C/ 163A SC	163A.3.1.3	P 308	L 43	# 1	C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P 99	L 18	# 4
Brown, Matt		Huawei			Brown, Mat	tt	Huawei		
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status D		bucket1	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		PHY table (bucket1)
extra closing SuggestedRemed remove extra	dy				types, update	but the physical d.	AUI-4 C2C and C2M have been layer tables in the correspor		
Proposed Respor	0.1				Suggested	,			
PROPOSED		Response Status W					physical layer tables in clause 2C and C2M sublayers.	es 122, 123, 124	4, 138, 150, and 151 to
	80.1.5	P 80	L 45	# 2	Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Brown, Matt Comment Type	т	Huawei Comment Status D		PHY table (bucket1)	C/ 00	SC 0	PO	LO	# 5
21		I-1 C2C and C2M have bee	n added to sev		Brown, Mat		Huawei	-•	
		he corresponding PMD clau			Comment		Comment Status D		bucket1
SuggestedRemed	2	vsical laver tables in clauses	a 129 and 140		802.3c	k will not be inc	orporated into the next amen	dment (802.3dc	
			5 130 anu 140	to Include 100GAUI-1	amenu	Iment to that rev	/ISION.		
C2C and C2N	M sublayers		5 130 anu 140	to include 100GAUI-1	Suggested		vision.		
	M sublayers.		5 136 and 140	io include 100GAUI-1	Suggested	Remedy rt draft to be an	amendment of new revision	(802.3dc) rathe	r than an amendment of
C2C and C2N Proposed Respor PROPOSED Cl 116 SC	M sublayers.	Response Status W	L 18	# 3	Suggested Conver 802.3-2 Proposed I	<i>Remedy</i> rt draft to be an 2018.	amendment of new revision Response Status W	(802.3dc) rathe	r than an amendment of
C2C and C2M Proposed Respor PROPOSED C/ 116 SC Brown, Matt	M sublayers inse ACCEPT. 116.1.4	Response Status W P 98 Huawei		# 3	Suggested Conver 802.3-2 Proposed I PROPO	Remedy rt draft to be an 2018. Response OSED ACCEPT	amendment of new revision Response Status W		
C2C and C2N Proposed Respor PROPOSED Cl 116 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type	M sublayers. Inse ACCEPT. 116.1.4 T	Response Status W P 98 Huawei Comment Status D	L 18	# 3	Suggested Conver 802.3-2 Proposed I PROPO	Remedy rt draft to be an 2018. Response OSED ACCEPT SC 162.9.4.	amendment of new revision Response Status W T. 3.3 P 172	(802.3dc) rather	r than an amendment of # [<u>6</u>
C2C and C2N Proposed Respor PROPOSED Cl 116 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type In Table 116-	M sublayers mse ACCEPT. 116.1.4 T -3, 200GAU	Response Status W P 98 Huawei	L 18 en added to sev	# 3 PHY table (bucket1) eral 200 Gb/s PHY	Suggested Conve 802.3-2 Proposed I PROPO CI 162 Brown, Mat	Remedy rt draft to be an 2018. Response OSED ACCEPT SC 162.9.4. tt	amendment of new revision <i>Response Status</i> W T. 3.3 <i>P</i> 172 Huawei		# 6
C2C and C2N Proposed Respor PROPOSED Cl 116 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type In Table 116-	M sublayers mse ACCEPT. 116.1.4 T -3, 200GAU	Response Status W P 98 Huawei Comment Status D I-2 C2C and C2M have bee	L 18 en added to sev	# 3 PHY table (bucket1) eral 200 Gb/s PHY	Suggested Conve 802.3-2 Proposed I PROPO Cl 162 Brown, Mat Comment	Remedy rt draft to be an 2018. Response OSED ACCEPT SC 162.9.4.3 tt Type E	amendment of new revision <i>Response Status</i> W T. 3.3 <i>P</i> 172 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> D	L 25	# 6
C2C and C2N Proposed Respon PROPOSED Cl 116 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type In Table 116- types, but the updated. SuggestedRemed Amend the 20	M sublayers mse ACCEPT. 116.1.4 T -3, 200GAUI e physical la dy 200 Gb/s phy	Response Status W P 98 Huawei Comment Status D I-2 C2C and C2M have bee ayer tables in the correspondence ysical layer tables in clauses	L 18 en added to sev ding PMD claus	# 3 PHY table (bucket1) eral 200 Gb/s PHY ses have not been	Suggested Conve 802.3-2 Proposed I PROPO Cl 162 Brown, Mai Comment Transit 120E.3	Remedy rt draft to be an 2018. Response OSED ACCEPT SC 162.9.4.3 tt Type E tion time is refer	amendment of new revision <i>Response Status</i> W T. 3.3 <i>P</i> 172 Huawei	L 25	# 6
C2C and C2N Proposed Respon PROPOSED Cl 116 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type In Table 116- types, but the updated. SuggestedRemed Amend the 20 C2C and C2N	M sublayers nse ACCEPT. 116.1.4 T -3, 200GAUI e physical la dy 200 Gb/s phy M sublayers	Response Status W P98 Huawei Comment Status D I-2 C2C and C2M have bee ayer tables in the correspondence ysical layer tables in clauses	L 18 en added to sev ding PMD claus	# 3 PHY table (bucket1) eral 200 Gb/s PHY ses have not been	Suggested Conve 802.3-2 Proposed I PROPO Cl 162 Brown, Mai Comment Transit 120E.3	Remedy rt draft to be an 2018. Response OSED ACCEPT SC 162.9.4.3 tt Type E tion time is refer 3.1.5. Transition erminology.	amendment of new revision <i>Response Status</i> W T. 3.3 <i>P</i> 172 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> D rred to here as "20% to 80% for the status of the status status of the status st	L 25	# 6
C2C and C2N Proposed Respon PROPOSED Cl 116 SC Brown, Matt Comment Type In Table 116- types, but the updated. SuggestedRemed Amend the 20	M sublayers nse ACCEPT. 116.1.4 T -3, 200GAUI e physical la dy 200 Gb/s phy M sublayers nse	Response Status W P 98 Huawei Comment Status D I-2 C2C and C2M have bee ayer tables in the correspondence ysical layer tables in clauses	L 18 en added to sev ding PMD claus	# 3 PHY table (bucket1) eral 200 Gb/s PHY ses have not been	Suggested Conver 802.3-2 Proposed I PROPO Cl 162 Brown, Mat Comment Transit 120E.3 Align te Suggested	Remedy rt draft to be an 2018. Response OSED ACCEPT SC 162.9.4.3 tt Type E tion time is refer 8.1.5. Transition erminology. Remedy	amendment of new revision <i>Response Status</i> W T. 3.3 <i>P</i> 172 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> D rred to here as "20% to 80% for the status of the status status of the status st	L 25 transition time". sewhere in draft	# 6

	SC 163.9.3.5	P 204	L 39	# 7	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	4.2.2	P 262	L 26	# 9
rown, Mat	tt	Huawei			Brown, Matt			Huawei		
Comment T	Туре Е	Comment Status D		transition time	Comment Ty	pe T	Commen	t Status D		MI SI method
subclau term us "transit Suggested	use. Also, given th sed in the draft is s tion time". <i>IRemedy</i> ge 204 line 39, cha	ably per the method in 120 at transition time is fully de simply "transition time", "20 nge "transition time" (first i	.1.5 and the common ition time" should be	"The pat are ac "The pat referenc I believe	tern generato ljusted so tha tern generato e receiver set the the latter	r random t VEC is wi r pre-emphas tings that min criteria was ir	thin the limits in is and imize VEC are u	fy that for each p	•	
		nge "20% to 80% transition	time" to "transi	tion time (see	SuggestedR	emedy				
120E.3 Consid	3.1.5)". der adding text in o not have to be repe	ne place specifying that tra ated multiple times. Response Status W			minimize To: "For	VEC are use any jitter and	ed."	Ig, the pattern ge	reference receive enerator pre-emp	er settings that hasis and reference
PROP	OSED ACCEPT IN	•			Proposed Re	esponse	Response	Status W		
The de	efinition for transitio	n time Tr on page 204 line for an NRZ signal and is n		PROPO	SED ACCEP	T.				
For pag	ge 204 line 39, add	a sentence as follows "Tr E.3.1.5 except there is no o	is determined a	at the die bump and	C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.	2	P 265	L 51	# 10
		olve using the responses to			Brown, Matt			Huawei		
	-				Comment Ty	pe E	Commen	t Status D		bucket1
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1.5	P 252	L 15	# 8	Method	should start a	t step "a)" not	"h)"		
Brown, Mat	tt	Huawei			SuggestedR	emedy				
Comment 7	Type E	Comment Status D		transition time (bucket1)	Reforma	t list to start a	at "a)".			
Dofora	ence to transition til	ne methodology.			Proposed Re			Status W		
Reiefe						SED ACCEP				
	IRemedy						1.			
Suggested	,	to "transition time (see 120	G.3.1.4)".							
S <i>uggestedi</i> Change Repeat	e "transition time" t at:	to "transition time (see 120	G.3.1.4)".		C/ 162A	SC 162A.5		P 277	L 30	# 11
Suggested Change Repeat page 2	e "transition time" t at: 254, line 13	to "transition time (see 120	G.3.1.4)".		C/ 162A Brown, Matt	SC 162A.5		<i>Р</i> 277 Ниаwei	L 30	# 11
Suggested Change Repeat page 2 page 2	e "transition time" t at:	to "transition time (see 120)G.3.1.4)".				Commen	Huawei	L 30	# 11 terminology (bucket1)
Suggested Change Repeat page 2 page 2 page 2 Proposed F	e "transition time" t at: 254, line 13 258, lines 43/44 262, lines 10/11 <i>Response</i>	Response Status W	IG.3.1.4)".		Brown, Matt Comment Ty The acro	pe E onym "IL" is o		Huawei <i>t Status</i> D		
Suggested Change Repeat page 2 page 2 page 2 Proposed F PROPO	e "transition time" t at: 254, line 13 258, lines 43/44 262, lines 10/11 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status WI	·		Brown, Matt Comment Ty The acro introduce	rpe E onym "IL" is o ed.		Huawei <i>t Status</i> D		terminology (bucket1)
Suggested Change Repeat page 2 page 2 page 2 Proposed F PROPO	e "transition time" t at: 254, line 13 258, lines 43/44 262, lines 10/11 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W	·		Brown, Matt Comment Ty The acro introduce SuggestedR	ppe E onym "IL" is o ed. emedy	ften used to re	Huawei <i>t Status</i> D epresent "insertio	on loss" in text, b	<i>terminology (bucket1)</i> out is never formally
Suggested Change Repeat page 2 page 2 page 2 Proposed F PROPO	e "transition time" t at: 254, line 13 258, lines 43/44 262, lines 10/11 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status WI	·		Brown, Matt Comment Ty The acro introduce SuggestedR Either in	ppe E onym "IL" is o ed. emedy troduce it pro	ften used to re perly, e.g., "in	Huawei <i>t Status</i> D epresent "insertion sertion loss (IL)"		<i>terminology (bucket1)</i> out is never formally
Suggested Change Repeat page 2 page 2 page 2 Proposed F PROPO	e "transition time" t at: 254, line 13 258, lines 43/44 262, lines 10/11 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status WI	·		Brown, Matt Comment Ty The acro introduce SuggestedR Either in Proposed Re	pe E onym "IL" is o ed. emedy troduce it pro esponse	ften used to re perly, e.g., "in	Huawei t Status D epresent "insertio sertion loss (IL)" e Status W	on loss" in text, b	<i>terminology (bucket1)</i> out is never formally

C/ 162B	SC 162B.1.2	.1 P 280	L 41	# 12	C/ 162B	SC ·	162B.1.3.3	B P 283	L 37	# 14
Brown, Matt	t	Huawei			Brown, Mat	tt		Huawei	-	
Comment T	уре Е	Comment Status D		bucket1	Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status D		IL terminology
llcatf ar	nd f should be it	alic.						e variable names used to		
SuggestedF	Remedy							D2.1, the return loss varia draft. A similar conventior		
Format	as italic.				Suggested		0		ris cheodraged it	
Proposed R PROPC	Response DSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			00	and us	•	variable names througho	ut the draft. A sur	nmary presentation will
	SC 4005 4 0	D D D D D D D D D D	1.00	# 40	Proposed I	Respon	nse	Response Status W		
C/ 162B Brown, Mati	SC 162B.1.3	.3 <i>P</i> 283 Huawei	L 33	# 13	PROP	OSED	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
inconsis SuggestedF	hout 802.3cd, th stent. In this sub Remedy and use commo	Comment Status D ne terminology for insertion oclause alone two terms are on terminology throughout th	e used.		and D2 Hence Https:// Pendin [Editor	2.0 or th it is no /www.ie ng revie 's note:	he unsatisf ot within the eee802.org ew of prese : CC: 120F	apply to the substantive c ied negative comments fro scope of the recirculation g/3/ck/public/adhoc/july14_ ntation and task force disc , 120G, 162, 163, 162A, 1 clause/subclause from 16	om the initial ballo ballot. 21/brown_3ck_ac cussion. 62B]	ot.
Proposed R PROPC		Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			C/ 162B	SC	162B.1.3.	5 P 286	L 43	# 15
		ted that the comment should		rather than 802.3cd.	Brown, Mat	tt		Huawei		
https://v Pending [Editor's	www.ieee802.or g review of pres s note: CC: 120	ed the following presentatio g/3/ck/public/adhoc/july14_ entation and task force disc F, 120G, 162, 163, 162A, 1 d clause/sublclause from 16	21/brown_3ck_ad :ussion. 62B]	hoc_01_071421.pdf	PMD s should 120E.3	rement pecifica be "tra 3.1.5 an	ations per ansition tim	Comment Status D or transition times is never 120E.3.1.5. To be consiste e" not "rise and fall timers mon with other clauses	ent with other clau ". Given explicit m	uses and annexes nethodology in
					Suggested	Remed	ły			
					With e	ditorial	license sp	ecify that the transition tim ge "20% to 80% rise and f		
					Proposed I	Respon	nse	Response Status W		

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comments #73 and #74 propose that the reference to 120E.3.1.5 should also include an exception to the measurement bandwidth.

Implement suggested remedy along with the measurement bandwidth proposed in comments 73 and 74 with editorial license.

C/ 120 SC 120.5.	I P 107	L 54	# 16	C/ 161	SC 161.5.2	2.8 <i>P</i> 134	L 3	# 18				
Sun, Junqing	Credo Semico	onductor		Brown, Ma	tt	Huawei						
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		withdrawn	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		bucket				
SSPRQ usually caus spec will help to clar	ses confusion in the field to be uify.	used as receive	pattern. A note in the			r's note a simple change to . The terms "FEC encode" a						
SuggestedRemedy						references in Clause 161 to						
will be "Test patterns	fter "square wave" in the secon s that are intended for transmitte correctly recovered by an adja	er testing, such		Reed-S Reed-S	Solomon enco Solomon enco Solomon enco	ding 1x	er except for one i	instance.				
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			Reed-S	Solomon enco							
REJECT.					ncoded 1x Solomon deco	de 1v						
	VITHDRAWN by the commente	er.		Reed-S	Solomon deco	ding 1x						
Editor's note: chang	ed page/line from 108/46]			Reed-S	Solomon deco	der 9x						
V 163 SC 163.9.2	2 P 200	L 12	# 17									
rown, Matt	Huawei			Suggested	,	"EEC opended" to "Pood S	olomon" oncodod					
omment Type E	mment Type E Comment Status D table footnote (bucket1)					In 161.5.2.9, change "FEC encoded" to "Reed-Solomon" encoded. In 161.5.3.3 (page 136, line 31), change "decoder" to "Reed-Solomon decoder"						
	fication in Table 163-5, footnote			Proposed I	Response	Response Status W						
•	hich provides the exact same i	nformation as fo	otnote a.	PROP	OSED ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE.						
SuggestedRemedy Delete footnote a.						of the suggested remedy u 36, line 31), change "decode						
Proposed Response	Response Status W			C/ 163	SC 163.9.2		L 5	# 19				
PROPOSED ACCE	-			Brown, Ma		Huawei	_ •	" [10				
Resolve using the re	sponse to comment #77.			Comment		Comment Status D		table note (bucket)				
					• •	native table, but footnote c	relating to transmi	l l				
					mendation.	,	0					
				Suggested	IRemedy							
				Conve	rt footnote c to	a table note (see style mar	nual 16.4) or delet	e footnote c.				
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status W						
						T IN PRINCIPLE.						
				The co	omment equal	d by placing the recommend y applies to footnote c in Ta	ble 162-10.					

Comment ID 19

Remove footnote c from Table 163-5 and Table 162-10 and add a new sentence to the end

of the first paragraph in 162.9.3.1.4 as follows: "It is recommended that the same step size is used for all coefficients."

CI 00	SC	0		P 0	L 0	# 20	C/ 163A	SC 163A.3	.1.3	P 308	L 25	# 22
Brown, Ma	att		ŀ	Huawei			Hidaka, Ya	asuo		Credo Semico	onductor, Inc.	
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment St	tatus D		bucket1	Comment	Туре т	Comr	ment Status D		bucket1
table r	note sho	ould be set	t immediately fo	ollowing the ta	able to which it b	e placed as follows: "A elongs, enclosed	f_r is a list.	also a paramet	er specified	by the clause that i	invokes this meth	od but missing in the
Sever		notes wer	above the botto e added to seve			not placed according		-		t, T_b and f_r" in pag	ge 308 line 25.	
Suggested	dRemea	ly					Proposed					
Fix the	e table r	note at the	following page	/line: 169/24,	179/21, 251/46,	255/25, 283/28	•	OSED ACCEF	'	onse Status W		
Proposed	Respon	ise	Response Sta	atus W					1.			
		ACCEPT.					C/ 163A	SC 163A.3	.1.3	P 308	L 52	# 23
[Edito	r's note:	CC: 120G	G, 162, 162B]				Hidaka, Ya	asuo		Credo Semico	onductor, Inc.	
7 163A	SC	163A.3.1.3	3	P 308	L 18	# 21	Comment	Туре т	Comr	ment Status D		language
Hidaka, Ya		-		Credo Semico	onductor, Inc.					ets of reference pack age parameter.	age parameters.	Also, this should be
Comment		TR ant filter of	Comment St		hannung the st	measurement filter	Suggested	Remedy				
			e h(t) that uses		because the st	ep response is derived	Chang length		ackage tra	ce length" with "the	longest transmitte	er package trace
Figure	e 163A-3	3 is not cor	rrect, because	the effect of E	BT filter is includ	ed.						
Suggested	dRemed	ly					,	the same char				
			n page 308.				Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEF	'	onse Status W		
Add H	Í_BT(f) i	n the sam	as follows: e way as Figur		e .		C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	.4	P 168	L 22	# 24
		ck of "Equantse h(t)".	ation (163A-5)"	followed by "	Stepresponse u	(t)" at the end after	Hidaka, Ya	asuo		Credo Semico	onductor, Inc.	
Proposed	•	()	Response Sta	atus W			Comment	Туре Е	Com	ment Status D		bucket1
		ACCEPT.	Response Su				164 or	n the row F10 a	and the colu	umn of index of last	symbol is a typo.	
T NOT	00207						Suggested Chang	<i>IRemedy</i> je 164 with 264	ŀ.			
							Proposed		Respo	nse Status W		

C/ 163 SC	163.9.3.5	P 205	L 31	# 25		C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P 163	L 5	# 28
Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semico	nductor, Inc.			Ran, Adee		Cisco system	าร	
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status D			bucket1	Comment 7	ype TR	Comment Status D		bucket1
Symbol Q3 r	emains in N	OTE 1.				In Table	e 162–10 the fi	rst parameter is "Signaling ra	te, each (nomir	al)" - but the value is
SuggestedReme	dy					53.125	± 50 ppm so th	is label is incorrect (nominal	IS 53.125).	
Change Q(Q	3) with Q(Q3	3d).						ent: in Table 163-5 it is just "S		n Table 120F-1 and
Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status W				l able 1	20G-1 it is "Sig	naling rate, each lane (range	e)".	
PROPOSED	ACCEPT.							orrect. The words "each lane	are unnecess	ary - all parameters in
C/ FM SC	EM.	P1	L 31	# 26		these ta	ables are per-la	ine.		
			-	# 20		Make th	ne label consist	ent across the similar tables.		
Ran, Adee Comment Type	-	Cisco systems Comment Status D			bucket1	Suggested	Remedy			
802.3cv is pu	E				DUCKELI	Change	the label to "S	ignaling rate (range)" in all 4	tables.	
SuggestedReme						Proposed F	esponse	Response Status W		
00	-	cv-20xx" to "IEEE Std 802.3	cv-2021", here a	ind on page 1	6.	PROPO	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status W						ot apply to the substantive ch		
PROPOSED	ACCEPT.							sfied negative comments from he scope of the recirculation		ot.
C/ 161 SC	161.5.2.9	P 134	L 3	# 27		Change	the label to "S	ignaling rate, each lane (ran	ge)" for all 4 tab	les.
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems				[Editor's	s note: CC: 120)F, 120G, 162, 162]		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D			bucket1					
		ore precise to avoid possible " and to clarify where the co								
SuggestedReme	dy									
		has been FEC encoded, two 61.5.2.8, two resulting codev		s" to "Once the	e data					
		e the data has been Reed-S <_out<1087:0> shall be distr		d and interlea	ved, it					
Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status W								
Change "One	ce the data h ed-Solomon	N PRINCIPLE. has been FEC encoded, two encoded, two resulting FEC e the data has been Reed-S		s" to "Once the	e data					

SNDR. Other invocatio appears several times Np; this is not stated a In the remaining use of 162.9.3.1.3, 162.9.3.1. used. So Np=29 is imp Having two parameters and confusing. SuggestedRemedy In 162.9.3.1.1, change In 162.9.3.3 (Output Si	the linear fit, for calculation 4, and 162.9.3.1.5, it does no prtant only for SNDR, which instead of one parameter w "Np=29" to "Np=200". IDR) change "with the excep "with the exception that the l	value is only ef nd vpeak, use N out it is not - it is of the equalizer of matter whether is the exception hich takes two w	Nv=200 instead. Nv s a value that replaces r coefficients used in er 29 or 200 UI are n. values is unnecessary	the line It is det Also, 1 of anoti <i>Suggestedi</i> Change "The st pulse p replace	eady-st ar fit pu cermine 62.9.3. ² her com Remedy e this se eady-st eak rat eak rat ed by No	Ilse peak d _from_ 1.1 does r nment. / entence to ate voltag io calcula	ratio calculate the linear fit p not use the pa o ge vf is define	d in 136.9.3.1.2 ed by the proce pulse, and the _ arameter Nv - it d in 136.9.3.1.2 pcedure in 162.	2, and is determ edure in 162.9.3. _peak ratio_ is ir t has Np which is 2, and is determ				
Here it is stated that N SNDR. Other invocatio appears several times Np; this is not stated a In the remaining use of 162.9.3.1.3, 162.9.3.1. used. So Np=29 is imp Having two parameters and confusing. SuggestedRemedy In 162.9.3.1.1, change In 162.9.3.3 (Output SI 162.9.3.1.1 is used" to used with Np=29 instead	takes the value 29, but this ns of this procedure, for vf ar and looks like a parameter, b hywhere. the linear fit, for calculation 4, and 162.9.3.1.5, it does no prtant only for SNDR, which instead of one parameter w "Np=29" to "Np=200". IDR) change "with the exception that the l	nd vpeak, use N but it is not - it is of the equalizer of matter whether is the exception hich takes two w	ffective for calculation of Nv=200 instead. Nv s a value that replaces r coefficients used in ter 29 or 200 UI are n. values is unnecessary	"The st the line It is det Also, 1 of anot Suggested Change "The st pulse p replace	eady-st ar fit pu cermine 62.9.3. ² her com Remedy e this se eady-st eak rat eak rat ed by No	ate voltag Ilse peak d _from_ 1.1 does r ment. / entence to ate voltag io calcula	ge vf is define ratio calculate the linear fit p not use the pa o ge vf is define ted by the pro	d in 136.9.3.1.2 ed by the proce pulse, and the _ arameter Nv - it d in 136.9.3.1.2 pcedure in 162.	edure in 162.9.3. _peak ratio_ is ir : has Np which is 2, and is determ	ined using Nv=200 and 1.1" relevant here. s 13. This is the subject			
SNDR. Other invocatio appears several times Np; this is not stated a In the remaining use of 162.9.3.1.3, 162.9.3.1. used. So Np=29 is imp Having two parameters and confusing. SuggestedRemedy In 162.9.3.1.1, change In 162.9.3.3 (Output SI 162.9.3.1.1 is used" to used with Np=29 instead	ns of this procedure, for vf and and looks like a parameter, by hywhere. the linear fit, for calculation 4, and 162.9.3.1.5, it does no portant only for SNDR, which instead of one parameter w "Np=29" to "Np=200". IDR) change "with the exception that the l	nd vpeak, use N but it is not - it is of the equalizer of matter whether is the exception hich takes two w	Nv=200 instead. Nv s a value that replaces r coefficients used in er 29 or 200 UI are n. values is unnecessary	the line It is det Also, 1 of anoti <i>Suggestedi</i> Change "The st pulse p replace	ar fit pu ermine 62.9.3. ² her com Remedy e this se eady-st ead st wa by No	Ilse peak d _from_ 1.1 does r nment. / entence to ate voltag io calcula	ratio calculate the linear fit p not use the pa o ge vf is define ted by the pro	ed by the proce pulse, and the _ arameter Nv - it d in 136.9.3.1.2 pocedure in 162.	edure in 162.9.3. _peak ratio_ is ir : has Np which is 2, and is determ	.1.1" rrelevant here. s 13. This is the subject			
162.9.3.1.3, 162.9.3.1. used. So Np=29 is imp Having two parameters and confusing. SuggestedRemedy In 162.9.3.1.1, change In 162.9.3.3 (Output SI 162.9.3.1.1 is used" to used with Np=29 instea	 and 162.9.3.1.5, it does no prtant only for SNDR, which instead of one parameter w "Np=29" to "Np=200". IDR) change "with the exception that the l 	ot matter whether is the exception hich takes two w	er 29 or 200 UI are n. values is unnecessary	of anot Suggested Change "The st pulse p replace	her com Remedy e this se eady-st eak rati ed by Ny	nment. / entence to ate voltag io calculat	o ge vf is define ted by the pro	d in 136.9.3.1.2 ocedure in 162.	2, and is determ				
Having two parameters and confusing. SuggestedRemedy In 162.9.3.1.1, change In 162.9.3.3 (Output SI 162.9.3.1.1 is used" to used with Np=29 instea	instead of one parameter w "Np=29" to "Np=200". IDR) change "with the excep "with the exception that the l	hich takes two v	values is unnecessary	Change "The st pulse p replace	e this se eady-st eak rat ed by N	entence to ate voltag io calculat	ge vf is define ted by the pro	cedure in 162.		ined from the linear fit			
and confusing. SuggestedRemedy In 162.9.3.1.1, change In 162.9.3.3 (Output SI 162.9.3.1.1 is used" to used with Np=29 instea	"Np=29" to "Np=200". IDR) change "with the excep "with the exception that the I	otion that the line		"The st pulse p replace	eady-st eak rat d by N	ate voltag io calculat	ge vf is define ted by the pro	cedure in 162.		ined from the linear fit			
In 162.9.3.3 (Output SI 162.9.3.1.1 is used" to used with Np=29 instea	IDR) change "with the excep with the exception that the l				-	/=200 01)".	9.5.1.1 with the	exception that Np is			
162.9.3.1.1 is used" to used with Np=29 instea	with the exception that the l				Proposed Response Response Status W								
162.9.3.1.1 is used" to used with Np=29 instea	with the exception that the l	In 162.9.3.3 (Output SNDR) change "with the exception that the linear fit procedure in 162.9.3.1.1 is used" to "with the exception that the linear fit procedure in 162.9.3.1.1 is						PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
111 102.9.3.1.2 (Steauy-	and D2	.0 or th	e unsatisf	fied negative		n the initial ballo	EEE P802.3ck D2.1 t.						
In 163.9.2.3 (Difference	e steady state voltage) delete	e "with Nv = 200)".	Howeve	er, the p	proposed	change is an	improvement t	o the draft.				
In 163A.3.1.1 (Steady- (3 times).	state voltage and pulse peak	reference value	es) change "Nv" to "Np"				ed remedy.						
In 163B.2 (Characteris	ics) delete "With Nv = 200".			<i>Cl</i> 162 Ran, Adee	SC 1	62.9.3.4		P 168 Cisco system	L 1 s	# 31			
With editorial license, o	hange any remaining occurr	ence of Nv to N	۱p.	Comment 7	Гуре	ER	Comment	Status D		bucket			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			120D.3	.1.2 is ı	not the co	rrect reference	e for the patter	rn symbols and t	hresholds.			
	ion was reviewed by the tasl			Suggestedl Change			able 120D–4						
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the suggest [Editor's note: CC: 162	3c_01a_0/1421.pdf.	Proposed F PROPC		se ACCEPT.	Response S	Status W							

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	P 170	L 39	# 32	C/ 163	SC 163.	9.3.1	P 202	L 37	# 34
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems			Ran, Adee			Cisco system	-	
Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status D		signaling rate (bucket1)	Comment	Гуре Е	Com	nment Status D	-	signaling rate (bucket1)
across	tables. In Table 16	is tables the signaling rate p 62–14 it is "Signaling rate",	in Table 163–8	3 "Receiver signaling	It is pre it is).	eferable to r	efer to the va	alue in table 163-8 th	an to repeat it.	(The NOTE can stay as
rate", ir (range)		able 120G–7, and Table 12	0G–9 "Signalir	ng rate, each lane	Suggested					
		s correct. The words "each		ecessary - all parameters				in the range any signaling rate in	the range spe	cified in Table 163-8".
in these	e tables are per-lar	ne. Similarly "Receiver" is u	nnecessary.		Proposed I	'	/-	onse Status W		
Make th	ne label consistent	across the similar tables.			PROP	OSED ACC	EPT.			
Suggested	Remedy				C/ 163	SC 163.	9.3.5	P 204	L 51	# 35
Change	e the label to "Sign	aling rate (range)" in all 4 ta	ables.		Ran, Adee			Cisco system	s	
Proposed F	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			Comment	Гуре Е	Com	nment Status D		RIT TX off
PROPC	DSED ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.								t with most other places
This co	mment does not a	pply to the substantive cha	nges between	IFFF P802.3ck D2.1	in this	draft which	use the word	ling "set to preset 1 (no equalization	n)".
and D2	.0 or the unsatisfie	ed negative comments from		Also is	162.9.4.3.3	s with a varia	tion on the wording -	preferably cha	nge that one too.	
Hence	it is not within the	scope of the recirculation be		Suggested	Remedy		Ũ		C C	
	e in all tables to be	00		set 1 (no equ	alization)" in all place	s.				
	ing rate, each lane s note: CC: 120F,				Proposed I	Response	Resp	onse Status W		
	S 11018. CC. 120F,	1200, 102, 103]			PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.1	P 171	L 4	# 33	[Editor	s note: CC:	163, 162]			
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems			C/ 120G	SC 1200	6.3.4.2.1	P 261	L 4	# 36
Comment T		Comment Status D		UI value (bucket1)	Ran, Adee			Cisco system	S	
		nal unit interval of 18.82353 minal unit interval but an ap		th 5 digits after the	Comment	Type TR	Com	nment Status D		MI reference channel
uecima						• •	udes "Frequ	ency-dependent atter	nuation represe	enting the host channel"
	4 digits (0.1 fs res al purpose.	olution) result in about 1 pp	m error, which	is sufficient for any	but the 120G.3	frequency 3.4.2.2 as 1	dependence 8.2 dB at 26.	is not defined. The o .56 GHz - a single fre	nly requiremen	
Suggested	Remedy				notch f	ilter - obvio	usly not what	t we intend.		
Change	e "18.82353" to "ap	proximately 18.8235".								. The suggested remedy
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W					ce PCB mod	lel. Alternatively, a fre	equency mask	can be used.
PROPC	OSED ACCEPT.				Suggested					
					model	of 162.11.7		e frequency-depende lex 163B) with zp=46		based on the PCB caled from Annex 163B
					Proposed I	Response	Resp	onse Status W		
						OSED ACC	EDT			
					FRUE		LF I.			

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 8 of 39 2021-07-14 4:47:11 PM

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.1	P 264	L 31	# 37
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		signal level

This clause is referred to in Table 120G-1 and Table 120G-3 for the parameter differential PtP output voltage (max), among others.

The content is only a reference back to 120E.3.1.2: "The signal levels are as defined in 120E.3.1.2". 120E.3.1.2 does have a definition of differential signal but also states that "Unless otherwise noted, differential and common-mode signal voltages are measured with a PRBS13Q test pattern".

But PRBS13Q is not an appropriate signal for measurement of the PtP output voltage, because it has a maximum run length of 7 symbols and does not have any spectral content below 3 MHz. Much longer runs are possible in real data. Measurement with PRBS13Q over a lossy channel between the transmitter and the measurement point, without sufficient equalization, can thus yield peak-to-peak value lower than the value that real data would create.

Since there is no way to control the transmitter's swing or equalization, this may cause events of higher signal levels than the receiver expects, and cause periods of high BER, which can span many FEC symbols and cause uncorrectable codewords.

It is proposed to define the differential PtP explicitly as a requirement for any data pattern, and recommend to measure it using a pattern that contains low-frequency content, such as PRBS31Q or SSPRQ.

The definition of signal levels measurement using PRBS13Q also applies for CR/KR/C2C but in these cases the transmitter can be controlled to reduce the signal to an adequate level for the receiver, so it is less of an issue.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the content of 120G.5.1 with the following:

"The definition of differential and common-mode signals can be found in 120E.3.1.2. The signal levels specifications for host and module outputs hold for any data pattern. It is recommended to measure differential peak to peak signal levels with PRBS31Q or SSPRQ test pattern."

Consider applying similar changes in 162, 163, and 120F, with editorial license.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ck D2.1 and D2.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the initial ballot. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The proposal to refer "any data pattern" is rather broad.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SSPRQ has been previously used only for optical transmitter testing and has no advantages for this test. It is not clear that similar changes are warranted for 162, 163, and 120F since the insertion loss to the test point is smaller. Change the text in 120G.5.1 to the following: "The signal levels are as defined in 120E.3.1.2, with the exception that differential and common-mode signal voltages are measured with a PRBS31Q test pattern." For task force discussion. [Editor's note: CC: 120F, 120G, 162, 163]

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 2	65	L 51	# 38
Ran, Adee		Cisco	systems		
Comment Ty	/pe ER	Comment Status	D		bucket1
The list	in this subclause	e starts at h) instead	l of a).		

SuggestedRemedy

Change the list format to start at a).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 266	L 25	# 39
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		EO method

As has been reported in calvin_3ck_adhoc_01_063021, the authors have been "unable to reliably close the calibration loop on TP1a at 12.5dB VEC with precision lab equipment" for insertion loss of 16.4 dB. This suggests that the VEC specification may be unfeasible.

Allowing a higher (worse) VEC for transmitters (host/module outputs) might pass bad receivers with very closed eyes, which will put more burden on receivers (even if the signal in stressed input test does not change, receivers will have to work with transmitters that have the same VEC due to other reasons, e.g. a "rectangular eye" closed by high noise that can't be equalized, rather than ISI).

Instead of lowering the VEC bar for transmitters, we should look at the definition of VEC and make it more suitable to the expected eye shape of good transmitters after processing with the reference receiver (this shape is not rectangular), taking into account the expected behavior of real receivers.

The calculation of VEC and EH from a CDF accumulated over ts ± 0.05 UI gives the same weight to all phases. This makes sense if the receiver's phase is distributed uniformly in this window; it supposedly makes sense it we don't know where the receiver will sample within this region and account for sampling error. But the eye is not independent of the receiver - it is shaped by the receiver's equalization, and in the reference receiver we assume a certain behavior.

A receiver is expected to optimize its equalization (CTLE+DFE or equivalent) at the sampling point ts - this is part of the measurement procedure (currently steps k and l) - which would result in the maximum vertical opening being at ts. We should assume the average sampling phase is then ts; any difference between the optimized phase and the average phase is an implementation penalty that should be covered by the minimum EH.

A real receiver's CDR does not have a uniform phase distribution around its mean; the probability of sampling at either -0.05 UI or +0.05 UI from ts is smaller than the probability of sampling closer to ts. The rare events where the sample is taken far from ts contribute less to the average BER, so they should be weighted down in the calculation of the CDFs. Having equal weights as in the current method is overly pessimistic in both EH and VEC.

It is therefore proposed to apply a weighting function to the sampled data based on the phase.

SuggestedRemedy

A detailed proposal will be provided in a presentation.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ck D2.1 and D2.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the initial ballot.

Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The comment does not provide sufficient justification for any changes and the suggested remedy as written does not provide sufficient detail to implement. The following presentation analyzed the effect of the currently specified measurement method. A similar analysis is required to make any changes. Https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_10/healey_3ck_01a_1020.pdf The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement. A related presentation is anticipated. For task force discussion.

C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.1.1	P 307	L 13	# 40
Ran, Adee		Cisco syst	ems	
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		pulse response

"Obtain the output pulse response, h(t), using Equation (93A–23) and Equation (93A–24) with H(0)(f) from Equation (163A–2), where At and Tb are specified by the clause that invokes this method"

Clause 163 and annex 120F which invoke this method do not specify At and Tb - the invoking text refers to the COM tables, which include the parameters Av and fb instead. The reader may be left wondering what At and Tb are.

This can be remedied by pointing to 93A.1.5 instead of equations (93A–23) and (93A–24). 93A.1.5 includes the equations and the definition of Tb based on fb, and At is defined as Av.

Also applies to 163A.3.1.3, P308 L23.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the quoted sentence to:

"Obtain the output pulse response, h(t), as defined in 93A.1.5, with H(0)(f) from Equation (163A–2), where Av and fb are specified by the clause that invokes this method."

Apply also in 163A.3.1.3.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ck D2.1 and D2.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the initial ballot. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

However, the proposed changes are an improvement to the draft.

Implement the suggested remedy.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 40

Page 10 of 39 2021-07-14 4:47:11 PM

C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.2	P 309	L3	# 41	C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.2	.1 <i>P</i> 309	L 9	# 42
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems	-	" <u></u>	Ran, Adee		Cisco syste	-	" "
Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status D		langu	,		Comment Status D		vpeak/vt
and refe requirer	erence values, a ments for a give bclause _define	rence parameters quantify th nd are used to determine wh n parameter" s_ the difference parameters	ether a transm	nitter meets the pass/f	fail procect except fact that in irreleva	ure, but 162.9.3 ion parameters, at v_f and v_pea	to 162.9.3.1.2 for the definit a.1.2 does not define the me and adds normative require ak are defined with PRESET atements) and the fact that	ethod, it refers to ements which are r0 is unclear (it is	136.9.3.1.2 with e irrelevant for 163A. The s only part of the
SuggestedF	Remedy				In addi	tion while v ne	ak definition refers to 162.9	3 1 1 (which itse	If refers to 85.8.3.3.4
"This su	the subclause ubclause defines and reference va	s the parameters that quantify	y the difference	e between measured	and 85 3, whic	.8.3.3.5), the de	finition of v_f refers to 136.9 t to the actual procedure (w rences with exceptions, which	9.3.1.2 which the hich is in 85.8.3.	n refers to 85.8.3.3 step 3.5). These are parallel
	SED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. t apply to the substantive cha	inges between	IEEE P802.3ck D2.1	respon		nsmitter output steady-state " is phrased as a test pro nce parameter.		
and D2.	.0 or the unsatis	fied negative comments from e scope of the recirculation b	n the initial ball			ggested remed	y is a rewrite for clarity and TP0v.	for clarification th	at preset 0 is used and
Howeve	er, the proposed	changes are an improvemer	nt to the draft.		Suggested	Remedy			
					Chang	e the first parag	raph to the following:		
					calcula	ted from a linea	t pulse peak v_peak(meas) ır fit pulse response p(k) ob set to preset 1 (no equaliza	tained from meas	surement at TP0v with
					v_peal	(meas) is the p	eak value of p(k). v_f(meas) is defined by ec	uation (163A-x).
						{i=1}{M×Nv) p(i p(i) and M are)/M defined in 162.9.3.1.1 and N	I v is 200.	
					Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
					PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
					and D2	2.0 or the unsati	ot apply to the substantive c sfied negative comments fro ne scope of the recirculation	om the initial ball	
							d abangoo ara an improvem	and the three direction	

However, the proposed changes are an improvement to the draft.

C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.2.2	2 P 309	L 33	# 43	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.1 P 250	L 12	# 46
Ran, Adee		Cisco sv	vstems		Ran, Adee		Cisco sys	ems	
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status)	languag	e Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		AC CM noise
this sho	ould be just a def	g the method defined i inition of the difference should be in the defini	e parameter.	as a test procedure. But	high-vo correla	olume, multi-po ted to the diffe	RMS output voltage (max)" Int products. The common- rential output, e.g. from mo pected to be guite tolerant	node output may i	nclude a component the host channel. A
SuggestedF									5
Delete t	he quoted sente				a) incre	ease the allow	3ck_adhoc_20210630, the ed RMS voltage to 30 mV (3 - likely the same point - ar	as is allowed for th	e CR transmitter
	e "ERL(meas) is ement as define	the measured ERL" to d in 93A.5)".	e "ERL(meas) is the E	RL calculated from			sion in the cable assembly specification but only for th		prelated to the
Proposed R		Response Status V	v		differer in 1200	ntial signal; use 3.5.2) to calcul	the linear fitted pulse resp ate the linear fitted pulse re ine the AC common-mode	onse method (whi esponse characteri	ch is already referred to stics of the common-
C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.5	P 205	L 30	# 44	Note: 1	This comment	s only about the host outpu	It; module output is	s more controlled and
Ran, Adee		Cisco s	ystems		module	es can be desig	gned to have low mode cor	version so the cor	related component is
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status)	bucke			Modules should not be all ule output specification sho		
"Q3d" is	s formatted with	inconsistent roman/ita	lic font.		Suggested	Remedy		-	
SuggestedF	Remedy				Prefera	ably implement	option a in the comment.		
For con	sistency with cla	use 162, use italics fo	r all occurrences of C	23d.	Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
Proposed R PROPO	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status V	v		PROP	OSED REJEC	г.		
C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.5	P 205	L 31	# 45			not apply to the substantive tisfied negative comments		
	00 103.9.3.3		-	# 43	Hence	it is not within	the scope of the recirculation	on ballot.	
Ran, Adee Comment T	vpe TR	Cisco sy Comment Status	•	bucke	1 Comm	ent 134 propos	ses to increase the value to	25 mV.	
		buld be "Q(Q3d)".)	DUCKE	This co	omment propos	ses to either:		
SuggestedF	,					inge the value	to 30 mV leter to relate to only the ur	correlated noise	
00	e per comment.				There	is not sufficient	evidence that the correlate	ed noise is indeed	
Proposed R	•	Response Status V	M				CM to DM in receiver mig time than DM)	ht be non-linear or	CM might have much
	SED ACCEPT.	Response status V	v		The co		ot provide sufficient eviden	ce for either appro	ach.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	.5 P 252	L 20	# 4	7	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	3.2
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems		-		Ran, Adee		
MČB or 120G–9	120G–6 should the host under 9.	Comment Status D be edited to correctly show the test, and the locations of test	e plugging of t points, simila	the HCB into		mean? It is uncle	, b says "Spe ear why the	Comment ecification incluc module needs a is AC coupled (
Suggested	, ,		J.					ble are not reas
00		editorial license.				SuggestedRe	emedy	
Proposed F	•	Response Status W				Clarify w	hat the quot	ted sentence m
Comme figures Implem	ents 47, 69, 70, for host output, ent with editoria	IN PRINCIPLE. 60, 65, and 67 propose variou module output, host input, and al license along with the other	d module inpurelated comm	it. ents.		Proposed Re PROPOS	esponse SED ACCEF	he DC common <i>Response</i> PT IN PRINCIPI
Cl 120G Ran, Adee	SC 120G.3.2	P 253 Cisco systems	L1	# 4	-8	and D2.0) or the unsa	not apply to the atisfied negative in the scope of the
	120G–3—Modu ner similar tables ere).	Comment Status D le output characteristics (at TF s (Host output in this annex, an				The com which are DC comr whether	ment is refe e intended to mon-mode v it be a discre	erring to module o define a tolera voltage tolerance ete capacitor or d by the host in
00		e output characteristics at TP4	"			not be de	eleted. Howe	ever, this specif
Proposed F PROPC	Response DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				"a". Also, foo if retaine With edit	tnote b is in d. torial license	ne footnote for formative and the implement the " to a table note

C/ 120G	SC 1	20G.3.2	P 2	53	L 20	# 4	49	
Ran, Adee			Cisco	systems				
Comment T	ype	TR	Comment Status	D	МО	DC CM volt	tage tolera	nce
footnote	e b says	s "Specific	ation includes effect	cts of ground	offset volt	age." - what	does it	

a specification of DC common-mode voltage at all, (per 120G.1). Without AC coupling in the module, the asonable.

nean, or delete it.

on mode voltage specification.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.	

ne substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ck D2.1 ve comments from the initial ballot. the recirculation ballot.

le output "DC common-mode voltage" specifications rance for the module output to host DC bias voltage. A ce specification is required as the module output, or decoupling on the die, must tolerate the DC commonnput. This is a necessary requirement and thus should ification as written is difficult to interpret.

"DC common-mode voltage (max)" should be "b" not

thus should be converted to a table note or regular text,

e following as a minimum:

te (per style guide)

The commenter has offered to provide a presentation to address this comment further. For task force discussion.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	P 253	L 22	# 50	C/ 120G	SC 120G	.3.3.1	P 256	L 4	# 52
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems	6		Ran, Adee			Cisco system	S	
Comment Ty	pe ER	Comment Status D	МО	DC CM voltage tolerance	Comment	Туре Е	Comn	nent Status D		bucket1
		tage (max)" - assuming this	specification is	not removed, it should	It is pre	eferable to re	efer to the val	ue in table 120G-7	than to repeat it.	
	ootnote b, not f	ootnote a.			Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedR	-	and the second sector is					gnaling rate in			
•		nce from a to b.							the range spec	ified in Table 120G-7".
Proposed Re	•	Response Status W			Proposed I	•		nse Status W		
PROPOS	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			PROP	OSED ACCI	PT.			
		apply to the substantive cha			C/ 120G	SC 120G	.3.3.4.2	P 258	L 33	# 53
		fied negative comments from e scope of the recirculation b		л.	Ran, Adee			Cisco system	S	
					Comment	Туре Т	Comn	nent Status D		HI SI method
Resolve	using the respo	onse to comment #49.								tion procedure are not
CI 120G	SC 120G.3.3	P 255	L 34	# 51	defined	d. Using inap	propriately lo	w levels can result	in bad jitter mea	surement in step c.
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems	5		To ach	ieve good jit	ter measuren	nent, the initial outp	ut levels should	be as high as possible
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D	M	O AC CM noise tolerance	without	t exceeding	he differentia	I peak to peak spec	cification.	
		e the AC common mode outp			Also a	pplies in mo	dule stressed	input test, 120G.3.4	4.2.2.	
	not included in ecification.	the stressed input test, this e	expectation sho	uld be part of the host	Suggested	Remedv				
					00		ep a to use ir	iitial signal level as	high as possible	such that the
SuggestedR	-	0.7								G–9 is not exceeded.
		G–7 with parameter "AC con d on Table 120G–3.	imon-mode inp	ut voltage tolerance	Proposed I	Response	Respo	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤		
Proposed Re		Response Status W				OSED REJE				
-	SED REJECT.				The proced		ige is one of	many consideration	s that are outsic	le the scope of this test
Commer	nt #55 proposes	s a similar change to the hos				sk force disc	ussion.			
		value is not sufficient. A tes		ling some constraints on						
	force discussio	uency spectrum, PDF, etc., i	s necessary.							

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3.4	2 P 258	L 36	# 54
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems		
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status D		HI SI

The host stressed input calibration is performed with PRBS13Q and with SJ at 40 MHz (case F of table 162-16). This frequency is not coherent with the PRBS13Q cycle, so the combination of SJ and ISI can create different signal statistics depending on the alignment of the SJ cycle and the PRBS13Q cycle. This can create variability in eye metrics and may require repeated or long measurements.

If the calibration is done with an SJ whose frequency is coherent with the PRBS13Q cycle, data collection can be done with a period which has an integer number of PRBS13Q cycles and integer number of SJ cycles. This can reduce the variability of the calibration. The different frequency would not affect the test which is performed with much longer pattern anyway.

It would be preferable to use a frequency of $f_b*6/8191$ (approximately 38.915 MHz) instead of 40 MHz during calibration. This would enable more repeatable calibration if the data is collected from an integer multiple of 6 PRBS13Q cycles. The frequency difference should have little effect as the proposed frequency is still far out the reference CRU bandwidth.

Also applies to module stressed input calibration, 120G.3.4.2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change item b from "Sinusoidal jitter is applied with frequency and amplitude per case F in Table 162–16." to:

"Sinusoidal jitter is applied with a frequency of at least 38 MHz and pk-pk amplitude of 0.05 UI."

Add the following informative note after the list:

NOTE—It is recommended to use a sinusoidal jitter frequency which is coherent to the frequency of the PRBS13Q pattern, such as $f_b*6/8191$ where f_b is the signaling rate of the pattern generator (approximately 38.915 MHz) and calculate eye height and VEC from 6N full cycles of the sinusoidal jitter, where N is an integer.

Apply similar changes in 120G.3.4.2.2.

Implement with editorial license.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The proposed changes are not sufficiently justified by the comment. A coherent or synchronous pattern also prove to result in non-repeatable tests since the arbitrary relative phase of the SJ and the test pattern. For task force discussion.

Ran, Adee Comment Type TR The module should if this is not include module input speci SuggestedRemedy Add a row to Table (RMS)" and value b Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Comment #51 prop Resolve using the C/ 120G SC 120G Ran, Adee Comment Type E	d tolerate the AC ed in the stresse ification. e 120G–9 with p based on Table <i>Respons</i> ECT. poses a similar response to cor	ent Status C commor ed input te parameter 120G–1. se Status change to mment #5	AC con W the hos 1.	۸ Dutput allowed f expectation sho nmon-mode inp	uld be part of th	e e
The module should if this is not include module input speci SuggestedRemedy Add a row to Table (RMS)" and value to Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Comment #51 prop Resolve using the C/ 120G SC 120G Ran, Adee	d tolerate the AC ed in the stresse ification. e 120G–9 with p based on Table <i>Respons</i> ECT. poses a similar response to cor	C common ed input te barameter 120G–1. se Status change to mment #5	AC con W the hos 1.	output allowed f expectation sho nmon-mode inp t input.	for the host outp uld be part of th out voltage tolera	e e
if this is not include module input speci SuggestedRemedy Add a row to Table (RMS)" and value b Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Comment #51 prop Resolve using the C/ 120G SC 120G Ran, Adee	ed in the stresse ification. e 120G–9 with p based on Table <i>Respons</i> ECT. poses a similar response to cor	ed input te parameter 120G–1. se <i>Status</i> change to mment #5 P 2	st, this e "AC con W the hos 1.	expectation sho nmon-mode inp t input.	uld be part of th	e
Add a row to Table (RMS)" and value b Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Comment #51 prop Resolve using the C/ 120G SC 120G Ran, Adee	based on Table <i>Respons</i> ECT. poses a similar response to cor	2 120G–1. se Status change to mment #5 P 2	W the hos 1. 60	t input.		
(RMS)" and value b Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE Comment #51 prop Resolve using the Cl 120G SC 120G Ran, Adee	based on Table <i>Respons</i> ECT. poses a similar response to cor	2 120G–1. se Status change to mment #5 P 2	W the hos 1. 60	t input.		ance
PROPOSED REJE Comment #51 prop Resolve using the C/ 120G SC 120G Ran, Adee	ECT. poses a similar response to cor	change to mment #5 P 2	the hos 1. 60	•	# 56	
Comment #51 prop Resolve using the C/ 120G SC 120G Ran, Adee	poses a similar response to cor	mment #5 P 2	1. 60	•	# 56	
Ran, Adee				= 00		
Comment Type E			system	S		
	Comme	ent Status				bucke
It is preferable to re	efer to the value	e in table 1	20G-9 t	han to repeat it		
SuggestedRemedy						
Change "for any sig 53.125 GBd ± 100			g rate in	the range spec	ified in Table 12	20G-9".
Proposed Response	Respons	se Status	w			
PROPOSED ACCE	EPT.					

/ 162D	SC 162D.1	P 302	L 21	# 57	C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.2	Р	253	L 13	# 59
Shiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghia	si Quant	tum/Inphi	
Comment Typ	be TR	Comment Status D		MDI names	Comment	Гуре	TR	Comment Status	D		MO VEC/EH
		162D-3, and 162D-4 should			TP4 lo	ng VEC) at max lo	oss drops to 12 mV			
operate a or 25.78 (,	urrenlty what is specified are	MDIs that eithe	r operate at 10.3 GBd	Suggested	Remed	ly				
SuggestedRe					Reduc	e TP4 l	high loss V	/EO=12 mV, see g	niasi_3cł	k_01_0721	
	eplace SFP+ w	/ith SEP112			Proposed I	Respor	ise	Response Status	w		
http://sfp-					PROP	DSED	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			
••••	with SFP-DD1	12						to the module outp			ng mode, far end.
http://sfp- QSFP+ w		for reference see						on was provided by /3/ck/public/21_07			f
		h/wp-content/uploads/2021/08	5/QSFP-DD-Ha	rdware-Rev6.01.pdf	Slide 7	shows	s the EH (Ñ	/EO) going below t			
Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W					specificatio discussio	on to 13 mV.			
PROPOS	ED REJECT.					K IUICE					
This com	ment does not	t apply to the substantive cha	anges between	EEE 0802 3ck D2 1	C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.2.2	2 P	254	L 24	# 60
		fied negative comments from			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghia	si Quant	tum/Inphi	
Hence it i	is not within th	e scope of the recirculation b	allot.		Comment	Гуре	ER	Comment Status	D	tes	st setup figures (bucket1)
		sting similar changes in Anne onse to comment #64	ex 162C.					improved with rela he output of HCB	tion of m	nodule DUT, swit	ch, and there is no
	0 1		1.07	" [==	Suggested						
	SC 120G.3.1	P 250	L 25	# 58							ke it more clear that
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	ım/Inphi							п псв, апо ітрі	ove the switch figure
Comment Typ		Comment Status D		HO TT	Proposed I	•		Response Status N PRINCIPLE.	w		
		questing short mode or long r	node is for TP4						ose vario	ous changes to t	he test configuration
SuggestedRe	-				figures	for hos	st output, r	nodule output, hos	input, a	ind module input	
Please re	evert to 10 ps i	n draft D2.0, please move thi	s parameter to	TP4 table 120G-3	Implem	ent wi	th editorial	license along with	the othe	r related comme	ents.
Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W									
	SED REJECT. ment relates to	o the host output transition ti	me specified in	Table 120G-1.							
Separate	values for hos	st long and short modes were	added per D2.	1 comment #188.							
		at the host input and host out ed in the transition times cho									
Similar, W	mich is renecte			input ciossiaik							

calibration. This must also be explicitly allowed and constrained at the hout output.

	SC 120G.3.1	P 250	L 18	# 61	C/ 120G	SC 12	20G.3.2		P 253	L 12	# 62
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qu	antum/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali			Gh	iasi Quantu	um/Inphi	
Comment 1	Type TR	Comment Status D		HO EH/VEC	Comment 7	уре	TR	Comment Stat	us D		MO VEC/EF
https://v		7 rg/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr21	_21/ghiasi_3ck_ad	lhoc_01a_042121.pdf			e lowere nd host A		2 dB to 11 c	B to allow addit	ional penalty for real
https://v		rg/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun30 nt VEO/VEC at TP1a not t		hoc_01_063021.pdf	Suggestedl Reduce			3, see ghiasi_3cł	<_01_0721		
Suggestedl	Remedy				Proposed F	Response	е	Response Stati	us W		
practice measu	e channels sho rement but give educed to 8.5 m	system all 32 ports plus la uld have margin to pass no n what we know at this po V <i>Response Status</i> W	ot fail. This is an a	rea that we need more	This co The foll https:// The slice	mment p owing p www.iee le shows	pertains t resentati e802.org s that wit		by the com 7/ghiasi_30 Ic constrain	menter: ck_01_0721.pdf ts VEC fails for	the long mode, near-
'	DSED REJECT	•			from -3						ar-end be increased eed to change VEC
same ju The pre	ustification as the sentation calvi	es new values for EH and his comment. n_3ck_adhoc_01_063021 rements, but rather with th	shows that the pro	blem is not with the	and "fa	r-end" to	ssary in 1 o "long m discussio	ode".	and gDC2	, to change "nea	ar-end" to "short mode"
should	be addressed.	tion was provided by the o			C/ 162C	SC 16	62C.1		₽ 292	L 5	# 63
https://v	www.ieee802.o	rg/3/ck/public/21_07/ghias	i_3ck_01_0721.pd		Ghiasi, Ali			Gh	iasi Quantu	um/Inphi	
margina		the Lim 9" channel simula s 10 mV specification) an			Comment 7 The pin		TR r Table 1	Comment Stat 62C-3 is all mess	_		MDI pins
1 01 183		011.			Suggestedl	Remedy					
					I will ind	clude pir	n maps fo	or all the MDI con	nectors in t	the ghiasi_3ck_0	02_0721
					Proposed F PROPC	Response DSED RI		Response State	us W		
					and D2	.0 or the	unsatisf	apply to the subs ied negative com scope of the red	ments from	n the initial ballo	EEE P802.3ck D2.1 t.

C/ 162C SC 162C.1	P 290	L 20	# 64	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	3.4.2	P 259	L 16	# 66
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	m/Inphi		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	um/Inphi	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		MDI names	Comment T	ype TR	Commer	nt Status D		HI SI EH/VEO
	e updated with MDI that actua nat either operate at 10.3 GBc		3.1 GBd, currenlty what		ess input VEC and VEO can			ount for real ho	st channel and ASIC
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy				
Please replace SFP+ v	vith SFP112			Reduce	VEC=11-11.5	dB range ar	nd VEO to 12 mV	, see ghiasi_3	ck_01_0721
http://sfp-dd.com SFP-DD with SFP-DD1	112			Proposed R	esponse	Response	e Status W		
http://sfp-dd.com QSFP+ with QSFP112		i/QSFP-DD-Har	dware-Rev6.01.pdf	The follo https://v	ww.ieee802.o	ation was pro org/3/ck/publi	ovided by the com c/21_07/ghiasi_3	ck_01_0721.pd	df module output. Update
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			the host		based upon t	he resolution of the		
	t apply to the substantive cha			C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.4	4.2.1	P 261	L 18	# 67
and DO O and have the	<i>•</i> • • • • • • • •								
	sfied negative comments from		t.	Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quanti	um/Inphi	
	stied negative comments from ne scope of the recirculation b		t.	Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment T</i>	ype ER	Commei	Ghiasi Quantu nt Status D	•	est setup figures (bucket1
Hence it is not within th This is a restatement o	ne scope of the recirculation b f comment D2.0 comment #4	allot. 5 with some ad		Comment T	ype ER ire can improv			•	est setup figures (bucket1
Hence it is not within th This is a restatement o Comment #57 is reque	ne scope of the recirculation b	allot. 5 with some ad x 162D.	ditional information.	Comment T	ire can improv			•	est setup figures (bucket1 _,
Hence it is not within th This is a restatement o Comment #57 is reque	ne scope of the recirculation b of comment D2.0 comment #4 esting similar changes in Anne 1.3 normative references in 80	allot. 5 with some ad x 162D.	ditional information.	Comment T The figu SuggestedF Please - Make	rre can improv Remedy consider follow ine to either st	e ving improver tress or DUT	nt Status D ments: solid and the oth	' te	est setup figures (bucket1
Hence it is not within the This is a restatement of Comment #57 is reque MDI names align with the	ne scope of the recirculation b of comment D2.0 comment #4 esting similar changes in Anne 1.3 normative references in 80	allot. 5 with some ad x 162D.)2.3ck and the t <i>L</i> 18	ditional information. base standard.	Comment T The figu SuggestedF Please - Make - The ar	re can improv Remedy consider follow ine to either st rows in the Ho	e ving improver tress or DUT ost under test	nt Status D ments: solid and the oth t are confusing	' te	est setup figures (bucket1,
Hence it is not within the This is a restatement of Comment #57 is reque MDI names align with 2 Cl 120G SC 120G.3.3	the scope of the recirculation b of comment D2.0 comment #4 sting similar changes in Anne 1.3 normative references in 80 .4.1 P 258 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D	allot. 5 with some ad x 162D. 02.3ck and the t <i>L</i> 18 m/Inphi	ditional information. base standard.	Comment T The figu SuggestedF Please - Make - The ar Proposed R PROPC	re can improv Remedy consider follow ine to either st rows in the Hc esponse VSED ACCEPT	e ving improver tress or DUT ost under test <i>Response</i> F IN PRINCIF	nt Status D ments: solid and the oth t are confusing e Status W PLE.	ler dotted	
Hence it is not within the This is a restatement of Comment #57 is reque MDI names align with A Cl 120G SC 120G.3.3 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type ER	the scope of the recirculation b of comment D2.0 comment #4 sting similar changes in Anne 1.3 normative references in 80 .4.1 P 258 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D	allot. 5 with some ad x 162D. 02.3ck and the t <i>L</i> 18 m/Inphi	ditional information. base standard. # 65	Comment T The figu SuggestedF Please - Make - The ar Proposed R PROPC Comme	re can improv Remedy consider follow ine to either st rows in the Ho esponse DSED ACCEPT nts 47, 69, 70,	e ving improver tress or DUT ost under test <i>Response</i> F IN PRINCIF , 60, 65, and	nt Status D ments: solid and the oth t are confusing <i>e Status</i> W PLE. 67 propose vario	er dotted	the test configuration
Hence it is not within the This is a restatement of Comment #57 is reque MDI names align with 7 Cl 120G SC 120G.3.3 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type ER The figure can improve SuggestedRemedy Please consider followi - Make line to either str	he scope of the recirculation b of comment D2.0 comment #4 sting similar changes in Anne 1.3 normative references in 80 .4.1 P 258 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D	allot. 5 with some ad x 162D.)2.3ck and the t <i>L</i> 18 m/Inphi <i>tes</i>	ditional information. base standard. # 65	Comment T The figu SuggestedF Please - Make - The ar Proposed R PROPC Comme figures f	re can improv Remedy consider follow ine to either st rows in the Hc esponse OSED ACCEPT nts 47, 69, 70. for host output	e ving improver tress or DUT ost under test <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIF , 60, 65, and , module out	nt Status D ments: solid and the oth t are confusing e Status W PLE.	ter dotted us changes to nd module inpu	the test configuration
Hence it is not within the This is a restatement of Comment #57 is reque MDI names align with 7 Cl 120G SC 120G.3.3 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type ER The figure can improve SuggestedRemedy Please consider following - Make line to either str	ne scope of the recirculation b of comment D2.0 comment #4 sting similar changes in Anne 1.3 normative references in 80 .4.1 P 258 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status D of ing improvements: ress or DUT solid and the other	allot. 5 with some ad x 162D.)2.3ck and the t <i>L</i> 18 m/Inphi <i>tes</i>	ditional information. base standard. # 65	Comment T The figu SuggestedF Please - Make - The ar Proposed R PROPC Comme figures f	re can improv Remedy consider follow ine to either st rows in the Hc esponse OSED ACCEPT nts 47, 69, 70. for host output	e ving improver tress or DUT ost under test <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIF , 60, 65, and , module out	nt Status D ments: solid and the oth t are confusing <i>e Status</i> W PLE. 67 propose vario put, host input, ar	ter dotted us changes to nd module inpu	the test configuration

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.2.2 P 262 L 18 # 68	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2.2 P 254 L 23 # 70
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi	Ben Artsi, Liav Marvell Technology
Comment Type TR Comment Status D MI EH/V	C Comment Type E Comment Status D test setup figures (bucket)
Data from Ghiasi page 7 https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr21_21/ghiasi_3ck_adhoc_01a_042121.pdf and Calvin page 4 https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/jun30_21/calvin_3ck_adhoc_01_063021.pdf indicate meeting current VEO/VEC at TP1a not feasible to meet	The location of TP4 label may be misleading. One may be confused to understand TP4 is located at the connector between the HCB and MCB and one may need to de-embed to get to that point SuggestedRemedy
Suggested Remedy	Take TP4 label closer to the calibration point at the output of the MCB, or change the
This is an area that we need more measurement but given what we know at this point VE	scheme to one closer to what can be found in the OIF. In figure 120G–9 on page 258 it is clear
should be increased to 13 to 13.5 dB and VEO reduced to 8.5 mV to support Lim Channels, see ghiasi_3ck_01_0721	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comments 47, 69, 70, 60, 65, and 67 propose various changes to the test configuration
PROPOSED REJECT. Comment #61 proposes new values for EH and VEC for the host output based on the	figures for host output, module output, host input, and module input. Implement with editorial license along with the other related comments.
same justification as this comment. The following presentation was provided by the commenter:	Cl 120G SC 120G.3.3.4.2 P 259 L 4 # 71
https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_07/ghiasi_3ck_01_0721.pdf	Dudek, Mike Marvell
Resolve using the response to comment #61.	- Comment Type T Comment Status D HI SI method
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.5 P 252 L 28 # 69	The pattern generator pre-emphasis should be optimized for the host stressed input just as
Ben Artsi, Liav Marvell Technology	it is for the module stressed input.
Comment Type E Comment Status D test setup figures (bucke	
The location of TP4 label may be misleading. One may be confused to understand TP4 is located at the connector between the HCB and MCB and one may need to de-embed to	Add a sentence to the end of bullet g. "The pattern generator pre-emphasis and reference receiver settings that minimize VEC are used."
get to that point	Proposed Response Response Status W
SuggestedRemedy	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Take TP4 label closer to the calibration point at the output of the MCB, or change the scheme to one closer to what can be found in the OIF. In figure 120G–9 on page 258 it is clear	The additional text proposed in the suggested remedy is warranted. However, comment #9 suggests changes to similar text in 120G.3.4. With editorial license, implement similar text in 120G.3.3 as modified by comment 9 if it is
Proposed Response Response Status W	adopted, otherwise implement the suggested remedy. For task force discussion.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comments 47, 69, 70, 60, 65, and 67 propose various changes to the test configuration figures for host output, module output, host input, and module input. Implement with editorial license along with the other related comments.	

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3.	.4.2	P 258	L 39	# 72	C/ 163	SC 163	.9.3.5	P 204	L 50	# 74
Dudek, Mike	e		Marvell			Dudek, Mił	ke		Marvell		
Comment T	уре Е	Comme	nt Status D		HI SI method	Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status D		transition time
because	e crosstalk is ac to the reader to	Ided in step	o e and random jit		values of Jrms and J4u step g. It would be	filter in that th	the measure 40GHz 3	uremen dB ban	g the transition time in 120E t which isn't appropriate for dwidth is used. The methoc se need to be the same.	100G PAM4 ho	wever bullet k states
00	2	c "Note t	hat these are initi	al iitter values T	hey will be modified by	Suggestea	IRemedy				
the addi		k in step e	and adjustment of		step g" Add this to	120E.3	3.1.5 with t	he trans	transmitter transition time m smitter equalizer turned off."	to "is equal to	the transmitter
Impleme	DSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCI	e S <i>tatus</i> W PLE. with editorial licer	nse.		time is	measured le is correc	using	at TP0v with the transmitte the method in 120E.3.1.5 wi evmoe the effect of this mea Response Status W	th a 40GHz 3dE	3 bandwidth and the
C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.5		P 204	L 45	# 73				N PRINCIPLE. d remedy with editorial licen	se.	
Dudek, Mike			Marvell			C/ 163	SC 163		P 199	L 12	# 75
Comment T	ype TR	Comme	nt Status D		transition time			.9.2		L 12	# 15
The filte	ered Ht(f) should	be using t	he transition time	of the signal ge	nerator, however the	Dudek, Mił		_	Marvell		T) (
used for	r all Tx measure	ements. Al		ed as to how the	40GHz 3dB bandwidth signal is measured at		ek_3ck_01	_0521	Comment Status D it was shown that with larger the transmitter specification		
SuggestedF	Remedy								hannel specifications. This		
the trans	smitter output" 1	to "where T	r is the same as t	the measured tra	ion time of the signal at ansition time of the	of ERL	_ specificat	ions to	1. In Li_3ck_adhoc_01_063 fail these bad transmitters we paramters that give 3.0dB C0	vould also fail tr	ansmitters with varying

the transmitter output" to "where Tr is the same as the measured transition time of the signal at the transmitter output corrected for the measurement bandwidth. The transition time is measured using the method in 120E.3.1.5 with a 40GHz 3dB bandwidth and the risetime is corrected to remove the effect of this measurement bandwidth.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an extra Tx specification "Residual ISI (max) value 0.027". Defined as the value of Sigma_e/Vpeak where sigma_e and Vpeak are as defined in 162.9.3.3 except that Np=11 is used instead of Np=29.

Tx parameter is needed to fail the high Cp Tx's while still passing the Tx's with variable

Proposed Response Response Status W

Rd. A presentation will be made in support of this comment.

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ck D2.1 and D2.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the initial ballot. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

Resolve using the response to comment #76. [Editor's note: CC: 163, 120F]

C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 232	L 32	# 76	C/ 162 S
Dudek, Mik	e	Marvell			Dudek, Mike
Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status D		TX residual ISI	Comment Type
howeve residua the sys Suggested Add an Sigma_	er chip to chip ref II ISI beyond the tem. Remedy extra Tx specific	neasured using the method erence receiver is only a 6 t length of the DFE will pass cation "Residual ISI (max) va sigma_e and Vpeak are as	tap DFE. Transı this Tx specifica alue 0.027". Def	mitters with significant tion and will not work in fined as the value of	The measu would take test syster bandwidth as it is "oth ambiguity approxima we should
	•				SuggestedRen
-	OSED REJECT.	Response Status W			Add a sen with 40 GF
adding For tas	the new residual k force discussio	o reduce the value of Np fro ISI parameter is justified. n. on was provided by the com	·		Proposed Res PROPOSE
https://	www.ieee802.org	/3/ck/public/21_07/dudek_3			C/ 162 S
[Editor'	s note: CC: 163,	120F]			Dudek, Mike
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 200	L 21	# 77	Comment Type
Dudek, Mik	e	Marvell			As was po
Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		table footnote (bucket1)	specificatio
		5 just duplicates the information	ation in the shor	t section that this	issue up to
	e refers to.				SuggestedRen
Suggested	Remeay the footnote.				Change th
					Proposed Res
-	SED ACCEPT. e footnote d.	Response Status W			PROPOSE The comm 1.8 1.4+0.1*f The comm

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.3	P 167	L 31	# 78
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		SNDR test response

surement method for SNDR in 120D.3.1.6 uses a 33MHz filter bandwidth, which ke precedence over the statement that for Transmitter electrical characteristics "A em with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson low-pass response with 40 GHz 3 dB th is to be used for all transmitter signal measurements, unless otherwise specified otherwise specified". This was probably not intended and there is potential y here that should be removed. However as the Rx is only expected to have ately the Nyquist bandwidth measuring SNDR to 40GHz may be excessive and ld consider using a narrower bandwidth.

emedy

ntence. A test system with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson low-pass response Hz 3 dB bandwidth should be used.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.			

C/ 162	SC 162.11.6	P 181	L 38	# 79
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		CA RLcc

pointed out in the unsatisfied comment # 177 against draft 2.0 the existing tion for common mode return loss limit effectively doesn't exist once the test ss exceeds 0.9dB. The rejection however had a valid point that there is a potential to 4GHz where the loss is low.

emedy

the limit to 1.8dB from 0 to 4GHz, 2.2-0.1*f from 4GHz to 40GHz.

esponse Response Status W

SED REJECT.

menter provided the following update to the suggested remedy.

0.5</= f(GHz) </= 4 GHz

4< f(GHz) </= 40 GHz

ment and updated suggested remedy does not provide sufficient justification to he change to the draft.

C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2	.a P 110	L 48	# 80	C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.2	P 171	L 12	# 84
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Wu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc.		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket1	Comment Type TR Com	ment Status D		bucket1
120.5.7 should be a hot	link			The peak-to-peak differential or of "footnote a".	utput voltage is define	d in Table 162-	10 footnote b, instead
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
fix it	D			Change "Table 162-10 footnote	a" to "Table 162-10 f	ootnote b".	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed Response Resp	onse Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN			
C/ 162 SC 162.11.7.1	P 184	L7	# 81		a tha a chatantina aha		
Judek, Mike	Marvell			This comment does not apply t and D2.0 or the unsatisfied neg		0	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket1	Hence it is not within the scope			
93A.1.2.3, Equation 93A	A-13, 93A-14 and Table 162-1	9 should be ho	ot links or green text.	However, the proposed change	is an improvement to	the draft.	
SuggestedRemedy fix them				Implement the suggested reme	edy.		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.4.2	P 175	L 18	# 85
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Wu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc.		
	P 149		# 00	Comment Type E Com	ment Status D		bucket1
C/ 162 SC 162.1		L 15	# 82	The reference here is missed ir	n D2.1. It's (see 162.9	.4.3.4 in D2.0).	No comments were
Vu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc.			accepted to change this in D2.0).		
Comment Type E The hyperlink of "Figure	Comment Status D 162-1" is not correct. It is line	ked to Table 16	bucket1 2-1.	SuggestedRemedy Change "(see)" to "(see 162.9.	4.3.4)"		
SuggestedRemedy					onse Status W		
Correct the hyperlink of	"Figure 162-1".			PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Reference to 162.9.4.3.4 is not	helpful since that sub		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				sinusoidal jitter. Given that the including sinusoidal jitter this re	•		ribes the test setup
C/ 162 SC 162.9.3	P 162	L 12	# 83	Delete "(see)".			
Vu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc.			C/ 162 SC 162.11.7.1	P 184	L 8	# 86
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket1	Wu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc.		
There is no "hyperlink" t	o 162A.2.				ment Status D		bucket1
				There is no "hyperlink" to Table	9 162-19.		
SuggestedRemedy	shall be added in the contor	ce "The transm	itter characteristics at	SuggestedRemedy Add hyperlink to Table 162-19			
The hyperlink ot 162A.2 TP0 are provided inform							
The hyperlink ot 162A.2					onse Status W		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

	D a a a	1.00					
C/ 163 SC 163.10	P 206	L 38	# 87	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.			# 90
Wu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc.			Wu, Mau-Lin	Media	Tek Inc.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		bucket1	Comment Type TR	Comment Status	D	bucket1
Maximum AC-coupling 163.10.7	g 3 dB corner frequency shall be	e 50 kHz, inste	ad of 50 Hz, based on		talk differential peak-to e included here as othe		which is without unit.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change the "Unit" in T	able 163-10 from "Hz" to "kHz"			Change '870' to '870	mV'		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status T.	w	
C/ 163 SC 163.10	P 206	L 40	# 88	C/ 163A SC 163A.3.	1.1 <i>P</i> 30	07 L 33	# 91
Wu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc.			Wu, Mau-Lin	Media	Tek Inc.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		bucket1	Comment Type E	Comment Status	D	language
The note "a" here is sp Clause SuggestedRemedy	pecific for Cable assembly and	shall be remov	red, due to this is KR	of symbols to include		age calculation" to "rep	represents the number presents the number of
Remove note a				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	-				ents the number of sym sents the number of syr		steady-state voltage ne steady-state voltage
	reated in D2.1 the referenced for nt to include the provision in this		cidentally included.	Proposed Response	Response Status	w	
Delete table footnote a		s loothote.		PROPOSED REJEC	Г.		
				The suggested remed	ly does not add clarity	to the existing wording.	
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3		L 31	# 89				
Wu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc.						
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket1				
"host reference chann better to align with oth	el" here means "reference host er places.	channel" in ot	her places. It would be				
SuggestedRemedy							
Change "host reference	ce channel" to "reference host c	hannel"					
Proposed Response	Response Status W						
PROPOSED ACCEPT							

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	P 163	L 18	# 92
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Tv	pe TR	Comment Status D		host/CA IL

The draft CR loss budget wastes over 3 dB in nearly every case. The relative range of host losses, 6.875/2.3 = 3:1, is too small for switch layout yet not needed for NICs.

The recommendation for the host traces plus BGA footprint and host connector footprint, 6.875 dB, compares very poorly with C2M's host insertion loss up to 11.9 dB, making passive copper to this draft expensive and unattractive for a switch, yet a full range of NICs can be made with only 3.75 dB. Server-switch links are asymmetric in form factor (e.g. QSFP-DD to 2 x QSFP) and will get made with an asymmetric loss budget, so it would be better for the standard to regularise what will happen anyway. C2M already has short and long ports.

This change would also benefit CR switch-switch links because the shortest ports would get credit for their low loss.

The symmetric budget is used for some designs under way and may be useful in future for LOM, so it is kept here, and the better way added.

SuggestedRemedy

3 classes of CR ports, host loss allocations of A 10, B 6.875, C 3.75 dB. B is as D2.1. A connects to C, B to B or C, C to A, B or C.

Use 2 bits in Clause 73 Auto-Negotiation Link codeword Base Page to advertise A, B or C to the other end. In the Priority Resolution function, an A port ignores a 100G/lane

Technology Ability Field bit from an A or B port, a B port ignores a 100G/lane Technology Ability Field bit from an A port.

In Table 162-10, add limits A and C for linear fit pulse peak ratio (min). Change text in 162.9.3.1.2 to refer to the table.

In Table 162-14, add columns for Test 2 (high loss), A and C, with test channel insertion loss: A: 6.875-3.75 = 3.125 dB lower (20.5 dB to 21.5 dB), and C: 10-6.875 = 3.125 dB higher (26.75 dB to 27.75 dB). No change needed for Test 1.

In 162A.4, add equations for IL_PCBmax and ILHostMax A and B and show them in Fig 162A-1 and 2. In 162A.5, add Value columns A, C in Table 162A-1 (ILChmin and ILMaxHost differ). Adjust figures 162A-3 and 4.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

D2.0 straw polls #6 and #7 indicated interest in exploring multiple CR port types. However, consensus is needed to make a change of this magnitude. For task force discussion. Resolve in conjunction with comment #93.

C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 177	L 29	# 93
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		host/CA IL

The poor max cable loss makes CR unattractive, while all NICs and some ports on any switch have host loss going to waste. Enabling longer cables on a minority of links is needed.

In the remedy, each host knows the other host's loss class through AN and the cable's loss class from its I2C compliance code, so the situation is just like any other CR scenario, no extra management features needed in the spec for the long cable class.

SuggestedRemedy

2 classes of cable, which could be called "short" (19.75 dB, as today) and "long", 19.75+2*(6.875-3.75) = 19.75+6.25 = 26 dB max (achievable cable length 3 m). Long cables connect port types C (see another comment) at both ends, short cables connect a valid combination of A, B, C.

In 162.11.2, cable assembly insertion loss, change text to refer to Table 162-17. In 162.11.7.1.1, add zp = 30.7 mm for the "short" cable. In Table 162A-1, add a column for the A-short-A scenario (ILCamax differs).

Illustrate in Figure 162A-4.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The suggested remedy is predicated on the adoption of Comment #92 to the draft. For committee discussion. Resolve in conjunction with comment #92.

[Editor's note: CC: 162, 162A]

Cl 162	SC 162.11.6	6 <i>P</i> 181	L 38	# 94
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	tType TR	Comment Status D		CA RLcc
justifi		very loose CM RL spec fro bec becomes useless at th GHz.		
Suggeste	dRemedy			
Use a 162.9		endent mask e.g. 1.6 + 0.0	1f. Similarly for Tx,	Table 162-11,
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W		
insuff The b was g Https The c	icient evidence t basis for the chai given in the follow ://www.ieee802.0	gested remedy. D2.0 com to make the change. nge to the cable assembly wing presentation. org/3/ck/public/21_01/char ggested remedy does not o the draft.	CM-to-CM RL spec	from 2 dB to 1.8 dB 21.pdf
C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	7 P 183	L 39	# 95
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	tType TR	Comment Status D		COM bbgmax
make corre receiv	e sense that taps ctly, the example ver limits not har	coefficient minimum limit k 13 to 40 could be worse, e channels we have don't r d cable or channel limits a o the COM another way, e.	-0.05. If I have undeneed this. (Remembry a cable or cl	erstood the data per, these are reference hannel can go beyond a
Suggeste	dRemedy			
Chan	ge bgmax 0.05 t	o bbgmax 0.05, bbgmax -(0.03. Also in 163.	
	Response	Response Status W		
and D	02.0 or the unsat	ot apply to the substantive tisfied negative comments the scope of the recirculat	from the initial ballo	
coeffi https: The c	cient values of < //www.ieee802.c	org/3/ck/public/19_09/heck ot provide an assessment	_3ck_01_0919.pdf	

[Editor's note: CC: 162, 163]

C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 183	L 40	# 96
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment T	pe TR	Comment Status D		COM DFE RSS

The spec allows a cable (not even the whole channel) to have its COM calculated with 9 taps in the range 13 to 24 clipped at +/-0.05 - which means that the channel's pulse response could be worse than +/-0.05 for all these 9 taps. That's a very bad cable! and not likely to get made: there won't be that many reflections in the same area. (Remember, these are reference receiver limits not hard cable limits anyway; a cable can go beyond a tap limit if it makes up the COM another way, e.g. with acceptable crosstalk.) We don't need to provide all the receiver power and complexity to cope with unreasonably bad cables.

SuggestedRemedy

Use another DFE root-sum-of-squares limit for positions 13-24. Similarly in 163, but as 163 specifies the complete channel while 162 uses clean synthetic host traces, the limit should be higher.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ck D2.1 and D2.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the initial ballot. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The suggested remedy is not complete.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	P 253	L 11	# 97
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Tv	pe TR	Comment Status D		MO VEC/EH

The driver swing has to be aggressively reduced from 600 mV pk-pk to deliver only 15 mV at near end, short mode. 120E has 70 mV, and D1.4 had 24 mV,

ghiasi_3ck_adhoc_01a_042121 shows 35 mV (before Vpkpk was reduced). Yet a host can usefully optimise for e.g. different crosstalk or noise if given a reasonable signal strength. A NIC has no high-loss ports so it can do this even if a switch won't. There is room to increase this weak signal without overloading the receiver. Also, making the limits more like reality encourages more consistent module setup across the industry.

SuggestedRemedy

Increase the eye height, short mode near end, by 1.1 dB from 15 mV to 17 mV

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment pertains to the module output eye height (min) for short mode, near end. Although the differential peak to peak voltage was reduced in D1.1, the short mode EH was not reduced accordingly.

The comment does not provide sufficient evidence that the proposed change is necessary. For task force discussion.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 97

Page 25 of 39 2021-07-14 4:47:11 PM

Cl 120G	SC 1	20G.3.2		P 253	L	11	# 9	8	C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.1.	2	P 251	L 41	# 100	
Dawe, Piers	6			Nvidia					Dawe, Piers	6			Nvidia			
Comment T	уре	TR	Comment	Status D				MO VEC/EH	Comment 7	уре	TR	Commen	t Status D			ERL Tfx
at near limited range fr SuggestedF Increas Proposed F	end an by the I rom nea Remedy e the e Respons	d the impl NE VEC s ar to far. I ⁄ ye height, se	he same at lementer is e pec, while we EH is natural long mode n <i>Response</i> S	ncouraged e want mo ly larger at hear end, b	d to optimise idules to be t NE for a w by 3 dB fron	e for far end set up consi ell set up ou	or beyon istently, f ıtput.	nd, only	is defin SFP+ r DD are much g connec should HCB tra	ed by nay be challe reater tor bo be wir ansit ti	its loss not e construct enged by fa r delay than ody, several ndowed out ime is know	t its transit ti ed from PCI anout and m n a PCB. Th I inches fron t just like the wn, just as it	me. While HCE B, those for com ay use cabled c ne discontinuity n the coax conne coax connecto s loss is, so we	s for connectors nectors with mar onstruction with at cable-PCB int ector and near th r itself, it's not pa can use that in t	able because the s with few lanes s ny lanes such as the same loss a erface which is in the module conne art of the DUT. The windowing. No it's	such as QSFP- nd n the ector, The Notice
		REJECT.	o the module		ve height (m	in) for long r	mode ne	ar end	there.							
			provide suffic						Suggested	Reme	dy					
For tasl	k force	discussio	n.												ector and the test	
C/ 162	SC 1	62.9.3		P 163	L	15	# 9	9							s the cabled HCI n up to 16 coax-I	
Dawe, Piers	6			Nvidia									in 162.9.3.5 (HC			
Comment T	уре	Е	Comment	Status D				bucket1	маке s Proposed F		•		3 and 162.11.3 (MCB).		
			lished a cons	sistent way	/ of naming	these return	n losses, l	let's make it	,	'	REJECT.	Response	Status W			
		eader to f	ind them.						Discus	sion o	n this topic	required.				
Suggested Please	,		dc" and so or	n in the tab	ole rows as	we do for EF	RL, VEC,	vf and	For tas [Editor'		eview. e: CC: 1200	G, 162]				
others,	through	nout the d	raft. Also in	running te	xt such as 1	62.9.3.6. S	imilarly R	Rpeak.	C/ 162	50	162.9.3		P 163	L 20	# 101	
Proposed R	,		Response S	Status W					Dawe, Piers		102.3.3		Nvidia	L 20	# 101	
PROPC	DSED A	CCEPT.							Comment 7		т	Common	t Status D			units
										ts for				ader knows whic	h of V/V, W/W o	
									Suggested	Reme	dy					
									Change	e the le	ong dash te	o V/V. This	may be desirab	e for some othe	r ratios also, and	l in 163.
									Proposed F	Respoi	nse	Response	Status W			
									-	-		•				

PROPOSED REJECT.

[Editor's note: CC: 162, 163]

Comment ID 101

The suggested remedy does not add clarity to the existing specification.

C/ 120G S	C 120G.3.2.	2.1 <i>P</i> 254	L 51	# 102	Cl 120G	SC	120G.5.2	P 265	L 25	# 104
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	S		Nvidia		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D	NO S	I host reference channel	Comment	Гуре	TR	Comment Status D		RR gdd
implemente similarly. A	er has little ch s short is ea	nd should be placed far eno noice what emphasis to use sier than long, this means t	e, so that all mod hat far minus ne	ules are set up ar (mm or dB) for short	less th	an to T	P1a, the ra	or TP4 far-end is known exa ange of gDC, gDC2 combina lieve the strongest gDC and	ations should be	e a subset of the TP1a
		uch as far minus near for lo al reference host channel, t			Suggested	Remec	dy			
short and lo both these	ong to give th criteria, D2.1	ie host room for its impleme 's 133 mm doesn't.			depend	d on gE	DC2 in the	er, DC gain for TP4 far-end (same style as for TP1a, with ved values should be a subs	the strongest	gDC and gDC2 adding
SuggestedRem		inge 80 to 90			Proposed I	Respor	nse	Response Status W		
	ed changes. # 103	This co basis co is prov The co	ommen of insuf ided. mmen	ficient justi t does not	tement of D2.0 comment #1 fication and detail. No furthe provide sufficient justification rovide sufficient detail to im	r justification or	r implementation detail			
Dawe, Piers	C 120G.5.2	<i>P</i> 265 Nvidia	<i>L</i> 16	# 103	C/ 120G		120G.5.2	P 265	L 12	# 105
Comment Type		Comment Status D	and for the stand	RR gdc	Dawe, Pier		120G.5.2	P 205 Nvidia	L 12	# 105
	-	gDC2 should not be the sa	me for short and	long output modes.	Comment	Гуре	TR	Comment Status D		RR gdd
SuggestedRem Create sepa style of TP1	arate limits fo	or TP4 short and long outpu	ut modes, so 4 se	ets for TP4+, in the				low no more than -(-12-2) = B, yet the channel loss shou		
Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status W			Suggested	Remed	dy			
	ent is a resta	tement of D2.0 comment #						12 -13 to -12 -11 -10 or -12 - 2 categories is the same).	12 -11 (so the s	strongest CTLE peaking
		fication and detail. It adds r			Proposed I	Respor	nse	Response Status W		

basis of insufficient justification and detail. It adds request to provide 4 sets of values in the style used for TP1a but does not provide specific values. No further justification is provided. The comment does not provide sufficient justification for the proposed changes nor does the suggested remedy provide sufficient detail to implement.

PROPOSED REJECT.

The comment does not provide sufficient justification for the proposed changes.

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 266	L 23	# 106
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		EO method

This draft has a primitive rectangular eye mask spec with mask height = max(EHmin, EA/VECmax) and mask width = 0.1 UI, although it is described as a histogram. Measuring a diamond eye with a rectangular mask is an inefficient, inaccurate way of measuring signal quality and provides weak and uncertain protection against too much jitter. Its effective width is less than its actual because of the 1e-5 probability criterion and the inefficient shape.

De-weighting the sides of the histogram/mask would make this worse, equivalent to increasing the target BER by 10x or so. A higher VEC / smaller EH limit with the rectangular mask would allow more jittered and more varied signals, particularly for very short host channels (see Mike Dudek's work) that can have faster edges than higher loss ones. The target BER is not going to change.

We need an eye mask that's more eye shaped, so that a higher proportion of the samples are near the boundary and contribute to the measurement.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from a 4-cornered mask with corners at t = ts+/-0.05, V = y +/-H/2 to a 10-cornered mask with corners at t = ts+/-0.05, ts+/-1/16, ts+/-3/32, V = y +/-H/2, k +/-H*0.4, y. y is near VCmid, VCupp or VClow (vertically floating, as in D2.1).

H is max(EHmin, Eye Amplitude * 10^(-VECmax/20)). Eye Amplitude is AVupp, AVmid or AVlow, as in D2.1.

This simple scalable method can remain as the EH and VEC limits are revised. Scopes have been measuring with 10-sided masks for many years, it's not more difficult than a rectangular mask and gives better results.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment is a restatement of D2.0 comment #127, which was REJECT.ed on the basis of insufficient justification and insufficient analysis to show equivalent or better interoperability. No further justification or implementation detail is provided.

The comment does not provide sufficient evidence to make the proposed changes. All of the simulations and related specifications thus far have been based upon the current CTLE pole-zero and gain parameters. Any changes to these parameters would require all related specifications to be revisited.

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.1.2	P 166	L 5	# 107
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		vf value

Redundantly stating normative requirements is bad practice. Table 162-10 is normative.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The steady-state voltage shall be greater than or equal to 0.387 V and less than or equal to 0.6 V" to "The steady-state voltage shall be within the limits given in Table 162-10", "meet the requirements specified in Table 162-10", or similar.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "The steady-state voltage shall be greater than or equal to 0.387 V and less than or equal to 0.6 V" to "The steady-state voltage shall meet the requirements specified in Table 162-10"

C/ 162A	SC 162A.4	P 273	L 40	# 108
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Typ	be T	Comment Status D		host PCB IL

The recommended minimum insertion loss allocation for the transmitter or receiver differential controlled impedance PCBs, 2.3 dB, has been set the same as the 2.3 dB MCB PCB IL without evidence as to what happens with less loss. 2.3 dB is 1/3 of the maximum host trace loss (6.875 dB) which is too small a ratio to lay out a switch PCB. 92A.4 and 136A.4 use a ratio of 1/5.8 which allows more flexibility in host layout than 1/3 does. 120G has host insertion loss up to 11.9 dB (11.9/2.3 = 5.2/1, which is OK. If it wasn't wanted, the C2M max loss would not have been increased as it was).

SuggestedRemedy

Reduce the recommended minimum insertion loss allocation for the CR transmitter or receiver differential controlled impedance PCBs to whatever is justified. If the reasonable limit is a strong function of host package reflection, state whether the recommendation is for a "nominal worst" package, or what. If there is no justification, remove the recommendation.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

See comment response #180 D2.0 Slides 4 and 5 of the following presentation were reviewed by the task force:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr28_21/dawe_3ck_adhoc_01_042821.pdf Slide 3 of the following presentation were reviewed by the task force:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_05/diminico_3ck_04b_0521.pdf

The IL pcb min and max are derived on the basis of PCB material IL and via IL . The PCB IL assumed is 1.24 dB/in and via of 0.68 dB @26.56 GHz. With consideration for maintaining reasonable minimum length while allowing loss between TX and connector. Ilpcb(min)=(0.76 in*1.24 dB/in)+(2*0.68) dB = ~ 2.3 dB.

The MCB PCB IL is the same to emulate min host IL.

Lower loss hosts can also create poor performance due to reflections see the following presenation: $https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_01/dudek_3ck_01_0120.pdf$

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.4	P 167	L 47	# 109
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		EOJ method

Allowing 4 different ways to measure the same thing, admitting that they will give different results yet not ranking them, is too indecisive, and forces people to do all four tests in borderline cases. Worse, "lower than 4 MHz" is open-ended and introduces yet more uncertainty.

SuggestedRemedy

Pick one pattern and CRU corner as definitive, the others can be "if it passes/fails this it would have passed/failed".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The suggested remedy is not sufficiently complete to implement.

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 199	L 46	# 110
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia		
Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status D		TX RLcc

2 dB RLcc is very weak. We have such a lenient spec in C2M and CR because that's what front-panel connectors do; here, there is no connector in the DUT.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to 3 +0.01f dB or whatever is reasonable for an IC and package. The 0.01 can be expressed as a fraction of test fixture loss.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment does not provide sufficient evidence to justify the proposed RLcc limit. For task force discussion.

	SC 162.9.4	P 170	L 29	# 111	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.3	3.3 P	173	L 38	# 113
awe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	s	Nvic	lia		
Comment Typ	De E	Comment Status D		TP5 specifications	Comment	Гуре Е	Comment Statu	s D		broadband noise
The receiv 162A.3 sa		s at TP5 are provided infor	matively in 162	A.3: that's not what		_bn is the RMS t call it that.	broadband noise a	nplitude" me	eans nothing b	because the text
SuggestedRe	medy				Suggested	Remedy				
		iver specifications at TP5 a			Add "R	MS broadband	noise amplitude" to	the text whe	∍re sigma_bn i	is mentioned (step g).
		t TP5, to Recommended r	eceiver characte	ristics at TP5.	Proposed I	Response	Response Status	3 W		
roposed Res		Response Status W			PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
	ED ACCEPT IN		nnrouan ant to t	ha draft Llawayar if	Implen	nent the sugges	ted remedy with edi	torial license	÷.	
		wording in 162.9.4 is an in similar text in 162.9.3 Tran			C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.3	8. 4 P	174	L 8	# 114
	ecessary to upda	ate the title for subclauses	162A.2 and 162	A.3 since Annex 162A	Dawe, Pier	s	Nvic	lia		
is informa	tive and the text	introduces the specification			Comment	Type TR	Comment Statu	s D		bucket1
In 162.9.3 change "T		haracteristics at TP0 are p	provided informa	tively in 162A 2 "	These	equations for sp	ectral density mask	are too obs	scure.	
to "Recon	nmended transm	nitter specifications are pro			Suggested	Remedy				
In 162.9.4		cifications at TP5 are provi	idad informativa	huin 1604 2 "	Add a	graph				
		er specifications are provid		IY III 102A.3.	Proposed I	Response	Response Status	s W		
	note: CC: 162, 16				-		IN PRINCIPLE.			
C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.3.3	P 173	L 25	# 112	Implen	nent the sugges	ted remedy with edi	torial license	÷.	
awe, Piers		Nvidia			C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.6	; Р	176	L 11	# 115
Comment Typ	De TR	Comment Status D		bucket1	Dawe, Pier	s	Nvid	lia		
on ment Typ								_		
fhp is not	defined.				Comment	Type ER	Comment Statu	s D		RLdc/RLcd graphs
fhp is not						Type ER vaste the reader		s D		RLdc/RLcd graphs
fhp is not	medy					vaste the reader		s D		RLdc/RLcd graphs
fhp is not SuggestedRe Define fhp Proposed Res	medy o sponse	Response Status W			Don't v <i>Suggested</i> Combi	vaste the reader <i>Remedy</i> ne the graphs fo	's time.		differential ret	RLdc/RLcd graphs
fhp is not uggestedRe Define fhp roposed Res PROPOS	medy o sponse f ED ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.	se.		Don't v <i>Suggested</i> Combi	vaste the reader Remedy ne the graphs fo ntial to common	's time. or Transmitter comm	non mode to	differential ret	
fhp is not uggestedRe Define fhp roposed Res PROPOS	medy o sponse f ED ACCEPT IN	•	se.		Don't v Suggested Combi differer Proposed I	vaste the reader Remedy ne the graphs fo ntial to common	's time. or Transmitter comm -mode return loss. <i>Response Status</i>	non mode to	differential ret	
fhp is not uggestedRe Define fhp roposed Res PROPOS	medy o sponse f ED ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.	ise.		Don't v Suggested Combi differer Proposed I PROP This co and D2	vaste the reader Remedy ne the graphs fontial to common Response DSED REJECT omment does no 2.0 or the unsatis	's time. or Transmitter comm -mode return loss. <i>Response Status</i>	non mode to s W antive chang nents from th	ges between II he initial ballot	turn loss and Receiver EEE P802.3ck D2.1

C/ 162 SC 162.11.5		L 2	# 116		120G.3. 1		L 13	# 119
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		IL terminology	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		pattern tabl
	ure we chose last round.					veral test patterns like an opt tern number, which this draft		
SuggestedRemedy				for definition	U 1	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,
u –	oss(f) to ILcd(f), in 4 places			SuggestedRem	ədy			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	-					est patterns, leaving out the annex to reduce clutter end r		pply. Refer to the table
Resolve using the res	ponse to comment #14.			Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status W		
C/ 163 SC 163.9.2.	1.3 <i>P</i> 201	L 27	# 117	PROPOSEI	D REJECT			
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			This comme	ent does no	ot apply to the substantive ch	anges between I	EEE P802.3ck D2.1
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		TF RLcc			sfied negative comments fro		t.
	mode to common-mode return			Hence it is i	not within t	ne scope of the recirculation	ballot.	
	tor! And needs to be signification	ntly better than t	he spec for the IC+IF.			e 167-10 appears to be an er	ror. Presumably a	a table like Table 124-9
SuggestedRemedy				in 802.3-20		tent. proposed table with pattern n	umboro will impre	we the droft all things
Change 2 to somethir	ng sensible			considered.		noposed lable with pattern in		ove the drait an things
Proposed Response	Response Status W					ome clutter for cases where		
PROPOSED REJECT	Γ.					t not in cases where a single er to list the pattern names;		
and D2.0 or the unsat	ot apply to the substantive cha isfied negative comments fron the scope of the recirculation b	n the initial ballo		memorize t	ne relations	ship between pattern number oup better with the test equip	s and the pattern	
This comment does n	ot provide sufficient details for	implementation						
C/ 93A SC 93A.1.6	P 225	L 15	# 118					
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia							
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		b(n) equation					
The equation for b(n)	is clumsy and hard to follow							
SuggestedRemedy								
b(n) = min(max(h,	bbmin(n)), bbmax(n))							
Proposed Response	Response Status W							
PROPOSED REJECT	•							

							-	-			
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	.5 P 252	L 16	# 120	C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.3.4	4 F	256	L 50	# 122
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	6		Nvi	dia		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		test system response	Comment T	уре	TR	Comment Statu	is D		HI/MI SI method
		eference receiver" which occu reference receiver response						this section, we m rement to test, on			. 802.3 is not a test
Suggested	lRemedy				Suggested	Remed	ly				
		hrough the Bessel-Thomson 20G.5.2" or similar. Several		G.3.1 in place of the	120G.3	.3.4.1	which is ca	alibrated as descr	ibed in 12	20G.3.3.4.2, and	setup described in the test procedure in
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			120G.3.3.4.3." to "The host stressed input tolerance is defined by the test procedure in 120G.3.3.4.3 using the test setup described in 120G.3.3.4.1, which is calibrated as described in 120G.3.3.4.2." Similarly in 120G.3.4.2 Module stressed input test.						
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.									
This of	ommont doos no	ot apply to the substantive ch	angos hotwoon l	EEE D802 20k D2 1	Proposed R	Respon	ise	Response Statu	s W		
This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ck D2.1 and D2.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the initial ballot. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. There could be some misinterpretation since the reference receiver as defined in 120G.5.2 includes the effect of the test equipement filter. Also, since the response is prescriptive, it should not be in parentheses. On page 252, line 16 Change: "calibrated at TP4 (without the use of a reference receiver)" To: "calibrated at TP4 using a test system with a response as defined in 120G.3.1 rather than the reference receiver of 120G.5.2" Apply similarly at page/line: 254/12, 258/43, and 262/10. Implement with editorial license.						 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The intent of the suggested remedy is an improvement to the quality of the draft. Hower for consistency in the draft the language should be consistent with other clauses. Use similar clause 162.9.4.2 as a template. Change: "The host stressed input tolerance is tested using the test setup described in 120G.3.3.4.1 which is calibrated as described in 120G.3.3.4.2, and the test procedure i 120G.3.3.4.3." To: "Host stressed input tolerance is measured according to the procedure described ir 120G.3.3.4.1 through 120G.3.3.4.3." Update 120G.3.4.2 Module stressed input test in a similar way. 					other clauses. Use setup described in the test procedure in
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	P 250	L 12	# 121							
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia									
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		AC CM noise							
As dis the sig	cussed, AC com nalling rate of 12	mon-mode output voltage (m 20E with the same connector	nax) 17.5 mV isn s and layout ske	't reasonable at double w.							
Suggested	lRemedy										
Increa	se to 25 mV, bot	th host and module output.									
Proposed	Response	Response Status W									
PROP	OSED REJECT.										
and D	2.0 or the unsatis	ot apply to the substantive ch sfied negative comments from ne scope of the recirculation	n the initial ballo								
Resolv	ve using the resp	oonse to comment #46.									

C/ 163	SC 163.9.3	P 163	L 10	# 123	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	3.4.1	P 257	L 21	# 125
/lellitz, Rich	ard	Samtec			Dawe, Piers	6		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status D		AC CM noise	Comment 1	Туре т	Commen	t Status D		LATE
to a PRE are inclu	BS13Q with me	AC common-mode RMS volt thod described in 93.8.1.3. T tial measurements like SNDF herent part if AC CM is doubl	he problem is the problem is the problem is the height the second s	nat coherent CM signal	below t some p	he upper frequ attern generat	ency limit of t		rator external mo	z. This value is kept odulator input." because
SuggestedR			o obulitou.		Suggested					
Add note	e to line 10 (vcr	mi) indicating that the CM mo e measurement.	de measureme	nt is only for the non-	and use	ers if this is stil	l a problem, a		er a tactic such a	n the PG companies as relying on the PG's
This app	olies to Tables	163-5, 120F-1, 120G-1, and ²	20G-3		Proposed F	•		Status W		
Proposed Re		Response Status W				DSED REJEC mment was re		ne ballot closed.		
FROFO	SED REJECT.				C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	3.4.1	P 257	L 31	# 126
		t apply to the substantive cha			Dawe, Piers	6		Nvidia		
		fied negative comments from the scope of the recirculation b		u.	Comment 7	уре т	Commen	t Status D		LATE
f . h					short of	long mode fa	r-end			
	clause/subclau	provide sufficient evidence t	o support the p	roposed change.	Suggestedl	Remedy				
The follo	owing presentat	tion was provided by the com	menter for revie	ew:	short of	long mode fa	r-end test or lo	ong mode near-e	end test	
		g/3/ck/public/21_07/mellitz_3 with comment #46.	ck_01_0721.pd	t.	Proposed F	Response	Response	e Status W		
	note: CC: 163,					SED REJECT				
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3	.4.1 <i>P</i> 257	L11	# 124	This co	mment was re	ceived after th	ne ballot closed.		
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		124						
Comment Ty		Comment Status D		LATE						
Before li generato	isting the impai	rments, this would be a good le amplitude, yet the four PA		at there is a pattern						
SuggestedR	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	spaced.								
00		ment. Similarly in 120G.3.3.4	.1.							
Proposed Re	•	Response Status W								
•	SED REJECT.									

This comment was received after the ballot closed.

C/ 120G S	C 120G.3.3.4.2	P 258	L 46	# 127		C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	3.4.2	P 259	L 20	# 130
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia				Dawe, Piers			Nvidia		
Comment Type	T Comn	nent Status D			LATE	Comment T	ype E	Commen	t Status D		LATE
	ice used to say "The					Add the	usual Units c	olumn, add ur	nits for 870		
	-R, or 400GBASE-R same sentence was u				t	SuggestedF	Remedy				
amplitude a	and transition time, a	nd module stresse	d input calibratior	n with target ampli		Per con	nment				
	ne. It wasn't as clear calibration of crosstal					Proposed R	esponse	Response	Status W		
could be ch	anged to a long one	when calibrating th	e eve height of th	ne victim. CEI			SED REJEC				
16.3.10.3.1	says "The crosstalk in the pattern is chan	signal is calibrated	at TP4 or TP1a	using a QPRBS13	B-CEI	This co	nment was re	ceived after th	ne ballot closed.		
pattern, the SuggestedRem		ged to QPRB531-C	ET for the test .			C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	3.4.3	P 259	L 30	# 131
00	ne pattern" to "The cr	osstalk pattern" ch	ange "amplitude	calibration" to		Dawe, Piers			Nvidia		
	ignal eye height and					Comment T	ype T	Commen	t Status D		LATE
Proposed Resp	onse Respo	nse Status W									ned from the MCB,
PROPOSE	D REJECT.							ases are used while all others		e might for a TV i	receiver that must
This comm	ent was received afte	r the ballot closed.				SuggestedF			ale delive).		
C/ 120G S	C 120G.3.3.4.2	P 258	L 49	# 128			-	een calibrated	the pattern de	nerator is set to c	generate PRBS31Q,
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia				scramb	ed idle, or an	other valid 100)GBASE-R, 200	GBASE-R, or 40	OGBASE-R sequence,
Comment Type	E Comn	nent Status D			LATE						the host under test. erns above. The
This says "	the host PCB in 1200	6.3.2.2.1" while 120	G.3.2.2.1 says "	reference host cha	annel"				the six cases in		ems above. The
SuggestedRem	edy					Proposed R	esponse	Response	Status W		
00	me name in both sub	clauses, e.g. chanç	ge "host PCB" to	"reference host			SED REJEC		ne ballot closed.		
Proposed Resp	onse Respo	nse Status W									
PROPOSE	D REJECT.										
This comm	ent was received afte	r the ballot closed.									
C/ 120G S	C 120G.3.3.4.2	P 258	L 50	# 129							
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia									
Comment Type	E Comn	nent Status D			LATE						
parameters	in Table 120G–5 for	far-end host chann	nel type and the r	equested mode							
SuggestedRem	edy										
	in Table 120G–5 for	host channel type	and the requeste	ed module output r	node						
Proposed Resp	onse Respo	nse Status W									
PROPOSE	, D REJECT.										
This serves	ent was received afte	r the ballot closed.									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID	131
------------	-----

Page 34 of 39 2021-07-14 4:47:11 PM

C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.3.	4.3	P 259	L 35	# 132	C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.	3.4.2	P 258	L 35	# 134	
Dawe, Piers	S		1	lvidia			Dawe, Pier	s			Nvidia			
Comment 7	Гуре	т	Comment St	atus D		LATI	Comment	Гуре	Е	Comm	ent Status D		LA	ATE
is enab so it co host if i its lane BER" ir many la	led on uld inc t make s", only n 120G anes a	all lanes v lude all 8 es a differe y the lanes i.3.4.2.3 is module h	with any of the transmit lanes of ence. While for s in the PMD un s even more op as. But, termin	oatterns aboy of a QSFP-DI "The host Bl nder test (1, 2 en to misinter ology for this	ve", this is to incl D, or maybe all t ER is the averag 2 or 4 lanes) are rpretation becau- has been set up	e host electrical output ude realistic crosstalk he output lanes on the e of the BER of each of relevant. "Module se we are so clear how b: the term "interface 1.7, 86.8.4.8, 95.8.1.1	These be bett genera <i>Suggested</i>	are the er to c tor" <i>Reme</i> e e "at th	e same p calibrate a <i>dy</i> he patterr	place apart f after it. Also n generator	output" to "at Tp4: se Status W	, and if that mak ys "at the output	generator output". es a difference it would of the pattern	t
Suggestedl	Remea	ly						,	REJECT					
				, which is the	e average of the	BER of each of the	-				the ballot closed.			
		MD under erformed		, the BER of a	a PMA lane may	be calculated using	C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.4	4.2.2	P 262	L 2	# 135	_
the bit e	error co	ounter in t	he PMA test pa	ttern checke		2.2) as the number of	Dawe, Pier	s			Nvidia			
			number of rece with scrambled		er valid 100GBA	SE-R, 200GBASE-R,	Comment	Γνρε	Е	Comm	ent Status D		LA	TE
divided	by the ly in 12	number o 20G.3.4.2.	of received bits.		he number of FE	C symbol errors	the style frequer	le guid ncy-de	de says to ependent	o use the sa attenuation	of the pattern gene ame name for the s /attenuator is not a generator output"	same thing ever always present.		1
		REJECT.	10000100 01				Suggested	Reme	dy					
			eived after the b	allot closed.					•	o the freque	ency-dependent at	ttenuator" to "at	the output of the patte	m
C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.3.	4.3	P 259	L 44	# 133	genera	`	,					
Dawe, Piers			-	lvidia			Proposed F	,		•	se Status W			
Comment 7		Е	Comment St			LATI			REJECT		the ballot closed.			
"Metho describ	ds of e ed abo	extracting to	the received bit	pattern and enerate equi	valent results" -	ther than the ones more wordy than								
Suggestedl	Remea	ly												
they ge	enerate	s of extraction equivaler 3.4.2.3.		ed bit pattern	and counting er	rors may be used if								
Proposed F	Respon	ise	Response St	atus W										
PROPO	DSED	REJECT.												
T1.1														

This comment was received after the ballot closed.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/genera	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Comment ID	

Comment ID 135

		3.4.2	P 259	L 2	# 136	
Dawe, Piers	S		Nvidia			
Comment 7	51		nt Status D	convey the idea	that the MSB and LS	ATE SB
are not		rately as in th	ne previous draft,		Also, differential pea	
Suggestedl	Remedy					
Change See an	e "voltage toler	ance given" t t about introd	voltage are adjus to "voltage tolerar lucing the patterr	nce at TP4 giver		
Proposed F	Response	Response	e Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			
	OSED REJECT	-				
This co	mment was re	ceived after t	he ballot closed.			
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.4	4.2.2	P 262	L 24	# 137	
Dawe, Piers	S		Nvidia			
Comment 7	Гуре Т	Commer	nt Status D		L	ATE
	and the local of the second HTTL.	ما باسلما مما بسم				
settings for the less tha	s where gDC + low-loss case." an or equal to -	gDC2 is less 'Even the pr 13 dB" was n	s than or equal to revious text, "The nisinterpreted to	-13 dB. This res CTLE setting, g mean that there	CTLE is limited to striction does not app gDC+gDC2, has to be is no constraint on 20G-11 still apply.	
settings for the less tha	s where gDC + low-loss case." an or equal to - gDC2. Yet the	gDC2 is less 'Even the pr 13 dB" was n	s than or equal to revious text, "The nisinterpreted to	-13 dB. This res CTLE setting, g mean that there	striction does not app gDC+gDC2, has to be is no constraint on	
settings for the less tha gDC + Suggested Change height a	s where gDC + low-loss case." an or equal to - gDC2. Yet the <i>Remedy</i> e "Eye height a and VEC are m	gDC2 is less 'Even the pr 13 dB" was n limits for the nd VEC are n neasured at T	s than or equal to revious text, "The nisinterpreted to appropriate test measured at TP1 "P1a as describe	-13 dB. This rea CTLE setting, g mean that there point in Table 1 a as described d in 120G.5.2, w	striction does not app gDC+gDC2, has to be is no constraint on 20G-11 still apply. n 120G.5.2." to "Eye vith an addtional	e
settings for the less tha gDC + Suggested Change height a constra gDC +	s where gDC + low-loss case." an or equal to - gDC2. Yet the <i>Remedy</i> e "Eye height a and VEC are m ant for the high gDC2 is less th	gDC2 is less 'Even the pr 13 dB' was n limits for the nd VEC are n neasured at T -loss case: th nan or equal f	s than or equal to revious text, "The nisinterpreted to appropriate test measured at TP1 "P1a as describe he reference rece to -13 dB.	-13 dB. This rea CTLE setting, g mean that there point in Table 1 a as described i d in 120G.5.2, w iver CTLE is lim	striction does not app gDC+gDC2, has to be is no constraint on 20G-11 still apply. n 120G.5.2." to "Eye	e
settings for the less tha gDC + Suggested/ Change height a constra gDC + Alterna Delete	s where gDC + low-loss case." an or equal to - gDC2. Yet the <i>Remedy</i> e "Eye height a and VEC are m int for the high gDC2 is less th tively, modify T "For the high-lo	gDC2 is less 'Even the pr 13 dB' was n limits for the neasured at T -loss case: th nan or equal t Table 120G-1 oss case, the	s than or equal to revious text, "The nisinterpreted to appropriate test measured at TP1 "P1a as describe he reference rece to -13 dB. 1 to add the rule reference receiv	-13 dB. This rea CTLE setting, g mean that there point in Table 1 a as described i d in 120G.5.2, w iver CTLE is limit there. er CTLE is limit	striction does not app gDC+gDC2, has to be is no constraint on 20G-11 still apply. n 120G.5.2." to "Eye vith an addtional	e
settings for the less tha gDC + Suggested/ Change height a constra gDC + Alterna Delete gDC + case."	s where gDC + low-loss case." an or equal to - gDC2. Yet the <i>Remedy</i> e "Eye height a and VEC are m int for the high gDC2 is less th tively, modify T "For the high-lc gDC2 is less th	gDC2 is less 'Even the pr 13 dB" was n limits for the neasured at T -loss case: th nan or equal t Table 120G-1 oss case, the nan or equal t	s than or equal to revious text, "The nisinterpreted to appropriate test measured at TP1 "P1a as describe he reference rece to -13 dB. 1 to add the rule reference receiv	-13 dB. This rea CTLE setting, g mean that there point in Table 1 a as described i d in 120G.5.2, w iver CTLE is limit there. er CTLE is limit	striction does not app gDC+gDC2, has to be is no constraint on 20G-11 still apply. In 120G.5.2." to "Eye vith an addtional ited to settings where	e
settings for the less tha gDC + Suggested/ Change height a constra gDC + Alterna Delete gDC + case." Proposed F PROPO	s where gDC + low-loss case." an or equal to - gDC2. Yet the <i>Remedy</i> e "Eye height a and VEC are m int for the high gDC2 is less th tively, modify T "For the high-la gDC2 is less th <i>Response</i> DSED REJECT	gDC2 is less 'Even the pr 13 dB" was n limits for the neasured at T -loss case: th nan or equal t Fable 120G-1 oss case, the nan or equal t <i>Response</i> T.	s than or equal to revious text, "The nisinterpreted to appropriate test measured at TP1 TP1a as describe reference received to -13 dB. 1 to add the rule reference received to -13 dB. This reference received to -13 dB. This reference received	-13 dB. This rea CTLE setting, g mean that there point in Table 1 a as described i d in 120G.5.2, w iver CTLE is limit there. er CTLE is limit	striction does not app gDC+gDC2, has to be is no constraint on 20G-11 still apply. In 120G.5.2." to "Eye vith an addtional ited to settings where	e

C/ 120G	SC ·	120G.3.4.2	2.2	P 262	L 25	# 138	
Dawe, Piers	6			Nvidia			
Comment T Remove		T guity	Comment S	Status D			LATI
Suggested	Remed	y					
Change	e "patte	ern generat	tor pre-empha	asis" to "patter	n generator prec	ursor emphasis"	
Proposed R	Respon	se	Response S	Status W			
		REJECT. t was recei	ved after the	ballot closed.			
C/ 120G	SC ·	120G.3.4.2	2.2	P 262	L 1	# 139	
Dawe, Piers	6			Nvidia			
Comment T	уре	т	Comment S	Status D			LAT
Changii transitic				emphasis" in s	tep g will change	the pattern gen	erator
	n ume	nom step	a.				
Suggested		•	а.				
SuggestedF In step	Remed a, say	y that, excer			ator transition tim	ie is defined for i	neutral
SuggestedF In step	Remed a, say sis at tl	y that, excep ne pattern	ptionally, this	tput.	ator transition tim	ie is defined for i	neutral
SuggestedF In step emphas Proposed R PROPC	Remed a, say sis at tl Respon DSED I	y that, excep ne pattern se REJECT.	ptionally, this generator ou <i>Response</i> S	tput.	ator transition tim	ie is defined for i	neutral
SuggestedF In step emphas Proposed R PROPC	Remed a, say sis at tl Respon DSED I mmen	y that, excep ne pattern se REJECT.	ptionally, this generator ou <i>Response</i> S	tput. Status W	ator transition tim	e is defined for n	neutral
SuggestedF In step emphas Proposed F PROPC This co	Remed a, say sis at tl Respon DSED I mmen SC	y that, excep ne pattern se REJECT. t was recei	ptionally, this generator ou <i>Response</i> S	tput. Status W ballot closed.			neutral
Suggestedf In step emphas Proposed R PROPC This co Cl 120G Dawe, Piers	Remed a, say sis at tl Respon DSED I mmen SC	y that, excep ne pattern se REJECT. t was recei	ptionally, this generator ou <i>Response</i> S	ballot closed. P 264 Nvidia			LAT
Suggestedf In step emphas Proposed R PROPO This co Cl 120G Dawe, Piers Comment T	Remed a, say sis at the Respond DSED I mment SC S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	y that, excep ne pattern se REJECT. t was recei 120G.5.2 T	ptionally, this generator out Response S ived after the Comment S	ballot closed. P 264 Nvidia Status D		# 140	LAT
Suggestedf In step emphas Proposed R PROPO This co Cl 120G Dawe, Piers Comment T This ne	Remed a, say sis at tl Respon DSED I mmen SC S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	y that, excep ne pattern se REJECT. t was recei 120G.5.2 T planation:	ptionally, this generator out Response S ived after the Comment S	ballot closed. P 264 Nvidia Status D	L 40	# 140	LAT
Suggested/ In step emphas Proposed R PROPC This co Cl 120G Dawe, Piers Comment T This ne measur Suggested/ For a hi total nu In conv	Remed a, say sis at th Respon DSED I mmen SC S ype eds ex red." Remed istogra mber c entiona	y that, excep ne pattern se REJECT. t was recei 120G.5.2 T planation: y m, it shoul of samples al eye mas	ctionally, this generator our Response S ived after the Comment S "the probabili d be the expe *in the histog k terminology	ballot closed. P 264 Nvidia Status D ities are relativ ectation of num gram*, assume /, hit ratios are	L 40	# 140 of PAM4 symbol oles in the histog ited across its wi	LAT Is ram /
Suggested/ In step emphas Proposed R PROPC This co Cl 120G Dawe, Piers Comment T This ne measur Suggested/ For a hi total nu In conv	Remed a, say sis at th Respon DSED I mmen SED I mmen SC S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	y that, excep ne pattern se REJECT. t was recei 120G.5.2 T planation: y m, it shoul of samples al eye mas umed even	ctionally, this generator our Response S ived after the Comment S "the probabili d be the expe *in the histog k terminology	ballot closed. P 264 Nvidia Status D ities are relativ ectation of num gram*, assume /, hit ratios are across 1 UI (s	<i>L</i> 40 re to the number aber of bad samp ed evenly distribu hits in a keepou	# 140 of PAM4 symbol oles in the histog ited across its wi	LAT Is ram /

C/ 162A SC 162A.4	P 274	L 34	# 141	C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3.3 P 283 L 37	# 144
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia	
Comment Type E Com	ment Status D		LATE	Comment Type E Comment Status D	LATE
TP0 to TP2 or from TP3 to TP5 (162A-3), and illustrated in Figu		ture is determine	ed using Equation	Use the naming convention we agreed last round. SuggestedRemedy	
SuggestedRemedy Figure 162A-2				Change CMCIL to Ildc, twice	
Proposed Response Response Response Response Response REJECT. This comment was received after the second se	onse Status W			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. This comment was received after the ballot closed.	
		1.4	# 440	C/ 162D SC 162D.1 P 302 L 14	# 145
C/ 162A SC 162A.5	P 276	L 1	# 142	Dawe, Piers Nvidia	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Comment Type E Comment Status D	LATE
Comment Type E Com ILMatedTF(f) is the reference (162B-1)	ment Status D insertion loss in dB	of the mated tes	LATE t fixture using Equation	A host can have other than six MDI connector receptacles. Aligning t 162C, third sentence. Smplifying.	erminology with
				SuggestedRemedy	
SuggestedRemedy ILMTFref(f) Equation (162B-	5) several places			Change: There are six MDI connector "receptacles" specified for hosts.	
Proposed Response Response Response	onse Status W			to There are six MDI connector types.	
This comment was received af	ter the ballot closed.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	
C/ 162B SC 162B.1.3	P 281	L 25	# 143	This comment was received after the ballot closed.	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			C/ 162D SC 162D.1.1 P 304 L 20	# 146
····· //··· =	ment Status D		LATE	Dawe, Piers Nvidia	
"The TP2 or TP3 and cable as	semply test fixtures"	sounds like three	e test fixtures.	Comment Type E Comment Status D	LATE
SuggestedRemedy				supportable PMDs	
The TP2 or TP3 test fixture and	the cable assembly	test fixture		SuggestedRemedy	
	onse Status W			supportable number of PMDs	
PROPOSED REJECT.	ter the ballot closed.			Proposed Response Response Status W	

C/ 162D SC 162D.1.1	D 202	L 6	# 447	C/ 163A SC 163A.3.1.3 P 307	L 53	# 450
Cl 162D SC 162D.1.1 Dawe, Piers	<i>P</i> 303 Nvidia	LO	# 147	Cl 163A SC 163A.3.1.3 P 307 Dawe, Piers Nvidia	L 33	# 150
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		LATE	Comment Type E Comment Status D		LATE
other end			LATE	The method for obtaining the reference transition	time using the m	
SuggestedRemedy				scattering parameters and the reference transmi below, and are outlined in Figure 163A–3.		
other end(s)				SuggestedRemedy		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			method is is		
PROPOSED REJECT. This comment was receipt	ived after the ballot closed.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.		
C/ 163A SC 163A.3.1	P 306	L 23	# 148	This comment was received after the ballot close	∍d.	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			C/ 163A SC 163A.3.1.3 P 308	L 27	# 151
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		LATE	Dawe, Piers Nvidia		
Make it easier to see wh	at S(0) is			Comment Type E Comment Status D		LATE
SuggestedRemedy				Out of order		LATL
In figures 163A-2, 3 and	4, change "Reference chan	nel" to "Referer	nce channel S(0)	SuggestedRemedy		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Swap equations 163A-5 and 4		
PROPOSED REJECT.						
This comment was receipt	ived after the ballot closed.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.		
C/ 163A SC 163A.3.1.1	P 307	L 15	# 149	This comment was received after the ballot close	∋d.	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			C/ 163A SC 163A.3.2.2 P 309	L 42	# 152
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		LATE	Dawe, Piers Nvidia		
Duplication				Comment Type T Comment Status D		LATE
SuggestedRemedy				Give the units		
Move this sentence to p	306 line 53: "If the invoking	clause lists mo	re than one set of	SuggestedRemedy		
	meters, the calculation is pe			Say that ERL(ref) and ERL(meas) are in decibele	6	
	te "If the invoking clause list e calculation in Equation (16				3	
package trace length."				Proposed Response Response Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROPOSED REJECT. This comment was received after the ballot close	he	
PROPOSED REJECT.						

This comment was received after the ballot closed.

C/ 163B	SC 163B.2	P 311	L 21	# 153
Dawe, Piers	S	Nvidia		
Comment 7 Comple	<i>Type</i> T ete the example	Comment Status D		LATE
in 163E	is a Clause 16	3 example, there's another p text, with the lower value in sed on.		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was received after the ballot closed.