

Chief Editor's Report

Matt Brown

Huawei Technologies Canada

P802.3ck Editor-In-Chief

IEEE P802.3ck Task Force

March 2020

Editorial Team

Editor	Duties
Matt Brown	Chief Editor, 120G (C2C), 120G (C2M), legacy clauses
Arthur Marris	Clauses 30/45/73, other legacy clauses
Howard Heck	Clause 162 (CR), Annex 93A
Chris Diminico	Clause 162 (CR) cable assembly subclauses/annexes
Phil Sun	Clause 163 (KR)
Mark Gustlin	Clauses 91, 161 (FEC)
Jeff Slavick	Advisor and reviewer for logic clauses/annexes
Mike Dudek	Advisor and reviewer for CR/KR/AUI clauses/annexes
Adee Ran	Advisor and reviewer for CR/KR/AUI clauses/annexes

Special Recognition


Thanks to the following for their review of D1.1 prior to publishing:

- Mau-Lin Wu

Activities since January 2020 meeting


- ❖ Second Task Force review (D1.1)
 - Opened on 10 February 2020
 - Closed on 26 February 2020
- ❖ 215 comments from 24 reviewers
 - 164 new comments
 - 51 re-submitted D1.0 comments
 - 4 comments received after the TF review deadline
 - 16 comments withdrawn
- ❖ Proposed responses prepared by editors and posted 12 March 2020
 - Updated on 13 March

Comment Summary by Clause/Annex for D1.1



E/ER = editorial, T/TR = technical

Comment Summary by Draft



E/ER = editorial, T/TR = technical

Objectives for this meeting set

- ❖ Respond to comments against Draft 1.1.
- ❖ Generate Draft 1.2 for the second Task Force review.

Draft 1.1 Status

- ❖ Dozens of TBD to complete
- ❖ Big ticket items
 - C2M reference receiver
 - C2C reference receiver
 - ERL

Comments to address

- ❖ 215 comments were submitted
- ❖ 11 hours total meeting time available
 - around 9 hours available for addressing comments
 - historically, 6 minutes per comment is a fast pace
 - pace may be hindered by working online instead of face to face
 - can address around 90 comments on the the floor
 - maybe more if really efficient
 - need to move 125 comments “off the floor”
- ❖ 90 comments were chosen to be addressed based roughly on the following criteria.
 - Must be closed before other related comments can be closed.
 - Completing TBD
 - Fixing serious technical or editorial errors.
- ❖ As a result comments “off the floor” are as follows:
 - 16 comments have been withdrawn
 - 4 comments were late
 - 49 comments allocated to the non-controversial (NC) bucket
 - 10 comments allocated to the incomplete-response (IR) bucket
 - 46 comments otherwise deferred

Incomplete-remedy (IR) bucket

- ❖ RevCOM guidelines lists the following criterion as an acceptable response for rejection:
 - “The proposed change in the comment does not contain sufficient detail to understand the specific changes that satisfy the commenter”.
- ❖ Comments in the IR bucket had a comment and suggested remedy that met the criteria above.
 - Also, none are critical to making progress.
- ❖ These comments will be closed as a group as part of the motion to create Draft 1.2.
 - The motion text will include replacing the entire proposed response with the RevCom criteria referenced above.
- ❖ Presentation or complete remedy with co-authors or supporters are needed to remove comments from the IR bucket.