



# Consensuses on Error Propagation for 100GBASE-KR1/CR1

- No FEC performance concern for 1-tap DFE receiver, which is considered to be the mainstream receiver for 100GE CR/KR (anslow 3ck 01 0918 page 5).
- No FEC performance concern for realistic channels with multi-tap DFE receiver found yet.
- Precoding is essential to guarantee the post-FEC performance due to the DFE based reference receiver (1-tap/multi-tap) (healey 100GEL 01 0318).



# Necessity of "Interleaved FEC" is questioned for host ASIC

- The FEC performance for multi-tap DFE is only a "maybe", but not a proven "issue".
- While the "latency/power" of "Interleaved FEC" is not only a "concern" but a proven "issue":
  - latency concerns was raised in lyubomirsky\_3ck\_01a\_0119.
  - System Impacts including more latency and complicate CDR were discussed in lu\_3ck\_adhoc\_01\_022719.
- Performance & cost comparison of solutions are summarized in lu\_3ck\_01\_0519.pdf (including constraining DFE weights/PMA remapping/EoBD and interleaved FEC)



# Summary

We haven't found issue channel yet (no FEC performance concern for realistic channels with multi-tap DFE receiver are found yet).

### However,

We might go for a most costly solution (interleaved FEC) for a "maybe".

### **Anyhow:**

We would hope to use interleaved FEC only when necessary as suggested in lu\_3ck\_02\_0719.pdf and zhuang\_3ck\_02\_0919.pdf.



# Thank you!

把数字世界带入每个人、每个家庭、 每个组织,构建万物互联的智能世 界。

界。 Bring digital to every person, home, and organization for a fully connected, intelligent world.

