pattern is a repeating 511-symbol sequence form by Gray coding pairs of bits from two repetitions of the PRBS9 pattern into PAM4 symb as described in 120.5.7. The PRBS pattern generator produces the same result as the
the polynomial in Equation 94-3."; 2.) Add a new sentence of "The symbol transition definition for jitter measurement and even-odd jitter calculation with PRBS9Q is provided in 162.9.3.4.1; 3.)	When the PRBS9Q test pattern enabled, it replaces the signal on the output lane(s) for which it is enabled. The PRBS9Q test pattern is a repeating 511-symbol sequence form by Gray coding pairs of bits from two repetitions of the PRBS9 pattern into PAM4 symb as described in 120.5.7. The PRBS pattern generator produces the same result as the
measurement and even-odd jitter calculation with PRBS9Q is provided in 162.9.3.4.1; 3.)	which it is enabled. The PRBS9Q test pattern is a repeating 511-symbol sequence form by Gray coding pairs of bits from two repetitions of the PRBS9 pattern into PAM4 symb as described in 120.5.7. The PRBS pattern generator produces the same result as the
	which it is enabled. The PRBS9Q test pattern is a repeating 511-symbol sequence form by Gray coding pairs of bits from two repetitions of the PRBS9 pattern into PAM4 symb as described in 120.5.7. The PRBS pattern generator produces the same result as the
	as described in 120.5.7. The PRBS pattern generator produces the same result as the
from slides 5, 6 of li_3ck_01_0521	
ponse Response Status C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment #133 proposes an alternate set of transition locations.	in Equation (YY–Y). Since the PRBS9 pattern is an odd number of bits in length, bits w are mapped as the first bit of a PAM4 symbol during one repetition of the PRBS9 seque
Resolve using the response to comment #133.	are mapped as the second bit of a PAM4 symbol during the next repetition of the PRBS
	sequence, and bits which are mapped as the second bit of a PAM4 symbol are mapped
	the first bit of the following symbol in the next repetition of the PRBS9 sequence. For
	example, if the PRBS9 generator used to create the PRBS9Q sequence is initialized to seed value of 111111111 (with the leftmost bit in S0 and the rightmost in S8), the PRBS
	sequence is the following Gray coded PAM4 symbols, transmitted left to right:
	0012322303231310010331213302202231320111030230213332303130303000
	1003020031203332002123313231011003321022213103113222031333131300
	0201311013311222101130233203202201221210013321323200113322333330 0110332203232300120233102211211010301312003221320210023220022223
	00221220112020300311023210123122021303331012013211120102010
	3010130102311113013221021203033011133122320310321223102110202000
	1302033021032223303201211311312302232330021132121300321122111100 033111231121200023121031233233303100202301123213133012123012222.
	033111231121200023121031233233303100202301123213133012123012222.
	Draw Figure XX-X "PRBS9 pattern generator" similar to Figure 94-6 but according to polynomial 1 + x^5 + x^9.
	Define Equation (YY-Y) as $G(x) = 1 + x^5 + x^9$ or make a reference to the polynomial i Table 68-6.
	Make a reference to the new clause from 162.9.3.4.
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license. Create an equation for the polynomial but include text referring back to Clause 68.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 Page 36 of 50 SC 162.9.3.4 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

		2			<u></u>			D		
-	62.9.3.4	P 158	L 38	# 130	C/ 162	SC 162.	9.3.5	P 158	L 46	# 147
Ben Artsi, Liav		Marvell Techn	ology		Kochupara	ambil, Beth		Cisco		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R	C	CRU description (bucket1)	Comment	Type E		Comment Status R		(bucket1)
		ncy for a clock recovery unit		ambiguous due to	Senter	nce is poor e	english			
possible acti	ual impleme	ntations of CRU implementa	itions		Suggestea	IRemedy				
SuggestedReme	,				Chang	e "Paramet	ers that	do not appear in Table 162	2-12 take values	s from Table 162-18."
0		a CRU unit with a definition		•	to " Ta	ike paramet	er value	es that do not appear in Tab	le 162-12 from	Table 162-18."
		high frequency filter applied can be found in 93.8 "The			Do the	same for				
		XMHz is applied to the jitter					ln 40 a	and 162.11.3, pg 167, ln 26	3	
Response		Response Status U				2.1.2, 163.9	.2.2, 16	3.9.3.2		
REJECT.					163.10 120F 3).3 3.1.1, 120F.3	321 1	20F 4 3		
		of the CRU is provided in 12			162B.1	,	0.2.1, 12	201.4.0		
required here		alue of that corner frequency	y. So no furthe	r detailed description is	Response			Response Status C		
					REJE	CT.				
C/ 162 SC	62.9.3.4	P 158	L 39	# 32	The su	uggested rer	medy do	pes not improve the quality	of the draft.	
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi		C/ 162	SC 162.	9.3.5	P 159	L 13	# 184
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		EOJ CRU BW	Dudek, Mi			Marvell		
"Meeting eve	en-odd jitter	requriement with only one C	RU bandwidth	is sufficient" is not clear	Comment			Comment Status A		ERL Tfx
SuggestedReme	edy							t of the Time-gated propag	ation delay on r	
What is the i	intention of	only one CRU bandwidth, ple	ease make it cl	ear.				onnector is affecting the Ef		
Response		Response Status U						still adequately short to not		
REJECT.								used for Tfx does not suffice onnector. See dudek_3ck	, ,	
					Suggested		0 1001 0			41421
The suggest	ted remedy of	does not provide sufficient de	etail to implem	ent.	00		from 0 '	2ns to 0.3ns. Also on page	167 line 11	
There was s	ome agreen	nent that further clarification	would be helpf	ul. However, complete	0			1.0	107 1116 44.	
proposal is r	equired.			•	Response		I	Response Status C		
					ACCE					

C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.6	P 159	L 18	# 169
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status A		RLCC description

1. This paragraph claims that the minimum common-mode to common-mode return loss is specified to reduce reflections of signals that were generated originally as differential and end up as differential. This is not the case: it is included to contain a gross build-up of CM voltage on the line caused by repeated reflections, that is otherwise unbounded.

If it had been intended to address mixed-mode issues it would be a tighter spec, but that's not viable for front-panel connectors. Other specs such as Rx Differential to common-mode return loss and Tx Common-mode to differential mode return loss (both 12 dB at Nyquist, total 24) and Differential to common-mode cable assembly conversion loss (10 dB each way) are there to address the mixed-mode issues, and this spec at only 2 dB won't make much difference to them.

2. This is a standard, not an attempt at a textbook. We don't give any justifications for most other specs; there is no reason that this one should be different.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the paragraph

 Response
 Response Status
 C

 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Resolve using the response to comment 148.
 [Editor's note: Changed page/line from 157/30 to 159/18.]

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.6	P 159	L 18	# 148
Kochuparam	nbil, Beth	Cisco		
Comment Tv	vpe E	Comment Status A		RLCC description

Description may or may not be helpful for those reading the standard. I do, however, note that previous clauses (examples are 92.10.6 and 110.10.6) do NOT describe why we limit CM return loss, but instead just define the limit. Perhaps this description of the re-reflections concept is helpful to readers, it was somewhat confusing until reading it multiple times.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the first paragraph of this section. "Common-mode signals can be returned [...] To reduce this effect, a minimum common-mode to common-mode return loss is specified."

Response	Response Status	С

ACCEPT.

 CI 162
 SC 162.9.4.1
 P 161
 L 4
 # 137

 Hidaka, Yasuo
 Credo Semiconductor, Inc.
 Credo Semiconductor, Inc.

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status
 A
 RX signalling rate (CC)

 The signalling-rate tolerance of transmitter was changed from 100ppm to 50ppm according to comment #42 on D1.3. However, the signaling-rate tolerance of receiver remained 100ppm. It is not clear whether it was an overlooked error or it remained 100ppm on purpose for compatibility with prior implementations with up to +/- 100ppm.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following statement:

Note that the tolerance of signaling rate of transmitter is +- 50ppm. The tolerance of signaling rate of receiver is +- 100ppm for compatibility with prior transmitter implementations with up to +- 100ppm tolerance.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The signaling rate range for a transmitter is +/-50 ppm only for specific circumstances (e.g., the PMD transmitter is colocated with the PCS), otherwise it is 100 ppm. This allows for AUI transmitter specifications in the base standard and amendments (e.g., 100GAUI-4). However, an informative note may be helpful to the reader of this draft.

Add the following informative note:

"Note—Although the PMD transmitter is specified with a signaling rate range of +/-50 ppm when in the same package as the PCS sublayer, the signaling rate range may be +/- 100 ppm, when derived from an intermediate interface (e.g., 100GAUI-4)." With editorial license, apply a similar note in Clause 163.

With editorial license, apply a similar note in Clause 1

[Editor's note: CC: 162, 163.]

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.1	P 161	L 4	# 8
Brown, Matt		Huawei		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		nominal UI

Specification of the nominal unit interval is unnecessary and redundant (since it can easily be derived from the nominal signaling rate). It is not specified for KR, C2C, or C2M. For consistency with sister Clauses/Annexes, this specification should be removed.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the sentence "This translates to a nominal unit interval of 18.82353 ps."

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.1 Page 38 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:22 PM

C/162 S	SC 162.9.4.3	P 161	L 36	# 33	C/ 162	SC 162.9	4.3.2	P 162	L 4	# 195
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	um/Inphi		Dudek, Mike			Marvell		
Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status R		RIT channel	Comment Ty	pe T	Com	ment Status A		RIT channel
		table 110-8 and figure 110			An extra	exception	is needed fo	r the test channel lo	SS.	
	nannel Test 1 =test chanel lo	frequency dependent atten	uator is zero beo	ause the loss of cable	SuggestedRe	emedy				
SuggestedRei										8.4.2.2, except that the
00		lso include frequency deper	ndent attenuator	then please increase				irements of 162.11,		loss meets the ets the requirements
loss by 4.7	75 dB, if the in	tention was to not include fr			of 162B.		102-14 ai		ny test incluie me	
note would	d be helpful				Response		Respo	onse Status C		
Response		Response Status C			ACCEPT					
REJECT.						SC 462 0	4.2.2	P 162	L 18	# 400
The freque	ency-depende	nt attenuator is excluded fro	om the test chan	nel used for Test 1 in	C/ 162	SC 162.9	4.3.3	-	L 18	# 196
order to cr	eate the minir	num loss channel with a co	mpliant cable.		Dudek, Mike			Marvell		<i>"</i>
C/ 162 S	SC 162.9.4.3.	3 P 162	L 26	# 139	Comment Ty			ment Status A	1- 100 11	(bucket2)
Hidaka, Yasuo)	Credo Semic	onductor. Inc.				ations to CO	M paramters in Tab	le 162-14.	
Comment Typ		Comment Status A	, -	RIT transition time	SuggestedRe					
		time is measured with 33G	Hz BT4 filter.		Delete th step e).	is bullet.	(Note that if	this is done then ste	ep f on page 162	line 20 will become
SuggestedRei	nedv				Response		Resno	onse Status C		
00		d using the method in 120E	.3.1.5 with the t	ransmit equalizer			-			
turned off				·		-				
(i.e., coeff to	icients set to t	he preset 1 values, see 162	2.9.3.1.3)."		[Editor's	note: This	response wa	as updated on 2021	/5/18.]	
"T_r is me (i.e., coeff waveform	icients set to t	the method in 120E.3.1.5 w he preset 1 values, see 162 rough a fourth-order Besse	.9.3.1.3) with ar	exception that the	Delete ite	em "b)" and	d renumber t	he list items approp	riately.	
Response		Response Status C								
	N PRINCIPLE									

C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.3.3

Dudek, Mike Marvell Dudek, Mike Comment Type TR Comment Status A RIT SNDR Comment Type SNDR should be measured as appropriate for this clause not as for C2C at 25G. 93A.1.2.1 and SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemeder									
Comment TypeTRComment StatusARIT SNDRSNDR should be measured as appropriate for this clause not as for C2C at 25G.SuggestedRemedyChange "SNDR is measured at the Tx test reference using the procedure in 120D.3.1.6, with the exception that the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (Np) of 15 UL* to "SNDR is measured at the Tx test reference using the procedure in 162.9.3.3"SuggestedRemedy Make these refer ResponseResponseResponse StatusCACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.The following presentation, supporting comment #228, was reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/wu_3ck_01a_0521.pdfComment TypeResponse ACCEPT.Comment #228 proposes that the Np value should be 29.With editorial license, implement the suggested remedy and set the value of Np to 29.CI162SC 162.9.4.3.3P 162L 36# 228Wu, Mau-LinMediaTek Inc.RIT SNDRComment TypeTRComment StatusARIT SNDRFor the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UL. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all "linear response", such as reflection due to package length.In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away linear reflection. The 15 Ul spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE- CR.1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here. In II_3ck_01_1020, the authors proposed tor consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for Clause	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.3.3	P 162	L 36	# 197	C/ 162	SC 162	.9.4.3	.9.4.3.3 P 162
SNDR should be measured as appropriate for this clause not as for C2C at 25G. uggested/Remedy Change "SNDR is measured at the Tx test reference using the procedure in 120D.3.1.6, with the exception that the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (NP) of 15 UI. 'to 'SNDR is measured at the Tx test reference using the procedure in 162.9.3.3" tesponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The following presentation, supporting comment #228, was reviewed by the task force: https://www.iee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/wu_3ck_01a_0521.pdf The reference to 162.9.3.3 as proposed in the suggested remedy would effectively change the Np value to 200. Comment #228 proposes that the Np value should be 29. With editorial license, implement the suggested remedy and set the value of Np to 29. 7 162 SC 162.9.4.3.3 P 162 L 36 # [28] Vu, Mau-Lin MediaTek Inc. forment Type TR Comment Status A RIT SNDR For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all 'linear response', such as reflection due to package length.In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away 'linear' reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE- CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here. In 'li _3ck (0) 1.1020, the authors proposed to Consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed to Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for Clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability are similar. Uggested/Remedy By considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of 3.5 - 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 - 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as Cl	udek, Mi	ke	Marvell			Dudek, Mik	e		Marvell
SuggestedRemedyChange "SNDR is measured at the Tx test reference using the procedure in 120D.3.1.6, with the exception that the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (Np) of 15 UL* to "SNDR is measured at the Tx test reference using the procedure in 162.9.3.3"SuggestedRemedyTesponseResponse Status CACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.The following presentation, supporting comment #228, was reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/uu_3ck_01a_0521.pdfACCEPT in PRINCIPLE.The reference to 162.9.3.3 as proposed in the suggested remedy would effectively change the Np value to 200.P162L 36#Vith editorial license, implement the suggested remedy and set the value of Np to 29.NI SNDRSignet Accept (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all "linear response", such as reflection due to package length this case, the calculation of SNDR measured at the TX test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all "linear response", such as reflection due to package length this case, the calculation of SNDR measured at the TX test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all "linear responsed" to consider TX + RX EQ capability are similar.uggestedRemedyMake the unstructure of NDR measured at the TX + RX EQ capability are similar.uggestedRemedyIn 13 Ck. 0.1_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability are similar.uggestedRemedywhave difference N_p avalue for Clauses 162, since					-				Comment Status
Change "SNDR is measured at the Tx test reference using the procedure in 120D.3.1.6, with the exception that the linear fit in120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (Np) of 15 UL. "to "SNDR is measured at the Tx test reference using the procedure in 162.9.3.3" hesponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The following presentation, supporting comment #228, was reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee602.org/3/ck/public/21_05/wu_3ck_01a_0521.pdf The reference to 162.9.3.3 as proposed in the suggested remedy would effectively change the Np value to 200. Comment #228 proposes that the Np value should be 29. With editorial license, implement the suggested remedy and set the value of Np to 29. To 2 SC 162.9.4.3.3 P 162 L 36 # 228 Vu, Mau-Lin MediaTek Inc. Somment Type TR Comment Status A RIT SNDR For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all timear response?, such as reflection due to package length.In this case, the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all linear response?, such as reflection due to package length.In this case, the calculation of SNDR measures of the far-away linear reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE- CR. We shall need a targer value of N_p. Prec. In Ti_3ck_01_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p values for Clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability are similar. <i>tuggestedRemedy</i> By considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, k_s in the range	SNDR	should be measur	red as appropriate for this c	ause not as for	C2C at 25G.	93A.1.2	2.1 and 93A.1.2.	4 hav	e been brought ir
with the exception that the linear fit in120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (Np) of 15 UI, 'to 'SNDR is measured at the Tx test reference using the procedure in 162.9.3.3' ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C The following presentation, supporting comment #228, was reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/wu_3ck_01a_0521.pdf ACCEPT. The reference to 162.9.3.3 as proposed in the suggested remedy would effectively change the Np value to 200. Comment #228 proposes that the Np value should be 29. With editorial license, implement the suggested remedy and set the value of Np to 29. If 2 Va Mau-Lin MediaTek Inc. For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all 'linear response', such as reflection due to package length.In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away 'linear' reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as SOGBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE-CR. Rest what med at larger value of N_p here. In 'li_3ck_01_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 as Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for clause 163. Sonta May we have different N_p value for Clause 163. Sonta May we have different N_p value form		-					-		
15 UI.* to "SNDR is measured at the Tx test reference using the procedure in 162.9.3.3" Response						Make th	nese references	standa	ard hot links.
desponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The following presentation, supporting comment #228, was reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/wu_3ck_01a_0521.pdf The reference to 162.9.3.3 as proposed in the suggested remedy would effectively change the Ny value to 200. Comment #228 proposes that the Np value should be 29. With editorial license, implement the suggested remedy and set the value of Np to 29. 7 162 SC 162.9.4.3.3 P 162 L 36 Wu, Mau-Lin MediaTek Inc. Somment Type TR Comment Status A For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all linear response's such as reflection due to package length.In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away 'linear' reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 500/BASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE-CR. CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here. In 'j. 3ck, 01_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In the contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed to S15. r 40, the location of reflection due to packag						•		Respo	onse Status (
The following presentation, supporting comment #228, was reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/wu_3ck_01a_0521.pdf The reference to 162.9.3.3 as proposed in the suggested remedy would effectively change the Np value to 200. Comment #228 proposes that the Np value should be 29. With editorial license, implement the suggested remedy and set the value of Np to 29. C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.3.3 P162 L 36 # 228 Nu, Mau-Lin MediaTek Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A RIT SNDR For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120.9.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all linear response, such as reflection due to package length. In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away 'linear' reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE- CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here. In 'li_3ck_01_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for Clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability are similar. SuggestedRamedy By considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of 3.5 - 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 - 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, Adopt N_p = 29 as Clause 163 seems reasonable. Proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29. Response Response C	Response		Response Status C			ACCEF	Υ.		
https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/wu_3ck_01a_0521.pdfThe reference to 162.9.3.3 as proposed in the suggested remedy would effectively change the Np value to 200.Comment #228 proposes that the Np value should be 29.With editorial license, implement the suggested remedy and set the value of Np to 29.Of 162 SC 162.9.4.3.3 P 162 L 36 # 228Vu, Mau-Lin MediaTek Inc.Komment Type TR Comment Status A P162 L 36 # 228For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all "linear response", such as reflection due to package length.In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away linear' reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE- CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here.NuggestedRemedyBy considering the ulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of 3.5 - 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 ~ 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as clause 163 seems reasonable. Proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29.Response Status C	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE							
the Np value to 200. Comment #228 proposes that the Np value should be 29. With editorial license, implement the suggested remedy and set the value of Np to 29. 162 SC 162.9.4.3.3 P162 L 36 # 228 /u, Mau-Lin MediaTek Inc. <i>Imment Type</i> TR <i>Comment Status</i> A <i>RIT SNDR</i> For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all "linear response", such as reflection due to package length. In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away "linear" reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE- CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here. In 'li_3ck_01_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for Clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability are similar. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> By considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of 3.5 - 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 - 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as Clause 163 seems reasonable. Proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29.					ed by the task force:				
With editorial license, implement the suggested remedy and set the value of Np to 29. 162 SC 162.9.4.3.3 P 162 L 36 # 228 u, Mau-Lin Media Tek Inc. mment Type TR Comment Status A RIT SNDR For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all "linear response", such as reflection due to package length. In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away "linear" reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE-CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here. In 'li_3ck_01_01_020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for Clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability are similar. ggestedRemedy By considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_A, as in the range of 3.5 ~ 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 ~ 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as Clause 163 seems reasonable. Proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29. storms Response Status C			.3 as proposed in the sugge	ested remedy w	ould effectively change				
With editorial license, implement the suggested remedy and set the value of Np to 29. 162 SC 162.9.4.3.3 P 162 L 36 # [228] u, Mau-Lin MediaTek Inc. mment Type TR Comment Status A RIT SNDR For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all "linear response", such as reflection due to package length. In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away "linear" reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE-CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here. In 'li_3ck_01_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for Clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability are similar. ggestedRemedy By considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of 3.5 ~ 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 ~ 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as Clause 163 seems reasonable. Proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29. sponse Response Status C	Comm	ient #228 proposes	s that the Np value should b	e 29.					
162SC 162.9.4.3.3P 162L 36# 228u, Mau-LinMediaTek Inc.mment TypeTRComment Status ARIT SNDRFor the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be longenough to cover all linear response', such as reflection due to package length.In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away 'linear' reflection.The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE-CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here.In 'li_3ck_01_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for Clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability are similar.ggestedRemedyBy considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of 3.5 ~ 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 ~ 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as Clause 163 seems reasonable.proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29.stopsoreResponse Status C			•		unlug of Na to OO				
Wu, Mau-Lin MediaTek Inc. formment Type TR Comment Status A RIT SNDR For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all 'linear response', such as reflection due to package length.In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away 'linear' reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE-CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here. In 'li_ 3ck_01_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for Clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability are similar. uggestedRemedy By considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of 3.5 ~ 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 ~ 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as Clause 163 seems reasonable. Proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29. versponse Response Status C				-	<u> </u>				
formment Type TR Comment Status A RIT SNDR For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all 'linear response', such as reflection due to package length.In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away 'linear' reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE- CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here. In 'li_3ck_01_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for Clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability are similar. uggestedRemedy By considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of 3.5 ~ 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 ~ 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as Clause 163 seems reasonable. Proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29. Wesponse Response Status C	-				# 228				
For the calculation of SNDR measured at the Tx test reference, the linear fit in 120D.3.1.3 is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all 'linear response', such as reflection due to package length. In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away 'linear' reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE- CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here. In 'li_3ck_01_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for Clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability are similar. <i>ggestedRemedy</i> By considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of 3.5 ~ 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 ~ 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as Clause 163 seems reasonable. Proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29.	-								
is performed with a pulse length (N_p) of 15 UI. The pulse length (N_p) shall be long enough to cover all 'linear response', such as reflection due to package length. In this case, the calculated SNDR includes nonlinearity only, instead of the far-away 'linear' reflection. The 15 UI spec here is the same as 50GBASE-CR, which is not reasonable for 100GBASE-CR1. We shall need a larger value of N_p here. In 'li_3ck_01_1020', the authors proposed to consider TX + RX EQ capability to decide N_p value. In that contribution, N_p = 29 was proposed for Clause 163. I found no clues why we have different N_p value for Clause 162, since their TX + RX EQ capability are similar. ggestedRemedy By considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of 3.5 ~ 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 ~ 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as Clause 163 seems reasonable. Proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29. sponse Response Status C					-				
uggestedRemedyBy considering the pulse length to at least cover reflection due to package trace length, whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of $3.5 \sim 4.0$, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around $22 \sim 24$ taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as Clause 163 seems reasonable. Proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29.esponseResponse StatusC	is perf enoug the ca The 15 CR1. V In 'li_3 value.	ormed with a pulse h to cover all 'linea lculated SNDR inc 5 UI spec here is th We shall need a la ck_01_1020', the a In that contributior	e length (N_p) of 15 UI. The r response', such as reflect ludes nonlinearity only, instr- ne same as 50GBASE-CR, rger value of N_p here. authors proposed to conside n, N_p = 29 was proposed for	pulse length (N ion due to pack ead of the far-a which is not rea er TX + RX EQ or Clause 163.	I_p) shall be long age length.In this case, way 'linear' reflection. asonable for 100GBASE- capability to decide N_p I found no clues why we				
whose maximum value is 31 mm. By considering the dielectrics constant, D_k, as in the range of 3.5 ~ 4.0, the location of reflection due to 31 mm trace length is around 22 ~ 24 taps after main cursor. Therefore, adopt N_p = 29 as Clause 163 seems reasonable. Proposed to N_p value from 15 to 29.		•	·						
	whose range taps a	maximum value is of 3.5 ~ 4.0, the lo fter main cursor. T	s 31 mm. By considering the cation of reflection due to 3 herefore, adopt N_p = 29 as	e dielectrics cor 1 mm trace leng	nstant, D_k, as in the gth is around 22 ~ 24				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response		Response Status C						
	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE							

Resolve using the response to comment #197.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.3.3

C/ 162	SC	162.9.4.3	3	P 163	L 6	# 209	C/ 162	SC	162.9.4.3	4	P 163	L 23	# 207
Healey, A	Adam			Broadcom Ind	o.		Healey, Ac	dam			Broadcom In	с.	
Commen	t Type	TR	Comme	ent Status A		RIT jitter (CC)	Comment	Туре	TR	Commer	nt Status A		RIT noise
shoul given than (base issue	d be de value o what is ed on CO has be	rived from of Q3 will c measured DM) will in en pointed	10^(-3) an prrespond from the p turn be so out in	nd not 10^(-3)/2. The to a dual-Dirac dis pattern generator. T mewhat higher res	the A_DD and signation with a stribution with a rhe calibrated in sulting in a level	isfied, The Q3 value gma_RJ derived for the smaller value of J3u iterference amplitude of overstress. This adhoc_01_041421.pdf>.	undefi "broad differen receive pass fi	ned. Si band" nt stres er for th Itered	ince noise noise will l ss from the he Clause noise is les	injected at be low-pass be "broadban 163 interfer ss "realistic"	the pattern gener filtered at the inp d" noise (with bo	ator output is fil out to the receiv unded spectral est. It could also	enerator output is tered by the channel, er under test. This is a density) injected at the o be argued that the low- ent receiver
Suggeste	dReme	dy					Suggested	Reme	dy				
soluti 14). I	on of Q n 120F.	(Q3) = 10^ 3.2.3 (pag	(-3), where e 224, line	2), note that Q4 (a	ar change to 16 an approximate	3.9.3.4 (page 192, line d solution of Q(Q4) =	The sp	ectrun	n should b	e bounded t		bass in nature s	what is done in 93C.1. o that band-pass noise
	4)) is 3 D–11).	/19 as an	exception	to the use of Equa	tion (120D–10)	and Equation	Response			Response	e Status C		
Response	,		Respon	se Status C			ACCE	PT IN	PRINCIPL	E.			
ACCI	EPT IN	PRINCIPL	E.								iewed by the tasl c/21_05/healey_3		odf
https:	://www.i	eee802.or	g/3/ck/pub	reviewed by the ta lic/adhoc/apr14_21 lic/21_05/li_3ck_02	1/hidaka_3ck_a	dhoc_01_041421.pdf.	presen	tation		llowing corr	e changes propo ections for slide		and 9 of the referenced
[Edito	or's note	: CC: 162,	163, 120	-]			C/ 162	SC	162.9.4.4	2	P 164	L 25	# 35
		e suggest es Q3 to C			nse with the exc	eption to change the	Ghiasi, Ali Comment		ER		Ghiasi Quant		jitter tolerance
		that some n this rega		on of this approach	might be helpfu	ul. Further work is		ver jitte	r tolerance			ncies are ~2.5x	but test point A and B
Straw	Poll #5	l (Chicago 5 (Pick one)				Suggested Please		•	est frequend	cy between A and	d B at 133 KHz	with amplitude of 1.5 UI
			rameters	A_DD and sigma_	RJ I would supp	port adopting the	Response			Response	e Status U		
A: pe B: pe		sted reme sted reme		nent #209 (Adam nents #134 and #1		3ck_adhoc_01_041421	REJEC The co		nt does not	provide suf	ficient justificatio	n to support the	suggested remedy.
C: hy D: Ne E: No #4: A	brid app ed mor change : 25 B: 1	proach pro e informat	on : 11 E: 3	_3ck_02c_0521 (M	1ike Li et al)		[Editor	's note	e: Changeo	page from	234 to 164.]		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.4.2 Page 41 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:23 PM

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.6	P 164	L 46	# 172	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.	6 <i>P</i> 165	L 2	# 173
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status R		return loss	Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status A		(bucket1
		x Differential to common-mo			Italic >=				
		rn loss differ by 3 dB at low same. Also, the Differential			SuggestedR	emedy			
		lenient than these specs.			Non-itali	c >= Also 162	2-10, 162-11, 162-11, possib	ly others.	
Suggested	IRemedy				Response		Response Status C		
Reviev	w the relation betw	veen these three limits and a	adjust if necessa	ary.	ACCEP	Г.			
Response		Response Status C			C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.	6 <i>P</i> 165	L 9	# 199
REJEC					Dudek, Mike		Marvell	-0	
The su	iggested remedy	does not provide sufficient o	letail to impleme	ent.	Comment Ty		Comment Status A		(bucket1)
C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.6	P 164	L 46	# 168	,	,	have a graph showing this ec	nuation.	
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia			SuggestedR	•		1	
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	00		graph or reference figure 16	2-4 and change	the figure title to
Most s	such RL equations	are graphed out to help the	e user see what i	is meant.			mode to differential return los		
Suggested	IRemedy				mode re	turn loss.			
		eiver differential to commor			Response		Response Status C		
		62-4, presently "Transmitter can compare the two.	common mode	to differential return		T IN PRINCIP using the res	LE. ponse to comment #168.		
Response		Response Status C			C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 165	L 43	# 38
					Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quar	ntum/Inphi	
Impien	nent the suggeste	ed response with editorial lic	ense.		Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status R	non, npn	AC coupling
C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.6	P 165	L 2	# 58	,	,	creased Baudrate it is logica	I to increase 3 dB	1 0
Brown, Ma	att	Huawei			SuggestedR		5		,
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)		2	cutoff from 50 KHz to 100 K	Hz given that this	standard is operating
		ecifying a limit for receiver of	lifferential to con	nmon-mode return loss	at 2x Ba	udrate of 802.	3cd. It is well understood th	at if one needs to	o support 50G PAM4
	s no graph illustra	iting the limit.					frequency will be 50 KHz, bu		
Suggested						e 200G gets it	orce to 50 KHz assuming one	e generation sup	DOIL
Add fig	gure with graph fo	r Equation (162-9).			Response	-	Response Status C		
Response		Response Status C			REJECT The AC-	-	ification is used throughout	802.3ck and apr	lied to predictive
	PT IN PRINCIPLE				models	as well as imp	lemented in 802.3cd cable a	assemblies. The	
Resolv	re using the respo	onse to comment 168.					fication to support proposed	change.	
					[Ealtor's	note: CC: 162	2, 103]		

C/ 162 SC 162.11 Page 42 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:23 PM

C/ 162	SC 162.11.3	P 167	L 25	# 200		C/ 162	SC 162.11	.5	P 168	L 37	# 18	
Dudek, Mik	e	Marvell				Brown, Ma	itt	Н	uawei			
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status A		(buck	ket1)	Comment	51	Comment Sta			L-IL difference (bu	
93A.5 s	hould be a hot lin	nk				In a pr	evious draft, a	a new parameter wa	s added to c	constrain the CR	channel differenti	ial to
Suggested	Remedy							version loss. The ter ssembly differential				
fix it.								oss". The purpose o				ar to
Response		Response Status C						standard and would	benefit from	n a brier explana	tion.	
ACCEF	ΥТ.					Suggested	-	-files and the				
C/ 162	SC 162.11.3	P 167	L 49	# 149				of the purpose of thi non-mode noise pres				rains
Kochupara	mbil, Beth	Cisco				differe	ntial noise at t	the receiver relative	to the signa	I level at the rece	eiver."	
Comment T		Comment Status A		CA COM Tfx (buck	ket1)	Response		Response Stat	tus C			
The loc 162.9.3		ot is not consistant with oth	er clauses (nar	nely 162.9.4.5 &	,	At P16		IPLE. inning of subclause) /ersion loss is speci				tial to
Suggested	Remedy											
Move th	nis note to line 2	8 (after the description of wh	nere to find the	parameters)		[Editor	's note: This o	comment response v	vas updateo	1 2021/5/17.]		
Response		Response Status C				C/ 162	SC 162.11	.5	P 168	L 41	# 201	
	T IN PRINCIPLE		· • · · ·			Dudek, Mi	ke	Μ	arvell			
Each of thus sh	the referenced r	notes are intended to be an nmediately after each table.	Informative not The note in 16	e against each table a 2.11.3 is in the intend	and Ied	Comment	Type TR	Comment Sta	tus R		CL-IL diff	ference
locatior 162.9.4	and is consister .5 is in the wrong	nt with notes for Table 120G	-2 and Table 1	20G–6. The note in		at high than th	er frequencie le insertion lo	ommon mode conve s. As an example a ss. There is no spe a so all this common	at 25GHz thi cifiction for	s specification is the common mo	only approx 6dB de to common mo	more ode
C/ 162	SC 162.11.4	P 168	L 31	# 59		where	through comr	non mode to differe	ntial convers	sion it then becor	nes a differential	
Brown, Mat	t	Huawei						suming this commo lifferential to commo				y the
Comment T	Уре Е	Comment Status A		(buck	ket1)			is only 18.5dB below				the
Change	Figure title to be	e consistent with text.				BER.						
Suggested	Remedy					Suggestea	Remedy					
Change	title to "Cable a	ssembly differential to comn	non-mode retu	n loss"		Add 10	dB to this eq	uation				
Response		Response Status C				Response		Response Stat	tus U			
ACCEP	PT.						asis for a 10 c	B tightening of the l gradation of the BEI			ted comment and	l the

C/ 162 SC 162.11.5

CI 162 SC 162.11.	5 P 169	L 20	# 67	C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 169	L 39	# 202
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Dudek, Mi	ke	Marvell		
Comment Type E Change Figure 162-7	Comment Status A ' title to be consistent with text	t.	(bucket1)	Comment 93A.1		Comment Status A nent. It should be a ho		(bucket1)
SuggestedRemedy Change title to "Cable	e assembly differential to comr	mon-mode conv	ersion loss"	Suggested fix it.	lRemedy			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIE	Response Status C PLE.			Response ACCE		Response Status C	;	
[Editor's note: this co	mment was updated on 2021/	5/18.]		C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 169	L 44	# 150
The commenter inter	nded to point to Figure 162-6 a	t page 168 line 3	31.		ambil, Beth	Cisco		
	oted that the title of Figure 162 move to the head of the figure				lost a bit of the o	Comment Status A description of doing CO solation may be confus	M with 2 package tes	CA COM tests (CC) st cases. Someone
	lement the suggested remedy.			93A.1	with the Test 1 a		ble 93–8. Test 1 and	using the procedure in Test 2 differ in the value
	ange the title to "Cable assemb sertion loss difference"	bly differential to	common-mode	Suggested				
	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> R very loose CM RL spec from 2			"COM packag Simila 2, TX"	shall be compute ge model transm rly, modify the Co		st 2, which differ in th t 2" and "TX Test 2" t	ne value of the device o "Test 2, RX" and "Test
	ecomes useless at the freque	ncy when the M	CB loss is 0.9 dB!	•		ription and tables for 1		
SuggestedRemedy Restore it to 2 dB or l	use a frequency-dependent ma	oskoa 18±0	01f	Response ACCE		Response Status C	;	
Response	Response Status U	ask c.g. 1.0 1 0.			's note: CC: 120	F, 162, 163]		
REJECT.				C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 170	L 18	# 50
given in the following	nge to the cable assmbly CM- presentation. org/3/ck/public/21_01/champic			Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment</i> Unit fo		Comment Status	Quantum/Inphi	(bucket1)
The commenter has	not provided sufficient justifica	tion for the sugg	ested remedy.	Suggested Chang	<i>IRemedy</i> le to ohms			
				Response ACCE [Editor	PT.	Response Status V		
	ired ER/editorial required GR/						C/ 162 SC 162 11 7	Page 44 of 50

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 162.11.7

2021-05-26 2:51:23 PM

Comment Type T Comment Status A CA COM TX FIR Comment In Table 162-18 COM parameters for cable assembly, the step size for c(1) is 0.02 while in Table 163-10 (KR) and Table 120F-7 (C2C) the step size is 0.05. There is no reason for cap	capacitors or n equation 162-1 ggestedRemedy Change "The t defined in 93A	mbiuity as to or not. He 62-14) they <i>nedy</i> the transmitt 93A.1.2.3. T 93A–13), Ec tering param	to whether t lere the desc ay do. hitter and rec . The scatter Equation (93	scription implies ceiver PCB sign ering parameters	and receiver P s that they don't nal paths are ca	CA COM P PCB signal paths include the 't but on page 172 (e.g.
In Table 162-18 COM parameters for cable assembly, the step size for c(1) is 0.02 while in Table 163-10 (KR) and Table 120F-7 (C2C) the step size is 0.05. There is no reason for cap	There is ambiu capacitors or n equation 162-1 ggestedRemedy Change "The t defined in 93A	mbiuity as to or not. He 62-14) they <i>nedy</i> the transmitt 93A.1.2.3. T 93A–13), Ec tering param	to whether t lere the desc ay do. hitter and rec . The scatter Equation (93	the transmitter scription implies ceeiver PCB sign ering parameters	and receiver P s that they don't nal paths are ca	PCB signal paths include the
Table 163-10 (KR) and Table 120F-7 (C2C) the step size is 0.05. There is no reason for cap	capacitors or n equation 162-1 ggestedRemedy Change "The t defined in 93A	or not. He 62-14) they <i>hedy</i> he transmitt 93A.1.2.3. T 93A–13), Ec tering param	lere the deso ey do. hitter and rec . The scatter Equation (93	scription implies ceiver PCB sign ering parameters	s that they don't nal paths are ca	0 1
	Change "The t defined in 93A	he transmitt 93A.1.2.3. T 93A–13), Ec ering param	. The scatter Equation (93	ering parameters	nal paths are ca	
Suggested Remedy Sugges	defined in 93A	93A.1.2.3. T 93A–13), Ec tering param	. The scatter Equation (93	ering parameters	nal paths are ca	
163-10 and Table 120F-7 to 0.02. def	Eduction (93A	ering param				calculated using the method
						ues given in Table 162–19." calculated using the method
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. def Change the step size in Table 163-10 and Table 120F-7 to 0.02. value			using Equat			–14) and the parameter
[Editor's note: Changed subclause from 162.11.7.1 to 162.11.7.] [Editor's note: CC: 162, 163, 120F] Respon				onse Status C		
	ACCEPT IN P	N PRINCIPI the sugges		dy with editorial	l license.	
AC			72	P174	L 8	# 36
AC 27 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Nuidia	Implement the	C 162 11 7			Quantum/Inphi	# 30
AC / 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Imp pawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 comment Type TB Comment Status B CA COM DEF	Implement the 162 SC 1	C 162.11.7				
AC AC C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Imp Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE Ghiasi.	Implement the	C 162.11.7				
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Imp Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE C/ 162 Ghiasi, The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 C/ 162	Implement the 162 SC 1		Comm	ment Status R		MDI nomenclature (bucke
AC AC AC AC Imp Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE Ch tespec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 Clipped at +/-0.05 - which means that the channel's pulse response could be a little worse	Implement the 162 SC 1 iasi, Ali mment Type	• TR		ment Status R	·	MDI nomenclature (bucke
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Imp Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 Downent Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE C/ 162 The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 Ghiasi, Comment Comment than +/-0.05 for all these 9 taps. That's a very bad cable! and not likely to get made. We Tate Tate	Implement the 162 SC 1 iasi, Ali mment Type Table 162-20 s	e TR 20 should b			·	MDI nomenclature (bucke
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Imp Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 Dowe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ C/ 162 C/ 162 Ghiasi, Clipped at +/-0.05 - which means that the channel's pulse response could be a little worse than +/-0.05 for all these 9 taps. That's a very bad cable! and not likely to get made. We don't need to provide all the receiver power and complexity to cope with it. Tat Suggest Suggest Suggest	Implement the 162 SC 1 iasi, Ali <i>mment Type</i> Table 162-20 s ggestedRemedy	F TR 20 should b nedy	l be updated	<i>ment Status</i> R d with MDI supp	·	MDI nomenclature (bucke
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Imp Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ 162 C/ 162 <td>Implement the 162 SC 1 iasi, Ali mment Type Table 162-20 s</td> <td>e TR 20 should b nedy lace SFP+ v ith SFP-DD</td> <td>l be updated + with SFP1⁻ D112</td> <td><i>ment Status</i> R d with MDI supp</td> <td>·</td> <td>MDI nomenclature (bucke</td>	Implement the 162 SC 1 iasi, Ali mment Type Table 162-20 s	e TR 20 should b nedy lace SFP+ v ith SFP-DD	l be updated + with SFP1 ⁻ D112	<i>ment Status</i> R d with MDI supp	·	MDI nomenclature (bucke
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 Ghiasi, C// 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 Ghiasi, Comment Cl 162 Source at +/-0.05 - which means that the channel's pulse response could be a little worse than +/-0.05 for all these 9 taps. That's a very bad cable! and not likely to get made. We don't need to provide all the receiver power and complexity to cope with it. SuggesstedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Dise another DFE root-sum-of-squares limit for positions 13-24. Similarly in 163, but as 163 specifies the complete channel while 162 uses clean synthetic host traces, the limit might differ. Resport	Implement the 162 SC 1 iasi, Ali mment Type Table 162-20 s ggestedRemedy Please replace SFP-DD with S QSFP+ with Q	e TR 20 should b nedy lace SFP+ v ith SFP-DD	l be updated + with SFP1 D112 12	<i>ment Status</i> R d with MDI supp	porting 112G	MDI nomenclature (buck
Cl 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Imp Dawe, Piers Nvidia Cl 162 Cl 163 Cl 162 Cl 162 Cl 163 Cl 162 Cl 162 Cl 162 Cl 162 Cl 163 Cl 162 Cl 163 Cl 162 Cl 163 Cl 162 Cl 162 Cl 162 Cl 163 Cl 162 Cl 163 Cl 162 Cl 163 Cl 162 Cl 163	Implement the 162 SC 1 iasi, Ali mment Type Table 162-20 s ggestedRemedy Please replace SFP-DD with S QSFP+ with Q	e TR 20 should b nedy lace SFP+ v ith SFP-DD	l be updated + with SFP1 D112 12	ment Status R d with MDI supp 112	porting 112G	MDI nomenclature (buck
AC AC AC Imp Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 Ghiasi, Comment Clipped at +/-0.05 - which means that the channel's pulse response could be a little worse That's a very bad cable! and not likely to get made. We Tat don't need to provide all the receiver power and complexity to cope with it. Suggess Suggess Plee Use another DFE root-sum-of-squares limit for positions 13-24. Similarly in 163, but as SFI 163 specifies the complete channel while 162 uses clean synthetic host traces, the limit SFI might differ. Response Response Status U REJECT. The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient evidence that this is an issue and that Response	Implement the 162 SC 1 iasi, Ali mment Type Table 162-20 s ggestedRemedy Please replace SFP-DD with S QSFP+ with Q sponse	TR 20 should b nedy lace SFP+ v ith SFP-DD th QSFP112 sing the resp	l be updated + with SFP1 ⁻ D112 12 <i>Respor</i> esponse to co	ment Status R d with MDI supp 112 onse Status W	porting 112G	MDI nomenclature (buck
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Imp Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 Dowe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 Dowe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 Dowe, Piers The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 C/ 162 Ghiasi, Clipped at +/-0.05 - which means that the channel's pulse response could be a little worse than +/-0.05 for all these 9 taps. That's a very bad cable! and not likely to get made. We don't need to provide all the receiver power and complexity to cope with it. SuggestedRemedy Pile SuggestedRemedy Use another DFE root-sum-of-squares limit for positions 13-24. Similarly in 163, but as 163 specifies the complete channel while 162 uses clean synthetic host traces, the limit might differ. Response Response Status Response Response Response Status U RE Response Response REJECT. Response Response Response Response Response	Implement the 162 SC 1 iasi, Ali mment Type Table 162-20 s ggestedRemedy Please replace SFP-DD with S QSFP+ with Q sponse REJECT. Resolve using [Editor's note:	TR 20 should b nedy lace SFP+ v ith SFP-DD th QSFP112 sing the resp	be updated + with SFP1 ⁻ D112 12 <i>Respor</i> esponse to co 62, 162C]	ment Status R d with MDI supp 112 onse Status W	porting 112G	
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ C/ 000 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ 162 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ 000 C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R C/ 162 C/ 162 Suggested/Remedy Use another DFE root-sum-of-squares limit for positions 13-24. Similarly in 163, but as 163 specifies the complete channel while 162 uses clean synthetic host traces, the limit Similarly in 163, but as 163 C/ 162 Response Response Status U RE Response Response C/ 162	Implement the 162 SC 1 iasi, Ali mment Type Table 162-20 s ggestedRemedy Please replace SFP-DD with S QSFP+ with Q sponse REJECT. Resolve using [Editor's note:	TR 20 should b nedy lace SFP+ v ith SFP-DD th QSFP112 sing the resp ote: CC: 162	be updated + with SFP1 ⁻ D112 12 <i>Respor</i> esponse to co 62, 162C]	ment Status R d with MDI supp 112 onse Status W comment #45.	porting 112G	
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # 235 Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 Ghiasi, Comment Type The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 Ghiasi, Comment Type The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 Ghiasi, Comment Type Cl 162 Dawe, Piers Set that +/-0.05 or all these 9 taps. That's a very bad cable! and not likely to get made. We don't need to provide all the receiver power and complexity to cope with it. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use another DFE root-sum-of-squares limit for positions 13-24. Similarly in 163, but as 163 specifies the complete channel while 162 uses clean synthetic host traces, the limit might differ. Response Response Status Response Response Response Status U RE Response Response C/ 162 Response Response Not provide sufficient evidence that this is an issue and that the proposed change would not cause new issues. C/ 162 Huber,	Implement the162SC 1iasi, Alimment TypeTable 162-20 sggestedRemedyPlease replaceSFP-DD with SQSFP+ with QsponseREJECT.Resolve using[Editor's note:162SC 1ber, Tom	TR 20 should b nedy lace SFP+ to ith SFP-DD th QSFP112 sing the resp the: CC: 162 C 162.14.3	be updated + with SFP1 ⁻ D112 12 <i>Respon</i> esponse to co 62, 162C] -3	ment Status R d with MDI supp 112 onse Status W comment #45. P176	porting 112G	
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # [235] Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 Ghiasi, Comment Clipped at +/-0.05 - which means that the channel's pulse response could be a little worse than +/-0.05 for all these 9 taps. That's a very bad cable! and not likely to get made. We don't need to provide all the receiver power and complexity to cope with it. SuggestedRemedy Use another DFE root-sum-of-squares limit for positions 13-24. Similarly in 163, but as 163 specifies the complete channel while 162 uses clean synthetic host traces, the limit might differ. Response Response Status U Response Response Status U RE Response Response Response Status U RE Re Cl 162 Huber, Comment Huber, Comment C/ 162 Huber, Comment Reise of the proposed change would not cause new issues. C/ 162	Implement the162SC 1iasi, Alimment TypeTable 162-20 sggestedRemedyPlease replaceSFP-DD with SQSFP+ with QsponseREJECT.Resolve using[Editor's note:162SC 1ber, Tommment Type	TR 20 should b nedy lace SFP+ v ith SFP-DD th QSFP112 sing the resp te: CC: 162 C 162.14.3	be updated + with SFP1 ⁻ D112 12 <i>Respor</i> sponse to co 62, 162C] .3	ment Status R d with MDI supp 112 onse Status W comment #45. P 176 Nokia	porting 112G	1 # <u>86</u> (bucke
Cl 162 SC 162.11.7 P171 L 31 # 235 Dawe, Piers Nvidia CA COM DFE Cl 162 SC 162.11.7 P171 L 31 # 235 Dawe, Piers Nvidia CA COM DFE Cl 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 Ghiasi, Cl 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE The spec allows a channel to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 Ghiasi, Comment Status R CA comment Status P Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE Ghiasi, Comment Type of all these 9 taps. That's a very bad cable! and not likely to get made. We don't need to provide all the receiver power and complexity to cope with it. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Status Suggested Remedy Status Status Suggested Remedy Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response C/ 162 Huber, C/ 162 Huber,	Implement the162SC 1iasi, Alimment TypeTable 162-20 sggestedRemedyPlease replaceSFP-DD with SQSFP+ with QsponseREJECT.Resolve using[Editor's note:162SC 1ber, Tommment Type	TR 20 should b nedy vlace SFP+ v ith SFP-DD th QSFP112 sing the resp ote: CC: 162 C 162.14.3 T implementin	be updated + with SFP1 ⁻ D112 12 <i>Respor</i> sponse to co 62, 162C] .3	ment Status R d with MDI supp 112 onse Status W comment #45. P176 Nokia ment Status A	porting 112G	1 # <u>86</u> (bucke
Cl 162 SC 162.11.7 P171 L 31 # [235] Dawe, Piers Nvidia CL 162 CA COM DFE Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE Cl 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # [235] Dawe, Piers Nvidia Cl 162 CA COM DFE Cl 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE Cl 162 Sc 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # [235] Dawe, Piers Nvidia Cl 162 Ca Com DFE Cl 162 Sc 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # [235] Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE Cl 162 Sc 162.11.7 We don't need to provide all the receiver power and complexity to cope with it. SuggestedRemedy Use another DFE root-sum-of-squares limit for positions 13-24. Similarly in 163, but as 163 specifies the complete channel while 162 uses clean synthetic host traces, the limit might differ. Response Response Response Status U RE RELECT. The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient evidence that this is an issue and that the proposed change would not cause new issues. Cl 162	Implement the162SC 1iasi, Alimment TypeTable 162-20 sggestedRemedyPlease replaceSFP-DD with SQSFP+ with QsponseREJECT.Resolve using[Editor's note:162SC 1ber, Tommment TypeStatus for implement of the second	 TR 20 should b nedy vlace SFP+ v ith SFP-DD th QSFP112 sing the response cc: 162 <licc: 162<="" li=""> <licc< td=""><td>ting the 1000</td><td>ment Status R d with MDI supp 112 onse Status W comment #45. P176 Nokia ment Status A</td><td>porting 112G</td><td>1 # <u>86</u> (bucke</td></licc<></licc:>	ting the 1000	ment Status R d with MDI supp 112 onse Status W comment #45. P176 Nokia ment Status A	porting 112G	1 # <u>86</u> (bucke
Cl 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # [235] Dawe, Piers Nvidia CL 162 CA COM DFE Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE Cl 162 SC 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # [235] Dawe, Piers Nvidia Cl 162 CA COM DFE Cl 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA COM DFE Cl 162 Sc 162.11.7 P 171 L 31 # [235] Dawe, Piers Nvidia Cl 162 Ca Com DFE Cl 162 Comment Status R CA COM DFE Ghiasi, Cl 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status P Comment Type Cl 162 Comment Type TR Comment Status P Comment Type Cl 163 Status P Comment Type Status P Comment Status P Suggested Remedy Use another DFE root-sum-of-squares limit for positions 13-24. Similarly in 163, but as 163 specifies the complete channel while 162 uses clean synthetic host traces, the limit might differ. Response Response Status U REJECT. The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient evidence that this is an issue and that the proposed	Implement the162SC 1iasi, Alimment TypeTable 162-20 sggestedRemedyPlease replaceSFP-DD with SQSFP+ with QsponseREJECT.Resolve using[Editor's note:162SC 1ber, Tommment TypeStatus for implggestedRemedyChange CR2 to	 TR 20 should b nedy vlace SFP+ v ith SFP-DD th QSFP112 sing the response cc: 162 <licc: 162<="" li=""> <licc< td=""><td>ting the 1000</td><td>ment Status R d with MDI supp 112 onse Status W comment #45. P176 Nokia ment Status A</td><td>porting 112G</td><td>1 # <u>86</u> (bucke</td></licc<></licc:>	ting the 1000	ment Status R d with MDI supp 112 onse Status W comment #45. P176 Nokia ment Status A	porting 112G	1 # <u>86</u> (bucke

C/ 162 SC 162.14.3

C/ 162 SC	C 162.14.4.3	P 178	L 43	# 219	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.	3.4	P 271	L 26	# 64
Wu, Mau-Lin		MediaTek Inc	2.		Brown, Ma	tt		Huawei		
Comment Type The 'Feature	ER e' of 'TC5' is r	Comment Status A not correct.		(bucket1)	Comment Align te	<i>Type</i> E erminology with		ent Status A ses.		(bucket1)
SuggestedReme	edy				Suggested	Remedy				
		e to common-mode output oss" for the 'Feature' of 'TC		Common-mode to		e "common-mo aces and in PIO			node to common	-mode return loss" in
Response		Response Status W			Response		Respon	se Status C		
ACCEPT.					ACCEI	PT.				
C/ 162A SC	C 162A.5	P 263	L 28	# 25	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.	3.6	P 273	L 30	# 210
Laubach, Mark		IEEE Membe	er / Self		Kocsis, Sa	m		Amphenol		
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment	Type TR	Comme	ent Status D		withdrawn
"usingEqua	tion" needs a	space								just a typo given the
SuggestedReme	edy				discus: change		ic. This cou	ld be deemed edit	orial, but there is	tehcnical impact to the
Change to "	using Equation	on"			0					
Response		Response Status C			Suggested	<i>Remeay</i> e be 40.000 GI	1 ~			
ACCEPT.								_		
					Proposed I	,	,	se Status Z		
C/ 162B SC	C 162B.1.3.1	P 269	L 1	# 217	PROP	OSED REJEC				
Haser, Alex		Molex			This co	omment was W	ITHDRAWN	by the commenter	er.	
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.	2.6	P 274	L 2	# 212
IL_MTFref(2	26.56 GHz) de	pes not match the 6.60 dB	specified in 162	B.1 (page 266 line 20).			5.0		L Z	# 212
SuggestedReme	edy				Kocsis, Sa		0	Amphenol		
		change coefficient out from	nt from 0.9505 t	o 0.942 to get correct	Comment			ent Status D		withdrawn
6.60 dB valı	ue at 26.56 G	Hz								just a typo given the tehcnical impact to the
Response		Response Status C			change					
ACCEPT.					Suggested	Remedy				
					Chang	e to 40.000 G⊦	z			
					Proposed I	Response	Respon	se Status Z		
						OSED REJEC	,			
							•			
					This co	omment was W	ITHDRAWN	I by the commenter	er.	

C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.6

C/ 162C	SC 162C.1	P 277	L 20	# 45	C/ 162D	SC	162D.1	P 289	L 14	# 216
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi		DiMinico,	Christop	oher	MC Commun	ications	
Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status R	М	DI nomenclature (bucket1)	Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status A		(bucket1)
		pe updated with MDI supportin	g 112G				MDI conr " is unece	ector "receptacles" destingu	ished uniquely b	by name, referring to
Suggested										
Please replace SFP+ with SFP112 SFP-DD with SFP-DD112 QSFP+ with QSFP112 Response Response Status W REJECT. MDI names align with 1.3 normative references in 802.3ck and the base standard.					 SuggestedRemedy P289; Line 14 delete "types of" in the sentence "There are six types of MDI connectors "receptacles" specified for hosts." P289; Line 32 change sentence to "This enables multiple cable assembly types with different combinations of the plug connectors at each end." P290; Line 4 in Table 162D–2 delete "type" two places "Receptacle/Plug type" P290; Line 32 in Table 162D–3 delete "type" two places "Receptacle/Plug type" P291; Line 20 in Table 162D–4 delete "type" two places "Receptacle/Plug type" 					
Zhang, Bo		Inphi			ACCE	от		Response Status W		
Comment T	Type T	Comment Status A	М	DI nomenclature (bucket1)	ACCE	P1.				
		x10G 40G pluggable connecto ies such as QSFP28, QSFP56			C/ 163 Wu, Mau-		163.1	₽ 181 MediaTek Inc	L 9	# 220
Suggested	Remedv				Comment		-	Comment Status A		(huskat1)
Sugges	st replace QSFI	P+ with QSFP families. Also pl e in section 1.3 normative refe					E descriptio	ns for Annex 163B in the par	agraph.	(bucket1)
					Suggested	Remea	ly			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. QSFP+ reference is already a normative reference in base standard subclause 1.3 as requested in the suggested remedy. However, the reference text should be updated to					"Anne	x 163B		nce at the end of the 1st par nformative information of an		
		SFP+ specification.			Response			Response Status C		
To: "co Also, fo Change To: "me	nnectors meeti or SFP+ on pag e: "meeting the eeting the requi	meeting the requirements of (C ng the requirements of SFF-86 le 281, line 6 requirements of (SFP+)" irements of SFF-8432" ponse to comment #45.			With e Remo Insert "There points examp	ditorial ve the la a secor are two for bac le test	ast senter nd paragra o associa	nplement the following. nee of the first paragraph. aph as follows: ted Annexes. Annex 163A pr d chip-to-chip interfaces. An		

C/ 163 SC 163.1

C/ 163	SC 163.1	P 181	L 24	# 100	C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 187	L 45	# 189
Kabra, Loke	esh	Synopsys Inc			Dudek, Mike	e	Marvell		
Comment Ty	ype E	Comment Status A		(bucket1)	Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status R		TX dERL (CC)
SuggestedR	Remedy	umber corresponding to RS/0		Cable 162-2		ns than the refe	ERL of -3dB allows complination of -3dB allows complination of the second secon		
Response ACCEP		Response Status C			U		dB also for C2C in Table 120)F-1	
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 187	L 40	# 110	Response REJEC	Т.	Response Status U		
decimal https://w <i>SuggestedR</i>	cal values in sta point. This is th www.ieee802.or Remedy "1.0" to "1".	Cisco Comment Status A andards are exact, so there sh he common practice in 802.3 g/3/WG_tools/editorial/require Response Status C	(see	-	https://w https://w Based c dERL (n [Editor's Straw po	vww.ieee802.org vww.ieee802.org on the results of		ck_01_0521.pdf _02_0521.pdf	
	note: CC: 163	, 162]			For KR A: no ch B: chan C: need A: 22 B:	and C2C TX dE hange, -3 dB ge to -1 dB more information	RL (min) value, I support the	following:	

C/ 163 SC 163.9.2 Page 48 of 50 2021-05-26 2:51:23 PM

C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.4	P 191	L 48	# 151	C/ 163	SC 16	3.9.3.4	P 192	L 34	# 134	
Kochuparam	nbil, Beth	Cisco			- Hidaka, Y	asuo		Credo Semico	nductor, Inc.		
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status R		(bucket1)	Comment	Type 1	ſR	Comment Status A		RIT jitter (CC)	
interfera S <i>uggestedR</i> Change	ince tolerance to Remedy	est 1 and Test 2" in the inter est description and in step h e tolerance test cases to "Se le.	for COM.		estima origina hidaka distrib	ated by the al distributi a_3ck_adh	ese equa ion is pu noc_01_(ways sig	63-3) are not accurate, becau ations does not match well wi are dual-dirac distribution as p 041421). For instance, J3u o Inificantly smaller than the m	ith the original opresented at ad f the estimated	listribution even if the hoc meeting (see dual-dirac jitter	
Do simil	ar for 120F.				Since	the propo	sed equa	ations never break, we do no	ot need Note 2.		
Response		Response Status C					•	·			
REJECT	г.						r change	es to clause 162.9.4.3.3.			
		nt with previous clauses. The	e difference in co	ntext is clear in the	Suggestee	•	(
text by reference to the two different tables. [Editor's node: CC: 163, 120F]				Repla	Replace Equation (163-2) and (163-3) with the following set of equations:						
-		•			D3d =	(Q3d^2 +	1) * (J_I	RMS^2) - (J3u / 2)^2			
					sigı lf D3d Qx A_I	DD = (J3u na_RJ = (< 0, = sqrt((J3u DD = (J3u	J3u / 2 - u / 2 / J_ / 2) / (Qx	Bd * sqrt(D3d)) / (Q3d^2 + 1) · A_DD) / Q3d _RMS)^2 - 1) x^2 + 1) RMS^2) - (A_DD^2))			
						d = 3.0902					
					Chan	ge Note 1	as follow	/S:			
						l Q3d is d in Equat		oximated solution of Q(Q3d) 1).	= 1 x 10^(-3), v	vhere the Q function is	
					Remo	ve Note 2.					
						the same 162.9.4.3		s to Equation (162-7), Equati	on (162-8), Not	e 1, and Note 2 in	
								to Equation (162-7) and (162- ated equations.	-8) in Note 2 of	Table 162-15 in clause	
					Response ACCE	PT IN PR	INCIPLE	Response Status C			
					Resol	ve using th	ne respo	nse to comment #209.			
	chnical require	d ER/editorial required GR/	neneral required	T/technical E/editorial	G/general			C/ 163	2	Page 49 of 50	

I Y PE: I R/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	rai required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 163	Page 49 of 50
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 163.9.3.4	2021-05-26 2:51:23 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

[Editor's note: CC	: 120F, 163]			C/ 163	SC 163.13.3		L 13	# 87
Cl 163 SC 163.10.1 P 195 L 21 # 205 Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A COM bmax The bmax limit is very generous (0.2) for taps up to Nb. Channels considered by the Task Force do not justify such a high limit. The limit should be tightened to reduce the chance that unexpected channels will meet the minimum COM threshold but contain large reflections that are difficult to handle.				Suggeste Chan Response	<i>Type</i> T s for implementii <i>dRemedy</i> ge KR to KR1	Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A ng the clause 135 PMA should <i>Response Status</i> C	l be KR1 rather	<i>(bucket1)</i> than KR
0	limit for n = 7 to Nb to be 0.1. Ma	ake a similar char	nge to Table 162-16.	ACCI <i>C</i> / 163B Wu. Mau	SC 163B.2	Р 297 MediaTek Inc	L 25	# 225
https://www.ieee8 In Table 163-10, d	viewed the following related prese 02.org/3/ck/public/21_05/healey_ change the bb_max limit for n = 7 ange to Table 162-18.	3ck_01_0521.pdf	F	Comment Equa Suggeste Chan "The	t <i>Type</i> ER tion (163-1) is th <i>dRemedy</i> ge "Equation (16	Comment Status A e wrong reference. It shall be " 3-1)" to "Equation (163B-1)" ir the example test fixture is app	'Equation (163	sentence.
C/ 163 SC 163 Ghiasi, Ali	10.7 <i>P</i> 198 Ghiasi Quan	L 31 tum/Inphi	# 37	Response ACCI		Response Status W		
	Comment Status R re increased Baudrate it is logical	to increase 3 dB	AC coupling cutoff by factor 2	CI A Anslow, F	SC A Pete	P 205 Independent	L 8	# 4
at 2x Baudrate of then DC block co	dB cutoff from 50 KHz to 100 KH 802.3cd. It is well understood that mer frequency will be 50 KHz, but ts force to 50 KHz assuming one	at if one needs to keeping 50 KHz	support 50G PAM4 for 100G PAM4 it just	Suggeste	CEI-05," shou dRemedy	Comment Status A Ild appear in the bibliography a g from [B22a] to [B55a]	after "[B55] OIF	OIF reference (bucket1) -CEI-04.0,"
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Response ACCI Com remo	e EPT IN PRINCIP ment #221 propo	Response Status C LE. ses to remove the only referer this bibliography entry. If the r		

CI A SC A