| | D 4 | 1.00 | " | 01 = 11 | 00 - | | | | " |
|--|---|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| CIFM SCFM | P1 | L 28 | # R1-14 | C/ FM | SC FN | И | P 24 | L 44 | # R1-27 |
| Grow, Robert | RMG Consul | ting | | Healey, A | | _ | Broadcom Inc | . | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | | Comment | | _ | Comment Status X | | |
| This list is not correct Amendment 5. | . It also lists five previous amo | endments yet P8 | 302.3cx is identified as | In the | table of co | ontents, ar | nnex headings break acros | ss multiple lines | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Suggestee | | | | | |
| If new amendment n | umbers are assigned for the ga | | | Modify templa | | ture of anr | nex headings per the most | t recent IEEE 80 | 02.3 FrameMaker draft |
| numbers remain unc | RevCom in September, obvic nanged from the last amendme st, and sort in amendment nur | ent number assig | | Proposed | Response | e F | Response Status O | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status 0 | | | C/ 0 | SC 0 | | P 0 | L 0 | # R1-5 |
| | | | | Brown, Ma | atthew | | Huawei Techi | nologies Canad | a |
| VFM SCFM | P 11 | L 17 | # R1-15 | Comment | Туре В | E | Comment Status X | | |
| Grow, Robert | RMG Consul Comment Status X | ting | | Keep 802.3 | | n line with | the new revision (802.3dc |) and any amer | dments that precede |
| Comment Type E | onsistent with the current front | mottor on found | Lin D902 2/D2 2 | Suggestee | dRemedy | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | matter as found | III F 002.3/D3.2. | 0 | the next dr dments. | raft with the | e latest versions of the ne | w revision (802. | 3df) and any preceding |
| Update for consisten | cy with P802.3/D3.2. | | | Proposed | Response | e F | Response Status O | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | · | · | | | | |
| | | | | C/ 120 | SC 12 | 0.5.11.2.a | P 110 | L 30 | # R1-37 |
| C/FM SC FM | P 12 | L 39 | # R1-16 | Ran, Ade | е | | Cisco System | ns, Inc. | |
| Grow, Robert | RMG Consul | ting | | Comment | Туре в | ER | Comment Status X | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | | Some | separatio | n between | the text and the sequence | e would be nice | |
| The description of Se | ction Nine has changed during | g balloting of P80 | 02.3. | Suggestee | dRemedv | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | 00 | | aragraph b | before the sequence. | | |
| Update to be consist | ent with P802.3/D3.2. | | | | | 0 1 | • | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | Consi | der moving | g the sequ | ence and the text referring | g to it after equa | tion 120-1. |

C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.a

| C/ 120F SC 120F.3.1 | .2 P 241 | L 4 | # R1-59 | C/ 120G | SC 120G.1 | | P 256 | L 36 | # R1-58 |
|--|---|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|---------------|---------------------|---|
| Ran, Adee | Cisco Syster | ns, Inc. | | Ran, Adee | | C | cisco Systen | ns, Inc. | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status X | | | Comment T | Type GR | Comment Sta | atus X | | |
| "with the exception the in 120F.3.1.1" Missing "that". | high-frequency peak-to-pea | k AC common-m | ode voltage is defined | part of it's pub | OIF-CEI-05.0. | But the OIF-CEI-0 | 5.0 docume | ent has not been p | to be published as published yet. Unless published document |
| uggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | | |
| | ception that the high-frequen 20F.3.1.1". | ncy peak-to-peak | AC common-mode | to the 0 | OIF specificati | | C2M specif | | t before it was added erence to OIF may be |
| roposed Response | Response Status 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | de to the CEI-112G- nd delete the editor's |
| 120G SC 120G.1 | P 256 | L 12 | # R1-6 | | | | | | 14 Very Short Reach |
| rown, Matthew | | nologies Canada | | | pass future ve | 0" which is current; ersions). | we do not r | eler to it and ther | e is no need to |
| omment Type E | Comment Status X | | | A 1/ | | | 051 05 0 | | |
| The implementation of | Draft 3.0 comment i-92 resu | | | note sh | nould be made | specific such that | | | July 2022, the editor he document is |
| | ace comprises independent d | | | publish | | | | | |
| | posal was to replace the use | | | Suggested | - | | | | |
| The other part of the p | phout the standard. There is r roposal was to change the te text uses terminology that is | ext used to descri | be the data paths. | method | dology that is a | "The C2M interface similar to that used note, and the biblio | for CEI-112 | G-VSR-PAM4 de | ion and test fined in OIF-CEI-05.0 |
| | ere is no concept of a "transr | | | Proposed I | | Response Sta | | | |
| uggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | | |
| | erface is composed of indepe e is composed of independer | | | C/ 120G | SC 120G.3 | | P 258 | L 41 | # R1-50 |
| oposed Response | Response Status O | | | Dawe, Pier | | | IVIDIA | | |
| opecea recipinee | | | | Comment 1 | 51 | Comment Sta | | | |
| | | | | start at measu | 10 MHz and r | recommendations a calities. Including t | and reference | e equations are r | ugh test fixture specs not bound by don't know why this |
| | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| | | | | Change | e 0.01 to 0.05. | Also for Eq 120G | -2 in 120G.3 | 3.3.3. | |
| | | | | Proposed F | Response | Response Sta | tus O | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.1

| Cl 120G | SC 120G.3. | 1.1 P 258 | L 42 | # R1-51 |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Dawe, Pie | rs J G | NVIDIA | | |
| Comment | Туре т | Comment Status X | | |
| channe remove | el in C2M can b e a lot of energ | to 50 GHz while the one in 16 e super-low-loss, but the mod / above 40 GHz. I did not notion d review them if they exist. | ulation format ar | nd receiver filtering |
| Suggested If appr | 2 | 50 to 40, here and in Eq 120 | G-2. | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status O | | |
| C/ 120G | SC 120G.3. | 3.5.1 <i>P</i> 265 | L 49 | # R1-54 |
| Dawe, Pie | rs J G | NVIDIA | | |
| Comment | Туре т | Comment Status X | | |
| | | e optimum setting for the seco | | |

optimum for any third precursor would be less than 1/2 a COM step of 0.02. We can simplify the tuning challenge for real modules and stressed signal generators by removing clutter. 120G has 4 dB more headline loss than 120F and a module doesn't have the very large package loss that 120F may have, so it may be reasonable that 120F has a small c(-3) term when C2M host stressed input doesn't need it.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The pattern generator output equalization functional behavior is equivalent to the model shown in Table 120F-3. The tap coefficients are not specified" to "The pattern generator output equalization functional behavior is equivalent to the model shown in Table 120F-3, with c(-3) always zero. Other tap coefficients are not specified".

Unless the extra loss in the module stressed input signal tips makes this tap significant, this can apply to 120G.3.4.3.1 also.

Proposed Response Response Status O

| C/ 120G S | C 120G.3.4.3 | .2 P 271 | L 33 | # R1-17 |
|--------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|---------|
| Calvin, John | | Keysight Te | echnologies | |
| Comment Type | т | Comment Status X | | |

Consistent with the groups consensus during polling at the 3/23/2022 Ad-Hoc Session and the presentation:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/mar23_22/calvin_3ck_adhoc_01_032322.pdf Reducing the EH target by 20% from 10mV to 8mV in sponsor ballot with no supporting material was a mistake. There is an abundance of TP1A focused empirical data on record in the 802.3 project folders that underscores how little margin there was in achieving a valid VEC at 12-12.5dB evaluated at 10mV. There are multiple published existence proofs for a TP1A solution at 10mV/12dBVEC. There are no publicly published existence proofs that 8mV/12dB VEC is attainable.

SuggestedRemedy

Revert the Table "120G–10—Module stressed input parameters" EH value from the current value of 8mV to 10mV where it's been settled to date.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

| C/ 120G | SC 120G.4.1 | P 273 | L 18 | # <u>R1-38</u> |
|------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco S | ystems, Inc. | |
| Comment Ty | pe E | Comment Status X | | |

120G.4 has only a single subclause 120G.4.1 and no other content. The extra hierarchy level is unnecessary.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the 120G.4 paragraph and promote 120G.4.1 to second-level.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120G SC 120G.4.1 Page 3 of 15 2022-03-30 8:49:31 AM

| | .1 P 273 | L 20 | # R1-39 | C/ 161 SC 16 | 61.6.3 | P 147 | L 8 | # R1-40 |
|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------|---|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Ran, Adee | Cisco System | s, Inc. | | Dawe, Piers J G | N | VIDIA | | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status X | | | Comment Type | E Comment Sta | tus X | | |
| host component to th | s overloaded in this annex. In the module component, excludin | g packages but i | including the connector | RS-FEC-Int car sublayer. | 't exist except as part of | a RS-FEC/ | RS-FEC-Int pair, so | o it isn't a separate |
| and module PCB. It r | may not be obvious for the read | er, and should b | e written explicitly. | SuggestedRemedy | | | | |
| Luckily we have a dia | agram that shows this exact pat | h, and has the s | ame ILL number; it | Move the clause | e to become Annex 91B. | | | |
| would be helpful to he | ave a cross-reference to that di | agram. | | Proposed Response | e Response Stat | tus O | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | |
| | insertion loss is recommended the channel between the host a ended to meet" | | ponents (see Figure | C/ 162 SC 16 | | P 153 | L 46 | # R1-8 |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | Brown, Matthew | | | nologies Canada | |
| | | | | 21 | E Comment Sta | | | |
| | | | | | able 162-1, Table 162-2, ording the SA Standards | | | word must, which is |
| C/ 120G SC 120G.5 | | L 12 | # R1-60 | ' SuggestedRemedy | 0 | , | | |
| Ran, Adee | Cisco System | s, Inc. | | | Table 162-2, and Table | 162-3 | | |
| Comment Type TR "is defined as the A | Comment Status X | e measured at T | P0v that includes" | | forming implementation | | | |
| TP0v is not defined for | or C2M; the output measureme | nt points are TP | 1a and TP4. | Proposed Response | e Response Stat | tus O | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | |
| Change to "is defined that includes" | as the AC common-mode volt | age range meas | sured at TP1a or TP4 | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status O | | | | | | | |
| roposed Response | | | | | | | | |
| · · · | .2 P 275 | L 50 | # R1-55 | | | | | |
| C/ 120G SC 120G.5 | .2 P 275 NVIDIA | L 50 | # R1-55 | | | | | |
| C/ 120G SC 120G.5 Dawe, Piers J G | | L 50 | # <u>R1-55</u> | | | | | |
| Cl 120G SC 120G.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR As noted, this weight width, whether from j | NVIDIA | passing signals | with relatively bad eye | | | | | |
| Cl 120G SC 120G.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR As noted, this weight width, whether from j with usable VEC and | NVIDIA Comment Status X ing function skews the spec to itter or other cause, which enda | passing signals | with relatively bad eye | | | | | |
| Cl 120G SC 120G.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR As noted, this weight width, whether from j with usable VEC and SuggestedRemedy | NVIDIA Comment Status X ing function skews the spec to itter or other cause, which enda eye height and better eye width osed solutions and fix the proble | passing signals inger the link BE h. | with relatively bad eye R, while failing signals | | | | | |

C/ 162 SC 162.1

| C/ 162 | SC 162.8.11 | P 164 | L 21 | # R1-11 | C/ 162 | SC | 162.8.11 | P 164 | L 35 | # R1-24 |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|------------------|-----------------------|
| Lusted, K | lent | Intel Corporat | ion | | Lusted, K | ent | | Intel Corpora | tion | |
| Commen | Туре Т | Comment Status X | | | Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status X | | |
| the P field s selec | MD control functi structure is specit t bits in the contr | n in the specification as to wh on. The first list item (a) in th ied in Table 162–9", while the ol field are per Table 136-9 wi | e exceptions list item (e) states th an additional | says that "The control that the coefficient combination. Note that | https:/ tedBy | //www.ie Number | eee802.org r.pdf). The | ssociated with comment i-48 g/3/ck/comments/draft3p0/80 e text as written for item h of see 136.8.11.7.1) is TRUE." | 23ck_D3p0_fin | al_closedcomments_sor |
| | e 162-9 includes t her changes from | he additional combination (cm | i3) in the coeffic | ient select bits as well | Suggeste | dRemed | dy | | | |
| | 0 | n is that this sub-clause only | has the revised o | control field structure, | | | | f use_quiet_in_training (see to comment i-48 on Draft 3. | | TRUE." to align with |
| not th | e revised status | field structure. | | | Proposed | Respor | nse | Response Status 0 | | |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | | | | | | | |
| Two | solutions are prop | oosed here for consideration b | y the comment | resolution group: | C/ 162 | SC | 162.8.11 | P 164 | L 35 | # R1-2 |
| Optio | | | | | Ran, Ade | | | Cisco Systen | ns. Inc. | |
| | ove list item (a) a ove Table 162-9 | ind renumber the list. | | | Comment | | ER | Comment Status X | | |
| | | | | | "The o | of use_c | quiet_in_tra | aining (see 136.8.11.7.1) is | TRUE" | |
| Optio * add | | 2-9a (after Table 162-9) that s | hows the revise | d status field structure | Thou | uord "vol | lue" is mis | oing | | |
| | | atus Field Structure" would be | | | Suggester | | | sing. | | |
| | | = c(-3)" in the coefficient sele The control field structure is s | | 162 0 and the status | 00 | | , | f use_quiet_in_training (see | 136 8 11 7 1) is | TRUE" |
| | | ied in Table 162-9a" | | 102–9 and the status | Proposed | 0 | | Response Status O | 100.0.11.7.1718 | |
| * rem | ove list item (e) a | ind renumber the list. | | | Порозей | Respon | 130 | | | |
| Imple | ment with editori | al license | | | C/ 162 | SC | 162.8.11 | P 165 | L 24 | # R1-10 |
| • | Response | Response Status 0 | | | Lusted, K | | | Intel Corpora | tion | |
| | | | | | Comment | Type | Е | Comment Status X | | |
| | | | | | | | | fficient select field has the ended in the ended. The underlining is not | | 0 0= Reserved and "0 |
| | | | | | Suggeste | dRemed | dy | | | |
| | | | | | Remo | ove the u | underlining | for the entry values of "1 0 | 0" Reserved and | d "0 1 x = Reserved". |
| | | | | | Proposed | Respor | nse | Response Status O | | |

C/ 162 SC 162.8.11

| C/ 162 | SC · | 162.9.2 | P 165 | L 44 | # R1-7 | C/ 162 | SC | 162.9.3 | P 166 | L 30 | # R1-29 |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| Brown, Ma | atthew | | Huawei Te | echnologies Canada | | Ran, Adee | | | Cisco Syster | ns, Inc. | |
| comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status X | | | Comment | Гуре | TR | Comment Status X | | |
| | nplemer | ntation of | Draft 3.0 comment i-89 re | esulted in the subclaus | se being changed | (Cross- | clause | - 162, 16 | 3, 120F, 120G) | | |
| The M to one differe to: "162.9 The M compo signal The fin to be o The of Unfort | MDI lar ential pai 0.2 MDI IDI trans osed of o s, formir rst part o consiste ther part unately, | smit and r ne and co ir." connectio smit and r ong a balar of the prop nt through t of the priv the new | eceive paths are point-to- mprises two complement eceive paths are point-to- bre MDI lanes. Each MDI ficed differential pair." bosal was to replace the posal was to replace the posal was to change the ext uses terminology tha are is no concept of an "N | -point connections. Ea lane is composed of t use of "comprises" wit is nothing wrong with e text used to describe it is not consistent with | m a balanced ach MDI data path is wo complementary th "is composed of" this change. the data paths. the rest of the | and me frequer distribu mVpp We pre high-fre should noise, HF cor of the h | ellitz_3a ncy res itions the eviously equenc be the 60 mVp nponer HF corr | ck_02_01 pectively. hat are mo osen as a / had a lin y noise). RSS of th RSS of th pop means tt – and w ponent! 1 | of 60 has no justification. In 22 the suggested limits were mellitz_3ck_adhoc_01_011 ostly below 40 mVpp and the result of a straw poll with no hit of 25 mV RMS without filt Assuming HF and LF compote RMSs of these component 17 mV RMS for this compote e struggled to increase the 0 The LF component was support results from power supply results from power | a 30 mVpp and 222 slide 3 show best cases are o data or record ering (including onents are indep nts. Assuming u nent, leaving jus CM RMS to 25- bosed to be muc | 40 mVpp for low ws power supply noise e about 25 mVpp. 60 ed reason. the more significant bendent, the RMS niform distribution of LF st 18 mV RMS for the 30 mV mainly because ch lower than that. |
| uggested Chang "162.9 The N | ge the si 9.2 Signa IDI trans | <i>y</i> ubclause al paths smit and re | o: eceive signal paths are p I lane and comprises two | | | circuits impairr The LF at the r | on or on or on on or on on or | off) would such as ex omponent r. The effe | for all but 1e-4 (which exclu be a very sloppy design wh cessive jitter. is not filtered out by the cha cet of LF CM noise on receiv | ich would likely innel so we can ers depends on | result in other expect the same levels design, but in general, |
| | | rential pa | | o completion any eight | | | | | ay cause periods of higher- s which will be difficult to de | | |
| Proposed | Respon | se | Response Status 0 | | | | | | ise (much easier to verify). | | 5 |
| C/ 162 Ran, Adee | | 162.9.2 | P 165 Cisco Sys | <i>L</i> 45 tems, Inc. | # R1-36 | defined the lim | l at 1e- it shoul | 5 and the d be 13% | s to 163.9.2, 120F.3.1, and allowed range should be so higher, but I assume LF CM s is just 7% higher. | mewhat higher. | Scaling by the Q value |
| Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status X | | | Suggested | Remed | ly | | | |
| paths | are poir | nt-to-point | n thsi subclause, the ser connections" does not m | hake sense, since the | | In 162. In 120F | 9.3 and ⁻ .3.1 ar | d 163.9.2, nd 120G.3 | change the VCMPP maxim 8.1, change the VCMPP max | um from 60 mV kimum from 60 i | to 30 mV. mV to 32 mV. |
| the co | ntent of | the MDI (| "paths" are no longer me | entioned). | | Proposed F | Respon | se | Response Status 0 | | |
| Altern | atively, t | the conter | nt can be changed back t | o refer to paths. | | | - | | | | |
| | dRemed | 'y | - | | | | | | | | |
| uggested | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Suggested Delete | e the quo | oted sente | ence. | | | | | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 162 SC 162.9.3 Page 6 of 15 2022-03-30 8:49:31 AM

| C/ 162 | SC 162.9.4 | P 166 | L 30 | # R1-42 | C/ 162 | SC 162.9.4 | P1 | 66 L4 | 40 # <u>R1-43</u> |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| Dawe, Piers | JG | NVIDIA | | | Dawe, Pier | rs J G | NVID | IA | |
| Comment Ty | vpe T | Comment Status X | | | Comment 7 | Type TR | Comment Status | х | |
| | | opportunities to create AC CM previous draft. This applies | | s both, it can create | | | ated test fixtures' refer nce to the expected R | | more like real measurement |
| SuggestedR | Remedy | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| Keep the | e new specs, b | out reinstate the all-frequencie | es RMS limit. Al | so in Table 120G-1. | Reduce | e Rpeak (min) | by 1% from 0.397 to 0 | .393. | |
| Proposed Re | esponse | Response Status O | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status | 0 | |
| 7 162 | SC 162.9.4 | P 166 | L 31 | # R1-35 | C/ 162 | SC 162.9.4 | P1 | 67 <i>L</i> 1 | 16 # R1-18 |
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco System | ns, Inc. | | Wu, Mau-L | in | Medi | aTek Inc. | |
| comment Ty | ype TR | Comment Status X | | | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status | х | |
| (cross-c | lause - 162 an | | | | | | | | Based on that, the calcula |
| Clause ' | | cification for V_CMPP-HF dire 3 and annex 120F have the S0 | | | | | e spec limit of ISI_RES 3ck_adhoc_01_030922 | | modified. The detailed analy oc_033022. |
| Clause peak, wl Since th and the | hile clause 163 le TP0-TP2 cha differential sign | and annex 120F have the So annel can attenuate the both l nal, the reasoning for using a | CMR specification high-frequency of ratio here is as | on instead. common mode noise strong as it is in TP0v. | had be Suggested | covered in li_3 <i>Remedy</i> e "Residual int | 3ck_adhoc_01_030922 | 2 & wu_3ck_adh | |
| Clause peak, wi Since th and the It would The SCI | hile clause 163 le TP0-TP2 cha differential sign be easier for r MR limit for TP | and annex 120F have the So annel can attenuate the both l | CMR specification high-frequency of ratio here is as ecification metho limit in Table 16 | on instead. common mode noise strong as it is in TP0v. ods. 3–5, with a relaxation of | had be <i>Suggested</i> Change | covered in li_3 <i>Remedy</i> e "Residual int | 3ck_adhoc_01_030922 | 2 & wu_3ck_adh | loc_033022. |
| Clause ² peak, wi Since th and the It would The SCI 1 dB due | hile clause 163 te TP0-TP2 cha differential sign be easier for r MR limit for TP e to possible m | 3 and annex 120F have the S0 annel can attenuate the both I nal, the reasoning for using a eaders to have consistent spe 2 is suggested based on the node conversion in the longer | CMR specification high-frequency of ratio here is as ecification metho limit in Table 16 TP0-TP2 chann | on instead. common mode noise strong as it is in TP0v. ods. 3–5, with a relaxation of | had be <i>Suggested</i> Chang 162-10 | covered in li_3 <i>Remedy</i> e "Residual int | 3ck_adhoc_01_03092 | 2 & wu_3ck_adh ISI_RES (max)" O | oc_033022. " from -30 dB to -29 dB in Ta |
| Clause ² peak, wi Since th and the It would The SCI 1 dB due Applies | hile clause 163 le TP0-TP2 cha differential sign be easier for ru MR limit for TP e to possible m similarly for cla | 3 and annex 120F have the S0 annel can attenuate the both I nal, the reasoning for using a eaders to have consistent spe 2 is suggested based on the | CMR specification high-frequency of ratio here is as ecification metho limit in Table 16 TP0-TP2 chann | on instead. common mode noise strong as it is in TP0v. ods. 3–5, with a relaxation of | had be Suggested Chang 162-10 Proposed F | covered in li_3 Remedy e "Residual int Response SC 162.9.4 | 3ck_adhoc_01_030922 ersymbol interference, <i>Response Status</i> | 2 & wu_3ck_adh ISI_RES (max)" 0 67 <i>L</i> 1 | oc_033022. " from -30 dB to -29 dB in Ta |
| Clause - peak, wl Since th and the It would The SCI 1 dB due Applies SuggestedR In 162, r | hile clause 163 the TP0-TP2 char differential sign be easier for r MR limit for TP e to possible m similarly for clar Remedy replace the V_(| B and annex 120F have the SG annel can attenuate the both I hal, the reasoning for using a eaders to have consistent spe 2 is suggested based on the node conversion in the longer ause 120G (at both TP1a and CMPP_HF (max) specificatior | CMR specification high-frequency of ratio here is as ecification metho limit in Table 16 TP0-TP2 chann TP4). | on instead. common mode noise strong as it is in TP0v. ods. i3–5, with a relaxation of nel. | had be Suggested, Chang, 162-10 Proposed F C/ 162 Rysin, Ales Comment T | covered in li_3 Remedy e "Residual int Response SC 162.9.4 kander Type TR | 3ck_adhoc_01_030922 ersymbol interference, Response Status P1 NVID Comment Status | 2 & wu_3ck_adh ISI_RES (max)" 0 67 <i>L</i> 1 IA X | noc_033022. " from -30 dB to -29 dB in Ta 16 # <u>R1-20</u> |
| Clause ² peak, wi Since th and the It would The SCI 1 dB du Applies SuggestedR In 162, r definition In 120G | hile clause 163 the TP0-TP2 cha differential sign be easier for ru MR limit for TP e to possible m similarly for cla Remedy replace the V_(n in 163.9.2.8, , apply a simila | B and annex 120F have the SG annel can attenuate the both I nal, the reasoning for using a eaders to have consistent spe 2 is suggested based on the node conversion in the longer ause 120G (at both TP1a and CMPP_HF (max) specification with a value of 14 dB. ar change, but use 120F.3.1.2 | CMR specification high-frequency of ratio here is as ecification metho limit in Table 16 TP0-TP2 chann TP4). In to SCMR (min) | on instead. common mode noise strong as it is in TP0v. ods. 3–5, with a relaxation of nel.), pointing to the , and change the | had be Suggested Chang 162-10 Proposed F Cl 162 Rysin, Alex Comment T Curren recomr | covered in li_3 Remedy e "Residual int Response SC 162.9.4 kander Type TR tly proposed IS nended TP0-T | Bck_adhoc_01_030922 ersymbol interference, Response Status P 1 NVID Comment Status SI_RES limit is too tigh | 2 & wu_3ck_adh ISI_RES (max)" 0 67 <i>L</i> 1 IA X t – commercial tr e specification. L | noc_033022. " from -30 dB to -29 dB in Ta 16 # <u>R1-20</u> |
| Clause ² peak, wi Since th and the It would The SCI 1 dB du Applies uggestedR In 162, r definition In 120G | hile clause 163 the TP0-TP2 cha differential sign be easier for ru MR limit for TP e to possible m similarly for cla Remedy replace the V_(n in 163.9.2.8, , apply a simila | B and annex 120F have the SC annel can attenuate the both I nal, the reasoning for using a eaders to have consistent spe 2 is suggested based on the node conversion in the longer ause 120G (at both TP1a and CMPP_HF (max) specification with a value of 14 dB. | CMR specification high-frequency of ratio here is as ecification metho limit in Table 16 TP0-TP2 chann TP4). In to SCMR (min) | on instead. common mode noise strong as it is in TP0v. ods. 3–5, with a relaxation of nel.), pointing to the , and change the | had be Suggested Chang 162-10 Proposed F Cl 162 Rysin, Alex Comment T Curren recomr | covered in li_3 Remedy e "Residual int Response SC 162.9.4 cander Type TR tly proposed IS mended TP0-T S does not he | Bck_adhoc_01_030922 ersymbol interference, Response Status P1 NVID Comment Status SI_RES limit is too tigh P2 channel loss fail th | 2 & wu_3ck_adh ISI_RES (max)" 0 67 <i>L</i> 1 IA X t – commercial tr e specification. L | noc_033022. " from -30 dB to -29 dB in Ta 16 # <u>R1-20</u> test equipment with a |
| Clause - peak, wi Since th and the It would The SCI 1 dB due Applies SuggestedR In 162, r definition In 120G reference | hile clause 163 hile TP0-TP2 cha differential sign be easier for m MR limit for TP e to possible m similarly for cla Remedy replace the V_(n in 163.9.2.8, , apply a simila se of VCMPP-L | B and annex 120F have the SG annel can attenuate the both I nal, the reasoning for using a eaders to have consistent spe 2 is suggested based on the node conversion in the longer ause 120G (at both TP1a and CMPP_HF (max) specification with a value of 14 dB. ar change, but use 120F.3.1.2 | CMR specification high-frequency of ratio here is as ecification metho limit in Table 16 TP0-TP2 chann TP4). In to SCMR (min) | on instead. common mode noise strong as it is in TP0v. ods. 3–5, with a relaxation of nel.), pointing to the , and change the | had be Suggested, Chang 162-10 Proposed F C/ 162 Rysin, Alex Comment T Curren recomm ISI_RE Suggested, In table | covered in li_3 Remedy e "Residual int Response SC 162.9.4 cander Type TR tly proposed IS mended TP0-T S does not he Remedy e 162-10, chan | Bck_adhoc_01_030922 ersymbol interference, <i>Response Status</i> <i>P1</i> <i>NVID</i> <i>Comment Status</i> SI_RES limit is too tigh P2 channel loss fail th p enough. Presentatic ge the minimum ISI_R | 2 & wu_3ck_adh ISI_RES (max)" 0 67 L1 IA X t – commercial tr e specification. L n is planned. ES value to -27. | noc_033022. " from -30 dB to -29 dB in Ta 16 # <u>R1-20</u> test equipment with a Using TX FIR to optimize . Alternatively, revise the |
| Clause - peak, wi Since th and the It would The SCI 1 dB due Applies SuggestedR In 162, r definition In 120G reference | hile clause 163 the TP0-TP2 char differential sign be easier for re MR limit for TP e to possible m similarly for clar Remedy replace the V_(n in 163.9.2.8, , apply a similar the of VCMPP-L he new conten | B and annex 120F have the SC annel can attenuate the both I hal, the reasoning for using a eaders to have consistent spe 2 is suggested based on the hode conversion in the longer ause 120G (at both TP1a and CMPP_HF (max) specification with a value of 14 dB. ar change, but use 120F.3.1.2 F to 120F.3.1.1 (which have t | CMR specification high-frequency of ratio here is as ecification metho limit in Table 16 TP0-TP2 chann TP4). In to SCMR (min) | on instead. common mode noise strong as it is in TP0v. ods. 3–5, with a relaxation of nel.), pointing to the , and change the | had be Suggested, Chang 162-10 Proposed F C/ 162 Rysin, Alex Comment T Curren recomm ISI_RE Suggested, In table | covered in li_3 Remedy e "Residual int Response SC 162.9.4 kander Type TR tly proposed IS mended TP0-T S does not he Remedy e 162-10, chan rement method | Bck_adhoc_01_030922 ersymbol interference, <i>Response Status</i> <i>P1</i> <i>NVID</i> <i>Comment Status</i> SI_RES limit is too tigh P2 channel loss fail th p enough. Presentatic ge the minimum ISI_R | 2 & wu_3ck_adh ISI_RES (max)" 0 67 L 1 1A X t – commercial to e specification. U n is planned. ES value to -27. comments propos | roc_033022. " from -30 dB to -29 dB in Ta 16 # <u>R1-20</u> test equipment with a Using TX FIR to optimize |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.9.4 Page 7 of 15 2022-03-30 8:49:31 AM

| P 167 | L 16 | # R1-23 | C/ 162 | SC 162.9 | 4.1.1 | P 167 | L 6 | # R1-30 |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| NVIDIA | | | Ran, Adee | | | Cisco Syster | ms, Inc. | |
| Comment Status X | | | Comment T | ype TR | Comn | nent Status X | | |
| mitigate the effect. Measurin | | | Followir | ng ad hoc pi | esentation ra | an_3ck_01_032322 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| the following: | | | Teasona | | | lesign (sinulations) |) and qualificatio | in (with instruments). |
| near fit procedure in 162.9.4. | 1.1, after these | have been recalculated | althoug | h RLM can | ary betweer | equalization settin | igs. We want hig | h RLM at the setting |
| | | | SuggestedF | Remedy | | | | |
| Response Status O | • | | content "Transn The trai | : nitter lineari nsmitter line | y is defined arity shall me | using the method ir eet the requirement | n 120D.3.1.2. t specified in Tat | ble 162–10 when the |
| | | | transmi | iter equaliza | | any of the initial co | | |
| Comment Status X | | | | | ces of RLM i | n Table 163–5 and | Table 120F-1 to | o point to the new |
| ted to distortion. The simulat 120F ISI_RES limits g/3/ck/public/21_07/dudek_: NDR. Transmitters whose S the ISI_RES limit even with o s set 1 dB higher but without | ions that served 3ck_01_0721.pc NDR includes so otherwise accep demonstration | I as the basis for the df) used linear models ome linear fit error may table residual ISI. The that this is sufficient | Proposed R | lesponse | Respo | nse Status O | | |
| | NVIDIA Comment Status X the pulse dispersion when m mitigate the effect. Measurin on is planned the following: urement the linear fit pulse re- hear fit procedure in 162.9.4. e filter described in 93A.1.4.3 or maximum ISI_RES, with Response Status 0 P167 Broadcom Ind Comment Status X hear fit error computed as pa- ted to distortion. The simulat 120F ISI_RES limits rg/3/ck/public/21_07/dudek_3 the ISI_RES limit even with out s set 1 dB higher but without | NVIDIA Comment Status X the pulse dispersion when measured at TP2 mitigate the effect. Measuring ISI effects with on is planned the following: urement the linear fit pulse response p(k) and hear fit procedure in 162.9.4.1.1, after these e filter described in 93A.1.4.3 using the para or maximum ISI_RES, with the exception the Response Status 0 P167 L16 Broadcom Inc. Comment Status X near fit error computed as part of the SNDR ted to distortion. The simulations that served 120F ISI_RES limits rg/3/ck/public/21_07/dudek_3ck_01_0721.pc NDR. Transmitters whose SNDR includes s the ISI_RES limit even with otherwise accept | NVIDIA Comment Status X the pulse dispersion when measured at TP2. COM reference mitigate the effect. Measuring ISI effects with CTLE was adopted in on is planned the following: urement the linear fit pulse response p(k) and error e(k) are hear fit procedure in 162.9.4.1.1, after these have been recalculated e filter described in 93A.1.4.3 using the parameters in Table 163-11 or maximum ISI_RES, with the exception that Np=12+Dp+1". Response Status O P167 L16 # R1-28 Broadcom Inc. Comment Status X near fit error computed as part of the SNDR metric and this linear fit ted to distortion. The simulations that served as the basis for the | NVIDIA Ran, Adee Comment Status X Comment T the pulse dispersion when measured at TP2. COM reference (Cross- mitigate the effect. Measuring ISI effects with CTLE was adopted in on is planned Followin the following: For RLM urement the linear fit pulse response p(k) and error e(k) are For RLM the following: For RLM urement the linear fit pulse response p(k) and error e(k) are For RLM the following: For RLM urement the linear fit pulse response p(k) and error e(k) are For RLM the following: For RLM urement the linear fit pulse response p(k) and error e(k) are For RLM the following: For RLM Response Status O P167 L 16 Encadcom Inc. Change Comment Status X near fit error computed as part of the SNDR metric and this linear fit Proposed R 120F ISI_RES limits Subclau rg/3/ck/public/21_07/dudek_3ck_01_0721.pdf) used linear models Proposed R NDR. Transmitters whose SNDR includes some linear fit error may Kerror may the ISI_RES limit even with otherwise acceptable residual ISI. The | NVIDIA Ran, Adee Comment Status X Comment Status X the pulse dispersion when measured at TP2. COM reference (Cross-clause - 162) mitigate the effect. Measuring ISI effects with CTLE was adopted in on is planned Following: the following: Following ad hoc prespecific definitions of reasonable test times urement the linear fit pulse response p(k) and error e(k) are For RLM, the reference although RLM can wreat it actually used e filter described in 93A.1.4.3 using the parameters in Table 163-11 For RLM, the reference although RLM can wreat it actually used Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add a subclause un content: "Transmitter linearitit the transmitter equalizations that served as the basis for the 120F ISI_RES limits Change the reference subclause. rg/3/ck/public/21_07/dudek_3ck_01_0721.pdf) used linear models NDR. Transmitters whose SNDR includes some linear fit error may the ISI_RES limit even with otherwise acceptable residual ISI. The as set 1 dB higher but without demonstration that this is sufficient Proposed Response | NVIDIA Ran, Adee Comment Status X Comment Status X the pulse dispersion when measured at TP2. COM reference (Cross-clause - 162, 163, 120F) mitigate the effect. Measuring ISI effects with CTLE was adopted in on is planned Following: urrement the linear fit pulse response p(k) and error e(k) are there are fit procedure in 162.9.4.1.1, after these have been recalculated a filter described in 93A.1.4.3 using the parameters in Table 163-11 or maximum ISI_RES, with the exception that Np=12+Dp+1". For RLM, the reference is 120D. although RLM can vary between that is actually used, but for test the second loc. P167 L16 # R1-28 Broadcom Inc. Comment Status X mear fit error computed as part of the SNDR metric and this linear fit tertor computed as part of the SNDR metric and this linear fit tertor computed as che do distortion. The simulations that served as the basis for the 120F ISI_RES limits Proposed Response Response g/3/ck/public/21_07/dudek_3ck_01_0721.pdf) used linear models NDR. Transmitters whose SNDR includes some linear fit error may the ISI_RES limit even with otherwise acceptable residual ISI. The set 1 dB higher but without demonstration that this is sufficient Proposed Response Response | NVIDIA Ran, Adee Cisco System Comment Status X the pulse dispersion when measured at TP2. COM reference mitigate the effect. Measuring ISI effects with CTLE was adopted in on is planned Ran, Adee Comment Status X the following: Following and hoc presentation ran_3ck_01_032322 Specific definitions or guidance for Tx parameters in Table 163-11 urement the linear fit pulse response p(k) and error e(k) are hear fit procedure in 162.9.4.1.1, after these have been recalculated or maximum ISI_RES, with the exception that Np=12+Dp+1". For RLM, the reference is 120D.3.1.2, which does a although RLM can vary between equalization settin that is actually used, but for test purposes, the 5 proses Status 0 P167 L16 # R1-28 Broadcom Inc. Comment Status X mear fit error computed as part of the SNDR metric and this linear fit tert or computed as part of the SNDR metric and this linear fit terror may the ISI_RES limits whose SNDR includes some linear fit error may the ISI_RES limit even with otherwise acceptable residual ISI. The set 1d b higher but without demonstration that this is sufficient Proposed Response Response Status 0 | NVIDIA Comment Status X the pulse dispersion when measured at TP2. COM reference mitigate the effect. Measuring ISI effects with CTLE was adopted in on is planned Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. the following: Comment Type TR Comment Status X the following: Comment Status Stat |

penalty resulting from reflections could be more accurately predicted if such alignment was

Replace ISI_RES with SNR_ISI as defined in 120D.3.1.7 using the continuous time filter parameters in Table 163-11 and a time offset added to t_p whose value is swept from -0.5 UI to 0.5 UI when calculating ISI_cursors. Define SNR_ISI to be the minimum value found across the time offset sweep. For Clause 162, set N_b to 12 and SNR_ISI (min.) to 26 dB.

considered. These concerns can be addressed by the SNR_ISI metric defined in

For Clause 163 and Annex 120F, set N_b to 6 and SNR_ISI (min.) to 28 dB.

Response Status 0

120D.3.1.7. SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.1.1 Page 8 of 15 2022-03-30 8:49:31 AM

| | SC 162.9.4.1.2 | P 169 | L 37 | # R1-3 | C/ 162 | SC 162.9 | .4.1.2 | P 169 | L 37 | # R1-44 |
|-------------|--|--|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---|-------------------|--|
| an, Adee | | Cisco System | is, Inc. | | Dawe, Pie | ers J G | | NVIDIA | | |
| omment Typ | pe TR Co | omment Status X | | | Comment | Туре Т | Comn | nent Status X | | |
| | ar fit pulse peak rati the steady-state vol | | he ratio between | the maximum value of | "ratio l calcula | | mbiguous: th | e reader doesn't kr | now which way ro | ound the fraction is |
| vf is defin | ed in the previous i | paragraph as "measure | d with transmit o | qualizer set to preset 1 | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| (no equal | ization)" but it may | be interpreted as if this eak will be dependent o | holds only for vf | and not for p(k). | | | | naximum value of p ded by the steady-s | | dy-state voltage vf" to |
| degraded | l in other settings). | | | | Proposed | Response | Respo | nse Status O | | |
| | | eviously defined specific | | | | | | | | |
| | | .1.5.2, "The peak value oefficients have been s | | | C/ 162 | SC 162.9 | .4.3 | P 171 | L 8 | # R1-31 |
| | | | | | Ran, Adee | e | | Cisco Syster | ms, Inc. | |
| | | ive an explicit definition I, and possibly SNDR sp | | | Comment | Type TR | Comn | nent Status X | | |
| | | easured) but not here. | | | (Cross | s-clause - 16 | 2, 163, 120F) | | | |
| ggestedRe | emedy | | | | Follow | ing ad hoc p | resentation ra | an_3ck_01_032322 | . it is suggested | to provide more |
| | orial license: | s of 162.9.4.1.2 to the fo | ollowing: | | specif | ic definitions | or guidance f | or Tx parameters th | hat depend on ed | qualization, to enable |
| • | | peak, and steady-state | • | defined using the | Teasor | | | lesign (sinulations) | and qualification | n (with instruments). |
| | |) through p(M×Nv), means of the set of the s | | | | | | on setting, but the | | |
| | | are defined in 162.9.4.1 | | dure for obtaining p | | | | | | y equalization setting purposes, the 5 pres |
| v nackio | | m value of $n(k)$ v fig. | defined on the ou | um of the linear fit | should | l provide suff | icient coverag | ge. This would also | eliminate unreal | listic equalization |
| |) through p(M×Nv) | um value of p(k). v_f is o divided by M. | denned as the st | In or the linear lit | setting | js in which tr | e current req | uirement may be in | npossible to mee | et. |
| The linea | r fit pulse peak ratio | R_peak is defined as t | the ratio betweer | n v neak and v f | | roposed char d apply there | | .9.4.3, and since 16 | 53 and 120F refe | r back to this subclau |
| | | | | | Suggested | | , 100. | | | |
| | dy-state voltage and in Table 162–10. | d the linear fit pulse pea | k ratio shall mee | t the requirements | | - | aragraph at t | he end of 162.9.4.3 | 3.: | |
| " | | | | | | 0. | 0. | | | |
| Apply the | new team v neak | in other places that refe | r to the pulse pe | eak (or will refer to it | | | | et the requirement s any of the initial co | | e 162–10 when the in Table 162-11. |
| | | comments) such as 162 | | | Proposed | | | nse Status O | | |
| | | sponse Status O | | | | | 1.0000 | | | |

C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.3

| Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA |
|--|
| |
| Comment Type E Comment Status X |
| This draft has 10 tables of ERL parameter values although only 3 for COM parameter values. Most of the entries are the same, so this is inefficient and makes it hard for the reader to see what is different. |
| SuggestedRemedy |
| Combine the tables to one per clause or annex. Use an extra column for the parameter that differ (e.g. in this clause, "Length of the reflection signal" needs two columns, for Transmitter and receiver, Cable assembly). |
| Proposed Response Response Status O |
| |
| C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.5 P 172 L 33 # R1-47 |
| Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA |
| Comment Type E Comment Status X |
| The order of parameters in ERL tables is not consistent across 802.3. |
| SuggestedRemedy |
| If these tables are not in the preferred order, re-order them. |
| Proposed Response Response Status O |
| |
| C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.6 P172 L 47 # R1-48 |
| Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA |
| Comment Type TR Comment Status X |
| As already noted, this common mode return loss spec RLcc becomes useless at the |
| frequency when the HCB loss is 2/2 dB, which is only 7.5 GHz. The spec should trend |
| down somewhat slower than twice the MCB trace loss, at 0.1 dB/GHz. |
| SuggestedRemedy |
| Use a frequency-dependent mask: 2 dB 0.2 <= f <= 4, 1.6+0.1*f dB 4 < f <= 30, 8.5-0.7 $30 < f <= 40$. f is in GHz. See another comment for cable RLcc, 162.11.6. |
| Proposed Response Response Status O |
| |
| |
| ay t)." 9", est |

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.6 Page 10 of 15 2022-03-30 8:49:31 AM

| C/ 162 | SC 162.11.6 | P 185 | L 27 | # R1-49 |
|-------------|-------------|--------|------|---------|
| Dawe, Piers | s J G | NVIDIA | | |

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

As noted, we need a common mode return loss spec RLcc to stop large common-mode voltages building up through multiple low-loss reflections. As we know, this common mode return loss spec RLcc becomes useless at the frequency when the MCB loss is 1.8/2 dB, which is only 8.5 GHz. The impedance the cable presents is mostly related to the connector, (like the mated test fixtures' RLcc) plus the paddle card in the cable end, except at the very lowest frequencies where the cable loss is very small and both connectors can be seen by the measurement. This proposal allows for that.

SuggestedRemedv

Use a frequency-dependent mask: 1.4 dB 0.05 <= f <= 6. 0.68+0.12*f dB 6 < f <= 30. 10.28-0.2*f, 30 to 40. f is in GHz. See another comment for Tx (162.9.4.6 Table 162-10).

Proposed Response Response Status 0

| Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA | C/ 162 | SC 162.11.7.1 | P 186 | L 7 | # R1-52 |
|------------------------|-----------|---------------|--------|------------|---------|
| • | Dawe, Pie | ers J G | NVIDIA | | |

Comment Type T Comment Status X

93A.1.1 says "It is recommended that the scattering parameters be measured with uniform frequency step no larger than Delta f from a start frequency no larger than fmin to a stop frequency of at least the signaling rate fb". But the test fixtures are defined to 50 GHz, and other specs such as RLdc are defined to 40 GHz.

SugaestedRemedv

Define the maximum frequency for COM and ERL, 40 or 50 GHz. Clauses 162, 163, 120F. 120G.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

| C/ 162A | SC 162A | P 284 | L15 | # R1-56 |
|------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Dawe, Pier | rs J G | NVIDIA | | |
| Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status X | | |
| | nd TP5 that mig ted for here) | ght not be testable": see style | guide and D3.0 | comment 214 |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | | | 100.0.1 | |

TP0 and TP5, which might not be testable. Also in 162.8.1

Proposed Response Response Status 0

| C/ 162A SC 162A.4 | P 285 | L 1 | # R1-41 |
|-------------------|------------------|------------|---------|
| Dawe, Piers J G | NVIDIA | | |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status X | | |

The equation for the channel from TP0 to TP2 or from TP3 to TP5 including the test fixture should be checked for consistency with the equations for the PCB, the mated test fixtures, and the cable test fixture traces, although there won't be a perfect match because of the allowances for ball grid array (BGA) footprint and host connector footprints, as well as the difference between product connector and test fixture connector.

SuggestedRemedy

| Proposed F | Response | Response Status | 0 | |
|------------|-------------|-----------------|----|------------|
| | | | | |
| C/ 162B | SC 162B.4.1 | P 2 | 92 | L 5 |

| C/ 162B | SC 162B.4.1 | P 292 | L 5 | # R1-57 |
|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------|---------|
| Dawe, Piers J | G | NVIDIA | | |
| Comment Typ | e E | Comment Status X | | |

Did Figure 162B-4, Mated test fixtures insertion loss, get updated with the revised Eq 162B-5?

SuggestedRemedy

If not (and if there is a visible difference on this scale), please do so. Also, as the first dB are much more interesting than the last here, it would help the reader if the y axis were -20 to 0, even if that means that ILddMTFmax above 42 GHz is not illustrated.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

| C/ 163 | SC 163.1 | P 197 | L 48 | # R1-9 |
|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Brown, Ma | atthew | Huawei Tech | nologies Canada | |
| Comment | Type E | Comment Status X | | |

Footnote a in Table 163-1. Table 163-2, and Table 163-3 includes the word must, which is deprecated according the SA Standards Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 163-1. Table 163-2. and Table 163-3 ... Change: "a conforming implementation must behave functionally" To: "a conforming implementation behaves functionally"

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general | C/ 163 | Page 11 of 15 |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn | SC 163.1 | 2022-03-30 8:49:31 AM |
| SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line | | |

| C/ 163 | SC 163.9.2.6 | P 206 | L 20 | # R1-33 | C/ 163 | SC 163.9.2.6 | P 20 | 6 L 2 | 7 # R1-32 |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco System | s, Inc. | | Ran, Adee | | Cisco | Systems, Inc. | |
| Comment Ty | ype E | Comment Status X | | | Comment 7 | Type TR | Comment Status | X | |
| subsequ other de It would SuggestedR Move su | uently used in 16 ofinitions are pla be more friendl <i>Remedy</i> ubclause 163.9.3 63–5, and Table | I interference specification w 22 and 120F. Its placement in ced in 162 and are referred t y for readers if all definitions 2.6 to clause 162, and chang 120F–1 to point to the new Response Status 0 | n clause 163 is o by the other o were found in o e the reference | unusual, since most lauses. ne clause. | (Cross- (The at Followi specific reason ISI_RE | -clause - 162, 16 ttached file is a r ing ad hoc prese c definitions or g able test times, S as currently d | nistake, I can't remov ntation ran_3ck_01_0 uidance for Tx param both for design (simul efined is strongly dep | e it, should be ig)32322, it is sugg eters that depen lations) and qual endent on equali | nored) gested to provide more d on equalization, to enable ification (with instruments). ization setting. Meeting the devices due to ISI resulting |
| 7 163 Vu, Mau-Lir Comment Ty | | P 206 MediaTek Inc. Comment Status X | L 22 | # R1-19 | from th empha Excess should | e dispersive los sizing reflections sive equalization | s between TPO and T s in the path, which is will reduce the pulse | P2. Tx equalizati the intent of this peak and may d | on can mitigate that, while |
| method | as well as the s | R are quite different from tha pec limit of ISI_RES of CR s c_adhoc_01_030922 & wu_3 | hall be modified | I. The detailed analysis | Suggestedl Add the | , | raph after equation 1 | 63-1 and its varia | able list: |
| "ISI_RE | following parag S is calculated t | raph after the 1st sentence c rom measurements with a si of the transmitter package a | ngle transmit e | | compe chosen | nsate for the los to minimize ISI | s of the transmitter pa | | smit equalizer setting to ïxture. The equalizer setting is |
| | en to minimize IS | | | si. The equalizer setting | Proposed F | Response | Response Status | 0 | |
| Proposed Re | esponse | Response Status O | | | | | | | |

C/ 163 SC 163.9.2.6

| / 163 SC 1 | 63.9.2.6 | P 206 | L 27 | # R1-22 | C/ 163 | SC 163.9.2.7 | 7 P 206 | L 39 | # R1-34 |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---|--|---|
| Rysin, Alexander | | NVIDIA | | | Ran, Adee | | Cisco Sys | tems, Inc. | |
| Comment Type | TR Co | omment Status X | | | Comment 7 | Гуре Е | Comment Status X | | |
| | CTLE to mitiga | ulse dispersion when m ate the effect. Measurin planned. | | | The pla is unus clauses | ual, since most | Peak-to-peak AC common of the definitions are place | -mode voltage spe ed in 162 and are r | cification in clause 16 referred to by the other |
| uggestedRemedy | | linear fit pulse respons | e n(k) and error | (k) are determined | It would | d be more friend | dly for readers if all definition | ons were found in a | one clause. |
| using the linear | r fit procedure e filter describ | in 162.9.4.1.1, after the red in 93A.1.4.3 using t ISI_RES, with the exce | ese have been re he parameters ir | calculated with the | clause | | s SCMR which is currently IR is used also in 162 (sub | | |
| Alternatively, a | dd the excepti | ion only to CL162. | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| Proposed Respons | • | sponse Status O | | | | | .2.7 to clause 162, and ch le 120F–1 to point to the n | | es in Table 162–10, |
| | | | / 07 | # <u>Dt ot</u> | If SCM | R is used in 16 | 2 (subject of another comm | nent), also move 1 | 63.9.2.8 to clause 162 |
| | 63.9.2.6 | P 206 | L 27 | # R1-21 | Proposed F | Response | Response Status 0 | | |
| ysin, Alexander | | NVIDIA | | | | | | | |
| ISI_RES is cald | culated with N | pmment Status X p=11. COM reference i entation is planned. | receiver uses a 1 | 2-tap DFE, which | C/ 163 Dawe, Pier | SC 163.9.2. 7 | 7 <i>P</i> 207 NVIDIA | L 4 | # <u>R1-53</u> |
| uggestedRemedy | / | | | | Comment T | | Comment Status X | | |
| | 0 | e exception that Np = 1 e in Clause 162. | 1." to: "with the e | xception that | The 4th | 51 | 03A-20 would work, but it s | eems a bit fussy, a | and probably not what |
| roposed Respons | se Res | sponse Status O | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | | | | | Use a f | irst order filter o | or whatever commercial te | st equipment uses. | |
| | | | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status O | | |
| | | | | | | | • | | |

| C/ 163 | SC 163.9.2. | 3 | P 207 | L 15 | # R1-4 | C/ 163 | SC 16 | 3.9.2.8 | | P 207 | L 18 | # R1-1 |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Ran, Adee | Ran, Adee | | | | Cisco System | ns, Inc. | | | | | | |
| Comment T | Type TR | Comment S | tatus X | | | Comment 7 | Гуре Т | R | Comment S | tatus X | | |
| "The pi | | | | |), and the text says ode linear fit pulse | specific | definitio | ns or gui | idance for Tx | parameters th | | to provide more qualization, to enable n (with instruments). |
| is actua | ally used to cha | racterize the eq | ualization coe | | ifferent p(k) vectors (it this definition, SCMR I to verify. | SCMR | ratio is st | rongly d | ependent on e | equalization s | etting, while the | The numerator of the denominator is mostly different results. |
| | (and the limit a no equalization | | uld be defined | d strictly with resp | ect to the pulse peak | The pro | oposal is | to define | SCMR with r | espect to the | unequalized pul | se peak. |
| | | - | SCMR specific | cation and instea | d specify VCMPP-LF | | | | ition of v_pea hat subclause | | .2 (subject of an | other comment), |
| and VCMPP-HF, as on clause 162 and annex 120G. These are defined independently of equalization setting. | | | | SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | |
| uggested | 0 | | | | | | | | e procedure ir ponse p(k)." fr | | | nine the differential- |
| Change | e the equation t | o use v_peak in ubject of anothe | | ax, and refer to 1 | 62.9.4.1.2 for the | Change | e the defii | nition of | SCMR to be | | aragraph. | |
| | | The procedure ir esponse p(k)" (it | | | ine the differential- | In the " | Where" li | st. | | ., | | |
| | Response | Response St | | | | v_peak determ - or - | is the is | the maxing the pro | ocedure in 162 | | al-mode linear fi equalization off. | t pulse response p(k), |
| | | | | | | Proposed F | Response | | Response St | tatus O | | |
| | | | | | | C/ 163A | SC 16 | 3A.3.1.1 | | P 319 | L 11 | # R1-25 |
| | | | | | | Healey, Ad | | | | Broadcom In | | |
| | | | | | | Comment 7 | | - | Comment S | | | |
| | | | | | | | on (52-2) I to map f | | | ms of an inter | rmediate variable | e y. Equation (52-3) is |

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

C/ 163A SC 163A.3.1.1

Change "Equation (52-2)" to "Equation (52-2) and Equation (52-3)".

Response Status 0

| C/ 163A | SC 163A.3.1 | .2 P 3 | 19 L 37 | # R1-26 | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Comment Type E Comment Status X | | | | | | | | |
| The subscript "ii" of $s_{ii}^{(y)}$ would be better writen as "ij" since "ii" implies the suscripts are equal (e.g., s_{11}) where in the case they are sometimes not equal. | | | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | |
| Change subscript from "ii" to "ij". | | | | | | | | |

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 163A SC 163A.3.1.2 Page 15 of 15 2022-03-30 8:49:31 AM