Error Statistics Analysis on Cable and Backplane Channels

Xiang He, Sina Naderi Shahi

Huawei Technologies

2019-05

www.huawei.com



IEEE 802.3ck, May 2019, Salt Lake City

Introduction

- <u>he_3ck_01a_0119</u> simulated four different FEC architectures, showing the benefit of symbol interleaving.
 - Case 1 1 codeword, 1 lane, direct symbol output
 - Case 2 1 codeword, 2 lanes, bit mux
 - Case 3 2 codewords, 1 lane, symbol mux
 - Case 4 2 codewords, 2 lanes, bit mux
- <u>he_3ck_01a_0319</u> analyzed error statistics based on one of the channels recommended in <u>kochuparambil_3ck_01c_0119</u> ("CaBP_BGAVia_Opt2_28dB" from <u>mellitz_3ck_adhoc_02_081518</u>).
- As requested, we continued the work to a lower BER region on this channel, and tested how
 precoding may help in high-BER cases.
- We added simulation results for another recommended channel:
 - "Cable_BKP_28dB_0p575m_more_isi" from <u>heck_3ck_01_1118</u>.
- Error statistics analysis was performed on Case 1, to see how often consecutive errors occur on the channel and how long they may last.

Simulation Setup

- Two 28dB channels were simulated so far:
 - CH #1: CaBP_BGAVia_Opt2_28dB
 - CH #2: Cable_BKP_28dB_0p575m_more_isi
- TX side:
 - Matlab environment generates the RS(544,514) FEC codewords;
 - Modulates the signal stream and sends them over channels with insertion loss and cross talk.
- RX side:
 - ADC-based SerDes model
 - CTLE + long FFE + 1-tap DFE (tap value ~0.3)

one codeword

0.0 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.5

At least 5000 codewords per encoder is simulated for error statistics analysis in this presentation.

0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 1.0 1.1 1.2

Simulations were based on Case 1 to study the error statistics in the channel under test.

1:1

Simulation Results – CH #1 to a lower BER region

- As BER goes lower to 1E-4, there is no sign of "probability of consecutive errors" going down.
 - Please refer to previous results (Page 10) to see higher BER cases.

BER_pre = 3.3441E-04		BER_pre = 2.8079E-04		BER_pre = 2. 5895E-04		BER_pre = 1.1256E-04	
Burst Probability	49.90%	Burst Probability	49.28%	Burst Probability	49.90%	Burst Probability	52.97%
Uncorrectable CWs	8	Uncorrectable CWs	1	Uncorrectable CWs	2	Uncorrectable CWs	0
Consecutive Errors	Occurrences	Consecutive Errors	Occurrences	Consecutive Errors	Occurrences	Consecutive Errors	Occurrences
1	2565	1	2213	1	1998	1	830
2	1391	2	1200	2	1110	2	642
3	985	3	828	3	756	3	241
4	110	4	80	4	70	4	40
5	61	5	36	5	47	5	10
6	4	6	3	6	4	6	2
7	3	7	3	7	2	7	0
8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0
9	1	9	0	9	1	9	0

Pre-FEC BER HIGH to LOW

Simulation Results – CH #1 with Precoding ON

- Precoding did help, lowering pre FEC BER and burst probability.
 - It did not clear longer burst cases though.
 - At Lower BER level, the burst probability is still high ~48.5%
- It is more effective at higher BER levels, as expected.

HIGH BER CASE			LOW BER CASE				
Precoding OFF P		Precoding	Precoding ON		Precoding OFF) ON
BER_pre = 2.8079E-04		BER_pre = 2.2581E-04		BER_pre = 1.1256E-04		BER_pre = 1.0638E-4	
Burst Probability	49.28%	Burst Probability	41.99%	Burst Probability	52.97%	Burst Probability	48.49%
Consecutive Errors	Occurrences	Consecutive Errors	Occurrences	Consecutive Errors	Occurrences	Consecutive Errors	Occurrences
1	2213	1	2158	1	830	1	902
2	1200	2	881	2	642	2	622
3	828	3	554	3	241	3	180
4	80	4	84	4	40	4	30
5	36	5	37	5	10	5	15
6	3	6	3	6	2	6	2
7	3	7	3	7	0	7	0
8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0

Simulation Results – CH #2 to a lower BER region

- This is another highlighted channel recommended for further study.
- Burst probability is also around 50%.
 - Even at 1.1569E-4 pre-FEC BER, there was still 1 uncorrectable codeword among 5000 codewords simulated.

BER_pre = 2.1898E-04		BER_pre = 1.1	569e-04	BER_pre = 1.0660e-04	
Burst Probability	50.27%	Burst Probability	51.27%	Burst Probability	48.00%
Uncorrectable CWs	0	Uncorrectable CWs	1	Uncorrectable CWs	0
Consecutive Errors	Occurrences	Consecutive Errors	Occurrences	Consecutive Errors	Occurrences
1	1644	1	847	1	886
2	945	2	509	2	518
3	523	3	281	3	237
4	136	4	68	4	43
5	45	5	31	5	17
6	10	6	1	6	2
7	1	7	1	7	1
8	2	8	0	8	0

Source of Burst Errors

- It was proven that multi-tap DFE could cause burst errors.
 - It is NOT the only source of burst errors.
- In our case we saw high rate of burst errors within limited length of symbol streams, despite of <u>1-tap DFE</u> architecture with <u>low tap value</u>.

Summary

- Burst errors exist regardless of receiver architecture
 - Whenever an error occurs, half of the time it will last more than 1 PAM4 symbol.
 - Bursts as long as 16 were observed in other channels simulated.
 - Longer simulations will exhibits even longer bursts.
 - DFE taps is only one cause for burst errors.

 With 1:1 FEC symbol direct output, we observed uncorrectable codewords at low pre-FEC BER.



THANK YOU





Backup



Previous results

he 3ck 01a 0119, CaBP_BGAVia_Opt2_28dB

BER_pre = 5.4334E-4		
Burst Probability	49.66%	
Uncorrectable CWs	19	
Consecutive Errors	Occurrences	
1	4196	
2	2345	
3	1448	
4	209	
5	113	
6	15	
7	8	
8	1	
9	0	

BER_pre = 4.2	2836E-4	
Burst Probability	49.33%	Βι
Uncorrectable CWs	9	Ur
Consecutive Errors	Occurrences	Сс
1	3352	
2	1857	
3	1156	
4	158	
5	79	
6	10	
7	4	
8	0	
9	0	

BER_pre = 3.8419E-4		
Burst Probability	49.35%	
Uncorrectable CWs	10	
Consecutive Errors	Occurrences	
1	3018	
2	1700	
3	1025	
4	134	
5	73	
6	4	
7	3	
8	0	
9	1	

Additional Results for Other Channels

BER	BER_pre = 3.4220E-04*				
Burst Pro	bability	51.14%			
Uncorrect	table CWs	29			
Consecut	ive Errors	Occurrences			
	1	4509			
	2	2701			
	3	1002			
	4	282			
;	5	371			
	6	153			
	7	88			
	8	44			
	9	34			
1	0	16			
	11	7			
	2	13	L.		
	3	3	Ľ.		
	4	1			
	5	4	K		
1	16	1			

Bch2_a7p5_7_t

- Channel suffers ILD
- Did not run to a lower BER level