C/ 162C	SC 162C.1	P 302	L 3	
---------	-----------	-------	------------	--

Lusted. Kent

I-1

Intel Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

For D2.2 comment resolution, there was contribution for an improved MDI connector mapping that was not accepted by the comment resolution group (CRG). see https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_09/ghiasi_3ck_01_0921.pdf One key feedback point on the contribution from the CRG was that the Ground pins should remain in the specification.

QSFP-DD800: For the TX2n/TX2p pair, note that GND pin #1 is closest to TX2n and GND pin #4 is closest to TX2p. Also, GND pin #4 is closest to TX4n and GND pin #7 is closest to TX4p.

For the OSFP TX2n/TX2p pair, note that GND pin #1 is closest to TX2p and GND pin #4 is closest to TX2n. Also, GND pin #4 goes with TX4p and GND pin #7 goes with TX4n.

The issue now comes from having both the OSFP and QSFP-DD800 pins in the same table.

For the QSFP-DD800 column, GND pin #1 is the physical pin next to SL1n (TX2n in the connector spec) and GND pin #4 is the physical pin next to SL1p (TX2p). However, in the OSFP column, the physical GND pin next to SL1n (TX2n) is pin #4, not pin #1 as shown above, and the physical GND pin next to SL1p (TX2p) is pin #1, not #4. Then the table becomes very messy on subsequent rows because the GND pin number can be one of two values in the OSFP case; for example, GND pin #1 is next to SL1p (TX2p) but GND pin #7 is next to SL3n (TX4n).

The GND pins are useful information, keep them in the table(s)

SuggestedRemedy

Replace Table 162C-3 with three tables: QSFP/QSFP-DD800 table **OSFP** table SFP/SFP-DD/DSFP table

see accompanying presentation.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

SC 80.1.3 C/ 80 P76 L 41 # 1-2 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Systems, Inc. Comment Type Е Comment Status X Add: "Clause 167 for 100GBASE-VR1 and 100GBASE-SR1" on line 42 for the case of single lane datapath as added by 802.3db SuggestedRemedy Add: "Clause 167 for 100GBASE-VR1 and 100GBASE-SR1" on line 42 showing appropriate changes from the text in 802.3db Proposed Response Response Status 0 P64 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13.1 L 48 # 1-3 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems, Inc. Comment Type Т Comment Status X Bit 7.49.6 needs its own subclause SuggestedRemedy Insert new subclause "45.2.7.13.A RS-FEC-Int negotiated (7.49.6)" and make it contain the this text currently in 45.2.7.13.1: "When the Auto-Negotiation process has completed as indicated by the AN complete bit (7.1.5), bit 7.49.6 indicates that Forward Error Correction codeword-interleaved (RS-FEC-Int) operation as defined in Clause 161 has been negotiated. This bit is set only if RS-FEC-Int operation has been negotiated for a 100GBASE-P PHY supporting negotiation of RS-FEC-Int operation." Proposed Response Response Status 0

Comment ID 1-3

Page 1 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

C/ 161 SC 161.5.2.6.2	P 137	L 6	# I-4	C/ 161	SC 161.6.2	P 146	L 53	# I-6
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	ign Systems, In	<u> </u>	Marris, Art	hur	Cadence Des	sign Systems, In	с.
Comment Type T Comment	t Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
It would help understanding to point	to where tx_scr	ambled is define	ed	Some	of the cross refe	erences point to the wrong su	bclauses in Clau	use 91.
SuggestedRemedy Change: "Let the set of vectors tx_scrambled tx_scrambled<256:0>." To: "Let the set of vectors tx_scrambled transcoder output tx_scrambled<25 Proposed Response Response	l_i<256:0> repre	esent consecutiv	e values of the	On pa On pa On pa On pa On pa On pa On pa	ge 146 line 49 cl ge 146 line 53 cl ge 147 line 2 ch ge 147 line 7 ch ge 147 line 7 ch ge 147 line 11 cl ge 147 line 15 cl ge 147 line 20 cl ge 147 line 23 cl ge 147 line 28 cl	hange 91.6.1 to 91.6.2 hange 91.6.4 to 91.6.9 ange 91.6.5 to 91.6.10 ange 91.6.7 to 91.6.14 hange 91.6.8 to 91.6.15 hange 91.6.9 to 91.6.16 hange 91.6.10 to 91.6.17 hange 91.6.12 to 91.6.19 hange 91.6.2b to 91.6.4		
Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.16 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Comment Reconcile the last paragraph of 30.5 SuggestedRemedy Make it so the last paragraph of 30. "If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface is pr to the RS-FEC enable bit in the appropriat the FEC operating mode (see 45.2.10.3, 45.5	t Status X 5.1.1.16 with the 5.1.1.16 is ident resent, then this re FEC control re	ical to the revision attribute maps t egister based up	ion standard. on standard so it reads: o the FEC enable bit or	On pa On pa On pa On pa On pa On pa	ge 147 line 39 cl ge 147 line 43 cl ge 148 line 3 ch ge 148 line 7 ch ge 148 line 11 cl ge 148 line 16 cl ge 148 line 19 cl	hange 91.6.2c to 91.6.5 hange 91.6.2d to 91.6.6 hange 91.6.2e to 91.6.7 ange 91.6.13 to 91.6.20 ange 91.6.14 to 91.6.21 hange 91.6.15 to 91.6.22 hange 91.6.16 to 91.6.23 hange 91.6.17 to 91.6.24 <i>Response Status</i> O		
	Status O	- / 1						
10000100								

CI 45	SC 45.2.1.21	P 42	L 3	# 1-7	C/ 161	SC 161.5.2.6	.2 P 137	L 7	# <u>I-9</u>
Marris, Artl	hur	Cadence Des	ign Systems, Inc.		Nicholl, Sh	nawn	Xilinx		
Comment [·]	Туре Е	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
Align 4 approp		3db draft 2.1 and also 45.2	.1.24 and any oth	er subclauses as	Reade	ers of the sub-cla	led<256:0> is mentioned w use may not realize that the	e variable's detaile	ed definition is found
Suggested	lRemedy						61. Including some guiding	g text may neip th	e reader to havigate.
	e editing instruction ge Table 45–23 as	on from: s follows (some unchanged	rows not shown):'			se to change the	sentence to: s tx scrambled i<256:0> r	epresent consecu	itive values of the
"Chang		s modified by IEEE 802.3db	o-202x) as follows	(some unchanged			crambled<256:0> (see 161.		
rows n	ot shown):"				Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
In Tab 802.3d		erved row as crossed out ar	nd change bits to	"1.23:8:7" to match					
0			45 0 4 04 4 (-!!		C/ 161	SC 161.5.2.6	.2 P 137	L 22	# I-10
to:	e "Insert 45.2.1.21	1.1a and 45.2.1.21.1b after	45.2.1.21.1 as fol	IOWS:"	Nicholl, Sh	nawn	Xilinx		
"Insert		45.2.1.21.1d after 45.2.1.21	.1b (as inserted	by IEEE 802.3db-	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
	as follows:"						n "followed the alignment n some other text is meant t		spective lane" leaves
Renum	nber 45.2.1.21.1a	and 45.2.1.21.1b to 45.2.	1.21.1c and 45.2.	1.21.10	Suggested	Remedy			
In Tab 802.3d		erved row as crossed out ar	nd change bits to	"1.26:1:0" to match	withou	it an alignment m	is paragraph to the area pri arker group". This enhanc	es readability of t	
Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0				•	gnment group" portions tog	gether.	
					Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 161	SC 161	P 133	L 4	# <u>I-8</u>					
licholl, Sh	awn	Xilinx							
Comment [·]	Туре Е	Comment Status X							
	test P802.3/D3.0 (previously upperc	i.e. 802.3dc) nows uses low ase was used.	vercase "forward e	error correction",					
Suggested	IRemedy								
For P8	302.3ck, propose te	o change the Clause 161 tit	le to lower case.						
		of Clause 161 propose to orrection" is currently found.	change to lowerca	ase other places					
Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0							

C/ 161 SC 161.5.2.6.	2 P 137	L 36	# I-11	C/ 161	SC 1	61.5.2.6.2	2	P 137	L 50	# I-13
licholl, Shawn	Xilinx			Nicholl, Sh	nawn			Xilinx		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	ER	Comment S	Status X		
	mbled is inserted into an are			Figure	161-4 h	as the wro	ong caption.			
	auses confusion. The diagra	am should be clar	rified.	Suggested	Remedy	/				
	dc) Figure 119-5 and Figure						Figure 161-4 c ent marker ins			
 Remove the arrow free - Replace "FEC codew 	e following proposed change om the diagram vord A" with "from FEC code vord B" with "from FEC code	eword A"	3:	Proposed	Respons	se	Response S	tatus O		
- Add shading to the fi	nal cell/column of the table (Id be different colour from the table)	(i.e. for the rows p		C/ 161	SC 1	61.5.2.6.2	2	P 137	L 54	# I-14
	"B A" into the newly shaded			Nicholl, Sh	nawn			Xilinx		
- Add superscript text	"A B" into the newly shaded	area for FEC lan	es 2	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment S	Status X		
tx_scrambled blocks" - If "Resumption of 2	ed" with "Resumption of 257 57-bit tx_scrambled blocks" igure 119-5 and Figure 119-	is chosen, then p	propose to make	are ca	lled by re	eference,		uld include sor	mentally connec ne detail about h	t together sections that low
(i.e. 802.3dc)	igule 119-5 and Figule 119-	r infough mainte	TIATICE OF FOUZ.3/D3.0	Suggested	Remedy	/				
same colour as the new	, add an "=" (equal symbol) /ly shared area n is also consistent with late	-		- the	contents	s of tx_scr	ambled_am<		an input to the P	the following text: re-FEC distribution
4 and ideally will remain	consistent with Figure 91-4	ł		Proposed	Respons	se	Response S	tatus O	,	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			·						
	0 0407			C/ 161	SC 1	61.5.4.2.1	1	P 142	L 46	# <u>I-15</u>
7 161 SC 161.5.2.6.	-	L 44	# I-12	Nicholl, Sh	nawn			Xilinx		
choll, Shawn	Xilinx			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment S	Status X		
	Comment Status X mbled is mentioned in sever								_lane_mapping< larly update CL1	
	0x257-bit. However, tx_scra	imbled is 257 bits	s wide.	Suggested	Remedy	/				
uggestedRemedy				Propos	se to ins	ert fec_la	ne_mapping<	x> after fec_la	ane.	
- Replace (in two place bits)" - Replace (in two place blocks"	llowing change(s) to Figure es) "am_txmapped 5x257-bi es) "tx_scrambled 35x257-bi	t blocks" with "am it blocks" with "35	5x257-bit tx_scrambled	in 91.5	5.4.2.1 ex	xcept that	161.6.8 defin	es the FEC la	ine mapping."	e definition of fec_lane
- Note that this diagrar	ed 40x257-bit blocks" with " n is consistent with latest Pa leally will remain consistent	802.3/D3.0 (i.e. 8	02.3dc) Figure 119-6	Proposed	Respons	se	Response S	tatus O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 161 SC 161.6	<i>P</i> 146	L 19	# I-16	C/ 161	SC 161.6	P 146	L 49	# <mark> </mark> -17
licholl, Shawn	Xilinx			Nicholl, Sh	awn	Xilinx		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X		
it seems appropria	D3.0 (i.e. 802.3dc) Table 91-3 lis te for P802.3ck Table 161-2 to d		y "Register/bit number"	P802.3		of 161.6, a number of cross-re 2.3dc) are incorrect. There ar		
- move "1.201.3" - move "1.201.4"	sed changes to P802.3ck CL161 higher in the table (i.e. after 1.20 higher in the table (i.e. after the r 1.209" higher in the table (i.e. aft <i>Response Status</i> O	1.2) new location of 1	1.201.3)	Suggested Summ - pg. - pg. after 1 order of the tex - pg. locatio Table - pg. sub-cla consis FEC_o definiti - pg. sub-cla update to the - pg. after th	Remedy ary of propos 146, line 49, 146, line 50, 1 61.6.1 FEC_to of entries in Ta t "Identical to 146, line 50, 1 146, line 50, 1 ause after the tency with the degraded_SE on in 91.6.5, 146, line 50, 1 ause after the the FEC_de definition in 9 146, line 50, 1 ne new locatio C_degraded_	ed changes to P802.3ck CL16 161.6.1 FEC_bypass_indication nove the existing 161.6.10 FE bypass_indication_enable sub able 161-1; update the FEC_ the definition in 91.6.4, except nove the existing 161.6.14 10 graded_SER_enable to retain move the existing 161.6.11 FE new location of 100G_RS_FE order of entries in Table 161- R_activate_threshold section f except the reference becomest nove the existing 161.6.12 FE new location of FEC_degrade graded_SER_deactivate_threat 1.6.6, except the reference be move the existing 161.6.13 FE nove the existing 161.6.13 FE nove the existing 161.6.13 FE nove the existing 161.6.13 FE nove the existing 161.6.13 FE	on_enable: change C_degraded_SER_et clause to retain c degraded_SER_et t the reference be 0G_RS_FEC_Int_ consistency with t C_degraded_SER C_Int_enable sut 1; update the to contain the text 3 f61.5.3.3.2." C_degraded_SER_ activate_shold section to ca comes 161.5.3.3.3. C_degraded_SER_ activate_threshold in the text "Identication to the text and tex	R_enable sub-clause onsistency with the nable section to contain comes 161.5.3.3.2." enable after the new he order of entries in R_activate_threshold o-clause to retain "Identical to the R_deactivate_threshold threshold sub-clause ; ontain the text "Identica 2." R_interval sub-clause d sub-clause ; update
				- pg. - pg. - pg. 161.6. update definiti - pg. new lo section becom - pg. - pg. - pg. - pg. - pg. - pg. - pg. - pg. - pg.	146, line 53, 147, line 3, 10 146, line 5, m 3 hi_ser sub-o e the FEC_de on in 91.6.11 146, line 5, m cation of FEC to contain th res 161.5.3.3. 147, line 11, 147, line 11, 147, line 15, 147, line 18, 147, line 23, 147, line 24, 1	eference becomes 161.5.3.3.2 161.6.2 FEC_bypass_indication 161.6.3 hi_ser: change "91.6.5" ove the existing 161.6.20 FEC clause to retain consistency w graded_SER_ability section to except the reference become ove the existing 161.6.21 FEC c_degraded_SER_ability sub- ce text "Identical to the definition 2." 16.6.4 amps_lock <x>: change 161.6.5 fec_align_status: char 161.6.6 FEC_corrected_cw_cat 161.6.7 FEC_corrected_cw_cat 161.6.8 FEC_lane_mapping<> nove the existing 161.6.22 FE <x> sub-clause to retain cons</x></x>	on_ability: change to "91.6.10" C_degraded_SER. ith the order of en o contain the text " ss 161.5.3.3.2." C_degraded_SER clause ; update the on in 91.6.12, exce "91.6.7" to "91.6. oge "91.6.8" to "91 ounter: change "9 ounter: change "9 c>: change "91.6.1 iC_cw_counter su	_ability sub-clause afte tries in Table 161-2 ; Identical to the sub-clause after the e FEC_degraded_SER ept the reference 14" .6.15" 1.6.9" to "91.6.16" 1.6.10" to "91.6.17" 1" to "91.6.18" b-clause after

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 1-17

Page 5 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

- pg. 147. line 27. 161.6.9 FEC symbol error counter i; change "91.6.12" to "91.6.19" C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.1 P174 L4 # 1-20 - pg. 147, line 28, move the existing 161.6.23 FEC codeword error bin i sub-clause after FEC symbol error counter i sub-clause to retain consistency with the order of entries in Brown. Matthew Huawei Technologies Canada Table 161-2 Comment Type т Comment Status X - pg. 148, line 3, 161.6.15 align status: change "91.6.13" to "91.6.20" This paragraph provides the nominal value for the UI. This is not provided in 162 for KR, in - pg, 148, line 7, 161.6.16 BIP error counter i: change "91.6.14" to "91.6.21" - pg. 148. line 11. 161.6.17 lane mapping<x>: change "91.6.15" to "91.6.22" 120F for C2C, or in 120G for C2M. It is not necessary to specify this number since it is easily determined by the nominal signaling rate. To be consistent with other similar - pg. 148, line 15, 161.6.18 block_lock<x>: change "91.6.16" to "91.6.23" PMD/AUI clauses this sentence should be removed. - pg. 148. line 19. 161.6.19 am lock<x>: change "91.6.17" to "91.6.24" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Remove the following sentence: "This translates to a nominal unit interval of approximately 18.8235 ps." C/ 0 SC 0 P0 LO # I-18 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Brown. Matthew Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Status X Comment Type E C/ 163 SC 163.9.2.7 P 207 L10 # I-21 Keep this draft in line with the new revision (802.3dc) and any amendments that precede 802.3ck. Brown, Matthew Huawei Technologies Canada Comment Type Т Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy This table incorrectly points to Table 163-11 for the SCMR value. Align the next draft with the latest versions of the new revision (802.3df) and any preceding amendments. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change "Table 163-11" to "Table 163-5". Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 80 L14 # I-19 Brown. Matthew Huawei Technologies Canada C/ 162 SC 162.11.2 P182 L6 # 1-22 Comment Type Comment Status X т Brown, Matthew Huawei Technologies Canada 100GAUI-1 C2C and C2M are listed in Table 80-5 as optional for 100GBASE-VR1 and Comment Type т Comment Status X 100GBASE-SR1, but the sublaver table in Clause 167 does not list these. The specified for ILDD says the value "should be greater than or equal" to Equation 162-SuggestedRemedy 17, but Equation 162-17 is an inequality. Change the wording to the form used in 120G.4.1. Import Clause 167 and Table 167-1, adding 100GBASE-1 C2C and C2M. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change: "The measured differential-mode to differential-mode insertion loss of a cable assembly shall be greater than or equal to the minimum cable assembly differential-mode to differential-mode insertion loss given in Equation (162-17) and illustrated in Figure 162-7." To: "The channel differential-mode to differential-mode insertion loss shall meet Equation (162-17), which is illustrated in Figure 162-7." In Equation 162-17 change ILddmin to ILdd. Change the description of ILddmin (now ILdd) to "is the cable assembly differential-mode to differential-mode insertion loss in dB". Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Comment ID 1-22

Page 6 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

C/ 163 SC 163.10.2	P 214	L 16	# 1-23	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	1.5	P 260	L 19	# 1-26
own, Matthew	Huawei Techn	ologies Canada		Brown, Mat	tthew		Huawei Techr	nologies Canada	
omment Type T Co	omment Status X			Comment T	Туре Т	Comme	nt Status X		
The sentence specifying inse inequality. Reword the specify			the equation is an		120G-6 include rements define			easurement recei	iver, yet there are no
uggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Change: "The maximum reco		node to differential	-mode insertion loss	Change	e "VNA or scop	e" to "Scope	e".		
of the channel is given by Eq To: "The channel differential- (163-6)."		de insertion loss sł	hould meet Equation	Proposed F	Response	Respons	e Status O		
roposed Response Res	sponse Status O			C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.	3.5.1	P 266	L 6	# I-27
				Brown, Mat	tthew		Huawei Techr	nologies Canada	
120F SC 120F.4.2	P 248	L 20	# I-24	Comment T	Туре Т	Comme	nt Status X		
own, Matthew	Huawei Techne	ologies Canada							.1. Since the BUJ
omment Type T Co	omment Status X						pared to that in 1		corner frequency give the same jitter
The contenes are sife in a loss	artion loss refers to a ma	wimum value, but							give the same fitter
The sentence specifying inse			the equation is an	distribu	luon.				
inequality. Reword the specify			the equation is an	distribu Suggested					
inequality. Reword the specify uggestedRemedy Change: "The channel differentiation (120 To: "The channel differential-	y to be of the for used in ential-mode to differentia IF-2)."	n 120G.4.1. al-mode insertion lo	oss should be equal	Suggested Change betwee To: "Th	Remedy e: "The low-pas en 150 MHz and	d 300 MHz." er has 20 dB/	20 dB/decade rollo /decade rolloff wit		
inequality. Reword the specify uggestedRemedy Change: "The channel different to or less than Equation (120 To: "The channel differential- (120F-2)."	y to be of the for used in ential-mode to differentia IF–2)." mode to differential-mod	n 120G.4.1. al-mode insertion lo	oss should be equal	Suggested Change betwee To: "Th	Remedy e: "The low-pas en 150 MHz and he low-pass filte Hz and 1.2 GHz	d 300 MHz." er has 20 dB, z."			
inequality. Reword the specify aggestedRemedy Change: "The channel different to or less than Equation (120 To: "The channel differential- (120F-2)."	y to be of the for used in ential-mode to differentia IF-2)."	n 120G.4.1. al-mode insertion lo	oss should be equal	Suggested Change betwee To: "Th 600 MH	Remedy e: "The low-pas en 150 MHz and he low-pass filte Hz and 1.2 GHz	d 300 MHz." er has 20 dB, z."	/decade rolloff wit		orner frequency frequency between
inequality. Reword the specify ggestedRemedy Change: "The channel different to or less than Equation (120 To: "The channel differential- (120F-2)." Sposed Response R	y to be of the for used in ential-mode to differentia IF–2)." mode to differential-mod sponse Status O	n 120G.4.1. al-mode insertion lo de insertion loss sl	oss should be equal hould meet Equation	Suggested Change betwee To: "Th 600 MH	Remedy e: "The low-pas en 150 MHz and he low-pass filte Hz and 1.2 GHz	d 300 MHz." er has 20 dB, z." <i>Respons</i>	/decade rolloff wit		
inequality. Reword the specify ggestedRemedy Change: "The channel differentiation (120 To: "The channel differentiation (120F-2)." Sposed Response Reserver	y to be of the for used in ential-mode to differentia (F-2)." mode to differential-mod sponse Status O P 248	n 120G.4.1. al-mode insertion lo de insertion loss st	oss should be equal	Suggested Change betwee To: "Th 600 MH Proposed F	Remedy e: "The low-pass en 150 MHz and le low-pass filte Hz and 1.2 GHz Response SC 120G.3.	d 300 MHz." er has 20 dB, z." <i>Respons</i> 3.5.1	/decade rolloff wit e <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 266 Huawei Techr	h a –3 dB corner	frequency between
inequality. Reword the specify IggestedRemedy Change: "The channel differential- to or less than Equation (120 To: "The channel differential- (120F-2)." oposed Response Res 120F SC 120F.4.2 own, Matthew	y to be of the for used in ential-mode to differentia IF–2)." mode to differential-mod sponse Status O P 248 Huawei Techn	n 120G.4.1. al-mode insertion lo de insertion loss sl	oss should be equal hould meet Equation	Suggested Change betwee To: "Th 600 MH Proposed F C/ 120G Brown, Mat Comment T	Remedy e: "The low-pass en 150 MHz and le low-pass filte Hz and 1.2 GHz Response SC 120G.3. tthew Type T	d 300 MHz." er has 20 dB, z." <i>Respons</i> 3.5.1 <i>Comme</i>	/decade rolloff wit e Status O P 266 Huawei Techr nt Status X	h a –3 dB corner <i>L</i> 40 nologies Canada	frequency between # [<u>1-28</u>
inequality. Reword the specify ggestedRemedy Change: "The channel differential- to or less than Equation (120 To: "The channel differential- (120F-2)." oposed Response 120F SC 120F.4.2 own, Matthew omment Type E Co To be consistent with other si	y to be of the for used in ential-mode to differential IF–2)." mode to differential-mod sponse Status O P 248 Huawei Techno omment Status X imilar specifications in th	n 120G.4.1. al-mode insertion lo de insertion loss sl <i>L</i> 26 ologies Canada	bss should be equal hould meet Equation # 1-25	Suggested Change betwee To: "Th 600 MH Proposed F C/ 120G Brown, Mat Comment T Figure	Remedy e: "The low-pass en 150 MHz and le low-pass filte Hz and 1.2 GHz Response SC 120G.3 . Type T 120G-9 include	d 300 MHz." er has 20 dB, z." <i>Respons</i> 3.5.1 <i>Comme</i> es a VNA (ve	/decade rolloff wit e <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 266 Huawei Techr	h a –3 dB corner <i>L</i> 40 nologies Canada yzer) at the outpu	frequency between # [<u>1-28</u>
inequality. Reword the specify ggestedRemedy Change: "The channel different to or less than Equation (120 To: "The channel differential- (120F-2)." posed Response Re	y to be of the for used in ential-mode to differential IF–2)." mode to differential-mod sponse Status O P 248 Huawei Techno omment Status X imilar specifications in th	n 120G.4.1. al-mode insertion lo de insertion loss sl <i>L</i> 26 ologies Canada	bss should be equal hould meet Equation # 1-25	Suggested Change betwee To: "Th 600 MH Proposed F C/ 120G Brown, Mat Comment T Figure	Remedy e: "The low-pass in 150 MHz and he low-pass filte Hz and 1.2 GHz Response SC 120G.3. thew Type T 120G-9 include tor, yet there a	d 300 MHz." er has 20 dB, z." <i>Respons</i> 3.5.1 <i>Comme</i> es a VNA (ve	/decade rolloff wit e Status O P 266 Huawei Techr nt Status X ector network anal	h a –3 dB corner <i>L</i> 40 nologies Canada yzer) at the outpu	frequency between # [<u>1-28</u>
inequality. Reword the specify ggestedRemedy Change: "The channel differential- to or less than Equation (120 To: "The channel differential- (120F-2)." oposed Response 120F SC 120F.4.2 own, Matthew omment Type E Co To be consistent with other si variable definition not the equipgestedRemedy	y to be of the for used in ential-mode to differentia F–2)." mode to differential-mod sponse Status O P 248 Huawei Techno omment Status X imilar specifications in the uation.	n 120G.4.1. al-mode insertion lo de insertion loss sl <i>L</i> 26 ologies Canada	bss should be equal hould meet Equation # 1-25	Suggested Change betwee To: "Th 600 MH Proposed F Cl 120G Brown, Mat Comment T Figure genera Suggested	Remedy e: "The low-pass in 150 MHz and le low-pass filte Hz and 1.2 GHz Response SC 120G.3.3 tthew Type T 120G-9 include tor, yet there a Remedy	d 300 MHz." er has 20 dB, z." Respons 3.5.1 Comme es a VNA (ve re measuren	/decade rolloff wit e Status O P 266 Huawei Techr nt Status X ector network anal	h a –3 dB corner <i>L</i> 40 hologies Canada yzer) at the outpu require a VNA.	frequency between # [<u>1-28</u>
inequality. Reword the specify uggestedRemedy Change: "The channel differential- to or less than Equation (120 To: "The channel differential- (120F-2)." roposed Response Res 1 120F SC 120F.4.2 rown, Matthew comment Type E Co To be consistent with other si	y to be of the for used in ential-mode to differentia IF-2)." mode to differential-mod sponse Status O P 248 Huawei Techno omment Status X imilar specifications in the uation. (dB)"	n 120G.4.1. al-mode insertion lo de insertion loss sl <i>L</i> 26 ologies Canada his draft the units s	boss should be equal hould meet Equation # [<u>1-25</u> should be in the	Suggested Change betwee To: "Th 600 MH Proposed F Cl 120G Brown, Mat Comment T Figure genera Suggested	Remedy e: "The low-pass in 150 MHz and le low-pass filte Hz and 1.2 GHz Response SC 120G.3. thew Type T 120G-9 include tor, yet there a Remedy the VNA box a	d 300 MHz." er has 20 dB, z." <i>Respons</i> 3.5.1 <i>Comme</i> es a VNA (ve re measuren nd the switch	/decade rolloff wit e Status O P 266 Huawei Techr nt Status X ector network anal nents defined that	h a –3 dB corner <i>L</i> 40 hologies Canada yzer) at the outpu require a VNA.	frequency between # [<u>1-28</u>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 1-28

Page 7 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.	4 P 269	L 12	# 1-29	C/ 120G	SC 12	20G.3.4	.3.2	P 271	L 28	# <mark>I-32</mark>
Brown, Matthew	Huawei Techn	ologies Canada		Brown, Ma	tthew			Huawei Tech	nologies Canada	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре '	т	Commer	nt Status X		
in this table relate to t	"Module input characteristics" he module input. To match the e removed from the parameter	other specification	ns in this table the	PCB c insertic	hannel. T on loss. T	The rem The inte	aining 2.2 d nt is that the	B accounts for or e remaining 2.2 d	nly a portion of the	for a worst case host e expected package ed effective insertion e loss.
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	lRemedy					
	pk-pk voltage tolerance (min)" voltage tolerance (min)"			18.2 di	B at 26.5	6 GHz,	representing			enerator to TP1a is onal allowance for
Proposed Response	Response Status 0	L 44	# [1-30	To: "Th at 26.5 to the c	56 GHz, r	ng insei epreser	tion loss fro ting 16 dB o	channel loss with	an additional 2.2	tor to TP1a is 18.2 dE dB which in addition for host transmitter
Brown, Matthew		ologies Canada	" 100	Proposed I		þ	Pesnons	e Status O		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X	ologies Callada		11000001	reepone	0	Response			
Figure 120G-10 includ	les a VNA at the output of the f ments defined that require the		ent attenuator, but	C/ 161	SC 16	61.5.4.2	.2	P 143	L 6	# <mark>I-33</mark>
SuggestedRemedy				Rannow, R	К			IEEE membe	r / Self Employed	
Delete the VNA box a	nd the associated switch.			Comment	Туре '	т	Commer	nt Status X		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Multipl ambigu		es of th	e term "both	n", and both = an	d. This appears v	erbose and perhaps
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4	4.3.2 <i>P</i> 271	L 25	# 1-31	Confus	sing state	ement:				
Brown, Matthew	-	ologies Canada	# <u>1-51</u>	If curre	ent_pcsl a	and first	_pcsl both f	ound a match an	d indicate the san	ne PCS lane number,
Comment Type T	Comment Status X	ologies Callada		amp_n	natch is s	set to tru	ie. Otherwis	se, amp_match is	s set to false.	
51	he scattering parameters is an	biquous but is in	ended to be from	Suggested	lRemedy					
	output to TP1a. Also, the desci			Reviev	v and rem	nove the	e term "both	".		
SuggestedRemedy				Sugge	sted mod	dificatior	1:			
On page 271 line 25,	change "the scattering parame pattern generator output to TP1	ters approximate" a approximate".	to "the scattering					n and indicate the ch is set to false.		number, amp_match
For equation 120G-3 mode to differential-m	change the definition of ILdd(f) ode insertion loss in dB" jure 120G-11 to "Module stress	to "is the target hi	-	Proposed I	Response	е	Response	e Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0									

C/ 163 SC 163.9.2.7	P 207	L 11	# 1-34	C/ 1	SC 1.3	F	P 32	L 12	# I-37
Nu, Mau-Lin	MediaTek Inc	:.		Ran, Adee		Cis	sco System	s, Inc.	
Comment Type TR Co.	mment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment State	us X		
The specification for SCMR (min) is defined in Table	e 163-5, instead	of Table 163-11.			SFP-DD and for SF	P-DD don't	have periods at	the end, unlike other
SuggestedRemedy				referer					
Change Table 163-11 to Tabl	le 163-5. Correct the h	yperlink as well.		Suggested	-				
Proposed Response Res	ponse Status O			Add fir	hal periods for t	hese two references	S.		
				Proposed	Response	Response Statu	us O		
C/ 154 SC 154.1	P 133	L 0	# 1-35	C/ 1	SC 1.4		P 32	L 51	# 1-38
Ran, Adee	Cisco System	ns, Inc.					-	-	# 1-30
Comment Type T Co	mment Status X			Ran, Adee			sco System	s, Inc.	
Clause 154 (recently added to the 100GAUI-1 C2C/C2M inte	o 802.3dc) defines the erfaces, in addition to t	100GBASE-ZR he 100GAUI-2 a	PHY, which may use nd other interfaces	Comment For co		Comment State s should be formatt		and underlined.	
currently listed.				Suggested					
SuggestedRemedy	the duet			Apply	URL format in f	our URL instances	on this page	e.	
Add Clause 154 and 154.1 to	o the draft.			Proposed I	Response	Response Statu	us O		
				,	•	,	-		
Amend Table 154–1 to includ	le 100GAUI-1 C2C and	d 100GAUI-1 C2I	M, both optional.	,		,	-		
	le 100GAUI-1 C2C and sponse Status O	d 100GAUI-1 C2I	M, both optional.					1.20	# [20
		d 100GAUI-1 C2I	M, both optional.	C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1	.16 F	P36	L 39	# [-39
Proposed Response Res	sponse Status O			<i>Cl</i> 30 Ran, Adee		. 16 F Cis	P 36 sco System		# [<mark> -39</mark>
Proposed Response Res	P 225	L 0	M, both optional. # <mark>I-36</mark>	C/ 30 Ran, Adee Comment	Туре Е	.16 F Cis Comment State	P 36 sco System tus X	s, Inc.	
Proposed Response Res	P 225 Cisco System	L 0		C/ 30 Ran, Adee Comment	Туре Е	.16 F Cis Comment State	P 36 sco System tus X	s, Inc.	# [1-39
Proposed Response Res	P 225 Cisco System mment Status X	L 0 ns, Inc.	# [<u>1-36</u>]	CI 30 Ran, Adee Comment The fo If a Cla	<i>Type</i> E urth paragraph ause 45 MDIO I	.16 F Cis Comment State of 30.5.1.1.16 has I nterface is present,	P 36 sco System tus X been chang , then this a	s, Inc. ged by 802.3dc t ttribute maps to	o the following text: the FEC enable bit o
Troposed Response Res 7 167 SC 167.1 an, Adee Comment Type T Co. Clause 167 (part of 802.3db) signaling. These PHYs may u	P 225 Cisco System mment Status X defines six new PHY v use the 100GAUI-1, 20	L 0 ns, Inc. with optical PMDs 10GAUI-2, and 40	# 1-36	CI 30 Ran, Adee Comment The fo If a Cla to the	<i>Type</i> E with paragraph ause 45 MDIO I RS-FEC enable	.16 F Cis Comment State of 30.5.1.1.16 has I nterface is present,	P 36 sco System tus X been chang , then this a ate FEC cor	s, Inc. ged by 802.3dc t ttribute maps to htrol register bas	o the following text: the FEC enable bit o sed upon the PHY typ
Proposed Response Res 27 167 SC 167.1 tan, Adee Comment Type T Co. Clause 167 (part of 802.3db) signaling. These PHYs may u interfaces, in addition to the in	P 225 Cisco System mment Status X defines six new PHY v use the 100GAUI-1, 20 nterfaces currently liste	<i>L</i> 0 ns, Inc. with optical PMDs 10GAUI-2, and 40 ed.	# 1-36 s that use 53.125 GBd 00GAUI-4 C2C/C2M	CI 30 Ran, Adee Comment The fo If a Cla to the and the	<i>Type</i> E with paragraph ause 45 MDIO I RS-FEC enable e FEC operating	.16 F Cis <i>Comment State</i> of 30.5.1.1.16 has t nterface is present, e bit in the appropria	P 36 sco System tus X been chang , then this a ate FEC cor 10.3, 45.2.1.	s, Inc. ged by 802.3dc t ttribute maps to htrol register bas 108, and 45.2.1	o the following text: the FEC enable bit o sed upon the PHY typ .116).;
Proposed Response Res Cl 167 SC 167.1 Ran, Adee Comment Type T Co. Clause 167 (part of 802.3db) signaling. These PHYs may u interfaces, in addition to the in Since 802.3db is scheduled to	P 225 Cisco System mment Status X defines six new PHY v use the 100GAUI-1, 20 nterfaces currently liste	<i>L</i> 0 ns, Inc. with optical PMDs 10GAUI-2, and 40 ed.	# 1-36 s that use 53.125 GBd 00GAUI-4 C2C/C2M	CI 30 Ran, Adee Comment The fo If a Cla to the and the	<i>Type</i> E with paragraph ause 45 MDIO I RS-FEC enable e FEC operating emoves the nee	.16 F Cis <i>Comment Statt</i> of 30.5.1.1.16 has b nterface is present, b bit in the appropria g mode (see 45.2.1	P 36 sco System tus X been chang , then this a ate FEC cor 10.3, 45.2.1.	s, Inc. ged by 802.3dc t ttribute maps to htrol register bas 108, and 45.2.1	o the following text: the FEC enable bit o sed upon the PHY typ .116).;
Proposed Response Res Cl 167 SC 167.1 Ran, Adee Comment Type T Co. Clause 167 (part of 802.3db) signaling. These PHYs may u interfaces, in addition to the in Since 802.3db is scheduled to of clause 167.	P 225 Cisco System mment Status X defines six new PHY v use the 100GAUI-1, 20 nterfaces currently liste	<i>L</i> 0 ns, Inc. with optical PMDs 10GAUI-2, and 40 ed.	# 1-36 s that use 53.125 GBd 00GAUI-4 C2C/C2M	CI 30 Ran, Adee Comment The fo If a Cla to the and the This re Suggested	Type E with paragraph ause 45 MDIO I RS-FEC enable e FEC operation emoves the nee IRemedy	.16 F Cis <i>Comment Statt</i> of 30.5.1.1.16 has b nterface is present, b bit in the appropria g mode (see 45.2.1	P 36 sco System tus X been chang , then this a ate FEC cor 0.3, 45.2.1. n this parag	s, Inc. ged by 802.3dc t ttribute maps to htrol register bas 108, and 45.2.1	o the following text: the FEC enable bit o sed upon the PHY typ .116).;
Proposed Response Res Cl 167 SC 167.1 Ran, Adee Comment Type T Co. Clause 167 (part of 802.3db) signaling. These PHYs may u interfaces, in addition to the in Since 802.3db is scheduled to of clause 167. SuggestedRemedy Add Clause 167 and 167.1 to	P 225 Cisco System mment Status X defines six new PHY v use the 100GAUI-1, 20 nterfaces currently liste o be published before a	<i>L</i> 0 ns, Inc. with optical PMDe 00GAUI-2, and 40 ed. 802.3ck, this sho	# 1-36 s that use 53.125 GBd D0GAUI-4 C2C/C2M build be an amendment	Cl 30 Ran, Adee Comment The fo If a Cla to the and the and the Suggested Remov	Type E aurth paragraph ause 45 MDIO I RS-FEC enable e FEC operating emoves the nee <i>IRemedy</i> ve the fourth pa ge the editorial in	.16 F Cis Comment State of 30.5.1.1.16 has b nterface is present, bit in the appropria g mode (see 45.2.1 d for the changes in	P 36 sco System tus X been chang , then this a ate FEC cor 0.3, 45.2.1. n this parag .16. ange remai	s, Inc. ged by 802.3dc t ttribute maps to ntrol register bas 108, and 45.2.1 graph in the 802.	o the following text: the FEC enable bit o sed upon the PHY typ .116).; 3ck draft.
Proposed Response Res Cl 167 SC 167.1 Ran, Adee Comment Type T Co. Clause 167 (part of 802.3db) signaling. These PHYs may u interfaces, in addition to the in Since 802.3db is scheduled to of clause 167. SuggestedRemedy	P 225 Cisco System mment Status X defines six new PHY v use the 100GAUI-1, 20 nterfaces currently liste o be published before a	<i>L</i> 0 ns, Inc. with optical PMDe 00GAUI-2, and 40 ed. 802.3ck, this sho	# 1-36 s that use 53.125 GBd D0GAUI-4 C2C/C2M build be an amendment	Cl 30 Ran, Adee Comment The fo If a Cla to the and the and the Suggested Remov	<i>Type</i> E with paragraph ause 45 MDIO I RS-FEC enable e FEC operation emoves the nee <i>IRemedy</i> we the fourth pa ge the editorial in ge the three sub	.16 F Cis Comment State of 30.5.1.1.16 has b nterface is present, bit in the appropria g mode (see 45.2.1 d for the changes ir ragraph of 30.5.1.1 nstruction from "Cha	P 36 sco System tus X been chang , then this a ate FEC cor 0.3, 45.2.1. n this parag .16. ange remai	s, Inc. ged by 802.3dc t ttribute maps to ntrol register bas 108, and 45.2.1 graph in the 802.	o the following text: the FEC enable bit o sed upon the PHY typ .116).; 3ck draft.
Proposed Response Res Cl 167 SC 167.1 Ran, Adee Comment Type T Co. Clause 167 (part of 802.3db) signaling. These PHYs may u interfaces, in addition to the in Since 802.3db is scheduled to of clause 167. SuggestedRemedy Add Clause 167 and 167.1 to	P 225 Cisco System mment Status X defines six new PHY v use the 100GAUI-1, 20 nterfaces currently liste o be published before a o the draft.	<i>L</i> 0 ns, Inc. with optical PMDs 0GAUI-2, and 40 ed. 802.3ck, this sho	# 1-36 s that use 53.125 GBd D0GAUI-4 C2C/C2M build be an amendment M, both optional.	C/ 30 Ran, Adee Comment The fo If a Cla to the and the and the Suggested Remov Chang "chang	<i>Type</i> E with paragraph ause 45 MDIO I RS-FEC enable e FEC operation emoves the nee <i>IRemedy</i> we the fourth pa ge the editorial in ge the three sub	.16 F Cis Comment State of 30.5.1.1.16 has b nterface is present, bit in the appropria g mode (see 45.2.1 d for the changes ir ragraph of 30.5.1.1.1 pstruction from "Cha psequent paragraph	P 36 sco System tus X been chang , then this a ate FEC cor 0.3, 45.2.1. n this parag .16. ange remai	s, Inc. ged by 802.3dc t ttribute maps to ntrol register bas 108, and 45.2.1 graph in the 802.	o the following text: the FEC enable bit o sed upon the PHY typ .116).; 3ck draft.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 1-39

Page 9 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

V 91 SC 91	P 89	L 5	# I-40	C/ 120	SC 120.5.1	1.2.a	P 110	L 46	# <u>I-42</u>
an, Adee	Cisco System	ns, Inc.		Ran, Adee)		Cisco Systen	ns, Inc.	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comme	ent Status X		
	clause 91 has subclauses unde non to include the full hierarchy			and se	em to be out o	of context. Th		proken to two par	f text and a full pattern, agraph so the equation
SuggestedRemedy							alle alle alle	in the light come	Λι.
Add headings for: 91.5 Functions with 91.5.2 Transmit fun	n the RS-FEC sublayer				-		"Equation(120–1)", and have the	equation, note, and
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Respons	se Status O		
2/ 91 SC 91.5.3	.3 P 89	L 31	# [-41	C/ 161	SC 161.5.2	.6.1	P 136	L 5	# 1-43
an, Adee	Cisco System	ns, Inc.		Ran, Adee	•		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Type E	Comme	ent Status X		
The amended text i	n this paragraph refers to "This	option", without s	tating what option it	The va	ariable x is inco	nsistency ita	licized in the text	of list items a-c.	
is (it is the option	to bypass error correction)			Suggested	Remedy				
It would be easier fo	or readers to understand the req	uirement if the o	ntion is stated explicitly	00		er it denotes a	a lane number.		
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed			se Status O		
,	ird paragraph from the base do	cument In 802.3	dc the text is:	rioposou	response	Respons			
	decoder may provide the option the delay contributed by the RS			C/ 161	SC 161.5.2	.6.2	P 137	L 3	# 1-44
	by the assertion of the FEC_byp			Ran, Adee	•		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
	ption is provided, it is enabled b			Comment	Type E	Comme	ent Status X		
FEC_bypass_corre as in D3.0>	ction_enable variable (see 91.6.	1). This option	<remainder of="" td="" text<="" the=""><td>"x" sho</td><td>ould not be use</td><td>d as a multip</td><td>lication symbol.</td><td></td><td></td></remainder>	"x" sho	ould not be use	d as a multip	lication symbol.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Also a	pplies in 161.5	.3.5.			
				Suggested	Remedy				
				Chano	ne to a multiplic	ation symbol	as in the last par	agraph of 161.5.	2.6.1, in both places.
				enang		adon oynibol		agraph of to non	

C/ 161	SC 161.6.10	P 147	L 30	# I-45	C/ 162	SC 162.8.2	P 162	L 34	# 1-47
Ran, Adee	e	Cisco System	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee		Cisco System	is, Inc.	
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре т	Comment Status X		
		_degraded_SER_enable is to integration into 802.3dc, this				e of the PMD co	operating modes listed are DA ntrol state diagram we also no		
Simla	rly in 161.6.11 thr	ough 161.6.13, 161.6.20, and	d 161.6.21.		Suggested	, Remedv			
Suggestee	dRemedy				00	-	hange "The PMD transmit fur	nction has two o	perating modes DATA
In 161	1.6.11 change the	reference to 91.6.4. reference to 91.6.5. reference to 91.6.6.			and TF	1 0 1	e PMD transmit function has		1 0 1
		reference to 91.6.7.			Add th	e following para	graph at the end of 162.8.2:		
		reference to 91.6.11.					JIET mode the PMD transmit	function shall tu	Irn off the transmitter
In 161	1.6.21 change the	reference to 91.6.12.					er drives a constant level (i.e.		
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			the diff	erential peak-to	-peak output voltage (max) w	ith Tx disabled i	n Table 162–10."
					Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 161	SC 161.7.3	P 150	L 13	# <u>I</u> -46					
Ran, Adee	e	Cisco System	s, Inc.						
Comment	Type E	Comment Status X							
The "I	FEC degraded SE	R detection" option for this c	lause is defined	l in 161.5.3.3.2.					
Suggestee	dRemedy								
		of item *FDD from 91.5.3.3.1 RF12 in 161.7.4.2.	to 161.5.3.3.2.						
Proposed	Response	Response Status 0							

7 162 SC 162.8.	11	P 164	L 27	# I-48	C/ 162	SC 162.	9.3	P 166	L 9	# <u>1</u> -49
an, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco Systen	ns, Inc.	
comment Type TR	Comment S	Status X			Comment	Type T R	Со	mment Status X		
is set to TRUE". No 136 removed from 8 clauses 162 and 16	riable is always s v that this change 02.3ck, we lost th 3.	et to FALSE fo has been imp le requirement	or 50 Gb/s per la plemented in 802 to set it to TRUI	ne PHYs, otherwise it 2.3dc D3.0 and clause E for the PHYs in	measu done v It is im from th	rement. I ca vith similar t portant to s ne test equip	annot find a erminations pecify the t oment, and	ermination of each cor to ensure the expecte	rential signal me	
The suggested rem	edy is to add this i	requirement as	s another except	ion in 162.8.11.		be isolated	from signa	il ground).		
An alternative soluti	on is to amend the	e updated 136	.8.11.7.1 (as of 8	302.3dc D3.0),	Suggested	,				
be done as follows:	·	-		y in 50 Gb/s. This could ure 136–7) can enter	40 GH conduc	z 3 dB band	lwidth" to "u ifferential p	using a test system wit air, and a fourth-order	h 50 Ohm termi	
	e value of this va	riable is impler		dent for 50 Gb/s per	Proposed	Response	Res	ponse Status O		
And amend the PIC	S of clause 136 a	ccordingly.								"
uggestedRemedy					C/ 162	SC 162.	9.3	P 166	L 34	# 1-50
Add exception to the					Ran, Adee			Cisco Systen	ns, Inc.	
h) The value of use	_quiet_in_training	(see 136.8.11	.7.1) is TRUE.		Comment			mment Status X		
Add a corresponding	PICS item in 16	3.13.4.2.			•	in Rpeak s	nould be a	subscript.		
roposed Response	Response S	tatus O			Suggested Forma	<i>Remedy</i> t per comm	ent.			
					Proposed I	Response	Res	ponse Status O		

C/ 162 SC 162.9	.3.1.2	P 169	L 8	# I-51	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3.	3 F	^{>} 170	L 31	# I-53
an, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee		Cis	co Systems	s, Inc.	
Comment Type TR	Comment	Status X			Comment 7	ype TR	Comment State	us X		
parameter. 163.9.2.5 has a rela procedure in 163A.	ated parameter "I 3.2.1, where Equ be used here, but	Difference linear lation (163A–9) t for this clause	fit pulse peak ra defines R_peak(there is only a m	e is no definition of that atio" calculated using a meas). A similar leasured parameter	should unders Since t applyin	be in this mea tood that the lin ransmitters typ g equalization	surement. Based or nit in Table 162–10 ically have noise sc	n a previous applies to a purces that a peak, it is ex	s specification in any valid equaliz are independent cpected that incr	of equalization, and easing the "strength"
SuggestedRemedy	parameter, ee n				settings	s with c(0) clos	e to 0.5, which wou	ld reduce th	ne measured pu	lse peak by 5-6 dB;
Insert a paragraph	after the first par	agraph of 162 9	312		this ma	kes the SNDR	spec more difficult	than it seer	ns.	
	peak ratio R_pe dy-state voltage	ak is defined as v_f."		en the maximum value	(like rea TX_SN	alistic transmitt R. However, T		ated by mea resents a w	asuring SNDR a	
	Response					seems to be a in real links.	mismatch between	the effect of	f TX_SNR in CC	OM and the effect of
/ 162 SC 162.9	.3.1.5	P 170	L 23	# 1-52	This ma	ay also affect S	SNDR and/or SNR_	TX in clause	e 163 and anne	x 120F, although the
an, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.				calibrated differentl			
comment Type T	Comment	Status X			Suggested	Remedy				
'A coefficient may b that coefficient' - bu			ficient request o	f "no equalization" for	The de be cha		R and/or the calcula	ation of the	effect of SNR_T	x in COM may need to
The requirements to	o set to zero are	only for c(-3), c(-2), c(-1) and c(⁻	1).	A detai	led presentatio	n is planned.			
uggestedRemedy			,, , , , ,	,	Proposed F	Response	Response Statu	ıs O		
Change the quoted 'Any of the coefficie	ents c(-3), c(-2), c			by asserting a	<u> </u>	SC 462 0 4			1.20	# 1.54
coefficient request			cient.		C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.		P175	L 39	# I-54
roposed Response	Response	Status U			Ran, Adee			co Systems	s, Inc.	
					Comment 7		Comment Stat			wetterne herve enne
					parame	eters defined in	Annex 93A which r t provided anywhere	may be unc		quations have some alue of f_hp in
					The ph	rasing should l	be improved to enat	ole impleme	enting this proce	dure.
					Suggested	Remedy				
						-	sing a rewrite is plar	nned.		
					Proposed F	Response	Response Statu	is O		
				T/technical E/editorial G/o				Comme		

C/ 162 SC 162.11	P 181 L 11 # [-55	C/ 162 SC 162.11.5	P 184 L 33 # 1-57
Ran, Adee	Cisco Systems, Inc.	Ran, Adee	Cisco Systems, Inc.
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X
The text says "For 100	GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-CR2, and 400GBASE-CR4, the lanes are	Equation 162-19 lets th	ne difference between ILcd and ILdd be 10 dB up to half of (an old

AC-coupled. The AC-coupling shall be within the cable assembly". It can be questioned which contacts are AC-coupled in the cable. Figure 162-2 shows signal shields and link shield in addition to the differential pairs, and there is no distinction, so can the shields also be AC-coupled? Are they even required to be connected on both ends?

My understanding is that in practice the shields are DC-coupled and provide a ground connection between both ends. This has importance in preventing the ground voltage from bouncing at either end and creating unexpected common-mode differences between Tx and Rx pairs (because common-mode voltage is referenced to ground).

This should be stated explicitly. The suggested remedy is to add it to 162.11 which seems to be a convenient place, but other places or phrasing are possible. It may be required to add some specifications to the MDI as well.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert a paragraph after the one starting with the guoted text (lines 11-16) with the following text:

"The signal shield and link shield are connected to the corresponding contacts in the MDI plug connectors on both ends of the cable assembly".

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 162.11.2 C/ 162 P182 L12 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status X

The text specifying the minimum insertion loss and equation 162-17 are inconsistent: The text says the ILDD shall be lower than the limit defined by the equation, but the equation has " $ILDD_min(f) >=$ "; this reads as if the limit is anywhere below the line defined by the equation, so the limit is not defined.

The suggested remedy is a minimal change. Alternatively, the definition can be changed to state that ILDD "shall meet the equation" and have the equation in terms of ILDD instead of ILDDmin, as done in other similar cases.

SuggestedRemedy

In equation 162-17, change ">=" to "=".

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Ran, Adee		Cisco Systems, Inc.	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X	
Equation 162-	19 lets the	difference between ILcd and ILdd be 10 dB up to half of (an old	
Nyauist freaue	ency) and th	hen linearly lower at higher frequencies. This does not make	

Nyquist frequency) and then linearly lower at higher frequencies. This does not make sense physically, and open the door to poor cables. The Tx output common mode noise problem is exacerbated by strong conversion from common mode to differential signal.

Note that COM does not cover the conversion loss term, so we should strive to make it negligible, rather than allowing it to be large.

At low frequencies we expect low ILdd and high ILcd, and the difference is much larger than 10 dB. Even at high frequencies up to 40 GHz, channels submitted to 802.3ck do not exceed 10 dB. We should not allow less than 10 dB difference across the upper half of the spectrum.

Based on samples of submitted channels and some measured channels it is suggested to tighten this specification to be 24 dB at the lowest frequency, linear slope to 10 dB at Nyquist/2, and constant 10 dB at maximum frequency.

This also holds for the specification in clause 163 (channel construction may be different but the arguments above still hold and the effect on the link budget is the same).

A presentation of some contributed data compared to the proposed limit is planned. Any contradictory data would be welcome.

SuggestedRemedy

Change equation 162-19 limit to be 24 - 13.56/f *14 | 0.05 <= f <= 13.56 | 13.56 <= f <= 40 10

Change Figure 162-9 accordingly.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

1-56

Comment ID 1-57

Page 14 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

C/ 162 SC 162.11.7.1 P187 L 43 # [-58	C/ 162 SC 162.14.3 P192 L 32 # 1-60
Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.	Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.
Comment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
"The scattering parameters for a PCB transmission line are calculated using the me defined in 93A.1.2.3 using Equation (93A-13), Equation (93A-14) and the parameter	
given in Table 162–20"	SuggestedRemedy
93A.1.2.3 (in the base document) includes equations 93A-13 and 93A-14, so there	is no Make text size match the surrounding text.
need to include these references in addition, with repetitive "using".	Proposed Response Response Status O
(If they are to be retained, a serial comma should be inserted after Equation (93A-1	4))
SuggestedRemedy	C/ 163 SC 163.8.1 P 202 L 5 # [I-61
Change the quoted sentence to	
"The scattering parameters for a PCB transmission line are calculated using the me defined in 93A.1.2.3 with the parameter values given in Table 162–20".	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
Proposed Response Response Status O	The link block diagram does not show a ground connection, and there is no requirement
	anywhere in clause 163 that the PMDs on both ends of the link have a common ground connection.
©/ 162 SC 162.11.7.2 P189 L 35 # [1-59	connection.
	,
an, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.	If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode
an, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.	connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds.
an, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.	 connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds. R2,
tan, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X The sentence "Annex 162C specifies the MDIs for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-C 400GBASE-CR4." seems unnecessary and out of place here (subclause title is "Signary crosstalk paths used in calculation of COM"). The same sentence appears in the next subclause 162.12, MDI specifications, who	 connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds. R2, gnal and Add a ground connection between the PMDs to the diagram. Add a sentence below the diagram stating that the specifications in this clause only ap
Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X The sentence "Annex 162C specifies the MDIs for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-C 400GBASE-CR4." seems unnecessary and out of place here (subclause title is "Sign crosstalk paths used in calculation of COM").	 connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds. R2, gnal and Add a ground connection between the PMDs to the diagram. Add a sentence below the diagram stating that the specifications in this clause only ap
tan, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X The sentence "Annex 162C specifies the MDIs for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-C 400GBASE-CR4." seems unnecessary and out of place here (subclause title is "Signature crosstalk paths used in calculation of COM"). The same sentence appears in the next subclause 162.12, MDI specifications, whe makes more sense, so it may be an unintended leftover.	connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds. R2, gnal and SuggestedRemedy Add a ground connection between the PMDs to the diagram. Add a sentence below the diagram stating that the specifications in this clause only ap to systems with shared ground between the two PMDs.
an, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. omment Type E Comment Status X The sentence "Annex 162C specifies the MDIs for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-C 400GBASE-CR4." seems unnecessary and out of place here (subclause title is "Signature crosstalk paths used in calculation of COM"). The same sentence appears in the next subclause 162.12, MDI specifications, whe makes more sense, so it may be an unintended leftover.	connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds. R2, gnal and SuggestedRemedy Add a ground connection between the PMDs to the diagram. Add a sentence below the diagram stating that the specifications in this clause only ap to systems with shared ground between the two PMDs. Proposed Response Response Status
an, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. comment Type E Comment Status X The sentence "Annex 162C specifies the MDIs for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-C 400GBASE-CR4." seems unnecessary and out of place here (subclause title is "Signary crosstalk paths used in calculation of COM"). The same sentence appears in the next subclause 162.12, MDI specifications, where makes more sense, so it may be an unintended leftover. uggestedRemedy Consider deleting this sentence.	connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds. R2, gnal and SuggestedRemedy Add a ground connection between the PMDs to the diagram. Add a sentence below the diagram stating that the specifications in this clause only ap to systems with shared ground between the two PMDs. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 163 SC 163.9.2.7 P 207 L 7 # [1-62]
Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X The sentence "Annex 162C specifies the MDIs for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-C 400GBASE-CR4." seems unnecessary and out of place here (subclause title is "Sign crosstalk paths used in calculation of COM"). The same sentence appears in the next subclause 162.12, MDI specifications, whe makes more sense, so it may be an unintended leftover. SuggestedRemedy Consider deleting this sentence.	connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds. R2, gnal and SuggestedRemedy Add a ground connection between the PMDs to the diagram. Add a sentence below the diagram stating that the specifications in this clause only ap to systems with shared ground between the two PMDs. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 163 SC 163.9.2.7 P 207 L 7 # 1-62 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.
an, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. omment Type E Comment Status X The sentence "Annex 162C specifies the MDIs for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-C 400GBASE-CR4." seems unnecessary and out of place here (subclause title is "Sign crosstalk paths used in calculation of COM"). The same sentence appears in the next subclause 162.12, MDI specifications, whe makes more sense, so it may be an unintended leftover. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Consider deleting this sentence.	connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds. R2, gnal and SuggestedRemedy Add a ground connection between the PMDs to the diagram. Add a sentence below the diagram stating that the specifications in this clause only ap to systems with shared ground between the two PMDs. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 163 SC 163.9.2.7 P 207 L 7 # [1-62] Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X
tan, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X The sentence "Annex 162C specifies the MDIs for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-C 400GBASE-CR4." seems unnecessary and out of place here (subclause title is "Signary crosstalk paths used in calculation of COM"). The same sentence appears in the next subclause 162.12, MDI specifications, whe makes more sense, so it may be an unintended leftover. SuggestedRemedy Consider deleting this sentence.	connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds. R2, gnal and SuggestedRemedy Add a ground connection between the PMDs to the diagram. Add a sentence below the diagram stating that the specifications in this clause only ap to systems with shared ground between the two PMDs. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 163 SC 163.9.2.7 P 207 L 7 # [1-62] Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X In "p(k)", p and k should be italicized, as in line 18 and in 162.9.3.1.1.
tan, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X The sentence "Annex 162C specifies the MDIs for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-C 400GBASE-CR4." seems unnecessary and out of place here (subclause title is "Signary crosstalk paths used in calculation of COM"). The same sentence appears in the next subclause 162.12, MDI specifications, whe makes more sense, so it may be an unintended leftover. SuggestedRemedy Consider deleting this sentence.	connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds. R2, gnal and SuggestedRemedy Add a ground connection between the PMDs to the diagram. Add a sentence below the diagram stating that the specifications in this clause only ap to systems with shared ground between the two PMDs. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 163 SC 163.9.2.7 P 207 L 7 # [1-62] Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X
Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status The sentence "Annex 162C specifies the MDIs for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-C 400GBASE-CR4." seems unnecessary and out of place here (subclause title is "Sign crosstalk paths used in calculation of COM"). The same sentence appears in the next subclause 162.12, MDI specifications, whe makes more sense, so it may be an unintended leftover. SuggestedRemedy Consider deleting this sentence.	connection. If there is no common ground, or ground connection is poor, the Tx common-mode specifications may become meaningless, because the common-mode voltage on each device is defined with different grounds. R2, gnal and SuggestedRemedy Add a ground connection between the PMDs to the diagram. Add a sentence below the diagram stating that the specifications in this clause only ap to systems with shared ground between the two PMDs. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 163 SC 163.9.2.7 P 207 L 7 # [1-62] Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X In "p(k)", p and k should be italicized, as in line 18 and in 162.9.3.1.1.

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.7	P 207	L 10	
--------	--------------	-------	------	--

Ran, Adee

Cisco Systems, Inc.

I-63

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The peak-to-peak common-mode noise measured can be significantly increased by mismatched cabling in the test setup or routing in the test fixture. A difference of 1 mm between single-ended path translates to ~25% of a UI. This would cause significant conversion of the differential signal to CM signal and degradation the SCMR. This common-mode signal would be correlated to the data pattern, but so far we have not separated the CM specification to correlated and uncorrelated components.

Also, there are no conversion loss specifications for test fixture (even if we had, they would be difficult to measure). Poorly designed test fixtures may cause a good device to fail the test even in a well-calibrated test setup. This may make SCMR seem difficult to meet.

It may be possible to calibrate the measurement for differences between cables, mitigating some of the problem. But we may not want to provide an open ticket to full deskew of the single-ended signals, because it can "correct" problems in the DUT as well as in the test system.

As a minimum remedy to this problem, it is suggested to add a note informing the reader that good matching of the test fixture and calibration of the test setup is recommended.

Alternatively, the CM measurement could be separated to correlated and uncorrelated, and SCMR calculated only for the uncorrelated component. This would be preferable if there is consensus for this path.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an informative NOTE at the end of this subclause:

NOTE—SCMR measurement may be sensitive to mismatches between the single-ended paths in the test fixture and the test setup. Careful design and calibration of the test system is recommended.

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.7		P 207	L 11	# I-64
Ran, Adee			Cisco System	is, Inc.	
-		-			

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Incorrect cross-reference to Table 163-11 - SCMR (min) is specified in Table 163-5.

Also, this subclause is also referred to by Table 120F–1 and maybe others in the future. To separate definition from required limit, the "shall" statement should be placed at the end of the subclause, as done in 163.9.2.6.

SuggestedRemedy

In the sentence "The signal to AC common-mode noise ratio shall meet the specification for SCMR (min) in Table 163–11", change Table 163–11 to Table 163–5.

Move this sentence to the end of the subclause, after equation 163-2 and its variable list.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 163	SC 163.10.6	P 217	L 41	# I-65
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Commont T		Commont Status V		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The specification of ILdc-ILdd in equation 163-9 and Figure 163–9 is identical to the specification of ILdd - ILdd in equation 163-8 and Figure 163–8, and also identical to the one in equation 162–19 and Figure 162–9.

It makes sense physically and from link budget purposes to have identical specifications for these parameters. It makes less sense to have duplicated equations and figures. It just confuses the reader.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace equations 163-8 and 163-9 with references to equation 162-19. Replace figure 163-8 and figure 163-9 with references to figure 162-9.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Comment ID 1-65

Page 16 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

C/ 120F	SC 120F.1	P 238	L 2	# <u>I-66</u>	C/ 120G	SC 120G.1	P 256	L 16	# <u>I-67</u>
Ran, Adee	9	Cisco System	is, Inc.		Ran, Adee		Cisco System	is, Inc.	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
	nere in annex 120	does not show a ground con DF that the devices on both en				ere in annex 12	n does not show a ground con 0G that the devices on both er		
specifi	ications may bec	ground, or ground connectior come meaningless, because t different grounds.			specifi	cations and inp	ground, or ground connection ut common-mod tolerance ma e on each device is defined wi	y become mea	ningless, because the
repres		is added in this figure, it shou al pair, or alternatively draw tv			•	ents a differenti	n is added in this figure, it shou al pair, or alternatively draw tw		
Suggested	dRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
		in each direction to represent e devices to the diagram.	a differential p	air, and add a ground			in each direction to represent he devices to the diagram.	a differential pa	iir, and add a ground
Chang as foll		on P237 L40-42, inserting a	sentence abou	t the ground connection,		e the first sente l connection, as	ence in the paragraph on P256 s follows:	L7-14, insertin	g a sentence about the
a C2C	transmitter, a C	GAUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 C2C b 2C channel, and a C2C recei)F–2 depicts a typical C2C ap	ver, which hav		associa	ated differential	ribed in terms of a host C2M c l-mode to differential-mode ins ve a shared ground connectior	ertion loss (ILd	

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Comment ID 1-67

Page 17 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2.2	P 262	L 3	# I <u>-68</u>	Proposed F	Response	Respon	se Status O		
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.							
Comment	Type TR Col	nment Status X			C/ 120G	SC 120G.	3.4.3.2	P 271	L 4	# <u>1</u> -69
	st configuration shown o drive the module outp	•	nclear about the	e source of the pattern	Ran, Adee <i>Comment</i> T		Comm	Cisco System ent Status X	ns, Inc.	
In prac	tice, three possibilities	are likely to be consid	lered by a test e	ngineer:				ion, the transition t ator, as in the host		efined with no Tx alibration, 120G.3.3.5.2.
	module can be fed an ould represent a real-lif				Suggested		-			

This would represent a real-life use case; but it makes a complicated test setup, and the pattern may contain occasional errors from the optical receiver, which will interfere with sampling scope operation (unless the BER on the optical segment is low enough). 2. The module can be fed a minimally compliant signal to its electrical input (e.g. the stressed input tolerance signal, 120G.3.4.3) its optical output looped back through an optical patch cord. This would approximate a real-life use case, without requiring optical test signal calibration. But this method is ruled out by the requirement to have asynchronous co- and counter-propagating signals.

3. The module can generate the test pattern internally, and be feed asynchronous electrical counter-propagating signals to its input. But this would not represent a real-life use case, since the pattern uses an internal clock likely with very low jitter compared to the clock recovered from an optical signal.

Option 3 is not prohibited anywhere in the text; if it is allowed, modules may pass their tests but have degraded output in the field, due to excessive jitter from using a recovered clock. The host input tolerance requirements are equal to the module output requirement, and do not account for such degradation, so a system with compliant components may fail.

The suggested remedy is to clarify that the requirements hold for any compliant optical signal input to the module, and note that using internally generated test pattern does not create a representative signal; the test engineer will have to sort it out.

Alternatively, we could remove the requirement for asynchronous counter- and copropagating signals, to enable testing with optical loopback, which would be easier to conduct. If that is done, it should be required to use optical loopback (through the PMD) rather than internal electrical loopback (which would still not be representative, since it would involve only one clock regeneration); and in addition, the electrical signal should be a stressed input signal.

SuggestedRemedy

In 120G.3.2, change "The module output shall meet the specifications given in Table 120G–3" to "The module output shall meet the specifications given in Table 120G–3 for any compliant optical input signal".

In 120G.3.2.2, add a NOTE after Figure 120G-7:

NOTE—Driving the module output using an internally generated pattern might not create output signals representative of full-link operation, and may result in false pass results. It is recommended to use feed the module with an optical signal modulated by the test pattern.

Proposed R	Proposed Response		se Status O			
C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.4.3.2	P 271	L 4	# 1-69	
Ran, Adee			Cisco Syste	ms, Inc.		
Comment T	уре т	Comme	ent Status X			
		•		time should be de t stressed input c	efined with no Tx alibration, 120G.3.3.	
SuggestedF	Remedy					
120G–1 To "The pa transitic	10" httern generat on time (see 1	or is set to ge 20G.3.1.4) m	enerate a PRBS1	3Q pattern (see 2 putput of the patte	or as specifiéd in Tab 120.5.11.2.1). The rn generator when	
Proposed R	esponse	Respons	se Status O			
Cl 120G	SC 120G.3	.4.3.2	P 271	L 24	# I-70	
Ran, Adee			Cisco Syste	ms, Inc.		
Comment T	ype TR	Comme	ent Status X			
		•		-dependent atten hose for a PCB tra	uator is configured ansmission line	

such that the scattering parameters approximate those for a PCB transmission line calculated from Equation (93A-13) and Equation (93A-14) using zp = 464 mm in length and the relevant parameter values given in Table 162-20"

The intent is that the scattering parameters _from the pattern generator output to TP1a_ approximate the PCB transmission line (not just the FDA), otherwise the test channel would have excessive loss. This is not clear from the current text.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "from the pattern generator output to TP1a" after "the scattering parameters".

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 1-70

Page 18 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

C/ 120G SC 12	0G.3.4.3.2	P 271	L 31	# I-71	C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.	2.1	P 320	L 9	# 1-73
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee	•		Cisco Systen	ns, Inc.	
Comment Type 1	FR Comme	ent Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Commen	nt Status X		
				that makes it possibly	Equati	on 163A–7 is tr	runcated from	the top.		
confusing to rea	ders, as shown in	comment #31 aga	ainst D2.3.		Suggested	Remedy				
The intent is to li	imit the space of r	eference receiver	configurations to	o those with	Fix it.					
optimized for VE other configurati	EC by setting the F ions is irrelevant;	configurations are PG equalization, ar analytically, a signa vill be over-equaliz	nd the VEC that al created by PG	can be achieved with G equalization	Proposed	Response	Response	e Status O		
					C/ 163A	SC 163A.3.	2.1	P 320	L 24	# <u>1</u> -74
The text should wording in D2.2		larify this. The sug	gested remedy i	is based on the	Ran, Adee	•		Cisco Systen	ns, Inc.	
SuggestedRemedy					Comment	Туре Е	Commen	nt Status X		
Change from								A-10 use the ref	erence voltage t	erms v_f(ref) and
0										
				5.2 with the exception		. ,	re not defined	here but in 1634		reference would help
for the high-loss	case that the refe	erence receiver CT		5.2 with the exception minimizes VEC has	Suggested	Remedy			A.3.1.1. A cross-	
for the high-loss gDC + gDC2 les to	case that the refe s than or equal to	erence receiver CT -10.5 dB"	LE setting that r	ninimizes VEC has	Suggested Add a	Remedy paragraph at th			A.3.1.1. A cross-	reference would help ref) are defined in
for the high-loss gDC + gDC2 les to "Eye height and case, an excepti	case that the refe s than or equal to VEC are measure	erence receiver CT -10.5 dB" ed at TP1a as desi ne reference receiv	LE setting that r		Suggested	Remedy paragraph at th 3.1.1."	ne end of this		A.3.1.1. A cross-	
for the high-loss gDC + gDC2 les to "Eye height and case, an excepti gDC + gDC2 is l	case that the refe s than or equal to VEC are measure ion is made that th less than or equal	erence receiver CT -10.5 dB" ed at TP1a as desi ne reference receiv	LE setting that r	ninimizes VEC has	Suggested Add a 163A. Proposed	IRemedy paragraph at th 3.1.1." Response	ne end of this Response	subclause: "v_f(e Status O	A.3.1.1. A cross-	ref) are defined in
for the high-loss gDC + gDC2 les to "Eye height and case, an excepti gDC + gDC2 is l	case that the refe s than or equal to VEC are measure ion is made that th less than or equal	erence receiver CT -10.5 dB" ed at TP1a as desine reference receiv to -10.5 dB".	LE setting that r	ninimizes VEC has	Suggested Add a 163A. Proposed	IRemedy paragraph at th 3.1.1." Response SC 45.2.7.1	ne end of this Response	subclause: "v_f(e Status O P 64	A.3.1.1. A cross- ref) and v_peak(<i>L</i> 49	
for the high-loss gDC + gDC2 les to "Eye height and case, an excepti gDC + gDC2 is I Proposed Response	case that the refe s than or equal to VEC are measure ion is made that th less than or equal	erence receiver CT -10.5 dB" ed at TP1a as desine reference receiv to -10.5 dB".	LE setting that r	ninimizes VEC has	Suggested Add a 163A.3 Proposed Cl 45 Slavick, Je	IRemedy paragraph at th 3.1.1." Response SC 45.2.7.1	ne end of this Response	subclause: "v_f(e Status O P 64 Broadcom In	A.3.1.1. A cross- ref) and v_peak(<i>L</i> 49	ref) are defined in
for the high-loss gDC + gDC2 les to "Eye height and case, an excepti gDC + gDC2 is I Proposed Response	case that the refe s than or equal to VEC are measure ion is made that th less than or equal e Respons	erence receiver CT -10.5 dB" ed at TP1a as desc ne reference receiv to -10.5 dB". se Status O P317	LE setting that r cribed in 120G.5 rer CTLE is limit	minimizes VEC has 5.2. For the high-loss red to settings where	Suggested Add a 163A. Proposed Cl 45 Slavick, Je Comment	IRemedy paragraph at th 3.1.1." Response SC 45.2.7.1 off Type TR	ne end of this Response I3.1 Commen	subclause: "v_f(e Status O P 64 Broadcom Ind	A.3.1.1. A cross- ref) and v_peak(ref) are defined in
for the high-loss gDC + gDC2 les to "Eye height and case, an excepti gDC + gDC2 is I Proposed Response	case that the refe s than or equal to VEC are measure ion is made that th less than or equal e Respons 3A.3.1.1	erence receiver CT -10.5 dB" ed at TP1a as desu ne reference receiv to -10.5 dB". se Status O	LE setting that r cribed in 120G.5 rer CTLE is limit	minimizes VEC has 5.2. For the high-loss red to settings where	Suggested Add a 163A.3 Proposed Cl 45 Slavick, Je Comment Bit 6 is paragr	IRemedy paragraph at th 3.1.1." Response SC 45.2.7.1 off Type TR s related to the raph that begins	Response Response 3.1 Comment negotation of s with "When t	subclause: "v_f(e Status O P 64 Broadcom In at Status X FEC operation a	A.3.1.1. A cross- ref) and v_peak(<i>L</i> 49 c and not the Port ⁻	ref) are defined in # <mark>I-75</mark>
for the high-loss gDC + gDC2 les to "Eye height and case, an excepti gDC + gDC2 is I Proposed Response CI 163A SC 16 Ran, Adee Comment Type E	case that the refe s than or equal to VEC are measure ion is made that th less than or equal Respons 3A.3.1.1 E Comme	erence receiver CT - 10.5 dB" ed at TP1a as desi- te reference receiv- to - 10.5 dB". Se Status O P 317 Cisco System ent Status X	LE setting that r cribed in 120G.5 rer CTLE is limit <i>L</i> 49 s, Inc.	minimizes VEC has 5.2. For the high-loss red to settings where	Suggested Add a 163A. Proposed Cl 45 Slavick, Je Comment Bit 6 is paragr similar	IRemedy paragraph at th 3.1.1." Response SC 45.2.7.1 off Type TR is related to the raph that begins to 45.2.7.12.2	Response Response 3.1 Comment negotation of s with "When t	subclause: "v_f(e Status O P 64 Broadcom In at Status X FEC operation a	A.3.1.1. A cross- ref) and v_peak(<i>L</i> 49 c and not the Port ⁻	ref) are defined in # I-75 Type. So the first
for the high-loss gDC + gDC2 les to "Eye height and case, an excepti gDC + gDC2 is I Proposed Response C/ 163A SC 16: Ran, Adee Comment Type E In expressions th	case that the refe s than or equal to VEC are measure ion is made that the less than or equal e Respons 3A.3.1.1 E Commentation hat include italics,	erence receiver CT - 10.5 dB" ed at TP1a as desi- te reference receiv- to - 10.5 dB". Se Status O P 317 Cisco System ent Status X	LE setting that r cribed in 120G.5 rer CTLE is limit <i>L</i> 49 s, Inc. numbers should	minimizes VEC has 5.2. For the high-loss red to settings where # [<u>1-72</u>]	Suggested Add a 163A. Proposed Cl 45 Slavick, Je Comment Bit 6 is paragr similar Suggested	IRemedy paragraph at th 3.1.1." Response SC 45.2.7.1 eff Type TR s related to the raph that begins to 45.2.7.12.2 IRemedy	Response Response 13.1 Commen negotation of s with "When t	subclause: "v_f(e Status O P 64 Broadcom Ind at Status X FEC operation a the Auto-Negotia	A.3.1.1. A cross- ref) and v_peak(<i>L</i> 49 c and not the Port [*] ation" should be i	ref) are defined in # I-75 Type. So the first
for the high-loss gDC + gDC2 les to "Eye height and case, an excepti gDC + gDC2 is I Proposed Response C/ 163A SC 16 Ran, Adee Comment Type E In expressions th This line include SuggestedRemedy	case that the refe s than or equal to VEC are measure ion is made that the less than or equal e Respons 3A.3.1.1 E Comme hat include italics, is some instances	erence receiver CT -10.5 dB" ed at TP1a as desc to -10.5 dB". se Status O P 317 Cisco System ent Status X parentheses and , and there are ma	LE setting that r cribed in 120G.5 /er CTLE is limit <i>L</i> 49 s, Inc. numbers should ny others.	minimizes VEC has 5.2. For the high-loss red to settings where # [<u>1-72</u>]	Suggested Add a 163A. Proposed Cl 45 Slavick, Je Comment Bit 6 is paragr similar Suggested	IRemedy paragraph at th 3.1.1." Response SC 45.2.7.1 eff Type TR s related to the raph that begins to 45.2.7.12.2 IRemedy	Response Response 13.1 Commen negotation of s with "When t	subclause: "v_f(e Status O P 64 Broadcom In at Status X FEC operation a	A.3.1.1. A cross- ref) and v_peak(<i>L</i> 49 c and not the Port [*] ation" should be i	ref) are defined in # I-75 Type. So the first
for the high-loss gDC + gDC2 les to "Eye height and case, an excepti gDC + gDC2 is I Proposed Response Cl 163A SC 16 Ran, Adee Comment Type E In expressions th This line include SuggestedRemedy	case that the refe s than or equal to VEC are measure ion is made that the less than or equal e Respons 3A.3.1.1 E Comme hat include italics, is some instances	erence receiver CT - 10.5 dB" ed at TP1a as desi- te reference receiv- to -10.5 dB". Se Status O P 317 Cisco System ent Status X parentheses and	LE setting that r cribed in 120G.5 /er CTLE is limit <i>L</i> 49 s, Inc. numbers should ny others.	minimizes VEC has 5.2. For the high-loss red to settings where # [<u>1-72</u>]	Suggested Add a 163A. Proposed Cl 45 Slavick, Je Comment Bit 6 is paragr similar Suggested Revent	IRemedy paragraph at th 3.1.1." Response SC 45.2.7.1 off Type TR is related to the raph that begins to 45.2.7.12.2 IRemedy t the text of 45.2	Response Response I3.1 Comment negotation of s with "When t 2.7.13.1 to original	subclause: "v_f(e Status O P 64 Broadcom Ind at Status X FEC operation a the Auto-Negotia	A.3.1.1. A cross- ref) and v_peak(<i>L</i> 49 c and not the Port ⁻ ation" should be i	ref) are defined in # I-75 Type. So the first

Comment ID 1-75

Page 19 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

C/ 161 SC 161.5.2	2.6 P 134	L 46	# I-76	C/ 162	SC 162.8.2	P 162	L 35	# I-79
lavick, Jeff	Broadcom Inc			Lusted, Ker	t	Intel Corporat	ion	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment T	/pe TR	Comment Status X		
	o of 161.5.2.6 into two sub-clauso owards which sub-clause it's refe		ion paragraph could	referen modes,	ed in the P80 DATA, TRAIN	evision project made a change 2.3ck draft. The PMD transmi IING and QUIET. (see IEEE F does not specify the QUIET n	t function now h P802.3dc D3.0 (has three operating Cl 136.8.2 on p5315,
Add "(see 161.5.2.6.2	2)" after the word re-inserted on	line 46		Suggested				
Add "(see 161.6.2.6.)	1)" at the end of the first sentend	ce of 161.5.2.6			-	nce of CI 162.8.11 to include	the QUIET state	e by changing the
Proposed Response	Response Status O			sentend and QU		D transmit function has three c	pperating modes	: ĎATA, ŤRĂINING,
C/ 161 SC 161.5.2	2.6.1 P 135 Broadcom Inc	L 50	# [1-77	operatir	g mode is rea	ce to the first paragraph in Cl 1 quired and implementations sh (see 136.8.11.7.1) to TRUE."	nall set the varia	
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X agraph and the first sentence of use title to be "Alignment marker Response Status O			operatir the tran	g in QUIET m smitter drives ial peak-to-pe	to the end of Cl 162.8.11 that ode the PMD transmit function a constant level (i.e., no transi ak output voltage (max) with T <i>Response Status</i> O	n shall turn off th itions) and does	ne transmitter such tha not exceed the
				CI 73	SC 73.6.5	P 71	L 33	# I-80
C/ 162 SC 162.8.1	1 P 164	L 42	# I-78	Lusted, Ker	t	Intel Corporat	ion	
lavick, Jeff	Broadcom Inc			Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status X		
PHYs. In the current implementation dependent	Comment Status X et_in_training variable found in C it baseline draft use_quiet_in_tra endent.			many 1 100GB/ all 1000	00G PHYs hav SE-CR1 and	e use of bit F4 in 73.6.5 differs ve the RS-FEC-Int capability. 100GBASE-KR1. With the ex types and improved wording a.	At this time, the ception of 1000	ere are only two: SBASE-KP4, these are
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	emedy			
In the list of exceptio h) The variable use_ Proposed Response	ns add: quiet_in_training is set to TRUE <i>Response Status</i> 0	(see 136.8.11.	7.1)	Change	the last sente S-FEC-Int (se	nce of the last paragraph to "F e Clause161) is an alternative		
				Additior request		em (e) in the list of Cl 73.6.5 t	o be "F4 is 100	GBASE-P RS-FEC-Int
				Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3	P 76	L 42	# I-81	C/ FM SC	FM	P11	L 17	# I -84
_usted, Kent	Intel Corporati	ion		Grow, Robert		RMG Consultir	ıg	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X		
there is an extra "in" at	the start of the bullets for Cla	use 162 and Cl	ause 163 list items.	Slight differer	nces from	n P802.3/D3.0 front matter.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedReme	dy			
in 80.1.3, list item i) cha				Update Introd	duction te	ext to match the most recent P8	02.3 draft.	
	GBASE-CR1" to " Clause 162 SE-KR1" to "Clause163 for 1		•••••	Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
				C/ FM SC	FM	P 13	L 3	# I-85
C/FM SC FM	P 1	L 34	# 1-82	Grow, Robert		RMG Consultir	ig	
Grow, Robert	RMG Consulti	ng		Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X	C		No amendme	ent numb	ers on descriptions of amendm	ents 3 through	n 5
Don't forget to update on next draft	copyright year here, next page	e, and in the foo	ter when producing the	SuggestedReme Add Amendn	,	ber as on Amendment 1 throug	h Amendmen	t 2.
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status 0		
Update framemaker va vairable and if not, upda	riable and inspect front pages ate.	s and footer to t	o assure all use the					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ FM SC	FM	P 13	L 9	# <mark>I-86</mark>
				Grow, Robert		RMG Consultir	ig	<u> </u>
				Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X		
C/FM SC FM	P 11	L 3	# I-83		,	or Physical Layer Device, not P	hysical Layer.	The self description i
Frow, Robert	RMG Consulti	ng		P802.3db/D2	2.1 delete	s "(PHY)".		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			SuggestedReme	dy			
Missing Amendment #.				Delete "(PHY	()"			
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status O		
Amendment 5								

C/ FM	SC FM	P 13	L 20	# I-87	C/ 162	SC 16	2.9.2	P 165	L 45	# <u>I-89</u>
Grow, Rob	pert	RMG Consult	ing		Grow, Rob	pert		RMG Consult	ing	
chang submi descri S <i>uggestec</i>	the integrety of ing 2018 to 202 tted on P802.3d ption (proposed dRemedy	Comment Status X copying self descriptions exact x on Amendment 2 could be d le/D2.1 about the 2018 date of accept). P802.3cs/D3.0 has atest draft, check for updates	one. Multiple c the base stand a significantly d	omments were ard in the self fferent self description.	text al: in 802 sublay p. 256 contai	r misuses so contrac .3 a data p ver descrip v, l. 12 it sa ns one, tw	licts othe bath is c tions , e ays "Eac o, or fou	Comment Status X prise" have been rewritten u er text where a path is comp composed of a set of signals etc. Here, it states that a "pa th 100GAUI-1, 200GAUI-2, a ur differential lanes." This sign ualifier. In other parts of the	oosed of one or (e.g., xMII), on ath corresponds and 400GAUI-4 ubclause is title	more lanes. In general e or more lanes in other s to one MDI lane"yet on C2M data path d signal path, yet the
	3. Update the F Response	P802.3de reference to 2018 in Response Status O	any case. Dele	te the note.	Suggested	0		s confusing!		
C/ 80 Grow, Rot Comment	Type ER	P 80 RMG Consult Comment Status X	C C	# [-88	path is compl	s compose ementary	d of one signals,	eceive data paths are point-t e or more MDI lane(s). Each forming a balanced differen	MDI lane is co tial pair.	mposed of two
S <i>uggested</i> A sea	dRemedy	ard error correction" has been will find 8 places where capita adings and text.			or four conne total o	r ctions. For f four pairs	r 200GB s, or eig	ere is one differential lane ir BASE-CR2, there are two dif ht connections. For 400GBA or a total of eight pairs, or si	ferential lanes i \SE-CR4, there	n each direction for a are four differential
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response		Response Status 0		
					C/ 163	SC 16	3.11	P 218	L 37	# I-90
					Grow, Rob	pert		RMG Consult	ing	
					Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status X		

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

"The MDI is composed of..."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Similar misuses of "comprise" have been rewritten using "compose" in P802.3/D3.0.

Response Status 0

IEEE P802.3ck D2.3 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot com	ments
---	-------

C/ 120F SC 120F	.1 P 237	L 43	# I-91	C/ 116 SC 1	16.1.3	P 96	L 34	# <u>I-94</u>
Grow, Robert	RMG Consult	ting		Parsons, Earl		CommScope	, Inc.	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	E Cor	mment Status X		
Similar misuses of	"comprise" have been rewritten	using "compose"	in P802.3/D3.0.	802.3db modif	ies Table 116-2	. 400GBASE-VR4 no	w comes before	400GBASE-SR16.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	/			
The C2M interface	is composed of independent tran	nsmit and receive	e data paths.	Replace the 40	00GBASE-SR1	6 row with 400GBASE	E-VR4.	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Respons	se Res _l	oonse Status O		
C/ 120G SC 120G	.1 P 256	L 11	# I-92	C/ 116 SC 1	16.1.4	P 98	L 18	# 1-95
Grow, Robert	RMG Consult	ling		Parsons, Earl		CommScope	, Inc.	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X	Ū		Comment Type	T Cor	nment Status X		
Similar misuses of	"comprise" have been rewritten	using "compose"	in P802.3/D3.0.	200GBASE-VI	R2 and 200GBA	SE-SR2 should be in	this table.	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	/			
,	is composed of independent trar	nsmit and receive	e data paths.	,		00GBASE-VR2 and 2	00GBASE-SR2.	200GBASE-VR2
Proposed Response	Response Status O					d 200GBASE-SR2 sh propriate columns too		200GBASE-SR4 and
				Proposed Respons	se Res	oonse Status O		
C/ 80 SC 80.1.	3 P 76	L 41	# 1-93					
Parsons, Earl	CommScope	, Inc.		C/ 116 SC 1	16.1.4	P 99	L 18	# I-96
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Parsons, Earl		CommScope	Inc	
Include 100GBAS	E-SR1 and 100GBASE-VR1 from	802.3db.		,	T Cor	nment Status X	,	
SuggestedRemedy						SE-SR4 should be in	Table 116-5.	
Insert a line below	the Clause 140 line in item i):			SuggestedRemed	/			
" Clause 167 for	100GBASE-VR1 and 100GBASE	-SR1"				or 400GBASE-VR4 an	d 400GBASE-SE	R4. 400GBASE-VR4
Proposed Response	Response Status O				new top row. 40	00GBASE-SR4 should		
				Proposed Respons	se Res	ponse Status O		

	5 P 99	L 42	# I-97	C/ 167 SC 167	P 225	L 1	# I-100
arsons, Earl	CommScope,	Inc.		Parsons, Earl	CommScope	e, Inc.	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Add reference to Cla	ause 167 to these two sentences			Include modificatio	n to Clause 167 (from 802.3db)		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Clause 122, and	PMDs and their corresponding m			Show modified Tab 120G100GAUI1	ble 167-1 and Table 167-2 with r C2M.	rows for 120F10	00GAUI-1 C2C and
400GBASE-R PMD	Clause 138, Clause 162, Clause s and their a are specified in Clause 122 thr			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Clause 162, Clause	163, and Clause 167.			CI 163 SC 163.9	0.2 P 203	L 43	# I-101
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Mellitz, Richard	Samtec, Inc.		
				Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Parsons, Earl Comment Type E 802.3db added 4000	CommScope, Comment Status X GBASE-VR4 to Table 116-7 abo		 SR16	not correct. As de	nce the low frequency the loss is monstrated in mellitz_3k_adhoc ner low frequency sources can b	_01_120821 nois	
				Add a new line to t	able 163-5 called maximum low	frequency AC co	
SuggestedRemedy							
	ASE-SR16 row with 400GBASE-	VR4.		to peak noise (V_	CMPP) and set to 30 mV. Create Bessel Thomson filter with a 3 (e a new section f	or such indicating the a
Replace the 400GB	ASE-SR16 row with 400GBASE- Response Status 0	VR4.		to peak noise(V_ low pass 4th order the CM measurem order Bessel Thom	CMPP) and set to 30 mV. Creat Bessel Thomson filter with a 3 of ent. Additionally in section 163. son filter with a 3 dB point of 10 set SCMR (min) to 11.8 dB. Se	e a new section f dB point of 10 MH 9.2.7 indicate tha) MHz is to be ap	or such indicating the a Hz is to be applied to at the a high pass 4th
Replace the 400GB. Proposed Response		VR4. 	# [1-99	to peak noise(V_ low pass 4th order the CM measurem order Bessel Thom	Bessel Thomson filter with a 3 of ent. Additionally in section 163. Ison filter with a 3 dB point of 10	e a new section f dB point of 10 MH 9.2.7 indicate tha) MHz is to be ap	or such indicating the a Hz is to be applied to at the a high pass 4th
Replace the 400GB. Proposed Response	Response Status O	L 13	# []-99	to peak noise (V_ low pass 4th order the CM measurem order Bessel Thom measurement and	Bessel Thomson filter with a 3 of ent. Additionally in section 163. Ison filter with a 3 dB point of 10 set SCMR (min) to 11.8 dB. Se	e a new section f dB point of 10 MH 9.2.7 indicate tha) MHz is to be ap	or such indicating the a Hz is to be applied to at the a high pass 4th
Replace the 400GB. Proposed Response Cl 116 SC 116.5 Parsons, Earl Comment Type T	Response Status 0 P102	L 13 Inc.		to peak noise (V_ low pass 4th order the CM measurem order Bessel Thom measurement and	Bessel Thomson filter with a 3 of ent. Additionally in section 163. Ison filter with a 3 dB point of 10 set SCMR (min) to 11.8 dB. Se	e a new section f dB point of 10 MH 9.2.7 indicate tha) MHz is to be ap	or such indicating the a Hz is to be applied to at the a high pass 4th
Proposed Response Cl 116 SC 116.5 Parsons, Earl Comment Type T	Response Status O P 102 CommScope, Comment Status X	L 13 Inc.		to peak noise (V_ low pass 4th order the CM measurem order Bessel Thom measurement and	Bessel Thomson filter with a 3 of ent. Additionally in section 163. Ison filter with a 3 dB point of 10 set SCMR (min) to 11.8 dB. Se	e a new section f dB point of 10 MH 9.2.7 indicate tha) MHz is to be ap	or such indicating the a Hz is to be applied to at the a high pass 4th

C/ 120F SC 120F.	3.1	P 239	L 13	# I-102	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.1	P 258	L 13	# I-104
lellitz, Richard		Samtec, Inc.			Mellitz, Rich	ard		Samtec, Inc.		
Comment Type TR	Comment	Status X			Comment T	/pe TR	Commei	nt Status X		
Low frequency CM mode noise ratio, S test fixture loss. Sin not correct. As den power supply or oth	CMR (min), is re ce the low freque nonstrated in mel	elated to the Peal ency the loss is v Ilitz_3k_adhoc_0	k Pulse and use very small the tp 1_120821 noise	ed to compensate for 0v compensation is	mellitz_ mellitz_ V_CMP	3k_adhoc_01 3ck_adhoc_0 P as the peak	_120821, me 1_121620. C	e noise. See CM llitz_3ck_01a_072 lause 163.9.2.7 d common-mode vo	1, and efines a more m	neaningful parameter
SuggestedRemedy	51 1011 110que110)		dottiniontai,		SuggestedF	-				
Add a new line to ta peak to peak noise	(V_CMPP) and s	set to 30 mV. Cre	eate a new section	on for such indicating	peak A0	common-mo	ode voltage a		but define the d	IPP as the peak-to- istribution range to be
	ment. Additionally son filter with a 3	y in section 163 dB point of 10 M	.9.2.7 indicate th IHz is to be appl	0 MHz is to be applied nat the a high pass 4th lied to the AC CM	Proposed R	esponse	Response	e Status O		
Proposed Response	Response				C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	2	P 261	L 7	# I-105
					Mellitz, Rich	ard		Samtec, Inc.		
					Comment T	/pe TR	Commei	nt Status X		
C/ 162 SC 162.9 Mellitz, Richard Comment Type TR	Comment		L 24	# <mark>I-103</mark>	mellitz_ mellitz_	3k_adhoc_01 3ck_adhoc_0	_120821, me 1_121620. C	e noise. See CM Ilitz_3ck_01a_072 lause 163.9.2.7 d common-mode vo	1, and efines a more m	neaningful parameter
RMS is poor indicat mellitz_3k_adhoc_0					SuggestedF	emedy				
	01_121620. Cla	ause 163.9.2.7 de	efines a more me	eaningful parameter	peak A0	common-mo	ode voltage a		but define the d	IPP as the peak-to- istribution range to be
SuggestedRemedy					Proposed R		`	e Status O	Seriation	
Replace "AC comm peak AC common-r					Toposeu A	caponac	Response			
Proposed Response	Response	Status O			C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.	1	P 239	L 13	# <u>I</u> -106
					Mellitz, Rich	ard		Samtec, Inc.		
					Comment T	/pe TR	Commei	nt Status X		
						or 120F is 1e- e to adjust fo		for 163 is 1e-4. Th	e reference to 1	63.9.2.7 need a
					SuggestedF	emedy				
					Add a fo	otnote to SC	MR(min) to co	ompute V_CMPP	to with the distr	ibution range to be
							0.9999995. (1			ibulion range to be

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1 P 258 L 21 # I-107	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2.2 P 262 L 27 # I-109
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC.Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC, Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.
Comment Type TR Comment Status X ESMW/EW were removed in draft 1.4 with the introduction of the +/- 50 mUI rectangular	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Fig 120G-7 shows the most trivial component in the the capacitors, why not other
window with VEO and VEC limits not passing the task force introduced Gaussian window which in effect reduces implicit minimum receiver eye opening. With current Gaussian window for typical high loss channel EW can be as little as 120 mUI, in comparisons CL120E min ESMW=220 mU. The 120 mUI can be further degraded for lower loss channel with pathological reflections/jitter may result in EW <100 mUI. Eye width opening is as critical as VEC/VEO, without explicit EW specifications and with current Gaussian window there is significant interoperability risk.	components such as CDR, TX/RX optics? SuggestedRemedy Suggest removing what is inside the module just show a box for module under test Proposed Response Response Status O
uggestedRemedy	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 P 260 L 6 # I-110
An explicit ESMW>=175 mUI specifications which is available in the scope might be the simplest, other alternative would be to go back to rectangular mask with +/- 50 mUI or introduce 10 sides mask as demonstrated in https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_01/dawe_3ck_01_0121.pdf roposed Response Response Status O	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC,Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status X AC common mode at TP1a which include the channel is specified as 25 mV but also AC common mode for module output which doesn't include the channel specified as 25 mV. Need allocation for the channel!
120G SC 120G.3.2 P 261 L 12 # I-108	SuggestedRemedy Please reduce the AC common mode at TP4 to 20 mV RMS
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC,Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. comment Type TR Comment Status X	Proposed Response Response Status O
ESMW/EW were removed in draft 1.4 with the introduction of the +/- 50 mUI rectangular window with VEO and VEC limits not passing the task force introduced Gaussian window	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3 P 264 L 6 # I-111
which in effect reduces implicit minimum receiver eye opening. With current Gaussian window for typical high loss channel EW can be as little as 120 mUI, in comparisons	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC, Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.
CL120E min farend ESMW=200 mU. The 120 mUI can be further degraded for lower loss	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
channel with pathological reflections/jitter may result in EW <100 mUI. Eye width opening is as critical as VEC/VEO, without explicit EW specifications and with current Gaussian window there is significant interoperability risk.	AC common mode at TP1a which include the channel is specified as 25 mV but also AC common mode for module output which doesn't include the channel specified as 25 mV. Need allocation for the channel!
lggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
An explicit ESMW>=150 mUI specifications which is available in the scope might be the	Please reduce the AC common mode at TP4 to 20 mV RMS
simplest, other alternative would be to go back to rectangular mask with +/- 50 mUI or introduce 10 sides mask as demonstrated in	Proposed Response Response Status O

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/21_01/dawe_3ck_01_0121.pdf

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.5.1	P 265	L 50	# I-112	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.3.5.2	P 267	L 39	# I-115
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um LLC,Marvell	Semiconductor, Inc.	Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quant	um LLC,Marvell	Semiconductor, Inc.
Comment Type TR Comme	ent Status X			Comment 7	Type TR	Comme	ent Status X		
Not sure why you are referencing SuggestedRemedy Please change to Figure 120F-3 Proposed Response Respon	Table 120F-3, ma se Status O	aybe the intention	n was Figure 120F-3!	window which i window CL120I channe is as cr	v with VEO ar n effect reduc v for typical hi E min farend with patholo itical as VEC,	d VEC limits es implicit m gh loss chan SMW=200 gical reflectio VEO, withou	not passing the ta inimum receiver en nel EW can be as mU. The 120 mUl ons/jitter may resul	isk force introdu ye opening. Wit little as 120 mU l can be further o lt in EW <100 m	/- 50 mUI rectangular iced Gaussian window th current Gaussian I, in comparisons degraded for lower loss iUI. Eye width opening ith current Gaussian
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.5.1	P 265	L 52	# I-113	Suggested	Ŭ				
What is the intention of defining n	ent Status X		Semiconductor, Inc.	simples introdu	st, other alterr ce 10 sides m	hative would lask as demo	be to go back to re	ectangular mask	e scope might be the with +/- 50 mUI or
SuggestedRemedy This sentence is either incomplete	e or should be rem	nvoed.		Proposed F	Response	Respon	se Status O		
Proposed Response Respon	se Status O			C/ 120G	SC 120G.3		P 269	L 19	#
				Ghiasi, Ali	30 1 20G.	.4		-	# I-116
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.3.5.1	P 266	L 27	# I-114	Comment 7	vpe TR	Comm	ent Status X		Semiconductor, Inc.
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um LLC,Marvell	Semiconductor, Inc.		51			duction of the +/	/- 50 mUI rectangular
Open arrow is defiend to be for m right side of MCB incdicate MCB SuggestedRemedy You could remvove the open arro	plugs in to the sco w on the MCB		nal open arrow on the	which i window CL120I channe is as cr	n effect reduc / for typical hi E min ESMW I with patholo	es implicit m gh loss chan =220 mU. Tl gical reflectio VEO, withou	inimum receiver ey nel EW can be as ne 120 mUI can be ons/jitter may resul t explicit EW spec	ye opening. Wit little as 120 mU e further degrade It in EW <100 m	
Proposed Response Respon	se Status O			Suggested	•		-		
				An exp simples introdu	licit ESMW>= st, other alterr ce 10 sides m	hative would lask as demo	be to go back to re	ectangular mask	e scope might be the with +/- 50 mUI or
				Proposed F	Response	Respon	se Status O		

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.3.1 P 270 L 38 # [-11	17 C/ 162C SC 162C.1 P 303 L 10 # 1-120
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC, Marvell Semiconducto	or, Inc. Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC, Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
Open arrow is defiend to be for mechanical insertion, having additional open arrow right side of MCB incdicate MCB plugs in to the scope	w on the Table 162C-3 has number of error due to lack of pin alignment between OSFP and QSFP/QSFP-DD800
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
You could remvove the open arrow on the MCB	These need to be broken in to three tables: SFP112/SFP-DD112/DSFP, QSFP112/QSFF
Proposed Response Response Status O	DD800, and the 3rd table for OSFP. Plesae see Lusted-Ghiasi presentation.
	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/1 SC 1.4 P 32 L 65 # [-11	 18
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC, Marvell Semiconducto	
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc.
SFP-DD operates at 50G and with SFP-DD112 there is no reason to include SFP	-DD Comment Type T Comment Status X
SuggestedRemedy Please remvoe SFP-DD	In IEEE P802.3ck/D2.2, the definition of the variable use_quiet_in_training included the statement that "this variable is always set to FALSE for 50 Gb/s per lane PHYs, otherwise it is set to TRUE." When the modifications to 136.8 were moved to the IEEE P802.3 (IEE
Proposed Response Response Status O	802.3dc) revision project, the statement was modified to state that "the value of this variable is implementation dependent." Since there is no superseding statement in
	variable is implementation dependent." Since there is no superseding statement in 162.8.11, the value of use_quiet_in_training is implementation dependent as defined in the base document and not required to be TRUE for 100G/lane as it was in IEEE
C/ 162B SC 162B.5.4 P 300 L 38 # [-11	variable is implementation dependent." Since there is no superseding statement in 162.8.11, the value of use_quiet_in_training is implementation dependent as defined in the base document and not required to be TRUE for 100G/lane as it was in IEEE P802.3ck/D2.2.
Cl 162B SC 162B.5.4 P 300 L 38 # [-11 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC, Marvell Semiconducto Comment Type TR Comment Status X	variable is implementation dependent." Since there is no superseding statement in 162.8.11, the value of use_quiet_in_training is implementation dependent as defined in the base document and not required to be TRUE for 100G/lane as it was in IEEE P802.3ck/D2.2.
C/ 162B SC 162B.5.4 P 300 L 38 # [-1] Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC,Marvell Semiconducto Comment Type TR Comment Status X I suggest TF7 under feature add single-lane	 variable is implementation dependent." Since there is no superseding statement in 162.8.11, the value of use_quiet_in_training is implementation dependent as defined in the base document and not required to be TRUE for 100G/lane as it was in IEEE P802.3ck/D2.2. br, Inc. SuggestedRemedy If the intent is require use_quiet_in_training to be TRUE for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the provided of the intent is require use_quiet_in_training to be TRUE for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the provided of the intent is require use_quiet_in_training to be TRUE for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the provided of the intent is require use_quiet_in_training to be true for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the provided of the intent is require use_quiet_in_training to be true for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the provided of the intent is required use_quiet_in_training to be true for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the provided of the intent is required use_quiet_in_training to be true for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the provided of the intent is required use_quiet_in_training to be true for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the provided of the intent is required use_quiet_in_training to be true for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the provided of the intent is required use_quiet_in_training to be true for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the provided of the intent is required use_quiet_in_training to be true for 100G/lane physe_quiet_in_training to be true for 10
Cl 162B SC 162B.5.4 P 300 L 38 # [-11 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC,Marvell Semiconducto Comment Type TR Comment Status X I suggest TF7 under feature add single-lane SuggestedRemedy Single-lane, SFP112,	 variable is implementation dependent." Since there is no superseding statement in 162.8.11, the value of use_quiet_in_training is implementation dependent as defined in the base document and not required to be TRUE for 100G/lane as it was in IEEE P802.3ck/D2.2. SuggestedRemedy If the intent is require use_quiet_in_training to be TRUE for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the following item to the list: "f) The variable use_quiet_in_training is set to TRUE."
Cl 162B SC 162B.5.4 P 300 L 38 # [-11 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC,Marvell Semiconducto Comment Type TR Comment Status X I suggest TF7 under feature add single-lane SuggestedRemedy Single-lane, SFP112,	 variable is implementation dependent." Since there is no superseding statement in 162.8.11, the value of use_quiet_in_training is implementation dependent as defined in the base document and not required to be TRUE for 100G/lane as it was in IEEE P802.3ck/D2.2. SuggestedRemedy If the intent is require use_quiet_in_training to be TRUE for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the following item to the list: "f) The variable use_quiet_in_training is set to TRUE." Proposed Response Response Status O
Cl 162B SC 162B.5.4 P 300 L 38 # [-11 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC,Marvell Semiconducto Comment Type TR Comment Status X I suggest TF7 under feature add single-lane SuggestedRemedy Single-lane, SFP112,	 variable is implementation dependent." Since there is no superseding statement in 162.8.11, the value of use_quiet_in_training is implementation dependent as defined in the base document and not required to be TRUE for 100G/lane as it was in IEEE P802.3ck/D2.2. SuggestedRemedy If the intent is require use_quiet_in_training to be TRUE for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the following item to the list: "f) The variable use_quiet_in_training is set to TRUE." Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.16 P 36 L 39 # [1-122]
Cl 162B SC 162B.5.4 P 300 L 38 # [-11 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC,Marvell Semiconducto Comment Type TR Comment Status X I suggest TF7 under feature add single-lane SuggestedRemedy Single-lane, SFP112,	 variable is implementation dependent." Since there is no superseding statement in 162.8.11, the value of use_quiet_in_training is implementation dependent as defined in the base document and not required to be TRUE for 100G/lane as it was in IEEE P802.3ck/D2.2. SuggestedRemedy <pre>If the intent is require use_quiet_in_training to be TRUE for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the following item to the list: "f) The variable use_quiet_in_training is set to TRUE." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.16 P 36 L 39 # [1-122] Healey, Adam</pre> Broadcom Inc.
Cl 162B SC 162B.5.4 P 300 L 38 # [-11 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC,Marvell Semiconducto Comment Type TR Comment Status X I suggest TF7 under feature add single-lane SuggestedRemedy Single-lane, SFP112,	variable is implementation dependent." Since there is no superseding statement in 162.8.11, the value of use_quiet_in_training is implementation dependent as defined in the base document and not required to be TRUE for 100G/lane as it was in IEEE P802.3ck/D2.2. SuggestedRemedy If the intent is require use_quiet_in_training to be TRUE for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the following item to the list: "f) The variable use_quiet_in_training is set to TRUE." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.16 P 36 L 39 # I-122 Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X IEEE P802.3ck will be an amendment to the next revision of IEEE Std 802.3. The change shown in the last paragraph of the "BEHAVIOR DEFINED AS:" section do not corresponded to the section of th
Cl 162B SC 162B.5.4 P 300 L 38 # [-1] Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC, Marvell Semiconductor Comment Type TR Comment Status X I suggest TF7 under feature add single-lane SuggestedRemedy Single-lane, SFP112,	variable is implementation dependent." Since there is no superseding statement in 162.8.11, the value of use_quiet_in_training is implementation dependent as defined in the base document and not required to be TRUE for 100G/lane as it was in IEEE P802.3ck/D2.2. SuggestedRemedy If the intent is require use_quiet_in_training to be TRUE for 100G/lane PHYs, then add the following item to the list: "f) The variable use_quiet_in_training is set to TRUE." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.16 P 36 L 39 # 1-122 Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X IEEE P802.3ck will be an amendment to the next revision of IEEE Std 802.3. The change shown in the latest paragraph of the "BEHAVIOR DEFINED AS:" section do not correspond to the text in the latest revision draft (D3.0).

C/FM	SC FM	P 4	L 32	# I-123	C/ 162	SC 162.5	P 157	L 17	# I-125
ealey, Ac	lam	Broadcom Inc			Hidaka, Yas	suo	Credo Sem	conductor	
omment	Туре Е	Comment Status X			Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status X		
	mportant Notice vith the latest te	s and Disclaimers Concerning mplate.	IEEE Standards	s Documents" does not		•	ssing for "shall" for the max	delays listed in Ta	ble 162-4.
uaaestea	IRemedy				Suggested	-			
Update secone Standa	e the frontmatte d paragraph of " ards Documents	r to be consistent with the late Notice and Disclaimer of Liabi ", two additional paragraphs u	lity Concerning t	he Use of IEEE		e no more thar 162.5.	e sum of the transmit and th the maximum delays listed Response Status O		
chang chang	es. Response	Response Status O							
oposeu	Response	Response Status O			C/ 162	SC 162.6.1	P 158	L 1	# I-126
162	SC 162.9.4.	3.3 <i>P</i> 176	L 23	# I-124	Hidaka, Yas	suo	Credo Sem	conductor	
vin, Joł		Keysight Tech		<i>"</i>	Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status X		
mment		Comment Status X	libiogies		PICS e	ntry seems mi	ssing for "shall" for the skew	at SP3 for 100GE	BASE-CR1 less than
		has a discriminant which und		to conditions can be	54ns.				
		expression to fail. The accorr			Suggested	Remedy			
not ho	ld, a different tra	ansmitter should be used in the	e test setup." Th	nis TE tool provider is			e Skew at SP3 for 100GBAS	SE-CR1 shall be le	ess than 54ns" with a
		omer complaints that the BER is negative discriminant condi				ce to clause 1			
		mitter should be used" needs t			Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
ggested	IRemedy								
	der the following				C/ 162	SC 162.6.1	P 158	L 4	# I-127
		rg/3/ck/public/adhoc/apr14_21 xact issue. Note pages 4 ar			Hidaka, Yas	suo	Credo Sem	conductor	
		egative with instrument grade t			Comment T	Type E	Comment Status X		
	in subclause 1	62.9.4.3.3 should be revised to		ive discriminant. If	PICS e 134ns.	ntry seems mi	ssing for "shall" for the skew	at SP4 for 100GE	BASE-CR1 less than
Note 2 "The C		DD may, under certain conditio		increase the ADD					
Note 2 "The C this co	ndition occurs,	the recommended solution is t		increase the ADD	Suggested	Remedy			
Note 2 "The C this co param	ndition occurs,			increase the ADD	Add a F	,	e Skew at SP4 for 100GBAS 62.6.1.	SE-CR1 shall be le	ess than 134ns" with

C/ 162 SC 162.6.1	P 158	L 8	# I-128	C/ 162 SC 1	162.6.2	P 158	L 26	# I-131
lidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	nductor		Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semico	onductor	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X		
PICS entry seems mi 145ns.	issing for "shall" for the skew at	SP5 for 100GE	BASE-CR1 less than	PICS entry see 400GBASE-C		ing for "shall" for the skew a nan 134ns.	t SP4 for 200G	BASE-CR2 and
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	У			
Add a PICS entry "Th reference to clause 1	he Skew at SP5 for 100GBASE- 162.6.1.	-CR1 shall be le	ess than 145ns" with a			Skew at SP4 for 200GBASE reference to clause 162.6.2.		GBASE-CR4 shall be
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Respons	se	Response Status 0		
C/ 162 SC 162.6.2	P 158	L 23	# I-129	C/ 162 SC 1	162.6.2	P 158	L 26	# <u>I-132</u>
Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	nductor		Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semico	onductor	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X		
PICS entry seems mi 400GBASE-CR4 less	issing for "shall" for the skew at	SP3 for 200GE	BASE-CR2 and			ing for "shall" for the skew v	ariation at SP4	for 200GBASE-CR2
400GDA3E-CR4 less	s than 54hs.			and 400GBAS	SE-CR4 le	ess than 3.4ns.		
	s man 54ns.			and 400GBAS SuggestedRemedy		ss than 3.4ns.		
SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "Th	he Skew at SP3 for 200GBASE a reference to clause 162.6.2.	-CR2 and 400G	BASE-CR4 shall be	SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS er	y ntry "The	ss than 3.4ns. Skew Variation at SP4 for 20 " with a reference to clause		2 and 400GBASE-CR
SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "Th less than 54ns" with a	he Skew at SP3 for 200GBASE	-CR2 and 400G	BASE-CR4 shall be	SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS er	y ntry "The han 3.4ns	Skew Variation at SP4 for 20		2 and 400GBASE-CR
SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "Th less than 54ns" with a Proposed Response	he Skew at SP3 for 200GBASE a reference to clause 162.6.2. <i>Response Status</i> 0	-CR2 and 400G	BASE-CR4 shall be # [<u>-130</u>	SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS er shall be less th Proposed Respons	y ntry "The han 3.4ns	Skew Variation at SP4 for 20 " with a reference to clause		2 and 400GBASE-CR # [<u>1-133</u>
SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The less than 54ns" with a Proposed Response	he Skew at SP3 for 200GBASE a reference to clause 162.6.2. <i>Response Status</i> 0	L 23		SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS er shall be less th Proposed Respons	y ntry "The han 3.4ns se	Skew Variation at SP4 for 20 " with a reference to clause <i>Response Status</i> 0	162.6.2. <i>L</i> 30	
SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "Thess than 54ns" with a Proposed Response C/ 162 SC 162.6.2 Hidaka, Yasuo	he Skew at SP3 for 200GBASE a reference to clause 162.6.2. Response Status O	L 23		SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS er shall be less th Proposed Respons	y ntry "The han 3.4ns se	Skew Variation at SP4 for 20 " with a reference to clause Response Status O P 158	162.6.2. <i>L</i> 30	
SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The less than 54ns" with a Proposed Response CI 162 SC 162.6.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E	he Skew at SP3 for 200GBASE a reference to clause 162.6.2. <i>Response Status</i> O 2. <i>P</i> 158 Credo Semico <i>Comment Status</i> X issing for "shall" for the skew va	L 23 anductor	# [<mark>-130</mark>	SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS er shall be less th Proposed Respons Cl 162 SC 1 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type	y ntry "The han 3.4ns se 162.6.2 E ems miss	Skew Variation at SP4 for 20 " with a reference to clause Response Status O P 158 Credo Semico Comment Status X sing for "shall" for the skew a	162.6.2.	# <mark>I-133</mark>
SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "Thess than 54ns" with a Proposed Response Cl 162 SC 162.6.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E PICS entry seems miniand 400GBASE-CR4	he Skew at SP3 for 200GBASE a reference to clause 162.6.2. <i>Response Status</i> O 2. <i>P</i> 158 Credo Semico <i>Comment Status</i> X issing for "shall" for the skew va	L 23 anductor	# [<mark>-130</mark>	SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS er shall be less th Proposed Respons Cl 162 SC 1 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type PICS entry set	y ntry "The han 3.4ns se 162.6.2 E ems miss R4 less th	Skew Variation at SP4 for 20 " with a reference to clause Response Status O P 158 Credo Semico Comment Status X sing for "shall" for the skew a	162.6.2.	# <mark>1-133</mark>
SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "Th less than 54ns" with a Proposed Response Cl 162 SC 162.6.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E PICS entry seems mi and 400GBASE-CR4 SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "Th	he Skew at SP3 for 200GBASE a reference to clause 162.6.2. <i>Response Status</i> O 2. <i>P</i> 158 Credo Semico <i>Comment Status</i> X issing for "shall" for the skew va	L 23 anductor ariation at SP3 f 00GBASE-CR2	# [<u>1-130</u> for 200GBASE-CR2	SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS er shall be less th Proposed Respons Cl 162 SC 1 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type PICS entry ser 400GBASE-Cl SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS er	y ntry "The han 3.4ns se 162.6.2 E ems miss R4 less th y ntry "The	Skew Variation at SP4 for 20 " with a reference to clause Response Status O P 158 Credo Semico Comment Status X sing for "shall" for the skew a	<i>L</i> 30 Donductor t SP5 for 200G	# [<u>1-133</u> BASE-CR2 and

C/ 162	SC 162.6.2	P 158	L 30	# I-134	C/ 162	SC 162.14.4.2	P 194	L 17	# I-137
daka, Ya	SUO	Credo Semico	onductor		Hidaka, Yasu	10	Credo Se	miconductor	
		Comment Status X sing for "shall" for the skew v ess than 3.6ns.	ariation at SP5 f	or 200GBASE-CR2	Comment Ty Item PC SuggestedRe	6 refers to claus	Comment Status X e 136.8.11.4.1.		
	PICS entry "The	Skew Variation at SP5 for 20 " with a reference to clause		and 400GBASE-CR4		the reference of	PC6 from 136.8.11.4.1 Response Status 0	to 162.9.3.1.3.	
oposed F	Response	Response Status 0			<u></u>	00 400 44 7	D.405		// IL 100
162 daka, Yas	SC 162.7	P 158 Credo Semico	L 37 onductor	# [<mark>I-135</mark>	C/ 162 Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty	pe T	P 185 Credo Se <i>Comment Status</i> X annel within the cable a:	L 46 miconductor	# <u> </u> - <u>138</u>
<i>ggestedl</i> Add a F	entry seems miss <i>Remedy</i> PICS entry "MDI	Comment Status X sing for "shall" for mapping of O shall map MDIO variables hrough Table 162-7" with a re	and registers to	PMD variables as	SuggestedRe	emedy 'any channel" to		ssenioly is not clea	u.
posed F	Response	Response Status O			C/ 162	SC 162.14.4.5	P 196	L 8	# I-139
						10			
162	SC 162.9.3.1	.2 P 169	L 8	# 1-136	Hidaka, Yasu		Credo Se	miconductor	
		.2 P 169 Credo Semico		# [<mark>I-136</mark>	Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty	pe T		miconductor	
daka, Yas omment 7 The min text. Th Table 1 minimu	suo Type E inimum value of ne text is inconsi 162-10 implicate:	Credo Semico Comment Status X the linear fit pulse peak ratio stent with Table 162-10, bec s "greter than or equal to". 0. t allowed in the body text. Av	should not be de ause the text say 397 is allowed ir	escribed in the body /s "greater than" but 1 Table 162-10 as the	Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty The mea SuggestedRo	pe T ning of "all char emedy 'all channels" w	Credo Se Comment Status X	miconductor	
text. Th Table 1 minimu	Type E inimum value of he text is inconsi 162-10 implicate um value, but noi t should refer to	Credo Semico Comment Status X the linear fit pulse peak ratio stent with Table 162-10, bec s "greter than or equal to". 0. t allowed in the body text. Av	should not be de ause the text say 397 is allowed ir	escribed in the body /s "greater than" but 1 Table 162-10 as the	Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty The mea SuggestedRe Change	pe T ning of "all char emedy 'all channels" w	Credo Se Comment Status X nnels within the cable as ith "all lanes". Response Status O	miconductor	
daka, Yas omment 7 The min text. Th Table 1 minimu the text oggested/ Change equaliz peak ra	Type E inimum value of the text is inconsi 162-10 implicate um value, but noi t should refer to <i>Remedy</i> e "The linear fit p er initial conditionation atio shall meet th	Credo Semico Comment Status X the linear fit pulse peak ratio stent with Table 162-10, bec s "greter than or equal to". 0. t allowed in the body text. Av	should not be de ause the text say 397 is allowed ir oid the minimum ater than 0.397 a to equalization). ¹ Table 162-10 aft	escribed in the body ys "greater than" but a Table 162-10 as the a value in the text and fter the transmit to "The linear fit pulse er the transmit	Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty The mea SuggestedRe Change Proposed Re C/ 163 Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty	pe T ning of "all char emedy 'all channels" w sponse SC 163.13.4.3 to pe E	Credo Se Comment Status X nnels within the cable as ith "all lanes". Response Status O P 222	miconductor	r.
daka, Yas omment 7 The minitext. The Table 1 minimuthe text uggested/ Change equaliz peak ra equaliz	Type E inimum value of the text is inconsi 162-10 implicate um value, but noi t should refer to <i>Remedy</i> e "The linear fit p er initial conditionation atio shall meet th	Credo Semico Comment Status X the linear fit pulse peak ratio stent with Table 162-10, bec s "greter than or equal to". 0. t allowed in the body text. Av the table.	should not be de ause the text say 397 is allowed ir oid the minimum ater than 0.397 a to equalization). ¹ Table 162-10 aft	escribed in the body ys "greater than" but a Table 162-10 as the a value in the text and fter the transmit to "The linear fit pulse er the transmit	Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty The mea SuggestedRe Change Proposed Re Cl 163 Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty The bord SuggestedRe	pe T ning of "all char emedy 'all channels" w sponse SC 163.13.4.3 to pe E ler between TC emedy	Credo Se Comment Status X nnels within the cable as ith "all lanes". Response Status O P 222 Credo Se Comment Status X	<i>L</i> 51 <i>L</i> 51	r.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID I-140

Page 31 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the max delays listed in Table 163-4. PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the max delays listed in Table 163-4. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The sum of the transmit and receive delays at one end of the link shall be no more than the maximum delays listed in Table 163-4" with a reference to clause 163.5. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Cl 163 SC 163.6.1 P 201 L 18 # [-142] Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Comment Type E Comment Status X PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 less than 54ns. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1. SuggestedRemedy	w at SP5 for 100GBASE- sponse Status O P 201 Credo Semico omment Status X or "shall" for the skew at	SP5 for 100GE KR1 shall be le	ess than 145ns" with a # <mark>I-145</mark>
Comment Type E Comment Status X PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the max delays listed in Table 163-4. SuggestedRemedy PICS entry "The sum of the transmit and receive delays at one end of the link shall be no more than the maximum delays listed in Table 163-4" with a reference to clause 163.5. PICS entry "The sum of the transmit and receive delays at one end of the link shall be no more than the maximum delays listed in Table 163-4" with a reference to clause 163.6.1 SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Ske reference to clause 163.6.1 C/ 163 SC 163.6.1 P 201 L 18 # [-142] If 143 C/ 163 SC 163.6.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Comment Type E Comment Status X PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 less than 54ns. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1.	omment Status X or "shall" for the skew at w at SP5 for 100GBASE- sponse Status O P201 Credo Semicor omment Status X or "shall" for the skew at	SP5 for 100GE KR1 shall be le	ess than 145ns" with a # <mark>I-145</mark>
PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the max delays listed in Table 163-4. PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the max delays listed in Table 163-4. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The sum of the transmit and receive delays at one end of the link shall be no more than the maximum delays listed in Table 163-4" with a reference to clause 163.6.1. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The sum of the transmit and receive delays at one end of the link shall be no more than the maximum delays listed in Table 163-4" with a reference to clause 163.6.1. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 less than 54ns." CI 163 SC 163.6.1 Proposed Response Cl 163 SC 163.6.1 P 201 L 18 # [-142] CI 163 SC 163.6.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E Comment Status X PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 less than 54ns. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1. SuggestedRemedy	or "shall" for the skew at w at SP5 for 100GBASE- sponse Status O P201 Credo Semicon omment Status X or "shall" for the skew at	KR1 shall be le	ess than 145ns" with a # <mark>I-145</mark>
SuggestedRemedy 145ns. SuggestedRemedy 145ns. be no more than the maximum delays listed in Table 163-4" with a reference to clause 163.5. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 163 SC 163.6.1 P 201 L 18 # [-142] Cl 163 SC 163.6.1 P 201 L 18 # [-142] Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Comment Type E Comment Status X PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 less than 54ns. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1.	w at SP5 for 100GBASE- sponse Status O P 201 Credo Semico omment Status X or "shall" for the skew at	KR1 shall be le	ess than 145ns" with a # <mark>I-145</mark>
Add a PICS entry "The sum of the transmit and receive delays at one end of the link shall be no more than the maximum delays listed in Table 163-4" with a reference to clause 163.5. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Ske reference to clause 163.6.1 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 163 SC 163.6.1 P 201 L 18 # [-142] Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Cl I63 SC 163.6.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Comment Type E Comment Status X PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 less than 54ns. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1.	P 201 Credo Semicor omment Status X or "shall" for the skew at	L 40 nductor	# <mark>[-145</mark>
Cl 163 SC 163.6.1 P 201 L 18 # [-142] Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Cl 163 SC 163.6.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E Comment Status X PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 less than 54ns. Comment Type E Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1.	P 201 Credo Semico omment Status X or "shall" for the skew at	nductor	
Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 less than 54ns. Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1. SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1.	Credo Semicon omment Status X or "shall" for the skew at	nductor	
Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type E <td>omment Status X or "shall" for the skew at</td> <td></td> <td>BASE-KR2 and</td>	omment Status X or "shall" for the skew at		BASE-KR2 and
PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 less than 54ns. PICS entry seems missing 400GBASE-KR4 less than 5400GBASE-KR4	or "shall" for the skew at	SP3 for 200GE	BASE-KR2 and
54ns. 400GBASE-KR4 less than 4 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1. Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1.		SP3 for 200GB	BASE-KR2 and
Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1. Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP3 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 54ns" with a reference to clause 163.6.1.			
reference to clause 163.6.1. less than 54ns" with a refer			
Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Re		KR2 and 400G	BASE-KR4 shall be
	sponse Status O		
C/ 163 SC 163.6.1 P 201 L 21 # 1-143 C/ 163 SC 163.6.2	P 201	L 40	# I-146
Hidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	nductor	
Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E C	omment Status X		
PICS entry seems missing for "shall" for the skew at SP4 for 100GBASE-KR1 less than PICS entry seems missing 400GBASE-KR4 less than 400GBASE-KR4 less than		ariation at SP3 f	or 200GBASE-KR2 an
SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy			
Add a PICS entry "The Skew at SP4 for 100GBASE-KR1 shall be less than 134ns" with aAdd a PICS entry "The Skereference to clause 163.6.1.shall be less than 600ps" with a			and 400GBASE-KR4
Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Respo	in a reference to clause ?		

C/ 163 SC	63.6.2	P 201	L 43	# I-147	C/ 163	SC 163.6.2	P 201	L 46	# I-150
Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semico	nductor		Hidaka, Ya	suo	Credo Se	miconductor	
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X			Comment	Гуре Е	Comment Status X		
PICS entry s 400GBASE-I		ng for "shall" for the skew at an 134ns.	SP4 for 200GE	ASE-KR2 and		entry seems miss ASE-KR4 less th		ew variation at SP5	for 200GBASE-KR2 and
SuggestedReme	edy				Suggested	Remedy			
		kew at SP4 for 200GBASE- eference to clause 163.6.2.	KR2 and 400G	BASE-KR4 shall be			Skew Variation at SP5 f " with a reference to cla		and 400GBASE-KR4
Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status 0			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 163 SC	63.6.2	P 201	L 43	# [I-148	C/ 163	SC 163.13.4.3	P 222	L 49	# [I-151
Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semico	nductor		Hidaka, Ya	suo	Credo Se	miconductor	
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X			Comment	Гуре Е	Comment Status X		
PICS entry s		ng for "shall" for the skew va	riation at SP4 f	or 200GBASE-KR2 and	"peak"	is missing.			
400GBASE-ł	KR4 less that	an 3.4ns.			Suaaested	Remedv			
		an 3.4ns.			Suggested Change		ar fit pulse ratio" to "Diff	erence linear fit pu	lse peak ratio".
SuggestedReme	edy entry "The S	an 3.4ns. kew Variation at SP4 for 20 with a reference to clause 1			00	e "Difference line	ar fit pulse ratio" to "Diff Response Status 0	erence linear fit pul	lse peak ratio".
SuggestedReme Add a PICS of shall be less	edy entry "The S s than 3.4ns"	kew Variation at SP4 for 20			Change	e "Difference line	·	erence linear fit pul	lse peak ratio".
SuggestedReme Add a PICS of shall be less	edy entry "The S s than 3.4ns"	kew Variation at SP4 for 20 with a reference to clause 1			Change	e "Difference line	·	erence linear fit pul	lse peak ratio". # [I-152
SuggestedRemen Add a PICS of shall be less Proposed Respon	edy entry "The S s than 3.4ns"	kew Variation at SP4 for 20 with a reference to clause 1		and 400GBASE-KR4	Chang Proposed F	e "Difference line Response SC 163.9.2.6	Response Status O		
SuggestedReme Add a PICS of shall be less Proposed Respon Cl 163 SC	edy entry "The S s than 3.4ns" onse	kew Variation at SP4 for 200 with a reference to clause 1 Response Status O P 201	63.6.2.		Chang Proposed F C/ 163	e "Difference line Response SC 163.9.2.6 suo	Response Status O	L 53	
SuggestedReme Add a PICS of shall be less Proposed Respon Cl 163 SC Hidaka, Yasuo	edy entry "The S s than 3.4ns" onse C 163.6.2	kew Variation at SP4 for 200 with a reference to clause 1 <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 201 Credo Semicon	63.6.2.	and 400GBASE-KR4	Chang Proposed F Cl 163 Hidaka, Ya Comment	e "Difference line Response SC 163.9.2.6 suo Type E	Response Status 0 P 206 Credo Se	L 53	# [<u>I-152</u>
SuggestedReme Add a PICS of shall be less Proposed Respon Cl 163 SC Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type	edy entry "The S s than 3.4ns" onse C 163.6.2 E	kew Variation at SP4 for 20 with a reference to clause 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 201 Credo Semicon <i>Comment Status</i> X	63.6.2. <i>L</i> 46 nductor	and 400GBASE-KR4 # [<u>I-149</u>	Chang Proposed F Cl 163 Hidaka, Ya Comment	e "Difference line Response SC 163.9.2.6 suo Type E entry seems miss	Response Status O P 206 Credo Se Comment Status X	L 53	# <u>[</u> -152
SuggestedReme Add a PICS of shall be less Proposed Respon Cl 163 SC Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type	edy entry "The S s than 3.4ns" onse C 163.6.2 E Seeems missir	kew Variation at SP4 for 200 with a reference to clause 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 201 Credo Semicon <i>Comment Status</i> X ng for "shall" for the skew at	63.6.2. <i>L</i> 46 nductor	and 400GBASE-KR4 # [<u>I-149</u>	Chang Proposed I Cl 163 Hidaka, Ya Comment T PICS e Suggested	e "Difference line Response SC 163.9.2.6 suo Type E entry seems miss Remedy	Response Status O P 206 Credo Se Comment Status X ing for "shall" for the res	<i>L</i> 53 miconductor sidual intersymbol ir	# [<u>I-152</u>
SuggestedRemen Add a PICS of shall be less Proposed Respon Cl 163 SC Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type PICS entry s 400GBASE-F	edy entry "The S s than 3.4ns" onse 2 163.6.2 E seems missir KR4 less tha	kew Variation at SP4 for 200 with a reference to clause 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 201 Credo Semicon <i>Comment Status</i> X ng for "shall" for the skew at	63.6.2. <i>L</i> 46 nductor	and 400GBASE-KR4 # [<u>I-149</u>	Chang Proposed I Cl 163 Hidaka, Ya Comment 7 PICS e Suggested Add a	e "Difference line Response SC 163.9.2.6 suo Type E entry seems miss Remedy	Response Status O P 206 Credo Se Comment Status X ing for "shall" for the res	<i>L</i> 53 miconductor sidual intersymbol ir	# I-152
SuggestedRemer Add a PICS of shall be less Proposed Respon Cl 163 SC Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type PICS entry s 400GBASE-F SuggestedRemer Add a PICS of	edy entry "The S than 3.4ns" onse 163.6.2 E seems missir KR4 less that edy entry "The S	kew Variation at SP4 for 200 with a reference to clause 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 201 Credo Semicon <i>Comment Status</i> X ng for "shall" for the skew at	63.6.2. <i>L</i> 46 nductor SP5 for 200GE	and 400GBASE-KR4 # [<u>I-149</u> BASE-KR2 and	Chang Proposed I Cl 163 Hidaka, Ya Comment 7 PICS e Suggested Add a	e "Difference line Response SC 163.9.2.6 suo Type E entry seems miss Remedy PICS entry for res 163.9.2.6.	Response Status O P 206 Credo Se Comment Status X ing for "shall" for the res	<i>L</i> 53 miconductor sidual intersymbol ir	# I-152

			P 207	L 9	# I-153	C/ 162	SC 162		D 400		# I-156
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.7		F 201	L 9	# 1-153	0/ 102	30 162	2.9.3	P 166	L 47	# 1-156
lidaka, Yası	uo	Cr	redo Semicor	nductor		Rysin, Ale	xander		NVIDIA		
Comment Ty	ype E	Comment Stat	tus X			Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status X		
PICS en	ntry seems miss	sing for "shall" for	signal to AC	common-mod	e noise ratio.				by limitations of measurement		
SuggestedR	Remedy						•	ct to me	easurement issues should b	be explored. Pres	sentation will follow.
		ignal to AC comm	non-mode noi	ise ratio per Ta	ble 163-5 with a	Suggested	-				
	ce to clause 163								o 0.125 UI here, from 0.106 m 0.02 to 0.0185, change J		
Proposed Re	esponse	Response State	tus O				e the meas				or ror ,or ,or,,
						Proposed	Response		Response Status O		
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.7		P 207	L 10	# I-154						
lidaka, Yası	uo	_	redo Semicor	nductor		C/ FM	SC FM		P 1	L 10	# I-157
Comment Ty		Comment Stat	tus X			Dawe, Pier	rs J G		NVIDIA		
Table 16	63-11 does not	define SCMR.				Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status X		
00							ng amendm	nent nun	nber		
SuggestedR Change		to Table 163-11 w	vith a reference	ce to Table 163	-5.		0	nent nun	nber		
00	the reference to	to Table 163-11 w Response State		ce to Table 163	-5.	Missin <i>Suggested</i> Insert	d <i>Remedy</i> amendmei	nt numb	nber her or a placeholder if the ni f the placeholders were in t		wn yet. Also on page
Change Proposed Re	the reference to the sponse	Response State	tus O			Missin <i>Suggested</i> Insert	dRemedy amendmei e 3. It woul	nt numb Id help i	er or a placeholder if the n		wn yet. Also on page
Change Proposed Re Cl 120G	the reference to response SC 120G.3.1	Response Stati	tus 0 P 258	L 17	+5. # [<u>I-155</u>]	Missin Suggested Insert 30 line	dRemedy amendmei e 3. It woul	nt numb Id help i	er or a placeholder if the n f the placeholders were in t		wn yet. Also on page
Change Proposed Re Cl 120G Hidaka, Yası	SC 120G.3.1	Response State	<i>P</i> 258 redo Semicor	L 17		Missin Suggested Insert 30 line	dRemedy amendmei e 3. It woul	nt numb Id help i	er or a placeholder if the n f the placeholders were in t		
Change Proposed Re Cl 120G Hidaka, Yası Comment Ty	SC 120G.3.1	Response Stati	tus O P 258 redo Semicor tus X	L 17 nductor	# [<mark>1-155</mark>	Missin Suggested Insert 30 line Proposed	dRemedy amendmen 3. It woul Response SC FM	nt numb Id help i	er or a placeholder if the n f the placeholders were in t <i>Response Status</i> O	he template.	wn yet. Also on page # <u>I-158</u>
Change Proposed Re Cl 120G Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty PICS en	SC 120G.3.1 SUD SUD SC 120G.3.1 SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD	Response State	tus O P 258 redo Semicor tus X	L 17 nductor	# [<mark>1-155</mark>	Missin Suggested Insert 30 line Proposed C/ FM	dRemedy amendmen ∋ 3. It woul Response SC FM rs J G	nt numb Id help i	per or a placeholder if the nu f the placeholders were in t <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 30	he template.	
Change Proposed Re Cl 120G Hidaka, Yası Comment Ty PICS en SuggestedR	SC 120G.3.1 SC 120G.3.1 SUO SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD SUD	Response State Cr Comment Stat sing for "Steady-st	tus O P 258 redo Semicor tus X state voltage,	L 17 nductor v_f (max)" in T	# [<mark>1-155</mark>	Missin Suggested Insert 30 line Proposed C/ FM Dawe, Piel Comment As this	dRemedy amendmen a 3. It woul Response SC FM rs J G Type E s is an ame	nt numb Id help i	er or a placeholder if the nu f the placeholders were in t <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 30 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X t to 802.3dc, P802.3cn and	L 47	# [<u>1-158</u> gone, and new reader
Change Proposed Re Cl 120G Hidaka, Yası Comment Ty PICS en SuggestedR	SC 120G.3.1 SC 120G.3.1 SUO Sype E httry seems miss Remedy PICS entry for "S	Response State Cr Comment Stat sing for "Steady-st	<i>P</i> 258 redo Semicor <i>tus</i> X state voltage, age" per Table	L 17 nductor v_f (max)" in T	# [<u>I-155</u>] able 120G-1.	Missin Suggested Insert 30 line Proposed CI FM Dawe, Pier Comment As this need r editor affectin in para	arrendy amendmen a 3. It woul Response SC FM rs J G Type E s is an ame not know of if it listed th ng this dra allel are be	nt numb Id help i endmen f them. he actua ft, not ju lieved n	Per or a placeholder if the ner f the placeholders were in t <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 30 <i>NVIDIA</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X t to 802.3dc, P802.3cn and Further, the editor's note w al amendments that the edi ist the concept. Also, it hel ot to affect the draft, so the	L 47 L 47 P802.3cu have yould be more us tor has noted as ps to state which e reviewer knows	# I-158 gone, and new reader se to reviewers and running in parallel and n amendments running they have been
Change Proposed Re Cl 120G Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty PICS en SuggestedR Add a P	SC 120G.3.1 SC 120G.3.1 SUO Sype E httry seems miss Remedy PICS entry for "S	Response State Cr Comment Stat sing for "Steady-st	<i>P</i> 258 redo Semicor <i>tus</i> X state voltage, age" per Table	L 17 nductor v_f (max)" in T	# [<u>I-155</u>] able 120G-1.	Missin Suggested Insert 30 line Proposed C/ FM Dawe, Piel Comment As this need r editor affectin in para consid	dRemedy amendmen a 3. It woul Response SC FM rs J G Type E s is an ame not know of if it listed th ng this dra allel are be dered. Appa	nt numb Id help i endmen f them. he actua ft, not ju lieved n	Per or a placeholder if the ner f the placeholders were in t <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 30 <i>NVIDIA</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X t to 802.3dc, P802.3cn and Further, the editor's note w al amendments that the edi ist the concept. Also, it hel	L 47 L 47 P802.3cu have yould be more us tor has noted as ps to state which e reviewer knows	# <u>I-158</u> gone, and new reader se to reviewers and running in parallel an n amendments running they have been
Change Proposed Re Cl 120G Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty PICS en SuggestedR Add a P	SC 120G.3.1 SC 120G.3.1 SUO Sype E httry seems miss Remedy PICS entry for "S	Response State Cr Comment Stat sing for "Steady-st	<i>P</i> 258 redo Semicor <i>tus</i> X state voltage, age" per Table	L 17 nductor v_f (max)" in T	# [<u>I-155</u>] able 120G-1.	Missin Suggested Insert 30 line Proposed C/ FM Dawe, Piel Comment As this need r editor affectii in para consid Suggested	dRemedy amendmen 3. It woul Response SC FM rs J G Type E s is an ame not know of if it listed th ng this dra allel are be dered. Appa dRemedy	nt numb Id help i endmen f them. he actua ft, not ju lieved n arently,	Per or a placeholder if the number of the placeholders were in the Response Status O P 30 NVIDIA Comment Status X t to 802.3dc, P802.3cn and Further, the editor's note we al amendments that the editor is note we al amendments that the editor is the concept. Also, it heled to to affect the draft, so the only P802.3db affects this of the only P802.3db affects the only P802.3	L 47 L 47 I P802.3cu have yould be more us tor has noted as ps to state which e reviewer knows draft, but others	# <u>I-158</u> gone, and new reade se to reviewers and running in parallel an n amendments runnin they have been might.
Change Proposed Re Cl 120G Hidaka, Yasu Comment Ty PICS en SuggestedR Add a P	SC 120G.3.1 SC 120G.3.1 SUO Sype E httry seems miss Remedy PICS entry for "S	Response State Cr Comment Stat sing for "Steady-st	<i>P</i> 258 redo Semicor <i>tus</i> X state voltage, age" per Table	L 17 nductor v_f (max)" in T	# [<u>I-155</u>] able 120G-1.	Missin Suggested Insert 30 line Proposed C/ FM Dawe, Piel Comment As this need r editor affectii in para consid Suggested Chang	dRemedy amendmen a 3. It woul Response SC FM rs J G Type E s is an ame not know of if it listed th ng this dra allel are be dered. Appa dRemedy ge "(e.g., IE	nt numb Id help i endmen f them. he actua ft, not ju lieved n arently,	Per or a placeholder if the ner f the placeholders were in t <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 30 <i>NVIDIA</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X t to 802.3dc, P802.3cn and Further, the editor's note w al amendments that the edi ist the concept. Also, it hel ot to affect the draft, so the	L 47 L 47 L 9802.3cu have yould be more us tor has noted as ps to state which e reviewer knows draft, but others	# <u>I-158</u> gone, and new reade se to reviewers and running in parallel an n amendments runnin they have been might.

C/ FM	SC FM	P 30	L 48	# I-159	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.13	1a P 56	L 33	# I-162
Dawe, Pie		NVIDIA	L 40	π [[-139	Dawe, Pie		NVIDIA	L 33	<i>π</i> <u>1-102</u>
Comment		Comment Status X			Comment		Comment Status X		
		es" so clashing edits to figure	es are OK?		Table	51			
Suggested Chang		ortions of the draft standard".			Suggested Make		nn wider and the third, narrov	wer.	
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
CI 45	SC 45.2.1.21	P 42	L 11	# 1-160	C/ 91	SC 91.6.7a	P 91	L 5	# I-163
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Pie	rs J G	NVIDIA		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
and sc Chang 1.23.1 to 1.23.9 PMA/I Adjust table o Simila	the row above ar ome clashes spor- ge 14:9x7/x Reserv 200GBASE-V PMD is not able t t the instructions (P802.3db). arly for Table 45-2	red Value always 0 RO R2 ability 1 = PMA/PMD is a o perform 200GBASE-VR2 at line 3 to mention the prece	able to perform	200GBASE-VR2 0 =	FEC-I Suggested	nt, but no pass-th dRemedy show the two su	rrios, one with Clause 91 RS arough arrangement. blayers as in series, or descr <i>Response Status</i> O		
·	Response	Response Status 0							
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.11		L 22	# I-161					
Dawe, Pie		NVIDIA							
Comment Microl	<i>Type</i> E aced "only"	Comment Status X							
•	•								
	ge "only applicabl	e for PHYs that include multip nultiple FEC sublayers"	ble FEC sublaye	ers" to "applicable only					

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 91	SC 91.6.7a	P 91	L 5	# <mark>I-164</mark>
Dawe. Pi	ers J G	NVIDIA		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This says "An MDIO interface or ... shall be provided to access the variable 100G_RS_FEC_Enable for the RS-FEC sublayer. When the 100G_RS_FEC_Enable variable is set to one, the RS-FEC sublayer performs the transmit function ... and the receive function When the variable is set to zero, the ... RS-FEC sublayer is bypassed... .

So all implementations, whether they need this FEC enable/disable function or not, have to have the management variable, and they have to bypass the FEC function when the variable or bit is 0 (default). I think this breaks existing implementations twice over.

SuggestedRemedy

The requirement for this enable/disable switch should be tied to the first sentence "For PHYs supporting RS-FEC-Int operation". Change "An MDIO interface" to "For these PHYs, an MDIO interface". Then the text will agree with the PICS.

0 and 1 should be swapped so that the default is 0, FEC operating, which is what existing implementations do: per 45.2, "If a device supports the MDIO interface it shall respond to all possible register addresses ... The operation of an MMD shall not be affected by writes to reserved and unsupported register bits..."

Proposed Response	Response Status	ο
-------------------	-----------------	---

C/ 91	SC 91.7.3	P 92	L 41	# I-165
Dawe, Pi	iers J G	NVIDIA		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is a "major capability/option" "RS-FEC-Int is supported. 161 Used to form complete 100GBASE-CR1, or 100GBASE-KR1 PHY".

I don't see text in this clause or in 161 to justify this.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the text. In 161, state which PHY types use the RS-FEC-Int

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 161	SC 161.5.2.6.2	P 137	L 6	# I-166
Dawe, Pier	rs J G	NVIDIA		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

What do you mean, "let"? In IEEE standards, we have shall, should, may and can. See 1.1.6.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Let the set of vectors tx_scrambled_i<256:0> represent consecutive values of tx_scrambled<256:0>" to "In the following, the set of vectors tx_scrambled_i<256:0> represent consecutive values of tx_scrambled<256:0>", or "Consecutive values of tx_scrambled<256:0>", or "Consecutive values of tx_scrambled<256:0>", or "Consecutive values of tx_scrambled<256:0>". Or use "Given" as on the previous page.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 161	SC 161.5.2.6	6.2 <i>P</i> 137	L 7	# I-167
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA		
Comment		Comment Status X		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Something called "tx_scrambled" appears without explanation. According to the text and figures 161-4 and 161-5, it is 257 bits long (but what is it?), but according to Fig 161-3 it's 2 RS symbols or 20 bits.

SuggestedRemedy

In 161.5.2.5, add a sentence saying that the transcoder output is tx_scrambled which is a 257-bit block. In Figures 161-3, change "tx_scrambled" to "Beginning of tx_scrambled", pointing at row 0, if that is what is intended.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 162	SC 162.8.1	P 161	L 48	# <u>I</u> -168	C/ 162	SC 1	162.9.3	P 166	L 32	# <u>I</u> -170
Dawe, Piers	IG	NVIDIA			Dawe, Pier	s J G		NVIDIA		
Comment Ty	be E	Comment Status X			Comment T	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
just "inse or compo- return los insertion loss" and many tim SuggestedRe Change " (correct a	rtion loss" to n nent that uses s. The base o loss" only twic "differential o es. medy differential-mo ind unambiguo hroughout the	ferential-mode insertion loss' nean differential-mode to diffe differential signalling, which document doesn't use this ter e, in figures 128-4 and 130-4 utput return loss" many times ode to differential-mode" whe bus), "differential" (unambigue document. <i>Response Status</i> O	erential-mode if is made plain al m, and uses "dii b. But it does us s, and unqualified n an adjective to	they know it's a system bove. Similarly for fferential-mode e "differential insertion d "insertion loss" very "differential-mode"	losses, The red 6.875 c passive can be C2M al Server- made v what w This ch ports w used fo The sy	, 6.875/ comme dB, com e coppe made v lready h -switch with an rill happ nange w vould be or CR s mmetrie	2.3 = 3:1, ndation for pares ve er to this c with only has short links are asymmet ven anywa vould also e recognis witch-swit c budget	and long ports. asymmetric in form factor (e. ric loss budget, so it would be ny with industry-standard regis benefit CR switch-switch link sed, so more of the ports in a	yet not needed ootprint and hos ertion loss up to ve for a switch, g. QSFP-DD to better for the s sters. (s because the switch (with hig ler way and mag	I for NICs. t connector footprint, 11.9 dB, making yet a full range of NI 2 x QSFP) and will g standard to regularise low loss of the shorte her loss) could be
162	SC 162.8.1	P 161	L 53	# I-169	Suggested	Remed	y			
awe, Piers	IG	NVIDIA					ck_01a_0			
Comment Typ	be T	Comment Status X						nost loss allocations of A 9.5, or C, C to A, B or C.	B 0.075, C 3.75	5 UD. D IS AS D2.1.
blocks to circuit bo assembly 2" - but d	include the tra ard (PCB) differential-m iscussing inse	11) is defined between the tr ansmitter and receiver differe erential-mode to differential- ode to differential-mode inse ertion loss is going off topic, i other things about the chann	ntial controlled in node insertion lo rtion loss, as illu t's not keeping to	mpedance printed ss and the cable strated in Figure 162- o what the channel	In Tabl 162.9.3 In Tabl Ioss: A higher	e 162-1 3.1.2 to e 162-1 : 6.875- (26.25	10, add lir refer to tl 14, add co -3.75 = 3. dB to 27.3	g control field to advertise A, nits A and C for linear fit pulse ne table. Jumns for Test 2 (high loss), 125 dB lower (20.5 dB to 21.4 25 dB). No change needed for for IL BCPmer and IL Hog	e peak ratio (mi A and C, with te 5 dB), and C: 9. or Test 1.	n). Change text in est channel insertion 5-6.875 = 2.625 dB

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "The channel (see 162.11) is defined between the transmitter (TP0) and receiver (TP5) blocks to include the transmitter and receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit boards (PCBs), and the cable assembly, as illustrated in Figure 162-2."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

In 162A.4, add equations for IL_PCBmax and ILHostMax A and B and show them in Fig 162A-1 and 2. In 162A.5, add Value columns A, C in Table 162A-1 (ILChmin and ILMaxHost differ). Adjust figures 162A-3 and 4.

Add MDIO registers to report local and remote host ability to station management, for inventory and diagnostics.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

	D / 44		<i>u</i>	~	00 100 0		D /	1	
C/ 162 SC 162.9.3	P 166	L 47	# I-171	C/ 162	SC 162.9.3	.4	P 170	L 49	# <u>I-173</u>
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Piers			NVIDIA		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment 7	Type TR	Commen	t Status X		
different worst jitter corr	ed J3u looks bad for measure mer so that the measurement			a stand	dard. How mu	ch lower, how		frequency points	IHz" isn't acceptable i s be? How many
	0.115 UI to 0.125 UI here, fro .128 UI in Table 120F-1. In a			Also, İc	owering the C	,	uency is not nee		s used, because
	e Jrms from 0.1 to 0.115. Alte			Suggestedl	Remedy				
method.				Change	e				
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				rner frequenc	of the clock r	ecovery unit (CR	U) may be set lo	wer than 4 MHz.
	,			to If the te	est nattern is l	PRS130 the	corner frequenc	v of the clock rec	covery unit (CRU) is se
				to 4 MH	-Iz as in 120D	3.1.8.2, or 1 M	1Hz.	•	
				المراجع المراجع	formative NIOT	C aguing that	de la constante a constante de la constante de	بمحاد ومقفان اواوم مرم	waastad ta ha tha aan
7 162 SC 162.9.3.1.	.2 <i>P</i> 169	L 1	# I-172					en-oda jitter is e	xpected to be the same
	.2 P169 NVIDIA	<i>L</i> 1	# I <u>-172</u>	or lowe	er with 1 MHz	han with 4 MH	Ζ.	en-odd jitter is e	xpected to be the san
Dawe, Piers J G		<i>L</i> 1	# <u> </u> -172		er with 1 MHz	han with 4 MH		en-oda jitter is e:	xpected to be the sam
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T	NVIDIA			or lowe	er with 1 MHz	han with 4 MH	Ζ.	en-oda jitter is e:	xpected to be the sam
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T Table 162-10 says "Line "Steady-state voltage a	NVIDIA Comment Status X near fit pulse peak ratio" and r and linear fit pulse peak", and	refers to this subc	clause whose title is	or lowe Proposed F	er with 1 MHz Response	han with 4 MH Response	z. 9 Status 0	-	
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T Table 162-10 says "Lind "Steady-state voltage a means. Nor does 162.9	NVIDIA Comment Status X near fit pulse peak ratio" and r and linear fit pulse peak", and	refers to this subc	clause whose title is	or lowe Proposed F Cl 162	er with 1 MHz Response SC 162.9.3	han with 4 MH Response	z. Status O P 170	L 52	# I-174
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T Table 162-10 says "Lind "Steady-state voltage a means. Nor does 162.9 SuggestedRemedy	NVIDIA Comment Status X lear fit pulse peak ratio" and r and linear fit pulse peak", and 9.3.1.1.	efers to this subc does not say wh	clause whose title is at "pulse peak ratio"	or lowe Proposed F Cl 162 Dawe, Piers	er with 1 MHz Response SC 162.9.3 s J G	han with 4 MH Response .4	z. 2 Status O P 170 NVIDIA	-	
Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> T Table 162-10 says "Line "Steady-state voltage a means. Nor does 162.9 <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change the title to "Ste	NVIDIA Comment Status X near fit pulse peak ratio" and r and linear fit pulse peak", and	efers to this subc does not say wh	clause whose title is at "pulse peak ratio"	or lowe Proposed F Cl 162 Dawe, Piers Comment 7	er with 1 MHz Response SC 162.9. s J G Type T	han with 4 MH <i>Response</i> .4 <i>Commen</i>	z. 2 Status O P 170 NVIDIA t Status X	L 52	# <u> -174</u>
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T Table 162-10 says "Lind "Steady-state voltage a means. Nor does 162.9 SuggestedRemedy	NVIDIA Comment Status X lear fit pulse peak ratio" and r and linear fit pulse peak", and 9.3.1.1.	efers to this subc does not say wh	clause whose title is at "pulse peak ratio"	or lowe Proposed F Cl 162 Dawe, Piers Comment T This sa signalir	er with 1 MHz Response SC 162.9.3 s J G Type T ays "NOTE—I ng rate divided	han with 4 MH <i>Response</i> .4 Comment the measuring by an even n	z. 2 Status O P 170 NVIDIA at Status X g instrument is tr umber, the even-	L 52 iggered by a cloc odd jitter may no	# <mark>I-174</mark>
 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T Table 162-10 says "Line "Steady-state voltage a means. Nor does 162.5 SuggestedRemedy Change the title to "Ste pulse peak ratio. 	NVIDIA Comment Status X hear fit pulse peak ratio" and r and linear fit pulse peak", and 9.3.1.1. eady-state voltage and linear	efers to this subc does not say wh	clause whose title is at "pulse peak ratio"	or lowe Proposed F Cl 162 Dawe, Piers Comment 1 This sa signalir observe	er with 1 MHz Response SC 162.9.3 s J G Type T ays "NOTE—I ng rate divider ed." If the me	han with 4 MH <i>Response</i> .4 Comment the measuring by an even n	z. 2 Status O P 170 NVIDIA at Status X g instrument is tr umber, the even-	L 52 iggered by a cloc odd jitter may no	# [-174
Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> T Table 162-10 says "Line "Steady-state voltage a means. Nor does 162.9 <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change the title to "Ste pulse peak ratio.	NVIDIA Comment Status X hear fit pulse peak ratio" and r and linear fit pulse peak", and 9.3.1.1. eady-state voltage and linear	efers to this subc does not say wh	clause whose title is at "pulse peak ratio"	or lowe Proposed F Cl 162 Dawe, Piers Comment 7 This sa signalir observe too. Suggested	er with 1 MHz Response SC 162.9.3 s J G Type T ays "NOTE—I ng rate divider ed." If the me	han with 4 MH <i>Response</i> .4 Comment the measuring by an even n	z. 2 Status O P 170 NVIDIA at Status X g instrument is tr umber, the even-	L 52 iggered by a cloc odd jitter may no	# [<u>1-174</u> ck based on the ot be correctly

permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)", "may not" means is not permitted to. bec SuggestedRemedy Suggest Change "may not be correctly observed" to "might be incorrectly observed". Suggest Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # [-176] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status X ERL needs a parameter Delta f for the S-parameter measurement. I don't see that it is defined for ERL nor incorporated by reference from COM. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a Delta f entry to all the ERL tables. I suppose the value can be the usual 10 MHz, although for small test fixtures, a larger value might work too. Suggested	t <i>Type</i> TR r the mated to mes useless spec should to <i>dRemedy</i> a frequency-d	test fixtures and the at the frequency w trend down with the	e cable, this com then the MCB lose MCB trace lose dB 0.2 <= f <= 4 her comment for	ss is 2/2 dB, whi s at 0.1 dB/GHz. 4, 1.6+0.1*f dB 4	ich is only 10 GHz. - < f <= 30, 8.5-0.13f
Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X "may not be" is troublesome. As "The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)", "may not" means is not permitted to. Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Change "may not be correctly observed" to "might be incorrectly observed". Suggested/Remedy Use 30 - Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P172 L13 # [-176] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Dawe, F Dawe, F Comment Type T Comment Status X Dawe, F Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Add a Delta f or the S-parameter measurement. I don't see that it is defined for ER nor incorporated by reference from COM. Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Add a Delta f entry to all the ERL tables. I suppose the value can be the usual 10 MHz, although for small test fixtures, a larger value might work too. Propose Proposed Response Status O Propose C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status X	t Type TR r the mated to mes useless spec should to dRemedy a frequency-d f <= 40. f is i	test fixtures and the s at the frequency w trend down with the dependent mask 2 in GHz. See anoth	e cable, this com then the MCB lose MCB trace lose dB 0.2 <= f <= 4 her comment for	ss is 2/2 dB, whi s at 0.1 dB/GHz. 4, 1.6+0.1*f dB 4	ich is only 10 GHz. - < f <= 30, 8.5-0.13f
permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)", "may not" means is not permitted to. SuggestedRemedy Change "may not be correctly observed" to "might be incorrectly observed". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # [1-176 C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # [1-176 C/ 162 C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # [1-176 C/ 162 C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # [1-176 C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # [1-176 C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # [1-176 C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # [1-176 C/ 162 SC 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [1-177 Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [1-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status X	mes useless spec should to d <i>Remedy</i> a frequency-d f <= 40. f is i	at the frequency w trend down with the dependent mask 2 in GHz. See anoth	MCB trace loss MCB trace loss dB 0.2 <= f <= 4 her comment for	ss is 2/2 dB, whi s at 0.1 dB/GHz. 4, 1.6+0.1*f dB 4	ich is only 10 GHz. - < f <= 30, 8.5-0.13f
Change "may not be correctly observed" to "might be incorrectly observed". Use Proposed Response Response Status O Propose C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # [-176] C/ 162 Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA T Comment Status X Dawe, F Comment Type T Comment Status X Dawe, F SuggestedRemedy Add a Delta f entry to all the ERL tables. I suppose the value can be the usual 10 MHz, although for small test fixtures, a larger value might work too. Propose Proposed Response Response Status O Propose C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA NVIDIA Propose C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA NVIDIA Propose C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA NVIDIA Propose	a frequency-d f <= 40. f is i	in GHz. See anoth	ner comment for		
Proposed Response Response Status 0 30 - Proposed Response Response Status 0 Propose C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # [-176] C/ 162 Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Dawe, Piers J G C/ 162 Dawe, Piers J G Dawe, F Comment Type T Comment Status X Dawe, F Dawe, F Comment for ERL nor incorporated by reference from COM. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a Delta f entry to all the ERL tables. I suppose the value can be the usual 10 MHz, although for small test fixtures, a larger value might work too. Propose Proposed Response Response Status O Propose C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA NVIDIA Propose C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA NVIDIA Propose Comment Type T Comment Status X	f<=40. fisi	in GHz. See anoth	ner comment for		
Proposed Response Response Status O Propose Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # [-176] Cl 162 Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status X Dawe, Fersure Dawe, Fersure Cl 162 Dawe, Fersure Dawe, Fersure Cl 162 Dawe, Fersure Dawe, Fersure Cl 162 Dawe, Fersure Dawe, Fersure Comment Status X Comment Status Comment Status </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>cable RLcc, 162</td> <td>2.11.6.</td>				cable RLcc, 162	2.11.6.
C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 13 # 1-176 Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA C/ 162 Dawe, Piers J G Dawe, F Comment Type T Comment Status X Dawe, F Comment Government Status X Dawe, F SuggestedRemedy Add a Delta f entry to all the ERL tables. I suppose the value can be the usual 10 MHz, although for small test fixtures, a larger value might work too. Please Suggested Response Proposed Response Proposed Status O Proposed C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # 1-177 Proposed C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # 1-177 Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA NVIDIA Suggestatus X	Response	Response	Status O		
Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA C/ 162 Dawe, Piers J G T Comment Status X Dawe, F Comment Type T Comment Status X Dawe, F ERL needs a parameter Delta f for the S-parameter measurement. I don't see that it is defined for ERL nor incorporated by reference from COM. Dawe, F Commen SuggestedRemedy Add a Delta f entry to all the ERL tables. I suppose the value can be the usual 10 MHz, although for small test fixtures, a larger value might work too. Pleat SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status X X					
Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status X Dawe, F ERL needs a parameter Delta f for the S-parameter measurement. I don't see that it is defined for ERL nor incorporated by reference from COM. Dawe, F Comme SuggestedRemedy Add a Delta f entry to all the ERL tables. I suppose the value can be the usual 10 MHz, although for small test fixtures, a larger value might work too. Pleat Suggested Response Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status X X					
Comment Type T Comment Status X ERL needs a parameter Delta f for the S-parameter measurement. I don't see that it is defined for ERL nor incorporated by reference from COM. Comme Pleat SuggestedRemedy Suggest Add a Delta f entry to all the ERL tables. I suppose the value can be the usual 10 MHz, although for small test fixtures, a larger value might work too. Pleat Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Status X Scomment Status X	SC 162.	.9.4.3.4	P 178	L 11	# I-179
ERL needs a parameter Defa Flor the S-parameter measurement. Flor the set that it is defined for ERL nor incorporated by reference from COM. Plea SuggestedRemedy Suggest although for small test fixtures, a larger value might work too. Plea Proposed Response Response Status O Plea C/ 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status X	ers J G		NVIDIA		
Add a Delta f entry to all the ERL tables. I suppose the value can be the usual 10 MHz, although for small test fixtures, a larger value might work too. Please Proposed Response Response Status O Propose Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [I-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status X	51			en the normaliz	ed NSD limits and Hh
although for small test fixtures, a larger value might work too. See Proposed Response Response Status O Propose Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # [-177] Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status X	dRemedy				
Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.5 P 172 L 19 # <u>I-177</u> Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status X	e add the plo example in at		and normalized,	, to Figure 162-5	5, NSD(f) constraints.
Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status	l Response	Response	Status O		
Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type T Comment Status					
Comment Type T Comment Status X					
as in functional specifications, and as it is called in 93A.5.1.					
SuggestedRemedy					
Change flag to parameter, here and in tables 162-18 and 163-6, 163-7, 163-12 and 93A-4. Here and in tables 162-18 and 163-6, 163-7 and 163-12, change 1 to true.					
Proposed Response Response Status O					

C/ 162 S	C 162.11	P 181	L 31	# <u>I-180</u>		C/ 162	SC	162.11.7	P 187	L 3	# <mark>I-182</mark>
Dawe, Piers J C	3	NVIDIA				Dawe, Pie	rs J G		NVIDIA		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X				Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status X		
		ss makes CR unattractive, wh				Empty	/ cells				
switch have is needed.	e host loss b	oudget going to waste. Enabli	ng longer cable	s on a minority of links		Suggested	Remea	ly			
	edy, each ho	st knows the other host's loss	class through	the training protocol		If unit	ess, us	e a long da	ash		
		ass from its I2C compliance contract the long cable class.	ode, so no extra	a management features	5	Proposed	Respon	ise	Response Status O		
SuggestedRem	ledy										
		ch could be called "short" (19.				C/ 162	SC	162.11.7	P 187	<i>L</i> 31	# I-183
		0.5 = 19.75 + 6.25 - 0.5 = 25.5 ect port types C (see another				Dawe, Pie	rs J G		NVIDIA		
connect a v	alid combination	ation of A, B, C.				Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
refer to Tab In 162.11.7 In Table 16	ble 162-17 in 7.1.1, add zp 2A-1, add a	= 30.7 mm for the "short" cal column for the A-short-A sce	ble.			are les	ss than	+0.025. Tl	nce receiver tap weights are he tap weight limits are not h he envelope pay a price in C	ard cable or ch	annel limits, but they let
	0	A-3 and 162A-4.							efficient minimum limit bbmi		
Proposed Resp	oonse	Response Status O							hax 0.05) but the receiver is peceiver is not protected so w		
C/ 162 S	C 162.11.6	P 185	L 28	# <mark>I-181</mark>					nannels to be better for reflection designed for maximum-loss		•

will also be adequate for maximum-loss performance. As a cable can have worse tap weights than the headline numbers for a very small COM penalty (see dawe_3ck_01a_0921 slide 5), this remedy leaves margin for the cable.

SuggestedRemedy

For CR, in Table 162-19, change Normalized coefficient magnitude limit for DFE floating taps, bgmax, from 0.05 to 0.03.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Response Status **O**

Comment ID I-183

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status X

We need a common mode return loss spec RLcc to stop large common-mode voltages building up through multiple low-loss reflections. As we know, this common mode return loss spec RLcc becomes useless at the frequency when the MCB loss is 1.8/2 dB, which is only 8.5 GHz. The impedance the cable presents is mostly related to the connector, so it's much like the mated test fixtures' RLcc, except at the very lowest frequencies where the cable loss is very small and both connectors can be seen by the measurement. This proposal allows for that.

NVIDIA

SuggestedRemedy

Use a frequency-dependent mask 1.2 dB 0.05 <= f <= 4, 0.76+0.11*f dB 4 < f <= 30 GHz. f is in GHz. See another comment for Tx. Table 162-11, 162.9.3.6.

Proposed Response

Dawe. Piers J G

C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.1	P 258	B L 1	9	# <u>I-184</u>		7 120G	SC
Dawe, Pier	s J G		NVIDIA				C	Dawe, Pier	rs J G
Comment	Гуре	TR	Comment Status X	(C	Comment	Туре
height traditio measu Suggested	than ti nally 9 remer <i>Reme</i>	his as they o 900/1200 as ht, and . So <i>dy</i>	ts are inaccurate, rec do for CR; VEC is mu strong as CR/KR driv a small EH is accepta	ch more import vers, and receiv able.	ant. C2M dri	vers are		ERL h 93A.1. step, s scatte a start But the	.1 for start fi ring p t frequ
Reduc	e the e	eye height b	y 2 dB, from 10 mV to	o 8 mV.			S	Suggested	dRem
Proposed I	Respo	nse	Response Status C)				In 120 for pro For ex	oduct,
C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.1.1	P 258	L 3	ð	# I-185		up to 5	50 G⊦
Dawe, Pier	s J G		NVIDIA				F	Proposed	Resp
Comment	Гуре	TR	Comment Status X	(
	•		de to differential-mode mon-mode return loss					C/ 120G	SC
in Anne	ex 83E	E (which use	es PAM2 not PAM4, se	o less demand	ing for signal	integrity). While		Dawe, Pier	rs J G
		,	-VSR-PAM4 and draft B at low frequency for				C	Comment	Туре

inputs that are also 22 dB at low frequency, for outputs it's 25 dB at low frequency. This was done for good reason. 120E followed 83E rather than OIF. As we struggle with poor VEC and eye width and common-mode specification methodology, we should not be adopting weak mixed-mode specs without knowing a good reason.

Also, the OIF specs are 15 dB at Nyquist; the ck draft has 12 following 83E and 120E, and we should consider if the specs should be tightened by 2 dB at Nyquist because each compliance board loss is about 1 dB higher at Nyquist than in 83E and 120E.

SuggestedRemedy

In Equation 120G-1, change 22 -20f/fb to 25 -26f/fb.

If correcting for increased compliance board loss, change Equation 120G-1 from 22 -20f/fb, 15 -6f/fb to 25 -22f/fb, 19 -10f/fb,

change Equation 120G-2 from 22 -20f/fb, 15 -6f/fb to 22 -16f/fb, 19 -10f/fb,

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.1	P 258	L 41	# I-186
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		
	_		

e T Comment Status X

ne high frequencies attenuated by the Tukey window function, 93A.5.1 says "See scattering parameters measurement recommendations including frequency frequency, and stop frequency", and 93A.1.1 says "It is recommended that the parameters be measured with uniform frequency step no larger than Delta f from uency no larger than fmin to a stop frequency of at least the signaling rate fb". st fixtures are defined to 50 GHz.

nedv

nake the frequency range consistent for ERL and mixed-mode return loss specs , and make the test fixtures' fmax no lower than that.

ble, in equations 120G-1 and 2, change f <= 53.125 to f <= 50, and define ERL Hz.

oonse Response Status **O**

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2	P 261	L 11	# <mark>I-187</mark>
Dawe, Piers J	G	NVIDIA		
Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		

On one hand: the eye height measurement method is very inaccurate, host receivers that implement CR can cope with much smaller eye height than this, VEC is much more important. Receiver noise is already in the measurement, C2M drivers are traditionally 900/1200 as strong as CR/KR drivers, and the end-to-end loss is lower by a much larger ratio. So a small EH is acceptable.

On the other hand: if the eve height limit is the same at near end as at far end, there is huge margin at near end and the implementer can optimise beyond far end, only limited by the NE VEC spec, while we want modules to be set up consistently, for the full range from near to far. NE and FE EH naturally differ, and the spec should reflect that. Also, host designers know their own loss and low-loss hosts (NICs) can take advantage of a naturally larger signal that cost the module nothing. This applies to both the short and long modes.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the far end eye height so that it is 2 dB below near end: if near can remain at 15 mV, far becomes 12 mV. Far end remains the one with less margin, that the implementer should tune the module for.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Cl 120G	SC 1	120G.3.2	P 261	L 11	# I-188	Cl 1200
Dawe, Piers	JG		NVIDIA			Dawe, F
Comment Ty	/pe	TR	Comment Status X			Comme

The module output eye height and VEC have to comply at both near end and far end, and depending on the cleanliness of its signal, a module can be tuned to either end or somewhere in the middle, or even somewhere outside the range. The host stressed input signal is tuned to far end, only, so the host isn't required to receive those other tuning choices. This is inconsistent and a serious flaw in the spec. Yet we would rather not have multiple host stress tests, nor require the host to receive unnecessary and sub-optimal signal tunings, so we need to make sure that modules are tuned correctly.

SuggestedRemedy

Tighten the equaliser limits for module output so that modules are tuned consistently across the industry. Because the channel losses in short and long mode testing are significantly different, in Table 20G-11 use separate gDC limits for short and long mode (see other comments). To discourage module implementers from mis-tuning modules so they are optimised significantly beyond the far end, in Table 120G-3, ensure that each near end VEC is 0.5 dB less (better) than its corresponding far end VEC, and the far end EHs are 2 dB less than the corresponding near end EHs. Note other comments that address what these values should be.

Proposed Response Response Status C	Proposed Response	Response Status	ο
-------------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------	---

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.2.2.1	P 263	L 14	# I-189
Dawe, Pier	s J G	NVIDIA		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

If we include an allowance for host transmitter package loss for the host stressed input test, it would make sense to include the same allowance for far-end module output specs. As the change is to the reference host channel which is in software, it's convenient to do, rather than rely on extrapolation.

SuggestedRemedy

Increase the two far-end lengths by 2.2 dB (taking 16 dB to 18.2 dB, aligning with 120G.3.4.3.2). In Table 120G-11, increase bbmax(1) from 0.4 to 0.55. Reduce module output eye height by 2.2 dB.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3.3	P 265	L 3	# I-190
Dawe, Piers	IG	NVIDIA		
Comment Typ	be E	Comment Status X		

Please make it easier for the reader to see how these limits relate to each other, as OIF does.

SuggestedRemedy

Please put the input RLcd limit on Figure 120G-5, refer to it from the sentence above, and delete this Figure 120G-8.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

/ 162	SC 162.9.4.3	P 265	L 31	# I-191	C/ 120G	SC 1	120G.3.3.	5.1	P 265	L 50	# I-192
awe, Pie	s J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Pier	s J G		N	IVIDIA		
omment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Sta	atus X		
how co impres definiti tolerar Improv It seen have n measu	ompliance is achie sion because it sa on/explanation/de ice or stressed inp ring consistency. ns that any of thes ot seen yet: irement procedure irement method	earing in mind that 802.3 is ved. At present, the introdu ays something "is measured tail subclauses that aren't re out tolerance don't do this. se would work but there may	uctory sentence ". Other param eceiver interferen	gives the opposite eter nce tolerance, jitter	optimu make s tuning don't u The sa back to Suggested For the	m for the stressed challeng se smal me poir o here. <i>Remed</i> y e host st	he *second d signals (ge for real iller than 0 nt applies hy tressed inj	^{1*} precursor is r and real signals modules than .02 steps in CC to module stres put signal gene	nuch smalle consistent to try to sque M. sed input signator rator function	r, so very weak. across the indus eeze out the last gnal generator, b	02 to 0.04, and the It would be better to stry and simplify the drop of tuning. We but 120G.3.4.3.1 refers e third precursor to on that"
proceo metho							•		•	with the exception	un unal.
					Proposed I	Respons	se	Response Sta	itus O		
00	Remedy										
	rormat similar to 1	62.9.3.3, Output SNDR. "TI '	ne transmitter Si	NDR is defined by the	C/ 120G	SC 1	120G.3.3.	5.1	P 265	L 50	# I-193
Here a	nd in 162.9.4.4.1,	change "Receiver interfere			Dawe. Pier				IVIDIA	- 00	
	ocedure" to "Rec lure method }"	eiver interference tolerance	is defined by th	e measurement {	Comment		TR	Comment Sta			
	rly in 163 and 120	F.								o modulo poeko	ge and channel is
In 120 Host s	G.3.3.5, Host stre	ssed input tolerance, chang ance is measured according		re	zero. \		nt consiste				e should give guidance
		ance is defined by the mea		edure method }	The sa back to		nt applies	to module stres	sed input si	gnal generator, b	out 120G.3.4.3.1 refers
		4.3, Module stressed input t 9.3.5, Transmitter effective). "ERL of the	Suggested	Remedy	ly				
transm define too, as	hitter at TP2 is con d by" (there's more mentioned in 934	nputed using the procedure to it than calculation, an S A.5.1).	", change [`] "is c -parameter mea	omputed using" to "is surement is needed	module		ero postcu			ake the PG outp icients are not sp	out like that from a pecified with the
		a section is a definition rathe as a test as we do, so no fu			Proposed I	Respon	se	Response Sta	tus O		

						•			
C/ 120G SC 120G.3	.3.5.1 P 266	L 15	# I-194	C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.3.5.2	P 267	L 20	# <u>I-196</u>
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Pier	rs J G		NVIDIA		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре т	Comme	ent Status X		
rules. VEC and eye h	but signal is emulating a modu leight must be in spec for both process. See comment agai	h near end and fa	rend. Ensuring this is	time wi		ation"), or pe			ght rise time (transition OK if the loss board is
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Change "short or long mode near-end verifie Proposed Response	g mode far-end test" to "short cation" <i>Response Status</i> O	or long mode far-	end calibration or long	genera the effe		sition time (s setup descri	ee step a), the H0 ibed here.		ination of the pattern ence host channel has
C/ 120G SC 120G.3		L 15	# <mark>I-195</mark>	Proposed I	Response	Respons	se Status O		
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			C/ 120G	SC 120G.3	.3.5.2	P 267	L 21	# I-197
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	o for collibrating th	a bast stragged input	Dawe, Pier	rs J G		NVIDIA		
	ting crosstalk signal amplitude es a module) should be calibra			Comment	Type TR	Comme	ent Status X		
quite clear about this CEI pattern, then the 750 mV in Table 120 PRBS13Q (see 1200 host, they must matc	: "The crossfalk signal is calib pattern is changed to QPRBS G-8 is the same as in Table 1 5.5.1 and 120E.3.1.2). As the h. Also, it is convenient to se a signal on the same pattern,	prated at TP4 or T S31-CEI for the te 20G-1, Host outp ese crosstalk signa at up both the peal	P1a using a QPRBS13- st". Here, the value of ut, which is defined for als are emulating the k-to-peak voltage and	rules. \ part of This sa	VEC and eye h the calibration ays "paramete : but in one ca	eight must b process. Se s in Table 12	e in spec for both e comment again	near end and fai st line 25. host channel type	must obey the same r end. Ensuring this is e and the requested able.
time measurement a	nd a cleaner peak-to-peak vol	ltage measureme	nt.	66	,	nost channel	type and the requ	ested mode" to "	for host channel type
SuggestedRemedy					e requested m				ion noor onarmor type

Move a few words:

The crosstalk signal transition time is calibrated with a PRBS13Q pattern. The crosstalk pattern is changed to PRBS31Q (see 120.5.11.2.2), scrambled idle (see 82.2.11 and 119.2.4.9), or another valid 100GBASE-R, 200GBASE-R, or 400GBASE-R signal for crosstalk amplitude calibration and stressed signal calibration (see step g). to:

The crosstalk signal transition time and amplitude are calibrated with a PRBS13Q pattern. [Or, The crosstalk signals are calibrated with a PRBS13Q pattern.] The crosstalk pattern is changed to PRBS31Q (see 120.5.11.2.2), scrambled idle (see 82.2.11 and 119.2.4.9), or another valid 100GBASE-R, 200GBASE-R, or 400GBASE-R signal for stressed signal calibration (see step g).

Similarly in 120G.3.4.3.2 for module stressed input crosstalk signal calibration.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.3.5	.2 P 267	L 20	# I-196	
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA			
0		Comment Ctature V			

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

/ 120G SC 120G.3	3.5.2	P 267	L 25	# I-198	C/ 120G	SC 120	G.3.3.5.3	P 268	L 10	# <u>I</u> -199
awe, Piers J G		NVIDIA			Dawe, Piers	s J G		NVIDIA		
omment Type TR	Commei	nt Status X			Comment 7	<i>уре</i> т	Con	nment Status X		
the target and its VE must be adjusted to I the rules for different uggestedRemedy Change adjusted to minimi value and VEC is wit to adjusted to minimi matches the target v peak-to-peak output 120G-3. Also (see other comr	C is no more t ring it into co al peak-to-pe ze VEC, so th nin the limits i ze far-end VE alue, far-end V roltage, near- nents), r-end and far- mit for modul Table 120G-3	han VEC (max) ii mpliance. Also, t ak output voltage at the eye height n Table 120G-8. C, so that the far /EC is within the end VEC and eye end VEC limits ir e output, there w	n the table. If it f the stressed input- of the smallest e -end eye height of limits in Table 12 e height are withi n Table 120G-8. ill be multiple EH	eye matches the target by matches the target 20G-8, and differential n the limits in Table As there will be more targets here: it may	QSFP-I lanes ir patterns average relevan we are the tern 86.8.4." "module Suggested! Change The rele lanes ir If the te the bit erroo If the te or 4000 decode divided Similarl	DD ports, n each AU s above" i: e of the BF t. "Moduli- so clear h n "interface 7, 86.8.4.8 e BER" an Remedy e paragrap e vant BEF n the AUI (est is perfec- error coun rs divided est is perfec- BASE-R r error cou by the nu y in 120G	there are 8 hi I. "The host es is fine, it inclu ER of each of e BER" in 120 ow many land e BER" is use is 95.8.1.1 an e used just of h to: t is the interfa inder test. rmed with PF ter in the PM. by the number rmed with sc sequence, the inters (see 9 mber of recei 3.4.2.3.	ace BER, which is the RBS31Q, the BER of A test pattern checke er of received bits. rambled idle or anoth e interface BER may I.6 and 119.3.1), as the ved bits.	al port), but there abled on all lanes s. While for "The anes in the PMA ore open to misir t, terminology for se document, an s an electrical sp e average of the l a PMA lane may r (see 120.5.11.2 her valid 100GBA be calculated us	may be just 1, 2 or s with any of the e host BER is the (AUI) under test are interpretation becaus r this has been set u d is defined in 86.8. ec. "host BER" and BER of each of the be calculated using 2.2) as the number of SE-R, 200GBASE-F sing the host FEC
					Proposed F	Poenoneo	Poor	onse Status O		

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.3.2 P 271 L 4 # 1-200	Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4.3.2 P 271 L 25 # 1-202				
Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA	Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA				
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X				
 120G.3.3.5.2 says that "The pattern generator is set to generate a PRBS13Q pattern (see 120.5.11.2.1). The transition time (see 120G.3.1.4) measured at TP4a with the pattern generator output equalization configured for "no equalization" is as specified in Table 120G-8." This says "The pattern generator is set to generate a PRBS13Q pattern (see 120.5.11.2.1) with transition time (see 120G.3.1.4) at the output of the pattern generator as specified in Table 120G-10." The point about neutral emphasis (so it's really rise time not transition time) applies to both. D2.2 comment 133. (The terminology problem is in the base document: generally, the parameter Tr is not a "transition time" as defined, but can be called a rise time.) 	The mated compliance boards should approximate Eq 162B-5, and the frequency- dependent attenuator should look like a clean PCB transmission line. The two in series will NOT look like another clean transmission line with no f^2 term because if that were attempted, the loss curve of the frequency-dependent attenuator would have to bend the wrong way. This is unrealistic and impractical. Also, L of 464 mm is wrong. See dawe_3ck_01a_1121.pdf Further, Eq 162B-5 doesn't look like real mated compliance boards; see kocsis_3ck_01_0719, slide 4, and another comment. See new presentation				
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy				
Change "(see 120.5.11.2.1) with transition time (see 120G.3.1.4) at the output of the pattern generator as specified in Table 120G-10." to "(see 120.5.11.2.1). The transition time (see 120G.3.1.4) measured at the output of the pattern generator, with the pattern generator output equalization configured for "no equalization", is as specified in Table 120G-10."	Revise text and equation 120G-3 to make this clear. Show all three curves (Eq 162B-5 mated compliance boards, frequency-dependent attenuator and the combination) in Figure 120G-11. Change its title from "Module stressed input target high-loss frequency-dependent attenuator differential-mode to differential-mode insertion loss" to "Module stressed input target differential-mode to differential-mode insertion losses"				
Proposed Response Response Status O	Change L from 464 to 295.6 mm; Replace Eq 120G-3 with two equations: frequency-dependent attenuator 0.981sqrt(f) + 0.2463f; The combination is 1.7962sqrt(f) + 0.2463f + 0.003405f^2.				
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.3.2 P 271 L 25 # 1-201	Proposed Response Response Status O				
Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA					
Comment Type T Comment Status X					
This formula imposes a delay spec on the frequency-dependent attenuator, which is unnecessary because it and the pattern generator are supposed to have good return loss,	C/ 120G SC 120G.3.4.3.2 P 271 L 30 # [1-203				
and typically there will be coax cables of unspecified length between them (which	Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA				
contribute a small part of the loss). The shape of the loss curve imposes the phase	Comment Type T Comment Status X				

SuggestedRemedy

Make it clear that extra or reduced delay is acceptable. One way would be to add "with an additional implementation-dependent frequency-independent delay". Or, change "such that the scattering parameters approximate" to "such that the magnitude of the scattering parameters approximate".

Proposed Response Response Status O

It may not be feasible to obtain a pattern generator signal with the right rise time (transition time with "no equalization"), or perfect compliance boards, but that's OK if the loss board is tweaked to allow for this.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text: The combination of the pattern generator output transition time (see step a) and the implementations of the frequency-dependent attenuator and the MCB, may be chosen together so that the combination has the effect of the ideal parts described here. There is another comment for 120G.3.3.5.2.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 120G	SC	120G.3.4.3	.2 P 2	71	L 33	# I-204
Dawe, Pier	s J G		NVID	IA		
Comment [·]	Туре	TR	Comment Status	х		
for gD0 11, gD	C + gD C+gD(C2 for the lo C2 can be -2	ow loss case to set	the control or TP4 ne	ract for faster s ar-end. dudek	nould be max/min limits signals. In Table 120G- c_3ck_01_0921 slide 5
Suggested	Reme	dy				
minimi	zes VĒ	EC has gDC	the low-loss case + gDC2 in the ran ut the exceptions for	ge -3 dB	to -1 dB.	CTLE setting that ss cases in Table 120G-

C/ 120G SC 120G.4.1	P 273	L 44	# 1-205
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X		

This sentence that was deleted at D2.1/D2.2 "For correct operation, the actual differentialmode to differential-mode insertion loss could be higher or lower than that given by Equation (120G–4) due to the channel ILD, return loss, and crosstalk" is a necessary part of the story. It tells the host implementer that correct operation is his responsibility, and he needs to put more thought into it than simply meeting a recommended loss curve, and tells the module implementer that he has to cope with compliant hosts whose channels don't meet this recommendation. Without it, different readers can interpret "is expected" as anything between irrelevant and required.

An informative section saying "is expected" is a problem anyway.

There are similar sentences in 120D and 120F, without "For correct operation"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The channel differential-mode to differential-mode insertion loss is expected to meet Equation (120G-4)..." to "An example region of channel differential-mode to differential-mode insertion losses is given in Equation (120G-4)..."

(It's not a good singular example channel because it has a kink in it.)

Reinstate a sentence that says what the deleted sentence was trying to say - preferably one that is better understood. e.g.

"However, channels with higher insertion loss are not excluded, and lower insertion loss may be necessary to allow for factors such as channel ILD, return loss, and crosstalk." Make 120F.4.2 consistent.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 275	L 27	# I-206
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The limits for TP4 gDC, gDC2 should not be the same for short and long output modes. The range of losses in a module is much less than the range of losses of the four reference host channels. So, obviously, different channels will need different CTLE settings. Obviously, CTLE settings that represent signals outside what the spec makes a host capable of receiving in a particular mode, should be excluded, to make module implementers set up their product correctly.

SuggestedRemedy

Create separate limits for TP4 short and long output modes, so 4 sets for TP4+, in the style of TP1a. See other comments.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 275	L 28	# I-207
Dawe, Piers J G		NVIDIA		
Commont T	ivno TD	Comment Status Y		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The maximum gDC is -2 for TP1a and -1 for TP4 near-end. As the MCB loss and HCB loss are within 0.2 dB of each other, these specs are inconsistent by 0.8 dB.

dudek_3ck_01_0921 slide 5 shows that -1 is reasonable for a 12 mm package trace, and shorter traces are possible, e.g. an on-board repeater. Hosts and modules with less loss than the MCB and HCB respectively may have to receive a signal less filtered at the point of use than in the module or host output measurement.

ghiasi_3ck_adhoc_01a_042121 slide 9 says that -1 is needed for 5 dB ball to ball, 1.6 dB less than the mated compliance boards' loss.

On the other hand, things go bad rapidly with too much emphasis. It would be safer to set both at -2, which would require retuning the short setting in ghiasi_3ck_adhoc_01a_042121 with reduced output emphasis - which should be OK.

See other comments that give specific ranges for the stressed signals to ensure that inputs are tested with representative low-loss signals.

SuggestedRemedy

For TP4 gDC, change -1 to -2.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 1-207

Page 47 of 54 2022-01-10 12:13:59 P

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 275	L 34	# <mark>I-208</mark>
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The weakest (max, least -ve) gDC + gDC2 is -2 for TP1a, -2 for TP4 near end, -3 for TP4 far end and -10.5 for module stressed input high loss. There is about 10 dB loss difference between short near end and long far end, but 1 dB difference in max gDC + gDC2 which is far too little. It looks like TP4 far end (-9 to -2 in the draft) is out of step, with a much wider range than TP4 near end. TP4 LONG far end should never use this wide range as most of the channel loss is fixed. We should not be encouraging modules to try to do a job the host receiver does better, and we want modules to be set up consistently so that the short/long mode choice means something.

Also, if we include an allowance for host transmitter package loss for the host stressed input test, it would make sense to include the same allowance for far-end module output specs.

SuggestedRemedy

Impose a max gDC + gDC2 limit of -5 for TP4 long far end, e.g. with gDC, gDC2 ranges in the same style as TP1a: Range for aDC2 = 0-9 to -5 Range for $-1 \le qDC2 \le 0$ -9 to -4

Range for -2 <= gDC2 < -1 -9 to -3 Range for -3 <= gDC2 < -2 -9 to -2

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 275
Dawe. Piers	sJG	NVIDIA

P 275

L 34

1-209

Dawe, Piers J G

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

As a most of the channel for TP4 far-end is known exactly and the max loss to TP4 far end is less than to TP1a, the range of gDC, gDC2 combinations should be a subset of the TP1a ones.

SuggestedRemedy

For continuous time filter, DC gain for TP4 short far-end (gDC), change to sets of limits that depend on gDC2 in the same style as for TP1a. The allowed values should be subsets of those for TP1a. See another comment for TP4 long far end. For TP4 short far end, change from -9 to -2, to: Range for gDC2 = 0-7 to -3 Range for -1 <= gDC2 < 0 -7 to -2 Range for -2 <= gDC2 < -1 -7 to -2 Range for -3 <= gDC2 < -2 -7 to -2

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2	P 276	L 21	# I-210
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X		

This says "a minimum of 3 samples per symbol, or equivalent. Collect sufficient samples equivalent to at least 1.2 million PAM4 symbols to allow for construction of a normalized cumulative distribution function (CDF) to a probability of 10⁻⁵ without extrapolation." With a uniform-weighted histogram/mask, one needs several times 1e5 samples in the 0.1 UI window to get several hits in each tail. If samples are distributed uniformly across time, and using 10 for "several" for simplicity, we need 10 * 165 / 0.1 = 10 million samples. The first sentence implies that maybe several times fewer are needed, but still, 1.2 million seems too few for a reference (accurate) measurement.

If Gaussian weighting is used (which it should not be, see another comment) then one needs many more de-weighted hits to get to a false 1e-5 in the tails.

Also, giving a number is like telling the test engineer to use an instrument with a certain precision. That's not the standard's business; we say what the outcome of an accurate, possibly idealised, measurement must be, and the test engineer balances cost, time. margin, accuracy and so on. Including choosing how many samples.

SuggestedRemedv

Change "equivalent to at least 1.2 million PAM4 symbols" into an example, with a higher number, or delete it.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 277	L 6	# I-211
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This draft has a (de-)weighted rectangular eye mask spec with mask height = max(EHmin, EA/VECmax) and effective mask width ~2x0.03 to 2x0.035 UI, although it is described as a histogram 2x0.05 UI wide. This is too narrow; compare 120E with ESMW of 0.2 or 0.22 UI. It's half as wide as TDECQ with histograms extending to +/-0.07 UI.

This de-weighted histogram might have worked if there had been a guarantee that no host or module would ever produce a fast, highly jittered eye, but we don't have that guarantee. Work needs to be done to repair the hole in the spec.

See healey_3ck_01a_1020 slide 6, orange dots for +/-0.025 UI which is the closest to the current draft. For VEC of 10 dB, EW can be anywhere in the range 160 to 290 mUI: an almost 2:1 range. Driver risetime is not reported; if it is always the COM default slowest-reasonable 7.5 ps, then even worse EW is possible with faster or peaked drivers. This is too much worse than 120E. As the plot shows, a wide range of eye widths are possible, so we don't need to allow the worst ones by an oversight.

De-weighting the sides of the histogram with flat top and bottom, rather than chamfering the corners, means that infringing the corners by a mile is counted the same as infringing by an inch, which is bad.

Most of the weight of samples is in the middle of the eye which is a waste of measurement time; we know the corners will fail first so we should measure them, not the middle Hence the 2-offsets approach of TDEC and healey_3ck_01a_1020.

The effective BER criterion of the (de-)weighted mask seems to be around 1e-4, not 1e-5 as before.

The distribution of repeated measurements is very skewed.

We need an eye mask that's more eye shaped, so that a higher proportion of the samples near the boundary are measured at full weight and contribute properly to the measurement. Eye mask measurement with a 10-sided mask has been pre-programmed into scopes for about 20 years, we should use established tools and methods where they work well.

The 10-sided mask controls the eye on the diagonal more strongly than the rectangular uniform histogram/mask because hits are collected over the time of the chamfer, rather than just in corners. The de-weighted rectangular histogram controls the eye on the diagonal more weakly than the rectangular uniform histogram/mask because hits are collected just in corners, and de-weighted.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from a 4-cornered weighted mask with corners at t = ts+/-0.05, V = y +/-H/2 to a 10-cornered unweighted mask with corners at t = ts+/-1/16, ts+/-0.05, ts+/-3/32, V = y +/-H/2, y +/-H*0.4, y. y is near VCmid, VCupp or VClow (vertically floating, as in D3.0). H is max(EHmin, Eye Amplitude * 10^(-VECmax/20)). Eye Amplitude is AVupp, AVmid or AVlow, as today.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

This simple scalable method gives VEC results 0.5 to 1 dB more optimistic than the unweighted rectangular mask. It can remain as the EH and VEC limits are revised in the light of experience.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 120G SC 120G.5.2	P 277	L 6	# I-212
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The Gaussian weighting has the effect of destroying the histogram width, allowing bad fast eyes to pass, while failing less bad slow eyes. It gives the false impression that the histogram width still applies. With a weighting standard deviation of 0.02 UI, the eye height is measured at around +/-0.035 UI rather than the +/-0.05 UI with the unweighted histogram - depending on eye shape. Compare 120E with ESMW of 0.2 or 0.22 UI, and TDECQ with histograms extending twice as wide, to +/-0.07 UI.

This weighting is equivalent to relaxing the VEC spec by 1.5 to 2 dB - but it depends on the eye shape, it weakens the spec most for the worst-shaped eyes, which is bad. It applies a worse BER criterion than the 1e-5 intended.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the Gaussian weighting and set the eye height and VEC limits (which need revision anyway) appropriately. ghiasi_3ck_01_0721, which was not given the presentation time it deserved, says that the minimum eye height in particular needs to be reduced for TP1 and TP4 far end.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Comment ID 1-212

Page 49 of 54 2022-01-10 12:14:00 P

C/ 162A SC 162A P 284 L 9 # 1-213	C/ 162A SC 162A.4 P 285 L 1 # 1-215
awe, Piers J G NVIDIA	Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA
Comment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
I wondered why 162.9.3 was referring to an annex whose title seemed to be nothing to do	ILPCBmin
with the subject The title of this annex is "TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel characteristics " yet it contains recommended transmitter and receiver characteristics, which aren't	SuggestedRemedy ILddPCBmin
mentioned in 162A.1 Overview, "This annex provides information on" either. I don't recognise "test point parameters" as including transmitter IC recommendations.	Proposed Response Response Status O
uggestedRemedy	
Change the title from	C/ 162B SC 162B.2.1 P 291 L 3 # 1-216
TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel characteristics for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-CR2, and 400GBASE-CR4	Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA
to	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Transmitter, receiver and channel recommendations at test points TP0 and TP5 for 100GBASE-CR1, 200GBASE-CR2, and 400GBASE-CR4 Change the first sentence from	Please make it easier for the reader to judge the size of these losses. Also, it's test fixtur reference loss as in the text, not reference test fixture loss.
This annex provides information on parameters associated with test points TP0 and TP5	SuggestedRemedy
that might not be testable in an implemented system. to This annex provides information on transmitter, receiver and channel parameters	Please put ILddcatf on Figure 162B-1, and label the two lines (e.g. make one dashed), change figure title to "reference differential-mode to differential-mode insertion losses of test fixtures", refer to it from 162B.3, delete Figure 162B-2.
associated with test points TP0 and TP5 that might not be testable in an implemented system.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	
	C/ 162B SC 162B.2.1 P 291 L 49 # [-217
V 162A SC 162A P 284 L 15 # 1-214	Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA
awe, Piers J G NVIDIA	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Comment Type E Comment Status X	fixtures
"TP0 and TP5 that might not be testable": see style guide	SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy	fixture
	Proposed Response Response Status O
TP0 and TP5, which might not be testable	

	I.1 P 293	L 1	# I-218	C/ 163A SC 163A.3.	.3 P 319	L 24	# I-221
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		
omment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
	ential-mode to differential-mode			Eq 163A-5 is part of s	tep b, and Eq 163A-4 is part of	of step d, is after	b.
	of Eq 120E-3 and it doesn't align for when constructing the lossy			SuggestedRemedy			
	1a_1121 slide 8, the green line			Swap equations 163A	-5 and 4		
frequencies). The new equation ha See new presentatio	as the same loss at Nyquist as m.	the existing one.		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 163B SC 163B.2	P 322	L 21	# 1-222
Change equation 16 II ddMTFref(f) = 0.94	2B-5 from: 12(0.471sqrt(f) + 0.1194f + 0.00	12f2)		Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		
to)		Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	I53*sqrt(f) + 0.003405*f^2) -3, Mated test fixtures differenti	al-mode to differ	ential-mode insertion	Complete the example	9		
loss				SuggestedRemedy			
				Delete the sentence "	to "zp = 12 mm or 31 mm". Although clauses using the T	P0v methodology	/ may require the EF
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA	L 14	# <mark>I-219</mark>	here." In table 163B-1, add a	calculated at more than one p row for package zp. Add a c	backage length, o	only one is shown ? mm case. Add a ro
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E	NVIDIA Comment Status X			here." In table 163B-1, add a	calculated at more than one p row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two	backage length, o	only one is shown ? mm case. Add a ro
Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> E As these aren't prope	NVIDIA			here." In table 163B-1, add a called "Candidate effe	calculated at more than one p row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two	backage length, o	only one is shown ? mm case. Add a ro
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E As these aren't prope at the beginning of a SuggestedRemedy	NVIDIA Comment Status X er names, according to the hou sentence, cell or similar)	ise style they dor	n't get capitals (except	here." In table 163B-1, add a called "Candidate effe "Effective return loss,	calculated at more than one p row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two ERL".	backage length, o	only one is shown 2 mm case. Add a ro
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E As these aren't prope at the beginning of a SuggestedRemedy	NVIDIA Comment Status X er names, according to the hou	ise style they dor	n't get capitals (except	here." In table 163B-1, add a called "Candidate effe "Effective return loss,	calculated at more than one p row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two ERL".	backage length, o	only one is shown ? mm case. Add a ro
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E As these aren't propu at the beginning of a SuggestedRemedy Change "Transmitter	NVIDIA Comment Status X er names, according to the hou sentence, cell or similar)	ise style they dor	n't get capitals (except	here." In table 163B-1, add a called "Candidate effe "Effective return loss, <i>Proposed Response</i>	calculated at more than one p row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two ERL". <i>Response Status</i> O	backage length, d column for the 12 entries. Stradd	only one is shown ? mm case. Add a ro e the entry for
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E As these aren't propu at the beginning of a SuggestedRemedy Change "Transmitter	NVIDIA Comment Status X er names, according to the hou sentence, cell or similar) r Inverted Data Input" to "Trans	ise style they dor	n't get capitals (except	here." In table 163B-1, add a called "Candidate effe "Effective return loss, <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 163B SC 163B.2	calculated at more than one p row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two ERL". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 322	backage length, d column for the 12 entries. Stradd	only one is shown ? mm case. Add a ro e the entry for
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E As these aren't prope at the beginning of a SuggestedRemedy Change "Transmitter Proposed Response	NVIDIA Comment Status X er names, according to the hou sentence, cell or similar) r Inverted Data Input" to "Trans	ise style they dor	n't get capitals (except ata input" and so on.	here." In table 163B-1, add a called "Candidate effe "Effective return loss, <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 163B SC 163B.2 Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> T	row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two ERL". <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 322 NVIDIA	backage length, d column for the 12 entries. Stradd	only one is shown ? mm case. Add a ro e the entry for
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E As these aren't propu at the beginning of a SuggestedRemedy Change "Transmitter Proposed Response	NVIDIA Comment Status X er names, according to the hou sentence, cell or similar) r Inverted Data Input" to "Trans Response Status O	ise style they dor mitter inverted d	n't get capitals (except	here." In table 163B-1, add a called "Candidate effe "Effective return loss, <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 163B SC 163B.2 Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> T	row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two ERL". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 322 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X	backage length, d column for the 12 entries. Stradd	only one is shown ? mm case. Add a ro e the entry for
Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> E As these aren't properative beginning of a <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "Transmitter <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 163A <i>SC</i> 163A Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X er names, according to the hour sentence, cell or similar) r Inverted Data Input" to "Trans <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 316	ise style they dor mitter inverted d	n't get capitals (except ata input" and so on.	here." In table 163B-1, add a called "Candidate effe "Effective return loss, <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 163B <i>SC</i> 163B.2 Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> T Figure 163B-1 doesn't <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two ERL". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 322 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X	L 31	mm case. Add a ro e the entry for # I-223
Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E As these aren't propu at the beginning of a SuggestedRemedy Change "Transmitter Proposed Response C/ 163A SC 163A Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X er names, according to the hou sentence, cell or similar) r Inverted Data Input" to "Trans <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 316 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X	ise style they dor mitter inverted d	n't get capitals (except ata input" and so on.	here." In table 163B-1, add a called "Candidate effe "Effective return loss, <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 163B <i>SC</i> 163B.2 Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> T Figure 163B-1 doesn't <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	calculated at more than one p row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two ERL". <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 322 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X match Equation 163B-1.	L 31	mm case. Add a ro e the entry for # [I-223
Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> E As these aren't properative beginning of a <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "Transmitter <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 163A <i>SC</i> 163A Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> E	NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X er names, according to the hou sentence, cell or similar) r Inverted Data Input" to "Trans <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 316 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X	ise style they dor mitter inverted d	n't get capitals (except ata input" and so on.	here." In table 163B-1, add a called "Candidate effe "Effective return loss, <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 163B <i>SC</i> 163B.2 Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> T Figure 163B-1 doesn't <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> I believe the graph is t	calculated at more than one p row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two ERL". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 322 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X match Equation 163B-1. ight, and the right coefficients	L 31	mm case. Add a ro e the entry for # I-223
Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> E As these aren't propi at the beginning of a <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "Transmitter <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 163A <i>SC</i> 163A Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> E annex Annex and	NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X er names, according to the hou sentence, cell or similar) r Inverted Data Input" to "Trans <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 316 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X 	ise style they dor mitter inverted d	n't get capitals (except ata input" and so on.	here." In table 163B-1, add a called "Candidate effe "Effective return loss, <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 163B <i>SC</i> 163B.2 Dawe, Piers J G <i>Comment Type</i> T Figure 163B-1 doesn't <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> I believe the graph is t	calculated at more than one p row for package zp. Add a c ctive return loss" with the two ERL". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 322 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X match Equation 163B-1. ight, and the right coefficients	L 31	mm case. Add a ro e the entry for # I-223

C/ 162	SC 162	P 166	L 6	# I-224	C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1	.17 P 37	L 8	# I-226
Zivny, Pavel		Tektronix, Inc.			Ben-Artsi,	Liav	Marvell	Semiconductor, Inc.	
Comment Typ	pe T Co	omment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	x	
GHz 3 dB	bandwidth." allows	h a fourth-order Bessel- s for large range of resul	It change deper	nding on the end of B-T		rically speeds we base-PRX	ere stated lowest first, th	nis 10/1Gboa-PRX shou	Ild be changed to
	standards for years	adily be corrected by sp s - see e.g. 140.7.5 Tran			Suggestee chanc	dRemedy ge to 1/10Gbase	-PRX		
Reasoning	ig: experiments sho	w that for realistic signa becomes insignificant p				Response	Response Status	0	
SuggestedRe	,				C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1	.18 P 37	L 22	# 1-227
		with a fourth-order Bes			Ben-Artsi,	Liav	Marvell	Semiconductor, Inc.	
		n "compliant (to the B-T le 58 GHz response the		l least 56 GHZ, and	Comment		Comment Status		
Proposed Res		sponse Status O				rically speeds wo	ere stated lowest first, th	nis 10/1Gboa-PRX shou	Id be changed to
					Suggeste	dRemedy			
C/ 166	SC 166.9.3.4	P 170	L 46	# I-225	Chan	ge to 1/10Gbase	-PRX		
Zivny, Pavel		Tektronix, Inc.			Proposed	Response	Response Status	0	
Comment Typ	pe T Co	omment Status X					·		
		ern is either PRBS13Q o			0.45	00 45 0 4 0	D.40	1.40	"
		eting the even-odd jitter only as a test equipmer			C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.6	-	L 12	# 1-228
is preferre	ed. Reasoning: allo	wing either of two differe	ent patterns incl	reases compliance	Ben-Artsi,			Semiconductor, Inc.	
		s not needed for equipm	ent available in	2022.	Comment	51	Comment Status	-	
SuggestedRe	emedy				How 1	1011111 is defin	ed? Should be reserve	d.	
		either PRBS13Q or altern even-odd jitter requiren		OQ. PRBS9Q is defined one pattern is sufficient."	Suggester Add 1	<i>dRemedy</i> 011111 as rese	rved		
in 120.5.1	i 1.2.a. Meeting the tin cases when that	Q or alternatively PRBS even-odd jitter requiren t fails due to do test equ	nent with only F	PRBS13Q pattern is		Response	Response Status	0	
be useu.									

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.21	P 42	L 18	# 1-229	C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 181	L 18	# 1-232
Ben-Artsi, Liav	Marvell Semic	conductor, Inc.		Ben-Artsi, Li	av	Marvell Semic	onductor, Inc.	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	/pe E	Comment Status X		
"ability 1" is "1" a typo?	?			The tern	n twinaxial ca	bling os used in multiple places	, but never defir	ned.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	emedy			
If a typo, erase					changing twi	naxial cable to "twinaxial shield	ed balanced co	oper cable", which
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
CI 73 SC 73.6.4	P 71	L 3	# I-230		00 404 4	D.445		"
Ben-Artsi, Liav	Marvell Semic	conductor, Inc.		C/ 121	SC 121.1	P 115	L 19	# 1-233
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Ben-Artsi, Li		Marvell Semic	onductor, Inc.	
What is the reason to a	shorten this field? I'd rather I	have a 24-bit field	l instead. More	Comment Ty		Comment Status X		
software friendly.						e a different format than the line	above - Same	applies for table 122-
SuggestedRemedy				on page				
Change to 24 bit				SuggestedR	,			
Proposed Response	Response Status O		aline formats between the three and write: 120F-Chp-to-chip 200GAUI-2 and 120G-Chip module 200GAUI-2. Fix also table 122-1					
				Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
C/ 116 SC 116.1.2	P 95	L 24	# I-231					
Ben-Artsi, Liav	Marvell Semic	conductor, Inc.		C/ 124	SC 124.1	P 118	L 19	# I-234
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Ben-Artsi, Li	av	Marvell Semic	onductor, Inc.	
	ems to have a nomanclature v	ery different than	all others - find one	Comment T	/pe E	Comment Status X	-	
which is more aligned	with all others			120-F ai	nd 120G have	e a different format than the line	above	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	emedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			aline for	2	n the three and write: 120F-Chp	-to-chip 200GA	UI-2 and 120G-Chip-1

C/ 135	SC 135.5.7.2	P 123	L 48	# 1-235	C/ 161	SC 161.5.2.6		P 135	L 3	# 1-238
Ben-Artsi, Li	iav	Marvell Semic	onductor, Inc.		Ben-Artsi, L	iav	N	/larvell Semi	conductor, Inc.	
Comment Ty	ype E	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Sta	atus X		
Stating t	the GAUI lane a	mounts in an increasing orde	er makes more se	ense						h is desired recommend
SuggestedR	Remedy					ing in a similar m	anner as Figur	e 91-2 in cla	iuse 91	
Replace	e 100GAUI-1 and	d 100GAUI-2 order on lines 4	17 and 51		Suggested		r to Figure 01 2	in alaura O	4	
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O				E support simila	U		1	
					Proposed R	Response	Response Sta	atus O		
C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.6	P 206	L 42	# I-236						
Dudek, Mich	nael	Marvell								
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status X								
		Innecessarily low for this vari however that this section is r								
where th	ne number of DF	E taps is only 6.	· · · · · · , · ·							
where th SuggestedR			,							
SuggestedR Change new sec	Remedy the value of Np ction in 120F.3.1		nce Residual ISI i lual Intersymbol I	n table 120F-1 to a nterference is						
SuggestedR Change new sec	Remedy the value of Np ction in 120F.3.1 ed with the proc	FE taps is only 6. from 11 to 24 here. Referer . This section to say "Resid	nce Residual ISI i lual Intersymbol I	n table 120F-1 to a nterference is						
SuggestedR Change new sec measure Proposed Re	Remedy the value of Np ction in 120F.3.1 ed with the proc	FE taps is only 6. from 11 to 24 here. Referer . This section to say "Resid edure in 163.9.2.6 with the ex	nce Residual ISI i lual Intersymbol I	n table 120F-1 to a nterference is						
SuggestedR Change new sec measure Proposed Re Cl 162	Remedy the value of Np tion in 120F.3.1 ed with the proce response SC 162.9.3	E taps is only 6. from 11 to 24 here. Referer . This section to say "Resid edure in 163.9.2.6 with the ex <i>Response Status</i> O	nce Residual ISI i lual Intersymbol I xception that Np=	n table 120F-1 to a nterference is -11						
SuggestedR Change new sec measure Proposed Re Cl 162 Dudek, Mich	Remedy the value of Np ction in 120F.3.1 ed with the proce lesponse SC 162.9.3 nael	FE taps is only 6. from 11 to 24 here. Referer . This section to say "Resid edure in 163.9.2.6 with the ex <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 166	nce Residual ISI i lual Intersymbol I xception that Np=	n table 120F-1 to a nterference is -11						
SuggestedR Change new sec measure Proposed Re Cl 162 Dudek, Mich Comment Ty With the possible reach of	Remedy the value of Np ction in 120F.3.1 ed with the proce lesponse SC 162.9.3 nael type TR e Np=200 value e that the transm	E taps is only 6. from 11 to 24 here. Referer . This section to say "Resid edure in 163.9.2.6 with the ex <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 166 Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> X used for the linear fit procedu hitter can have significant pul- E. These pulse distortions of	L 45	n table 120F-1 to a nterference is 11 # <u>I-237</u> measurement it is imes beyond the						
SuggestedR Change new sec measure Proposed Re Cl 162 Dudek, Mich Comment Ty With the possible reach of increase	Remedy the value of Np ction in 120F.3.1 ed with the proce esponse SC 162.9.3 hael ype TR e Np=200 value e that the transm f the receiver DF e the BER unace	E taps is only 6. from 11 to 24 here. Referer . This section to say "Resid edure in 163.9.2.6 with the ex <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 166 Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> X used for the linear fit procedu hitter can have significant pul- E. These pulse distortions of	L 45	n table 120F-1 to a nterference is 11 # <u>I-237</u> measurement it is imes beyond the						
SuggestedR Change new sec measure Proposed Ro Cl 162 Dudek, Mich Comment Ty With the possible reach of increase SuggestedR Add a R	Remedy the value of Np ction in 120F.3.1 ed with the proce esponse SC 162.9.3 hael ype TR e Np=200 value e that the transm f the receiver DF e the BER unaco Remedy	E taps is only 6. I from 11 to 24 here. Referer This section to say "Resid edure in 163.9.2.6 with the ex- <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 166 Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> X used for the linear fit procedu nitter can have significant pul- E. These pulse distortions of ceptably.	L 45	n table 120F-1 to a nterference is 11 # <u>I-237</u> measurement it is imes beyond the ed and could						