C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.8	P 207	L 18	# R1-1	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.	1.2 P 16	69 L	<b>37</b> # <u>R1-3</u>
an, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee		Cisco	Systems, Inc.	
Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status	Х	
specific	definitions or gu	ntation ran_3ck_01_032322, iidance for Tx parameters th oth for design (simulations)	at depend on ed	ualization, to enable	p(k) an	d the steady-st	ate voltage vf."		o between the maximum value
SCMR r	atio is strongly of	ed without reference to equa lependent on equalization so rements with different equal	etting, while the	denominator is mostly	(no equ Under	ualization)" but	it may be interpreted a on, Rpeak will be depe	is if this holds	transmit equalizer set to preset only for vf and not for p(k). alization setting (and will be
The prop	posal is to defin	e SCMR with respect to the	unequalized pul	se peak.					such that R_peak uses the nor k) shall be greater than 0.71 × v
	ve a formal defination and just refer to	nition of v_peak in 162.9.4.1 hat subclause.	.2 (subject of an	other comment),			alizer coefficients have		
uggestedR	emedy				Also, it	would be usef	ul to have an explicit de	efinition of vpe	ak for other places that use it,
Delete th	he sentence "Th	e procedure in 162.9.4.1.1 i		nine the differential-			and measured) but no		ations. There are definitions in
mode lin	near fit pulse res	ponse p(k)." from the first pa	aragraph.		Suggested	Remedy	,		
SCMR=2	the definition of 20*log10(v_pea Vhere" list:	SCMR to be k/V_{CMPP-HF})			Change "The lir	near fit pulse pe		ly-state voltage	g: e, v_f, are defined using the with transmit equalizer set to
v_peak i determir	is the is the max	kimum value of the differenti ocedure in 162.9.4.1.1 with		t pulse response p(k),	preset	1 (no equalizat		200. The linea	ar fit procedure for obtaining p
- or - v_peak i Proposed Re	is defined in 162 esponse	2.9.4.1.2. Response Status <b>O</b>					maximum value of p(k) /I×Nv) divided by M.	. v_f is defined	d as the sum of the linear fit
					The lin	ear fit pulse pe	ak ratio R_peak is defi	ned as the rati	io between v_peak and v_f.
7 <b>162</b> Ran, Adee	SC 162.8.11	P <b>164</b> Cisco System	<i>L</i> <b>35</b> s, Inc.	# R1-2		eady-state volta ed in Table 162		ılse peak ratio	shall meet the requirements
Comment Ty "The of u		Comment Status X aining (see 136.8.11.7.1) is	TRUE"						e pulse peak (or will refer to it , 163.9.2.8, and 163.9.2.6.
The wor	d "value" is mis	sing.			Proposed I	0	Response Status		
uggestedR	emedy							-	
Change	to "The value o	f use_quiet_in_training (see	136.8.11.7.1) is	TRUE".					
Proposed Re	esnonse	Response Status <b>O</b>							

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.8	P 207	L 15	# R1-4	C/ 120G	SC 120G.1		P 256	L 12	# R1-6
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	-	" 1(1 +	Brown, Ma		н		nologies Canada	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Sta	atus X		
"The p respo That p is actu deper SCMF	procedure in 162.9.4 nse p(k)." procedure is applica ually used to charac nds on equalization s	ses p_max defined as the 4.1.1 is used to determine able for any equalizer settin cterize the equalization coe setting. This is not helpful, ied to it) should be defined etting.	the differential n g and will yield o fficients), so witl and not practica	node linear fit pulse different p(k) vectors (it h this definition, SCMR al to verify.	from: " to: "Th The firs to be c The ot Unfortu Annex. wordin	The C2M interfac e C2M interfac st part of the pr onsistent throu her part of the p unately, the new Specifically, the g was chosen of	ace comprises inc e is composed of i oposal was to rep ghout the standar proposal was to ch v text uses termine	dependent d independent lace the use d. There is r nange the te ology that is	ata paths in each t transmit and rec of "comprises" v nothing wrong wit ext used to descril not consistent w	ceive data paths." with "is composed of"
and V		remove the SCMR specific lause 162 and annex 120G				e: "The C2M in ne C2M interfac	terface is compos e is composed of <i>Response Sta</i>	independer		and receive data paths. ach direction."
Suggeste	dRemedy									
		se v_peak instead of p_maect of another comment).	ax, and refer to $\dot{c}$	162.9.4.1.2 for the						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Delete the sentence "The procedure in 162.9.4.1.1 is used to determine the differential-

PO

Keep this draft in line with the new revision (802.3dc) and any amendments that precede

Align the next draft with the latest versions of the new revision (802.3df) and any preceding

LO

Huawei Technologies Canada

# R1-5

mode linear fit pulse response p(k)" (it will become redundant).

Response Status 0

Comment Status X

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

Brown, Matthew

802.3ck. SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

amendments. Proposed Response

SC 0

C/ 0

/ 162	SC 162	9.2	P 165	L <b>44</b>	# R1-7	C/ 163	SC 1	163.1	P 197	L <b>48</b>	# R1-9
rown, Ma	atthew		Huawei Techr	nologies Canada		Brown, Ma	itthew		Huawei Tech	nnologies Canada	
omment	Туре Е		Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status X		
from:	•		aft 3.0 comment i-89 resul	ted in the subclaus	se being changed				-1, Table 163-2, and Table he SA Standards Style Man		e word must, which is
The M to one		and rec	eive paths are point-to-poi prises two complementary			Chang	le 163-1 je: "a co	, Table 10 Informing	63-2, and Table 163-3 implementation must beha mentation behaves functio		
The M compo	osed of one	and rec or more	eive paths are point-to-poi MDI lanes. Each MDI lane ed differential pair."			Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status <b>O</b>		
The fir	st part of th	e propo	sal was to replace the use	of "comprises" wit	h "is composed of"	C/ 162	SC 1	162.8.11	P 165	L <b>24</b>	# <u>R1-10</u>
			ut the standard. There is n			Lusted, Ke	ent		Intel Corpora	ation	
			osal was to change the tex t uses terminology that is			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status X		
Clause			is no concept of an "MDI						ficient select field has the end		0 0= Reserved and "0
uggested	dRemedy					Suggestee	Remed	У			
	ge the subcl					Remo	ve the u	nderlining	for the entry values of "1 C	0" Reserved and	"0 1 x = Reserved".
The M corres		and rec ne MDI la	eive signal paths are point ane and comprises two co			Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status 0		
roposed	Response		Response Status O								
/ 162	SC 162	1	P 153	L <b>46</b>	# R1-8						
rown, Ma	atthew		Huawei Techr	nologies Canada							
	ote a in Tab		Comment Status X , Table 162-2, and Table 1 SA Standards Style Manu		word must, which is						
•	dRemedy		er etandardo etyle Maria								
In Tab Chang	le 162-1, Ta ge: "a confo	rming in	-2, and Table 162-3 plementation must behave entation behaves function								
To: "a	comonning	mpion	entation behaves function	ally							

C/ 162 SC 162.8.11	P 164	L <b>21</b>	# R1-11	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.	4 <i>F</i>	<sup>&gt;</sup> 171	L <b>12</b>	# R1-12
_usted, Kent	Intel Corporati	on		Lusted, Ke	ent	Inte	el Corporatio	on	
Comment Type <b>T</b> C	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment State	us X		
There is a contradiction in t the PMD control function. <sup>1</sup> field structure is specified in select bits in the control fiel Table 162-9 includes the ac as other changes from Tab Adding to the confusion is t not the revised status field SuggestedRemedy Two solutions are proposed	The first list item (a) in the n Table 162–9", while the d are per Table 136-9 wit dditional combination (cm le 136-9. hat this sub-clause only h structure.	e exceptions list item (e) states h an additional 3) in the coeffic has the revised o	says that "The control that the coefficient combination. Note that ient select bits as well control field structure,	chara paran J3u_C <i>Suggester</i> Chang paran Simila	cterized by three neters are provid 03. The jitter par <i>dRemedy</i> ge the first sente neters, J3u, J3u_	ed in the text and ir ameter J3u_03 sho	is, even-odo n Table 162- buld be inclu agraph to "C n-odd jitter.' first sentenc	I jitter, J3u. Ho -10: J_rms, evo ded in the first putput jitter is ch	wever, a total of four en-odd jitter, J3u and paragraph. naracterized by four
Option A: * remove list item (a) and re	enumber the list.			C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.	4 F	<sup>&gt;</sup> 171	L 17	# R1-13
* remove Table 162-9				Lusted. Ke		-	el Corporatio		
Option B:				Comment		Comment Stat			
New Table 162-9a "Status			e 136-10 with the	specif	fied in 120D 3 1	0.1 However 120	2191 ic	a mothod for L	easurement method
addition of entry "1 0 1 = c( * change item (a) to "The c field structure is specified in * remove list item (e) and re	n Table 162-9a"		e 162–9 and the status	be co <i>Suggestee</i> Add ti calcul	nfusing to the re <i>dRemedy</i> he following new lated the same w	ader without providi sentence to the se vay as J4u in 120D.	ing additiona cond paragi 3.1.8.1 exce	al context. aph, after the f apt that J3u is c	irst sentence, "J3u is lefined as the time
* change item (a) to "The c field structure is specified in	control field structure is sp n Table 162-9a" enumber the list.		9 162–9 and the status	be co Suggester Add th calcul interva	nfusing to the re <i>dRemedy</i> he following new lated the same w	ader without providi sentence to the se vay as J4u in 120D.	cond parage 3.1.8.1 exce from the 0.	al context. aph, after the f apt that J3u is c	irst sentence, "J3u is lefined as the time
* change item (a) to "The of field structure is specified in * remove list item (e) and re Implement with editorial lice	control field structure is sp n Table 162-9a" enumber the list.		9 162–9 and the status	be co Suggester Add th calcul interva	nfusing to the re <i>dRemedy</i> he following new lated the same w al that includes a	ader without providi sentence to the se vay as J4u in 120D. all but 10–3 of f_j(t),	cond parage 3.1.8.1 exce from the 0.	al context. raph, after the f ept that J3u is c	irst sentence, "J3u is lefined as the time
* change item (a) to "The of field structure is specified in * remove list item (e) and re Implement with editorial lice	control field structure is sp n Table 162-9a" enumber the list. ense		9 162–9 and the status	be co Suggester Add th calcul interva	nfusing to the re <i>dRemedy</i> he following new lated the same w al that includes a	ader without providi sentence to the se /ay as J4u in 120D. all but 10–3 of f_j(t), <i>Response Statu</i>	cond parage 3.1.8.1 exce from the 0.	al context. raph, after the f ept that J3u is c	
* change item (a) to "The of field structure is specified in * remove list item (e) and re Implement with editorial lice	control field structure is sp n Table 162-9a" enumber the list. ense		e 162–9 and the status	be co Suggester Add ti calcul interv Proposed	nfusing to the re dRemedy he following new lated the same w al that includes a Response SC FM	ader without providi sentence to the se /ay as J4u in 120D. all but 10–3 of f_i(t), <i>Response Statu</i>	ing additiona cond paragu 3.1.8.1 exce from the 0. //s <b>O</b>	al context. raph, after the f ept that J3u is c 05th to the 99.9	irst sentence, "J3u is lefined as the time 95th percentile of f_j(t).'
* change item (a) to "The c field structure is specified in * remove list item (e) and re Implement with editorial lice	control field structure is sp n Table 162-9a" enumber the list. ense		e 162–9 and the status	be co Suggester Add ti calcul interv Proposed	nfusing to the re dRemedy he following new lated the same w al that includes a Response SC FM bert	ader without providi sentence to the se /ay as J4u in 120D. all but 10–3 of f_i(t), <i>Response Statu</i>	ing additiona cond parage 3.1.8.1 exce from the 0. <i>is</i> <b>0</b> <b>1</b> IG Consultir	al context. raph, after the f ept that J3u is c 05th to the 99.9	irst sentence, "J3u is lefined as the time 95th percentile of f_j(t).
* change item (a) to "The of field structure is specified in * remove list item (e) and re Implement with editorial lice	control field structure is sp n Table 162-9a" enumber the list. ense		9 162–9 and the status	be co Suggester Add ti calcul interv Proposed C/ FM Grow, Rob Comment This li	nfusing to the re dRemedy he following new lated the same w al that includes a Response SC FM bert Type E	ader without providi sentence to the se vay as J4u in 120D. all but 10–3 of f_j(t), <i>Response Statu</i> <i>F</i> RM <i>Comment Statu</i>	ing additiona cond paragi 3.1.8.1 exce from the 0. //s O P1 IG Consultir //s X	al context. raph, after the f opt that J3u is c 05th to the 99.9 <i>L</i> 28	irst sentence, "J3u is lefined as the time 95th percentile of f_j(t).
* change item (a) to "The c field structure is specified in * remove list item (e) and re Implement with editorial lice	control field structure is sp n Table 162-9a" enumber the list. ense		9 162–9 and the status	be co Suggester Add ti calcul interv Proposed C/ FM Grow, Rob Comment This li	nfusing to the re <i>dRemedy</i> he following new lated the same w al that includes a <i>Response</i> SC FM bert <i>Type</i> E ist is not correct. adment 5.	ader without providi sentence to the se vay as J4u in 120D. all but 10–3 of f_j(t), <i>Response Statu</i> <i>F</i> RM <i>Comment Statu</i>	ing additiona cond paragi 3.1.8.1 exce from the 0. //s O P1 IG Consultir //s X	al context. raph, after the f opt that J3u is c 05th to the 99.9 <i>L</i> 28	irst sentence, "J3u is lefined as the time 95th percentile of f_j(t) # <u>R1-14</u>
* change item (a) to "The of field structure is specified in * remove list item (e) and re Implement with editorial lice	control field structure is sp n Table 162-9a" enumber the list. ense		9 162–9 and the status	be co Suggester Add ti calcul interv Proposed C/ FM Grow, Rot Comment This li Amen Suggester If new assur numb	nfusing to the re dRemedy he following new lated the same w al that includes a response SC FM bert Type E ist is not correct. idment 5. dRemedy v amendment nu ned to be hitting ers remain unch	ader without providi sentence to the se vay as J4u in 120D. all but 10–3 of f_j(t), <i>Response Statu</i> <i>F</i> RM <i>Comment Statu</i>	ing additional cond parage 3.1.8.1 exce from the 0. //s O 21 IG Consultir //s X evious amen d for the gag hber, obviou	al context. raph, after the f opt that J3u is c 05th to the 99.9 <i>L</i> 28 ng ndments yet P8 ggle of amendm isly use that oro it number assig	irst sentence, "J3u is lefined as the time 95th percentile of f_j(t). # <u>R1-14</u> 802.3cx is identified as nents currently der. If amendment

C/FM SC FM	P 11	L 17	# R1-15	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	P 167	L 16	# R1-18
Grow, Robert	RMG Consult	ting		Wu, Mau-l	_in	MediaTek Inc.		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy	consistent with the current front	matter as found	in P802.3/D3.2.	metho	d as well as the	CR are quite different from that spec limit of ISI_RES of CR sh ck_adhoc_01_030922 & wu_30	nall be modified	d. The detailed analysis
Update for consisten	cy with P802.3/D3.2.			Suggested	Remedv			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			00	e "Residual inte	ersymbol interference, ISI_RES	(max)" from -3	30 dB to -29 dB in Table
C/FM SC FM	P 12	L <b>39</b>	# R1-16	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Grow, Robert	RMG Consult	ting						
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.	6 P 206	L <b>22</b>	# R1-19
The description of Se	ection Nine has changed during	g balloting of P80	2.3.	Wu, Mau-I	_in	MediaTek Inc.		
SuggestedRemedy				Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X		
Update to be consiste	ent with P802.3/D3.2.					CR are quite different from that		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0					espec limit of ISI_RES of CR sh Bck_adhoc_01_030922 & wu_30		
				Suggested	Remedy			
C/ <b>120G</b> SC <b>120G.3</b> Calvin, John Comment Type <b>T</b>	.4.3.2 P 271 Keysight Tec Comment Status X	L <b>33</b> hnologies	# <u>R1-17</u>	"ISI_R compe	ES is calculate	agraph after the 1st sentence of d from measurements with a sir ss of the transmitter package a ISI_RES."	ngle transmit e	qualizer setting to el. The equalizer setting
21	roups consensus during polling	g at the 3/23/2022	2 Ad-Hoc Session and	Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
https://www.ieee802.	org/3/ck/public/adhoc/mar23_2							
	get by 20% from 10mV to 8mV ke. There is an abundance of			C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	P 167	L 16	# <u>R1-20</u>
	olders that underscores how lit			Rysin, Ale	xander	NVIDIA		
	valuated at 10mV. There are r			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X		
8mV/12dB VEC is at	nV/12dBVEC. There are no p tainable.	ublicly published	existence proofs that	recom	mended TP0-T	I_RES limit is too tight – comm P2 channel loss fail the specific	ation. Using T	
SuggestedRemedy	00.40 Madula strange li	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the former that a summer to			p enough. Presentation is planr	ned.	
	0G–10—Module stressed inpu V where it's been settled to da		value from the current	Suggested	•			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>					ge the minimum ISI_RES value lology. See separate comments		

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 163 SC 163.9.	2.6 P 206	L 27	# R1-21	C/ 162	SC	162.9.4	F	167	L 16	# R1-23
Rysin, Alexander	NVIDIA			Rysin, Alex	xander		NV	DIA		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Statu	is X		
	ed with Np=11. COM reference 17. Presentation is planned.	receiver uses a 1	2-tap DFE, which	receive	er uses	s CTLE to				COM reference CTLE was adopted in
SuggestedRemedy							ion is planned			
	e "with the exception that Np = 1 ne change in Clause 162.	1." to: "with the ex	ception that	Suggested Add a			g the following:			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			determ	nined u	ising the li		n 162.9.4	1.1, after these h	error e(k) are ave been recalculated neters in Table 163-11
C/ 163 SC 163.9.	2.6 P 206	L <b>27</b>	# R1-22				for maximum ISI_F		0 1	
Rysin, Alexander	NVIDIA			Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Statu	s O		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X									
receiver uses CTLE	by the pulse dispersion when r to mitigate the effect. Measuring			C/ 162		162.8.11		164	L 35	# R1-24
120D.3.1.7. Preser	nation is planned.			Lusted, Ke				l Corpora	tion	
SuggestedRemedy	- (- The line of Charles and a	(1)		Comment		т	Comment Statu			
using the linear fit p continuous time filte	e to: The linear fit pulse response rocedure in 162.9.4.1.1, after the er described in 93A.1.4.3 using aximum ISI_RES, with the exc	hese have been re the parameters in	calculated with the	https:// tedByl	/www.ie Numbe	eee802.or er.pdf). Th	associated with cor g/3/ck/comments/c e text as written fo see 136.8.11.7.1)	lraft3p0/8 item h of	023ck_D3p0_final	_closedcomments_sor
Alternatively, add th	e exception only to CL162.			Suggested	Reme	dy				
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>						of use_quiet_in_tra e to comment i-48 o			IRUE." to align with
				Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Statu	s O		
				C/ 163A	SC	163A.3.1	.1 F	319	L 11	# R1-25
				Healey, Ac	dam		Bro	adcom In	<b>C</b> .	
					ion (52	<b>T</b> -2) is an e ap f_r to "y			rmediate variable	y. Equation (52-3) is
				Suggested		• - •	y .			
						•	2)" to "Equation (5	2-2) and E	quation (52-3)".	
				Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Statu	s <b>O</b>		
TYPE: TR/technical req	uired ER/editorial required GR							Comm	ent ID R1-25	Page 6 of 15

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 163A SC 163A.3.1	.2 P 319	L 37	# R1-26	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	P 167	L 16	# 1 29
		•••	# K1-20					# <u>R1-28</u>
Healey, Adam	Broadcom Inc			Healey, Ada	m	Broadcom Inc	•	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment T	/pe TR	Comment Status X		
	<pre>{ii}^{(y)} would be better writer ) where in the case they are s</pre>			error is	orimarily attrib	linear fit error computed as pa uted to distortion. The simulati		
SuggestedRemedy						(120F ISI_RES limits	al. 04 0704 ad	
Change subscript from	n "ii" to "ij".					org/3/ck/public/21_07/dudek_3 SNDR. Transmitters whose SI		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			have di limit for margin	ficulty meeting Clause 162 wa or the additior	the ISI_RES limit even with or as set 1 dB higher but without al ISI introduced by a host cha	therwise accept demonstration t annel. In additio	table residual ISI. The hat this is sufficient n, measurement of the
C/ FM SC FM	P <b>24</b>	L <b>44</b>	# R1-27			at the output of a dispersive c e reference receiver. Reflection		
Healey, Adam	Broadcom Inc			ISI_RE	specification	and the inclusion of a reference cus. Finally, ISI_RES combine	ce equalizer to c	compensate the ISI tail
Comment Type E In the table of contents	Comment Status X s, annex headings break acros	ss multiple lines		ERL ac penalty	counts for how resulting from	the reflections align at the sar reflections could be more acc	mpling phase. T	he performance d if such alignment was
SuggestedRemedy				conside 120D.3		ncerns can be addressed by th	ne SNR_ISI met	ric defined in
Modify the structure of template.	annex headings per the most	t recent IEEE 80	02.3 FrameMaker draft	SuggestedF	emedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			parame UI to 0.4 across	ers in Table 1 5 UI when calc he time offset	SNR_ISI as defined in 120D. 63-11 and a time offset added ulating ISI_cursors. Define SN sweep. For Clause 162, set N nnex 120F, set N_b to 6 and S	to t_p whose va IR_ISI to be the _b to 12 and SN	alue is swept from -0.5 minimum value found NR_ISI (min.) to 26 dB.
				Proposed R	esponse	Response Status 0		

C/ 162 SC 162.9.3	P 166 L 30	# R1-29	C/ 162 SC 162.9.4.	1.1	P 167	L 6	# R1-30
Ran, Adee	Cisco Systems, Inc.		Ran, Adee	Ci	isco Systems	, Inc.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		Comment Type TR	Comment Sta	tus X		
(Cross-clause - 162, 16	3, 120F, 120G)		(Cross-clause - 162. 1	63. 120F)			

VCMPP-LF max value of 60 has no justification. In the presentations mellitz\_3ck\_01\_0122 and mellitz\_3ck\_02\_0122 the suggested limits were 30 mVpp and 40 mVpp for low frequency respectively. mellitz\_3ck\_adhoc\_01\_011222 slide 3 shows power supply noise distributions that are mostly below 40 mVpp and the best cases are about 25 mVpp. 60 mVpp was chosen as a result of a straw poll with no data or recorded reason.

We previously had a limit of 25 mV RMS without filtering (including the more significant high-frequency noise). Assuming HF and LF components are independent, the RMS should be the RSS of the RMSs of these components. Assuming uniform distribution of LF noise, 60 mVpp means 17 mV RMS for this component, leaving just 18 mV RMS for the HF component – and we struggled to increase the CM RMS to 25-30 mV mainly because of the HF component! The LF component was supposed to be much lower than that.

Assuming LF CM noise results from power supply noises (the only source that was discussed), a 60 mVpp for all but 1e-4 (which excludes rare events like powering other circuits on or off) would be a very sloppy design which would likely result in other impairments such as excessive jitter.

The LF CM component is not filtered out by the channel so we can expect the same levels at the receiver. The effect of LF CM noise on receivers depends on design, but in general, low-frequency effects may cause periods of higher-than-average BER and result in unexpected FEC failures which will be difficult to debug. We should avoid that by limiting the transmitter's CM noise (much easier to verify).

Same reasoning applies to 163.9.2, 120F.3.1, and 120G.3.1. For AUIs the VCMPP is defined at 1e-5 and the allowed range should be somewhat higher. Scaling by the Q value, the limit should be 13% higher, but I assume LF CM is closer to uniform than to Gaussian so the proposal for AUIs is just 7% higher.

## SuggestedRemedy

In 162.9.3 and 163.9.2, change the VCMPP maximum from 60 mV to 30 mV. In 120F.3.1 and 120G.3.1, change the VCMPP maximum from 60 mV to 32 mV.

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

Following ad hoc presentation ran\_3ck\_01\_032322, it is suggested to provide more specific definitions or guidance for Tx parameters that depend on equalization, to enable reasonable test times, both for design (simulations) and qualification (with instruments).

For RLM, the reference is 120D.3.1.2, which does not specify an equalization setting, although RLM can vary between equalization settings. We want high RLM at the setting that is actually used, but for test purposes, the 5 presets should provide sufficient coverage.

## SuggestedRemedy

Add a subclause under 162.9.4 with heading "Transmitter linearity" and the following content:

"Transmitter linearity is defined using the method in 120D.3.1.2.

The transmitter linearity shall meet the requirement specified in Table 162–10 when the transmitter equalization is set to any of the initial conditions defined in Table 162-11."

Change the references of RLM in Table 163–5 and Table 120F–1 to point to the new subclause.

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

162	SC 162.9.4.3	P 171	L <b>8</b>	# R1-31	C/ 163	SC 163	.9.2.6	P 206	L 27	# R1-32
in, Adee		Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco System	s, Inc.	
mment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status X		
(Cross-c	clause - 162, 16	63, 120F)			*** Cor	mment sub	mitted w	vith the file image.png attac	ched ***	
specific	definitions or g	entation ran_3ck_01_032322 uidance for Tx parameters t both for design (simulations	hat depend on ed	qualization, to enable	· ·	-clause - 1 ttached file		120F) stake, I can't remove it, sho	ould be ignored)	
SNDR c 120D.3.	an depend on 1.6) and require	equalization setting, but the ements are generic and can t the setting that is actually u	current definition be applied to an	(reference to y equalization setting.	specifi	c definition	s or guid	ation ran_3ck_01_032322, dance for Tx parameters th th for design (simulations)	at depend on ec	ualization, to enable
should p settings The prop	provide sufficier in which the cu posed change	nt coverage. This would also urrent requirement may be in is on 162.9.4.3, and since 16	eliminate unreal npossible to mee	istic equalization et.	existing from th	g limit with ne dispersiv	equaliza ve loss b	ned is strongly dependent ation off may be impossible between TP0 and TP2. Tx in the path, which is the inte	e for CR devices equalization can	due to ISI resulting mitigate that, while
ggestedR	•	, graph at the end of 162.9.4.3	3.:		should		y it at an	ill reduce the pulse peak any equalization setting, but		
		shall meet the requirement s n is set to any of the initial co			S <i>uggested</i> Add th	-	paragra	ph after equation 163-1 ar	id its variable list	t:
posed Re	esponse	Response Status <b>O</b>			compe		he loss o	m measurements with a si of the transmitter package RES.		
					Proposed I	Response		Response Status 0		
					C/ 163	SC 163	.9.2.6	P 206	L <b>20</b>	# R1-33
					Ran, Adee			Cisco System	s, Inc.	
					Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status X		
					subsec	quently use	ed in 162	interference specification v and 120F. Its placement i ad in 162 and are referred t	n clause 163 is	unusual, since most
							friendly	for readers if all definitions	were found in o	ne clause.
					Suggested	-	163 9 2 1	6 to clause 162, and chang	the reference	s in Table 162–10
								20F–1 to point to the new		5 m Table 102-10,

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2	. <b>7</b> F	P <b>206</b>	L <b>39</b>	# R1-34	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4		P 166	L 31	# R1-35
an, Adee		Cis	sco Systems,	Inc.		Ran, Adee		Ci	sco System	s, Inc.	
omment	Туре Е	Comment State	us X			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Star	tus X		
	sual, since mos				ification in clause 163 ferred to by the other	Clause		nd 120G) cification for V_CM 3 and annex 120F			
Since clause	163.9.2.8 defin	MR is used also in 1	currently not u	ised by clause	ne clause. 162, it should stay in ment) then 163.9.2.8	and the It would	differential sig be easier for	nal, the reasoning readers to have co	for using a insistent spe	ratio here is as s ecification metho	ommon mode noise strong as it is in TP0v. ds. 3–5, with a relaxation o
iggested	IRemedy							mode conversion ir			
		9.2.7 to clause 162,			in Table 162–10,	Applies	similarly for c	ause 120G (at botl	h TP1a and	TP4).	
I able	163–5, and Tar	ble 120F–1 to point	to the new su	bclause.		Suggested	Remedy				
	IR is used in 16 <i>Response</i>	52 (subject of anothe Response Statu	, ·	also move 163	3.9.2.8 to clause 162.			CMPP_HF (max) , with a value of 14		to SCMR (min)	, pointing to the
						referen	e of VCMPP-	ar change, but use LF to 120F.3.1.1 (v nt about VCMPP in	which have t		
						Proposed R		Response Stat			
						C/ 162	SC 162.9.2		P 165	L <b>45</b>	# R1-36
						Ran, Adee		Ci	sco System	s, Inc.	
								Ci Comment Stat	•	s, Inc.	
						Ran, Adee <i>Comment T</i> Followir paths a	ype <b>TR</b> ng the change re point-to-poi	Comment Stat s in thsi subclause,	tus X , the sentendes not make	ce "The MDI trar sense, since th	nsmit and receive e subcluase describes
						Ran, Adee <i>Comment T</i> Followin paths a the con	ype <b>TR</b> ng the change re point-to-poi tent of the MD	<i>Comment Stat</i> s in thsi subclause, nt connections" doo	tus X , the sentendes not make nger mentio	ce "The MDI trar sense, since th ned).	
						Ran, Adee <i>Comment T</i> Followin paths a the con	ype <b>TR</b> ng the change re point-to-poi tent of the MD ively, the cont	Comment Star s in thsi subclause, nt connections" doo I ("paths" are no lo	tus X , the sentendes not make nger mentio	ce "The MDI trar sense, since th ned).	
						Ran, Adee Comment T Followin paths a the con Alternat	ype <b>TR</b> ng the change re point-to-poi tent of the MD ively, the cont	Comment Star s in thsi subclause, nt connections" doo I ("paths" are no lo ent can be change	tus X , the sentendes not make nger mentio	ce "The MDI trar sense, since th ned).	

					•			
C/ 120 SC 120.5.1	I.2.a <i>P</i> 110	L <b>30</b>	# R1-37	C/ 161 SC	C 161.6.3	P 147	L <b>8</b>	# R1-40
an, Adee	Cisco System	s, Inc.		Dawe, Piers J G		NVIDIA		
omment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X		
•	veen the text and the sequence	e would be nice.		RS-FEC-Int sublayer.	can't exist e	except as part of a RS-FEC/F	RS-FEC-Int pair,	, so it isn't a separate
uggestedRemedy	which a fear the second second			SuggestedReme	ədv			
Add an empty paragra	aph before the sequence.			00		ome Annex 91B.		
Consider moving the	sequence and the text referring	g to it after equat	tion 120-1.	Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
				C/ 162A SC	C 162A.4	P 285	<i>L</i> 1	# R1-41
120G SC 120G.4.		L 18	# R1-38	Dawe, Piers J G		NVIDIA		
an, Adee	Cisco System	s, Inc.		Comment Type	т	Comment Status X		
omment Type E	Comment Status X					annel from TP0 to TP2 or from		0
120G.4 has only a sin level is unnecessary.	gle subclause 120G.4.1 and n	o other content.	The extra hierarchy	and the cab	le test fixtur	consistency with the equation e traces, although there won'	t be a perfect m	atch because of the
uggestedRemedy						array (BGA) footprint and host duct connector and test fixture		otprints, as well as the
Delete the 120G.4 pa	ragraph and promote 120G.4.1	1 to second-level	l.				e connector.	
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedReme	əay			
	,			Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status <b>O</b>		
C 120G SC 120G.4.	1 P 273	L <b>20</b>	# R1-39					
an, Adee	Cisco System	s, Inc.		C/ 162 SC	C 162.9.4	P 166	L 30	# R1-42
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			Dawe, Piers J G		NVIDIA		
	overloaded in this annex. In the			Comment Type	т	Comment Status X		
	e module component, excludin hay not be obvious for the read			Now the hos	st has two o	pportunities to create AC CM previous draft. This applies t		both, it can create
	gram that shows this exact pat		ame ILL number; it	SuggestedReme	edv			
•	we a cross-reference to that di	agram.				ut reinstate the all-frequencies	s RMS limit. Al	so in Table 120G-1.
uggestedRemedy				Proposed Respo	•	Response Status <b>O</b>		
	insertion loss is recommended he channel between the host a nded to meet"		ponents (see Figure					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	P 166	L <b>40</b>	# R1-43	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.5	P <b>172</b>	L <b>25</b>	# R1-46
Dawe, Piers	s J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Pier	rs J G	NVIDIA		
Comment T	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
		ed test fixtures' reference los ce to the expected Rpeak.	s to be more like	e real measurements			that do not appear in Table ous sentence. Anyway, as		
SuggestedF	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Reduce	e Rpeak (min) by	/ 1% from 0.397 to 0.393.					r to find Tfx if it were in its e		
Proposed R	Response	Response Status O			connec	ctor and the test	ence "The value of Tfx is tw fixture host-facing connecti y for other ERL tables.		
C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.1	.2 P 169	L 37	# R1-44	Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Dawe, Piers		NVIDIA	237	$\pi$ I(1-44					
Comment T		Comment Status X			C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.5	P 172	L 33	# R1-47
	• ·						NVIDIA		
"ratio be	etween" is ambig	guous: the reader doesn't kn	ow which way ro	und the fraction is	Dawe, Pier	516			
"ratio be calculat		guous: the reader doesn't kn	ow which way ro	und the fraction is	,		Comment Status X		
calculat	ted.	guous: the reader doesn't kn	ow which way ro	und the fraction is	Comment	Type E	Comment Status X	istent across 802	.3.
calculat SuggestedF Change	ted. R <i>emedy</i> e "the ratio betwo	een the maximum value of p	(k) and the stead		Comment The or	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> der of parameter		istent across 802	.3.
calculat SuggestedF Change "the ma	ted. R <i>emedy</i> e "the ratio betwo aximum value of	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-s	(k) and the stead		Comment The or Suggested	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> der of parameter <i>Remedy</i>	Comment Status X is in ERL tables is not cons		.3.
calculat SuggestedF Change	ted. R <i>emedy</i> e "the ratio betwo aximum value of	een the maximum value of p	(k) and the stead		Comment The or Suggested If these	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> der of parameter <i>Remedy</i> e tables are not i	Comment Status X is in ERL tables is not cons n the preferred order, re-ord		.3.
calculat SuggestedF Change "the ma	ted. R <i>emedy</i> e "the ratio betwo aximum value of	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-s	(k) and the stead		Comment The or Suggested	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> der of parameter <i>Remedy</i> e tables are not i	Comment Status X is in ERL tables is not cons		.3.
calculat SuggestedF Change "the ma Proposed R	ted. R <i>emedy</i> e "the ratio betwo aximum value of	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-s	(k) and the stead		Comment The or Suggested If these	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> der of parameter <i>Remedy</i> e tables are not i	Comment Status X is in ERL tables is not cons n the preferred order, re-ord		.3.
calculat SuggestedF Change "the ma Proposed R	ted. Remedy e "the ratio betwa aximum value of Response SC <b>162.9.4.5</b>	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-s <i>Response Status</i> <b>Ο</b>	(k) and the stead tate voltage vf"	ly-state voltage vf" to	Comment The or Suggested If these	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> der of parameter <i>Remedy</i> e tables are not i	Comment Status X rs in ERL tables is not cons n the preferred order, re-ord Response Status O		.3. # <u>R1-48</u>
calculat SuggestedF Change "the ma Proposed R C/ 162 Dawe, Piers	ted. Remedy e "the ratio betwo aximum value of Response SC <b>162.9.4.5</b> s J G	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-s Response Status <b>O</b> P 172	(k) and the stead tate voltage vf"	ly-state voltage vf" to	Comment The or Suggested If these Proposed I	Type E der of parameter Remedy e tables are not in Response SC 162.9.4.6	Comment Status X rs in ERL tables is not cons n the preferred order, re-ord Response Status O	der them.	
calculat SuggestedF Change "the ma Proposed R Cl <b>162</b> Dawe, Piers Comment T	ted. Remedy e "the ratio betwo aximum value of Response SC 162.9.4.5 s J G Type E	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-si <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> 172 NVIDIA	(k) and the stead tate voltage vf" <i>L</i> 28	ly-state voltage vf" to # <u>R1-45</u>	Comment The or Suggested If these Proposed I Cl 162	Type E der of parameter Remedy e tables are not in Response SC 162.9.4.6 rs J G	Comment Status X rs in ERL tables is not cons n the preferred order, re-ord Response Status O P172	der them.	
calculat cuggestedF Change "the ma proposed R croposed R cro	ted. Remedy e "the ratio betwo aximum value of Response SC 162.9.4.5 s J G Fype E aft has 10 tables Most of the ent	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-si <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>172</b> NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> s of ERL parameter values al tries are the same, so this is	(k) and the stead tate voltage vf" <i>L</i> 28 though only 3 for	ly-state voltage vf" to # <u>R1-45</u>	Comment The or Suggested If these Proposed I CI 162 Dawe, Pier Comment As alre	Type E der of parameter Remedy e tables are not in Response SC 162.9.4.6 rs J G Type TR eady noted, this c	Comment Status X rs in ERL tables is not cons n the preferred order, re-ord Response Status O P172 NVIDIA Comment Status X common mode return loss s	der them. <i>L</i> 47 spec RLcc becom	# R1-48
calculat SuggestedF Change "the ma Proposed R C <b>162</b> Nawe, Piers Comment T This dra values. reader t	ted. Remedy e "the ratio betwo aximum value of Response SC 162.9.4.5 s J G Sype E aft has 10 tables Most of the ent to see what is di	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-si <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>172</b> NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> s of ERL parameter values al tries are the same, so this is	(k) and the stead tate voltage vf" <i>L</i> 28 though only 3 for	ly-state voltage vf" to # <u>R1-45</u>	Comment The or Suggested If these Proposed I Cl 162 Dawe, Pier Comment As alre freques	Type E der of parameter Remedy e tables are not in Response SC 162.9.4.6 rs J G Type TR eady noted, this on ncy when the HC	Comment Status X rs in ERL tables is not cons n the preferred order, re-ord Response Status O P 172 NVIDIA Comment Status X common mode return loss s B loss is 2/2 dB, which is c	der them. <i>L</i> 47 spec RLcc becom pnly 7.5 GHz. Th	# <u>R1-48</u> es useless at the e spec should trend
calculat <i>SuggestedF</i> Change "the ma <i>Proposed R</i> <i>Cl</i> <b>162</b> Dawe, Piers <i>Comment T</i> This dra values. reader t <i>SuggestedF</i>	ted. Remedy e "the ratio betwo aximum value of Response SC 162.9.4.5 s J G Sype E aft has 10 tables Most of the ent to see what is di Remedy	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-s <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>172</b> <i>NVIDIA</i> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> s of ERL parameter values al rries are the same, so this is ifferent.	(k) and the stead tate voltage vf" <i>L</i> 28 though only 3 for inefficient and m	ly-state voltage vf" to # <u>R1-45</u> r COM parameter bakes it hard for the	Comment The or Suggested If these Proposed I Cl 162 Dawe, Pier Comment As alre frequer down s	Type E der of parameter Remedy e tables are not in Response SC 162.9.4.6 rs J G Type TR eady noted, this of not when the HC somewhat slower	Comment Status X rs in ERL tables is not cons n the preferred order, re-ord Response Status O P172 NVIDIA Comment Status X common mode return loss s	der them. <i>L</i> 47 spec RLcc becom pnly 7.5 GHz. Th	# <u>R1-48</u> es useless at the e spec should trend
calculat SuggestedF Change "the ma Proposed R C/ 162 Dawe, Piers Comment T This dra values. reader t SuggestedF Combin	ted. Remedy e "the ratio betwo aximum value of Response SC 162.9.4.5 s J G Sype E aft has 10 tables Most of the ent to see what is di Remedy he the tables to o	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-s <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>172</b> <i>NVIDIA</i> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> s of ERL parameter values al tries are the same, so this is ifferent.	(k) and the stead tate voltage vf" <i>L</i> 28 though only 3 for inefficient and m e an extra colum	ly-state voltage vf" to # <u>R1-45</u> r COM parameter akes it hard for the	Comment The or Suggested If these Proposed I Cl 162 Dawe, Pier Comment As alre freque down s	Type E der of parameter Remedy e tables are not in Response SC 162.9.4.6 rs J G Type TR eady noted, this c noy when the HC somewhat slower Remedy	Comment Status X rs in ERL tables is not cons n the preferred order, re-ord Response Status O P172 NVIDIA Comment Status X common mode return loss s CB loss is 2/2 dB, which is o r than twice the MCB trace	der them. <i>L</i> 47 spec RLcc becom only 7.5 GHz. Th loss, at 0.1 dB/Gł	# <u>R1-48</u> es useless at the e spec should trend Hz.
calculat SuggestedF Change "the ma Proposed R C/ 162 Dawe, Piers Comment T This dra values. reader t SuggestedF Combin that diffe	ted. Remedy e "the ratio betwo aximum value of Response SC 162.9.4.5 s J G Sype E aft has 10 tables Most of the ent to see what is di Remedy he the tables to of fer (e.g. in this cl	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-s <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>172</b> <i>NVIDIA</i> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> s of ERL parameter values al rries are the same, so this is ifferent.	(k) and the stead tate voltage vf" <i>L</i> 28 though only 3 for inefficient and m e an extra colum	ly-state voltage vf" to # <u>R1-45</u> r COM parameter akes it hard for the	Comment The or Suggested If these Proposed I Cl 162 Dawe, Pier Comment As alre freque down s Suggested Use a	Type E der of parameter Remedy e tables are not in Response SC 162.9.4.6 rs J G Type TR eady noted, this of not when the HC somewhat slower Remedy frequency-depen	Comment Status X rs in ERL tables is not cons n the preferred order, re-ord Response Status O P172 NVIDIA Comment Status X common mode return loss s B loss is 2/2 dB, which is o r than twice the MCB trace	der them. <i>L</i> 47 spec RLcc becom only 7.5 GHz. Th loss, at 0.1 dB/GH = 4, 1.6+0.1*f dB	# <u>R1-48</u> es useless at the e spec should trend Hz. 4 < f <= 30, 8.5-0.13f
calculat SuggestedF Change "the ma Proposed R C/ 162 Dawe, Piers Comment T This dra values. reader t SuggestedF Combin that diffe	ted. Remedy e "the ratio betwo aximum value of Response SC 162.9.4.5 s J G Sype E aft has 10 tables Most of the ent to see what is di Remedy he the tables to of fer (e.g. in this child itter and received	een the maximum value of p p(k) divided by the steady-s <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>172</b> <i>NVIDIA</i> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> s of ERL parameter values al tries are the same, so this is ifferent.	(k) and the stead tate voltage vf" <i>L</i> 28 though only 3 for inefficient and m e an extra colum	ly-state voltage vf" to # <u>R1-45</u> r COM parameter akes it hard for the	Comment The or Suggested If these Proposed I Cl 162 Dawe, Pier Comment As alre freque down s Suggested Use a	Type E der of parameter Remedy e tables are not in Response SC 162.9.4.6 rs J G Type TR eady noted, this c noy when the HC somewhat slower Remedy frequency-depen <= 40. f is in GH	Comment Status X rs in ERL tables is not cons n the preferred order, re-ord Response Status O P172 NVIDIA Comment Status X common mode return loss s CB loss is 2/2 dB, which is o r than twice the MCB trace	der them. <i>L</i> 47 spec RLcc becom only 7.5 GHz. Th loss, at 0.1 dB/GH = 4, 1.6+0.1*f dB	# <u>R1-48</u> es useless at the e spec should trend Hz. 4 < f <= 30, 8.5-0.13f

C/ 162	SC 162.11.6	P 185	L <b>27</b>	# R1-49
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

As noted, we need a common mode return loss spec RLcc to stop large common-mode voltages building up through multiple low-loss reflections. As we know, this common mode return loss spec RLcc becomes useless at the frequency when the MCB loss is 1.8/2 dB, which is only 8.5 GHz. The impedance the cable presents is mostly related to the connector, (like the mated test fixtures' RLcc) plus the paddle card in the cable end, except at the very lowest frequencies where the cable loss is very small and both connectors can be seen by the measurement. This proposal allows for that.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Use a frequency-dependent mask: 1.4 dB 0.05 <= f <= 6, 0.68+0.12\*f dB 6 < f <= 30, 10.28-0.2\*f, 30 to 40. f is in GHz. See another comment for Tx (162.9.4.6 Table 162-10).

Proposed Response Response Status O

	D 259	1.44	# 04.50
C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.1	P <b>258</b>	L <b>41</b>	# R1-50
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Most product IL and RL specs (including ERL) start at 50 MHz, although test fixture specs start at 10 MHz and recommendations and reference equations are not bound by measurement practicalities. Including the RLdc limit in 162.9.4.7. I don't know why this product RLdc would be special.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change 0.01 to 0.05. Also for Eq 120G-2 in 120G.3.3.3.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1.1	P <b>258</b>	L <b>42</b>	# R1-51
Dawe, Pier	s J G	NVIDIA		

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X** 

This RLdc spec goes to 50 GHz while the one in 162.9.4.7 goes to 40 GHz. I know the channel in C2M can be super-low-loss, but the modulation format and receiver filtering remove a lot of energy above 40 GHz. I did not notice any other \*product\* specs going to 50 GHz, but we should review them if they exist.

#### SuggestedRemedy

If appropriate, change 50 to 40, here and in Eq 120G-2.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	eral required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Comment ID	

Comment ID	R1-53
------------	-------

C/ 162	SC 162.11.7.1	P 186	L <b>7</b>	# R1-52
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA		
Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status X		

93A.1.1 says "It is recommended that the scattering parameters be measured with uniform frequency step no larger than Delta f from a start frequency no larger than fmin to a stop frequency of at least the signaling rate fb". But the test fixtures are defined to 50 GHz, and other specs such as RLdc are defined to 40 GHz.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Define the maximum frequency for COM and ERL, 40 or 50 GHz. Clauses 162, 163, 120F, 120G.

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.7	P <b>207</b>	L <b>4</b>	# R1-53
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		

The 4th order filter of 93A-20 would work, but it seems a bit fussy, and probably not what noise meters use.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Use a first order filter or whatever commercial test equipment uses.

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

C/ 120G SC 120	9.3.3.5.1	P <b>265</b>	L <b>49</b>	# R1-54	C/ 162A	SC 162/	١	P 284	L 15	# R1-56
Dawe, Piers J G		NVIDIA			Dawe, Piers	rs J G		NVIDIA		
Comment Type T	Commer	nt Status X			Comment 7	Туре Е	Comr	ment Status X		
optimum for any t	nird precursor wo	ould be less than	1/2 a COM step			and TP5 that ted for here		testable": see style	guide and D3.0	comment 214
				enerators by removing doesn't have the very	Suggestedl	lRemedy				
				at 120F has a small c(-	TP0 an	nd TP5, whi	ch might not b	be testable. Also in	162.8.1	
3) term when C2N	I host stressed in	nput doesn't need	l it.		Proposed F	Response	Respo	onse Status <b>O</b>		
SuggestedRemedy										
model shown in T	able 120F-3. The	tap coefficients	are not specified	•	C/ 162B	SC 162	3.4.1	P 292	L <b>5</b>	# R1-57
generator output 120F-3, with c(-3)				model shown in Table	Dawe, Piers	rs J G		NVIDIA		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	oss in the module	e stressed input s		this tap significant,	Comment 7 Did Fig 5?			nent Status X ixtures insertion loss	, get updated w	ith the revised Eq 162B-
Proposed Response	Response	e Status <b>O</b>			Suggestedl	Remedy				
	9.5.2	P <b>275</b> NVIDIA	L <b>50</b>	# R1-55	If not (a Also, a	and if there as the first d axis were -20	B are much m	fference on this scale nore interesting than that means that ILde	the last here, it	would help the reader if
Comment Type T	Commer	nt Status X			Proposed F	Response	Respo	onse Status <b>O</b>		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

As noted, this weighting function skews the spec to passing signals with relatively bad eye width, whether from jitter or other cause, which endanger the link BER, while failing signals with usable VEC and eye height and better eye width.

# SuggestedRemedy

Pick one of the proposed solutions and fix the problem. Notice that the apparent VEC and EH numbers are likely to change in step.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 120G	SC 120G.1	P <b>256</b>	L 36	# R1-58	C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.1	P <b>274</b>	L 12	# R1-60
an, Adee		Cisco Syst	ems, Inc.		Ran, Adee		Cisco Syste	ms, Inc.	
Comment Ty	/pe GR	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status X		
		s that CEI-112G-VSR-PAN But the OIF-CEI-05.0 docu			"is det	fined as the AC	common-mode voltage rar	nge measured at	TP0v that includes"
		02.3ck, it would be inappro	priate to have an u	inpublished document	TP0v is	not defined for	C2M; the output measurem	nent points are TF	P1a and TP4.
in the bit	bliography.				SuggestedF	Remedy			
		rk for Annex 120G has ma n (unlike previous C2M spo			Change that incl		s the AC common-mode vo	oltage range mea	sured at TP1a or TP4
		proposed to delete it.		·	Proposed R	esponse	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Alternati note sho	ould be made s	ions). icipated that OIF-CEI-05.0 specific such that the refer							
Delete th methodo	<i>emedy</i> ne sentence "T blogy that is sin	The C2M interface is define nilar to that used for CEI-7	112G-VSR-PAM4 d	ation and test					
SuggestedR Delete th methodo [B55a]",	<i>emedy</i> ne sentence "T blogy that is sin the editor's no	nilar to that used for CEI-1 te, and the bibliography er	112G-VSR-PAM4 d	ation and test					
SuggestedR Delete th methodo [B55a]",	<i>emedy</i> ne sentence "T blogy that is sin the editor's no	nilar to that used for CEI-1	112G-VSR-PAM4 d	ation and test					
SuggestedR Delete th methodc [B55a]", Proposed Re	<i>emedy</i> ne sentence "T blogy that is sin the editor's no	nilar to that used for CEI- te, and the bibliography el <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	112G-VSR-PAM4 d	ation and test lefined in OIF-CEI-05.0					
Ci <b>120F</b>	emedy ne sentence "T ology that is sin the editor's no esponse	nilar to that used for CEI- te, and the bibliography el <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	I12G-VŠR-PAM4 d ntry in Annex A.	ation and test					
SuggestedR Delete th methodo [B55a]", Proposed Re C/ <b>120F</b> Ran, Adee	lemedy ne sentence "T ology that is sin the editor's no esponse SC 120F.3.1.	nilar to that used for CEI- te, and the bibliography en Response Status O .2 P 241	I12G-VŠR-PAM4 d ntry in Annex A.	ation and test lefined in OIF-CEI-05.0					
Cl <b>120F</b> Comment Ty	ternedy the sentence "T plogy that is sin the editor's no esponse SC 120F.3.1. ype E e exception the	nilar to that used for CEI- te, and the bibliography en Response Status O .2 P 241 Cisco Syst	I12G-VŠR-PAM4 d ntry in Annex A. <i>L</i> <b>4</b> rems, Inc.	ation and test lefined in OIF-CEI-05.0 # <u>R1-59</u>					
Cl <b>120F</b> Ran, Adee Comment Ty "with the	termedy the sentence "T plogy that is sin the editor's no esponse SC 120F.3.1. SC 20F.3.1.	nilar to that used for CEI- te, and the bibliography er <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> 2 <i>P</i> <b>241</b> Cisco Syst <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	I12G-VŠR-PAM4 d ntry in Annex A. <i>L</i> <b>4</b> rems, Inc.	ation and test lefined in OIF-CEI-05.0 # <u>R1-59</u>					
SuggestedR Delete th methodo [B55a]", Proposed Re C/ <b>120F</b> Ran, Adee Comment Ty "with the in 120F. Missing	ternedy the sentence "T ology that is sin the editor's no esponse SC 120F.3.1. ype E e exception the 3.1.1" "that".	nilar to that used for CEI- te, and the bibliography er <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> 2 <i>P</i> <b>241</b> Cisco Syst <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	I12G-VŠR-PAM4 d ntry in Annex A. <i>L</i> <b>4</b> rems, Inc.	ation and test lefined in OIF-CEI-05.0 # <u>R1-59</u>					
SuggestedR Delete th methodo [B55a]", Proposed Re C/ 120F Ran, Adee Comment Ty "with the in 120F.: Missing SuggestedR Change	temedy the sentence "T ology that is sin the editor's no esponse SC 120F.3.1. ype E e exception the 3.1.1" "that".	nilar to that used for CEI- te, and the bibliography er <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <b>2</b> <i>P</i> <b>241</b> <i>Cisco Syst</i> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> high-frequency peak-to-p	I12G-VŠR-PAM4 d ntry in Annex A. <i>L</i> <b>4</b> rems, Inc. eak AC common-m	ation and test lefined in OIF-CEI-05.0 # <u>R1-59</u> node voltage is defined					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID