C/ FM	SC FM	P1	L 31	# 26	C/ 80	SC 80.1.5	P 80	L 45	# 2
Ran, Ade		-	•	# 26	Brown. Ma			L 43	# 2
Comment		Cisco system Comment Status A	5	bucket1	Comment		Huawei Comment Status A		PHY table (bucket1)
	cv is published.	Comment Status A		DUCKELT			VII-1 C2C and C2M have bee	n added to sev	, ,
Suggested	•						the corresponding PMD clau		
00		.3cv-20xx" to "IEEE Std 802.	3cv-2021" here	and on page 16	Suggestea	Remedy			
Response	•	Response Status <b>C</b>	000 2021 , 11010	and on page 10.			hysical layer tables in clause	s 138 and 140	to include 100GAUI-1
ACCE		Response Status				nd C2M sublaye			
					Response		Response Status C		
CI <b>00</b>	SC O	P <b>0</b>	L <b>0</b>	# 5	ACCE	PT.			
Brown, Ma	att	Huawei			C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P <b>98</b>	L 18	# 3
Comment	51	Comment Status A		bucket1	Brown, Ma	ntt	Huawei		
	ck will not be inco dment to that revi	rporated into the next ameno	dment (802.3dc)	so it will be	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		PHY table (bucket1)
	dRemedy					,	UI-2 C2C and C2M have bee		
00		amendment of new revision (	802.3dc) rather	than an amendment of	types, update		layer tables in the correspon	ding PiviD claus	ses have not been
802.3		(	,		Suggestea	lRemedv			
Response	9	Response Status C			00		hysical layer tables in clause	s 121 and 122	to include 200GAUI-2
ACCE	EPT.				C2C a	nd C2M sublaye	ers.		
CI <b>00</b>	SC 0	P <b>0</b>	LO	# 20	Response		Response Status C		
Brown. Ma	att	Huawei			ACCE	PT.			
Comment		Comment Status A		bucket1	C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P <b>99</b>	L 18	# 4
		nanual subclause 16.4, table			Brown, Ma	itt	Huawei		
		t immediately following the ta above the bottom border of t		elongs, enclosed	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		PHY table (bucket1)
Sever	,	re added to several tables in		t not placed according	types,	but the physical	UI-4 C2C and C2M have bee layer tables in the correspon		
Suggestee	dRemedy				update				
Fix the	e table note at the	e following page/line: 169/24,	179/21, 251/46,	255/25, 283/28	Suggestea	-	hysical layer tables in clause	c 100 103 10/	1 138 150 and 151 to
Response	è	Response Status C					C and C2M sublayers.	5 122, 123, 124	, 150, 150, and 151 l0
ACCE	EPT.				Response		Response Status <b>C</b>		
[Edito	or's note: CC: 120	G. 162. 162Bl			ACCE	PT.			
1-2010		-, -=, -===1							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4

C/ 120	SC 120.5.11	I.2.a P1	10 /	48	# 80	C/ 120G	50	120G.3.1	P 25	0	L 12	# 121
Dudek, Mi		n.z.a r i Marv	-	40	# 80	Dawe, Pie		1200.3.1	r 25 Nvidia	-	L 12	# 121
Comment		Comment Status			bucket1			TR	Comment Status			AC CM noise
	7 should be a h					As dis	cussed	l, AC comn	non-mode output volt DE with the same cor			t reasonable at double
Suggestea	lRemedy					•	-		DE WITT THE SAME CON	necions	and layout sker	w.
fix it						Suggestea		•	host and module ou	tout		
Response		Response Status	С					.5 1117, DOU		•		
ACCE	PT.					Response			Response Status -	C		
C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.	I P <b>2</b>	232 /	32	# 76	ACCE		PRINCIPLE	Ξ.			
Dudek, Mi				02	" 10				apply to the substan			
Comment		Comment Status			TX residual ISI				fied negative comment a scope of the recircu			i.
Sigma	<i>IRemedy</i> n extra Tx speci	fication "Residual ISI re sigma_e and Vpeal =29.										
Response		Response Status	С									
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.										
		ation was reviewed by org/3/ck/public/21_07/		0721.pdf								
per the	e suggested rer	aracteristics for 163 a nedy and dudek_3ck_ nplement with editoria	_01_0721, excep									
[Editor	's note: CC: 16	3, 120F]										

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	P <b>250</b>	L 12	# 46
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems		
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status A		AC CM noise

"AC common-mode RMS output voltage (max)" specification of 17.5 mV is not feasible for high-volume, multi-port products. The common-mode output may include a component correlated to the differential output, e.g. from mode conversion on the host channel. A module receiver is expected to be quite tolerant to a correlated common-mode signal.

As suggested in ran\_3ck\_adhoc\_20210630, there are two reasonable alternatives: a) increase the allowed RMS voltage to 30 mV (as is allowed for the CR transmitter measured on an HCB - likely the same point - and where the common-mode concern is greater due to conversion in the cable assembly).

b) Keep the 17.5 mV specification but only for the component uncorrelated to the differential signal; use the linear fitted pulse response method (which is already referred to in 120G.5.2) to calculate the linear fitted pulse response characteristics of the common-mode output, and define the AC common-mode noise as the RSS of sigma\_n and sigma\_v.

Note: This comment is only about the host output; module output is more controlled and modules can be designed to have low mode conversion so the correlated component is expected to be small. Modules should not be allowed to generate 30 mV RMS, so if option a is chosen, the module output specification should not be changed.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Preferably implement option a in the comment.

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ck D2.1 and D2.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the initial ballot. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

Comment 121 proposes to increase the value to 25 mV.

This comment proposes to either:

(a) change the value to 30 mV

(b) change the parameter to relate to only the uncorrelated noise

There is not sufficient evidence that the correlated noise is indeed tolerable by the receiver (e.g., conversion from CM to DM in receiver might be non-linear or CM might have much larger channel transit time than DM)

The resolution to comment #123 indicates there is not consensus to make the change proposed in option (b), above.

Following straw polls #3 and #4, there was consensus to close this comment changing the value to 25 mV.

Change the AC common-mode RMS output voltage (max) for module output and host

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

output to 25 mV.

Straw poll #3, pick one (direction) Straw poll #4, Chicago rules (direction) To address comments #46 and #121, for the module output and host output AC CM noise (max) I would support: A: no change B: change to 25 mV C: change to 30 mV Straw poll #3 A: 12 B: 13 C: 9 Straw poll #4 A: 15 B: 25 C: 21

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	P <b>250</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 58	
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	tum/Inphi		
Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status A		НО	ΤT

Transition time host requesting short mode or long mode is for TP4

#### SuggestedRemedy

Please revert to 10 ps in draft D2.0, please move this parameter to TP4 table 120G-3

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment relates to the host output transition time specified in Table 120G-1.

Separate values for host long and short modes were added per D2.1 comment #188.

The justification was that the host input and host output PCB insertion loss will likely be similar, which is reflected in the transition times chosen for the host input crosstalk calibration. This must also be explicitly allowed and constrained at the hout output.

However, it would be helpful in Table 120G-1 to point to the subclause that defines long and short modes.

Add a footnote to the sub-rows for long and short modes in Table 120G-1 pointing to 120G.3.2.1.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1 Page 3 of 18 2021-08-09 3:27:26 PM

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.5 P 252 L 15 #	# 8 C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.2 P 253	<i>L</i> 1	# 48
Brown, Matt Huawei	Ran, Ad	e	Cisco system	S	
Comment Type E Comment Status A transition	on time (bucket1) Commei	t Type E	Comment Status A		bucket1
Reference to transition time methodology.	"Tat	e 120G–3—Mo	dule output characteristics (at T	P4)" - Parenthe	eses are inconsistent
SuggestedRemedy		other similar tab vhere).	les (Host output in this annex, a	and Transmitter	characteristics
Change "transition time" to "transition time (see 120G.3.1.4)".		edRemedy			
Repeat at: page 254, line 13	•••	-	ule output characteristics at TP	4"	
page 258, lines 43/44	Respons	•	Response Status C	-	
page 262, lines 10/11		EPT.	Response Status		
Response Response Status C		LI I.			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.2 P 253	L 11	# 98
Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.	Dawe, F	ers	Nvidia		
	Commei	t Type TR	Comment Status R		MO VEC/EH
			is the same at long near end a		
Dawe, Piers Nvidia			mplementer is encouraged to o C spec, while we want modules		
	system response rang		r. EH is naturally larger at NE		
"without the use of a reference receiver" which occurs several times, is misl BT4 filter, which is the reference receiver response in so many clauses, app	leading; the	dRemedy			
		ase the eye hei	ght, long mode near end, by 3 c	B from 15 mV	to 21 mV
SuggestedRemedy Change to "observed through the Bessel-Thomson response of 120G.3.1 in	n place of the Respons	e	Response Status U		
reference receiver of 120G.5.2" or similar. Several places.		ECT.			
Response Response Status C					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	This	comment pertai	ns to the module output eye he	ight (min) for lo	ng mode, near end.
This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P8 and D2.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the initial ballot. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.	302.3ck D2.1 The	comment does i	not provide sufficient evidence t	hat the propose	ed change is necessary.
There could be some misinterpretation since the reference receiver as definincludes the effect of the test equipement filter. Also, since the response is provide the in parentheses. On page 252, line 16 Change: "calibrated at TP4 (without the use of a reference receiver)" To: "calibrated at TP4 using a test system with a response as defined in 120 than the reference receiver of 120G.5.2" Apply similarly at page/line: 254/12, 258/43, and 262/10. Implement with editorial license.	prescriptive, it				

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2

120G

Cl 120G	SC	120G.3.2	P <b>253</b>	L 11	# 97
Dawe, Pier	s		Nvidia		
Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Status R		MO VEC/EH
at near ghiasi_ can use strengtl room to	end, s 3ck_a efully o h. A N o incre	short mode dhoc_01a_ optimise for IC has no l ase this we	be aggressively reduced from . 120E has 70 mV, and D1.4 .042121 shows 35 mV (befor re.g. different crosstalk or no high-loss ports so it can do t eak signal without overloadin ages more consistent modul	had 24 mV, re Vpkpk was r bise if given a r his even if a sw g the receiver.	educed). Yet a host easonable signal /itch won't. There is Also, making the limits
Suggestedl	Reme	dy			
			short mode near end, by 1.	1 dB from 15 m	v to 17 mV
Response REJEC	Ŧ		Response Status U		
i nis co	mmer	nt pertains i	o the module output eye hei	ght (min) for sr	hort mode, hear end.
C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.2	P 253	L <b>12</b>	# 62
Ghiasi, Ali	_		Ghiasi Quantu	im/inpni	
	EC car	TR be lowere and host A	Comment Status A d from current 12 dB to 11 c SIC	B to allow add	MO VEC/EH itional penalty for real
Suggestedl	Reme	dy			
Reduce	PTP4	VEC=11 dl	B, see ghiasi_3ck_01_0721		
Response			Response Status C		
ACCEF	PT IN I	PRINCIPLE			
This co	mmer	nt pertains t	to the module output VEC (n	nax).	
			owing presentation was revi /3/ck/public/21_07/ghiasi_30		
end me	easure	ment. The	h the current g_dc constrain comment suggests that g_d h this change to the g_DC lii	c max for TP4	far-end be increased

(max).

	Ran, Adee		Cisco	systems	
Н	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status	Α	MO DC CM voltage tolerance
	footnote b sa mean?	ys "Spec	ification includes effec	ts of grou	und offset voltage." - what does it
	given that its	output is			DC common-mode voltage at all, nout AC coupling in the module, the
	SuggestedRemed	dy			
	Clarify what t	he quote	d sentence mean, or c	lelete it.	
	Consider rem	oving the	e DC common mode v	oltage sp	ecification.

P 253

L 20

# 49

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

SC 120G.3.2

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ck D2.1 and D2.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the initial ballot. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The comment is referring to module output "DC common-mode voltage" specifications which are intended to define a tolerance for the module output to host DC bias voltage. A DC common-mode voltage tolerance specification is required as the module output, whether it be a discrete capacitor or decoupling on the die, must tolerate the DC common-mode voltage applied by the host input. This is a necessary requirement and thus should not be deleted. However, this specification as written is difficult to interpret.

Implement slide 16 of brown\_3ck\_02b\_0721 with editorial license.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2

C/ 120G	SC 1	120G.3.2		P <b>253</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 50	C/ 120G	SC 12	0G.3.3		P <b>255</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 51	
Ran, Adee				Cisco system	IS		Ran, Adee				Cisco syster	ns		
omment T	уре	ER	Comment	Status A	MO	DC CM voltage tolerance	Comment T	/pe -	TR	Comment S	tatus A	М	O AC CM noise to	lerance
		mode volta te b, not fo		assuming this	specification is r	not removed, it should	if this is	not inclu	uded in tl				the module output. uld be part of the h	
uggestedF	Remed	У					input sp		on.					
change	footno	ote referen	ce from a to	b.			SuggestedF		hl. 4000	D 7				
esponse			Response	Status C						i on Table 120		mmon-mode inp	out voltage tolerand	ce
ACCEP	'I IN P	RINCIPLE					Response			Response Si	atus C			
and D2	.0 or th	e unsatisfi	ied negative	comments fror	n the initial ballo	EEE P802.3ck D2.1 t.			INCIPLE					
Hence i	it is not	t within the	e scope of the	e recirculation I	ballot.		Comme	nt #55 p	proposes	a similar char	nge to the ho	st input.		
Resolve	e using	the respo	nse to comn	nent #49.			Impleme	ent slide	19 of bro	own_3ck_02b	_0721 with e	ditorial license.		
2/ <b>120G</b> Dawe, Piers Comment T	s īype	120G.3.2.2 TR	Comment			# 102	Strawpo I suppor Yes: 15 No: 12	t addres	,	nment #51 an	d #55 using s	slide 19 of browr	n_3ck_02b_0721.	
					ugh apart so that , so that all mod		C/ 120G	SC 12	0G.3.3.1		P 256	L <b>4</b>	# 52	
similarly	y. As s	short is eas	sier than long	, this means tl	hat far minus ne	ar (mm or dB) for short	Ran, Adee				Cisco syster	ns		
exactly	like the	e theoretic	al reference	host channel, t	here should be a	channels are not a healthy overlap of 160 mm delivered on	Comment T It is pref		E o refer to	Comment S the value in t		than to repeat it		bucket1
			's 133 mm d				SuggestedF	Remedy						
<i>uggestedF</i> Change	-	•	nge 80 to 90				Change	"for any		ng rate in the rate in the rate		n the range spec	ified in Table 1200	G-7".
esponse			Response	Status U			Response			Response Si	atus C			
REJEC	т.		·				ACCEP	Т.						
The cor	mment	does not p	provide suffic	cient justificatio	n for the propos	ed changes.	C/ 120G	SC 12	0G.3.3.4	4.1	P <b>257</b>	L <b>31</b>	# 89	
There n	nav be	some ben	efit to balan	cing the length	range between s	short and long modes.	Wu, Mau-Li	n			MediaTek In	с.		
Further	analys	sis is enco	uraged.	0 0	0	ũ	Comment T		E	Comment S				bucket1
									channel" ith other		'reference ho	ost channel" in o	ther places. It wou	ld be
							SuggestedF	Remedy						
							Change	"host re	eference	channel" to "r	eference hos	t channel"		
							Response ACCEP	Т.		Response Si	atus C			
		•		•	• •	I T/technical E/editorial ( NSE STATUS: O/open W.	0			/	C/ 1	20G 20G.3.3.4.1	Page 6 o	f 18 09 3:27:26

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 120G.3.4

C/ <b>120G</b>	SC 120G.	3.3.4.2	P 258	L 33	# 53	C/ 1	20G	SC	120G.3
Ran, Adee			Cisco system	IS		Ran	n, Adee		
Comment T	<i>уре</i> <b>т</b>	Comm	ent Status A		HI SI me	thod Con	nment T	уре	TR
defined	. Using inapp	propriately lov	w levels can result	in bad jitter mea	tion procedure are no asurement in step c.		The mo if this is module	not in	ncluded
			ent, the initial outp peak to peak spec		be as high as possib	Sug	gestedF		
Also ap	plies in mod	ule stressed i	nput test, 120G.3.4	4.2.2.			Add a ro (RMS)"		
Suggested	Remedy					Res	ponse		
			tial signal level as Itage tolerance give		e such that the G–9 is not exceeded.		ACCEP	'T IN F	PRINCI
Response		Respon	se Status <b>C</b>				Comme	ent #51	1 propo
	T IN PRINC	'	<b>-</b>				Resolve	e using	g the re
Implem	ent the sugg	ested remed	y with editorial licer	nse.		C/ 1	20G	SC	120G.3
	oll #9 (decisi ress commer		oort implementing t	he suggested re	emedv.		n, Adee n <i>ment T</i>	уре	Е
Yes: 18		,	1 1 3	33			It is pre	ferable	e to ref
No:5						Sug	gestedF	Remec	ly
C/ 120G	SC 120G.:	3.3.4.2	P <b>258</b>	L <b>39</b>	# 72		Change	e "for a	any sigr
Dudek, Mik	е		Marvell				53.125	GBd ±	± 100 p
Comment T	ype E	Comm	ent Status A		HI SI me	thod Res	ponse		
The fina	al values of ji	tter used in tl	he test are unlikely	to match these	values of Jrms and J	J4u	ACCEP	۲ <b>.</b>	
		added in ste to indicate th		ter is adjusted in	n step g. It would be	C/ 1	20G	SC	120G.
Suggested	Remedy					Dav	ve, Piers	S	
the add	ition of cross		and adjustment of		They will be modified n step g" Add this to	)	nment T When g we allow	gDC2 i	
Response		Respon	se Status C				sense.	`	,
ACCEP	T IN PRINC	•				Sug	gestedF	Remec	ly
Implem	ent the sugg	ested remed	y with editorial licer	nse.			For TP1 for the h		
						Res	ponse		
							REJEC The cor		t does

Commen	$i i j p c i \mathbf{R}$	Comment		IVII	AC CIVI HOISE LOIEI AH	66
if this		I in the stressed		e output allowed fo expectation shoul	r the host output. Ever ld be part of the	n
Suggeste	dRemedy					
		120G–9 with par ased on Table 1		ommon-mode inpu	t voltage tolerance	
Response	9	Response	Status C			
ACC	EPT IN PRINCI	PLE.				
Com	ment #51 propo	oses a similar ch	ange to the ho	ost input.		
Reso	lve using the re	esponse to comr	nent #51.			
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	3.4.1	P <b>260</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 56	
Ran, Ade	e		Cisco syster	ms		
Commen	t Type E	Comment	Status A		bucke	et1
It is p	preferable to ref	er to the value in	n table 120G-9	than to repeat it.		
Chan		naling rate in the pm" to "for any s		n the range specif	ied in Table 120G-9".	
Response	Э	Response	Status C			
ACC	EPT.					
C/ 120G	SC 120G.	5.2	P <b>265</b>	L 12	# 105	
Dawe, Pi	ers		Nvidia			
Commen	t Type TR	Comment	Status R		RR ge	dc
	low -(-13-3) = 1				g, yet when gDC2 is -3. This doesn't make	',
Suggeste	dRemedy					
		12 -12 -13 to -12 DC2 categories		-12 -11 (so the sti	rongest CTLE peaking	ļ
Response	Э	Response	Status U			
REJE The c		not provide suffi	cient justificati	on for the propose	d changes.	
			~ ~ ~			

P 260

Comment Status A

Cisco systems

L 9

C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2 Page 7 of 18 2021-08-09 3:27:26 PM

# 55

MI AC CM noise tolerance

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P <b>265</b>	L 16	# 103	C/ 120G	SC	120G.5.2		P <b>265</b>	L 51	# 10
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Brown, Ma	att			Huawei		
Comment Ty	rpe TR	Comment Status R		RR gdc	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment S	tatus A		bucket1
The limit	s for TP4 gDC,	gDC2 should not be the san	ne for short and	long output modes.	Metho	d shoul	ld start at s	step "a)" not "h	)"		
SuggestedRe	emedy				Suggested	Remed	dy				
Create s style of T		or TP4 short and long output	modes, so 4 se	ts for TP4+, in the	Reform <i>Response</i>	nat list	to start at	"a)". <i>Response</i> Si	tatus C		
Response		Response Status U			ACCEI	рт		Response Si			
REJECT	-				ACCE						
This con	amont is a rosta	tement of D2.0 comment #1	70 which was i	viocted on the basis of	C/ 120G	SC	120G.5.2		P <b>266</b>	L 23	# 106
		and detail. It adds request to			Dawe, Pier	rs			Nvidia		
		not provide specific values.			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment S	tatus A		EO method
		provide sufficient justification provide sufficient detail to im P 265		# 104	a diam signal	iond ey quality	e with a re and provic	ectangular mas des weak and u	k is an ineffic incertain prot	cient, inaccurate v tection against to	histogram. Measuring way of measuring to much jitter. Its
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia	L <b>Z</b> J	π 104	effectiv			an its actual b	ecause of the	e 1e-5 probability	criterion and the
Comment Ty		Comment Status R		RR qdc	De-wei	ighting	the sides of	of the histogra	m/mask woul	d make this wors	se, equivalent to
As a lot of less than	of the channel f n to TP1a, the ra s for TP1a, I be	or TP4 far-end is known exa ange of gDC, gDC2 combina lieve the strongest gDC and	tions should be	loss to TP4 far end is a subset of the TP1a	rectang short h ones. We ne	gular m nost cha The ta ed an e	nask would annels (see rget BER is eye mask t	I allow more jit e Mike Dudek's s not going to that's more eye	ered and mo s work) that c change. shaped, so	an have faster ea	limit with the , particularly for very dges than higher loss portion of the samples
	•	er, DC gain for TP4 far-end (	gDC), change to	a set of limits that	are nea	ar the b	coundary a	and contribute	the measu	rement.	
		same style as for TP1a, with			Suggested						
to a cons <i>Response</i> REJECT		ved values should be a subs <i>Response Status</i> <b>U</b>	et of those for T	P1a.	mask v VCmid	with co I, VCup	rners at t = op or VClov	ts+/-0.05, ts+ w (vertically flo	/-1/16, ts+/-3/ ating, as in D	/32, V = y +/-H/2, 2.1).	+/-H/2 to a 10-cornered , k +/-H*0.4, y. y is near
This com insufficie provided	nment is a resta ent justification a	tement of D2.0 comment #1 and detail. No further justifica provide sufficient justification	ation or impleme	ntation detail is	AVlow This si have b	, as in l imple s been m	D2.1. calable me easuring w	ethod can rema vith 10-sided m	ain as the EH asks for man	and VEC limits a	de is AVupp, AVmid or are revised. Scopes nore difficult than a
		provide sufficient detail to imp		J		0	lask and g	ives better res			
					Response		ייסואומרי	Response Si -	atus U		
					ACCEI		PRINCIPLE	Ξ.			
					This co	ommer	nt is a resta	atement of D2.	0 comment #	127, which was r	ejected on the basis of

Straw polls 5, 6, and 7 indicate there is no consesus to make the proposed change. However, the resolution to comment #39 addresses the concern expressed in this comment.

C/ 120G

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Page 8 of 18 SC 120G.5.2 2021-08-09 3:27:26 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 266	L <b>25</b>	# 39
Ran, Adee		Cisco systems		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status A		EO method

As has been reported in calvin\_3ck\_adhoc\_01\_063021, the authors have been "unable to reliably close the calibration loop on TP1a at 12.5dB VEC with precision lab equipment" for insertion loss of 16.4 dB. This suggests that the VEC specification may be unfeasible.

Allowing a higher (worse) VEC for transmitters (host/module outputs) might pass bad receivers with very closed eyes, which will put more burden on receivers (even if the signal in stressed input test does not change, receivers will have to work with transmitters that have the same VEC due to other reasons, e.g. a "rectangular eye" closed by high noise that can't be equalized, rather than ISI).

Instead of lowering the VEC bar for transmitters, we should look at the definition of VEC and make it more suitable to the expected eye shape of good transmitters after processing with the reference receiver (this shape is not rectangular), taking into account the expected behavior of real receivers.

The calculation of VEC and EH from a CDF accumulated over ts  $\pm 0.05$  UI gives the same weight to all phases. This makes sense if the receiver's phase is distributed uniformly in this window; it supposedly makes sense it we don't know where the receiver will sample within this region and account for sampling error. But the eye is not independent of the receiver - it is shaped by the receiver's equalization, and in the reference receiver we assume a certain behavior.

A receiver is expected to optimize its equalization (CTLE+DFE or equivalent) at the sampling point ts - this is part of the measurement procedure (currently steps k and l) - which would result in the maximum vertical opening being at ts. We should assume the average sampling phase is then ts; any difference between the optimized phase and the average phase is an implementation penalty that should be covered by the minimum EH.

A real receiver's CDR does not have a uniform phase distribution around its mean; the probability of sampling at either -0.05 UI or +0.05 UI from ts is smaller than the probability of sampling closer to ts. The rare events where the sample is taken far from ts contribute less to the average BER, so they should be weighted down in the calculation of the CDFs. Having equal weights as in the current method is overly pessimistic in both EH and VEC.

It is therefore proposed to apply a weighting function to the sampled data based on the phase.

#### SuggestedRemedy

A detailed proposal will be provided in a presentation.

Response Status C

#### Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3ck D2.1 and D2.0 or the unsatisfied negative comments from the initial ballot.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The following presentation analyzed the effect of the currently specified measurement method. A similar analysis is required to make any changes. Https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20\_10/healey\_3ck\_01a\_1020.pdf

The following presentation was reviewed by the task force: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21\_07/ran\_3ck\_01a\_0721.pdf

Per straw polls 5, 6, and 7 there was consensus to implement the proposal in ran\_01a (slide 9) with sigma\_r set to 0.02 UI.

Implement the method in ran\_01a (slide 9) with sigma\_r set to 0.02 UI.

Straw poll #5 (chicago rules) direction Straw poll #6 (pick one) direction For the eye opening method in 120G.5.2 I would support: A: a weighted method similar to comment #39 and ran\_01a B: a multi-sided eye mask similar to comment #106 C: no change D: need more information #5: A: 25 B: 15 C: 13 D: 11 #6: A: 15 B: 8 C: 11 D: 5

Straw poll #7 (decision) I support resolving comment #39 using the proposal in ran\_01a (slide 9) except with standard deviation (sigma\_r) of 0.02 UI. Yes: 21 No: 11

> C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2

Page 9 of 18 2021-08-09 3:27:26 PM

C/ 161 SC 161.5.2.8 P 134 L 3 # 18	C/ 161 SC 161.5.2.9 P 134 L 3 # 27
Brown, Matt Huawei	Ran, Adee Cisco systems
Comment Type E Comment Status A bud	ket1 Comment Type T Comment Status A bucket
To address the editor's note a simple change to 161.5.2.9 can address the main concer D2.1 Comment #163. The terms "FEC encode" and "Reed-Solomon" encoded should b reconciled. All other references in Clause 161 to encoding are preceded by "Reed-	of The text can be made more precise to avoid possible confusion of "FEC encoded" vs. "Reed-Solomon encoded" and to clarify where the codewords come from and what is being distributed.
Solomon" not "FEC". The same holds for decoder except for one instance. Reed-Solomon encoder 3x	SuggestedRemedy
Reed-Solomon encoded 2x Reed-Solomon encoded 2x Reed-Solomon encode 2x	Change "Once the data has been FEC encoded, two FEC codewords" to "Once the data has been encoded per 161.5.2.8, two resulting codewords"
FEC encoded 1x Reed-Solomon decode 1x	On line 16, change "Once the data has been Reed-Solomon encoded and interleaved, it shall be distributed" to "tx_out<1087:0> shall be distributed".
Reed-Solomon decoding 1x Reed-Solomon decoder 9x	Response Response Status C
decoder 1x	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
SuggestedRemedy In 161.5.2.9, change "FEC encoded" to "Reed-Solomon" encoded. In 161.5.3.3 (page 136, line 31), change "decoder" to "Reed-Solomon decoder" Response Response Status <b>C</b>	Change "Once the data has been FEC encoded, two FEC codewords" to "Once the data has been Reed-Solomon encoded, two resulting FEC codewords" On line 16, change "Once the data has been Reed-Solomon encoded and interleaved, it shall be distributed" to "tx_out<1087:0> shall be distributed".
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 162 SC 162.1 P149 L 15 # 82
	Wu, Mau-Lin MediaTek Inc.
Resolve the first part of the suggested remedy using the response to comment #27. In 161.5.3.3 (page 136, line 31), change "decoder" to "Reed-Solomon decoder"	Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket
	The hyperlink of "Figure 162-1" is not correct. It is linked to Table 162-1.
	SuggestedRemedy
	Correct the hyperlink of "Figure 162-1".
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT.
	C/ 162 SC 162.9.3 P 162 L 12 # 83
	Wu, Mau-Lin MediaTek Inc.
	Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket: There is no "hyperlink" to 162A.2.
	SuggestedRemedy
	The hyperlink ot 162A.2 shall be added in the sentence "The transmitter characteristics at TP0 are provided informatively in 162A.2."
	Response Response Status C

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 162
 Page 10 of 18

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 162
 2021-08-09 3:27:26 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 162
 2021-08-09 3:27:26 PM

C/162 S	C 162.9.3	P 163	L 10	# 123	C/ 162	SC 162	2.9.3	P 163	L 15	# 99
Mellitz, Richard	ł	Samtec			Dawe, Piers			Nvidia		
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status R		AC CM noise	Comment T	vpe E		Comment Status A		bucke
to a PRBS are include	13Q with m d in differer	AC common-mode RMS ethod described in 93.8.1. ntial measurements like S	<ol> <li>The problem is the NDR, Jitter, and Line</li> </ol>	at coherent CM signal		or the rea		lished a consistent way of n find them.	aming these ret	urn losses, let's make i
SuggestedRen		oherent part if AC CM is d	Suble counted.					dc" and so on in the table ro		
Add note to line 10 (vcmi) indicating that the CM mode measurement is only for the non- coherent CM part of the measurement.				others, throughout the draft. Also in running text such as 162.9.3.6. S Response Response Status C ACCEPT.					Зітіїату креак.	
This applie	s to Tables	163-5, 120F-1, 120G-1, a	nd 120G-3							
Response		Response Status U								
REJECT.										
[Editor's no	ote: Change	d clause/subclause from '	[63/163.9.3.]							
and D2.0 o Hence it is The followi https://www Resolve in Based on s	r the unsati not within t ng presenta v.ieee802.o conjunctior	ot apply to the substantive sfied negative comments the scope of the recirculati tion was reviewed by the rg/3/ck/public/21_07/melli with comment #46.	from the initial ballo on ballot. task force: z_3ck_01a_0721.pd	t. df.						
	oport the AC on mellitz_3	CM voltage test methodo ck_01_0721.	ology in Comment #	123 and the related						
Straw poll a For the res methodolog Yes: 15 No: 16	olution of c	) omment #123, I support a ent #123 and the related	dopting the AC CM presentation mellitz	voltage test _3ck_01a_0721.						
[Editor's no	ote: CC: 163	, 120F, 120G]								
		-								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.9.3

C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.1	.1	P 165	L <b>5</b>	# 29		C/ 162	SC 162.9.	3.4	P 168	L <b>22</b>	# 24
Ran, Adee			Cisco system	IS			Hidaka, Yas	uo	С	redo Semic	onductor, Inc.	
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment	t Status R			Np value	Comment T	vpe E	Comment Sta	tus A		bucket1
	is stated that Np						164 on 1	he row F10	and the column of ir	dex of last	symbol is a type	Э.
	. Other invocation rs several times a						SuggestedF	-				
Np; thi	s is not stated ar	ywhere.					Change	164 with 26	4.			
162.9.3	remaining use of 3.1.3, 162.9.3.1.4 So Np=29 is impo	1, and 162.9.	.3.1.5, it does no	ot matter whether			Response ACCEP	Г.	Response Sta	tus <b>C</b>		
							C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	4.1	P <b>171</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 33
	two parameters nfusing.	instead of or	ne parameter wi	hich takes two va	alues is unnece	essary	Ran, Adee		C	isco system	IS	
	0						Comment T	vpe T	Comment Sta	tus A		UI value (bucket1)
Suggested In 162.	.9.3.1.1, change	"Np=29" to "I	Np=200".						nominal unit interva he nominal unit inte			th 5 digits after the
162.9.3	In 162.9.3.3 (Output SNDR) change "with the exception that the linear fit procedure in 162.9.3.1.1 is used" to "with the exception that the linear fit procedure in 162.9.3.1.1 is used with Np=29 instead of 200".					digits (0.1 purpose.	s resolution) result	n about 1 p	pm error, which	is sufficient for any		
useu w	used with hp=29 instead of 200.				SuggestedF	emedy						
ln 162.	.9.3.1.2 (Steady-	state voltage	and linear fit pu	ilse peak) delete	"using Nv=200	)".	Change	"18.82353"	to "approximately 18	3.8235".		
In 163.	.9.2.3 (Difference	e steadv state	e voltage) delete	e "with Nv = 200"			Response		Response Sta	us <b>C</b>		
	,	2	0,				ACCEP	Г.				
In 163/ (3 time	A.3.1.1 (Steady-s	state voltage	and pulse peak	reference values	s) change "Nv"	to "Np"	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4		P 172	L <b>25</b>	# 0
(S time	:5).										L <b>23</b>	# 6
ln 163	B.2 (Characterist	ics) delete "V	With Nv = 200".				Brown, Matt			uawei		
With e	ditorial license, c	hange anv re	emaining occurre	ence of Nv to Np			Comment T	,	Comment Sta			transition time (bucket1)
Response		Response	0				Transition time is referred to here as "20% to 80% transition time". It is defined explicitly in 120E.3.1.5. Transition time is usually referred to elsewhere in draft as just "transition time".					
REJEC	CT.	ricoponico					Align te	minology.	,			,
							SuggestedF	emedy				
	llowing presentat www.ieee802.org						Change	"20% to 809	% transition time" to	"transition t	ime"	
nups://	www.ieeeouz.or	y/3/0k/public/	/aunoc/july 14_2	T/WU_SCK_AUNOC	_01a_0/1421.	pui.	Response		Response Sta	us <b>C</b>		
There	is no consensus	to make the	proposed chang	ges at this time.			ACCEP	г.				
[Editor	's note: CC: 162,	163 163A	163B]									
Eartor	0 110101 0 001 102,	100, 100, 1,	1002]									
	technical require	d FR/editori	al required CP/	aeneral required	T/technical F	editorial C/	neneral			C/ 16	32	Page 12 of 18
COMMENT	Γ STATUS: D/dis DER: Clause, Su	patched A/a	accepted R/reje					U/unsatisfie	d Z/withdrawn		52 52.9.4.3.3	2021-08-09 3:27:

302.3ck D2.1 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interface	s Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot co

C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.3.3	P 173	L 38	# 113	C/ 162	SC 162.11.7	P 183	3 L 39	# 95
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia		
Comment Type E Co "sigma_bn is the RMS broad doesn't call it that. SuggestedRemedy Add "RMS broadband noise		means nothing I		make se correctly receive	malized DFE co ense that taps 1 , the example o limits not hard		it bbmin for taps 3 to 1 e, -0.05. If I have und 't need this. (Rememi s anyway; a cable or c	erstood the data ber, these are reference hannel can go beyond a
Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sponse Status C			SuggestedF	-	bbgmax 0.05, bbgmax	( 0.02 Also in 162	
				U	bymax 0.05 to	0 0		
C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.4.2	medy with editorial licen	se.	# 85	Response REJEC	Т.	Response Status	U	
The reference here is misse accepted to change this in D SuggestedRemedy Change "(see )" to "(see 162	02.0.		<i>bucket1</i> No comments were	and D2. Hence i The foll coefficie https://v The cor	0 or the unsatis t is not within th owing presentat ent values of <-0 www.ieee802.org	g/3/ck/public/19_09/he provide an assessme	nts from the initial ballo lation ballot. backplane channels h eck_3ck_01_0919.pdf	nad floating tap
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.	1 P 184	4 L 7	# 81
Reference to 162.9.4.3.4 is not helpful since that subclause does not address added sinusoidal jitter. Given that the previous subclause 162.9.4.4.1 describes the test setup including sinusoidal jitter this reference can be deleted. Delete "(see )".		SuggestedF fix them Response	ype <b>E</b> .3, Equation 93. Remedy	Marvell Comment Status A-13, 93A-14 and Tab Response Status	<b>A</b> le 162-19 should be h	<i>bucket1</i> not links or green text.		
				ACCEP	T. SC 162.11.7.	1 <i>P</i> 184	4 <i>L</i> 8	# 86
				Wu, Mau-Li Comment T	n ype E	MediaT Comment Status	Tek Inc.	# 100 bucket1
				SuggestedF		to Table 162-19.		
				Response ACCEP		Response Status	C	
TYPE: TR/technical required EF COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatcl SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclau	hed A/accepted R/reject	<i>_</i> .		general			C/   162 SC  162.11.7.1	Page 13 of 18 2021-08-09 3:27

C/ 162A SC 162A	5 P 277	L <b>30</b>	# 11	C/ 163	SC	163.9.2	P 199	L <b>46</b>	# 110
Brown, Matt	Huawei			Dawe, Pie	ers		Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		terminology (bucket1)	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		TX RLcc
The acronym "IL" is introduced.	s often used to represent "inser	tion loss" in text,	but is never formally				a. We have such a lenient spe do; here, there is no connecto		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	dReme	dy			
Either introduce it p	properly, e.g., "insertion loss (IL	)" or expand it ev	verywhere.				or whatever is reasonable for	an IC and pa	ackage. The 0.01 can be
Response	Response Status C					a fraction	of test fixture loss.		
ACCEPT IN PRINC	VIPLE.			Response			Response Status <b>C</b>		
Introduce the acror	iym properly, e.g., "insertion los	se (II.) with editor	iallicanse	ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIPL	E.		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	( )		Set RI	Lcc (mi	n) to 3.25	dB.		
C/ 162B SC 162B		L <b>41</b>	# 12	C/ 163	SC	163.9.2	P <b>200</b>	L <b>5</b>	# 19
Brown, Matt Comment Type E	Huawei Comment Status A		bucket1	Brown, Ma	att		Huawei		
llcatf and f should t			DUCKELI	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		table note (bucket1)
SuggestedRemedy					163-5 i menda		tive table, but footnote c relati	ng to transm	itter waveform is a
Format as italic.				Suggested	dReme	dy			
Response	Response Status C			Conve	ert footr	note c to a	table note (see style manual ?	6.4) or delet	te footnote c.
ACCEPT.				Response			Response Status C		
C/ 162B SC 162B	.1.3.5 P 286	L <b>43</b>	# 15	ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIPL	E.		
Brown, Matt	Huawei			This c	an also	be fixed b	by placing the recommendation	n in regular t	ext.
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		transition time	The co	ommen	t equally a	applies to footnote c in Table 1	62-10.	
PMD specifications should be "transitio	nod for transition times is never per 120E.3.1.5. To be consistent n time" not "rise and fall timers be common with other clauses plete.	ent with other cla ". Given explicit i	uses and annexes methodology in	of the	first pa	ragraph in	n Table 163-5 and Table 162- 162.9.3.1.4 as follows: t the same step size is used fo		
SuggestedRemedy									
	se specify that the transition tim change "20% to 80% rise and fa								
Response	Response Status C								
REJECT.									
The parameter nan referenced calculat	nes should not be changed as t ion.	hey relate to spe	cific parameters in a						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 163 SC 163.9.2 Page 14 of 18 2021-08-09 3:27:26 PM

	SC 163.9.2	P 200	L 12	# 75	C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P <b>2</b> 0
Dudek, M	like	Marvell			Dudek, Mi	ke	Marve
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		TX residual ISI	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status
transr	mitters that pass a	it was shown that with large all the transmitter specification	ns but only pro	vide 1.5dB COM on		ote d to table 163 te refers to.	-5 just duplicates the
li_3ck of ER	adhoc_01_0630	channel specifications. This 21. In Li_3ck_adhoc_01_063 a fail these bad transmitters v	3021 it was also would also fail t	o shown that a tightening ransmitters with varying	Suggestea Delete	Remedy the footnote.	
Тх ра	rameter is needed	paramters that give 3.0dB C to fail the high Cp Tx's while I be made in support of this of	e still passing tl		Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status E.
Suggeste	dRemedy				Simila	r footnote "d" in T	able 162-10 and Tab
Add an extra Tx specification "Residual ISI (max) value 0.027". Defined as the value of Sigma_e/Vpeak where sigma_e and Vpeak are as defined in 162.9.3.3 except that Np=11						eted as well.	
	ed instead of Np=2				Delete	footnote d in Tal	ble 162-10, Table 163
Response	e	Response Status C			C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.1	.3 P 20
ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPL	E.			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia
ſEdito	or's note: Changed	page from 199.1			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status
- This c	comment does not	t apply to the substantive cha					ode to common-mod r! And needs to be s
and	02.0 or the unsatis	fied negative comments fror		ot.	Suggestea	Remedy	
	e it is not within th	e scope of the recirculation b					
Hence		e scope of the recirculation b	Jailot.		Chang	e 2 to something	sensible
Hence		e scope of the recirculation bonse to comment #76.	Janot.		Chang <i>Response</i>	e 2 to something	sensible Response Status
Henco Resol		onse to comment #76.	Janot.		Response	e 2 to something PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status
Hence Resol [Edito	lve using the respo	onse to comment #76.	L <b>12</b>	# 17	Response ACCE This co	PT IN PRINCIPL	<i>Response Status</i> E. t apply to the substan
Hence Resol [Edito C/ 163	lve using the response or's note: CC: 163, SC 163.9.2	onse to comment #76. 120F]		# [17	Response ACCE This co and D2	PT IN PRINCIPL omment does no 2.0 or the unsatis	Response Status E. t apply to the substan fied negative comme
Hence Resol [Edito C/ 163 Brown, M	lve using the response or's note: CC: 163, SC 163.9.2 latt	nse to comment #76. 120F] P 200		# 17table footnote (bucket2)	Response ACCE This co and D2	PT IN PRINCIPL omment does no 2.0 or the unsatis	<i>Response Status</i> E. t apply to the substan
Hence Resol [Edito C/ 163 Brown, M Comment For th	lve using the response or's note: CC: 163, SC 163.9.2 Hatt <i>Type</i> E he SNDR specifica	nse to comment #76. 120F] <i>P</i> <b>200</b> Huawei	L <b>12</b> e d is redundant	table footnote (bucket2)	Response ACCE This co and D2 Hence This co	PT IN PRINCIPL omment does no 2.0 or the unsatis it is not within th omment does no	Response Status E. t apply to the substan fied negative comme e scope of the recircu t provide sufficient de
Hence Resol [Edito C/ 163 Brown, M Comment For th	lve using the response or's note: CC: 163, SC 163.9.2 latt <i>Type</i> E ne SNDR specificates s to 162.9.3.3 which	nse to comment #76. 120F] P <b>200</b> Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> tition in Table 163-5, footnote	L <b>12</b> e d is redundant	table footnote (bucket2)	Response ACCE This co and D2 Hence This co This co	PT IN PRINCIPL omment does no 2.0 or the unsatis it is not within th omment does no st fixture RLcc va	Response Status E. t apply to the substan fied negative comme e scope of the recircu t provide sufficient de alue is too small to pe
Hence Resol Cl 163 Brown, M Comment For th points Suggeste	lve using the response or's note: CC: 163, SC 163.9.2 latt <i>Type</i> E ne SNDR specificates s to 162.9.3.3 which	nse to comment #76. 120F] P <b>200</b> Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> tition in Table 163-5, footnote	L <b>12</b> e d is redundant	table footnote (bucket2)	Response ACCE This co and D2 Hence This co This co The te specifi	PT IN PRINCIPL omment does no 2.0 or the unsatis it is not within th omment does no st fixture RLcc va	Response Status E. t apply to the substan fied negative comme e scope of the recircu t provide sufficient de alue is too small to pe ere is no consensus o
Hence Resol Cl 163 Brown, M Comment For th points Suggeste	Ive using the response or's note: CC: 163, SC 163.9.2 Att Type E The SNDR specificates to 162.9.3.3 which dRemedy the footnote a.	nse to comment #76. 120F] P <b>200</b> Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> tition in Table 163-5, footnote	L <b>12</b> e d is redundant	table footnote (bucket2)	Response ACCE This co and D2 Hence This co This co The te specifi analys	PT IN PRINCIPL comment does no 2.0 or the unsatis it is not within th comment does no st fixture RLcc va ed. However, the is and consensus	Response Status E. t apply to the substan fied negative comme e scope of the recircu t provide sufficient de alue is too small to pe ere is no consensus o

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	P 200	L <b>21</b>	# 77
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status A		table footnote (bucket2)

ne information in the short section that this

С

able 120F-1 is also redundant and thus should

63-5, and Table 120F-1.

C/ 163 S	C 163.9.2.1.3	P 201	L <b>27</b>	# 117
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type	F TR	Comment Status A		TF RLcc (bucket2)

ode return loss should be way better than the significantly better than the spec for the IC+TF.

U

antive changes between IEEE P802.3ck D2.1 nents from the initial ballot. rculation ballot.

details for implementation.

permit measurement of a transmitter RLcc as on an appropriate new specification. Further

as above calling for contributions to address this.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 163 SC 163.9.2.1.3 Page 15 of 18 2021-08-09 3:27:26 PM

C/ 163	SC	163.9.3.1	P 202	L 37	# 34	C/ 163
Ran, Adee	•		Cisco system	S		Dudek, Mik
Comment	Type	Е	Comment Status A		signaling rate (bucket1)	Comment T
it is).			o the value in table 163-8 tha	an to repeat it. (		The filte measur used fo
Suggested	Reme	dy				the tran
			ig rate in the range to "for any signaling rate in	the range spec	ified in Table 163-8"	SuggestedF
	, ODu .			the range spee		Change
Response	пт		Response Status C			the tran
ACCE	PT.					signal a time is i
C/ 163	SC	163.9.3.5	P 204	L <b>39</b>	# 7	risetime
Brown, Ma	tt		Huawei			Response
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		transition time	ACCEF
subcla	use. A	lso, given t	nably per the method in 120 hat transition time is fully de	fined in 120E.3	.1.5 and the common	Implem
term u "transi			simply "transition time", "20"	% to 80% trans	sition time" should be	In the fi
Suggested						defined
00		,	ange "transition time" (first i	ostance) to "tra	nsition time (see	In the s
120E.3						"where
On pa 120E.3	0		ange "20% to 80% transition	time" to "transi	ition time (see	signal a with
Consid	ler add	ding text in o	one place specifying that tra eated multiple times.	nsition time is p	per 120E.3.1.5 so this	"where 120G.3
Response			Response Status C			In the th
ACCE	PT IN	PRINCIPLE				"is equa
Resolv	ve usin	g the respo	nse to comment #73.			120E.3 with
						"is the t

C/ 163	SC 163.9.3.5	P <b>20</b> 4	4 L 4	5 #	73
Dudek, Mike		Marvel	II		
Comment Ty	pe <b>TR</b>	Comment Status	Α		transition time

tered Ht(f) should be using the transition time of the signal generator, however the ured transition time might be interpreted as measured with the 40GHz 3dB bandwidth or all Tx measurements. Also nothing is stated as to how the signal is measured at insmitter output and what the Tx FFE is set to.

#### dRemedv

ge "where Tr is the same as the measured 20% to 80% transition time of the signal at insmitter output" to "where Tr is the same as the measured transition time of the at the transmitter output corrected for the measurement bandwidth. The transition measured using the method in 120E.3.1.5 with a 40GHz 3dB bandwidth and the he is corrected to remove the effect of this measurement bandwidth.

Response	Response Status	С	
----------	-----------------	---	--

PT IN PRINCIPLE.

ment the following with editorial license:

first sub-bullet on item e, insert: "Tr is determined at the die bump and d according to the method in 120G.3.1.4 except there is no observation filter."

second sub-bullet on item e replace:

e Tr is the same as the measured 20% to 80% transition time of the at the transmitter output."

Tr is the transmitter transition time, which is measured using the method in 3.1.4 and adjusted to remove the effect of the observation filter."

third sub-bullet on item e replace:

ual to the transmitter transition time measured at TPOv using the method in 3.1.5 with the transmitter equalizer turned off."

transmitter transition time measured at TP0v with the transmitter equalizer turned off, using the method in 120G.3.1.4 and adjusted to remove the effect of the observation filter."

C/ 163	SC 1	63.9.3.5	P 204	L <b>50</b>	# 74	C/ 163	SC 163.9.3	3.5	P <b>205</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 44		
Dudek, Mi	ke		Marvell			Ran, Adee			Cisco system	าร			
Comment	Туре	TR Con	nment Status A		transition time	Comment	Туре Е	Comm	nent Status A		bucket		
The m	ethod of	measuring the	transition time in 120	E.3.1.5 uses a 3	3GHz measurement	"Q3d" is formatted with inconsistent roman/italic font. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> For consistency with clause 162, use italics for all occurrences of Q3d.							
tilter in that th	n the mea le 40GHz	surement whic 3dB bandwidth	h isn't appropriate for is used. The metho	100G PAM4 hov d in 163A.3.1.3 c	vever bullet k states loes not have anv								
			ed to be the same.		,								
Suggested	dRemedy					Response Response Status C							
					v using the method in	ACCE	PT.						
			r equalizer turned off. P0v with the transmitt		he transmitter ed off. The transition	C/ 163	SC 163.9.3	3.5	P 205	L 31	# 25		
			ethod in 120E.3.1.5 w			Hidaka, Ya				conductor, Inc.			
_			e the effect of this me	asurement band	width.	Comment		Comm	ent Status A		bucket		
Response		RINCIPLE.	oonse Status C				Q3 remains	in NOTE 1.					
ACCE		INCIPLE.				Suggested	Remedy						
Resolv	ve using t	he response to	o comment #73.			Change Q(Q3) with Q(Q3d).							
C/ 163	SC 1	63.9.3.5	P 204	L 51	# 35	Response		Respor	nse Status <b>C</b>				
Ran, Adee	e		Cisco system	าร		ACCE	PT.	,					
Comment	Туре	E Con	nment Status A		RIT TX off	CI 4024	SC 163A.3		P 307	1.00	# 04		
			turned off" - preferabl ding "set to preset 1		with most other places ".	<i>Cl</i> <b>163A</b> Wu, Mau-L			P 307 MediaTek Ind	L <b>33</b> c.	# 91		
Also is	162 9 4	3.3 with a varia	ation on the wording -	preferably chan	re that one too	Comment	51		nent Status A		language (bucket2		
Also is 162.9.4.3.3 with a variation on the wording - preferably change that one too.							For the definition of N_v here, it would be better to change it from "represents the number of symbols to include in the steady-state voltage calculation" to "represents the number of						
SuggestedRemedy Use the term "preset 1 (no equalization)" in all places.							symbols to be included in the steady-state voltage calculation" to represents the number of symbols to be included in the steady-state voltage calculation".						
Response	•	、 ·	oonse Status <b>C</b>			SuggestedRemedy							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: CC: 163, 162]							Change from "represents the number of symbols to include in the steady-state voltage calculation" to "represents the number of symbols to be included the steady-state voltage calculation"						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.													
"with t	ransmitte	r equalization of	off by setting coefficie	nts to preset 1 va	alues (see 162.9.3.1.3)"	Change the definition to: "represents the number of symbols included in the steady state voltage calculation"							
Implement with editorial license.							"represents the number of symbols included in the steady-state voltage calculation"						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 163A SC 163A.3.1.1 Page 17 of 18 2021-08-09 3:27:26 PM

C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.	I.3 <i>P</i> 308	L <b>52</b>	# 23		
Hidaka, Ya	asuo	Credo Semic	emiconductor, Inc.			
Comment 7	Туре <b>т</b>	Comment Status A		language (bucket2)		
		an two sets of reference pack itter package parameter.	age parameters	s. Also, this should be		
Suggested	Remedy					
Change length"	0 1	ackage trace length" with "the	longest transmi	tter package trace		
Apply t	the same chang	ge to page 307 line 36.				
Response		Response Status C				
ACCE	PT.					
C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.	2.2 P 309	L 33	# 43		
Ran, Adee		Cisco system	IS			
Comment T	Type E	Comment Status A		language (bucket2		
		ng the method defined in 93A efinition of the difference para		s a test procedure. But		
The ref	ference to 93A.	5 should be in the definition o	f ERL(meas).			
Suggested	Remedy					
Delete	the quoted ser	tence.				
	e "ERL(meas) i rement as defir	s the measured ERL" to "ERL ned in 93A.5)".	(meas) is the E	RL calculated from		
Response		Response Status C				

. ACCEPT.

C/ 163A SC 163A.3.2.2