C/ FM	SC	FM	P1	L 2	# R2-7	C/ 120G	SC	C 120G.32	1	P 262	L 8	# R2-21
Ran, Ade	е		Cisco Syste	ms, Inc.		Ghiasi, Ali				Ghiasi Quan	tum LLC,Marvell	Semiconductor, Inc.
Comment	Туре	G	Comment Status D		revision name	Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Commen	nt Status D		AC CM noise
P802. 2022.	.3 was a	approved	as a revision standard by the	e IEEE SA Sta	ndards Board on 13 May							ed with differential I 100 MHz BT4 filter is
Suggeste	dReme	dy									n in case signal non mode is syn	is uncorrelated and
Change "IEEE Std 802.3™-202x" to "IEEE Std 802.3™-2022" in the page header. Apply across the document where appropriate, with editorial license.						differer	ntial s	signal. With	real time so	cope there is no	such limitation.	
						considering the total LF+HF need to be <= 75 mV (please see other comment and supporting presentation) and to allow equivalent time scope.						
Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Status W			Suggested	Reme	edy				
PROF	POSED	ACCEPT										bands as both signals
C/ 0	SC	0	P 0	L 0	# R2-5							d by the receiver, but nt time scope as in the
Ran, Ade	е		Cisco System	ms, Inc.				,		elated ETS need	to be in free run	
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		miscellaneous editorial							and HF to 70 mV.
		nt lists sor hanges.	ne editorial issues across th	e draft which n	nay be fixed with non-	Proposed F	Respo	onse	Response	e Status W		
30030	antiar c	nanges.						D REJECT.		_		
		0	15.2.1.167, Table 45–129, T	,		Resolv	e usii	ng the resp	onse to com	ment R2-20.		
			ross two pages but there is d to set the "continuation" bi		indication. There may be							
In Tab	ole 73–	7, "interva	I_timer" units, "ns" is unnece	essarily underli								
			ible), the bottom row has a t ast row has a hyphen in "Uni									
Suggeste		,	ast row has a hypherrin on	is instead of	em-uash.							
00			as appropriate.									
Proposed			Response Status W									
			IN PRINCIPLE.									

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3..2

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	P 259	L 14	# R2-20	C/ 120G	SC 1	120G.3.1	P 259	L 14	# R2-8
Ghiasi, Ali	00 1200.3.1			Semiconductor, Inc.	Ghiasi, Ali	001	200.0.1			Il Semiconductor, Inc.
,						Tuno	тр	Comment Status D		AC CM noise
Comment Type TR Comment Status D AC CM noise Due to equivalent time scope limitation where Vcm LF is uncorrelated with differential signal may need to separate the LF and HF bands where a physical 100 MHz BT4 filter is used for LF measurement where scope is in free run in case signal is uncorrelated and triggered by 4 MHz clock recovery in case LF common mode is synchronous to the differential signal. With real time scope there is no such limitation. considering the total LF+HF need to be <= 80 mV (please see other comment and supporting presentation) and to allow equivalent time scope.					At TP1a the Vcmp-LF=32 mV and Vcm-HF=80 mV, as far as the receiver concern any low frequency > ~50 KHz is the same and in effect the CDR in the module must tolerate 112 mV of common mode. Given that TP1a is at input of CDR and all common modes a > 50 KHz from the receiver perspective are the same. There is no need to define low an- high frequency bands for the TP1a common mode measurmeent. If this was a CR link then there is a benefit to have LF and HF common mode bands, where the low frequency passes through to TP3 by HF common mode gets attenuated by the cable. Applying 112 mV at input of the receiver is rather large and does have an impact of the link BER. For comparisons table 162-11 CR TP2 where the amplitude is 1200 mV the Vcmpp-LF=3 mV and Vcmp-HF=80 mV if one scales for TP1a amplitude of 880 mV then the total common mode gets reduced to only 70 mV. C2M with total of 112 mV of common mode voltage when max amplitude is only 750 mV implies 60% higher common mode! <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Replace low and high frequency common mode with Vcmpp measured with fourth-order Bessel-Thomson low-pass response with 40 GHz 3 dB bandwidth. Vcmpp<= 80 mV,					
The follo meeting: https://w This com of V_CM This com	PROPOSED REJECT. The following related presentation was reviewed by the task force at a previous ad hoc meeting: https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/22_06/ghiasi_3ck_01b_0622.pdf. This comment is proposing adding a new specification for the sum of the measure value of V_CMPP-HF and V_CMPP-LF. This comment addresses the same problem as comment R2-8, but in a different way. For task force discussion.			f. If the measure values	larger value of Vcmpp results in BER penalty. Our measured results indicate typical T has Vcmpp of <=65 including additional allocation for low frequency DC-DC convertors 80 mV there is even room for some amplifications but generally the channel attenuate common mode. Reducing Vcmpp=80 mV at TP1a considering amplitude differences with CR TP2 still C2M TP1a has larger amplitude. See ghiasi_3ck_adhoc_01_052522					
					Proposed F	Respons	se	Response Status W		
					The fol meeting https:// This co broad b This co	lowing r g: www.iee omment oand (w omment	ee802.org t seems to rithout the	esentation was reviewed b y/3/ck/public/22_06/ghiasi_ b be proposing that for the 100 MHz high-pass or lov as the same problem as con n.	3ck_01b_0622.p host output AC (/-pass filter) with	odf CM noise is measured a limit of 80 mV.

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1

C/ 120G	SC 120G.3.1	P 259	L 18	# R2-19	C/ 120G	SC 120G.	3.1.2	P 260	L 25	# R2-6
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quante	um LLC,Marvel	Semiconductor, Inc.	Ran, Adee			Cisco Syster	ms, Inc.	
Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status D		HO/MO EW	Comment T	ype TR	Com	ment Status D		ERL
slight a establis TP4 wi	djustment to EW sh measurement Il protect the rec nd there is no lin	, I-115, and I-116 based on r 7 measurement. EW measur already on all commercial so eiver. Adding EW is indepen nit to make adjustment to VE	rement with DF copes. Adding dent to current	E receiver is well min EW at TP1a and	in Table Table 1 93A–4,	e 120G–2" 20G–2 incluo base standa	les some b d + additio	a is computed using ut not all of the para ns in this draft). b, f_r, L, M, and DE	ameters required	n 93A.5 with the values by 93A.5 (Table
00	•	I-116 at TP1a ESMW/EW w	on initially prop	and 175 mill but now						
measu For cor that we	red data with ade mment I-108 and don't define opt	dition of 50 mUI SJ the limit r I-115 at TP4 ESMW/EW wa ical stress input for measure	need to be redu is initially propo ment and comp	ced to 135 mUl. sed 150 mU but given bliance at TP4 the initial	these p	arameters ap	pear in Tab	G–11 but the other ble 120F–8 with valu e 120G–11).		ot. However, all of nnex 120G (and same
DFE fe		mUI should be increased to 1 an be defined as a voltage th			filter pa value o	rameters (gD f eta0; but th	C, gDC2, fa ese parame	z, fp1, fp2), DFE pa eters are not used ir	rameters (Nb, bb calculation of E	n the continuous time max, bbmin) and the RL, so their values are
-	, DSED REJECT.	Response Status W			irreleva ERL.	nt. Therefore	, Table 120	F–8 is a suitable re	ference for the re	equired parameters for
	and Draft 3.1 cor	atement of Draft 3.0 commer nment R1-55. The resolution				also in 1200 .4.4 (Module		dule output ERL), 12	20G.3.3.4 (Host i	nput ERL), and
	0	g/3/ck/comments/draft3p0/80	23ck_D3p0_fir	al_closedcomments_sor	Suggested	Remedy				
https://	lumber.pdf www.ieee802.or lumber.pdf	g/3/ck/comments/draft3p1/80	23ck_D3p1_fir	nal_closedcomments_sor	values	in Table 1200	G–2 and Ta	ble 120F-8".		20G–2" to "with the
These	comments were	closed on the basis of no co						4, change "with the ble 120F–8".	values in Table 1	20G–6" to "with the
		#11 recorded in the response not make these proposed ch		-211 (see above file)	Proposed F	Response	Respo	onse Status W		
	new comment, n	o new evidence to support the emedy is provided.		ovided; but an		DSED ACCE		CIPLE. dy with editorial lice	nso	

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.2

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2 P 262	L 7	# R2-1	C/ 120G SC 12	20G.3.3	P 265	L 16	# R2-2	
Ran, Adee Cisco Syste	ms, Inc.		Ran, Adee		Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.		
Comment Type TR Comment Status D		AC CM noise	Comment Type	TR Co	nment Status D		HI/MI AC CM tolerance	
In Table 120G–3, Module output VCMPP-LF maxi	mum is 60 mV.		In Table 120G– expressed as R		characteristics, AC contracter of 25 mV.	ommon-mode v	voltage tolerance is	
All VCMPP-LF limits in other tables in the draft we response to comment R1-29. The rationale for the R1-29, applies to module output as well.					e output maximum sp at a module may gene		e intent was to specify	
See also https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/adhoc/may04	_22/ran_3ck_ad	hoc_01_050422.pdf.			ined to VCMPP (LF a creates a disconnect l		input tolerance and output specifications.	
SuggestedRemedy			Note that while	the module or	tout is limited to 80 m		and 60 mV VCMPP-LF	
Change max VCMPP-LF in Table 120G-3 from 60	mV 32 mV.		(requested to be	e changed to 3	2 mV in another com	ment), totaling	up to 140 mV, a 25 mV	
Proposed Response Response Status W					ak of 211 mV at a pro		i (with a Gaussian ne peak to peak of their	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change V_CMPP-LF (max) in Table 120G-3 from	60 mV to 32 mV	Ι.	sum will be even	en lower.	IU HE SIGNAIS ARE NOT	conerent, so ti	le peak to peak of their	
Z 120G SC 120G.3.2 P 262	L7	# R2-9	See also	e802 ora/3/ck/	public/adhoc/may04_	22/ran 3ck ad	lboc 01 050422 pdf	
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qua	ntum LLC,Marve	Il Semiconductor, Inc.	slides 4-6.	0002.019/0/010	public/durico/mayo+_	22/1011_00K_00	100_01_000422.pu	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D		AC CM noise	SuggestedRemedy					
It is not clear why TP4 common mode Vcmpp-LF= combined 140 mV after adjusting for amplitude dif Optical modules have very well control low noise I photo currents are in the microamp. From TP4 to coloring of common mode but considering TP4 LF benefit to define LF and HF bands that complicate	2x larger than CR TP2! rs considering typical I be some limited I there is not enough	In Table 120G–7 split the row "AC common-mode RMS voltage tolerance (min)" into two rows - High-frequency, VCMPP-HF, and Low-frequency, VCMPP-LF, with values 80 mV and 32 mV respectively. In 120G.3.3.2, change the text from "A host input shall meet all other specifications with AC common-mode voltage (see						
uggestedRemedy					ified in Table 120G–7		U X	
Replace low and high frequency common mode w Bessel-Thomson low-pass response with 40 GHz larger value of Vcmpp results in BER penalty. Ou has Vcmpp of <=65 including additional allocation 75 mV there is even room for some amplifications	"A host input shall meet all other specifications with low-frequency and high-frequency peak-to-peak AC common-mode voltages (see 120G.5.1) up to the limits specified in Tai 120G–7. The low-frequency and high-frequency may both reach their maximum values ir the same signal."							
common mode.	but generally th		Proposed Response	e Res	oonse Status W			
See supporting presentation ghiasi_3ck_adhoc_0	1_052522		PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Table 120G–7 split the row "AC common-mode RMS voltage tolerance (min)" into two					
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment R2-8.	rows - High-frequency, VCMPP-HF, and Low-frequency, VCMPP-LF, with values 80 mV and 32 mV respectively. In 120G.3.3.2, change the text from: "A host input shall meet all other specifications with AC common-mode voltage (see 120G.5.1) up to the limit specified in Table 120G–7." To: "A host input shall meet all other specifications with a combination of V_CMPP-LF an V_CMPP-HF (see 120G.5.1) up to the limits specified in Table 120G–7." Implement with editorial license.				ther specifications with d in Table 120G–7." ation of V_CMPP-LF and 0G–7."			
					us comments are prop		g the form of the AC CM	

voltage specifications which may require this response to be modified.]

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 120G	Page 4 of 9
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 120G.3.3	2022-05-27 11:56:05 A

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

120G SC 120G.3.3 P 265 L 17 # R2-22	Cl 120G	SC 120G.3.4	P 269	L 27	# R2-3
niasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC, Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.	Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
nment Type TR Comment Status D HI/MI AC CM tolera	nce Comment	Type TR Co	omment Status D		HI/MI AC CM tolerance
AC common mode at TP4 and host input must be consistent with level in table 120G-3. Table 120G-3 Vcm is base on peak to peak but table 120G-7 uses old methodology bas on RMS.		e 120G–9—Module ir sed as RMS with mini	nput characteristics, AC imum of 25 mV.	common-mode	voltage tolerance is
Table 120G-3 Vcm is base on peak to peak but table 120G-7 uses old methodology bas	r expres This us module Host o specifie Note th totaling of 1e-5 the pea See als https:// slides a Suggested In Tabl rows - and 32 In 1200 "A moo peak-to 120G- the sar Proposed I PROPO In Tabl rows - and 32 In 1200 "A moo peak-to 120G- the sar Proposed I PROPO In Tabl rows - and 32 In 1200 To "A moo peak-to 120G- the sar PROPO In Tabl rows - and 32 In 1200 To To To To To To To To To To	sed as RMS with mini ed to match the host a has to tolerate what utput was later redefir cations were not. This nat while the module of up to 112 mV, a 25 r (with a Gaussian disi ak to peak of their sun so www.ieee802.org/3/cl 4-6. <i>Remedy</i> e 120G–9 split the row High-frequency, VCM mV respectively. 5.3.4.2, change the te fule input shall meet a 5.1) up to the limit spe fule input shall meet a 5.1) up to the limit spe fule input shall meet a 5.1) up to the limit spe fule input shall meet a 5.2) meet and the form 9. The low-frequency ne signal." <i>Response Re</i> DSED ACCEPT IN PI e 120G–9 split the row High-frequency, VCM mV respectively. 5.3.4.2, change the te common-mode volta module input shall meet a	mum of 25 mV. output maximum speci a host may generate. hed to VCMPP (LF and creates a disconnect b output is limited to 80 m mV RMS can create a p tribution). In practice, L n will be even lower. (public/adhoc/may04_; w "AC common-mode F PP-HF, and Low-freque ext from all other specifications v hode voltages (see 120 and high-frequency ma sponse Status W	fication. The interpretended of the second o	ent was to specify that a t tolerance d output specifications. and 32 mV VCMPP-LF, 211 mV at a probability is are not coherent, so bc_01_050422.pdf arance (min)" into two rance (min)" into two rode voltage (see cy and high-frequency limits specified in Table ir maximum values in rrance (min)" into two r, with values 80 mV other specifications fied in Table 120G–9." hation of V_CMPP-LF
	[Editor		ous comments are prop		the form of the AC CM
PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/edito		specifications which	may require this response		Page 5 of 9

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 120G
 Page 5 of 9

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 120G.3.4
 2022-05-27
 11:56:05 A

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 Sort Clause, Subclause, page, line
 Sc
 120G.3.4
 2022-05-27
 11:56:05 A

C/ 120G	SC 120G.5.2	P 275	L 50	# R2-17
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		HO/MO EW

As we know, this Gaussian "weighting" function de-weights the sides of the histogram, allowing worse eye width (jitter) than otherwise. As healey_3ck_01a_1020 shows, for the same VEC, ESMW varies across channels by at least 130 mUI, plus some more for driver output edge rate. As e.g. dudek_3ck_01_0921 slide 7 shows, there can be a great variety of eyes for only slightly different channels. It turns out that unsymmetric eyes are possible (significantly different to left and right) - see presentation. The draft spec skews the spec to passing signals with relatively bad eye width, which endanger the link BER, while failing signals with usable VEC and eye height and better eye width.

We need better control of eye width, as has been pointed out in D3.0 comments I-107, I-108, I-115, I-116, I-211, I-212 and R1-55, with two clear alternative remedies proposed: the 10-sided mask or explicit ESMW limits.

SuggestedRemedy

Add ESMW spec limits:

Host output and module stressed input >=120 mUI;

Module output and host stressed input >= 130 mUI.

ESMW is defined around ts in the same way that ESMW is defined around Tcmid in 120E.

The reason for host spec being less than module is that almost all the bad stuff is in the host measurement, but not all the host channel and package impairments are in the module measurement, even "far end".

The limits in 120E are host 0.22 UI, module near 0.265 UI, module far 0.2 UI (with a less capable equaliser), so these specs are allowing much worse eyes than 120E, but not totally out of control.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment is a restatement of Draft 3.0 comments I-107, I-108, I-115, I-116, I-211 and I-212, and Draft 3.1 comment R1-55. The resolution to these comments is provided in the following files:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/comments/draft3p0/8023ck_D3p0_final_closedcomments_sor tedByNumber.pdf

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/comments/draft3p1/8023ck_D3p1_final_closedcomments_sor tedByNumber.pdf

These comments were closed on the basis of no consensus to make the related changes. The result of straw poll #11 recorded in the response to comment I-211 (see above file)

indicated consensus to not make these proposed changes.

In this new comment, no new evidence to support the change is provided; but an alternative suggested remedy is provided.

The following related presentation was reviewed by the task force at a previous ad hoc meeting:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/22_06/dawe_3ck_01_0622.pdf

C/ 161	SC 161.5.4.2.1	P 141	L 47	# R2-10
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA		
Comment fec_la	51	Comment Status D		variable name
Suggested fec_la	dRemedy ne_mapping			
	Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	P 166	L 30	# R2-11
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		AC CM noise

Now an output has two opportunities (two frequency bands) to create AC CM, but it is the combination that affects the receiver. Even after the recent change, the 30+80 mV pk-pk AC CM here (CR host output) and 30+80 in Table 120G-1 (C2M host output) is too much, and 60+80 in Table 120G-3 (C2M module output) is far too much.

SuggestedRemedy

For host output in CR and C2M, apply a third limit covering all frequencies. Unless we think of something better, such as a frequency weighting, do the same for module output in C2M.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment is a restatement of Draft 3.1 comment R1-42. The resolution to the comment is provided in the following document:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/comments/draft3p1/8023ck_D3p1_final_closedcomments_sor tedByNumber.pdf

In this new comment, no new evidence to support the change is provided and the remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement.

This comment seems to be proposing a third specification for AC common-mode voltage measured without the 100 MHz filter.

[Editor's note: CC: 162, 120G]

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.9.4 Page 6 of 9 2022-05-27 11:56:05 A

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	P 166	L 40	# R2-12	C/ 162	SC 162.9.4.	3 P 171	L 21	# R2-13	
Dawe, Pier	ers J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA			
Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status D		Rpeak	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		SNR_ISI	
around	d dawe_3ck_02b ckage and chann	proposed to adjust the Rpeak _0422 revealed that the curre el that are used in COM any	ent limit is not co		Nb". and C	This definition is 2C (where the r	ation of SNR_ISI using Equa s used for CR (where the rea eal Nb is 6). This is inconsis ng the number of main DFE t	l Nb is 12), KR (w stent. D3.1 comm	here the real Nb is 12) ent R1-21 proposes	
Reduce		om 0.397 to 0.385 to align wi	th the other norm	native specs and	While additional reflections from the channel can create further ISI, there is no particular reason to believe that they will fall between 6 and 12 UI (equalisable in CR and KR, but not in C2C), and the combination of weak ISI controlled by this spec * reflection squared controlled by ERL specs should be very small whether it falls inside or outside this arbitrary range. The additional ISI from the primary reflectors in the PMD and channel (controlled by ERL) are more important.					
PROP This co		Response Status W atement of comment R1-43 a dy. The resolution to the com								
•	/www.ieee802.org Number.pdf	g/3/ck/comments/draft3p1/80	023ck_D3p1_fina	al_closedcomments_sor	Editor	ial: two different	things called Nb in one clau	ise is bad.		
,		mment was closed on the ba	sis of straw poll	#20 which indicated	Suggestee	dRemedy				
In this	new comment, n	the proposed change. o new evidence to support th	ne change is pro	vided; but an		ne correct Nb va 12 for CR and k	llue for each case as in the 0 KR, 6 for C2C.	COM parameter ta	bles, as 120D.3.1.7	
alterna	ative suggested re	emedy is provided.			Proposed	Response	Response Status W			

PROPOSED REJECT.

The values for N_b for 162, 163 and 120F were adopted by the TF based on consensus from straw polls #1, #2 and #3, respectively from the 04/11/22 ad hoc meeting, and are documented in the following file:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/22_04/minutes_3ck_0422b.pdf

The comment does not provide new evidence to support the proposed change.

C/ 162	SC 162	2.9.4.4	P 171	L 39	# R2-18	C/ 162	SC 162.1	1.7	P 188	L 46	# R2-16
Shiasi, Ali	i		Ghiasi Quantu	im LLC,Marvel	Semiconductor, Inc.	Dawe, Pier	s J G		NVIDIA		
omment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status D		AC CM noise	Comment 7	ype TR		Comment Status D		COM parameter
Also s Equali and H	hould prov ity in equat F Vcm exp onse of the	ide more ion 162-7 ect to be	ity how to measure Vcm-p clarity regarding the nature ' may not hold given that Li correlated. filter should be defined.	e of LF and HF		frequer frequer other s transfe frequer	ncy step no l ncy of at leas pecs such a r function m ncy) for this	arger st the s s RLd ay nee compu	imended that the scattering than Delta f from a start fre signaling rate fb". But the f lc are defined to 40 GHz. 9 ed to be extrapolated (both utation. The extrapolation m nit the error in the COM cor	uency no large est fixtures are 3A.1.5 says "th to DC and to or ethod and sam	er than fmin to a stop defined to 50 GHz, and e filtered voltage he half of the sampling
measu with 4 time s signal recove Recon	ured with e MHz clock cope then and when ery unit.	quivalent recover measure measure esponse	and uncorrelated to the di time scope if correlated wi v unit, but if uncorrelated wi d with free run trigger. Vo d with equivalent time scop of the low pass filter is bas	th the different th the different m HF is correl be is measured	ial signal is measured ial signal on equivalent ated with differential with 4 MHz clock	minimu extra p For ER and twi 53.125	m ~16 dB c ole of the C L, there is s ce the test f GHz where	able lo FLE. inc fur ixture the Tu	the sinc function for NRZ si oss even at 40 GHz + PCB: The result is quite tolerant t nction, Tr, Butterworth filter trace loss. There can be v ukey filter cuts off. osed to IL) is not reliable an	s + packages + o the extrapolat and Tukey filte ery little energy	Butterworth filter + ion. r (17.7 dB at 50 GHz),
	POSED RE		Response Status W			Suggested	•		,	<i>y</i> - <i>y</i>	
The for meetin https:/ The co comm The al	ollowing relang: //www.ieee omment se on-mode r bove prese	ated pres 802.org/3 ems to b noise to the entation d	entation was reviewed by t //ck/public/22_06/ghiasi_3c e proposing to change the ne correlated portion only. oes not address this aspec related noise are relevant.	k_01b_0622.p measurement	df	To ens frequer mentio Define Both th parame Apply t	ure consistency for COM ned. the maximu ese could b eter values.	as 50 m freq e achi	etween measurements, def) GHz, then COM is calcula quency for ERL as 50 GHz, eved by inserting a row for which rely on test fixtures wi	ted with careful with no extrapc fmax, 50 GHz,	extrapolation as lation. n the tables for COM
C/ 162	SC 162	2.9.4.7	P 172	L 22	# R2-15	GHz. Apply t	o 163 and 1	20F F	RL also because 50 GHz is	a natural brea	c point for network
Dawe, Pie	ers J G		NVIDIA			analyse	ers.				
Comment	Type E		Comment Status D		Editorial	Unless	we find that	doing	g so opens a hole in the spe	c, apply to 163	and 120F COM also.
-3						Proposed F	Response		Response Status W		
Suggested For co	onsistency,	ange –4 t	o -4 in e.g. 162.9.4.4. Response Status W			This cc 186. The res https:// tedByN	solution to th www.ieee80 umber.pdf	restate lese ce 2.org/:	ement of Draft 3.1 commer comments is provided in the 3/ck/comments/draft3p0/80 3/ck/comments/draft3p1/80	following files: 23ck_D3p0_fin	al_closedcomments_sol

C/ 162 SC 162.11.7

C/ 163	SC 163.9.2.6	P 209	L 25	# R2-4
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.	

Comment Type E Comment Status D

AC CM noise

In equation 163–1, "CMPP-HF" is formatted such that it looks like a difference between two values. I suspect that this may be inherent to the FrameMaker equation editor when a dash is encountered.

Note that using a dash as a delimiter for the qualifiers "HF" and "LF" is unusual. In other parameters defined in this draft, different methods were used such as superscript with name in parentheses. For example, the "(ref)" and "(meas)" parameters in 163B. This may be preferable.

The proposed change is to rename the parameters, which will affect all instances of VCMPP across the draft. I consider this a non-substantial change. However, if there is a way to only correct the spacing in equation 163–1, that could be done instead.

SuggestedRemedy

Rename all instances of V_{CMPP-LF} to V_{CMPP}^{(LF)} and all instances of V_{CMPP-HF} to V_{CMPP}^{(HF)} (make "(HF)" and "(HF)" superscripts).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change all instances of V_{CMPP-HF} and V_{CMPP-LF} to V_{CMPPHF} and V_{CMPPLF}, respectively. Implement with editorial license.

C/ 163 SC 163.9.2.6